

Article **Catalytic Gasification of Petroleum Coke with Different Ratios of K2CO³ and Evolution of the Residual Coke Structure**

Man Zhang ¹ , Hongyu Ban ² , Zhiqing Wang 3,*, Xinning Xiang ⁴ , Xiaolei Wang ⁴ and Qian Zhang ⁴

- ¹ School of Chemical Safety, North China Institute of Science and Technology, Langfang 065201, China; zhang2597097@126.com
- ² The System Design Institute of Mechanical-Electrical Engineering, Beijing 100854, China; zhaoxiaoyu_8422@163.com
- ³ State Key Laboratory of Coal Conversion, Institute of Coal Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Taiyuan 030001, China
- ⁴ State Key Laboratory of Clean and Efficient Coal Utilization, Taiyuan University of Technology, Taiyuan 030024, China; xiangxinning1017@163.com (X.X.); w19581599650@163.com (X.W.); zhangqian01@tyut.edu.cn (Q.Z.)
- ***** Correspondence: qcumt@sxicc.ac.cn

Abstract: The catalytic gasification of petroleum coke with different ratios of K_2CO_3 was investigated by a thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA) using the non-isothermal method. The initial, peak, and final gasification temperatures of the petroleum coke decreased greatly as the amount of $\rm K_2CO_3$ increased, and the catalytic reaction became saturated at a concentration of K^+ higher than 5 mmol/g; with the further increase in catalyst; the gasification rate varied slightly, but no inhibition effect was observed. The vaporization of the catalyst was confirmed during the gasification at high temperatures. The structural evolution of the residual coke with different carbon conversions was examined by X-ray diffraction (XRD), Raman spectroscopy, and N_2 adsorption analyses during gasification with and without the catalyst. The results showed that the carbon crystallite structure of the residual coke varied in the presence of the catalyst. As the carbon conversion increased, the structure of the residual coke without the catalyst became more ordered, and the number of aromatic rings decreased, while the graphitization degree of the residual coke in the presence of the catalyst decreased. Meanwhile, the surface area and pore volume of petroleum coke increased in the gasification process of the residual coke, irrespective of the presence of the catalyst. However, the reactivity of the residual coke did not change much with the variation in the carbon and pore structure during the reaction.

Keywords: petroleum coke; catalytic gasification; K₂CO₃; char structure; evolution

1. Introduction

As the upgrade of heavy petroleum has expanded, together with a gradual growth in oil demand, the amount of petroleum coke produced in the petroleum refining process has increased rapidly [\[1,](#page-12-0)[2\]](#page-12-1). Due to its high content of sulfur and transition metals such as V and Ni, petroleum coke is not a good fuel for direct combustion [\[3\]](#page-12-2). Compared with combustion, the gasification of petroleum coke can produce syngas that could be used for the synthesis of chemical products or H_2 [\[4\]](#page-12-3). However, the high graphitization degree and undeveloped pore structure caused a relatively low gasification reactivity of the petroleum coke [\[1,](#page-12-0)[3\]](#page-12-2). Increasing the reaction temperature could promote the gasification of petroleum coke, but these severe reaction conditions increase the energy consumption and the cost of the process. As reported, the addition of alkali or alkaline earth metallic species, such as K_2CO_3 , $Na₂CO₃$, KOH, NaOH, and Ca(OH)₂, could greatly improve the gasification reactivity and reduce the gasification reaction temperature by decreasing the oxygen demand and greatly enhancing the thermodynamic efficiency [\[5\]](#page-12-4). Accordingly, potassium carbonate (K_2CO_3) is the most preferable catalyst, which can significantly improve the gasification reaction at

Citation: Zhang, M.; Ban, H.; Wang, Z.; Xiang, X.; Wang, X.; Zhang, Q. Catalytic Gasification of Petroleum Coke with Different Ratios of K_2CO_3 and Evolution of the Residual Coke Structure. *Molecules* **2023**, *28*, 6779. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28196779) [molecules28196779](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28196779)

Academic Editor: Ioannis V. Yentekakis

Received: 17 August 2023 Revised: 20 September 2023 Accepted: 21 September 2023 Published: 23 September 2023

Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) $4.0/$).

low temperatures [\[5](#page-12-4)[,6\]](#page-12-5). In recent years, the catalytic gasification of coal, biomass, or other carbonaceous materials has been widely investigated [\[1](#page-12-0)[,5,](#page-12-4)[6\]](#page-12-5). However, it was found that during gasification in the presence of a catalyst, the alumina and silica species contained in coal or biomass ash will react with the alkali or alkaline earth metallic species, forming inactive aluminosilicate minerals that cause the deactivation of the catalyst [\[7\]](#page-12-6). Unlike coal or biomass, petroleum coke contains quite limited amounts of Si or Al minerals; thus, catalytic gasification could be an optimal method for its utilization.

