



# *Article* **The New Attempt at Modeling of the Three-Dimensional Geometry of the Epidermal Skin Layer and the Diffusion Processes of Nanomolecular Drug Carriers in Such Structures**

**Mariola M. Błaszczyk [\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6397-568X)** and Jerzy P. Sek <sup>®</sup>

Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Process and Environmental Engineering, Lodz University of Technology, 213 Wólczańska St., 90-924 Lódź, Poland

**\*** Correspondence: mariola.blaszczyk@p.lodz.pl

**Abstract:** Nanoparticles are presently considered the efficient carriers of medicals, cosmetics, and pharmaceuticals in the human organism. There is a lot of research carried out on the delivery of these materials in a non-invasive way. Such a method is very safe in times of global illnesses and pandemics. The most frequently investigated route is the approach to delivering nano-media through the skin as the result of diffusion processes. The stratum corneum, the outermost layer of skin, is the most resistive barrier to such a form of penetration. In this work, a new model is proposed to predict nanoparticles' transport through this layer. It introduces the concept of the three-dimensional model of the stratum corneum, which allows to define the skin surface area from which diffusion occurs. This structure was replaced by the single capillary, resulting from theoretical considerations. Modeling of the diffusion process of nanoparticles as the result of Brownian motion in such a capillary was performed numerically using COMSOL Multiphysics package programs. Further, using the dimensions of such a capillary, a new model of diffusion was developed in which the parameters allow to determine the effective diffusion coefficient as a function of nanoparticle size and the viscosity of a liquid. As a result, the proposed models provide a new and efficient approach to the determination of the nano-molecules' transport phenomena through the skin layer.

**Keywords:** stratum corneum; nanoparticles; diffusion; skin; drug delivery

#### **1. Introduction**

Nanosized mineral particles are commonly present in the human environment, from which they can penetrate living organisms. Such nanoparticles are considered dangerous suspensions, creating smog, and they are responsible for many illnesses. However, in the last decades, there has appeared an approach in which medicines and cosmetics can contain nanoparticles as the main active component. These particles, entering the organisms as drug carriers, can bring about medically and cosmetologically positive results. Most frequently, the nanoparticles are delivered as aerosols directly to the lungs or as suspensions placed on the skin surface, from which they diffuse into the organisms as a part of nanomedical approaches [\[1–](#page-11-0)[3\]](#page-11-1).

The skin is the human body's biggest organ, with a surface of about two square meters and a weight of up to 10–20% of the total mass. It protects organisms against external mechanical, chemical, and biological shocks from surroundings, infections, excessive temperature, and radiation. It is also responsible for preserving the proper thermal balance of the body, the sweating processes' rate, and perception signals from an environment [\[4,](#page-11-2)[5\]](#page-11-3)

The human skin is a multilayered membrane with a layer named the epidermis as the outer one. The cross-section of this stratum is presented in Figure [1](#page-1-0) [\[6\]](#page-11-4).



Citation: Błaszczyk, M.M.; Sęk, J.P. The New Attempt at Modeling of the Three-Dimensional Geometry of the Epidermal Skin Layer and the Diffusion Processes of Nanomolecular Drug Carriers in Such Structures. *Molecules* **2023**, *28*, 205. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28010205) [molecules28010205](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28010205)

Academic Editor: Maria Camilla Bergonzi

Received: 13 October 2022 Revised: 18 December 2022 Accepted: 23 December 2022 Published: 26 December 2022



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

**Figure 1.** The cross-section of skin layers and the epidermis. **Figure 1.** The cross-section of skin layers and the epidermis.

Among these layers, the stratum corneum, with a thickness of up to twenty microm-Among these layers, the stratum corneum, with a thickness of up to twenty micrometers and built from the keratinocytes, is the most obstructive to the migration of the ical substances, lipids, proteins, vitamins, and other substances to the interior of the body. body. Problems with trans epidermis diffusion become even more meaningful when the Problems with trans epidermis diffusion become even more meaningful when the transport of nanomedical and nano-cosmetical substances is considered. These media, with solid particles the size of nanometers, would move very slowly or even be trapped inside the narrow ducts of the stratum corneum. chemical substances, lipids, proteins, vitamins, and other substances to the interior of the

The stratum corneum consists of dead keratinocytes separated by lipid layers. It usually consists of fifteen such layers, meaning the total thickness of this stratum is generally up to sixteen micrometers. Modeling approaches to the transport through the stratum corneum available in the literature [\[7–](#page-11-5)[13\]](#page-11-6) consider this layer as a two-dimensional vertical wall where keratinocytes are bricks and lipid layers are mortar filling the gaps. Such an approach does not allow, however, for an estimation of diffusion rates from the surface of<br>the claim to incide the healty was not as the these dimensional and democrates to the success the skin to inside the body, even when the three-dimensional model was presented the area<br>of diffusion was not considered [11] of diffusion was not considered [\[11\]](#page-11-7).

The research presented in this work can be a development of the present state-ofthe-art. The introduction of the three-dimensional model of the stratum corneum is an improvement over the already existing approaches. It allows for considering the surface of the skin from which external substances migrate into organisms. Further, in the presented work, the mosaic of bricks fitted in the lipidic mortar was replaced by the capillary duct where the diffusion processes can occur.

