

*Article*



# **Comparative Analysis of the Structure and Pharmacological Properties of Some Piperidines and Host–Guest Complexes of** β**-Cyclodextrin**

**Ulan Kemelbekov 1,2,\* [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2537-1559) Vitaly Voly[nkin](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6508-707X) 3,[\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3352-9862) , Symbat Zhumakova <sup>2</sup> [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4129-6931) Kulpan Orynbassarova <sup>1</sup> , Marina Papezhuk <sup>3</sup> and Valentina Yu <sup>2</sup>**

- 1 South Kazakhstan Medical Academy, 1 Al-Farabi Square, Shymkent 160019, Kazakhstan; kulpan\_ok@mail.ru<br>2 A B Bekturov Institute of Chemical Sciences 106 Ualikhanov St. Almaty 050010, Kazakhstan;
- <sup>2</sup> A.B. Bekturov Institute of Chemical Sciences, 106 Ualikhanov St., Almaty 050010, Kazakhstan; symba\_t@mail.ru (S.Z.); yu\_vk@mail.ru (V.Y.)
- <sup>3</sup> Faculty of Chemistry, Kuban State University, Krasnodar 350040, Russia; marina-marina322@mail.ru
- **\*** Correspondence: u.kemelbekov@skma.kz (U.K.); vva@chem.kubsu.ru (V.V.)

**Abstract:** Pain and anesthesia are a problem for all physicians. Scientists from different countries are constantly searching for new anesthetic agents and methods of general anesthesia. In anesthesiology, the role and importance of local anesthesia always remain topical. In the present work, a comparative analysis of the results of pharmacological studies on models of the conduction and terminal anesthesia, as well as acute toxicity studies of the inclusion complex of 1-methyl-4-ethynyl-4-hydroxypiperidine (MEP) with β-cyclodextrin, was carried out. A virtual screening and comparative analysis of pharmacological activity were also performed on a number of the prepared piperidine derivatives and their host–guest complexes of β-cyclodextrin to identify the structure–activity relationship. Various programs were used to study biological activity in silico. For comparative analysis of chemical and pharmacological properties, data from previous works were used. For some piperidine derivatives, new dosage forms were prepared as beta-cyclodextrin host–guest complexes. Some compounds were recognized as promising local anesthetics. Pharmacological studies have shown that KFCD-7 is more active than reference drugs in terms of local anesthetic activity and acute toxicity but is less active than host–guest complexes, based on other piperidines. This fact is in good agreement with the predicted results of biological activity.

**Keywords:** cyclodextrin; piperidine; in silico; ADME; biological activity; anesthesia; acute toxicity

# **1. Introduction**

Local anesthetics are currently used in almost all areas of practical medicine [\[1\]](#page-22-0). The interest in local anesthetics is due to the negative side effects of general anesthesia on the cardiovascular system, central nervous system, gastrointestinal tract, and individual organs. Although a large number of local anesthetic drugs are known, a rather limited number of drugs are used in practice [\[2\]](#page-22-1).

This is due to the fact that most local anesthetics do not correspond to modern standards and requirements [\[3\]](#page-22-2). Thus, they must have a short latent period, a long period of action and high activity, and be non-irritating and low-toxic.

One of the most rational drug design approaches towards pharmacologically active molecules is based on the structural modification of compounds with reported high activity. As we can see from the papers [\[4,](#page-22-3)[5\]](#page-22-4), some 1-alkoxyalkyl-4-hydroxypiperidine hydrochlorides and previously reported 1-ethoxyethyl analogs have revealed local anesthetic effects [\[6\]](#page-22-5). At the same time, as reported previously, the corresponding benzoates were found to be the strongest local anesthetics [\[7\]](#page-22-6).

It is a well-known fact that there is no clear correlation between the chemical structure of a drug and its biological effects [\[1\]](#page-22-0). Thus, minor changes in the structure of a



**Citation:** Kemelbekov, U.; Volynkin, V.; Zhumakova, S.; Orynbassarova, K.; Papezhuk, M.; Yu, V. Comparative Analysis of the Structure and Pharmacological Properties of Some Piperidines and Host–Guest Complexes of β-Cyclodextrin. *Molecules* **2024**, *29*, 1098. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules29051098) [doi.org/10.3390/molecules29051098](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules29051098)

Academic Editor: Karolina Kula

Received: 16 February 2024 Accepted: 25 February 2024 Published: 29 February 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

molecule may lead to a complete disappearance or a strong change in the biological activity (e.g., methyl and ethyl alcohol). Modern pharmaceutical research and development is a high-risk investment that typically faces setbacks at various stages of drug development [\[8\]](#page-22-7). Because of that, a molecular design based on the use of prediction software has attracted so much attention in recent years [\[9\]](#page-22-8). The structure–activity relationship analysis of the known drugs can help predict the chemical structure of new molecules with the desired properties [\[8\]](#page-22-7).  $\mathbb{R}^n$  reasons for failure in development is the lack of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

ture of a drug and its biological effects  $\mathcal{I}$ . Thus, minor changes in the structure of a mol-

One of the main reasons for failure in drug research and development is the lack of efficacy and safety, which are substantially correlated with absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME), as well as with toxicity (T) [\[10\]](#page-22-9). Therefore, a rapid evaluation of the ADMET parameters is necessary to minimize failures in the drug discovery process. The ADME parameters [\[11,](#page-22-10)[12\]](#page-22-11) cover pharmacokinetics, which determine whether the intended drug molecule will reach the target protein in the body and how long it will remain in the bloodstream.  $\mathbf{u}$  are widely used in the pharmaceutical industry for t