The catalytic effect of K_2CO_3 during the catalytic gasification of petroleum coke depends on many factors, including the amount of catalyst that is added and the reaction temperature [\[5,](#page-12-4)[8\]](#page-12-7). Though a high temperature would promote the endothermic gasification reaction and increase the mobility of K_2CO_3 , leading to its good dispersion in the sample and increasing the catalytic effect, the primary purpose of catalytic gasification is to improve the gasification efficiency while lowering the operating temperature. Moreover, potassium might vaporize at very high temperatures, which would reduce the catalytic effect [\[7](#page-12-6)[,9\]](#page-12-8). Thus, increasing the catalyst amount is believed to be a better way to improve the gasification reactivity as it would provide more active intermediates on the carbon surface. Some researchers reported that during catalytic gasification, the catalyst saturation level could be reached with the additional amount increase, above which a decrease in the gasification rate is observed [\[7\]](#page-12-6). An excess of catalyst blocks the pores in the carbon sample, restricting access to the gasifying agent [\[6,](#page-12-5)[8\]](#page-12-7), so it is important to determine the catalyst saturation level. In addition, the mixing procedure used to combine the catalyst and the petroleum coke is also important, as it affects the dispersion of the catalyst in the coke and their degree of contact. Ion exchange and impregnation are considered better mixing methods than physical mixing [\[10\]](#page-12-9), but physical mixing is more cost-effective and is easier to implement in large-scale experiments. In addition, some researchers reported that achieving a homogeneous catalyst dispersion is not important when using potassium (K), as this catalyst is mobile at high temperatures in the presence of carbon [\[11\]](#page-12-10). Therefore, physical mixing was used in this study.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) is widely used for studying the gasification behavior of materials as it is very sensitive and can be performed in well-controlled experimental conditions. It can be conducted in isothermal and non-isothermal modes [\[12\]](#page-12-11). In the isothermal mode, sample gasification is performed at a given temperature, testing several temperatures to precisely define the kinetic characteristics of the process. In contrast, non-isothermal gasification is conducted by varying the temperature during the process according to a preset program. The non-isothermal method is simpler than the isothermal one; it would not cause huge changes in the chemical and physical properties of the tested sample during the heating stage and could provide more information through fewer experiments [\[12\]](#page-12-11). In particular, during catalytic gasification, a non-isothermal analysis could better evaluate the catalytic behavior of the examined sample by a series of parameters such as the initial, peak, and final temperatures. For these reasons, non-isothermal gasification was employed in this study. As most reported gasification experiments were performed using the isothermal procedure, it is still uncertain whether the catalytic saturation or the inhibition effect would occur in non-isothermal conditions. Meanwhile, in the nonisothermal mode, changes in the carbon structure of the residual coke can occur for several reasons, such as a partial consumption of coke, an increase in the reaction temperature, and the interactions between the carbon and the catalyst; all these phenomena will affect the reactivity of the residual coke and, finally, the efficiency of the process; thus, the variation in the residual coke also needs to be considered.

This study aimed to evaluate the catalytic gasification behavior of petroleum coke with a wide range of K_2CO_3 -adding concentrations. The catalytic gasification performance under different catalyst concentrations, the catalyst saturation level, and the vaporization of the catalyst were examined and discussed. Then, the detailed characteristics and reactivity of residual coke samples with different carbon conversions obtained from gasification with or without catalysts were analyzed and discussed.

2. Results and Discussion **by the release of release of** \mathbb{R}^n

2.1. Catalytic Gasification Reactivity of Petroleum Coke **contained in Australian Contained**

Figu[re](#page-2-0) 1 shows the mass loss and the mass loss rate curves of the $CO₂$ gasification of ZH petroleum coke with different K_2CO_3 amo[un](#page-2-0)ts. In Figure 1, the mass loss observed at low temperatures (less than 700 °C) was mainly caused by the release of residual volatiles contained in ZH; as the temperature increased, CO_2 gasification started, and the rate of mass loss increased quickly. For most of the samples, a single peak is present in the mass loss rate curves, after which the mass loss rate decreases until the end of the reaction. The ross rate curves, and which the mass loss rate decreases and the end of the reaction. The characteristic parameters of the initial, peak, and final reaction temperatures are listed in Table [1.](#page-3-0) The addition of K_2CO_3 obviously decreased the characteristic temperatures of the gasification reaction. With the increase in K_2CO_3 loading (corresponding to an amount of K^+ lower than 3 mmol), the mass loss curve shifted to a lower-temperature region, and the peak temperature required to reach the maximum reaction rate also decreased significantly. At the temperature of 1000 °C, the mass loss of ZH without the catalyst was less than 20%, while in the presence of a catalyst amount higher than 1 mmol K^+ , it increased to 99%,
inclining the poset of the potassium radius during GO and the the coldition of K GO indicating that most of the carbon had reacted with CO_2 and that the addition of K_2CO_3 $\frac{d}{dx}$ is a model of the carbon had reacted with $\frac{d}{dx}$ and that the determined $\frac{d}{dx}$ and $\frac{d}{dx}$. increase, the potassium rapidly diffused to the coke surface, promoting the formation of pores or channels that increased the exposur[e o](#page-12-13)f the carbon atoms [13,14]. On the other hand, K_2CO_3 reacted with coke, form[in](#page-12-5)g -COK or -CK surface in[ter](#page-12-14)mediates [6,15], which could easily acquire oxygen from CO_2 and transfer it to the surface of the carbon to form CO. With the catalyst concentration increase, the amounts of active intermediates and pores or channels on the carbon surface increased, thus enhancing the gasification reactivity.