Transport of nanoparticles in such a capillary was simulated numerically using the COMSOL Multiphysics [\[14](#page-11-8)[,15\]](#page-11-9) package of programs, considering Brownian and Stokes' forces acting on them.

s acting on them.<br>The next step of modeling was focused on the approximation of numerical results include the modernig was focased of the approximation of nathered results<br>with an appropriate function. For this purpose, as a result of theoretical considerations, the new formula was built based on Fick's first law. This allowed estimating the values of the effective diffusion coefficient of nanoparticles in the stratum corneum as the function of process parameters, such as sizes of nanoparticles and the viscosity of the liquid in which diffusion occurs. Prediction of these parameters makes it possible to calculate the diffusion rate and amount of mass transferred through the stratum corneum.

#### $\overline{M}$  process parameters, such as sizes of nanoparticles and the viscosity of the liquid interview **2. Modeling Considerations**

## <span id="page-1-1"></span>which diffusion of the diffusion of these parameters makes it possible to calculate the calculate theories in  $C_{\text{max}}$ diffusion rate and amount of mass transferred through the stratum corneum. *2.1. Capillary Model of Stratum Corneum*

**2. Modeling Considerations**  sented in Figure [2.](#page-2-0)A three-dimensional model of the stratum corneum developed for this work is pre-

<span id="page-2-0"></span>



Its size,  $L_1 \cdot L_2$ , corresponds to the area of the skin on which the process of migration of external substances into a living organism is considered. of external substances into a living organism is considered.

Other dimensions are as follows: Other dimensions are as follows:

a and b—Dimensions of keratinocytes, a and b—Dimensions of keratinocytes,

f—Thickness of the lipid layer, f—Thickness of the lipid layer,

h—Thickness of the layer of keratinocytes equals the height of a single keratinocyte, h—Thickness of the layer of keratinocytes equals the height of a single keratinocyte,  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ —Width of the lipid channels,

H—Thickness of the stratum corneum. H—Thickness of the stratum corneum.

The surface of such an area can be expressed as: The surface of such an area can be expressed as:

$$
S = L_1 \cdot L_2,\tag{1}
$$

Considering the sizes of keratinocytes and lipid channels, the dimensions  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  of the domain can be represented as:

$$
L_1 = an_1 + (n_1 - 1)d_1,
$$
 (2)

and and

$$
L_2 = bn_2 + (n_2 - 1)d_2.
$$
 (3)

Therefore, based on Equations (2) and (3), the surface, S, given by Equation (1) can Therefore, based on Equations (2) and (3), the surface, S, given by Equation (1) can be be expressed as: expressed as:

$$
S = (an_1 + (n_1 - 1)d_1)(bn_2 + (n_2 - 1)d_2)
$$
\n(4)

 $\frac{(n-1)(n-1)(n-2)(n-2)}{2}$  (4) = 17(diversion  $\frac{1}{2}$  and (3), the number of keratinocytes in both directions can be calculated as:  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$  and directions can both directions can both directions can both directions can both directions can be calculated as:

$$
n_1 = \frac{L_1 + d_1}{a + d_1},
$$
\n(5)

$$
n_2 = \frac{L_2 + d_2}{b + d_2}.
$$
 (6)

Substituting Equations (5) and (6) into (4), one obtains:  $\alpha$ ms.

$$
S = \left( a \frac{L_1 + d_1}{a + d_1} + \left( \frac{L_1 + d_1}{a + d_1} - 1 \right) d_1 \right) \left( b \frac{L_2 + d_2}{b + d_2} + \left( \frac{L_2 + d_2}{b + d_2} - 1 \right) d_2 \right) \tag{7}
$$

The part of surface, S, only occupied by keratinocytes can be expressed as:

$$
S_n = (an_1)(bn_2), \tag{8}
$$

and after substituting Equations (5) and (6) into (8), the  $S_n$  is:

$$
S_n = a \frac{L_1 + d_1}{a + d_1} b \frac{L_2 + d_2}{b + d_2}.
$$
\n(9)

The ratio of the surface of the skin filled with lipid channels to the total considered surface can be calculated as:

$$
=\frac{S-S_n}{S}.
$$
\n(10)

After the substitution of Equations (7) and (9) into (10), one obtains:

ε =

$$
\epsilon = \frac{\left(a\frac{L_1+d_1}{a+d_1} + \left(\frac{L_1+d_1}{a+d_1} - 1\right)d_1\right)\left(b\frac{L_2+d_2}{b+d_2} + \left(\frac{L_2+d_2}{b+d_2} - 1\right)d_2\right) - a\frac{L_1+d_1}{a+d_1}b\frac{L_2+d_2}{b+d_2}}{\left(a\frac{L_1+d_1}{a+d_1} + \left(\frac{L_1+d_1}{a+d_1} - 1\right)d_1\right)\left(b\frac{L_2+d_2}{b+d_2} + \left(\frac{L_2+d_2}{b+d_2} - 1\right)d_2\right)}
$$
(11)

When it is assumed that all dimensions in both directions are the same, it means  $L_1 = L_2$ , a = b, and  $d_1 = d_2$ , and then  $n_1$  will be equal to  $n_2$ , and Equation (11) can be written as:

$$
\varepsilon = \frac{\left(a\frac{L+d}{a+d} + \left(\frac{L+d}{a+d} - 1\right)d\right)^2 - a^2 \left(\frac{L+d}{a+d}\right)^2}{\left(a\frac{L+d}{a+d} + \left(\frac{L+d}{a+d} - 1\right)d\right)^2}.
$$
\n(12)