Cyclodextrins are widely used in the pharmaceutical industry for transporting and modification of an active substance  $[13,14]$  $[13,14]$ . The formation of inclusion complexes makes it possible to change the properties of the biologically active component in the desired<br>direction, i.e., to increase the biological properties to hydrolysis, solution, and resistance to hydrolysis, direction, i.e., to increase the bioavailability and resistance to hydrolysis, solubility, and<br>his defendent in the anesthetic effective the anesthetic effective the anesthetic effective the anesthetic efbiodegradability of many active substances [\[15](#page-23-1)[,16\]](#page-23-2). In order to improve the anesthetic effects and reduce the toxicity of the water-soluble salt forms of piperidine derivatives, we fects and reduce the toxicity of the water-soluble salt forms of piperidine derivatives, we synthesized and studied [\[7](#page-22-6)[,17](#page-23-3)[–21\]](#page-23-4) the host–guest complexes of some of these compounds synthesized and studied [7,17–21] the host–guest complexes of some of these compounds with β-cyclodextrin. with β-cyclodextrin. experience and active substance  $\frac{1}{2}$ . The formation of inclusion complete and  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

p cyclodexum.<br>In this work, a pharmacological study of terminal anesthesia was conducted, and the acute toxicity of the 1-methyl-4-ethynyl-4-hydroxypiperidin (MEP) inclusion complex acute toxicity of the 1-methyl-4-ethynyl-4-hydroxypiperidin (MEP) inclusion complex with β-cyclodextrin was analyzed. Virtual screening of the pharmacological activity for with β-cyclodextrin was analyzed. Virtual screening of the pharmacological activity for a number of piperidine derivatives was carried out in order to identify the structure–<br>a number of piperidine derivatives was carried out in order to identify the structure– relationship. The results of the virtual screening were compared with their actual activity relationship. The results of the virtual screening were compared with their actual pharmacological effects. macological effects.

# **2. Results and Discussion 2. Results and Discussion**

To determine the structure–activity relationship, we used piperidines of the general To determine the structure–activity relationship, we used piperidines of the general formula, shown in Figure 1. formula, shown in Figur[e 1](#page-1-0).

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

**Figure 1.** The study compounds ( $R_1 = C \equiv CH$ ,  $C \equiv CH = CH_2$ ,  $C \equiv CPh$ ;  $R_2 = OCOCH_3$ ,  $OCOC_2H_5$ , OCOPh;  $R_3 = CH_3$ ,  $C_2H_4OC_2H_5$ ,  $C_3H_6OC_4H_9$ ) (see Table [1\)](#page-2-0).





	Formula	Code	<b>Name</b>	$R_1$	$R_2$	$R_3$	Ref.
4	$C_{23}H_{31}NO_3$	<b>BVBP</b>	1-(3-n-butoxypropyl)-4-vinylacetilene- 4-benzoyloxypiperidine	$-C\equiv C$ - $CH\equiv CH2$	$-(OCO)$ - $C_6H_5$	$-CH2$ ) <sub>3</sub> -O- $C_4H_9$	[10]
5	$C_{17}H_{25}NO_3$	<b>AEPP</b>	4-acetoxy-1-(2-ethoxyethyl)-4-phenyl piperidine	$-C_6H_5$	$-(OCO)$ - CH <sub>3</sub>	$-CH2$ ) <sub>2</sub> -O- $C_2H_5$	$[11]$
6	$C_8H_{13}NO$	<b>MEP</b>	1-methyl-4-ethynyl-4- hydroxypiperidine	$-C\equiv CH$	$-OH$	$-CH3$	$[12]$
7	$C_{11}H_{19}NO_2$	<b>EEHP</b>	1-(2-ethoxyethyl)-4-ethynyl-4- hydroxypiperidine	$-C\equiv CH$	$-OH$	$-CH2$ ) <sub>2</sub> -O- $C_2H_5$	$[15]$
8	$C_{15}H_{17}NO_2$	MEBP	1-methyl-4-ethynyl-4- benzoyl-oxypiperidine	$-C\equiv CH$	$-(OCO)$ - $C_6H_5$	$-CH3$	$[14]$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1.** *Cont.*

# *2.1. In Silico Pharmacology*

In drug development, efficient target binding is not only important, but it also ensures oral bioavailability and drug-like properties. In this regard, the study of the physicochemical properties of compounds is crucial for drug development.

The predictive analysis and in silico studies of possible targets, ADME parameters (absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion), and compliance with the bioavailability criteria [\[11](#page-22-10)[,22\]](#page-23-5) were carried out for the studied compounds.

An analysis of the structures for compliance with Lipinski's rule of five (molecular weights (MW)  $\leq$  500, cLogP  $\leq$  5.0, TPSA  $\leq$  140 Å $^2$ , number of H-acceptors  $\leq$  10, Hdonors  $\leq$  5) [\[23,](#page-23-6)[24\]](#page-23-7) was performed, using the SwissADME software package [\[25\]](#page-23-8). Compliance with Lipinski's rule makes the compounds active drug candidates. The substance is unlikely to become an active drug candidate if Lipinski's rule is violated even by one parameter.

The analysis of lipophilicity (LogP) is provided in Table [2.](#page-2-1) Optimal values for LogP (P is the partition coefficient of all forms of the molecule between n-octanol and water) are between 0 and 3. LogP < 0 corresponds to the bad permeability of the lipid bilayer; LogP > 3 indicates poor water solubility [\[26\]](#page-23-9). Compounds with high  $c\text{Log}P$  values may have difficulty in achieving the therapeutic targets due to their lipophilicity, which potentially limits their effectiveness.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Table 2.** Physical and chemical parameters of the studied compounds.



The LogP value shows moderately good (0.33) absorption and permeability for the MEP. For EEHP and MEBP, the cLogP values are 1.07 and 2.42, respectively. For the other compounds, the distribution coefficient is significantly higher and ranges from 3.30 to 4.93. More positive cLogP values usually indicate a higher concentration of the compound in the lipid phase.

LogS values (logarithm of water solubility value, expressed in log mol/L) above  $-4$  logmol/L and below 10 µg/mL indicate low solubility. In the range of 10–60 µg/mL, the compounds have moderate solubility. All LogS values higher than  $60 \mu g/mL$  indicate high solubility [\[27\]](#page-23-10).

