



# Article Nutrigenomic Effects of White Rice and Brown Rice on the Pathogenesis of Metabolic Disorders in a Fruit Fly Model

Saheed Olanrewaju Saka <sup>1,2</sup>, Yusuf Yahaya Salisu <sup>1,3</sup>, Hauwa'u Muhammad Sahabi <sup>1,3</sup>, Kamaldeen Olalekan Sanusi <sup>1,2</sup>, Kasimu Ghandi Ibrahim <sup>1,2,4</sup>, Murtala Bello Abubakar <sup>1,2</sup>, Suleiman Ahmed Isa <sup>3</sup>, Muhammad Gidado Liman <sup>5</sup>, Sha'aya'u Shehu <sup>3</sup>, Ibrahim Malami <sup>1,6</sup>, Kim Wei Chan <sup>7</sup>, Nur Hanisah Azmi <sup>8</sup> and Mustapha Umar Imam <sup>1,9,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Centre for Advanced Medical Research and Training, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto P.M.B. 2254, Nigeria
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Physiology, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, College of Health Sciences, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto P.M.B. 2346, Nigeria
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Faculty of Science, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto P.M.B. 2346, Nigeria
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Basic Medical and Dental Sciences, Faculty of Dentistry, Zarqa University, Zarqa 13110, Jordan
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto P.M.B. 2346, Nigeria
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Pharmacognosy and Ethnopharmacy, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto P.M.B. 2346, Nigeria
- <sup>7</sup> Natural Medicines and Products Research Laboratory, Institute of Bioscience, Universiti Putra Malaysia, UPM, Serdang 43400, Selangor, Malaysia
- <sup>8</sup> Faculty of Food Science and Nutrition, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Kota Kinabalu 88400, Sabah, Malaysia
- <sup>9</sup> Department of Medical Biochemistry, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, College of Health Sciences, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto P.M.B. 2346, Nigeria
- \* Correspondence: mustyimam@gmail.com; Tel.: +234-9028115921

**Abstract:** Consumption of white rice (WR) has been shown to predispose individuals to metabolic disorders. However, brown rice (BR), which is relatively richer in bioactive compounds, possesses anti-glycaemic and antioxidant effects. In this study, fifteen cultivars of paddy rice that are predominantly consumed in North West Nigeria were analysed for their nutritional composition, bioactive contents and effects on metabolic outcomes in a fruit fly model. Gene expression analyses were conducted on the whole fly, targeting *dPEPCK*, *dIRS*, and *dACC*. The protein, carbohydrate, and fibre contents and bioactives of all BR cultivars were significantly different (p < 0.05) from the WR cultivars. Moreover, it was demonstrated that the glucose and trehalose levels were significantly higher (p < 0.05), while glycogen was significantly lower (p < 0.05) in the WR groups compared to the BR groups. Similarly, the expression of *dACC* and *dPEPCK* was upregulated, while that of *dIRS* was downregulated in the WR groups compared to the BR groups. Sex differences (p < 0.05) were observed in the WR groups in relation to the nutrigenomic effects. Our findings confirm metabolic perturbations in fruit flies following consumption of WR via distortion of insulin signalling and activation of glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis. BR prevented these metabolic changes possibly due to its richer nutritional composition.

**Keywords:** brown rice; white rice; metabolic syndrome; insulin resistance; *dPEPCK*; *dIRS*; *dACC*; oxidative stress; obesity; fruit fly

# 1. Introduction

Rice is a staple food among Nigeria's population; its annual consumption is estimated to be around 5 million metric tonnes, the highest in sub-Saharan Africa [1]. Rice has been documented to exhibit a large variation in its composition from region to region due to inherent botanical differences resulting from its genetic properties, paddy soil on which the



Citation: Saka, S.O.; Salisu, Y.Y.; Sahabi, H.M.; Sanusi, K.O.; Ibrahim, K.G.; Abubakar, M.B.; Isa, S.A.; Liman, M.G.; Shehu, S.; Malami, I.; et al. Nutrigenomic Effects of White Rice and Brown Rice on the Pathogenesis of Metabolic Disorders in a Fruit Fly Model. *Molecules* **2023**, *28*, 532. https://doi.org/10.3390/ molecules28020532

Academic Editor: Carlos Eduardo Narváez-Cuenca

Received: 1 September 2022 Revised: 24 December 2022 Accepted: 30 December 2022 Published: 5 January 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). rice is grown, fertiliser application, climate, postharvest treatments and storage [2]. Brown rice (BR) is obtained following the de-husking of harvested paddy rice whereas white rice (WR) further undergoes polishing processes to remove the bran and germ portions of the intact grains, thereby losing several beneficial bioactive compounds, including oryzanols and phenolic compounds [3]. The availability and profile of these bioactive compounds vary across different BR varieties [4]. Due to the refining process, WR is composed mainly of carbohydrates [5] and has a starchy endosperm which is the most easily digestible carbohydrate, with a very high glycaemic index (GI) and glycaemic load (GL) [6,7].

WR is a staple food in many low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) facing rapid economic development and nutritional transition [8]. Consumption of WR as a staple food predisposes individuals to metabolic disorders, mostly as a result of loss of important bioactive compounds to the polishing processes [9–12]. Regular consumption of WR has been implicated in fat store expansion, which promotes higher weight gain, oxidized low density lipoprotein (ox-LDL) levels and worsening of lipid metabolism in rats as a result of a conversion of excess glucose to free fatty acids (FFAs) and triglycerides (TGs) [10,13]. This finding was supported by Abubakar et al. [14] who reported a 10% enhanced body weight in rats fed on low amylose WR compared with those on standard chow. Similarly, increased risk of body weight gain was associated with long-term consumption of WR after adjusting for confounding factors, which include obesogenic diets, sex, and age [15]. Similar studies on some rice cultivars such as MRQ74 (aromatic and high-quality rice variety), MRQ76 (fragrant paddy strain), MR219 (high-yielding mega-variety), and MR220 (local paddy) from Malaysia have shown that WR promotes the elevation of LDL, TG, and total cholesterol (TC), and decreases HDL levels compared to BR [10,14]. Conversely, BR obtained by de-husking of paddy rice has superior nutritional properties versus WR, although WR is the preferred choice among consumers because of its palatability. BR contains a variety of bioactive compounds that are abundantly located in the bran layer including oryzanols, phytosterols, tocotrienols,  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid (GABA), tocopherols, amino acids, acylated steryl glycoside (ASG), carotenoids, linoleic acid vitamins, minerals as well as functional proteins, unsaponifiable lipids and dietary fibre [16–18]. In addition, BR has abundant polyphenols which are among the functional components responsible for most of the nutraceutical values of the rice [19–21].

Owing to its genetic similarities with humans, the fruit fly (*Drosophila melanogaster*) is a versatile model used in the study of metabolic diseases [22,23]. Thus, this study sought to investigate the nutrigenomic effects of white rice and brown rice on the pathogenesis of metabolic disorders in *Drosophila melanogaster*.

## 2. Results

## 2.1. Proximate Analysis

From the analyses of the proximate composition, the protein content ranged from  $10.91 \pm 0.10\%$  to  $11.73 \pm 0.10\%$  among the BR cultivars. *Danboto*\_B had the highest value of protein while Yarwashagi\_B had the lowest value, whereas Mai bakin-carki\_W (10.38  $\pm$  0.18%) and Yarkukuma\_W ( $2.43 \pm 0.20\%$ ) had the highest and lowest values, respectively, among the WR cultivars. Similarly, the fibre content ranged from  $2.33 \pm 0.29\%$  (*Yar kukuma\_B*) to  $5.33 \pm 0.77\%$  (Akai mazahajji\_B and Bakindanboto\_B) for BR, while it ranged from  $0.17 \pm 0.01\%$ to 2.39  $\pm$  0.27 for *Faro* 44\_W and *Jamila*\_W, respectively. The analyses showed that there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) in the protein and fibre compositions of all fifteen BR cultivars, but there were significant differences (p < 0.05) among the protein content of WR cultivars. The carbohydrate content ranged from  $64.66 \pm 0.67\%$  to  $71.08 \pm 0.05\%$  for the BR and from  $62.34 \pm 0.58\%$  to  $68.40 \pm 0.93\%$  for the WR. There were significant differences (p < 0.05) in the carbohydrate compositions of both the BR and WR cultivars except for *Babu Rashi*, *Dankaushi*, *Jeep* and *Baingila*. Moreover, there were no significant differences (p > 0.05) in the lipid content of all BR and WR cultivars studied, with the exception (p < 0.05) of Danboto\_W, Bakin danboto\_W, Farro 44\_W, Jirkita\_W and Yarkukuma\_W. Similarly, no significant differences (p > 0.05) were observed in the moisture compositions of all the rice

cultivars studied, except for (p < 0.05) both BR and WR cultivars of *Yarwashagi, Kwandala* and *Yarkatabore*. The ash contents had a higher value in the WR than in the BR. This ranged from  $4.17 \pm 0.32\%$  to  $7.83 \pm 0.29\%$  in BR and from  $4.17 \pm 0.26\%$  to  $9.50 \pm 0.50\%$  in WR. There were no significant differences (p > 0.05) between BR and WR cultivars, with the exception (p < 0.05) of *Baingila* cultivars (Table 1).

Table 1. Proximate composition and crude fibre content of rice cultivars in dry weight.

