

Communication **Selective Noble Gas Inclusion in Pentagon-Dodecahedral** X_{20} -Cages[†]

Christopher Weinert ¹ , Dušan Co´ci´c ´ ² , Ralph Puchta 1,3,4,5,[*](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1370-3875) and Rudi van Eldik 3,6,[*](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4271-0118)

- ¹ Fakultät Angewandte Mathematik, Physik und Allgemeinwissenschaften, Technische Hochschule Nuremberg Georg Simon Ohm, Keßlerplatz 12, 90489 Nuremberg, Germany
- Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, Radoja Domanovića 12, P.O. Box 60, 34000 Kragujevac, Serbia
- 3 Inorganic Chemistry, Department of Chemistry and Pharmacy, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Egerlandstr. 1, 91058 Erlangen, Germany
- ⁴ Central Institute for Scientific Computing (CISC), University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Martensstr. 5a, 91058 Erlangen, Germany
- ⁵ Computer Chemistry Center, Department of Chemistry and Pharmacy, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Nägelsbachstr. 25, 91052 Erlangen, Germany
- ⁶ Faculty of Chemistry, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń, Gagarina 7, 87-100 Toruń, Poland
- ***** Correspondence: ralph.puchta@fau.de (R.P.); rudi.vaneldik@fau.de (R.v.E.)
- † Dedicated to Prof. Dr. Thomas Lauterbach on the Occasion of his 60th birthday.

Abstract: Using DFT-based computational chemistry calculations (ωB97XD/def2-tzvp//ωB97XD/def2 svp/svpfit + ZPE(ωB97XD/def2-svp/svpfit)), binding energies of noble gases encapsulated in a series of dodecahedrane molecules (general formula: $X_{20}H_{20}$ where $X = C$, Si, Ge, Sn and Pb, and X_{20} where $X = N$, P, As, Sb and Bi) were calculated to learn about the noble gas selectivity. Based on calculated binding energies, the $Sn_{20}H_{20}$ cage can best accommodate noble gases with a medium size radius (Ar and Kr), while the Pb₂₀H₂₀ dodecahedrane cage is best suited for noble gases with the larger radii (Xe and Rn). On the other hand, from the elements of the V main group of the periodic table, the $Bi₂₀$ cage has shown the best results to selectively encapsulate Ar and Kr, with the amounts of energy being released being −5.24 kcal/mol and −6.13 kcal/mol, respectively. By monitoring the geometric changes of all here-reported host cages upon encapsulating the noble gas guest, the host has shown minor to no flexibility, testifying to the high rigidity of the dodecahedrane structure which was further reflected in very high encapsulating energies.

Keywords: noble gases; dodecahedrane; host-guest chemistry; DFT

1. Introduction

Discovery of the C_{60} molecule, better known as fullerene [\[1\]](#page-8-0), attracted much attention to further investigate the icosahedral molecules. The icosahedral dodecahedrane with its I_h symmetry, $C_{20}H_{20}$ (see Scheme [1\)](#page-1-0) was synthesized, and its IR and Raman active frequencies were reported in 1982 [\[2](#page-8-1)[,3\]](#page-8-2), later on followed by X-ray structural analysis [\[4\]](#page-8-3). Structural and stability investigations for a series of dodecahedrane molecules followed [\[5](#page-8-4)[–9\]](#page-9-0), which suggested that the interior diameter of dodecahedranes (of about \sim 5 Å) can be exploited for accommodating smaller-size guest species. Special attention was given to the potential applications of icosahedral dodecahedranes as host molecules for encapsulating noble gas molecules, i.e., to act as a preferential absorbent of a hydrophobic guests with applications in purification [\[10\]](#page-9-1), separation [\[11\]](#page-9-2), storage [\[12\]](#page-9-3), catalysis [\[13](#page-9-4)[,14\]](#page-9-5), and intact transportation [\[15\]](#page-9-6) of a target solute. Prinzbach et al. [\[16\]](#page-9-7) have successfully "shot" a helium atom into $C_{20}H_{20}$ by using an experimental procedure developed for fullerenes [\[17\]](#page-9-8). This encapsulated species is fascinating because the steric compression within the cavity is severe and the barrier to penetrating intact $C_{20}H_{20}$ must be very high. Nevertheless, investigating encapsulating

Citation: Weinert, C.; Ćoćić, D.; Puchta, R.; van Eldik, R. Selective Noble Gas Inclusion in Pentagon-Dodecahedral X_{20} -Cages. *Molecules* **2023**, *28*, 5676. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28155676) doi.org/10.3390/molecules28155676

Academic Editors: Michael A. Beckett, Mariachiara Pastore, Dipankar Roy and Alessandra Forni

Received: 26 May 2023 Revised: 12 July 2023 Accepted: 14 July 2023 Published: 27 July 2023

Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) $4.0/$).

properties of small species by dodecahedrane molecules (see Scheme [1\)](#page-1-0) remains experimental and still very challenging. properties of small species by addecimental and concentre 1) remains and still very shallonging.

Scheme 1. General structural representation of $X_{20}H_{20}$ and X_{20} investigated hosts.