Figure 1. Gasification of petroleum coke in the presence of different amounts of K_2CO_3 : (a) mass variation as a function of the temperature; (**b**) mass loss rate variation as a function of the temperature.

peak temperature still decreased gradually, their reduction was obviously more limited, as
played in Figure 1 and Table 1. Magazeement with K+ and waters a high sultan F annul the reduction in the initial temperature was quite small, indicating that the catalytic effect had come to a saturation [\[5,](#page-12-4)11]. This might be attributed to the excessive catalyst deposition on the surface of petroleum coke, which prevented the formation of active intermediates and the increase in the gasification reaction. However, in contrast to the catalytic gasification of coal or biomass reported, no inhibition effect was observed on the catalytic gasification of ZH. Even for the sample with 14 mmol K, in which the mass percentage of K_2CO_3 in and conce was 49.14%, the gashied for early was sun very similar to that or the 5 minor R sample. The main reason might be that in non-isothermal mode, the initial temperature was much lower than the melting point of K_2CO_3 (891 °C); thus, the carbon pores on the As the catalyst amount further increased (above 3 mmol of K^+), though the initial and shown in Figure [1](#page-2-0) and Table [1.](#page-3-0) Moreover, when the K^+ amount was higher than 5 mmol, the the coke was 49.14%, the gasification curve was still very similar to that of the 5 mmol K coke surface were not blocked, allowing the gasifying agent to enter the pore structure of

petroleum coke and preventing an increase in the diffusion resistance. The second reason might be that the quantity of minerals contained in petroleum coke was much lower than that present in coal or biomass. In fact, limited levels of Si or Al present avoided the catalyst deactivation by the formation of inactive aluminosilicate minerals during the reaction [\[7\]](#page-12-6). Moreover, the results obtained at the initial temperature of 700 \degree C, which is much lower than the melting point of K_2CO_3 (891 °C), suggested that melting was not essential for the catalytic effect to occur.

	Temperature $(^{\circ}C)$				
Sample	T_i	T_m	T_f		
Without catalyst	930	1163	1250		
With 0.1 mmol K	940	1136	1213		
With 0.5 mmol K	892	1117	1199		
With 1 mmol K	773	945	988		
With 2 mmol K	739	918	958		
With 3 mmol K	717	852	966		
With 5 mmol K	702	830	931		
With 10 mmol K	700	809	905		
With 12 mmol K	700	805	908		
With 14 mmol K	700	812	894		

Table 1. The characteristic temperature of gasification of petroleum coke with different K_2CO_3 amounts.

 T_i , initial temperature. T_m , peak temperature. T_f , final temperature.

From the mass loss curves shown in Figure [1,](#page-2-0) it also appears that the final masses of the samples were much lower than the initial blending mass of K_2CO_3 . This indicated that some of the catalysts vaporized or decomposed during the reaction at high temperatures. For the samples with a K^+ quantity lower than 3 mmol, the mass loss and mass loss rate curve did not allow for the distinction between carbon gasification and catalyst vaporization. In contrast, for the samples with an amount of K^+ higher than 5 mmol, besides the obvious mass loss, a second small peak was observed, which appeared at temperatures higher than 950 \degree C and was probably caused by the vaporization of potassium. As reported, at high temperatures above 750 °C, incongruent vaporization of K_2CO_3 could be observed, and the vaporization was affected by the presence of residual carbon and the vapor pressure of $CO₂$ [\[10\]](#page-12-9). Usually, the vaporization of the catalyst is not considered convenient, as it is associated with a decreased catalytic effect and a loss of the catalyst [\[11\]](#page-12-10). From our point of view, if the vaporized potassium could be recovered by applying different temperature stages in the reactor [\[16\]](#page-12-15), then the catalyst could be recycled.

Based on the above analyses, in the catalytic gasification in non-isothermal mode, the coke reacted with the gasifying agent as the temperature increased, and the catalyst increased the gasification rate by facilitating oxygen transfer cycles. The residual carbon structure of coke could be affected by the temperature, the gasification reaction, and the catalyst; how the carbon structure changes during the gasification and whether it will affect the reactivity of the residual coke is still unclear. Therefore, the detailed characteristics and reactivity of the residual coke with different carbon conversions were analyzed.

2.2. XRD Analysis of the Residual Coke

The XRD spectra of the petroleum coke without the catalyst and that with 5 mmol of K⁺ for different carbon conversion values are shown in Figure [2a](#page-4-0),b, respectively. The preparation procedure of the residual coke is illustrated in Section [3.3,](#page-10-0) and the residual coke obtained was named according to its carbon conversion value. Two typical peaks, denoted as 002 band (diffraction angle at approximately 25°) and 100 band (at approximately 43°), appeared. The 002 band could be attributed to the content of crystalline carbon, while the 100 band could be attributed to the degree of condensation of aromatic rings in the samples. The amorphous and microcrystalline structures in the samples were the main features responsible for the production of background intensities and areas in the diffraction peaks [\[17,](#page-12-16)[18\]](#page-12-17). As shown in Figure 2a, with the gradual increase in carbon conversion, the intensity of the 002 band of the residual coke increased significantly, and the diffraction peak narrowed. B[y c](#page-4-0)ontrast, as shown in Figure 2b, the 002 band of the residual coke obtained with 5 mmol of K^+ became smaller and widened, indicating that the graphitization structure was gradually consumed during the catalytic gasification process.

Figure 2. XRD spectra of residual coke with different carbon conversions. **Figure 2.** XRD spectra of residual coke with different carbon conversions.