The TPSA parameter for EEHP, MEBP, and MEP has a low value of 23.47 Å<sup>2</sup> and meets the criteria for oral bioavailability. The MEP compound meets the Lipinski, Egan, and Weber criteria. The Egan filter (Pharmacia filter) is based on the LogP and TPSA parameters. It anticipates drug absorption, depending on the processes involved in the membrane permeability of a small molecule, and considers the molecule drug-like if it has WLOGP  $\leq$  5.88 and TPSA  $\leq$  131.6, respectively [\[28\]](#page-23-11). The Muegge filter (the Bayer filter) is the independent pharmacophore point filter that separates drug-like and nondrug-like molecules. The Ghose filter (Amgen) describes small molecules based on their physicochemical properties and the existence of functional groups and substructures [\[28\]](#page-23-11). EEHP only fails to meet the Muegge criteria due to its low molecular weight. BBB·HCl has failed to meet the Ghose criteria because the calculation was carried out for a hydrochloride form. The remaining compounds correspond to all the criteria provided in Table [3.](#page-3-0)

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 3.** The bioavailability criteria for the compounds under study.



\* Muegge: MW between 200 and 600 Da, XLogP −2 to 5, TPSA less than 150, number of rings less than 7, number of carbon less than 4, and number of heteroatoms less than 1.

All compounds have shown favorable bioavailability values (0.55). This indicates good suitability for oral drug administration and implies achieving a therapeutic result at lower concentrations.

The radar diagrams (Figure [2\)](#page-4-0) show the distribution of the physicochemical properties of the compounds: lipophilicity (LIPO), size (SIZE), polarity (POLAR), solubility (INSOLU), saturation (INSATU), elasticity (FLEX), presence of donors (nHD), and proton acceptors (nHA). The pink area represents the optimal range for each property (lipophilicity: XLOGP3  $-0.7$  to +5.0, size: molecular weight 150 to 500 g/mol, polarity: TPSA 20 to 130  $\AA^2$ , solubility: log S not above 6, saturation: the fraction of carbons in  $sp^3$  hybridization is at least 0.25 and flexibility no more than nine rotating bonds) [\[25\]](#page-23-8). The analyses of the diagrams show that prosidol, kazcaine, and AEPP have the best distribution of parameters, though all the compounds, in principle, meet the requirements for a medicinal substance. BVBP and BBB have a slight excess in the FLEX parameter, and for MEP, the size, polarity, and flexibility indicators are at the lower limit.

To predict possible biological effects, the open software products PASS Online, AntiBac-Pred, and AntiFun Pred [\[29](#page-23-12)[–31\]](#page-23-13) were used. Here, and below, the score function  $F = Pa - Pi$ is used, which is the difference in the probabilities that a substance will be active (Pa) or inactive (Pi) for the corresponding biological activity.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>



























**Figure 2.** The bioavailability radar of the studied compounds based on the physicochemical indices **Figure 2.** The bioavailability radar of the studied compounds based on the physicochemical indices ideal for oral bioavailability. ideal for oral bioavailability.

In Table [4,](#page-6-0) the results for MEP are provided (for  $F > 0.1$ ). Based on these data, the most probable biological activity of MEP is the suppression of ovulation; there is also a very high probability of its influence on the hormones responsible for reproductive functions. The substance can be used as an anticonvulsant. The other activities (anesthetic, anabolic, nootropic, antidepressant, analgesic, and muscle relaxant) have a rather low probability. Comparative data on the major types of activity for all the substances under consideration are provided in Table [5.](#page-6-1) The results are provided for the substances in the form of bases since the calculation programs, in most cases, cannot work with the substances in the form of salts and complex compounds (including inclusion complexes).

Pa	Pi	$\mathbf{F}$	<b>Biological Activity</b>
0.841	0.003	0.838	Ovulation inhibitor
0.690	0.010	0.680	Anticonvulsant
0.678	0.004	0.674	Gonadotropin antagonist
0.673	0.006	0.667	Antiosteoporotic
0.672	0.012	0.660	Antisecretoric
0.581	0.016	0.565	Neurotransmitter antagonist
0.560	0.006	0.554	Dementia treatment
0.611	0.086	0.525	Testosterone 17beta-dehydrogenase (NADP+) inhibitor
0.481	0.043	0.438	Antihypoxic
0.423	0.049	0.374	Analeptic
0.396	0.023	0.373	Antialcoholic
0.371	0.007	0.364	Estrogen agonist
0.393	0.030	0.363	Antiparkinsonian
0.437	0.083	0.354	Antiviral (Picornavirus)
0.384	0.034	0.350	Skeletal muscle relaxant
0.353	0.016	0.337	Antiperistaltic
0.351	0.026	0.325	Antitussive
0.323	0.002	0.321	Estradiol 17 beta dehydrogenase stimulant
0.407	0.101	0.306	Alopecia treatment
0.293	0.008	0.285	Contraceptive female
0.309	0.031	0.278	Antiparkinsonian, tremor relieving
0.287	0.027	0.260	Antinaupathic
0.265	0.030	0.235	Antidepressant, Imipramin-like
0.337	0.104	0.233	Analgesic
0.290	0.059	0.231	Cardiovascular analeptic
0.277	0.064	0.213	Antiparasitic
0.237	0.025	0.212	Antihypotensive
0.398	0.186	0.212	Antiischemic. cerebral
0.273	0.068	0.205	Muscle relaxant
0.209	0.003	0.206	Progesterone agonist
0.320	0.116	0.204	Antiseborrheic
0.273	0.071	0.202	Antiparkinsonian, rigidity relieving
0.317	0.129	0.188	Antipruritic, allergic

**Table 4.** The predicted biological activity for 1-methyl-4-ethynyl-4-hydroxypiperidine.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Table 5.** A summary of the predicted biological effects for the studied compounds.



Possible protein targets (for *Homo sapiens*) were evaluated using the Swiss Target Prediction service. The results are shown in Table [6.](#page-8-0) The score for each target is called "confidence", which is the difference between probabilities of chemical compounds interacting and not interacting with a particular target. Higher confidence means a higher chance of a positive prediction being true. The first 5–6 results are listed and the rest are provided in the Supplementary Materials. The probabilities for MEP are very low, but we can conclude that the substance may affect mechanisms that occur in the central nervous system.