S/N	RICE CULTIVARS	% PROTEIN	% CARBOHYDRATE	% MOISTURE	% ASH	% LIPID	% FIBRE
1	AKM_B	$11.26\pm0.10$ a	$70.07\pm1.07$ a	$7.83\pm0.30~^{\rm a}$	$4.83\pm0.28$ <sup>a</sup>	$2.67\pm0.30~^{\rm a}$	$5.33\pm0.77$ <sup>a</sup>
2	AKM_W	$6.91\pm0.10^{\text{ b}}$	$67.42\pm0.75$ <sup>b</sup>	$7.67\pm0.29$ $^{\rm a}$	$4.67\pm0.29$ <sup>a</sup>	$2.33\pm0.29~^{a}$	$1.00\pm0.02^{\text{ b}}$
3	BAI_B	$11.67\pm0.10$ $^{\rm a}$	$64.66\pm0.67$ <sup>c</sup>	$8.40\pm0.87$ a	$7.83\pm0.30^{\text{ b}}$	$2.17\pm0.05~^{\rm a}$	$5.17\pm0.25$ $^{\rm a}$
4	BAI_W	$4.49\pm0.27~^{ m c}$	$62.34\pm0.58~^{\rm c}$	$7.33\pm0.77$ a	$9.50\pm0.50$ c $^{ m c}$	$2.17\pm0.33$ a	$1.17\pm0.09$ <sup>b</sup>
5	BAB_B	$11.09\pm0.09$ a	$65.39 \pm 1.79\ ^{ m c}$	$8.17\pm0.28$ <sup>a</sup>	$6.67\pm0.58^{\text{ b}}$	$2.33\pm0.27$ a	$4.67\pm0.30~^{\rm a}$
6	BAB_W	$3.44\pm0.27$ <sup>c</sup>	$64.08\pm0.19$ <sup>c</sup>	$8.17\pm0.58~^{\rm a}$	$8.00\pm0.54^{\text{ b}}$	$1.83\pm0.05$ $^{\rm a}$	$0.17\pm0.02$ <sup>b</sup>
7	DAN_B	$11.14\pm0.09$ $^{\rm a}$	$68.19\pm0.54~^{\mathrm{b}}$	$8.33\pm0.29~^{\rm a}$	$4.67\pm0.27$ $^{\rm a}$	$2.83\pm0.25~^{a}$	$5.17\pm0.58$ $^{\rm a}$
8	DAN_W	$5.32\pm0.20~^{\rm c}$	$67.02\pm0.75^{\text{ b}}$	$8.00\pm0.50$ $^{\rm a}$	$5.00\pm0.55$ $^{\rm a}$	$2.33\pm0.28~^{a}$	$1.83\pm0.03$ <sup>b</sup>
9	JEEP_B	$11.26\pm0.10$ $^{\rm a}$	$66.57\pm0.75$ $^{\rm c}$	$8.23\pm0.58~^{\rm a}$	$7.17\pm0.26^{\text{ b}}$	$2.33\pm0.34~^{a}$	$4.83\pm0.28~^{\rm a}$
10	JEEP_W	$4.09\pm0.09~^{\rm c}$	$63.91\pm0.42~^{\rm c}$	$8.01\pm0.05~^{\rm a}$	$7.33\pm0.32^{\text{ b}}$	$2.00\pm0.29$ $^{a}$	$0.33\pm0.08^{\text{ b}}$
11	JAM_B	$11.00\pm0.10$ $^{\rm a}$	$70.16\pm0.74$ $^{\rm a}$	$7.52\pm0.02~^{\rm a}$	$7.60\pm0.04$ <sup>b</sup>	$2.33\pm0.31~^{a}$	$4.12\pm0.04~^{a}$
12	JAM_W	$7.43\pm0.11$ <sup>b</sup>	$67.19 \pm 0.02$ <sup>b</sup>	$8.34\pm0.07~^{\rm a}$	$7.33\pm0.56$ <sup>b</sup>	$2.50\pm0.01~^{a}$	$1.39\pm0.27$ <sup>b</sup>
13	MBC_B	$11.14\pm0.10$ $^{\rm a}$	$70.44\pm0.06$ ^ a	$8.37\pm0.28~^{a}$	$4.67\pm0.25$ $^{\rm a}$	$2.83\pm0.26~^{a}$	$4.17\pm0.19$ a
14	MBC_W	$7.38\pm0.18$ <sup>b</sup>	$68.15\pm0.03$ <sup>b</sup>	$8.42\pm0.30~^{\rm a}$	$5.33\pm0.57$ <sup>a</sup>	$2.67\pm0.31~^{a}$	$2.29 \pm 0.20 \ ^{ m b}$
15	YARW_B	$10.91\pm0.10$ $^{\rm a}$	$70.68\pm0.59$ $^{\rm a}$	$9.50 \pm 0.51$ <sup>b</sup>	$4.50\pm0.52$ a	$2.83\pm0.35~^{\rm a}$	$4.54\pm0.11$ $^{\rm a}$
16	YARW_W	$7.16\pm0.10$ <sup>b</sup>	$67.45\pm0.57$ <sup>b</sup>	$9.83\pm0.57$ <sup>b</sup>	$5.00\pm0.45$ <sup>a</sup>	$2.67\pm0.27$ $^{\rm a}$	$1.10\pm0.00$ <sup>b</sup>
17	KWA_B	$11.03\pm0.18$ $^{\rm a}$	$70.99\pm0.00$ $^{\rm a}$	$9.63 \pm 0.58$ <sup>b</sup>	$4.17\pm0.32$ <sup>a</sup>	$2.83\pm0.19$ $^{\rm a}$	$4.17\pm0.23$ $^{\rm a}$
18	KWA_W	$8.01\pm0.10$ <sup>b</sup>	$66.59\pm0.43$ <sup>b</sup>	$9.50 \pm 0.55$ <sup>b</sup>	$4.17\pm0.30~^{\mathrm{a}}$	$2.67\pm0.24$ <sup>a</sup>	$1.78\pm0.02$ <sup>b</sup>
19	YARK_B	$11.14\pm0.10$ a	$71.08\pm0.05$ a	$9.50 \pm 0.50$ <sup>b</sup>	$4.67\pm0.29$ a	$2.41\pm0.34$ a	$4.80\pm0.00$ a
20	YARK_W	$10.30\pm0.47$ <sup>b</sup>	$66.53 \pm 0.35$ <sup>b</sup>	$10.00 \pm 0.52$ <sup>b</sup>	$4.17\pm0.26$ <sup>a</sup>	$2.33\pm0.36~^{\rm a}$	$1.20\pm0.01$ <sup>b</sup>
21	DANB_B	$11.73\pm0.18$ a	$69.61\pm0.73$ a	$8.00\pm0.51~^{\mathrm{a}}$	$4.17\pm0.59$ a	$2.33\pm0.28$ a	$5.17\pm0.25$ a
22	DANB_W	$2.61\pm0.10$ c	$67.56 \pm 0.67$ b	$8.33\pm0.28$ <sup>a</sup>	$3.83\pm0.48$ a	$0.83\pm0.30$ b	$0.83 \pm 0.07$ <sup>b</sup>
23	BAD_B	$11.49\pm0.20$ a	$67.20 \pm 1.07$ <sup>b</sup>	$8.17\pm0.29$ a	$4.17\pm0.32$ a	$2.67\pm0.26$ a	$5.33\pm0.23$ a
24	BAD_W	$3.09\pm0.09$ c	$66.51\pm0.09$ <sup>b</sup>	$8.17\pm0.58$ <sup>a</sup>	$4.17\pm0.28$ <sup>a</sup>	$1.17\pm0.04$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.89\pm0.01$ <sup>b</sup>
25	FARO_B	$11.38\pm0.30$ <sup>a</sup>	$70.92\pm0.64$ a	$8.00\pm0.49$ a	$4.33\pm0.30$ a	$2.33 \pm 0.33$ a	$4.50 \pm 0.50$ a
26	FARO_W	$3.97\pm0.10$ c	$67.53 \pm 1.01$ <sup>b</sup>	$7.83\pm0.28$ <sup>a</sup>	$4.33 \pm 0.33$ a	$1.17\pm0.02$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.24\pm0.08$ <sup>b</sup>
27	JIR_B	$11.38\pm0.18$ a	$70.29 \pm 0.43$ a	$8.01\pm0.53$ a	$7.33 \pm 0.26$ b	$2.33 \pm 0.54$ a	$4.66 \pm 0.77$ a
28	JIR_W	$4.97\pm0.10~^{ m c}$	$68.09\pm0.86$ <sup>b</sup>	$7.83\pm0.28$ a	$7.00 \pm 0.50$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.70 \pm 0.03$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.53 \pm 0.09$ <sup>b</sup>
29	YARM_B	$11.49\pm0.27$ a	$70.01 \pm 0.27$ a	$8.33\pm0.30$ a	$5.17\pm0.25$ a	$2.67 \pm 0.25$ a	$4.33 \pm 0.29$ a
30	YARM_W	$2.43\pm0.20$ c	$68.40\pm0.93$ b	$8.13\pm0.27$ a	$5.83\pm0.57$ <sup>a</sup>	$1.33 \pm 0.09$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.82\pm0.08$ b

Mean  $\pm$  SD values within a column denoted by the same letters in superscript are not significantly different (p > 0.05). \_B = brown rice, \_W = white rice (n = 3). *Akai maza hajj* = AKM, *Baingila* = BAI, *Baburashi* = BAB, *Jamila* = JAM, *Maibakincarki* = MBC, *Yarwasagi* = YARW, *Kwandala* = KWA, *Yarkatabore* = YARK, *Danboto* = DANB, *Bakindanboto* = BAD, *Faro* 44 = FARO, *Jirkita* = JIR, *Yarkukuma* = YARM, *Dankaushi* = DAN, *Jeep* = JEEP.

## 2.2. Bioactive Compounds Analyses

The TOC content ranged from  $46.16 \pm 0.05$  to  $48.15 \pm 0.18$  mg/DW of sample for BR and from  $10.89 \pm 0.09$  to  $21.29 \pm 0.09$  mg/DW of sample for WR. The analysis showed that there were significant differences (p < 0.05) between BR and WR of the same cultivar. The phenolic content ranged from  $10.40 \pm 0.20$  to  $11.43 \pm 0.04$  mg GAE/g DW for BR and from  $0.18 \pm 0.01$  to  $3.94 \pm 0.00$  mg GAE/g DW for WR. For the total flavonoid content, there were significant differences (p < 0.05) between all fifteen BR and WR (Table 2).

S/N	CULTIVARS	Total Oryzanol Content (mg/DW)	Total Phenolic Content (mg GAE/g DW)	Total Flavonoid Content (mg QE/gDW)
1	AKM_B	$46.92\pm0.12$ a	$11.34 \pm 0.02$ <sup>a</sup>	$0.47\pm0.03$ a
2	AKM_W	$21.23\pm0.09$ <sup>b</sup>	$1.48\pm0.01$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.15\pm0.02$ c
3	BAI_B	$46.59\pm0.57$ $^{\mathrm{a}}$	$11.30\pm0.21$ a	$0.48\pm0.01$ $^{\mathrm{a}}$
4	BAI_W	$20.13\pm0.05$ <sup>b</sup>	$1.18\pm0.05$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.22\pm0.01$ <sup>b</sup>
5	BAB_B	$46.62\pm0.13$ a	10.50. $\pm$ 0.01 $^{\mathrm{a}}$	$0.51\pm0.04$ a
6	BAB_W	$18.97\pm0.38$ <sup>b</sup>	$1.45\pm0.31$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.15\pm0.02$ c
7	DAN_B	$46.25\pm0.08$ a	$11.43\pm0.04$ a	$0.49\pm0.07$ $^{\mathrm{a}}$
8	DAN_W	$14.94\pm0.54$ c	$1.81\pm0.01$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.19\pm0.02~^{ m b}$
9	JEEP_B	$47.39\pm0.05$ a	$11.21\pm0.11$ a	$0.48\pm0.04$ a
10	JEEP_W	$16.21\pm0.12$ <sup>c</sup>	$3.25\pm0.02$ c	$0.20\pm0.00$ b
11	JAM_B	$47.30\pm0.04~^{\rm a}$	$10.94\pm0.05$ $^{\mathrm{a}}$	$0.51\pm0.08$ $^{\mathrm{a}}$
12	JAM_W	$20.53 \pm 0.08$ <sup>b</sup>	$1.35\pm0.08$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.14\pm0.01$ c
13	MBC_B	$46.98\pm0.04~^{\rm a}$	$11.07\pm0.53$ <sup>a</sup>	$0.48\pm0.06$ $^{\mathrm{a}}$
14	MBC_W	$21.13\pm0.55$ <sup>b</sup>	$1.62\pm0.01$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.19\pm0.04$ <sup>b</sup>
15	YARW_B	$46.71\pm0.04$ a	$10.94\pm0.31$ <sup>a</sup>	$0.47\pm0.07$ $^{\mathrm{a}}$
16	YARW_W	$20.76\pm0.08$ <sup>b</sup>	$2.94\pm0.01$ c	$0.14\pm0.01~^{ m c}$
17	KWA_B	$46.76\pm0.12$ a	$11.11\pm0.11$ a	$0.48\pm0.05$ $^{\mathrm{a}}$
18	KWA_W	$20.80 \pm 0.04$ <sup>b</sup>	$3.94\pm0.00$ <sup>c</sup>	$0.13\pm0.02$ <sup>c</sup>
19	YARK_B	$47.64\pm0.04$ a	$10.40\pm0.20$ a	$0.47\pm0.09$ a
20	YARK_W	$21.29\pm0.09$ <sup>b</sup>	$2.30\pm0.01$ c	$0.21\pm0.06$ <sup>b</sup>
21	DANB_B	$47.19\pm0.25$ a	$10.65\pm0.31$ a	$0.48\pm0.05$ a
22	DANB_W	$10.89\pm0.09$ d	$1.54\pm0.01$ d	$0.12\pm0.00$ c
23	BAD_B	$46.16\pm0.05$ a	$10.85\pm0.22$ <sup>a</sup>	$0.50\pm0.04$ a
24	BAD_W	$14.89\pm0.09~^{ m c}$	$0.54\pm0.01$ d	$0.10\pm0.00$ c
25	FARO_B	$46.44\pm0.02$ a	$11.39\pm0.02$ <sup>a</sup>	$0.48\pm0.07$ $^{\mathrm{a}}$
26	FARO_W	$11.68\pm0.23$ <sup>d</sup>	$0.71\pm0.02$ d	$0.11\pm0.00$ c
27	JIR_B	$46.54\pm0.67$ a	$10.91\pm0.24$ <sup>a</sup>	$0.49\pm0.06$ <sup>a</sup>
28	JIR_W	$18.90\pm0.87$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.47\pm0.01$ d	$0.19\pm0.00$ <sup>b</sup>
29	YARM_B	$48.15\pm0.18$ a	$11.33\pm0.42$ a	$0.50\pm0.08$ $^{\mathrm{a}}$
30	YARM_W	$11.42\pm0.29$ <sup>c</sup>	$0.18\pm0.01~^{ m d}$	$0.20\pm0.00$ b

Table 2. Bioactive composition of rice cultivars.

Mean  $\pm$  SD values within a column denoted by the same letters in superscript are not significantly different (p > 0.05). \_B = brown rice, \_W = white rice (n = 3). *Akai maza hajj* = AKM, *Baingila* = BAI, *Baburashi* = BAB, *Jamila* = JAM, *Maibakincarki* = MBC, *Yarwasagi* = YARW, *Kwandala* = KWA, *Yarkatabore* = YARK, *Danboto* = DANB, *Bakindanboto* = BAD, *Faro* 44 = FARO, *Jirkita* = JIR, *Yarkukuma* = YARM, *Dankaushi* = DAN, *Jeep* = JEEP.

# 2.3. Effects on Body Weight Changes

Statistical analysis showed that there were significant differences (p < 0.05) between the BR, WR, and HFD groups for both male and female flies. However, there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) between WR cultivars in male groups, with the exception (p < 0.05) of JEEP, JAM, DANB, BAD, FARO, JIR and YARM (Table 3). The weight changes observed between BR groups and their corresponding WR groups were almost doubled among the male flies. Similarly, no significant difference (p > 0.05) was found between WR cultivars in female groups, with the exception (p < 0.05) of AKM, BAI, JAM and KWA. In addition, the male body weight was significantly higher than the female in all groups (Supplementary Table S1).