To further analyze the structural features, the 002 band and the 100 band were further curve-fitted, and the crystallite size, including the stacking height (Lc), the interplanar spacing (d₀₀₂), the crystalline diameter (L_a), and so on [\[14\]](#page-12-13), was calculated, as shown in Table 2. The trends of the variation in L_a and L_c with different carbon conversions are shown in Figure 3. Compared with the residual coke without the catalyst, the value of L_c decreased from 13.72 Å to 9.70 Å (Table [2\)](#page-5-0) during catalytic gasification, implying that more active carbon or small-aromatic-ring systems were generated. The potassium catalyst
which is a second that small-line atmosfers of the mai had sale to be seen were alised used addriven caused the crystalline structure or the residual cove to second introductional and reduced the graphitization degree. In other words, as the reaction proceeded, the catalyst restrained the rearrangement of the graphene layers, enhancing the interfacial defects between adjacent basic structural units. The catalyst could be inserted into the edge of the aromatic rings, resulting in internal lattice defects [19,20]. However, for the residual coke without the catalyst, the L_a value increased, indicating that the growth of crystallites was promoted as the carbon conversion and the temperature increased [\[17\]](#page-12-16). Therefore, it
was lattice as the total that in the case is the way localization converse the care who we scheme and microcrystalline structure of the residual coke samples underwent different changes depending on the addition of the catalyst. In addition, as the graphitization degree of carbon is always inversely proportional to coke reactivity, the catalyst addition might improve the reactivity of the residual coke by reducing the graphitization degree. To further analyze the structural features, the 002 band and the 100 band were further addition caused the crystalline structure of the residual coke to become more disordered could be concluded that in the non-isothermal gasification process, the amorphous carbon

ferent changes depending on the addition of the catalyst. In addition, as the graphitization *2.3. Raman Spectra of the Residual Coke*

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ matrix is reactive to the detection of crystal structures and
amorphous structures in carbon-containing materials. Figure [4](#page-5-2) shows the Raman spectra peaks at 1580 and 1350 cm⁻¹, named G band and D band, were further curve-fitted into D_1 band (peak at 1350 cm⁻¹) was attributed to atomic defects. The D_2 band (1620 cm⁻¹) corresponded to the surface of the graphite layer. The D₃ band (1500 cm⁻¹) originated from the amorphous carbon in the carbon structure. The D₄ band (1200 cm⁻¹) mainly indicated Raman spectrometry is highly sensitive for the detection of crystal structures and of ZH with different carbon conversions in the region of 800–1800 cm−¹ . Two distinct five Gaussian bands [\[21\]](#page-12-20), and the obtained parameters were compared in Figure [5.](#page-6-0) The the volatile hydrocarbons and the disordered graphite lattice. The G band (1580 cm^{-1}) represented in-plane vibrations of aromatic carbons in the graphitic structure. The value

of the I_{D1}/I_G ratio (ratio of the areas of the D1 and G bands) was inversely related to the planar dimensions of the crystal; a decrease in the I_{D1}/I_G ratio indicated an increase in the ordering of the carbon structure, while an increase in the I_G/I_{Al} ratio indicated an increase in the order degree of the carbon structure [\[22\]](#page-12-21).

Table 2. XRD characteristic parameters of the residual coke with different carbon conversions.

Sample	Temperature $(^{\circ}C)$	Conversion (%)	d_{002} (Å)	$L_c(\AA)$	$L_a(\AA)$
Without catalyst	842	15	3.50	15.71	31.80
	1059	26	3.51	16.40	35.32
	1111	37	3.50	16.89	35.49
	1144	50	3.51	17.09	36.32
	1172	70	3.49	23.12	44.18
With 5 mmol K	758	18	3.49	13.72	28.00
	792	30	3.49	13.26	30.43
	820	48	3.49	12.96	31.16
	842	65	3.49	9.82	32.16
	851	71	3.52	9.70	32.76

Figure 3. Comparison of the XRD parameters of residual coke with different carbon conversions. (**a**) Lc. (**b**) La. ω degree of the carbon structure β in the carbon structure α .

Figure 4. Raman spectra of residual coke with different carbon conversions.

Figure 5. Comparison of the ratios of the areas of different bands of residual coke with different **Figure 5.** Comparison of the ratios of the areas of different bands of residual coke with different carbon conversions. (**a**) I_{D1}/I_G and (**b**) I_G/I_{AII} .

As shown in Figure $5a$, the I_{D1}/I_G ratio for the residual coke without the catalyst decreased during gasification. This indicated that the concentrations of aromatic rings with six or more fused benzene rings increased during gasification as a result of the dehydrogenation of hydro-aromatics and the growth of aromatic rings [23]. H[owe](#page-12-22)ver, for the residual coke with the catalyst, the I_{D1}/I_G ratio increased with the carbon conversion. The catalyst could reduce the development of large aromatic rings during \rm{CO}_2 gasification. Therefore, the crystal structure of the residual coke became disordered, and the catalyst Therefore, the crystal structure of the residual coke became disordered, and the catalyst could restrain the graphitization process by weakening the delocalized π bonds in its interaction with the coke [\[19\]](#page-12-18). Meanwhile, during catalytic gasification, the values of the $\rm I_G/I_{All}$ ratio decreased, which was consistent with the XRD analysis reported above, indicating that a continual disordering process occurred. It is worth noting that for the residual coke without the catalyst, the values of the I_G/I_{All} ratio increased, which was attributed to the fact that the active carbon was consumed first, and a greater extension of the graphite-like structure was lost [\[18\]](#page-12-17). In addition, the activation effect of the gasifying agent was quite limited.