Therefore, approaching this field by applying computational chemistry can give a metric of this field by applying computational chemistry can give a metric of the met wider picture on the capability and tendency of dodecahedrane molecules as hosts for small
 hydrogen model according to Niels Bohr with one proton (here noble gas atom) in the $\frac{1}{\pi}$ center and a shell around. molecules [\[18,](#page-9-9)[19\]](#page-9-10). The resulting supramolecular structures reminds one of the well-known

In this article, the focus is on investigating the selective encapsulation capabilities of noble gases by molecules of dodecahedrane structures which molecular skeleton is formed by atoms of the group IV (general formula $X_{20}H_{20}$, where X = C, Si, Ge, Sn and Pb) and group V (general formula X_{20} , where X = N, P, As, Sb and Bi) of the periodic table. α (general formula α) of the periodic table.

2. Computational Details

All computational calculations were performed using the ωB97XD [\[20\]](#page-9-11) theory level. Structures of the investigated systems were optimized by applying the def2-svp/[21[,22\]](#page-9-13) svpfit [23] basis set (optimized coordinat[es o](#page-9-14)f the structures reported here are given in Table S1 ESI) with calculations of the vibration frequencies at the same theory level. We selected dispersion corrected DFT to overcome the well-documented shortcomings of MP2 based methods. For obvious reasons the systems were too big for reliable Coupled Cluster calculations. $[21,22]$ $[21,22]$ The obtained structures were characterized as minima, transition states or saddle points of higher order by examining the vibrational frequencies (number of imaginary frequencies for the systems reported in the manuscript are listed in Tables S2–S4 ESI) together with the BSSE energies. Suitability of the used theory level has been reported else-where [\[24–](#page-9-15)[28\]](#page-9-16). For comparison reasons, encapsulation capabilities of cages $Pb_{20}H_{20}$ and Bi_{20} were examined using APFD [\[29\]](#page-9-17) functional and B3LYP [\[30](#page-9-18)[–32\]](#page-9-19) functional in combination $\ddot{\theta}$ with Grimme's dispersion correction with Becke–Johnson Damping [\[33\]](#page-9-20) in both cases with $\frac{1}{2}$ def2-svp/svpfit functional for structure optimization. Afterwards, single point calculations
default and structure optimization. Afterwards, single point calculations at the ω B97XD/def2-svp/svpfit structures were performed at the ω B97XD/def2-tzvp [\[34\]](#page-9-21) tion affinities of the investigated systems, corrected to zero-point vibration energies from was the divergies of the energies of the ener Σ PE(ωB97XD/def2-svp/svpfit). The same procedure was performed in a case of two other to zero-point vibration energies (APFD and B3LYP-GD3BJ). The GAUSSIAN suite of programs was used with the input templates provided in Table S5 ESI [\[35\]](#page-9-22). Non-covalent interactions procedure was performed in a case of two other sample theory levels (NCI) [\[36\]](#page-10-0) taking place between the dodecahedrane cage hosts and the noble gases were investigated using the Multiwfn program [\(http://sobereva.com/multiwfn/](http://sobereva.com/multiwfn/) (accessed on $\frac{1}{20}$ July 2023)) [\[37\]](#page-10-1) at the ω B97XD/def2tzvp theory level. dodecahedrane cage hosts and the noble gases were investigated using the Multiwfn theory level, the energies of which have further been used in discussing the encapsula-

3. Results and Discussion

The investigation of favorable selective complexation properties can be defined by two criteria, viz. comparison of the geometric changes of the host upon encapsulation the guest and an appropriated reaction energy, 23^c [\[26,](#page-9-23)[38](#page-10-2)[,39\]](#page-10-3). For the purpose of monitoring the energy change of an encapsulation process, constructing a model reaction (1) as follows is a most suitable approach, where Ng represents a noble gas and the host is a selected dodecahedrane:

$$
Ng + Host \rightarrow [Ng \subset Host]
$$
 (1)

The results of the computed complexation energies for dodecahedranes of a general formula $X_{20}H_{20}$ are reported in Table [1,](#page-2-0) whereas for dodecahedrane X_{20} in Table [2,](#page-2-1) they are presented with regard to the noble gas radii [\[40\]](#page-10-4).

Table 1. Calculated complexation energies (E_{com}) and the BSSE energies for the $X_{20}H_{20}$ hosts based on reaction (1).

	$Host/E_{com}$ (BSSE) ^{<i>a</i>} [kcal/mol]									
Noble Gas	R JÅ1		Si	Ge.	Sn	Pb				
He	0.31	36.94 (0.54)	0.72(0.37)	$-0.01(0.53)$	$-1.09(0.29)$	$-1.31(0.27)$				
Ne	0.38	103.50 (1.33)	3.31(0.93)	$-0.29(1.24)$	$-3.86(0.72)$	$-4.34(0.65)$				
Ar	0.71	311.71 (1.59)	14.86 (1.07)	7.64 (1.59)	$-5.63(0.66)$	$-7.69(0.59)$				
Кr	0.88	437.35 (1.71)	24.34 (1.14)	14.94 (1.83)	$-6.41(0.63)$	$-9.68(0.51)$				
Хe	1.08	623.12(0.58)	42.88(0.62)	32.43 (1.45)	$-2.20(0.27)$	$-8.65(0.18)$				
Rn	1.20	709.69 (0.70)	49.80 (0.57)	39.28 (1.49)	$-2.34(0.26)$	$-10.55(0.17)$				

^a Ecom: ωB97XD/def2-tzvp//ωB97XD/def2-svp/svpfit + ZPE(ωB97XD/def2-svp/svpfit).