The PASS Targets program provides a slightly different prediction of possible molecular targets. It is advisable to consider results with a confidence value greater than 0.5. Table [7](#page-12-0) shows the values greater than 0.5 for MEP, EEHP, and MEBP and greater than 0.25 for the remaining compounds. The full list is presented in Table S1.

According to Table [7,](#page-12-0) MEP has the largest number of possible targets with a confidence value greater than 0.5. It looks most similar to kazcaine according to the list of possible targets, though the character of the data obtained (a large number of targets and high probability values) should rather be considered an anomaly. The substances MEP, EEHP, and MEBP actively bind to protein kinases.

**Table 6.** The summary of the most probable macromolecular targets for the studied compounds study (SwissTargetPrediction).



<span id="page-8-0"></span>

**Table 7.** The summary of the most probable macromolecular targets for the compounds under study (PASS Targets).









<span id="page-12-0"></span>

In silico prediction of acute toxicity values (LD50) for rats for four types of administration (oral, intravenous, intraperitoneal, subcutaneous, and inhalation) was carried out using the GUSAR program [\[32\]](#page-23-14). This program compares the structure of a substance with structures from the SYMYX MDL toxicity database. In order to assess which of these drugs best corresponds to the optimal characteristics required for an ideal drug, the acute toxicity parameter LD50 (known as the "lethal dose, 50%" or oral acute dose for rats) was calculated. High toxicity was indicated by values of  $1-50$  mg/kg; average toxicity was in the range of 51–500 mg/kg. Low toxicity values were 501–5000 mg/kg [\[33\]](#page-23-15). The GUSAR program could not calculate data for BBB HCl in the form of either hydrochloride (which is expected) or a base.

The acute toxic class is provided according to the OECD. Low concentrations of the substance reduce the risk of side effects and toxicity. Analyzing the data in Table [8,](#page-12-1) it can be argued that the acute toxicity values of the compounds exceed the values of the average toxicity range for the compounds prosidol, AEPP, and BVBP. MEP showed a fairly low predicted toxicity risk for intraperitoneal, intravenous, and subcutaneous administration but higher toxicity for all routes of administration compared to the other study drugs.



<span id="page-12-1"></span>**Table 8.** The results of the predicted acute toxicity for the studied compounds.

\* IP—intraperitoneal route of administration, IV—intravenous route of administration, Oral—oral route of administration, and SC—subcutaneous route of administration. *\*\** BOLD *=* in AD: the compound falls within the range of applicability of the models; italic = out of AD: compound outside the range of applicability of models.

The prognosis of adverse effects (arrhythmia, heart failure, hepatotoxicity, myocardial infarction, and nephrotoxicity) was made using ADVER Pred [\[34\]](#page-23-16). The results are shown in Table [9](#page-13-0) and Figure [3.](#page-13-1)

Compound	Pa <sup>*</sup>	$Pi^*$	P	<b>Adverse Effect</b>
<b>MEP</b>	0.784	0.066	0.718	hepatotoxicity
prosidol	0.416	0.172	0.244	arrhythmia
	0.306	0.295	0.011	arrhythmia
kazcaine	0.729	0.089	0.640	hepatotoxicity
	0.276	0.258	0.018	myocardial infarction
	0.264	0.197	0.067	nephrotoxicity
<b>AEPP</b>	0.439	0.156	0.283	arrhythmia
	0.333	0.318	0.015	hepatotoxicity
<b>BVBP</b>	0.571	0.060	0.511	arrhythmia
BBB <sup>**</sup>	0.678	0.029	0.649	arrhythmia
	0.729	0.089	0.640	hepatotoxicity
<b>EEHP</b>	0.263	0.198	0.065	nephrotoxicity
<b>MEBP</b>	0.788	0.064	0.724	hepatotoxicity
	0.309	0.180	0.129	myocardial infarction

<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Table 9.** The prognosis of adverse effects for the compounds under study.

\* Pa—probability of activity; Pi—probability of inactivity. \*\* only as a base.

<span id="page-13-1"></span>

**Figure 3.** The probability of adverse effects for the compounds under study. **Figure 3.** The probability of adverse effects for the compounds under study.

BVBP, and BBB), hepatotoxicity (MEP, kazcaine, AEPP, EEHP, and MEBP), myocardial infarction (kazkain and MEBP), and nephrotoxicity (MEBP and EEHP). Kazcaine was predicted to cause the highest number of adverse effects compared to the other compounds.<br>In additional contract to the other compounds. nowever, then prostating, excluding hepatotoxicity, was form the extendition research bioavailability parameters and reduce the toxic side effects, it is advisable to use active probability of arrhythmia was predicted as an adverse effect. In order to improve the compounds in the form of inclusion complexes with cyclodextrin. The compounds may exhibit side effects such as arrhythmia (prosidol, kazcaine, AEPP, However, their probability, excluding hepatotoxicity, was low. The calculated results

#### *2.2. Host–Guest Complexes with β-Cyclodextrin*

The severity of adverse effects, such as hepatotoxicity and nephrotoxicity, can be reduced using drug inclusion complexes with β-cyclodextrin. Cyclodextrins usually improve the solubility of guest molecules in water, significantly reduce their toxicity, and increase the period of action due to the slow dissociation of the inclusion complex in the body.

Usually, the drugs are used not in a pure form but in a so-called "dosage form". For example, water-soluble drugs are used in the form of isotonic solutions containing a local anesthetic, while fat-soluble drugs are administered subcutaneously in the form of an oil solution, from which the drug slowly passes into the interstitial fluid.

Earlier, piperidines have been often used as water-soluble salt forms, such as hydrochlorides, to prepare useful dosage forms.

However, along with a high anesthetic effect, such dosage forms also have significant toxicity. Therefore, the preparation of new dosage forms with minimal adverse effects is an actual problem.