2.4. Pore Structure Analysis of the Residual Coke 2.4. Pore Structure Analysis of the Residual Coke

The pore structure of the samples analyzed by N_2 adsorption is shown in Figure [6,](#page-7-0) $\frac{1}{2}$ and the corresponding parameters are listed in Table [3.](#page-7-1) As illustrated in Figure [6,](#page-7-0) the N_2 adsorption–desorption isothermal curves of residual coke with different carbon conversions
absenced the U. an U. true hastegorie la suring distinct that an imaggle name atmosfered fame at sions showed the H³ or H⁴ type hysteresis loop, indicating that an irregular pore structure during the reaction. The pore size distribution curves also showed that the micro and formed during the reaction. The pore size distribution curves also showed that the micro meso pores were well-developed with the carbon conversion increase. For the residual and meso pores were well-developed with the carbon conversion increase. For the resid-coke obtained from the gasification of ZH without or with the catalyst under different conversions, the surface area and the pore volume increased, as shown in Figure [7.](#page-8-0) The surface area increased, respectively, from 1.81 m²/g to 99.90 m²/g and from 4.01 m²/g to 72.17 m²/g, and the pore volume increased, respectively, from $0.008 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$ to $0.121 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$ and from 0.013 cm³/g to 0.054 cm³/g, as reported in Table [4.](#page-7-2) It is reasonable to infer that closed pores opened, and new pores formed in the early stage of the gasification reaction, resulting in a significant increase in the surface area and pore volume [\[18,](#page-12-17)[24\]](#page-13-0). The gasifying agent, gasification temperature, and the catalyst might also promote the formation of the pores during gasification, inducing a gradual increase in the surface area and pore volume [\[24,](#page-13-0)[25\]](#page-13-1). During the non-isothermal gasification reactions, the residual coke obtained from ZH with the addition of catalyst was prepared at a lower temperature during a similar carbon conversion compared with that of residual coke without the addition of catalyst; thus, it did not show a significant difference in the pore structure variation. catalyst; thus, it did not show a significant difference in the pore structure variation. showed the H_3 or H_4 type hysteresis loop, indicating that an irregular pore structure formed

Ti, initial temperature. The measure of the maximum temperature. The maximum temperature. The maximum temperat

Figure 6. N₂ adsorption–desorption isothermal curves and pore size distribution curves of residual coke with different carbon conversions. coke with different carbon conversions.

Sample	Temperature (°C)	Conversion (%)	Surface Area (m^2/g)	Total Pore Volume $\text{cm}^3\text{/g}$)	Average Pore Size (nm)
Without catalyst	718	13	1.81	0.008	27.39
	842	15	2.44	0.008	19.95
	1059	26	101.93	0.030	2.71
	1144	50	99.90	0.121	5.08
	714	13	4.01	0.013	13.61
With 5 mmol K	758	18	6.90	0.017	9.48
	792	30	41.58	0.024	3.63
	820	48	72.17	0.054	3.63

Table 3. Texture parameters of residual coke with different carbon conversions.

Table 4. The characteristic temperature of the combustion of residual coke with different carbon conversions.

 T_i , initial temperature. T_m , maximum temperature. T_f , final temperature.

Figure 7. Comparison of the surface area and pore volume of residual coke with different carbon **Figure 7.** Comparison of the surface area and pore volume of residual coke with different carbon conversions. (**a**) Surface area. (**b**) Pore volume. conversions. (**a**) Surface area. (**b**) Pore volume. *2.5. Residual Coke Reactivity Analysis*

2.5. Residual Coke Reactivity Analysis
 Figure 8 shows the combustion behavior of the residual conduction behavior of the gas-2.0. Resultuation of Zh with the catalogue for different conversions; the characteristic conversions; the characteristic characteristic conversions; the characteristic characteristic conversions; the characteristic charact

Figure 8 shows the combustion behavior of the residual coke obtained from the gasification of ZH without or with the catalyst for different conversions; the characteristic temperatures are listed in Table [4.](#page-7-2) For the residual coke obtained from the gasification of ZH without the catalyst, shown in Figure [8a](#page-9-0),b, the combustion reactivity of the samples for different conversions was quite similar; the more ordered carbon structure and increased surface area did not affect the reactivity. The raw ZH coke had the highest combustion reac-tivity, which might be attributed to the accelerating effect of the volatiles it contained [\[26\]](#page-13-2). For the residual coke obtained from the gasification of ZH with the catalyst shown in Figure [8c](#page-9-0),d, as the carbon conversion increased, the initial temperature remained almost unvaried, but the peak temperature decreased, and a second peak at about 600 °C appeared for residual cokes with the conversion of 48% and 65%. It is hard to differ the reactivity of the residual coke increase or decrease as the carbon conversion increases. Based on the residual coke structure analyses, the graphitization degree decreased, and the surface area and pore volume increased, which would increase the reactivity, as reported [\[8](#page-12-7)[,10](#page-12-9)[,27\]](#page-13-3). Then, it could be concluded that the variation in the carbon and pore structure was not the key factor affecting the residual coke's reactivity. Moreover, compared with the reactivity of residual cokes with different conversions without the catalyst, that of residual cokes in the presence of the catalyst was much higher, indicating that the reactivity of coke was significantly altered by the addition of the catalyst, and this could be due to the interactions between the petroleum coke and the catalyst, which needs to be studied further.