Table 2. Calculated complexation energies (E_{com}) and the BSSE energies for the X_{20} hosts based on reaction (1).

		Host/ E_{com} (BSSE) ^{<i>a</i>} [kcal/mol]									
Noble Gas	R JÅ1	N	P	As	Sb	Bi					
He	0.31	57.18 (1.47)	5.62(0.39)	0.66(0.41)	$-0.57(0.27)$	$-0.73(0.26)$					
Ne	0.38	165.05 (2.47)	12.70 (0.94)	3.34(1.32)	$-1.64(0.66)$	$-2.78(0.63)$					
Ar	0.71	474.46 (2.55)	46.16(1.25)	17.13(2.14)	$-0.76(0.74)$	$-5.24(0.69)$					
Кr	0.88	659.58 (2.77)	72.46 (1.47)	30.57(2.86)	1.36(0.83)	$-6.13(0.69)$					
Хe	1.08	907.21 (1.80)	120.56 (1.06)	59.43 (2.78)	10.22(0.71)	$-2.50(0.60)$					
Rn	1.20	989.41 (1.62)	143.90 (1.05)	73.03 (2.81)	12.90 (0.70)	$-2.72(0.61)$					

^a Ecom: ωB97XD/def2-tzvp//ωB97XD/def2-svp/svpfit + ZPE(ωB97XD/def2-svp/svpfit).

As can be seen in Table [1,](#page-2-0) carbon-based dodecahedranes exhibit very large (unreasonable) amounts of encapsulation energy ranging from 36.94–709.69 kcal/mol. Going down the IV main group along the periodic table, the silicon dodecahedrane cage has a significantly lower encapsulation energy for noble gases, which are linearly increasing with the increasing size of the noble gases radii (Figure [1\)](#page-3-0). The germanium-based dodecahedrane cage has encapsulation energy for He and Ne of \sim 0 kcal/mol, with a further linear increase in the encapsulation energy going from Ar to Rn (from 7.64 kcal/mol to 39.28 kcal/mol, respectively). The tin cage releases energy upon hosting all noble gases, with the largest amount of energy released for hosting Ar and Kr (−5.63 kcal/mol and −6.41 kcal/mol, respectively), where He and Ne can be considered too small and Xe and Rn too large for the selected $Sn_{20}H_{20}$ cavity size. Noble gases with the smaller radii (He and Ne) are rather small for the tin cage cavity, while the ones with the larger radii (Xe and Rn) exhibit lower energy released due to their volume. The lead dodecahedrane cage better accommodates noble gases with larger atomic radii (Figure [1\)](#page-3-0), ranging from −1.31 kcal/mol for He to the largest amount of energy released for Rn (−10.55 kcal/mol).

Figure 1. Calculated complexation energies (E_{com}) of X_{20} and $X_{20}H_{20}$ plotted against the noble **ragare 1:**
gas radii.

If we compute the energies between the energies between the elements of the same periodic theor In Table [2,](#page-2-1) complexation (encapsulation) energies are presented for the dodecahedrane cages based on elements of the V main group of the periodic table. The nitrogen-based cage exhibits very high encapsulation energies, going from 57.18 kcal/mol to 989.41 kcal/mol for the largest noble gas Rn. The phosphorus cage accommodates noble gases with significantly lower encapsulation energies, but still unreasonably large amounts for the noble gases with larger radii (Kr, Xe and Rn). The arsenic-based dodecahedrane cage has encapsulation energy for He of ~0 kcal/mol, with a further linear increase (Figure [1\)](#page-3-0) of the encapsulation $\frac{d}{dt}$ coing from No to Bn (from 2.24 keel (mal to 72.02 keel (mal xecpectively). In the energy going from Ne to Rn (from 3.34 kcal/mol to 73.03 kcal/mol, respectively). In the case ϵ of antimony cage noble gases, He, Ne, Ar and Kr have an error margin of ~0 kcal/mol, while Xe and Rn have encapsulation energies of 10.22 kcal/mol and 12.90 kcal/mol, respectively. The bismuth cage, like the previously described tin cage, releases energy upon hosting all noble gases, with the largest amount of energy released for hosting the noble gases Ar and Kr (−5.24 kcal/mol and −6.13 kcal/mol, respectively). Noble gases with the smaller radii (He and Ne) are rather small for the bismuth cage cavity, while the ones with the larger radii (Xe and Rn) exhibit lower energy released due to their volume.