The preparation of new dosage forms based on inclusion (host–guest) complexes of cyclodextrins seems to be a promising solution to the problem.

Inclusion complexes are effective as delivery tools. With the conventional type of administration, only nearly one-tenth of the drug molecules can reach the site of application (nerves, tumors, etc.). When the drug is delivered in the form of an inclusion complex and released directly near the site of application, the effective local concentration is increased. Therefore, less amount of drug is required, which can also reduce overall toxicity.

In our previous works [\[7](#page-22-6)[,13–](#page-22-12)[21\]](#page-23-4), we reported the preparation of host–guest complexes of the above piperidines with β-CD and studied their structure (Table [10\)](#page-14-0). All the compounds except MEP formed inclusion complexes with a guest–host ratio of 1:2. For MEP, the 1:1 complex was isolated, which is most likely due to the smaller size of the guest molecule.



<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Table 10.** The studied compounds and their host–guest complexes with β-CD.

The structures of inclusion complexes were studied by NMR during their complex formation in the solutions as well as by X-ray diffraction in their crystalline form. Due to the flexibility of the piperidine ring, piperidines can exist in two main conformations. In inclusion complexes, they can either remain in their starting conformation (for example, BBB) or have a different conformation compared to their free form (kazcaine and prosidol). In addition, in a solution (CDCl<sub>3</sub> and  $D_2O$ ), BBB-HCl exists as two isomers in a 2:1 ratio with different orientations of benzoyloxy groups: 1e-(3-n-butoxypropyl)-4abenzoyloxypiperidine hydrochloride and 1e-(3-n-butoxypropyl)-4e-benzoyloxypiperidine hydrochloride. BBB-HCl forms inclusion complexes with β-CD with a stoichiometry of 2 β-CD:1 BBB-HCl. The same conformation also exists in the inclusion complex isolated in the solid form.

The structure of the inclusion complex of β-CD with MEP (KFCD-7) was studied using NMR and X-ray diffraction [\[21\]](#page-23-4). Below (Figure [4\)](#page-15-0), the expansion from the ROESY NMR spectrum in addition to the data published earlier are shown. The cross peaks between inner (3 and 5) protons of β-CD and 2 and 6 protons of the piperidine ring clearly show that

<span id="page-15-0"></span>

the structure of the MEP:β-CD complex in the solution corresponds to the one obtained from the X-ray data in the solid state.  $\,$ 

piperidine derivatives and their inclusion complexes. Because of that, we conducted a

**Figure 4.** ROESY spectrum of the MEP–β-CD complex. **Figure 4.** ROESY spectrum of the MEP–β-CD complex.

*2.3. Pharmacological Study*  An analysis of the predicted biological activity shows that MEP, as well as its β-CD inclusion complex, should be significantly different in biological activity from the other The test was and the method complexes. Because of that, we conducted a pharmacological study of KFCD-7 and compared its acute toxicity, infiltration, and<br> piperidine derivatives and their inclusion complexes. Because of that, we conducted conduction anesthesia with the data for previously obtained piperidine derivatives and reference drugs.

## *2.3. Pharmacological Study*

#### 2.3.1. Infiltration Anesthesia

The test was performed using the Bulbring–Wade method. All the compounds were tested as 0.5% aqua solutions. The results are summarized in Table [11.](#page-16-0)

As we can see from Table [11,](#page-16-0) all the drugs have an anesthetic effect that exceeds both novocaine and lidocaine. KFCD-7 shows a slightly longer duration of complete anesthesia than lidocaine, higher than trimecaine in terms of the anesthesia index  $(35.4 \pm 1.3)$  and duration of complete anesthesia, but less in total duration of anesthesia. The other piperidine derivatives revealed the best values for all parameters of the infiltration anesthesia.

The only exception is kazcaine which has a duration of complete anesthesia comparable to lidocaine but a higher total duration of anesthesia. The formation of an inclusion complex significantly (two times) increases the duration of complete anesthesia up to the KFCD-6 value.

For BVBP and BBB-HCl, the formation of an inclusion complex does not improve their local anesthetic activity. In the case of BBB-HCl, the formation of an inclusion complex significantly (more than two times) reduces the duration of complete anesthesia, while for BVBP, this effect is not so dramatic. The duration of complete anesthesia increases in the following order: procaine < lidocaine < kazcaine < trimecaine < KFCD-7 < BBB-HCl:β-CD < KFCD-4 < KFCD-6 < kazcaine:β-CD < BVBP < BBB-HCl. Total duration of effect: procaine < lidocaine < KFCD-7 < trimecaine < KFCD-4 < kazcaine < KFCD-6 < BVBP < BBB-HCl:β-CD < BBB-HCl < kazcaine:β-CD.

Overall (Figure [5\)](#page-16-1), the kazcaine: $β$ -CD inclusion complex is comparable to BVBP, while KFCD-6 has a slightly shorter duration of complete anesthesia. KFCD-6 and KFCD-4 are better than procaine by 5.9 and 4.8 times, lidocaine by 2.3 and 1.9 times, and trimecaine by 2.0 and 1.6 times, respectively. They have a longer total duration of effect than trimecaine

by approximately 2 and 1.7 times, lidocaine by 2.3 and 1.3 times, and procaine by 4.0 and 3.3 times, respectively (statistically significant at *p* < 0.05).

<span id="page-16-0"></span>**Table 11.** The local anesthetic activity of the compounds and reference drugs for the infiltration anesthesia, using the Bulbring-Wade method.



*a* Deviations in relation to reference preparations are statistically authentic at  $p < 0.001$ . *b* By mass kazcaine is 1/10 of the complex. <sup>*c*</sup> Deviations in relation to the reference preparations are statistically authentic at: lidocaine—p<sup>i</sup> < 0.05, trimecaine—p<sup>i</sup> < 0.001, procaine—p<sup>i</sup> < 0.02. *<sup>d</sup>* statistically authentic at: lidocaine—p<sup>i</sup> < 0.05, trimecaine—p<sup>i</sup> < 0.01, procaine—p<sup>i</sup> < 0.001.