#### 2.4. Effects on Negative Geotaxis

Less than eight flies were able to cross the 6 cm mark in both male and female flies of the WR and HFD groups. In contrast, about eight to ten fruit flies crossed the 6 cm mark in the NCD and BR groups. Analysis showed that there were significant differences (p < 0.05) between the BR, WR, and HFD groups for both male and female flies (Table 3). There was no significant difference (p > 0.05) between WR cultivars in both male and female groups, with the exception (p < 0.05) of BAD and FARO in the male group.

Male				Female		
S/N	<b>Rice Cultivars</b>	Weight Changes (mg)	Negative Geotaxis (No of Flies/10 s)	Weight Changes (mg)	Negative Geotaxis (No of Flies/10 s)	
1	NCD	$4.80\pm0.10$ <sup>a</sup>	$9.67\pm0.08~^{\rm a}$	$9.40\pm0.36$ <sup>a</sup>	$9.33\pm0.28$ <sup>a</sup>	
2	HFD	$12.40\pm0.46~^{\rm c}$	$6.33\pm0.58$ <sup>b</sup>	$13.20\pm0.30~^{\rm c}$	$4.67 \pm 0.56$ <sup>b</sup>	
3	AKM_B	$4.23\pm0.15$ a	$9.33\pm0.35$ a	$8.53\pm0.12$ a	$8.67\pm0.18$ <sup>a</sup>	
4	AKM_W	$8.50\pm0.30$ <sup>b</sup>	$7.03\pm0.05$ <sup>b</sup>	$11.77 \pm 0.25$ <sup>b</sup>	$6.33 \pm 0.50 \ {^{\mathrm{b}}}$	
5	BAI_B	$6.17\pm0.31$ a	$8.67\pm0.53$ a	$9.93\pm0.15$ a	$8.33\pm0.53$ a	
6	BAI_W	$10.50 \pm 0.30$ <sup>b</sup>	$7.00\pm0.00$ b	$11.20 \pm 0.30$ <sup>b</sup>	$6.00\pm0.00$ b	
7	BAB_B	$4.97\pm0.21~^{\mathrm{a}}$	$8.67\pm0.03$ <sup>a</sup>	$10.10\pm0.35$ $^{\rm a}$	$8.00\pm0.00$ a	
8	BAB_W	$10.13\pm0.21$ <sup>b</sup>	$7.13\pm0.25$ <sup>b</sup>	$12.57\pm0.21~^{\rm c}$	$6.00 \pm 0.00$ <sup>b</sup>	
9	DAN_B	$4.80\pm0.10$ <sup>a</sup>	$9.33\pm0.45$ a	$10.00\pm0.36$ $^{\rm a}$	$8.67\pm0.50$ <sup>a</sup>	
10	DAN_W	$9.07\pm0.42$ <sup>b</sup>	$7.23\pm0.08$ <sup>b</sup>	$12.57\pm0.21~^{\rm c}$	$6.33\pm0.45$ <sup>b</sup>	
11	JEEP_B	$5.97\pm0.55$ <sup>a</sup>	$9.00 \pm 0.00$ <sup>a</sup>	$9.90\pm0.20$ a	$8.33\pm0.58$ a	
12	JEEP_W	$11.17\pm0.85~^{ m c}$	$7.67\pm0.28$ <sup>b</sup>	$12.97\pm0.21~^{ m c}$	$6.33 \pm 0.36$ <sup>b</sup>	
13	JAM_B	$5.77\pm0.06$ <sup>a</sup>	$8.53\pm0.50$ $^{\mathrm{a}}$	$8.50\pm0.00$ <sup>a</sup>	$8.33\pm0.58$ a	
14	JAM_W	$11.40 \pm 0.00$ <sup>c</sup>	$7.33\pm0.51$ <sup>b</sup>	$11.13\pm0.06$ <sup>b</sup>	$6.67 \pm 0.51$ <sup>b</sup>	
15	MBC_B	$5.03 \pm 0.12$ <sup>a</sup>	$9.00 \pm 0.00$ a	$8.67\pm0.06$ <sup>a</sup>	$9.00 \pm 1.00$ <sup>a</sup>	
16	MBC_W	$9.47\pm0.12$ b	$6.73\pm0.54$ <sup>b</sup>	$12.63\pm0.06$ <sup>c</sup>	$5.67 \pm 0.49$ <sup>b</sup>	
17	YARW_B	$6.00 \pm 0.00$ a	$8.67\pm0.48$ a	$8.80\pm0.17$ a	$9.67\pm0.53$ a	
18	YARW_W	$9.87\pm0.06$ b	$6.33\pm0.57$ b	$12.97\pm0.12$ c	$5.67 \pm 1.15$ <sup>b</sup>	
19	KWA_B	$4.30 \pm 0.00$ a	$9.33 \pm 0.39$ a	$8.30\pm0.00$ a	$9.33 \pm 0.54$ a	
20	KWA_W	$8.83\pm0.06$ <sup>b</sup>	$7.33\pm0.62$ b	$11.83\pm0.06$ <sup>b</sup>	$6.47\pm0.57$ <sup>b</sup>	
21	YARK_B	$5.43 \pm 0.12$ a	$8.00 \pm 0.00$ a	$8.80\pm0.10$ <sup>a</sup>	$8.67 \pm 1.15$ <sup>a</sup>	
22	YARK_W	$9.77 \pm 0.06$ <sup>b</sup>	$6.67\pm0.40$ <sup>b</sup>	$12.77\pm0.06$ <sup>c</sup>	$6.33 \pm 0.51$ <sup>b</sup>	
23	DANB_B	$5.57\pm0.23$ a	$8.33 \pm 0.55$ a	$9.13\pm0.23$ <sup>a</sup>	$9.33 \pm 0.29$ <sup>a</sup>	
24	DANB_W	$12.10 \pm 0.10$ <sup>c</sup>	$7.33\pm0.48$ <sup>b</sup>	$13.13 \pm 0.12$ <sup>c</sup>	$5.33 \pm 0.52$ <sup>b</sup>	
25	BAD_B	$4.37\pm0.32$ a	$8.67\pm0.33$ <sup>a</sup>	$9.27\pm0.06$ <sup>a</sup>	$8.33\pm0.47$ a	
26	BAD_W	$12.27 \pm 0.31$ <sup>c</sup>	$3.33 \pm 0.52$ <sup>c</sup>	$14.20 \pm 0.10$ <sup>c</sup>	$5.00 \pm 0.00$ <sup>b</sup>	
27	FARO_B	$6.07\pm0.06$ <sup>a</sup>	$9.00\pm0.00$ a	$9.47\pm0.42$ <sup>a</sup>	$8.33 \pm 0.58$ <sup>a</sup>	
28	FARO_W	$11.50 \pm 0.00$ <sup>c</sup>	$5.33\pm0.25$ <sup>c</sup>	$12.03\pm0.06$ <sup>c</sup>	$6.36\pm0.43$ <sup>b</sup>	
29	JIR_B	$6.10\pm0.00$ a	$8.00 \pm 0.00$ a	$8.80\pm0.00$ a	$9.00 \pm 0.00$ a	
30	JIR_W	$11.47\pm0.06$ c	$7.67\pm0.58$ <sup>b</sup>	$13.57\pm0.40$ <sup>c</sup>	$6.00\pm0.00$ <sup>b</sup>	
31	YARM_B	$5.53\pm0.64$ a	$9.00 \pm 0.00$ a	$9.00\pm0.00$ a	$8.33\pm0.58$ a	
32	YARM_W	$11.20\pm0.00$ c	$6.70\pm0.02$ <sup>b</sup>	$13.50\pm0.00$ c	$5.00 \pm 0.00$ <sup>b</sup>	

**Table 3.** Body weight changes and negative geotaxis for male and female flies after 7 days of diet intervention.

Mean  $\pm$  SD values within a column denoted by the same letters in superscript are not significantly different (p > 0.05). \_B, Brown rice; \_W, White rice; (n, 30). NCD = normal diet, HFD = high-fat diet *Akai maza hajj* = AKM, *Baingila* = BAI, *Baburashi* = BAB, *Jamila* = JAM, *Maibakincarki* = MBC, *Yarwasagi* = YARW, *Kwandala* = KWA, *Yarkatabore* = YARK, *Danboto* = DANB, *Bakindanboto* = BAD, *Faro* 44 = FARO, *Jirkita* = JIR, *Yarkukuma* = YARM, *Dankaushi* = DAN, *Jeep* = JEEP.

## 2.5. Effects on Biochemical Variables

## 2.5.1. Effects on Fasting Glucose Level

Both male and female fruit flies on BR had comparable fasting glucose levels to those of the NCD group. However, there were significant differences (p < 0.05) between the BR and WR groups for both male and female flies. Similarly, there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) between the WR groups of both male and female flies, except for (p < 0.05) YARK and DANB (Figures 1 and 2) which were higher. In addition, the sex-dependent effect showed that the female groups in NCD, HFD, AKM, BAI, BAB, DAN, JEEP\_B, JAM, MBC\_W, YARW\_B, KWA, YARK, DANB\_W, and BAD had higher glucose levels compared with male the group (Supplementary Table S2).



**Figure 1.** Effects of rice cultivars on the levels of fasting glucose, trehalose and glycogen in male flies after 7 days of intervention diets. Bars represent mean  $\pm$  SD. Bars denoted by the same letters are not significantly different (p > 0.05). (n = 30). Black and green bars represent the groups fed normal diet and high fat diet respectively. NCD = normal diet, HFD = high-fat diet *Akai maza hajj* = AKM, *Baingila* = BAI, *Baburashi* = BAB, *Jamila* = JAM, *Maibakincarki* = MBC, *Yarwasagi* = YARW, *Kwandala* = KWA, *Yarkatabore* = YARK, *Danboto* = DANB, *Bakindanboto* = BAD, *Faro* 44 = FARO, *Jirkita* = JIR, *Yarkukuma* = YARM, *Dankaushi* = DAN, *Jeep* = JEEP.

### 2.5.2. Effects on Trehalose Levels

The fruit flies on BR diets had comparable trehalose levels to those of the NCD group. There were significant differences (p < 0.05) between the BR and WR groups for both male and female flies. However, there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) between the WR groups of the male flies, except for JEEP, BAD, FARO, and YARM (Figure 1) which were higher (p < 0.05). Similarly, the WR of JEEP, BAD, FARO, JIR and YARM were significantly higher (p < 0.05) than other WR groups of female flies (Figure 2). In addition, no sexdependent significant effect was observed in trehalose levels except in KWA\_W and JIR\_W, with higher levels in females (Supplementary Table S2).

## 2.5.3. Effects on Glycogen Levels

The male groups on BR diets had comparable tissue glycogen levels to those of the NCD group, except for (p < 0.05) the AKM, MBC, YARW, DANB, and BAD groups which were higher (Figure 1). Similarly, there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) between BR groups of female flies, except for (p < 0.05) JEEP and DANB (Figure 2), which were higher. However, there were significant differences (p < 0.05) between the BR and WR groups for both male and female flies. In addition, sex-dependent significant differences were observed in AKM\_B, JEEP\_B, MBC, YARW\_B, KWA\_W, DANB\_B, BAD, JIR\_B, and YARM\_B (Supplementary Table S2).



BR WR

**Figure 2.** Effects of rice cultivars on the levels of fasting glucose, trehalose and glycogen in female flies after 7 days of intervention. Bars represent Mean  $\pm$  SD. Bars denoted by the same letters are not significantly different (p > 0.05). (n = 30). Black and green bars represent the groups fed normal diet and high-fat diet respectively. NCD= normal diet, HFD = high-fat diet *Akai maza hajj* = AKM, *Baingila* = BAI, *Baburashi* = BAB, *Jamila* = JAM, *Maibakincarki* = MBC, *Yarwasagi* = YARW, *Kwandala* = KWA, *Yarkatabore* = YARK, *Danboto* = DANB, *Bakindanboto* = BAD, *Faro* 44 = FARO, *Jirkita* = JIR, *Yarkukuma* = YARM, *Dankaushi* = DAN, *Jeep* = JEEP.

#### 2.5.4. Effects on Triglyceride Level

The male fruit flies on the BR diet had comparable triglyceride levels to the fruit flies on the NCD, except for JIR and YARM which are significantly higher (p < 0.05) (Figure 3). The BR groups were significantly different (p < 0.05) from their corresponding WR groups. Similarly, there were no significant differences in male fruit fly groups on WR except for the DANB, JIR, and YARM, which were significantly higher, and for the MBC which was significantly lower than the others (p < 0.05) (Figure 3). Among the female groups, DAN was significantly higher (p < 0.05) than other BR groups (Figure 4). Moreover, all the BR groups were significantly different (p < 0.05) from their corresponding WR groups. There was no significant difference (p > 0.05) between WR cultivars in female groups, with the exception (p < 0.05) of BAB and BAD (Figure 4), which were significantly higher. In addition, sex-dependent significant differences were observed in all groups except for MBC\_B, BAD\_B, FARO, JIR\_B, and YARM (Supplementary Table S3).