If we compare the encapsulation energies between the elements of the same period (Figure [1\)](#page-3-0), we can see that in general elements of the IV main group of the periodic table have shown lower encapsulation energies compared to the elements of the V main group. This difference is very small for the noble gases with smaller radii, but this difference

increases with the increasing size of the noble gases' radii. Our suggestion for these phenomena is that the reverse side of a XH-group in $X_{20}H_{20}$ cages has less electron density compared to the reverse side of a X_{20} cages and that larger noble gases can therefore stabilize better. That suggestion can be supported by a color-filled contour line map of charge density for the investigated hosts $X_{20}H_{20}$ $X_{20}H_{20}$ $X_{20}H_{20}$ and X_{20} (Figures 2 and [3\)](#page-4-1). Whereby, examining the cavity for the selected hosts, in a case of $\mathsf{X}_{20}\mathsf{H}_{20}$, there is less electron density inside the cavity in comparison to the X_{20} cages cavities.

Figure 2. Color-filled contour line map along the XY plane of the $X_{20}H_{20}$ cages containing the center of the host molecules (blue line representing the van der Waals surface of the host). of the host molecules (blue line representing the van der Waals surface of the host).

the host molecules (blue line representing the van der Waals surface of the host). **Figure 3.** Color-filled contour line map along the XY plane of the X_{20} cages containing the center of the host molecules (blue line representing the van der Waals surface of the host).

Some optimized host–cage complexes (Ne \subset Si₂₀H₂₀, Pb₂₀H₂₀, Ng \subset Pb₂₀H₂₀, Kr \subset N₂₀, Xe ⊂ N₂₀, Rh ⊂ N₂₀ and Ne ⊂ As₂₀) show a relevant number of imaginary frequencies (Tables S2 and S3 ESI), and thus could not be trusted, while those that mention specific results are still herein reported for continuality reasons, they should be treated with a caution.

Additionally, for reason of comparison, encapsulation capabilities of two selected cages ($Pb_{20}H_{20}$ and Bi_{20}) were tested on two more functionals, with the results presented in Table [3.](#page-5-0) Comparing these values with energies presented in Tables [1](#page-2-0) and [2](#page-2-1) for the same systems there is an obvious difference in quantifying the encapsulation energy depending on the theory level used. While in the case of the smaller noble gases He and Ne, the difference is in the error margin $(\sim 1 \text{ kcal/mol})$; for the Ar noble gas this difference becomes significant, and gradually increases with the increasing of the noble gases' radii. Also notable is that in the case of Bi_{20} , the host ω B97XD functional reproduced a minimum of energies for the noble gases' series (Ar and Kr), this minimum is absent in the case of APFD and B3LYP-GD3BJ functionals, where with the increasing of the noble gases radii we have a gradual increase of the complexation energy release upon encapsulation.

Table 3. Calculated complexation energies (E_{com}) for the $Pb_{20}H_{20}$ and Bi_{20} hosts based on reaction (1) on different theory levels.

	$Host/E_{com}$ [kcal/mol]								
Noble Gas	R [Å]	$Pb_{20}H_{20}$ ^a	Bi_{20} ^a	$Pb_{20}H_{20}$ ^b	Big_{120}				
He	0.31	-1.62	-1.25	-1.09	-0.78				
Ne	0.38	-4.51	-3.51	-3.66	-3.06				
Ar	0.71	-12.34	-10.28	-10.77	-9.01				
Кr	0.88	-16.14	-13.45	-13.65	-11.08				
Xe	1.08	-20.32	-16.46	-16.42	-11.86				
Rn	1.20	-21.52	-17.06	-16.93	-11.35				

^a Ecom: APFD/def2-tzvp//APFD/def2-svp/svpfit + ZPE(APFD/def2-svp/svpfit); *^b* Ecom: B3LYP-GD3BJ/def2 tzvp//B3LYP-GD3BJ/def2-svp/svpfit + ZPE(B3LYP-GD3BJ/def2-svp/svpfit).

Geometric changes upon hosting a guest species can reveal insight into the flexibility of a host and its adaptability to accommodate a noble gas. In the case of the here selected dodecahedrane cages due to their symmetry, we selected two relevant structural parameters to describe their conformational change: bond distance between the atoms that are making a host (*d*; distance between the X adjacent atoms of a representative host) and the distance between one atom of the host to the hosted noble gas (*b*—distance between the X atom of the host and the hosted Ng atom). These geometric properties for the investigated hosts $X_{20}H_{20}$ and X_{20} are summarized in Tables [4](#page-5-1) and [5,](#page-6-0) and are plotted against the noble gas radii in Figure [4,](#page-6-1) respectively.

Table 4. Geometric properties extracted from optimized structures at ωB97XD/def2-SVP/SVPfit theory level for $X_{20}H_{20}$ dodecahedrane cages.