<span id="page-16-1"></span>

infiltration anesthesia, using the Bulbring–Wade method (concentration 0.5%). **Figure 5.** The comparison of local anesthetic activity of the compounds and reference drugs for the

# 2.3.2. Conduction Anesthesia

A modified "tail flick" method was used in the study of conduction anesthesia [\[36\]](#page-23-20). It was developed at the Department of Pharmacology of the St. Petersburg Medical University, named after Academician I.P. Pavlov. The principle of the method is to determine the latent period of tail withdrawal during the thermal exposure of its middle part with a focused beam of light from an optoelectronic analgesimeter TF-003 before and after anesthesia. The intensity of the thermal nociceptive stimulus is adjusted so that initial tail flick responses occur with a latency ranging from 3 to 6 s.

The activity of compounds and reference drugs for the conduction anesthesia wasstudied in 1% solutions. The following parameters were determined: the rate of onset of anesthesia, the duration of the complete anesthesia, and the total duration of effect.

The results are shown in Table [12.](#page-17-0) A comparison of the duration of the complete anesthesia and the total duration of effect is shown in Figure [6a](#page-18-0),b.

<span id="page-17-0"></span>



*a* Deviations in relation to reference preparations are statistically authentic at  $p < 0.001$ . *b* Deviations in relation to kazcaine are statistically authentic at *p* < 0.01. *<sup>c</sup>* Deviations in relation to reference preparations are statistically authentic at: lidocaine—p<sup>i</sup> < 0.05, trimecaine—p<sup>i</sup> < 0.001, procaine—p<sup>i</sup> < 0.02. *<sup>d</sup>* rate of anesthesia induction— 3 min. *<sup>e</sup>* Local anesthetic activity for the conduction anesthesia, using the method of electrical stimulation of a rabbit inferior dental nerve. *<sup>f</sup>* Local anesthetic activity for the conduction anesthesia, using a modified "tail flick" method.

As can be seen from Table [12,](#page-17-0) all the complexes have an apparent local anesthetic effect, and the rate of anesthesia induction is comparable in all cases.

The duration of complete anesthesia (0.5%): procaine < lidocaine < trimecaine < BBB-HCl:β-CD < BBB-HCl < kazcaine < kazcaine:β-CD

The total duration of effect (0.5%): procaine < lidocaine < trimecaine < BBB-HCl:β-CD < BBB-HCl < kazcaine < kazcaine: β-CD.

The duration of complete anesthesia (1%): procaine < trimecaine < BBB-HCl < lidocaine < KFCD-4 < KFCD-6 < kazcaine < kazcaine:β-CD < BVBP

The total duration of effect  $(1\%)$ : procaine < trimecaine < lidocaine < KFCD-7 < KFCD-4 << kazcaine < KFCD-6 < kazcaine: β-CD ≈ BBB-HCl.

KFCD-7 outperformed all three reference anesthetics for the duration of anesthesia and total anesthetic effect and acted like KFCD-4 (Table [12\)](#page-17-0).



<span id="page-18-0"></span>the conduction anesthesia, using a modified "tail flick" method.



(**b**)

**Figure 6.** (**a**) The comparison of the duration of the complete anesthesia and the total duration of **Figure 6.** (**a**) The comparison of the duration of the complete anesthesia and the total duration of effect for the conduction anesthesia  $(0.5\%)$ . (**b**) The comparison of the duration of the complete anesthesia and the total duration of effect for the conduction anesthesia (1.0%).

At the above-mentioned concentrations, KFCD-4 and KFCD-7 exceeded procaine for the duration of complete anesthesia by 2 and 1.9 times, trimecaine by 1.3 and 1.4 times, respectively, and acted slightly longer than lidocaine. These solutions also exceeded novocaine and trimecaine in the total duration of a local anesthetic effect (approximately 1.9 and 1.4 times, respectively) and slightly exceeded the effect of lidocaine.

As for the other drugs under consideration, the best result of conduction anesthesia at a 1% solution was exhibited by BVBP, almost three times longer than its complex with CD (KFCD-4); that is, the same picture was observed as for infiltration anesthesia.

The duration of complete anesthesia for KFCD-6 was  $89.4 \pm 13.4$  min,  $46.9 \pm 8.1$  min for trimecaine, 52.7  $\pm$  6.2 for lidocaine, and 34.2  $\pm$  6.9 min for procaine. Thus, the KFCD-6 complex exceeded procaine by 2.3 times, lidocaine by 1.2 times, and trimecaine by 1.7 times (statistically significant at  $p < 0.001$ ). When comparing the total duration of effect, the

KFCD-6 reliably (*p* < 0.001) exceeded trimecaine by 2.3 times, lidocaine by 2.2 times, and procaine by 3.4 times, respectively.

**Compound LD50 (mg/kg)** *p* **Ref.** 

anc by 5.4 ants, respectivery.<br>Kazcaine initially had a good activity (three times better than procaine), and its complex with CD improved the duration of complete anesthesia and the total duration of a local anesthetic effect (but not so dramatically, approximately 30%).

The results for BBB-HCl look interesting. Similar to the infiltration anesthesia, the formation of the complex did not provide an increase in the activity for a 0.5% concentration. However, what is unexpected is that for the  $1\%$  concentration, the duration of complete anesthesia was shorter, but the total duration of anesthesia was longer than for the  $0.5\%$ concentration. This may probably be due to the different measurement methods used for the 1% solution. lidocaine 248.6 ± 18.4 p2 [18]. In the 248.6 ± 18.4 p2 [18]. In the 248.6  $\pm$  18.4 p2 [18]. In the 248.6  $\pm$ 

#### 2.3.3. Acute Toxicity  $\frac{1}{2}$

Behavior changes, reflector breath excitability, rate of development and mitigation of external poisoning symptoms, and mortality (LD50) were registered (Figure [7\)](#page-19-0). behavior changes, reflector breath exertablity, the or development and imagation

<span id="page-19-0"></span>

Figure 7. The acute toxicity of the compounds under study and the reference drugs.

higher the dose, the faster poisoning was evident. The phenomena of intoxication began to develop after 20–30 min. The initial stage started with general oppression and resulted in a deferred response, absence of reflex to exogenous irritants, and dyspnea, which later developed into a short period of motional excitation, followed by muscular twitching and clonic–tonic spasms. Mice assumed a lateral position and their breathing became slower and irregular. Death was caused by primary respiratory standstill 30–90 min after injection.<br>The concentration of the c The surviving mice recovered from stagnation in 2–2.5 h and were as active as the untreated The toxic reactions were of the same character for KFCD-4, KFCD-6, and KFCD-7. The mice by the end of the first day.