**Figure 3.** Effects of rice cultivars on triglyceride level and oxidative stress markers in male flies after 7 days of intervention. Bars represent mean  $\pm$  SD. Bars denoted by the same letters are not significantly different (p > 0.05). (n = 30). Black and green bars represent the groups fed normal diet and high fat-diet respectively. NCD = normal diet, HFD = high-fat diet, *Akai maza hajj* = AKM, *Baingila* = BAI, *Baburashi* = BAB, *Jamila* = JAM, *Maibakincarki* = MBC, *Yarwasagi* = YARW, *Kwandala* = KWA, *Yarkatabore* = YARK, *Danboto* = DANB, *Bakindanboto* = BAD, *Faro* 44 = FARO, *Jirkita* = JIR, *Yarkukuma* = YARM, *Dankaushi* = DAN, *Jeep* = JEEP.

## 2.5.5. Effects on Oxidative Stress Markers

The SOD activity for the male fruit flies of the BR groups was comparable to that of the NCD group, except for the BAI, BAB, JEEP, JAM, YARK, and YARM groups, which were significantly lower (p < 0.05) (Figure 3). Similarly, female fruit flies on BR diets had comparable SOD levels to the NCD group, except for the MBC groups, which were significantly different (p < 0.05) from them (Figure 4). All fruit flies on the WR diets had comparable SOD activity to the HFD group. In addition, sex-dependent significant differences were observed in NCD, BAI\_B, BAB\_B, DAN\_B, MBC\_B, YARW\_B, KWA\_B, DAN\_B, BAD\_B, FARO\_B, and JIR\_B (Supplementary Table S3).

The fruit flies on BR diets had similar catalase activity to the NCD group. In addition, all fruit flies on the WR diets had comparable catalase activity to the HFD groups in both male and female groups. Analysis of catalase activity showed that there was a significant difference (p < 0.05) amongst the BR, WR, and HFD groups for both male and female flies (Figures 3 and 4). Moreover, sex-dependent significant differences were observed in NCD, AKM, BAI\_B, BAB\_B, DAN\_B, MBC\_B, YARW\_B, YARK\_B, DANB\_B, BAD, FARO\_B, and YARM\_B.



**Figure 4.** Effects of rice cultivars on triglyceride level and oxidative stress markers in female flies after 7 days of intervention. Bars represent mean  $\pm$  SD. Bars denoted by the same letters are not significantly different (p > 0.05). (n = 30). Black and green bars represent the groups fed normal diet and high-fat diet respectively. NCD = normal diet, HFD = high-fat diet, *Akai maza hajj* = AKM, *Baingila* = BAI, *Baburashi* = BAB, *Jamila* = JAM, *Maibakincarki* = MBC, *Yarwasagi* = YARW, *Kwandala* = KWA, *Yarkatabore* = YARK, *Danboto* = DANB, *Bakindanboto* = BAD, *Faro* 44 = FARO, *Jirkita* = JIR, *Yarkukuma* = YARM, *Dankaushi* = DAN, *Jeep* = JEEP.

All fruit flies on BR diets had similar MDA levels to those of the NC group. Similarly, no differences were observed between the WR groups and the HFD group. However, significant differences (p < 0.05) were found between the BR, WR, and HFD groups for both male and female flies (Figures 3 and 4). In addition, sex-dependent significant differences were observed in AKM\_W, BAI\_B, DAN\_W, JEEP\_W, JAM\_W, MBC, YARW\_W, KWA\_W, YARK\_W, DANB\_W, FARO\_W, JIR\_W, and YARM\_B (Supplementary Table S3).

## 2.6. Effects on Gene Expression

## 2.6.1. Expression of Insulin Receptor Substrate (IRS)

The fruit flies of the BR groups expressed comparable *IRS* mRNA relative to NCD (Figures 5 and 6). Similarly, those on the WR diets expressed *IRS mRNA* comparable to the HFD groups, except for (p < 0.05) of BAD, FARO, and JIR in female flies, which were significantly lower than others. However, there were significant differences (p < 0.05) between the BR and WR groups for both male and female flies (Figures 5 and 6). In addition, sexdependent significant differences were observed in all groups (Supplementary Table S4).



**Figure 5.** *dIRS*, *dPEPCK*, and *dACC* expressions in male flies exposed to different rice cultivars for 7 days. Fold change was calculated using Livak method  $(2^{-\Delta\Delta CT})$ . Bars represent mean  $\pm$  SD. Bars denoted by the same letters are not significantly different (p > 0.05). (n = 20). Green bar represent the group fed high-fat diet. HFD = high-fat diet, *Akai maza hajj* = AKM, *Baingila* = BAI, *Baburashi* = BAB, *Jamila* = JAM, *Maibakincarki* = MBC, *Yarwasagi* = YARW, *Kwandala* = KWA, *Yarkatabore* = YARK, *Danboto* = DANB, *Bakindanboto* = BAD, *Faro* 44 = FARO, *Jirkita* = JIR, *Yarkukuma* = YARM, *Dankaushi* = DAN, *Jeep* = JEEP.

## 2.6.2. Expression of Phosphoenol Pyruvate Carboxylase (PEPCK)

The BR groups had similar *PEPCK mRNA* expression for both males and females (Figures 5 and 6). However, there were significant differences (p < 0.05) between the BR and WR groups for both male and female flies. In contrast, the WR groups expressed comparable *PEPCK mRNA*, with the exception of (p < 0.05) AKM in the male group, which was higher. Meanwhile, the WR of BAI, DAN, and YARK were significantly higher (p < 0.05) than other WR groups in the female flies (Figure 6). In addition, sex-dependent significant differences were observed in all groups except for YARK\_W (Supplementary Table S4).

## 2.6.3. Expression of Acetyl-CoA Carboxylase (ACC)

The fruit flies on BR diets expressed higher levels of *ACC mRNA* compared with the NCD group. In contrast, those on the WR diets had similar expression levels to the HFD groups, which were higher than the expression in the BR groups (Figures 5 and 6). There was no significant difference (p > 0.05) between WR cultivars in the male groups, with the exception (p < 0.05) of AKM, which was higher (Figure 5). However, there were significant differences (p < 0.05) between the BR, WR, and HFD groups for both male and female flies (Figures 5 and 6). In addition, sex-dependent significant differences were observed in all groups except for AKM\_B, JIR\_B, and YARM\_B (Supplementary Table S4).



**Figure 6.** *dIRS*, *dPEPCK*, and *dACC* expressions in female flies exposed to different rice cultivars for 7 days. Fold change was calculated using Livak method  $(2^{-\Delta\Delta CT})$ . Bars represent mean  $\pm$  SD. Bars denoted by the same letters are not significantly different (p > 0.05). (n = 20). Green bar represent the group fed high-fat diet. HFD = high-fat diet, *Akai maza hajj* = AKM, *Baingila* = BAI, *Baburashi* = BAB, *Jamila* = JAM, *Maibakincarki* = MBC, *Yarwasagi* = YARW, *Kwandala* = KWA, *Yarkatabore* = YARK, *Danboto* = DANB, *Bakindanboto* = BAD, *Faro* 44 = FARO, *Jirkita* = JIR, *Yarkukuma* = YARM, *Dankaushi* = DAN, *Jeep* = JEEP.

## 3. Materials and Methods

## 3.1. Materials

Folin-Ciocalteu was purchased from Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India. Distilled water was prepared using distiller machine manufactured by Laboid international, Solan, Pradesh, India. Boric acid was purchased from Central Drug House Ltd., New Delhi, India. Gallic acid and quercertin were purchased from Suvidhi Chemical Industry, Gujarat, India. Hexane, isopropanol and ascorbic acid were purchased from Changzhou Baixing Chemical Co., Ltd., Tianjin, China. Corn flour was purchased from Faso Farine Mais Blanc (FFMB), Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. Coconut oil was purchased from Khong Guan vegetable oil refinery, Butterworth, Malaysia. Flavonoid assay kit was purchased from oxiselect™ Cell Biolabs, Inc. San Diego USA. Phosphate buffer saline was purchased from Biocept Ltd., Allschwil, Switzerland. Triglycerides, malondialdehyde (MDA), superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), glucose, trehalose, and glycogen assay kits were purchased from Solarbio Life Science, Beijing, China. Nucleic acid isolation kit purchased from Daan Gene Co., Ltd. of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangdong, China. Sybr-Green qRT-PCR kit (Master Mix PCR) was purchased from Toroivd Technology Company Ltd., Shanghai, China. All solvents used were of analytical grade and were purchased from Merck (Loba-chemie pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India).

#### 3.2. Sample Preparation

Fifteen commonly consumed cultivars of paddy rice in North West Nigeria were used in this study. The cultivars were *Akai maza hajj* (AKM), *Baingila* (BAI), *Baburashi* (BAB), *Jamila* (JAM), *Maibakincarki* (MBC), *Yarwasagi* (YARW), *Kwandala* (KWA), *Yarkatabore* (YARK), *Danboto* (DANB), *Bakindanboto* (BAD), *Faro 44* (FARO), *Jirkita* (JIR), *and Yarkukuma* (YARM), which were collected from Sokoto state, Nigeria, while the *Dankaushi* (DAN) and *Jeep* (JEEP) cultivars were collected from Kebbi and Kano States of Nigeria, respectively. All rice cultivars were collected from farmers at the points of harvest and transported to the laboratory in sacs for storage at ambient temperature prior to the study. The paddy rice cultivars were de-husked to obtain BR, where some were further polished into WR. Subsequently, the BR and WR were pulverised into powder, sieved, and stored in polythene bags at room temperature for further studies.

#### 3.3. Proximate Analysis and Crude Fibre Content Determination of the Rice Cultivars

The proximate composition of the rice samples was determined as described previously [16,24] with modifications, following the official methods of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists [25]. Thus, contents such as ash, moisture, crude protein, fat, and crude fibre were measured, while carbohydrate content was estimated by the difference method (i.e., summation of all other percentage compositions and deducting the value from 100).

## 3.4. Analyses of the Bioactive Compound Components of the Rice Cultivars 3.4.1. Preparation of WR and BR Methanolic Extracts

Fifty grams (50 g) of pulverised WR and BR were separately extracted with 500 mL of methanol by maceration for 72 h, after which the extracts were filtered using a piece of clean, sterile, white muslin cloth to remove the debris and further filtered on a Whatman filter paper No. 1. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the methanolic extracts obtained were tested for total phenolic and flavonoid content.

#### 3.4.2. Determination of Total Phenolic Content (TPC)

The total phenolic content of the extracts was determined using a modified Folin– Ciocalteu colorimetric method (Sisco Research Laboratories, Mumbai, India) according to the manufacturer's instructions, with little modification. Briefly, 500  $\mu$ L of freshly prepared 10% Folin–Ciocalteu reagent and different concentrations of 150  $\mu$ L of the extracts or gallic acid (positive control) were incubated for 5 min. Then, the reaction was neutralised with 1 mL saturated sodium carbonate. The absorbance was measured at 760 nm after 40 min incubation, and the results were expressed as milligrams of gallic acid equivalent per 100 g of the weight of the extract (mg GAE/g DW).

## 3.4.3. Determination of Total Flavonoid Contents (TFC)

The total flavonoid content was determined using the Dowd method as adapted by Arvouet-Grand [26] and reported by others [16,27,28]. Briefly, 2 mL of 2% aluminium trichloride (AlCl<sub>3</sub>) (Changzhou Baixing, Tianjin, China) in methanol was mixed with equal volume of the extract and incubated at room temperature for 10 min before reading the absorbance at 415 nm against a blank sample consisting of 2 mL of extract and 2 mL of methanol without AlCl<sub>3</sub>. The total flavonoid content was determined using a standard curve with quercetin (Sisco Research Laboratories, Mumbai, India) as the standard. The mean of three readings was expressed as milligrams of quercetin equivalent per gram of the weight of extract (mg QE/gDW).

#### 3.4.4. Determination of Total Oryzanol Content (TOC)

The rice sample was pulverised, sieved, and weighed before it was soaked in 50 mL of distilled water in a test tube with 2 g of ascorbic acid. The mixture was then vortexed and incubated at 40  $^{\circ}$ C for 40 min before adding 75 mL of hexane:isopropanol (1:3). The

mixture was then vortexed again and centrifuged with a floor model refrigerated centrifuge machine (MX-301 Highspeed, Tomy Kogyo Co., Ltd., Tagara, Japan) at  $1320 \times g$  for 15 min. A separator funnel was used to remove the organic layer. The residue was further re-extracted with 10 mL of hexane:isopropanol (1:3), and the entire process was repeated. The rotary evaporator (LB-R1000, Labpre Instrument and Equipment Co., Ltd., Henan, China) was set at 70 °C to evaporate the combined organic layers. The extract was dissolved in isopropanol, and its absorbance at 326 nm was recorded using a spectrophotometer (Multiskan EX, Thermo Scientific, Vantaa, Finland), and the results were expressed as mg/DW of the sample [29].