Figure 8. Combustion curves of residual coke with different carbon conversions. (a,b) Without alyst. (**c**,**d**) With 5 mmol K. catalyst. (**c**,**d**) With 5 mmol K.

3. Materials and Methods 3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Samples 3.1. Samples

Petroleum coke, obtained from Sinopec Zhenhai Refining and Chemical Company Petroleum coke, obtained from Sinopec Zhenhai Refining and Chemical Company and denoted as ZH, was used in this study. The sample was ground and sieved to a size and denoted as ZH, was used in this study. The sample was ground and sieved to a size below 0.074 mm before use. The proximate and ultimate analysis of ZH are shown in Table [5.](#page-9-1) K_2CO_3 with a purity >99.0% was obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., China. K_2CO_3 was added to ZH by physical blending. The K^+ concentration in petroleum coke was in the range of $0.1~14$ mmol K⁺/g. For example, 1 g of ZH coke was mechanically blended with 2.5 mmol of K_2CO_3 (0.345 g) in a mortar for 10 min, and the prepared sample was designated as with 5 mmol K, reflecting that 5 mmol of K⁺ was added for 1 g of petroleum coke. Using this method, a series of samples, named With 0.1 mmol K,
For 1 g of petroleum coke. Using this method, a series of samples, named With 0.1 mmol K, K, With 0.5 mmol K, With 1 mmol K, With 2 mmol K, With 3 mmol K, With 5 mmol K, With 0.5 mmol K, With 1 mmol K, With 2 mmol K, With 3 mmol K, With 5 mmol K, With 10 mmol K, With 12 mmol K, and With 14 mmol K, were prepared, as reported in Table [6.](#page-9-2) All samples were evenly mixed and stored for use.

Table 5. Proximate and ultimate analyses of the petroleum coke. **Table 5.** Proximate and ultimate analyses of the petroleum coke.

Table 6. Concentration of the catalyst in the petroleum coke. **Table 6.** Concentration of the catalyst in the petroleum coke.

3.2. CO² Gasification 3.2. CO2 Gasification

The non-isothermal catalytic gasification reactivity measurements were conducted The non-isothermal catalytic gasification reactivity measurements were conducted on a Setaram Setsys TGA analyzer. In each gasification experiment, about 2 mg of the sample was loaded evenly in an alumina crucible and heated at 10 °C/min under a $\rm CO_2$ atmosphere (100 mL/min) until no significant mass change in the sample was recorded. The atmosphere (100 mL/min) until no significant mass change in the sample was recorded. prior reaction conditions had eliminated the effect of internal and external diffusion. In the final experimental data, the influence of the change in gas buoyancy during non-isothermal gasification was eliminated by subtracting a blank run.

In the non-isothermal catalytic gasification process, the carbon conversion X of the samples was calculated as follows [\[11](#page-12-10)[,28\]](#page-13-4): samples was calculated as follows [11,28]:

$$
X = \frac{W_0 - W_t}{W_0 - W_f} \tag{1}
$$

where W_0 represents the initial mass; W_t represents the instantaneous mass at reaction time t, and W_f represents the final mass of the petroleum coke after complete conversion.

3.3. Residual Coke Preparation 3.3. Residual Coke Preparation

Residual coke with different carbon conversions was prepared on a fixed-bed reactor. Residual coke with different carbon conversions was prepared on a fixed-bed reactor. A schematic of the experimental setup is presented in Figure [9.](#page-10-1) The procedure for the A schematic of the experimental setup is presented in Figure 9. The procedure for the residual coke preparation was as follows: about 1 g of ZH coke or the sample of ZH coke with 5 mmol K was weighed, evenly spread in an alumina crucible, and then placed into with 5 mmol K was weighed, evenly spread in an alumina crucible, and then placed into a furnace. $CO₂$ was used to replace the air in the furnace tube for about 30 min. Then, the furnace was heated at a rate of 10 °C/min in the CO₂ atmosphere until it reached the preset temperature. Subsequently, the crucible was transferred to the low-temperature zone to cool down the coke under the $CO₂$ atmosphere. After that, the cooled sample was ground and stored in a dryer. To avoid the influence of the catalyst on the characterization of the carbon structure of the residual coke, the samples were washed with deionized water until a neutral pH was attained. After that, the residual coke was dried in a vacuum oven at 110 °C for 24 h and packaged in a desiccator for further use. By presetting the final temperature and weighing the residual coke mass, the carbon conversion could be calculated; the residual coke was named by its carbon conversions.

Figure 9. Schematic diagram of the fixed-bed reactor system. 1. High-pressure vessel. 2. Relief valve. 3. Gas flowmeter. 4. Stainless furnace tube. 5. Tube furnace. 6. Sample. 7. K-thermocouple. 8. Temperature indicator.

3.4. X-ray Diffraction Analysis 3.4. X-ray Diffraction Analysis

The structural characterization of the coke samples was performed by a Rigaku Ultima IV powder X-ray diffraction meter (XRD) using Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å) that operated at 40 kV and 30 mA over the range from $10°$ to $90°$ at a scanning speed of 5 °/min.

3.5. Raman Spectroscopy

A Raman spectrometer (Horiba HR800, HORIBA Scientific, Kyoto, Japan) was used to determine the carbon microcrystalline structural parameters of the residual coke with different carbon conversions. The aromatic structure of the samples was recorded in the range of 800–2000 cm $^{-1}$.