The present work considers the three-dimensional brick-and-mortar model of the stratum corneum. The advantage of such an approach is that it is possible to consider the surface of the skin where the active substance was placed and the size of the area of the migration. Therefore, it allows for predicting the amount of the substance which will be transported through the skin and the efficiency of such transport. However, from the point of view of numerical simulation, such geometry can be difficult to use. The size of the skin covered with the substance is usually measured in centimeters, and the size of lipid channels is in the range of nanometers. Such big disproportionality creates many numerical problems and can be a source of errors and the lack of convergence of the solution. Additionally, the time of calculations can be very long and not acceptable. To solve these problems, the following attempt is proposed.

The three-dimensional brick-and-mortar model of the stratum corneum can be considered as the granular bed consisting of bricks of the size a·b·h placed regularly in the space of  $L_1 \cdot L_2 \cdot H$ . Such a structure can be replaced by the capillary tube, as was introduced by the concept of Kozeny Carman [\[16](#page-11-10)[–20\]](#page-11-11). This approach is based on Equation (13), adjusted to the present case:

$$
r_h = \frac{\varepsilon}{a_p(1-\varepsilon)},
$$
\n(13)

where  $\varepsilon$  is the space filled by lipids between keratinocytes, as given by Equation (11),  $a_p$  is the specific surface of keratinocyte, and  $r<sub>h</sub>$  is the hydraulic radius of the model capillary.

According to this theory, the specific surface of the grain in the bed can be defined as:

$$
a_p = \frac{S_p}{V_p},\tag{14}
$$

where  $S_p$  isthe surface area of the walls of a single keratinocyte, and  $V_p$  is the volume of the single keratinocyte

Since in the present work the keratinocytes are considered as the bricks, the  $S_p$  and  $V_p$ can be calculated accordingly:

$$
S_p = 2(ab + bh + ah), \tag{15}
$$

and

$$
V_p = abh. \t\t(16)
$$

After the substitution of Equations (14) and (15) into (13), the specific area is expressed as:

$$
a_p = \frac{(ab + bh + ah)}{abh}.
$$
 (17)

If the keratinocyte has a square base, it means  $a = b$ , then Equation (17) takes the form:

$$
a_p = \frac{(a^2 + 2ah)}{a^2h}.
$$
\n(18)

The hydraulic radius given by Equation (13) can be, after the substitution of Equations (11) and (18), calculated as follows:

$$
r_h = \frac{\frac{\left(a\frac{L_1+d_1}{a+d_1} + \left(\frac{L_1+d_1}{a+d_1} - 1\right)d_1\right)\left(b\frac{L_2+d_2}{b+d_2} + \left(\frac{L_2+d_2}{b+d_2} - 1\right)d_2\right) - a\frac{L_1+d_1}{a+d_1}b\frac{L_2+d_2}{b+d_2}}{\left(a\frac{L_1+d_1}{a+d_1} + \left(\frac{L_1+d_1}{a+d_1} - 1\right)d_1\right)\left(b\frac{L_2+d_2}{b+d_2} + \left(\frac{L_2+d_2}{b+d_2} - 1\right)d_2\right)}}{\frac{\left(ab + bh + ah\right)}{abh}\left(1 - \frac{\left(a\frac{L_1+d_1}{a+d_1} + \left(\frac{L_1+d_1}{a+d_1} - 1\right)d_1\right)\left(b\frac{L_2+d_2}{b+d_2} + \left(\frac{L_2+d_2}{b+d_2} - 1\right)d_2\right) - a\frac{L_1+d_1}{a+d_1}b\frac{L_2+d_2}{b+d_2}}{\left(a\frac{L_1+d_1}{a+d_1} + \left(\frac{L_1+d_1}{a+d_1} - 1\right)d_1\right)\left(b\frac{L_2+d_2}{b+d_2} + \left(\frac{L_2+d_2}{b+d_2} - 1\right)d_2\right)}\right)}\tag{19}
$$

For the case when  $L_1 = L_2$ ,  $a = b$ , and  $d_1 = d_2$ , the hydraulic radius can be calculated using the formula:

$$
r_{h} = \frac{\frac{\left(a \frac{L+d}{a+d} + \left(\frac{L+d}{a+d} - 1\right)d\right)^{2} - a^{2}\left(\frac{L+d}{a+d}\right)^{2}}{\left(a \frac{L+d}{a+d} + \left(\frac{L+d}{a+d} - 1\right)d\right)^{2}}}{\frac{\left(a^{2} + 2ah\right)}{a^{2}h} \left(1 - \frac{\left(a \frac{L+d}{a+d} + \left(\frac{L+d}{a+d} - 1\right)d\right)^{2} - a^{2}\left(\frac{L+d}{a+d}\right)^{2}}{\left(a \frac{L+d}{a+d} + \left(\frac{L+d}{a+d} - 1\right)d\right)^{2}}\right)}
$$
(20)

The assumed approach allows us to consider the diffusion process, not in the brickand-mortar complicated structure with a big difference between the size of the domain, measured in millimeters and centimeters with nanochannels, but by the model where the complicated layout of lipid channels is replaced by the single tube of radius  $r_h$ . The length of such a tube can be considered equal to the thickness, h, of a single keratinocyte layer. Such an approach allows also for easier modeling of diffusion phenomena.