# 3.5. Fruit Fly Husbandry and Experimental Design

Wild-type (w<sup>1118</sup> strain) Drosophila melanogaster were acquired from the Drosophila Laboratory of the Centre for Advanced Medical Research and Training (CAMRET), Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto. They were maintained at room temperature (22 to 25 °C) on a standard diet in media bottles in a natural light/dark cycle. To establish the possible effects of WR and BR consumption on metabolic parameters, young adult fruit flies of about a day old were separated into 64 groups. Each group had five replicates with 20 flies per replicate. This made a total of 100 fruit flies per group. Each group was assigned to experimental diets for 7 days as follows: normal control diet (NCD) (2 groups {males and females}), high-fat diet (HFD) (2 groups {males and females}), 15 cultivars of brown rice (30 groups (15 males and 15 females)), corresponding 15 white rice (30 groups (15 males and 15 females)) (Figure 7). The NCD is a standard cornmeal diet that contains corn flour, yeast, agar-agar, and distilled water. The HFD is a formulation composed of 90% NCD and 10% coconut oil (CO), as described by Heinrichsen [30], while rice diet formulations are composed of 50% NCD and 50% BR/WR. After seven days of the intervention, the fruit flies were assessed for negative geotaxis (climbing speed) and weight. Finally, the fruit flies were anaesthetized on ice and preserved at -20 °C until further analyses.



#### Figure 7. Fruit fly groups.

#### 3.6. Body Weight Measurements

The weights of the fruit flies were taken before and after the intervention (7 days) using a Kern analytical weighing scale (Kern & Sohn Ltd., Balingen, Germany). Thirty (30) flies per group were anaesthetized on ice and then placed inside a pre-weighed empty microtube (Wuhan Servicebio Technology Co., Ltd., Wuhan, China) and re-weighed. The differences in weights were recorded in milligrams (mg).

## 3.7. Negative Geotaxis Assay

Thirty flies per interventional diet were anaesthetized on ice and placed in empty 50 mL measuring cylinders to assess locomotor activity [31]. The cylinder was marked at 6 cm from the bottom. Flies were allowed to acclimatise at room temperature for 10 min.

The cylinder was gently tapped such that all the flies were at the bottom of the tube, and the number of flies that crossed the 6 cm mark in ten seconds was recorded. This was repeated thrice on the 7th day of exposure to interventional diets for each group to determine the average pass rate per group with 2 min resting time.

#### 3.8. Biochemical Analyses

Thirty flies per interventional diet were fasted and anaesthetized on ice. They were thereafter placed in a dish and rinsed with 100  $\mu$ L of cold PBS. The flies were then homogenised using 200  $\mu$ L of cold PBS on ice. The homogenates were centrifuged for 3 min at 14,000 × *g* in a floor model refrigerated centrifuge (MX-301 Highspeed, Tomy Kogyo Co., Ltd., Tagara, Japan) at 4 °C. The supernatant containing the haemolymph was collected for biochemical analyses.

#### 3.8.1. Glucose Assay

Fasting glucose levels of haemolymph were quantified using the Glucose Oxidase (GO) enzymatic assay kit (Spinreact, Girona, Spain) following manufacturer instructions, and the results were expressed as mg/dL.

## 3.8.2. Trehalose Assay

The trehalose level of haemolymph was quantified using the Anthrone colorimetric kit (Solarbio Life Science, Beijing, China) in accordance with manufacturer instructions and the results were expressed as mg/g sample.

## 3.8.3. Glycogen Assay

The glycogen level of haemolymph was also determined using the Anthrone colorimetric kit (Solarbio Life Science, Beijing, China) in accordance with manufacturer instructions, with the results expressed as mg/g sample.

#### 3.8.4. Triglyceride Assay

The triglyceride level of the haemolymph was quantified using a colorimetric kit (Spinreact, Girona, Spain) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

## 3.8.5. Anti-Oxidant Markers Assay

Malondialdehyde (MDA) level and activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase (CAT) in the haemolymph were determined using colorimetric assay kits (Solarbio Life Science, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

## 3.9. Gene Expression Analysis

## 3.9.1. Extraction of RNA

RNA was extracted from 10 flies per group, using the nucleic acid isolation kit (Daan Gene Co., Ltd., Sun Yat-sen University, Guangdong, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, lysis buffer was added to the microtube containing the flies, and the flies were homogenised using a pellet pestle. Thereafter, chloroform was added to the homogenate, which was then centrifuged in a floor model refrigerated centrifuge machine (MX-301 Highspeed, Tomy Kogyo Co., Ltd., Tagara, Japan) at  $12,000 \times g$  at 4 °C for 1 min. The upper aqueous layer was then transferred into a new microtube, followed by the addition of absolute ethanol. The mixture was then transferred to the RNA binding column and subjected to centrifugation at  $12,000 \times g$  at 4 °C for 1 min. The flow-through, wash buffer 1 was added and spun at  $12,000 \times g$  at 4 °C for 1 min. The flow-through was discarded, and another wash buffer 2 was added and spun again at  $12,000 \times g$  at 4 °C for 1 min. After discarding the flow-through, the binding column was spun dry at  $12,000 \times g$  at 4 °C for 3 min and transferred to a new microtube. Approximately 50  $\mu$ L of eluent buffer was added and soaked for 3 min and then spun at  $12,000 \times g$  at 4 °C for 1 min. The extracted RNA was considered acceptable when it had purity readings for

A260/230 and A260/280 between 1.8 and 2.0 using a BioSpec-nano spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Biotech, Japan).

## 3.9.2. Primer Design

Primer quest tool software was used to design primers using *Drosophila melanogaster* gene sequences obtained from the National Centre for Biotechnology Information Gen Bank Database [32]. The genes of interest were *dPEPCK*, *dIRS*, and *dACC*, and *RPL-32* was used as the housekeeping gene (Table 4).

**Table 4.** Gene name, accession number and primer sequences used in the analysis of drosophila melanogaster genes.

S/N	Gene Name	Accession Number	Left	Right
1.	PEPCK	NM_079060.3	CCTCGATGGCATGAAGGATAAG	GACTCGAATAGGTGCGAATATC
2.	IRS-2	NM_168448.3	CGGGCGCTTAATACATCACTA	CTGCCGGTCAAATCTCCTATC
3.	ACC	NM_079288.3	ATCCCGTGATTTCCACACAAG	AGTTATCCTCCTCCTCGAACTC
4.	RPL-32	NM_079814.3	GTCGTCGCTTTGTCATCT	GCAGGTTGTAGCCCTTCTT

*PEPCK* = phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylate kinase, *IRS-2* = insulin receptor substrate-2, ACC =Acetyl CoA carboxylase1, *RPL-32* = 60S ribosomal protein large subunit-32.

## 3.9.3. Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-qPCR) Analysis

RT-qPCR of RNA samples was carried using a one-step SYBR Green Master Mix (Toroivd Technology Company Ltd., Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, the reaction mixture was prepared by mixing RNA samples (2  $\mu$ L each), 10  $\mu$ L of qRT PCR master mix, 1  $\mu$ L of manganese (Mn), 0.4  $\mu$ L of forward/reverse primers, and 6.2  $\mu$ L DNAse/RNase-free water (PCR grade water). The mixture was gently vortexed and loaded on a Rotor-Gene Q-5plex platform thermal cycler (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) set with the following cycling conditions: denaturation at 90 °C for 30 s, reverse transcription at 61 °C for 20 min, pre-denaturation at 95 °C for 1 min, followed by 45 cycles involving denaturation at 95 °C for 15 s, annealing at 53 °C for 15 s and extension at 74 °C for 18 s. The fold change for each gene was determined using the 2<sup>- $\Delta\Delta$ CT</sup> method [33].

#### 3.10. Statistical Analysis

All data were subjected to Shapiro–Wilk normality test to determine the choice of statistical analyses; parametric tests were used to analyse results. The results were expressed as means  $\pm$  standard deviations (SD). A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni post hoc test were used to determine the significant differences in proximate analysis and bioactive compound components. Two-way ANOVA and *Bonferroni's* multiple comparisons test were used to determine the sex-dependent differences in body weight, negative geotaxis, biochemical analysis, and gene expression analysis. Differences were considered statistically significant at *p* < 0.05. All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics (Version 20.0. IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

## 4. Discussion

Currently, local rice cultivars are the major staple food, providing energy and nutrients for the large Nigerian population. The Nigerian locally grown BR cultivars used in this study have an abundant protein composition ranging from  $10.90 \pm 0.1\%$  to  $11.67 \pm 0.10\%$ , which is higher than previously reported values by Oko and Ugwu [34] ( $1.58 \pm 0.01\%$  to  $6.22 \pm 0.01\%$ ) and Odenigbo [35] ( $8.26 \pm 0.20\%$  to  $10.52 \pm 0.35\%$ ). In contrast, the WR cultivars have low protein contents, which vary from  $2.43 \pm 0.20\%$  to  $10.4 \pm 0.20\%$  as a result of the milling process.

Furthermore, the BR samples in our study have high quantities of carbohydrates, ranging from  $64.66 \pm 0.67\%$  to  $70.22 \pm 0.64\%$ . These values are lower than the values obtained by Odenigbo [35] (87.79% to 89.44%) and Oko and Ugwu [34] (76.92% to 85.09%), both of whom analysed the proximate compositions of BR in South East Nigeria. The

low carbohydrate content may be attributed to environmental factors, such as climate and planting methods [36,37]. The carbohydrate content of the WR was similar to those of the BR, likely because polishing has no effect on the carbohydrate composition of rice. Carbohydrates are the main determinant of the glycaemic index of rice, an indicator of the amount of glucose available for energy production for immediate cellular metabolism or storage for subsequent utilization when needed. Accordingly, consumption of WR as a staple diet causes sustained hyperglycaemia, which could deteriorate into metabolic diseases, such as obesity and IR [14].

The percentage of fibre content among the fifteen BR samples was higher than the range (1.5 to 2.0%) reported by Oko and Ugwu [34] in a study conducted in Ebonyi, South East Nigeria. The polishing of BR generally decreases its fibre content. The fibre contents of WR samples in our study were lower than those of BR. Dietary fibre can slow down the digestion of starches and the absorption of glucose into the bloodstream, thus preventing the postprandial hyperglycaemia that accompanies the consumption of high GI diets such as WR and reducing the risks of metabolic diseases [12,38]. The ash contents of the rice samples are indicative of the inorganic elements present in the samples. The higher ash contents in the WR suggest the presence of higher levels of nutritionally non-essential contents in comparison to the BR. Odenigbo [35] reported a range of 0.23  $\pm$  0.32% to  $0.80 \pm 0.12\%$  in their study conducted on BR rice varieties from Abakaliki, South East Nigeria, which is different from the results obtained in this study. Therefore, consuming BR of these cultivars along with other healthy diets makes it easier to achieve the recommended daily allowance/adequate intakes, which is estimated for individuals aged 19-50 years at 56 g/day (male) and 46 g/day (female) for protein, 130 g/day for carbohydrate, and 38 g/day (male) and 25 g/day (female) for dietary fibre [39].

The percentage moisture contents for both BR and WR cultivars ranged from  $7.33 \pm 0.77\%$  to  $9.5 \pm 0.5\%$ , which is different from what Oko and Ugwu [34] reported ( $3.67 \pm 0.01$  to  $18.0 \pm 0.1$ ). Moisture content may be attributed to the degree of temperature the rice was exposed to previously, and this affects the milling, storage, and taste of rice and is a major determinant of rice shelf life [34]. The percentage lipid content of rice cultivars in this study ranged from  $0.17 \pm 0.29$  to  $2.83 \pm 0.29$ , which is consistent with values reported by Oko and Ugwu [34] (0.5% to 3.5%) but not by Wordu and Banigo [38] (0.3% to 1.6%). The disparity in our proximate composition with other studies could be attributed to differences in factors such as location, paddy soil, planting methods, climate, harvest time, handling, and storage of rice samples before the analysis methods [35].

Oryzanols have been demonstrated to have anti-cholesterol effects mediated via reducing hepatic fat accumulation and inflammation [7,10]. More so, it has anti-glycaemic effects mediated via enhancing glucose uptake and GLUT-4 translocation to the cell surface [40–44]. Its antioxidant and anti-diabetic effects have equally been reported, which are mediated by inhibiting rapid digestion and absorption of glucose in the intestine as well as by preventing the accumulation of ROS in the tissues [42,43,45,46]. The BR samples in our study had high quantities of oryzanol contents, consistent with the average value (45 mg/g) reported for local BR from Chiang Mai, Thailand, by Hongsibong et al. [43]. As a result of the polishing processes, WR had low oryzanol contents compared with the corresponding BR. Furthermore, the BR samples used in this study are rich in polyphenols, such as flavonoids and other phenolic compounds. The flavonoid content observed in this study was similar to that reported by Salawu et al. [47] (2.9 g% to 11.93 g%) in a study conducted on rice cultivars from the North Central and South West regions of Nigeria. However, the results are different from those reported by Oselebe [5] (0.5 to 3.0 g%).