3.6. Pore Structure

A surface analyzer (Quantachrome QDS-30, Quantachrome Instruments, Florida, USA) was employed to determine the evolution of the coke samples with different carbon conversions. N₂ at 77 K was used as the adsorbed gas. The coke samples were outgassed at 200 °C in a vacuum for 5 h before each N_2 adsorption–desorption experiment. The surface area and pore volume of the samples were calculated by the BET equation and the BJH method [\[24\]](#page-13-0).

3.7. Residual Coke Activity Evaluation

The reactivity of the residual coke samples was detected by the Setaram Setsys TGA analyzer. The residual coke was washed in deionized water and dried. The procedure was as follows: about 2 mg of the sample was weighed, evenly spread in an alumina crucible, and then heated at 10 \degree C/min in the air (100 mL/min) until no obvious mass change was detected. The effect of K_2CO_3 on the gasification reactivity of ZH with different carbon conversions was verified by this method.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, the catalytic gasification of petroleum coke with K_2CO_3 was investigated, and the structural evolution of the residual coke with different carbon conversions was examined. The results showed that the initial, peak, and final gasification temperatures of the petroleum coke decreased greatly with an increase in the catalyst ratio, and the catalytic reaction was saturated when the K^+ concentration was 5 mmol/g; at this and higher catalyst concentrations, the gasification rate varied slightly. K_2CO_3 had an excellent catalytic effect on the gasification of ZH and did not exert any inhibition effect even when the catalyst concentration was higher than the saturation concentration. The vaporization of the catalyst was observed during the reaction at high temperatures.

The carbon crystallite structure of the residual coke underwent different changes in the presence of the catalyst. As the carbon conversion increased, the structure of the residual coke without the catalyst became more ordered, and the number of aromatic structures with six or more fused benzene rings increased. In contrast, for the residual coke obtained from catalytic gasification, the graphitization degree decreased, and the crystal structure became disordered as the carbon conversion increased. The surface area and pore volume of petroleum coke increased in the gasification process of the residual coke with or without a catalyst. However, the reactivity of the residual coke did not change much with the variation in the carbon and pore structure during the reaction, indicating that the pore and carbon structure were not the key factors affecting the reactivity. The catalytic gasification of petroleum coke is a promising method for disposing of petroleum coke. It is suggested that further investigations of the interactions between petroleum coke and catalysts should be conducted in the future.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Z.W. and Q.Z.; methodology, M.Z. and X.X.; formal analysis, M.Z. and X.W.; investigation, X.X. and H.B.; resources, Z.W.; data curation, X.X. and X.W.; writing—original draft preparation, M.Z. and X.X.; writing—review and editing, M.Z. and Q.Z.; supervision, Z.W.; funding acquisition, M.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, grant number 3142018011.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 3142018011).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Sample Availability: Not applicable.