## *2.2. Model of a Diffusion Process of Nanoparticles*

Transport of the nanoparticles along the narrow channel—the capillary—can be described using Fick's first law:

$$
J = D_{eff} \frac{dc}{dx},
$$
 (21)

where J is the mass flow rate of the migrating component (kg/m<sup>2</sup>s),  $D_{eff}$  is the effective diffusion coefficient (m<sup>2</sup>/s), c is the concentration of the diffusing component (kg/m<sup>3</sup>), and x is the diffusion distance (m).

The mass flow rate of the diffusing stream can be expressed using the following equation:

$$
J = \frac{m_p}{At},
$$
 (22)

where  $m_p$  is the mass of the transferred component (kg), A is the surface area of the diffusion  $(m^2)$ , and t is the diffusion time (s).

After the substitution of Equation (22) into (21), one obtains:

$$
\frac{m_p}{At} = D_{eff} \frac{dc}{dx}.
$$
\n(23)

Considering the transport of nanoparticles, their transferred mass,  $m_p$ , is:

$$
m_p = N_{pt} \rho_p V_p, \qquad (24)
$$

where N<sub>pt</sub> is the number of diffusing particles (−),  $\rho_p$  is the density of nanoparticles  $\left(\frac{kg}{m^3}\right)$ , and  $V_p$  is the volume of the single nanoparticle  $\left(m^3\right)$ .

The presented research considers the movement of nanoparticles in the narrow channels of the stratum corneum replaced by the narrow capillary as the result of the modeling assumptions. Since the dimensions of the diffusing elements can vary from a single to a dozen nanometers or so, their movement can be treated as Brownian movement resulting from the thermal action of molecules in the lipid layer. Considering such a phenomenon, Equation (23) can be presented as follows:

$$
\frac{N_{pt}\rho_p V_p}{At} = D_{eff} \frac{\frac{N_{p0}\rho_p V_p - N_{pt}\rho_p V_p}{V}}{h},\tag{25}
$$

and after simplification:

$$
\frac{N_{\text{pt}}}{\text{At}} = D_{\text{eff}} \frac{\frac{N_{\text{p0}}}{V} - \frac{N_{\text{pt}}}{V}}{h},\tag{26}
$$

where  $N_{p0}$  is the initial number of particles in the channel (−),  $N_{pt}$  is the number of particles which diffused in time t—the number of particles that passed until the end of the channel in time t (−),  $N_{p0}/V$  is the initial concentration of particles related to the size of the diffusion volume in the system ( $1/m^3$ ), N<sub>pt</sub>/V is the concentration of particles that passed the volume until the end and related to the volume of the system t ( $1/m<sup>3</sup>$ ), and h is the length of the channel (m).

After further rearrangements, Equation (26) can be written as:

$$
\frac{N_{pt}}{At} = D_{eff} \frac{N_{p0} - N_{pt}}{Vh}.
$$
\n(27)

Volume, V, where the diffusion process occurs can be expressed as:

$$
V = Ah.
$$
 (28)

After the substitution of this equation to (26) and simplification, one can obtain:

$$
\frac{N_{pt}}{t} = D_{eff} \frac{N_{p0} - N_{pt}}{h^2}.
$$
\n(29)

Further rearrangements lead to:

$$
N_{\text{pt}}h^2 = D_{\text{eff}}(N_{\text{p0}} - N_{\text{pt}})t,
$$
\n(30)

$$
N_{pt}h^2 = D_{eff}N_{p0}t - D_{eff}N_{pt}t,
$$
\n(31)

$$
N_{pt}h^2 + D_{eff}N_{pt}t = D_{eff}N_{p0}t,
$$
\n(32)

$$
N_{pt}\left(h^2 + D_{eff}t\right) = D_{eff}N_{p0}t,\tag{33}
$$

$$
\frac{N_{pt}}{N_{p0}} = \frac{D_{eff}t}{\left(h^2 + D_{eff}t\right)}.
$$
\n(34)

Equation (34) is known in the literature, in its mathematical form, as Hill's equation [\[11\]](#page-11-7). This formula, with a different meaning of variables and parameters, is very often used to approximate the processes of adsorption, the kinetics of reactions, and so on, when, for instance, the product concentration or the number of particles approaches the final, maximal, or minimal value. It is very often presented with the addition of the n parameter, which yields the following expression:

$$
\frac{N_{pt}}{N_{p0}} = \frac{D_{eff}t^n}{\left(h^2 + D_{eff}t^n\right)}.
$$
\n(35)