The flavonoid contents of WR were lower than those of BR due to the loss of the bran layer to the polishing process. It is well known that flavonoids possess antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and hepatoprotective effects [48]. They also cause inhibition of glucose absorption, thereby producing lower glucose levels and inhibiting gluconeogenesis and glycogenolysis [5,45,48,49]. The total phenolic contents of the fifteen cultivars of BR were similar to those reported by Oselebe [5] (0.09 to 0.75 mg GAE/g DW). The lower values

observed for WR may have been due to the loss of the bran layer. Moreover, the bran layer of BR is rich in phenolics that confer anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effects. In addition, phenolic acid inhibits the rapid absorption of glucose, thereby decreasing postprandial hyperglycaemia, enhancing insulin release, activating insulin receptors, and modulating glucose release from the liver. All of these can protect against diet-induced metabolic disorders [44,47,49–51]. Studies have linked diet-induced metabolic disorders such as obesity to WR consumption [8,52–55]. In this study, fruit fly consumption of WR appeared to promote fat expansion, weight gain, higher oxidised LDL levels, and elevated oxidative stress that were observed. Similar observations were also made by [11], who reported enhanced body weight gain in rats fed on WR. The reduced body weight seen in the BR groups can be attributed to the multiple bioactive compounds in the BR cultivars. Ho et al. and Bui et al. [56,57] also reported that BR suppressed the weight and size of epididymal adipose tissue and significantly decreased lipid accumulation in the liver. This is supported by evidence from human studies where BR reduced body weight and increased HDL levels [58].

A negative geotaxis assay is an assessment of the fly's ability to move against gravity. This has been used as an indicator of obesity, Alzheimer's, and Parkinson's diseases in *Drosophila* models [59,60]. In this study, the decreased locomotor activity, as measured by the negative geotaxis assay, observed in the WR and HFD groups, is likely due to an increase in body weight. This is consistent with the study by Trindade et al. [61] who reported a decrease in the negative geotaxis ability of flies following 7 days of exposure to HFD. The BR groups had good locomotor activity, suggesting that the bioactive compounds in BR may have contributed to this property.

In *Drosophila*, the dominant haemolymph sugar is the disaccharide trehalose, which is synthesised by trehalose-6-phosphate synthase 1 in the fat body (analogous to the mammalian liver) [62]. Simple sugars from consumed food are taken up passively from the digestive tract and directly into the fat body, where they are converted to trehalose, a non-reducing sugar, and where they are stored or released into the haemolymph as the primary circulating sugar [23]. In this study, high glucose and trehalose concentrations were observed in the WR and HFD groups. This is consistent with the study conducted by Musselman et al. [63] who demonstrated that chronic consumption of high-sugar diets elevated haemolymph glucose and trehalose concentrations compared with other high-calorie diets. However, in comparison to WR, the availability of abundant bioactive compounds in BR may have helped to maintain glucose homeostasis in fruit flies, as was evident in our study where the BR groups had relatively similar concentrations.

Studies have linked insulin resistance (IR) to WR consumption [8,52–55]. IR is a metabolic disorder characterised by chronic hyperglycaemia caused by abnormal glucose use in skeletal muscle and increased hepatic glucose production via glycogenolysis or gluconeogenesis [64]. This occurs due to the inhibition of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake, which causes tissue starvation, thereby inhibiting glycogen synthesis and enhancing the conversion of stored glycogen back to glucose, which eventually worsens the hyperglycaemic condition [65]. Excess energy is stored in the form of glycogen in the skeletal muscle and the liver during a normal nutritional state for utilisation during deficiency when it would be mobilised and released via glycogenolysis [66]. In this study, a decrease in glycogen levels was observed in the WR groups, in addition to the elevated fasting glucose reported in the same groups earlier. This is indicative of ongoing glycogenolysis in response to tissue energy starvation, which occurs in IR. This is consistent with other studies, which reported a decrease in glycogen synthesis from the downregulation of glucokinase (GCK) as a result of WR consumption [9,64,65]. However, higher glycogen levels were observed in the BR groups due to active glycogenesis; this is supported by the study conducted by Gao et al. [67] where GCK was upregulated in the BR group and downregulated in the WR group.

Impairment in the insulin signalling pathway results in a deficiency of insulin stimulation of glucose uptake leading to IR [68]. Existing evidence suggests that serine/threonine phosphorylation attenuates insulin signalling by reducing the extent of tyrosine phosphorylation of *IRS* proteins [69,70]. Our study demonstrated a decline in *IRS mRNA* expression in the WR groups, which clearly showed impairment in the insulin signalling pathway, and partly explains the elevated glucose and trehalose concentrations. However, increased *IRS mRNA* expression was observed in the BR groups, suggesting an adequate flow of insulin signalling pathways. This is consistent with the studies by Shen et al. [64] and Adamu et al. [68], both of whom reported upregulation of *IRS mRNA* expression in the BR groups of the rat model.

As a result of the inhibition of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake, tissues resort to stored carbohydrates by activating glycogenolysis for immediate compensation, or non-glucose compounds by activating gluconeogenesis for long-term compensation [71,72]. The initial gluconeogenic step is catalysed by *PEPCK*, which is upregulated in the WR groups in this study. This is consistent with our previous report on the upregulation of *PEPCK mRNA* expression by WR and its downregulation by BR [71].

Sex-dependent differences were observed in the glucose metabolism of some WR groups as evident in trehalose concentration and glycogen accumulation; this was supported by the downregulation of *IRS mRNA* and by the upregulation of *PEPCK mRNA*. In addition, male androgen hormones were implicated due to their ability to suppress leptin secretion, which increased the rate of consumption of WR and led to persistent hyperglycaemia [73]. However, oestrogen was reported to stimulate the secretion of leptin, which reduced the consumption rate of female flies [74].

Accordingly, the current study demonstrates how the consumption of Nigerian locally grown BR and corresponding WR cultivars affect carbohydrate metabolism in fruit flies. Regular consumption of WR promotes persistent low-grade inflammation, which enhances serine/threonine phosphorylation [71,75–77] and downregulation of the *mRNA* expression of *IRS*, thereby inhibiting insulin-stimulated glucose uptake and causing IR. Sustained IR enhances the breaking down of stored glycogen in the liver via glycogenolysis as well as via upregulation of the *mRNA* expression of *PEPCK*, which enhances the synthesis of glucose from lipids and proteins to meet tissue glucose demands. Both gluconeogenesis and glycogenolysis replenish the tissues with energy to prevent starvation. However, the processes rather worsen the hyperglycaemic conditions, which if not properly managed, advances into T2DM.

Most obese individuals have elevated plasma levels of FFA and TG which are known to cause peripheral IR [10,78]. In this study, elevated triglyceride levels were observed in WR and HFD groups, in agreement with the findings of Trindade et al. [61], who demonstrated elevated triglyceride levels in flies exposed to HFD. Meanwhile, BR produced lower triglyceride levels likely due to the presence of bioactive compounds, such as oryzanol, flavonoid and phenolics in the BR cultivars which possess anti-cholesterol and anti-obesity effects. This is consistent with our previous study [10] where BR was demonstrated to lower total cholesterol, triglyceride and LDL-C in a rat model.

The Drosophila Acetyl-coA Carboxylase (*dACC*) gene has been identified as the homologue of the mammalian *ACC1* or *ACC2* coding sequences [79]. It encodes the rate-limiting enzyme, which catalyses the carboxylation of acetyl-CoA to malonyl-CoA in the synthesis of fatty acid. Increased levels of circulatory fatty acid and their accumulation as triglycerides (TGs) in the fat body constitute a critical step in the development of obesity and type 2 diabetes [79,80]. Sustained postprandial hyperglycaemia enhanced the conversion of excess glucose to FFA and TG via lipogenesis by activation and upregulation of *dACC mRNA* [81]. This is evident in this study where WR and HFD groups had upregulation of *dACC mRNA*, which explains the reason for the high TG concentration. However, downregulation of *dACC mRNA* was observed in BR groups in comparison to the NC groups, which is an indication of reduced accumulation of lipids, as demonstrated by the lower TG levels. This is consistent with the study by Ho et al. [13] who reported downregulation of *ACC mRNA* and reduced fat accumulation in BR and germinated BR groups compared to the WR group. Furthermore, a decrease in SOD and CAT activities, and an increase in MDA in both WR and HFD groups in this study is consistent with the study by Wunjuntuk et al. [82], who reported a similar trend of activities in the WR group compared to the BR group. Meanwhile, obesity and OS are positively associated with each other, and the elevation of ROS synthesis enhances fat accumulation [80]. Regular consumption of WR has been demonstrated to facilitate ROS production as a result of enhanced production of FFA and TG [10], whereas BR improves antioxidant status [83]

Moreover, sex-dependent differences were observed in the WR groups of the male category, showing higher percentage of TG gain, weight changes, and *dACC mRNA* compared with the corresponding WR groups in the female category. This could be explained by the ability of male androgen hormones to suppress the secretion of leptin (hunger inhibitor). This, therefore, increased the rate of consumption of WR, leading to persistent hyperglycaemia, most of which underwent lipogenesis as a result of upregulation of ACC mRNA expression, with consequent increases in TG, FFA, fat accumulation, and weight gain among the male flies in the WR groups [73]. However, it has been reported that oestrogen stimulates leptin hormone secretion, which reduces the consumption rate of female flies and consequently less TG, FFA, and weight gain [74].

Previous studies have shown that each of the bioactive compounds has a positive effect on metabolic health [45,48]. Thus, the synergistic effects of  $\gamma$ -oryzanol, flavonoids, and phenolics may have played a role in the outcomes observed in the current study. Individual bioactive compounds are usually arranged in a highly complex manner that may increase or decrease their effects in the body [84,85], resulting in a food synergy effect.