An analysis of the data obtained for the entire group of the drugs under consideration<br>An analysis of the data obtained for the entire group of the drugs under consideration In the group of the data between the the group of the artigo ander constantion.<br>(Table [13\)](#page-20-0) showed that the formation of the inclusion complexes significantly decreases the At the same to show that the formation of the inclusion complexes significantly decreases the acute toxicity of substances. The resulting inclusion complexes of piperidine derivatives with β-CD were significantly less toxic than the guests themselves.

The KFCD-6 compound turned out to be the most active and less toxic than procaine by 1.7 times, lidocaine by 3.3 times, and trimecaine by 2.2 times in all experiments (Table [13\)](#page-20-0). KFCD-7 was less toxic than the reference anesthetics.

The most toxic was BBB-HCl, but in the form of an inclusion complex, its toxicity dropped by more than three times and became comparable to procaine. The formation of an inclusion complex reduced the toxicity of AEPP by 2.4 times and BVBP by 2.2 times. The toxicity of kazcaine (which is slightly less than procaine) remained virtually unchanged upon the formation of the inclusion complex, becoming comparable to KFCD-7.



<span id="page-20-0"></span>**Table 13.** The acute toxicity of the compounds under study and the reference drugs.

\* Deviations for this complex compared to the reference preparations are statistically authentic at  $p_i < 0.001$ . \*\* Deviations for the KFCD-6 and KFCD-7 compared to the reference preparations are statistically authentic at  $p_1 < 0.01$ ;  $p_2$  and  $p_3 < 0.005$ .

#### 2.3.4. Terminal Anesthesia

The comparison of the activity of the tested compounds with the reference anesthetic, dicaine, was carried out using the Rainier indices, duration of the complete anesthesia, and total duration of effect.

All the studied compounds were tested in 1% and 3% solutions. The experimental results showed that the compounds KFCD-4, KFCD-6, and KFCD-7 in all tested concentrations were significantly inferior both in strength (the Ragnier index) and in the duration of the local anesthetic effect to dicaine and in all concentrations they did not show irritating effects.

At the same time, the formation of inclusion complexes does not always lead to higher activity and depends both on the characteristics of the "guest" and on the type of anesthesia. The most effective in this sense was the inclusion complex of cyclodextrin with 1- (2-ethoxyethyl)-4-ethynyl-4-benzoyloxypiperidine, which is two times better for infiltration anesthesia and 30% better for conduction anesthesia than its salt form (1-(hydrochloride 2-ethoxyethyl)-4-ethynyl-4-benzoyloxypiperidine).

According to the literature, the extension of the alkyl chain at the N atom of the piperidine derivative to the ethoxyethyl substituent leads to the anesthesia index exceeding trimecaine by 1.5 times, lidocaine by 5.1, procaine by 5.3 times, including piperidine derivatives with butoxypropyl substituent. The EC50 value for conduction anesthesia of 1-(3-n-butoxypropyl)-4-benzoyloxypiperidine hydrochloride exceeds the ethoxyethyl homologue by 140 times, and the reference drugs pyromecaine, trimecaine, and procaine by 270, 446, and 670 times, respectively [\[38\]](#page-23-22).

This pattern is also confirmed by good results for BVBP and BBB-HCl. Elongation of the radical at the nitrogen atom of the piperidine ring from ethoxyethyl to butoxypropyl led to a significant increase in activity during infiltration, especially during the conduction of anesthesia. However, these same drugs have the highest toxicity among those considered. The formation of inclusion complexes leads to a significant reduction in toxicity (comparable to trimecaine) but, at the same time, to a significant reduction in the anesthesia time.

#### **3. Materials and Methods**

The following programs were used to study biological activity in silico. The physicochemical and pharmacokinetic properties, including the physicochemical parameters, lipophilicity, absorption, distribution, metabolism, and drug affinity, i.e., the ADME

profiles [\[25\]](#page-23-8), were analyzed on the SwissADME web server [\(http://www.swissadme.](http://www.swissadme.ch/index.php) [ch/index.php](http://www.swissadme.ch/index.php) accessed on 7 July 2023). The drug similarity of compounds based on Lipinski's rule of five was also predicted using the SwissADME web server, and toxicity analysis was carried out with the GUSAR program [\(https://www.way2drug.com/](https://www.way2drug.com/Gusar/) [Gusar/](https://www.way2drug.com/Gusar/) accessed on 7 July 2023) [\[32\]](#page-23-14). To predict possible biological effects, PASS Online open-source software was used [\[29\]](#page-23-12) [\(https://www.way2drug.com/PassOnline/](https://www.way2drug.com/PassOnline/) accessed on 12 August 2023). The prognosis of adverse effects was made using ADVER Pred [\[34\]](#page-23-16) [\(http://www.way2drug.com/adverpred/](http://www.way2drug.com/adverpred/) accessed on 12 August 2023). Possible protein targets were evaluated using the Swiss Target Prediction service [\[39\]](#page-23-23) [\(http:](http://swisstargetprediction.ch/) [//swisstargetprediction.ch/](http://swisstargetprediction.ch/) accessed on 10 June 2023) and the PASS Targets program [\[40\]](#page-23-24) [\(https://www.way2drug.com/passtargets/](https://www.way2drug.com/passtargets/) accessed on 10 June 2023).