		$X_{20}H_{20}$ Cage										
			C		Si		Ge		Sn		Pb	
Noble Gas	$R[\AA]$	$d[\AA]$	b [Å]	$d[\AA]$	$b[\AA]$	d[A]	$b[\AA]$	$d[\AA]$	$b[\AA]$	$d[\AA]$	$b[\AA]$	
He	0.31	1.56	2.18	2.37	3.32	2.45	3.44	2.81	3.93	2.93	4.11	
Ne	0.38	1.57	2.20	2.37	3.32	2.45	3.44	2.80	3.93	2.93	4.11	
Ar	0.71	1.61	2.26	2.38	3.33	2.46	3.45	2.81	3.94	2.94	4.11	
Kr	0.88	1.63	2.29	2.39	3.34	2.47	3.46	2.81	3.94	2.94	4.12	
Xe	1.08	1.66	2.33	2.40	3.36	2.48	3.47	2.82	3.95	2.95	4.13	
Rn	1.20	1.68	2.35	2.40	3.36	2.49	3.48	2.83	3.96	2.95	4.14	
Empty host		1.55		2.37		2.45		2.81		2.93		

						X_{20} Cage					
Noble Gas	$\mathbf N$				${\bf P}$		As		Sb	Bi	
	$R[\AA]$	$d[\AA]$	b [Å]	$d[\AA]$	b [Å]	$d[\AA]$	b [Å]	$d[\AA]$	$b[\AA]$	$d[\AA]$	$b[\AA]$
He	0.31	1.47	2.07	2.26	3.16	2.46	3.44	2.84	3.98	2.99	4.18
$\rm Ne$	0.38	1.50	2.11	2.26	3.17	2.46	3.45	2.84	3.98	2.99	4.18
$\rm Ar$	0.71	1.58	2.21	2.28	3.19	2.47	3.46	2.84	3.99	2.98	4.18
Kr	0.88	1.62	2.27	2.29	3.21	2.48	3.48	2.85	3.99	2.99	4.19
Xe	1.08	1.66	2.33	2.31	3.23	2.49	3.50	2.86	4.01	2.99	4.20
Rn	1.20	1.68	2.35	2.32	3.25	2.50	3.51	2.86	4.01	3.00	4.21
Empty host		1.47		2.25		2.46		2.84		2.99	
3.5						4.5					
3.0						4.0					
2.5						3.5	A	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\overset{\blacktriangle}{\bullet}$	$\overset{\blacktriangle}{\bullet}$	
			$\hat{\bullet}$	$\frac{1}{4}$ ٠							
d [A]	He		Кr	Rn Xe	$\frac{2}{9}$ 3.0	He	Ar	Кr	Xe	Rn	
2.0	Ne	Ar				Ne 2.5					
		п	п					a.		×	
1.5						2.0					
		\blacksquare C ₂₀ H ₂₀ \lozenge Si ₂₀ H ₂₀ \triangleq Ge ₂₀ H ₂₀ - Sn ₂₀ H ₂₀ \lozenge Pb ₂₀ H ₂₀					$C_{20}H_{20}$ * Si ₂₀ H ₂₀ \triangleq Ge ₂₀ H ₂₀ - Sn ₂₀ H ₂₀ \bullet Pb ₂₀ H ₂₀				
1.0 0.20	0.40	0.60	0.80	1.00 1.20	1.40	1.5 0.20 0.40	0.60	0.80	1.00	1.20	1.40
			noble gases radii [A]					noble gases radii [A]			
3.5						4.5					
3.0						4.0					
						3.5		\blacktriangle			
2.5			▲	▲ ▲							
ξ					$\Xi_{3.0}$						
ರ 2.0	He		Кr	Rn Xe	ء	He	Ar	Кr	Xe	Rn	
	Ne	Ar				2.5 Ne					
1.5			п	П				٦			
	$\blacksquare\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{20}}$	$\cdot P_{20}$	$-$ Sb ₂₀ A As ₂₀	\bullet Bi ₂₀		2.0	N_{20} \bullet P_{20}	A As ₂₀	$-$ Sb ₂₀	\bullet Bi ₂₀	
1.0						1.5					

Table 5. Geometric properties extracted from optimized structures at ωB97XD/def2-SVP/SVPfit theory level for X_{20} dodecahedrane cages.

Figure 4. Calculated (ω B97XD/def2-SVP/SVPfit) geometric properties (d and b) in [Ng \subset Host] plexes plotted against the noble gas radii. complexes plotted against the noble gas radii.

The results summarized in Tables 4 and 5 and displayed in Figure 4 show very high rigidity for all investigated dodecahedrane hosts upon encapsulating noble gases. Basically, there is no adjustment of the host to the size (radii) of a guest noble gas, even if the noble
consider the size is sight for a guitar filter decleated was associated which as the other hand is reflected in very large complexation energies (as, for example, in the case of $C_{20}H_{20}$ and N₂₀, where we have E_{com} up to 709.69 kcal/mol or 989.41 kcal/mol for the Rn). gas with its size is right for a certain cavity of the dodecahedrane cage or not, which on the

A common practice for examining non-covalent interactions (NCIs) is based on the electron density (*ρ*), the reduced gradient of the density, and the Laplacian of the density $(\nabla^2 \rho)$ [28]. This approach enables the identification of the interactions in real space, and thus the graphical visualization of regions in which non-covalent interactions occur $[40,41]$. The regions of dispersion–interaction in which non-covalent interactions occur are displayed in Figures [5](#page-7-0) and S1–S9 (Supplementary Materials).