## 5. Conclusions

Findings from this study have shown that the selected Nigerian WR cultivars could promote metabolic perturbations via hyperglycaemia, lipogenesis, adiposity, and excessive weight gain in fruit flies. However, BR cultivars were shown to have anti-glycaemic, anti-lipogenesis, and anti-adiposity properties, which could be attributable to the availability of abundant bioactive contents in their bran. Mechanistically, the probable synergistic effects of the bioactive compounds in BR were seen in suppressed gluconeogenesis (*dPEPCK*) and glycogenolysis and enhanced insulin signalling pathways as indicated by *dIRS* upregulation. From the foregoing, the composition of BR, if present in a rice cultivar, as demonstrated in the BR of *Akai maza hajji*, *Baburashi*, *Ba'ingila*, *Dan kaushi*, and *Jeep* cultivars, would prevent individuals who depend on them as a staple food from diet-related metabolic diseases.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules28020532/s1, Table S1: Body weight changes and negative geotaxis activity for male and female flies after 7 days of diet intervention; Table S2: Effects of rice cultivars on the levels of fasting glucose, trehalose and glycogen for both male and female flies after 7 days of intervention diets; Table S3: Effects of rice cultivars on triglyceride level and oxidative stress markers in male and female flies after 7 days of intervention diets; Table S4: *dIRS*, *dPEPCK*, and *dACC* expressions in male and female flies exposed to different rice cultivars.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.U.I.; Data curation, S.O.S., H.M.S., Y.Y.S., K.O.S., K.G.I., M.B.A., K.W.C., N.H.A. and M.U.I.; Formal analysis, S.O.S., H.M.S., Y.Y.S. and I.M.; Investigation, S.O.S., H.M.S., Y.Y.S., I.M., M.B.A., K.W.C., N.H.A. and M.U.I.; Project administration, S.O.S., H.M.S., Y.Y.S., S.A.I., M.G.L., S.S., I.M. and M.U.I.; Funding acquisition, K.G.I., M.B.A. and M.U.I.; Resources, K.G.I., M.B.A., S.A.I., M.G.L., S.S., I.M. and M.U.I.; Supervision, K.G.I., S.A.I., M.G.L., S.S., I.M. and M.U.I.; Supervision, K.G.I., S.A.I., M.G.L., S.S., I.M., M.B.A. and M.U.I.; Writing—original draft, S.O.S., H.M.S., Y.Y.S., K.O.S., K.G.I., S.A.I., M.G.L., S.S., I.M. and M.U.I.; Supervision, K.G.I., S.A.I., M.G.L., S.S., I.M., M.B.A. and M.U.I.; Writing—original draft, S.O.S., H.M.S. and Y.Y.S.; Writing—review and editing, S.O.S., H.M.S., Y.Y.S., K.O.S., K.G.I., S.A.I., M.G.L., S.S., I.M., M.B.A. and M.U.I.; Writing—original draft, S.O.S., H.M.S., Y.Y.S., K.O.S., K.G.I., S.A.I., M.G.L., S.S., I.M., M.B.A., M.B.A., K.W.C., N.H.A. and M.U.I. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This study was funded through the Institution-based research (IBR) grant of the Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFUND) of Nigeria given to Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto (TETF/DR&D/CE/UNIV/SOKOTO/IBR/2016/VOL.1). Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available within the article and Supplementary Materials.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank the scholars and staff of the Centre for Advanced Medical Research and Training (CAMRET), Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Nigeria, for their technical support and the constructive criticisms of the manuscript.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- 1. Flanary, S.J.; Keane, R.E. United States Department of Agriculture. USDA For. Serv. Res. Note PNW-RN 2020, 2020, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- Atkinson, F.S.; Foster-Powell, K.; Brand-Miller, J.C. International Tables of Glycemic Index and Glycemic Load Values: 2008. Diabetes Care 2008, 31, 2281–2283. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mohan, V.; Spiegelman, D.; Sudha, V.; Gayathri, R.; Hong, B.; Praseena, K.; Anjana, R.M.; Wedick, N.M.; Arumugam, K.; Malik, V.; et al. Effect of Brown Rice, White Rice, and Brown Rice with Legumes on Blood Glucose and Insulin Responses in Overweight Asian Indians: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Diabetes Technol. Ther.* 2014, 16, 317–325. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, S.; Xu, H.; Sui, Y.; Mei, X.; Shi, J.; Cai, S.; Xiong, T.; Carrillo, C.; Castagnini, J.M.; Zhu, Z.; et al. Comparing the LC-MS Phenolic Acids Profiles of Seven Different Varieties of Brown Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Foods* 2022, *11*, 1552. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Oselebe, H.O.; Ogah, O.; Odo, M.I.; Ogbu, K.I. Determination of Phytochemical and Antioxidant Properties of Some Rice Varieties and Hybrids Grown in Ebonyi State, Nigeria. Niger. J. Biotechnol. 2013, 26, 60–67.
- Adebamowo, S.N.; Eseyin, O.; Yilme, S.; Adeyemi, D.; Willett, W.C.; Hu, F.B.; Spiegelman, D.; Adebamowo, C.A. A Mixed-Methods Study on Acceptability, Tolerability, and Substitution of Brown Rice for White Rice to Lower Blood Glucose Levels among Nigerian Adults. *Front. Nutr.* 2017, 4, 33. [CrossRef]
- Shimabukuro, M.; Higa, M.; Kinjo, R.; Yamakawa, K.; Tanaka, H.; Kozuka, C.; Yabiku, K.; Taira, S.I.; Sata, M.; Masuzaki, H. Effects of the Brown Rice Diet on Visceral Obesity and Endothelial Function: The BRAVO Study. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2014, 111, 310–320. [CrossRef]
- 8. Bahadoran, Z.; Mirmiran, P.; Delshad, H.; Azizi, F. White Rice Consumption Is a Risk Factor for Metabolic Syndrome in Tehrani Adults: A Prospective Approach in Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study. *Arch. Iran. Med.* **2014**, *17*, 435–440.
- Imam, M.U.; Ismail, M.; Ooi, D.J.; Sarega, N.; Ishaka, A. Increased Risk of Insulin Resistance in Rat Offsprings Exposed Prenatally to White Rice. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 2015, 59, 180–184. [CrossRef]
- Imam, M.U.; Ishaka, A.; Ooi, D.J.; Zamri, N.D.M.; Sarega, N.; Ismail, M.; Esa, N.M. Germinated Brown Rice Regulates Hepatic Cholesterol Metabolism and Cardiovascular Disease Risk in Hypercholesterolaemic Rats. J. Funct. Foods 2014, 8, 193–203. [CrossRef]
- 11. Abubakar, B.; Ismail, N.; Omar, A.R.; Abu Bakar, M.Z.; Ismail, M. Rice Consumption and Predisposition to Metabolic Diseases: The Role of PPARγ and GLUT4 Dysregulation. *J. Nutr. Intermed. Metab.* **2017**, *10*, 8–18. [CrossRef]
- Imam, M.U.; Musa, S.N.A.; Azmi, N.H.; Ismail, M. Effects of White Rice, Brown Rice and Germinated Brown Rice on Antioxidant Status of Type 2 Diabetic Rats. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2012, 13, 12952–12969. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Ho, J.N.; Son, M.E.; Lim, W.C.; Lim, S.T.; Cho, H.Y. Germinated Brown Rice Extract Inhibits Adipogenesis through the Down-Regulation of Adipogenic Genes in 3T3-L1 Adipocytes. *Plant Foods Hum. Nutr.* **2013**, *68*, 274–278. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Abubakar, B.; Zawawi, N.; Omar, A.R.; Ismail, M. Predisposition to Insulin Resistance and Obesity due to Staple Consumption of Rice: Amylose Content versus Germination Status. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0181309. [CrossRef]
- 15. Sawada, K.; Takemi, Y.; Murayama, N.; Ishida, H. Relationship between Rice Consumption and Body Weight Gain in Japanese Workers: White versus Brown Rice/Multigrain Rice. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab.* **2019**, *44*, 528–532. [CrossRef]
- Abubakar, B.; Yakasai, H.M.; Zawawi, N.; Ismail, M. Compositional Analyses of White, Brown and Germinated Forms of Popular Malaysian Rice to Offer Insight into the Growing Diet-Related Diseases. J. Food Drug Anal. 2018, 26, 706–715. [CrossRef]
- 17. Cáceres, P.J.; Martínez-Villaluenga, C.; Amigo, L.; Frias, J. Assessment on Proximate Composition, Dietary Fiber, Phytic Acid and Protein Hydrolysis of Germinated Ecuatorian Brown Rice. *Plant Foods Hum. Nutr.* **2014**, *69*, 261–267. [CrossRef]
- 18. Deng, G.F.; Xu, X.R.; Zhang, Y.; Li, D.; Gan, R.Y.; Li, H. Bin Phenolic Compounds and Bioactivities of Pigmented Rice. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2013**, *53*, 296–306. [CrossRef]
- 19. Maulani, R.R.; Sumardi, D.; Pancoro, A. Total Flavonoids and Anthocyanins Content of Pigmented Rice. *Drug Inventig. Today* **2019**, *12*, 369–373.
- Samyor, D.; Das, A.B.; Deka, S.C. Pigmented Rice a Potential Source of Bioactive Compounds: A Review. Int. J. Food Sci. Technol. 2017, 52, 1073–1081. [CrossRef]
- Tyagi, A.; Shabbir, U.; Chen, X.; Chelliah, R.; Elahi, F.; Ham, H.J.; Oh, D.H. Phytochemical Profiling and Cellular Antioxidant Efficacy of Different Rice Varieties in Colorectal Adenocarcinoma Cells Exposed to Oxidative Stress. *PLoS ONE* 2022, 17, e0269403. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Jennings, B.H. Drosophila—A Versatile Model in Biology & Medicine. Mater. Today 2011, 14, 190–195.

- Graham, P.; Pick, L. Drosophila as a Model for Diabetes and Diseases of Insulin Resistance. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* 2017, 121, 397–419. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ooi, D.J.; Iqbal, S.; Ismail, M. Proximate Composition, Nutritional Attributes and Mineral Composition of *Peperomia pellucida* L. (*Ketumpangan air*) Grown in Malaysia. *Molecules* 2012, 17, 11139–11145. [CrossRef]
- 25. AOAC. Official Method of Analysis, 18th ed.; AOAC Press: Rockville, MD, USA, 2005; Volume 1, pp. 73-80.
- Arvouet-Grand, A.; Vennat, B.; Pourrat, A.; Legret, P. Standardisation D'Un Extrait De Propolis Et Identification Des Principaux Constituants. J. Pharm. Belg. 1994, 49, 462–468.
- 27. Praveen, K.R.; Bono, A. Antioxidant activity, total phenolic and flavonoid content of morinda citrifolia fruit extracts from various extraction pro-cesses. *J. Eng. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *2*, 70–80.
- Lamien-Meda, A.; Lamien, C.E.; Compaoré, M.M.Y.; Meda, R.N.T.; Kiendrebeogo, M.; Zeba, B.; Millogo, J.F.; Nacoulma, O.G. Polyphenol Content and Antioxidant Activity of Fourteen Wild Edible Fruits from Burkina Faso. *Molecules* 2008, 13, 581–594. [CrossRef]
- Heidtmann-Bemvenuti, R.; Nora, N.S.; Badiale-Furlong, E. Extração de γ-Oryzanol de Farelo de Arroz. *Cienc. E Agrotecnol.* 2012, 36, 665–673. [CrossRef]
- Heinrichsen, E.T.; Zhang, H.; Robinson, J.E.; Ngo, J.; Diop, S.; Bodmer, R.; Joiner, W.J.; Metallo, C.M.; Haddad, G.G. Metabolic and Transcriptional Response to a High-Fat Diet in Drosophila Melanogaster. *Mol. Metab.* 2014, 3, 42–54. [CrossRef]
- 31. Nichols, C.D.; Becnel, J.; Pandey, U.B. Methods to Assay Drosophila Behavior. J. Vis. Exp. 2012, 61, e3795. [CrossRef]
- 32. Nucleotide [Internet]. *National library of Medicine;* National Center of Biotechnology Information: Bethesda, MD, USA, 2021. Available online: www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide (accessed on 31 August 2022).
- Schmittgen, T.D.; Livak, K.J. Analyzing Real-Time PCR Data by the Comparative CT Method. *Nat. Protoc.* 2008, *3*, 1101–1108. [CrossRef]
- Oko, A.O.; Ugwu, S.I. The Proximate and Mineral Compositions of Five Major Rice Varieties in Abakaliki, South-Eastern Nigeria. *Int. J. Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 2011, 3, 25–27.
- 35. Odenigbo, A. Nutrient Composition and Predicted Glycemic Index of Rice Varieties from Nigeria. *Br. J. Appl. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *4*, 302–318. [CrossRef]
- Chen, S.; Zhou, W.; Zeng, F.; Zhang, G. Effect of Planting Method on Grain Quality and Nutrient Utilization for No-Tillage Rice. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 2011, 42, 1324–1335. [CrossRef]
- Raza, M.A.; Bin Khalid, M.H.; Zhang, X.; Feng, L.Y.; Khan, I.; Hassan, M.J.; Ahmed, M.; Ansar, M.; Chen, Y.K.; Fan, Y.F.; et al. Effect of Planting Patterns on Yield, Nutrient Accumulation and Distribution in Maize and Soybean under Relay Intercropping Systems. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, *9*, 4947. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wordu, G.O.; Banigo, E.B. Evaluation of the Glycemic Index of Some Cooked Variety of Rice Products in Nigeria. Net J. Agric. Sci. 2013, 1, 38–41.
- National Research Council. Dietary Reference Intakes for Energy, Protein and Amino Acids; National Academies Press (US): Washington, DC, USA, 2005; ISBN 9780309085250.
- Minatel, I.O.; Francisqueti, F.V.; Corrêa, C.R.; Pereira Lima, G.P. Antioxidant Activity of γ-Oryzanol: A Complex Network of Interactions. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2016, 17, 1107. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ishaka, A.; Imam, M.U.; Ismail, M.; Mahmud, R.; Abu Bakar, Z.Z. Nanoemulsified Gamma-Oryzanol Rich Fraction Blend Regulates Hepatic Cholesterol Metabolism and Cardiovascular Disease Risk in Hypercholesterolaemic Rats. *J. Funct. Foods* 2016, 26, 338–349. [CrossRef]
- Masuzaki, H.; Kozuka, C.; Okamoto, S.; Yonamine, M.; Tanaka, H.; Shimabukuro, M. Brown Rice-Specific γ-Oryzanol as a Promising Prophylactic Avenue to Protect against Diabetes Mellitus and Obesity in Humans. J. Diabetes Investig. 2019, 10, 18–25. [CrossRef]
- Hongsibsong, S.; Sutan, K.; Kerdnoi, T.; Prapamontol, T. γ-Oryzanol Content Screening in Local Brown Rice Samples from Chiang Mai, Thailand and Comparison between Uncooked and Cooked Brown Rice. *Int. J. Agric. Res.* 2016, 11, 84–89. [CrossRef]
- Md Zamri, N.D.; Imam, M.U.; Abd Ghafar, S.A.; Ismail, M. Antioxidative Effects of Germinated Brown Rice-Derived Extracts on H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-Induced Oxidative Stress in HepG2 Cells. *Evid.-Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2014, 2014, 371907. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Goufo, P.; Trindade, H. Rice Antioxidants: Phenolic Acids, Flavonoids, Anthocyanins, Proanthocyanidins, Tocopherols, Tocotrienols, c-Oryzanol, and Phytic Acid. *Food Sci. Nutr.* **2014**, *2*, 75–104. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Ghatak, S.B.; Panchal, S.S. Anti-Diabetic Activity of Oryzanol and Its Relationship with the Anti-Oxidant Property. *Int. J. Diabetes Dev. Ctries.* **2012**, *32*, 185–192. [CrossRef]
- Salawu, S.O.; Alao, O.F.; Faloye, O.F.; Akindahunsi, A.A.; Boligon, A.A.; Athayde, M.L. Antioxidant Potential of Phenolic-Rich Two Varieties of Nigerian Local Rice and Their Anti-Cholinesterase Activities after in vitro Digestion. *Nutr. Food Sci.* 2016, 46, 171–189. [CrossRef]
- Al-Ishaq, R.K.; Abotaleb, M.; Kubatka, P.; Kajo, K.; Büsselberg, D. Flavonoids and Their Anti-Diabetic Effects: Cellular Mechanisms and Effects to Improve Blood Sugar Levels. *Biomolecules* 2019, 9, 430. [CrossRef]
- Hansakul, P.; Srisawat, U.; Itharat, A.; Lerdvuthisopon, N. Phenolic and Flavonoid Contents of Thai Rice Extracts and Their Correlation with Antioxidant Activities Using Chemical and Cell Assays. J. Med. Assoc. Thai. 2011, 94 (Suppl. S7), 122–130.