References

- 1. Wang, B.; Li, W.; Ma, C.; Yang, W.; Pudasainee, D.; Gupta, R.; Sun, L. Synergistic effect on the co-gasification of petroleum coke and carbon-based feedstocks: A state-of-the-art review. *J. Energy Inst.* **2022**, *102*, 1–13. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joei.2022.02.007)
- 2. Peng, D.; Zhang, S.; Wang, K.; Dong, T.; Zhang, M.; Dong, G. Preparation of a chitosan/coal gasification slag composite membrane and its adsorption and removal of Cr (VI) and RhB in water. *Molecules* **2022**, *27*, 7173. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27217173) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36363999)
- 3. Zhang, Q.; Li, Q.; Zhang, L.; Wang, Z.; Jing, X.; Yu, Z.; Song, S.; Fang, Y. Preliminary study on co-gasification behavior of deoiled asphalt with coal and biomass. *Appl. Energy* **2014**, *132*, 426–434. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.07.014)
- 4. Li, J.; Wang, Y.; Zhu, L.; Zhang, Z.; Xiao, H. Experimental study on co-pyrolysis of petroleum coke and coals: Synergy effects and co-gasification reactivity. *Fuel* **2020**, *279*, 118368. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2020.118368)
- 5. Spiewak, K.; Czerski, G.; Porada, S. Effect of K, Na and Ca-based catalysts on the steam gasification reactions of coal. Part I: Type and amount of one-component catalysts. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **2021**, *229*, 116024. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2020.116024)
- 6. Kopyscinski, J.; Rahman, M.; Gupta, R.; Mims, C.A.; Hill, J.M. K₂CO₃ catalyzed CO₂ gasification of ash-free coal. Interactions of the catalyst with carbon in N₂ and CO₂ atmosphere. *Fuel* 2014, 117, 1181-1189. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2013.07.030)
- 7. Ding, L.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, Z.; Huang, J.; Fang, Y. Interaction and its induced inhibiting or synergistic effects during co-gasification of coal char and biomass char. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2014**, *173*, 11–20. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.09.007)
- 8. Li, W.; Yu, Z.; Guan, G. Catalytic coal gasification for methane production: A review. *Carbon Resour. Convers.* **2021**, *4*, 89–99. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crcon.2021.02.001)
- 9. Sergeev, D.; Yazhenskikh, E.; Kobertz, D.; Müller, M. Vaporization behavior of Na₂CO₃ and K₂CO₃. *Calphad* 2019, 65, 42–49. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.calphad.2019.02.004)
- 10. Roncancio, R.; Gore, J.P. CO² char gasification: A systematic review from 2014 to 2020. *Energy Convers. Manag. X* **2020**, *10*, 100060. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecmx.2020.100060)
- 11. Yang, H.; Song, H.; Zhao, C.; Hu, J.; Li, S.; Chen, H. Catalytic gasification reactivity and mechanism of petroleum coke at high temperature. *Fuel* **2021**, *293*, 120469. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2021.120469)
- 12. Zhang, Q.; Yuan, Q.; Wang, H.; Wang, Z.; Yu, Z.; Liang, L.; Fang, Y.; Huang, W. Evaluation of gas switch effect on isothermal gas-solid reactions in a thermogravimetric analyzer. *Fuel* **2019**, *239*, 1173–1178. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2018.11.101)
- 13. Lv, X.; Xiao, J.; Shen, L.; Zhou, Y. Experimental study on the optimization of parameters during biomass pyrolysis and char gasification for hydrogen-rich gas. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **2016**, *41*, 21913–21925. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2016.09.200)
- 14. Kramb, J.; Gómez-Barea, A.; DeMartini, N.; Romar, H.; Doddapaneni, T.R.K.; Konttinen, J. The effects of calcium and potassium on CO² gasification of birch wood in a fluidized bed. *Fuel* **2017**, *196*, 398–407. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2017.01.101)
- 15. Jiang, L.; Hu, S.; Xu, K.; Wang, Y.; Syed-Hassan, S.S.A.; Su, S.; Liu, C.; Xiang, J. Formation, fates and roles of catalytic precursors generated from the K₂CO₃-carbon interactions in the K₂CO₃-catalyzed CO₂ gasification of coal char. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis* 2016, *124*, 384–392. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2016.11.006)
- 16. Qin, S.; Zhang, X.; Wang, M.; Cui, H.; Li, Z.; Yi, W. Comparison of BGL and Lurgi gasification for coal to liquid fuels (CTL): Process modeling, simulation and thermodynamic analysis. *Energy* **2021**, *229*, 120697. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2021.120697)
- 17. Li, Y.; Yang, H.; Hu, J.; Wang, X.; Chen, H. Effect of catalysts on the reactivity and structure evolution of char in petroleum coke steam gasification. *Fuel* **2014**, *117*, 1174–1180. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2013.08.066)
- 18. Liu, M.; He, Q.; Bai, J.; Yu, J.; Kong, L.; Bai, Z.; Li, H.; He, C.; Cao, X.; Ge, Z.; et al. Char reactivity and kinetics based on the dynamic char structure during gasification by CO² . *Fuel Process. Technol.* **2021**, *211*, 106583. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2020.106583)
- 19. Zhang, J.; Zhang, R.; Bi, J. Effect of catalyst on coal char structure and its role in catalytic coal gasification. *Catal. Commun.* **2016**, *79*, 1–5. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2016.01.037)
- 20. Zhang, H.; Bai, J.; Li, W.; Cheng, F. Comprehensive evaluation of inherent mineral composition and carbon structure parameters on CO² reactivity of metallurgical coke. *Fuel* **2019**, *235*, 647–657. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2018.07.131)
- 21. Yu, J.; Guo, Q.; Ding, L.; Gong, Y.; Yu, G. Studying effects of solid structure evolution on gasification reactivity of coal chars by in-situ Raman spectroscopy. *Fuel* **2020**, *270*, 117603. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2020.117603)
- 22. Zhu, B.; Dong, X.; Fan, Y.; Ma, X.; Yao, S.; Fu, Y.; Chen, R.; Chang, M. Structural characterization and molecular model construction of high-ash coal from northern China. *Molecules* **2023**, *28*, 5593. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28145593) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37513465)
- 23. Li, X.; Hayashi, J.-I.; Li, C.-Z. FT-Raman spectroscopic study of the evolution of char structure during the pyrolysis of a Victorian brown coal. *Fuel* **2006**, *85*, 1700–1707. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2006.03.008)
- 24. Fan, C.; Jin, H.; Shang, F.; Feng, H.; Sun, J. Study on the surface structure development of porous char particles in catalytic supercritical water gasification process. *Fuel Process. Technol.* **2019**, *193*, 73–81. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2019.04.029)
- 25. Vejahati, F.; Gupta, R. Intrinsic gasification rate of oil sands fluid coke with carbon dioxide and steam. *Can. J. Chem. Eng.* **2017**, *95*, 1045–1053. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/cjce.22802)
- 26. Hu, F.; Li, P.; Zhang, T.; Li, W.; Wang, K.; Guo, J.; Mi, J.; Liu, Z. Reaction characteristics and mild combustion of residual char in a pilot-scale furnace. *Energy Fuels* **2019**, *33*, 12791–12800. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.9b02962)
- 27. Bai, R.; Li, N.; Liu, Q.; Chen, S.; Liu, Q.; Zhou, X. Effect of steam on carbonation of CaO in Ca-Looping. *Molecules* **2023**, *28*, 4910. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28134910)
- 28. Tong, W.; Liu, Q.; Yang, C.; Cai, Z.; Wu, H.; Ren, S. Effect of pore structure on CO₂ gasification reactivity of biomass chars under high-temperature pyrolysis. *J. Energy Inst.* **2020**, *93*, 962–976. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joei.2019.08.007)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.