#### **3. Numerical Simulation of the Diffusion Processes**  $\mathbb{R}^{166}$  + D

The numerical simulations were performed using the COMSOL Multiphysics v.6 [\[14](#page-11-8)[,15\]](#page-11-9) programs package. The numerical domain was constructed as the narrow capillary as programs paesage. The numerical actual was constrained as the narrow exprimely as<br>it results from the modeling considerations described in Section [2.1.](#page-1-1) The diameter of the duct was calculated using Equation (20). It was assumed that the surface of the stratum corneum, which is the donor of the substance migrating into the skin, has the size  $L_1 = L_2 = 0.02$  m (2 cm). It was also assumed that the size of the keratinocytes is equal to a = b = 2·10<sup>-5</sup> m (20 μm). The width of the gaps between keratinocytes was assumed as  $d_1 = d_2 = 5 \cdot 10^{-8}$  m (50 nm). The thickness of a single layer, h, was equal to  $1 \cdot 10^{-5}$  m (1 μm). Such dimensions of elements of the stratum corneum give the hydraulic radius of the capillary, calculated using Equation (20), equal to  $r_h$  = 12.5 nm.  $\frac{1}{2}$  programs parameters parameters  $\frac{1}{2}$  as  $\frac{1}{2}$  as  $\frac{1}{2}$  as  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  are not called as  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  and

Therefore, the numerical calculations were performed in the three-dimensional domain of the diameter of  $d_c = 25$  nm and the height of h = 1  $\mu$ m. Such length represents the thickness of the single layer of keratinocytes and is responsible for the resistance to diffusion. Compared to this, the resistance of lipid horizontal layers between keratinocytes is negligible. negligible. sindom compared to this, the resistance of lipid horizontal layers between kerat

The numerical domain resulting from the above dimensions is presented in Figure [3.](#page-6-0) The numerical domain resulting from the above dimensions is presented in Figure 3.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

**Figure 3.** Geometry of the numerical domain. **Figure 3.** Geometry of the numerical domain.

The diameter,  $d_p$ , of the migrating particles was 1, 5, 10, and 15 nm. It means that it was comparable with the capillary diameter,  $d_c$ , and this ratio was equal to, respectively, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, and 0.75. Their density was 2200 kg/m<sup>3</sup>. Such a density is typical for most mineral powders, such as silice or others. The density of the liquid in which the diffusion mineral powders, such as silica or others. The density of the liquid in which the diffusion<br>

occurred was assumed to be 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>. The viscosity of this liquid was equal to 10, 20, and  $50 \text{ mPa.s.}$ and 50 mPa·s.

At the assumed size of the particles, their movement in the liquid was treated as the Brownian motion, and such a model of diffusion was applied. In this motion, the acting forces and the moving particle are counterbalanced by Stokes' drag force, resulting from the viscosity of the surrounding liquid. These forces were calculated, according to the COMSOL programs' manuals [\[12\]](#page-11-12), from the following formulae:<br>Brownian force:  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  ,  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

Brownian force:

$$
F_B = \zeta \sqrt{\frac{6\pi k_B \eta T d_p}{\Delta t}},
$$
\n(36)

Stokes' force:

$$
F_S = \frac{18\eta m_p v}{\rho_p d^2}.
$$
\n(37)

where  $m_p$  is the mass of the particle (kg), v is the velocity of the particle (m/s),  $\eta$  is the liquid viscosity (Pa·s),  $\rho_p$  is the particle density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>), d is the particle diameter (m), k<sub>B</sub> is the Boltzman constant (J/K), T is the temperature (K),  $\Delta t$  is the time step (s), and  $\zeta$  is a random number (−). random number (−).

The density of the mesh was defined after the preliminary calculation. Its final density was such that further increases did not influence the results. Additionally, the number of particles in the system was evaluated on an experimental basis. The value of 5000 was big enough that a further increase did not change the final solutions. The particles in the big enough that a further increase did not change the final solutions. The particles in the simulation process were released at 30 nm from the upper surface of the domain. simulation process were released at 30 nm from the upper surface of the domain.

Two types of possible contacts of the particles with the wall of the tube were assumed: bouncing contact with full reflection and full preservation of energy of the particle on the upper and side walls of the domain, and freezing contact, lack of reflection, and total loss of energy on the bottom surface of the domain. Such an approach allowed us to study the efficiency of the diffusion process.

### **4. Results of the Numerical Experiments and Discussion 4. Results of the Numerical Experiments and Discussion**

Results of the numerical investigations are presented in Figures 4[–6.](#page-8-0) They are pre-Results of the numerical investigations are presented in Figures [4–](#page-7-0)6. They are presented as the ratio of the number of particles that diffused through the channel,  $N_{p}$ , to its end to the initial number of particles starting the diffusion,  $\rm{N}_{p0}$ , as a function of the normalized time. The normalized time was the ratio of the given time of calculations, t, to malized time. The normalized time was the ratio of the given time of calculations, t, to the the final time of computations,  $t_c$ . In all cases, the end time was equal to 500 s. Thin lines in Figures 4[–6](#page-8-0) are the results of the approximation of data by Equation (35), obtained using Figures [4–](#page-7-0)6 are the results of the approximation of data by Equation (35), obtained using the Microcal Origin Pro program. the Microcal Origin Pro program.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

The viscosity of liquid was 10 mPa·s for particles of different diameters.  $\mathcal{L}$  is the viscosity of liquid was 10 mPa·s for particles of different diameters. **Figure 4.** The dependence on the normalized number of particles as a function of normalized time.



**Figure 5.** The dependence on the normalized number of particles as a function of normalized time. The viscosity of the liquid was 20 mPa·s for particles of different diameters.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

**Figure 6.** The dependence on the normalized number of particles as a function of normalized time. The viscosity of the liquid was 50 mPa s for particles of different diameters.