- Azmi, N.H.; Ismail, N.; Imam, M.U.; Ismail, M. Ethyl Acetate Extract of Germinated Brown Rice Attenuates Hydrogen Peroxide-Induced Oxidative Stress in Human SH-SY5Y Neuroblastoma Cells: Role of Anti-Apoptotic, pro-Survival and Antioxidant Genes. BMC Complement. Altern. Med. 2013, 13, 177. [CrossRef]
- Pengkumsri, N.; Chaiyasut, C.; Saenjum, C.; Sirilun, S.; Peerajan, S.; Suwannalert, P.; Sirisattha, S.; Sivamaruthi, B.S. Physicochemical and Antioxidative Properties of Black, Brown and Red Rice Varieties of Northern Thailand. *Food Sci. Technol.* 2015, 35, 331–338. [CrossRef]
- 52. Bhurosy, T.; Kaschalk, E.; Smiley, A.; He, K. Comment On "Ultraprocessed Food Consumption and Risk of Overweight and Obesity: The University of Navarra Follow-Up (SUN) Cohort Study". *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2017**, *105*, 1012. [CrossRef]
- 53. Bradley, P. Refined Carbohydrates, Phenotypic Plasticity and the Obesity Epidemic. Med. Hypotheses 2019, 131, 109317. [CrossRef]
- Kim, K.; Yun, S.H.; Choi, B.Y.; Kim, M.K. Cross-Sectional Relationship between Dietary Carbohydrate, Glycaemic Index, Glycaemic Load and Risk of the Metabolic Syndrome in a Korean Population. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2008, 100, 576–584. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
   Lalitha, R.; Shetty, S.B. Is White Rice the Culprit for the Expanding Waist Line in South Indians? *IOSR J. Dent. Med. Sci.* 2016, 15.
- Lalitha, R.; Shetty, S.B. Is White Rice the Culprit for the Expanding Waist Line in South Indians? *IOSR J. Dent. Med. Sci.* 2016, *15*, 21–28. [CrossRef]
   Bui, T.N.; Le Hop, T.; Nguyen, D.H.; Tran, O.B.; Nguyen, T.L.; Le, D.T.; Nguyen, D.V.A.; Vu, A.L.; Aoto, H.; Okuhara, Y.; et al.
- 56. Bui, T.N.; Le Hop, T.; Nguyen, D.H.; Tran, Q.B.; Nguyen, T.L.; Le, D.T.; Nguyen, D.V.A.; Vu, A.L.; Aoto, H.; Okuhara, Y.; et al. Pre-Germinated Brown Rice Reduced Both Blood Glucose Concentration and Body Weight in Vietnamese Women with Impaired Glucose Tolerance. J. Nutr. Sci. Vitaminol. 2014, 60, 183–187. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ho, J.N.; Son, M.E.; Lim, W.C.; Lim, S.T.; Cho, H.Y. Anti-Obesity Effects of Germinated Brown Rice Extract through down-Regulation of Lipogenic Genes in High Fat Diet-Induced Obese Mice. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 2012, 76, 1068–1074. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Abdul Rahim, A.F.; Norhayati, M.N.; Zainudin, A.M. The Effect of a Brown-Rice Diets on Glycemic Control and Metabolic Parameters in Prediabetes and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials and Controlled Clinical Trials. *PeerJ* 2021, 9, e11291. [CrossRef]
- Ali, Y.O.; Escala, W.; Ruan, K.; Zhai, R.G. Assaying Locomotor, Learning, and Memory Deficits in Drosophila Models of Neurodegeneration. J. Vis. Exp. 2011, 49, e2504. [CrossRef]
- Rivera, O.; McHan, L.; Konadu, B.; Patel, S.; Sint Jago, S.; Talbert, M.E. A High-Fat Diet Impacts Memory and Gene Expression of the Head in Mated Female Drosophila Melanogaster. *J. Comp. Physiol. B Biochem. Syst. Environ. Physiol.* 2019, 189, 179–198. [CrossRef]
- Trindade de Paula, M.; Poetini Silva, M.R.; Machado Araujo, S.; Cardoso Bortolotto, V.; Barreto Meichtry, L.; Zemolin, A.P.P.; Wallau, G.L.; Jesse, C.R.; Franco, J.L.; Posser, T.; et al. High-Fat Diet Induces Oxidative Stress and MPK2 and HSP83 Gene Expression in Drosophila Melanogaster. Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev. 2016, 2016, 4018157. [CrossRef]
- 62. Matsushita, R.; Nishimura, T. Trehalose Metabolism Confers Developmental Robustness and Stability in Drosophila by Regulating Glucose Homeostasis. *Commun. Biol.* 2020, *3*, 170. [CrossRef]
- 63. Musselman, L.P.; Fink, J.L.; Narzinski, K.; Ramachandran, P.V.; Hathiramani, S.S.; Cagan, R.L.; Baranski, T.J. A High-Sugar Diet Produces Obesity and Insulin Resistance in Wild-Type Drosophila. *DMM Dis. Model. Mech.* **2011**, *4*, 842–849. [CrossRef]
- Shen, K.P.; Hao, C.L.; Yen, H.W.; Chen, C.Y.; Wu, B.N.; Lin, H.L. Pre-Germinated Brown Rice Prevents High-Fat Diet Induced Hyperglycemia through Elevated Insulin Secretion and Glucose Metabolism Pathway in C57BL/6J Strain Mice. J. Clin. Biochem. Nutr. 2015, 56, 28–34. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Yen, H.W.; Lin, H.L.; Hao, C.L.; Chen, F.C.; Chen, C.Y.; Chen, J.H.; Shen, K.P. Effects of Pre-Germinated Brown Rice Treatment High-Fat Diet-Induced Metabolic Syndrome in C57BL/6J Mice. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* **2017**, *81*, 979–986. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Stern, J.H.; Rutkowski, J.M.; Scherer, P.E. Adiponectin, Leptin, and Fatty Acids in the Maintenance of Metabolic Homeostasis through Adipose Tissue Crosstalk. *Cell Metab.* **2016**, *23*, 770–784. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gao, Q.; Jiang, Y.; Luo, T.; Xu, Y.; Le, G.; Shi, Y. [Γ-Aminobutyric Acid Fortified Rice Alleviated Oxidative Stress and Pancreatic Injury in Type 2 Diabetic Mice]. Wei Sheng Yan Jiu 2019, 48, 179–199.
- Adamu, H.A.; Imam, M.U.; Der-Jiun, O.; Ismail, M. In Utero Exposure to Germinated Brown Rice and Its Gaba Extract Attenuates High-Fat-Diet-Induced Insulin Resistance in Rat Offspring. J. Nutrigenet. Nutr. 2017, 10, 19–31. [CrossRef]
- Greene, M.W.; Sakaue, H.; Wang, L.; Alessi, D.R.; Roth, R.A. Modulation of Insulin-Stimulated Degradation of Human Insulin Receptor Substrate-1 by Serine 312 Phosphorylation. J. Biol. Chem. 2003, 278, 8199–8211. [CrossRef]
- Haruta, T.; Uno, T.; Kawahara, J.; Takano, A.; Egawa, K.; Sharma, P.M.; Olefsky, J.M.; Kobayashi, M. A Rapamycin-Sensitive Pathway down-Regulates Insulin Signaling via Phosphorylation and Proteasomal Degradation of Insulin Receptor Substrate-1. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 2000, 14, 783–794. [CrossRef]
- 71. Imam, M.U.; Ismail, M. Nutrigenomic Effects of Germinated Brown Rice and Its Bioactives on Hepatic Gluconeogenic Genes in Type 2 Diabetic Rats and HEPG2 Cells. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* **2013**, *57*, 401–411. [CrossRef]
- Runtuwene, J.; Cheng, K.C.; Asakawa, A.; Amitani, H.; Amitani, M.; Morinaga, A.; Takimoto, Y.; Kairupan, B.H.R.; Inui, A. Rosmarinic Acid Ameliorates Hyperglycemia and Insulin Sensitivity in Diabetic Rats, Potentially by Modulating the Expression of PEPCK and GLUT4. *Drug Des. Devel. Ther.* 2016, 10, 2193–2202. [CrossRef]
- 73. Machinal-Quélin, F.; Dieudonné, M.N.; Pecquery, R.; Leneveu, M.C.; Giudicelli, Y. Direct in vitro Effects of Androgens and Estrogens on Ob Gene Expression and Leptin Secretion in Human Adipose Tissue. *Endocrine* **2002**, *18*, 179–184. [CrossRef]
- 74. Geber, S.; Brandão, A.H.F.; Sampaio, M. Effects of Estradiol and FSH on Leptin Levels in Women with Suppressed Pituitary. *Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol.* **2012**, *10*, 45. [CrossRef]

- Evans, J.L.; Goldfine, I.D.; Maddux, B.A.; Grodsky, G.M. Are Oxidative Stress—Activated Signaling Pathways Mediators of Insulin Resistance and β-Cell Dysfunction? *Diabetes* 2003, 52, 1–8. [CrossRef]
- Ho, F.M.; Liu, S.H.; Liau, C.S.; Huang, P.J.; Lin-Shiau, S.Y. High Glucose-Induced Apoptosis in Human Endothelial Cells Is Mediated by Sequential Activations of c-JUN NH<sub>2</sub>-Terminal Kinase and Caspase-3. *Circulation* 2000, 101, 2618–2624. [CrossRef]
- 77. Kang, M.J.; Xiaoyan, W.; Hao, L.; Thai, K.; Scholey, J.W. Effect of Glucose on Stress-Activated Protein Kinase Activity in Mesangial Cells and Diabetic Glomeruli. *Kidney Int.* **1999**, *55*, 2203–2214. [CrossRef]
- Boden, G. Effects of Free Fatty Acids (FFA) on Glucose Metabolism: Significance for Insulin Resistance and Type 2 Diabetes. *Exp. Clin. Endocrinol. Diabetes* 2003, 111, 121–124. [CrossRef]
- Parvy, J.-P.; Napal, L.; Rubin, T.; Poidevin, M.; Perrin, L.; Wicker-Thomas, C.; Montagne, J. Drosophila Melanogaster Acetyl-CoA-Carboxylase Sustains a Fatty Acid–Dependent Remote Signal to Waterproof the Respiratory System. *PLOS Genet.* 2012, *8*, e1002925. [CrossRef]
- Sekiya, M.; Hiraishi, A.; Touyama, M.; Sakamoto, K. Oxidative Stress Induced Lipid Accumulation via SREBP1c Activation in HepG2 Cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2008, 375, 602–607. [CrossRef]
- Su, W.; Cao, R.; He, Y.C.; Guan, Y.F.; Ruan, X.Z. Crosstalk of Hyperglycemia and Dyslipidemia in Diabetic Kidney Disease. *Kidney Dis.* 2017, 3, 171–180. [CrossRef]
- Wunjuntuk, K.; Kettawan, A.; Charoenkiatkul, S.; Rungruang, T. Parboiled Germinated Brown Rice Protects Against CCl4-Induced Oxidative Stress and Liver Injury in Rats. J. Med. Food 2016, 19, 15–23. [CrossRef]
- Azmi, N.H.; Ismail, N.; Imam, M.U.; Ooi, D.J.; Oslan, S.N. Modulation of High-Fat Diet-Induced Brain Oxidative Stress by Ferulate-Rich Germinated Brown Rice Ethyl Acetate Extract. *Molecules* 2022, 27, 4907. [CrossRef]
- Jacobs, D.R.; Gross, M.D.; Tapsell, L.C. Food Synergy: An Operational Concept for Understanding Nutrition. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2009, *89*, 1543S–1548S. [CrossRef]
- 85. Jacobs, D.R.; Tapsell, L.C. Food Synergy: The Key to a Healthy Diet. Proc. Nutr. Soc. 2013, 72, 200–206. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.