Figure 5. Structure of Bi₂₀ with displayed non-covalent interactions according to the color bar \int (isoval = 0.35). **Top** row: Kr and He. **Bottom** row: Ne, Ar, Xe and Rn.

The large spatial interaction zones are in agreement with the complexation energies The large spatial interaction zones are in agreement with the complexation energies sho[w](#page-2-0)n in Tables 1 and [2,](#page-2-1) according to which the non-covalent interactions control the bilization of the host–guest complex. The main stabilization energies are predominantly stabilization of the host–guest complex. The main stabilization energies are predominantly van der Waals by origin, while there is a strong repulsion in cases where the noble gases van der Waals by origin, while there is a strong repulsion in cases where the noble gases are too large for a cavity of the selected dodecahedrane cage. are too large for a cavity of the selected dodecahedrane cage.

4. Conclusions 4. Conclusions

Based on encapsulation energies gained by applying a constructed model reaction, Based on encapsulation energies gained by applying a constructed model reaction, by monitoring geometrical changes and investigating the non-covalent interactions between the studied hosts and noble gases, the size of the host plays a decisive role in the selective encapsulation of the noble gas guests. All the selected cages have proven to be very rigid with no room for adjustability upon hosting a guest species, which is reflected in energy extremes depending on the noble gas radii. From dodecahedrane cages based on the IV group of the periodic table, the $Sn_{20}H_{20}$ cage can best accommodate noble gases with a medium size radius (Ar and Kr), while the $Pb_{20}H_{20}$ dodecahedrane host is best suited for noble gases with larger radii (Xe and Rn). On the other hand, from the elements of the V main group of the periodic table, the Bi_{20} cage has shown the best results to selectively encapsulate Ar and Kr with the amount of energy released, −5.24 kcal/mol and −6.13 kcal/mol, respectively.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: [https://www.](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules28155676/s1) [mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules28155676/s1,](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules28155676/s1) Figure S1. Structure of $C_{20}H_{20}$ with displayed noncovalent interactions according to the color bar (isoval = 0.18). Top row: He and Rn. Bottom row: Ne, Ar, Kr and Xe; Figure S2. Structure of Si20H20 with displayed non-covalent interactions according to the color bar (isoval = 0.22). Top row: He and Rn. Bottom row: Ne, Ar, Kr and Xe; Figure S3. Structure of $Ge_{20}H_{20}$ with displayed non-covalent interactions according to the color bar (isoval = 0.3). Top row: Ne and Rn. Bottom row: He, Ar, Kr and Xe; Figure S4. Structure of $Sn₂₀H₂₀$ with displayed noncovalent interactions according to the color bar (isoval = 0.35). Top row: Kr and He. Bottom row: Ne, Ar, Xe and Rn; Figure S5. Structure of $Pb_{20}H_{20}$ with displayed non-covalent interactions according to the color bar (isoval = 0.35). Top row: Rn and He. Bottom row: Ne, Ar, Kr and Xe; Figure S6. Structure of N_{20} with displayed non-covalent interactions according to the color bar (isoval = 0.25). Top row: He and Rn. Bottom row: Ne, Ar, Kr and Xe; Figure S7. Structure of P_{20} with displayed non-covalent interactions according to the color bar (isoval = 0.3). Top row: He and Rn. Bottom row: Ne, Ar, Kr and Xe; Figure S8. Structure of $As₂₀$ with displayed non-covalent interactions according to the color bar (isoval = 0.35). Top row: He and Rn. Bottom row: Ne, Ar, Kr and Xe; Figure S9. Structure of Sb_{20} with displayed non-covalent interactions according to the color bar (isoval = 0.37). Top row: Ne and Rn. Bottom row: He, Ar, Kr and Xe; Table S1. Optimized (ωB97XD/def2-svp/svpfit) XYZ coordinates of the structures reported in the manuscript; Table S2. Number of imaginary frequencies calculated at the ωB97XD/def2-svp/svpfit theory level for $X_{20}H_{20}$ host; Table S3. Number of imaginary frequencies calculated at the ω B97XD/def2-svp/svpfit theory level for X_{20} host; Table S4. Number of imaginary frequencies calculated on different theory levels for the $Pb_{20}H_{20}$ and Bi_{20} hosts; Table S5. Gaussian keywords input templates for the performed calculations.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.C., C.W. and R.P.; method selection, D.C. and R.P.; validation, C.W., D.C. and R.P.; formal analysis, C.W.; investigation, C.W.; data curation, C.W.; ´ writing—original draft preparation, D.C.; writing—review and editing, R.P. and R.v.E.; visualization, C.W.; supervision, R.v.E.; project administration, R.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia grant number No. 451-03-47/2023-01/200122.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data relevant for this study are provided in the SI or can be obtained from the authors upon request.