It results from these graphs that the number of particles that diffused through the capillary at the time of calculations is dependent on their size and the viscosity of the liquid in which the diffusion occurs. These dependencies are shown in Figure [7.](#page-9-0) One can see that<br>in which the diffusion occurs. These dependencies are shown in Figure 7. One can see that see that the rising viscosity of the right and the rising size of the particles decreased the number of with time, and the velocity of the diffusion of the same amount was longer. In which the diffusion occurs. These dependencies are shown in Figure 7. One can see that<br>the rising viscosity of the liquid and the rising size of the particles decreased the number of see that the rising viscosity of the liquid and the rising size of the particles decreased the particles diffusing through the capillary. Therefore, the amount of the substance decreased

The results presented in Figures [4](#page-7-0)[–6](#page-8-0) have been approximated using Equation (35). The results are drawn as continuous lines on the graphs and the numerical values of the parameters  $D_{eff}$  and n are shown in Table [1.](#page-9-1) The length of diffusion, h, was assumed to be equal to  $10^{-6}$  m. It results from the numerical experiments that the effective diffusion coefficients change in the range from 2.36 $\cdot 10^{-13}$  to 21.9 $\cdot 10^{-12}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

**Figure 7.** The dependence of the number of particles as a function of their diameter for liquids of **Figure 7.** The dependence of the number of particles as a function of their diameter for liquids of different viscosities. different viscosities.

$d \cdot 10^9$ m	$D_{eff} \cdot 10^{13}$ (m <sup>2</sup> /s)			$n(-)$		
	η $10 \text{ mPa·s}$	η $20 \text{ mPa·s}$	η $50 \text{ mPa} \cdot \text{s}$	η $10 \text{ mPa·s}$	η $20 \text{ mPa·s}$	η $50 \text{ mPa} \cdot \text{s}$
$1 (R^2)$	21.9 (0.987)	16.2(0.990)	10.1 (0.989)	0.581	0.557	0.734
$5(R^2)$	8.96 (0.991)	5.41 (0.992)	2.14(0.983)	0.557	0.491	0.889
$10 (R^2)$	5.54 (0.982)	4.36 (0.989)	1.33(0.984)	0.863	0.561	0.697
$15(R^2)$	5.04 (0.993)	2.54(0.991)	2.36(0.985)	1.159	0.958	0.907

<span id="page-9-1"></span>**Table 1.** Values of the effective diffusion coefficient, D<sub>eff</sub>, and the n parameter.

Generally, the literature concerning experimental investigations of the transport of nanoparticles through the skin layers is quite extensive. However, the studies are mainly nanoparticles through the skin layers is quite extensive. Trowever, the studies are mainly<br>focused on the point: will nanoparticles permeate through the epidermis or not? Results are very different because the definition of the size of nanoparticles is still not exact. One can find results concerning the transport of nanoparticles of the size of several hundreds of nanometers and particles smaller than twenty nanometers. It results from [\[10](#page-11-13)[,21\]](#page-12-0) that only<br>such small particles can permeate the stratum corpoum such small particles can permeate the stratum corneum.

Interformed for the migration of such small particles. Here, the extensive paper can be performed for the migration of such small particles. Here, the extensive mouse skin, has been presented [\[22\]](#page-12-1). It was found that the value of the diffusion coefficient or nanoparticles to anomalize the skin layers in the subsequence experimental material was in the studies are mainly  $\frac{1}{2}$ range of 4.3·10<sup>-13</sup> to 4.9·10<sup>-13</sup> m<sup>2</sup>/s for spherical ones of the size of 5 nm. Slightly different Therefore, based on the above, the experimental validation of the results of this experimental study of the diffusion of silver nanoparticles of different shapes, through the of nanoparticles of different shapes in the investigated experimental material was in the values were obtained for other shapes.

values were obtained for other shapes.<br>The diffusion of gold nanoparticles through the skin was investigated by the authors of [\[23\]](#page-12-2). It was found that for the range of sizes of from two to five nanometers, one can expect the values of the diffusion coefficients to range from  $0.5 \cdot 10^{-11}$  to  $2 \cdot 10^{-11}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s.

Expect the variates of the annual particles was the subject of the research presented in [\[24\]](#page-12-3). The transport of big molecules was the subject of the research presented in [24]. The The main provides the above  $\frac{1}{2}$  on the above of the capacity of the results of  $\frac{1}{2}$  on  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  a authors have determined that diffusion coefficients of dextran were in the range of  $8.10^{-12}$ <br>to 20.10<sup>-12</sup> m<sup>2</sup>/s to  $20.10^{-12}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s.

The papers which deal with the transport in channels of micrometric diameter  $[8]$  or chemical compounds through the stratum corneum report values of diffusion coefficients in the range from  $10^{-13}$  to  $10^{-12}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s [\[25\]](#page-12-4).  $\frac{1}{2}$  to 4.3·10−13 m2/s for spin-late of  $\frac{1}{2}$  nm. Slightly different ones of  $\frac{1}{2}$  nm. Slightly different ones of  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

It results from Table [1](#page-9-1) that the values of the effective diffusion coefficients decreased with the growing diameter of nanoparticles and with the increase of the viscosity, in which the transport occurs. The values of the determination coefficient,  $R^2$ , showed good agreement between data and approximations obtained using Equation (35).