Acknowledgments: We acknowledge financial support by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg within the funding programme "Open Access Publication Funding". We thank Johannes Endres, TH Nuremberg for technical support, Tim Clark and Petra Imhof for hosting this work at the CCC and the Regionales Rechenzentrum Erlangen (RRZE) for a generous allotment of computer time.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Kroto, H.W.; Heath, J.R.; O'Brien, S.C.; Curl, R.F.; Smalley, R.E. C60: Buckminsterfullerene. *Nature* **1985**, *318*, 162–163. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/318162a0)
- 2. Ternansky, R.J.; Balogh, D.W.; Paquette, L.A. Dodecahedrane. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 4503–4504. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00380a040)
- 3. Paquette, L.A.; Ternansky, R.J.; Balogh, D.W.; Kentgen, G. Total synthesis of dodecahedrane. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 5446–5450. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00354a043)
- 4. Gallucci, J.C.; Taylor, R.T.; Kobayashi, T.; Weber, J.C.; Krause, J.; Paquette, L.A. X-ray crystallographic analysis of the structural distortions induced by substitution and annulation of the dodecahedrane nucleus. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C Cryst. Struct. Commun.* **1989**, *45*, 893–898. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0108270188013721)
- 5. Strout, D.L.J. Why Isn't the N20 Dodecahedron Ideal for Three-Coordinate Nitrogen? *Phys. Chem. A* **2005**, *109*, 1478–1480. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp045316y)
- 6. Wang, Y.; Xu, J.; Cao, Z.; Zhang, Q.J. Spherical double electric layer structure and unprecedented high stability of the $P_{20}O_{20}$ cage and its anionic endohedral complex Na-@ P20O20. *Phys. Chem. B* **2004**, *108*, 4579–4581. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp049431k)
- 7. Baruah, T.; Zope, R.R.; Richardson, S.L.; Pederson, M.R. Electronic structure and rebonding in the onionlike As@Ni₁₂@As₂₀ cluster. *Phys. Rev. B* **2003**, *68*, 241404. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.241404)
- 8. Wang, Y.; Moses-DeBusk, M.; Stevens, L.; Hu, J.; Zavalij, P.; Bowen, K.; Dunlap, B.I.; Glaser, E.R.; Eichhorn, B.J. Sb@Ni₁₂@Sb₂₀^{−/+} and Sb@Pd₁₂@Sb₂₀ⁿ cluster anions, where n = +1,− 1,− 3,− 4: Multi-oxidation-state clusters of interpenetrating platonic solids. *J*. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 619–622. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b12109)
- 9. Zdetsis, A.D.J. Theoretical predictions of a new family of stable bismuth and other group 15 fullerenes. *Phys. Chem. C* **2010**, *114*, 10775–10781. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp103179z)
- 10. Alsbaiee, A.; Smith, B.J.; Xiao, L.; Ling, Y.; Helbling, D.E.; Dichtel, W.R. Rapid removal of organic micropollutants from water by a porous β-cyclodextrin polymer. *Nature* **2016**, *529*, 190–194. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16185)
- 11. Chaix, A.; Mouchaham, G.; Shkurenko, A.; Hoang, P.; Moosa, B.; Bhatt, P.M.; Adil, K.; Salama, K.N.; Eddaoudi, M.; Khashab, N.M.J. Trianglamine-based supramolecular organic framework with permanent intrinsic porosity and tunable selectivity. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 14571–14575. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b08770)
- 12. Yang, W.; Greenaway, A.; Lin, X.; Matsuda, R.; Blake, A.J.; Wilson, C.; Lewis, W.; Hubberstey, P.; Kitagawa, S.; Champness, N.R.; et al. Exceptional thermal stability in a supramolecular organic framework: Porosity and gas storage. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 14457–14469. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja1042935)
- 13. Yang, J.-M.; Yu, Y.; Rebek, J.J. Selective macrocycle formation in cavitands. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 2190–2193. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.0c12302)
- 14. Li, F.; Yang, H.; Zhuo, Q.; Zhou, D.; Wu, X.; Zhang, P.; Yao, Z.; Sun, L. A cobalt@ cucurbit [5] uril complex as a highly efficient supramolecular catalyst for electrochemical and photoelectrochemical water splitting. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2021**, *60*, 1976–1985. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202011069) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33051952)
- 15. Hettiarachchi, G.; Nguyen, D.; Wu, J.; Lucas, D.; Ma, D.; Isaacs, L.; Briken, V. Toxicology and drug delivery by cucurbit [n] uril type molecular containers. *PLoS ONE* **2010**, *5*, e10514. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010514)
- 16. Cross, R.J.; Saunders, M.; Prinzbach, H. Putting helium inside dodecahedrane. *Org. Lett.* **1999**, *1*, 1479–1481. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/ol991037v)
- 17. Saunders, M.; Cross, R.J.; Jiménez-Vázquez, H.A.; Shimshi, R.; Khong, A. Noble gas atoms inside fullerenes. *Science* **1996**, *271*, 1693–1697. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.271.5256.1693)
- 18. Jimenez-Vazquez, H.A.; Tamariz, J. Binding energy in and equilibrium constant of formation for the dodecahedrane compounds He@C20H²⁰ and Ne@C20H20. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2001**, *105*, 1315–1319. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp0027243)
- 19. Puchta, R.; Walther, D.; März, M.; Begel, S.; van Eldik, R.Z. Host-Guest Complexes of Dodeka (ethylene) octamine: Prediction of Ion Selectivity by Quantum Chemical Calculations IX. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2019**, *645*, 701–705. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/zaac.201800448)
- 20. Chai, J.-D.; Head-Gordon, M. Long-range corrected hybrid density functionals with damped atom–atom dispersion corrections. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *10*, 6615–6620. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/b810189b)