The values of  $D_{\text{eff}}$  from Table [1](#page-9-1) can be compared with the diffusion coefficient of particles in a liquid, as predicted by the Stokes-Einstein equation in the form:

$$
D = \frac{k_b T}{6\pi \eta d_p} \tag{38}
$$

where k<sub>B</sub> = 1.381·10<sup>-23</sup> (J/K) is the Boltzmann constant, T = 298 (K) is the temperature,

 $η$  is the fluid viscosity (mPa·s), and  $d_p$  is the particle diameter (m).

The results of the calculations, together with the ratio  $D/D<sub>eff</sub>$ , are presented in Table [2.](#page-10-0)

$d \cdot 10^9$ m	$\eta = 10 \text{ mPa·s}$		$\eta = 20$ mPa $\cdot$ s		$\eta = 50$ mPa $\cdot$ s	
	$D 10^{13}$ $(m^2/s)$	$D/D_{eff}$	$D 10^{13}$ $(m^2/s)$	$D/D_{eff}$	$D 10^{13}$ $(m^2/s)$	$D/D_{eff}$
	21.5	9.79	107	6.62	42.9	4.24
5	4.29	4.79	21.5	3.96	8.58	4.01
10	2.15	4.25	10.7	2.46	4.29	3.22
15	1.43	2.56	7.15	2.81	2.86	1.21

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Table 2. Comparison of values of D calculated from Equation (38) with D<sub>eff</sub> values from Table [1.](#page-9-1)

It results from the performed calculation (see Table [2\)](#page-10-0) that the values of the effective diffusion coefficient,  $D_{\text{eff}}$ , were generally a few times smaller than the values of D. Such a finding allows to estimate the restricted role of the walls of the capillaries and in the real stratum corneum on the velocity of diffusion processes.

#### **5. Conclusions**

The presented considerations showed two essential approaches to the modeling of diffusion of the nanoparticles through the most resistive layer of skin—the stratum corneum.

The first model is related to the geometry of the skin. It represents the conversion of the three-dimensional structure in the single capillary. Such an approach provides a much more treatable image of the penetration routes of the particles in the skin. Additionally, if numerical simulations are applied, such a geometry is much easier for building the numerical model. The stratum corneum consists of keratinocytes of a size of several dozens of micrometers separated by the narrow channels of a nanometric width. Due to the disproportionality between these elements, numerical calculation can be very timeconsuming, with very small progress in the convergence of solutions.

This three-dimensional model also gives the possibility of including in the predictions the surface of the skin, on which a substance was placed and migrated into the organism.

The second model deals directly with the diffusion process. Taking the advantage of the first one, it allows to easily define the distance of the diffusion as the length of the capillary. Additionally, the derived equation allows to predict the number of migrated components as a function of the time and the distance.

The applicability of the geometrical model and the model of diffusion allowed us to describe with acceptable accuracy the results of numerical simulations. The parameters of the diffusion model estimated based on the approximation of the numerical data yield values of the effective diffusion coefficient. These values are in the range of data available for diffusion coefficients of nanoparticles through the stratum corneum.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, M.M.B. and J.P.S.; methodology, M.M.B.; software, J.P.S.; validation, M.M.B. and J.P.S.; formal analysis, J.P.S.; investigation, M.M.B.; resources, M.M.B.; data curation, M.M.B.; writing—original draft preparation, M.M.B. and J.P.S.; writing—review and editing, M.M.B. and J.P.S.; visualization, J.P.S.; supervision, M.M.B.; project administration, M.M.B.; funding acquisition, M.M.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** The study was financed within the research grant from NCN Sonata 2019/35/D/ST8/01033.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors are thankful for the research grant from NCN Sonata 2019/35/D/ST8/01033.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