- 21. Řezáč, J.; Greenwell, C.; Beran, G.J.O. Accurate noncovalent interactions via dispersion-corrected second-order Møller–Plesset perturbation theory. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2018**, *14*, 4711–4721. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.8b00548)
- 22. Jan Řezáč, J.; Hobza, P. Benchmark calculations of interaction energies in noncovalent complexes and their applications. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 5038–5071. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00526)
- 23. Weigend, F.; Ahlrichs, R. Balanced basis sets of split valence, triple zeta valence and quadruple zeta valence quality for H to Rn: Design and assessment of accuracy. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *7*, 3297–3305. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/b508541a)
- 24. Eichkorn, K.; Treutler, O.; Ohm, H.; Haser, M.; Ahlrichs, R. Auxiliary basis sets to approximate Coulomb potentials. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1995**, *240*, 283–290. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(95)00621-A)
- 25. Eichkorn, K.; Weigend, F.; Treutler, O.; Ahlrichs, R. Auxiliary basis sets for main row atoms and transition metals and their use to approximate Coulomb potentials. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **1997**, *97*, 119–124. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002140050244)
- 26. Biswas, B.; Singh, P.C. Effect of hydration on the organo-noble gas molecule HKrCCH: Role of krypton in the stabilization of hydrated HKrCCH complexes. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *17*, 30632–30641. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP04744G)
- 27. Wu, L.-Y.; Li, J.-F.; Zhao, R.-F.; Luo, L.; Wang, Y.-C.; Yin, B. Exploring the structure, bonding and stability of noble gas compounds promoted by superhalogens. A case study on HNgMX 3 (Ng = Ar–Rn, M = Be–Ca, X = F–Br) via combined high-level ab initio and DFT calculations. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *21*, 19104–19114. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9CP03788H) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31432847)
- 28. Ćoćić, D.; Puchta, R.; van Eldik, R. Noble guests in organic cages—Encapsulation of noble gases by cryptophane. *J. Coord. Chem.* **2020**, *73*, 2602–2613. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2020.1820494)
- 29. Austin, A.; Petersson, G.; Frisch, M.J.; Dobek, F.J.; Scalmani, G.; Throssell, K. A density functional with spherical atom dispersion terms. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2012**, *8*, 4989–5007. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/ct300778e)
- 30. Becke, A.D. Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 5648–5652. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.464913)
- 31. Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R.G. Development of the Colle-Salvetti Correlation-Energy Formula into a Functional of the Electron Density. *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 785–789. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.37.785) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9944570)
- 32. Stephens, P.J.; Devlin, F.J.; Chabalowski, C.F.; Frisch, M.J. Ab Initio Calculation of Vibrational Absorption and Circular Dichroism Spectra Using Density Functional Force Fields. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1994**, *98*, 11623–11629. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/j100096a001)
- 33. Grimme, S.; Ehrlich, S.; Goerigk, L. Effect of the damping function in dispersion corrected density functional theory. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2011**, *32*, 1456–1465. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21759)
- 34. Weigend, F.; Haser, M.; Patzelt, H.; Anlrichs, R. RI-MP2: Optimized auxiliary basis sets and demonstration of efficiency. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1998**, *294*, 143–152. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(98)00862-8)
- 35. Frisch, M.J.; Trucks, G.W.; Schlegel, H.B.; Scuseria, G.E.; Robb, M.A.; Cheeseman, J.R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G.A.; et al. *Gaussian 09*, Revision C.01; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, USA, 2010.
- 36. Johnson, E.R.; Keinan, S.; Mori− Sánchez, P.; Contreras−García, J.; Cohen, A.J.; Yang, W. Revealing noncovalent interactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 6498–6506. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja100936w)
- 37. Tian, L.; Feiwu, C.J. Multiwfn: A multifunctional wavefunction analyzer. *Comput. Chem.* **2012**, *33*, 580–592.
- 38. Puchta, R.; Cocic, D.; Michel, M.; van Eldik, R. Host-guest complexes of the Beer-Can-cryptand: Prediction of ion selectivity by quantum chemical calculations XI. *J. Coord. Chem.* **2019**, *72*, 2106–2114. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2019.1636975)
- 39. Puchta, R.; Begel, S.; van Eldik, R. Prediction of ion selectivity by quantum chemical calculations X: A recent (personal) review. *Adv. Inorg. Chem.* **2019**, *73*, 445–505.
- 40. Aylward, G.H.; Findlay, T.J.V. *Datensammlung Chemie in SI-Einheiten*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1986.
- 41. Dubost, E.; Dognon, J.-P.; Rousseau, B.; Milanole, G.; Dugave, C.; Boulard, Y.; Leonce, E.; Boutin, C.; Berthault, P. Understanding a Host–Guest Model System through 129Xe NMR Spectroscopic Experiments and Theoretical Studies. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2014**, *53*, 9837–9840. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201405349) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25048162)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.