### **References**

- <span id="page-11-0"></span>1. Biswas, P.; Wu, C.-Y. Nanoparticles and the Environment. *J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc.* **2005**, *55*, 708–746. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1080/10473289.2005.10464656) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16022411)
- 2. Smita, S.; Gupta, S.K.; Bartonova, A.; Dusinska, M.; Gutleb, A.C.; Rahman, Q. Nanoparticles in the environment: Assessment using the causal diagram approach. *Environ. Health* **2012**, *11*, 13. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-11-S1-S13) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22759495)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>3. Waghule, T.; Rapalli, V.K.; Gorantla, S.; Saha, R.N.; Dubey, S.K.; Puri, A.; Singhvi, G. Nanostructured Lipid Carriers as Potential Drug Delivery Systems for Skin Disorders. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* **2020**, *26*, 4569–4579. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.2174/1381612826666200614175236) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32534562)
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>4. Beck, R.; Guterres, S.; Pohlmann, A. *Nanocosmetics and Nanomedicines New Approaches for Skin Care*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2011.
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>5. Verma, A.; Jain, A.; Hurkat, P.; Jain, S.K. Transfollicular drug delivery: Current perspectives. *Res. Rep. Transderm. Drug Deliv.* **2016**, *5*, 1–17.
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>6. Olejnik, A.; Semba, J.A.; Kulpa, A.; Danczak-Pazdrowska, A.; Dalibor Rybka, J.; Gornowicz-Porowska, J. 3D Bioprinting in Skin Related Research: Recent Achievements and Application Perspectives. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **2022**, *11*, 26–38. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1021/acssynbio.1c00547) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34967598)
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>7. Schmitt, T.; Neubert, R.H.H. State of the art in Stratum Corneum research: The biophysical properties of ceramides. *Chem. Phys. Lipids* **2018**, *216*, 91–103. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemphyslip.2018.09.017) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30291856)
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>8. Błaszczyk, M.M.; Sek, J.; Przybysz, Ł. The Combined Diffusion and Adsorption Concept for Prediction of Nanoparticles Transport through Dermal Layers Based on Experiments in Membranes. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 6419. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23126419) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35742864)
- 9. Wu, W.; Wang, Z.; Wu, Y.; Wu, H.; Chen, T.; Xue, Y.; Wang, Y.; Jiang, C.; Shen, C.; Liu, L.; et al. Mechanisms of Penetration Enhancement and Transport Utilizing Skin Keratine Liposomes for the Topical Delivery of Licochalcone A. *Molecules* **2022**, *27*, 2504. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27082504) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35458701)
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>10. Palmer, B.C.; DeLouise, L.A. Nanoparticle-Enabled Transdermal Drug Delivery Systems for Enhanced Dose Control and Tissue Targeting. *Molecules* **2016**, *21*, 1719. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/molecules21121719)
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>11. Schneider, M.; Stracke, F.; Hansen, S.; Schaefer, U. Nanoparticles and their interactions with the dermal barrier. *Derm. -Endocrinol.* **2009**, *1*, 197–206. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.4161/derm.1.4.9501)
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>12. Aquines, O.; Saavedra-Hernández, A.; Urbina-Arias, N.; Melchor-Martínez, E.M.; Sosa-Hernández, J.E.; Robledo-Padilla, F.; Iqbal, H.M.N.; Parra-Saldívar, R. In Silico Modeling Study of Curcumin Diffusion and Cellular Growth. *Appl. Sci.* **2022**, *12*, 9749. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/app12199749)
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>13. Borrego-Sánchez, A.; Debnath, J.; Parrinello, M. Kinetics of Drug Release from Clay Using Enhanced Sampling Methods. *Pharmaceutics* **2022**, *14*, 2586. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics14122586)
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>14. Available online: <https://www.comsol.com/comsol-multiphysics> (accessed on 20 September 2022).
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>15. Available online: <https://www.comsol.com/particle-tracing-module> (accessed on 20 September 2022).
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>16. Conzelmann, N.A.; Part, M.N.; Clemens, F.J.; Müller, C.R.; Poulikakos, L.D. Effect of artificial aggregate shapes on the porosity, tortuosity and permeability of their packings. *Powder Technol.* **2022**, *397*, 117019. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2021.11.063)
- 17. Peijie, Y.; Huihui, S.; Hairui, M.; Wuchao, Y.; Zhi, H.; Xiaonan, Z. The modification of the Kozeny-Carman equation through the lattice Boltzmann simulation and experimental verification. *J. Hydrol.* **2022**, *609*, 127738. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2022.127738)
- 18. Richardson, J.F.; Harker, J.H.; Backhurst, R. *Flow of Fluids through Granular Beds and Packed Columns*, 5th ed.; Chemical Engineering Series; Volume 2 Particle Technology and Separation Processes; Butterworth Heinemann: Oxford, UK, 2002; pp. 191–236.
- 19. Karagiorgis, S.; Tsamis, A.; Voutouri, C.; Turcu, R.; Porav, S.A.; Socoliuc, V.; Vekas, L.; Louca, M.; Stylianopoulos, T.; Vavourakis, V.; et al. Engineered magnetoactive collagen hydrogels with tunable and predictable mechanical response. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* **2020**, *114*, 111089. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2020.111089) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32994019)
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>20. Kruczek, B. Carman–Kozeny Equation. In *Encyclopedia of Membranes*; Drioli, E., Giorno, L., Eds.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2014. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-40872-4_1995-1)
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>21. Larese, F.F.; D'Agostin, F.; Crosera, M.; Adami, G.; Renzi, N.; Bovenzi, M.; Maina, G. Human skin penetration of silver nanoparticles through intact and damaged skin. *Toxicology* **2009**, *255*, 33–37. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2008.09.025) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18973786)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>22. Tak, Y.; Pal, S.; Naoghare, P.; Rangasamy, S.; Song, J.M. Shape-Dependent Skin Penetration of Silver Nanoparticles: Does It Really Matter? *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 16908. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/srep16908) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26584777)
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>23. Gupta, R.; Rai, B. Effect of Size and Surface Charge of Gold Nanoparticles on their Skin Permeability: A Molecular Dynamics Study. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 45292. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/srep45292) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28349970)
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>24. Römgens, A.M.; Bader, D.L.; Bouwstra, J.A.; Baaijens, F.P.; Oomens, C.W. Diffusion profile of macromolecules within and between human skin layers for (trans)dermal drug delivery. *J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater.* **2015**, *50*, 215–222. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2015.06.019) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26151288)
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>25. Schulz, R.; Kenji Yamamoto, K.; Klossek, A.; Rancan, F.; Vogt, A.; Schütte, C.; Rühl, E.; Netz, R.R. Modeling of Drug Diffusion Based on Concentration Profiles in Healthy and Damaged Human Skin. *Biophys. J.* **2019**, *117*, 998–1008. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2019.07.027)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.