The infiltration anesthesia test was performed with the Bulbring–Wade method [\[41\]](#page-24-0). The studies were conducted on male guinea pigs with average masses of 200–250 g. The samples of isotonic solutions of the studied compounds and reference drugs were injected intradermally (0.2 mL) in the back of each animal at four points (vertices of the square with a side of 3 cm) after hair removal. The local anesthetic activity was evaluated six to eight times for each of the selected concentrations. Sensitivity at the injection site was determined by the touch of a blunt injection needle for a series of six touches every 5 min until full recovery.

The depth of anesthesia, expressed as the "anesthesia index" (average of 6 experiments, maximum index-36), the duration of complete anesthesia, and the total duration of the anesthetic effect were determined. The activity of the compounds was compared with the reference drugs, trimecaine, lidocaine, and novocaine, in corresponding concentrations.

The study of conduction anesthesia was carried out using a modified "tail flick" method in rats [\[36\]](#page-23-20). It allows one to determine the speed of onset of anesthesia, its depth, the duration of the complete anesthesia, and the total duration of the anesthetic effect of the drug. The study was carried out on outbred white male rats weighing 200–250 g. To study the conduction anesthesia, a solution of a compound or drug (0.5 mL) was injected under the skin of the tail into the area where the thermal effect was applied. The animals in the control group were injected with a saline solution in the same way and same volume. Irritation was applied 1 cm distal from the injection. The first test was carried out 5 min after injection; subsequent tests were carried out every 10 min until the threshold values were completely restored. Doubling of the latent period was taken as complete anesthesia.

Acute toxicity was determined after a single subcutaneous injection of the studied compound and reference drugs in mice (6–8 outbreed albino mice weighing 17.0–22.0 g).

The symptoms of poisoning, speed of onset, severity of regression, and mortality rate were recorded. The animals that survived the first 24 h were monitored in terms of their behavior and full recovery of appetite. The lethal dose (LD50) was calculated using the Miller and Tainter method [\[42\]](#page-24-1).

All the data obtained were statistically treated.

## **4. Conclusions**

The analysis of the data obtained for the entire group of drugs under consideration shows that the formation of inclusion complexes significantly decreases the acute toxicity of substances.

Based on the results obtained, we can conclude that the inclusion complexes of piperidine derivatives under study are low-toxic local anesthetics, for which further research and development as pharmaceuticals are advisable. Of these, the inclusion complexes of kazcaine and AEPP can be considered the most promising. Moreover, recently obtained fluorine derivatives of kazcaine have shown unexpected antimicrobial activity [\[43](#page-24-2)[,44\]](#page-24-3).

The pharmacological study results determined that, in terms of local anesthetic activity and acute toxicity, KFCD-7 exceeded all the drugs in comparison but is inferior to all other considered inclusion complexes of piperidine derivatives. The predicted biological activity confirmed the results of the pharmacological study and has shown that both MEP and its

complex KFCD-7 are promising molecules for further studies of anticonvulsant effects and effects on reproductive functions.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at [https://www.](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules29051098/s1) [mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules29051098/s1,](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules29051098/s1) Table S1: The predicted biological activity for the studied compounds.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, U.K. and V.V.; methodology, U.K., V.V. and V.Y.; formal analysis, investigation, S.Z., V.Y., V.V., M.P., U.K. and K.O.; resources, S.Z., V.Y., V.V., M.P., U.K. and K.O.; writing—original draft preparation, U.K., V.V., M.P. and V.Y.; writing—review and editing, U.K., V.V. and V.Y.; visualization, project administration, V.V. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan (grant AP19675500).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The animal study protocol was approved by the Local ethical commission (LEC) "Asfendiyarov Kazakh national medical university" non-commercial joint stock company, Extract from the protocol meeting #14(120), meeting date: 28 October 2021.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data are contained within the article and Supplementary Materials.

**Acknowledgments:** We are grateful to the pharmacologists from the Department of Pharmacology of S.D. Asfendiyarov Kazakh National Medical University for carrying out the pharmacological screening of MEP:β-CD. The authors would like to thank the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant AP19675500).

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-22-0"></span>1. Yu, S.; Wang, B.; Zhang, J.; Fang, K. The development of local anesthetics and their applications beyond anesthesia. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Med.* **2019**, *12*, 13203–13220.
- <span id="page-22-1"></span>2. Taylor, A.; McLeod, G. Basic pharmacology of local anaesthetics. *BJA Educ.* **2020**, *20*, 34–41. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjae.2019.10.002)
- <span id="page-22-2"></span>3. Gelb, A.W.; Morriss, W.W.; Johnson, W.; Merry, A.F.; Abayadeera, A.; Belîi, N.; Brull, S.J.; Chibana, A.; Evans, F.; Goddia, C.; et al. World Health Organization-World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists (WHO-WFSA) International Standards for a Safe Practice of Anesthesia. *Can. J. Anesth. J. Can. D'anesthésie* **2018**, *65*, 698–708. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-018-1111-5)
- <span id="page-22-3"></span>4. Praliev, K.D.; Yu, V.K.; Sokolov, D.V.; Bosyakov, Y.G.; Kurilenko, V.M.; Hlienko, J.N.; Moiseeva, L.M.; Chetverikov, V.N.; Tetenchuk, E.V.; Nurahov, S.N. Synthesis, Antibacterial, and Analgesic Activity of 1-(2-Ethoxyethyl)-4-hydroxy(acyloxy)-piperidine-4 carboxylic Acids. *Pharm. Chem. J.* **1994**, *36*, 382–384.
- <span id="page-22-4"></span>5. Yu, V.K.; Kabdraissova, A.Z.; Praliyev, K.D.; Shin, S.N.; Berlin, K.D. Synthesis and properties of novel alkoxy-and phenoxyalkyl ethers of secondary and tertiary ethynylpiperidin-4-ols possessing unusual analgesic, anti-bacterial, anti-spasmotic, and antiallergic properties as well as low toxicity. *J. Saudi Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *13*, 209–217. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jscs.2009.04.001)
- <span id="page-22-5"></span>6. Zhumakova, S.; Malmakova, A.E.; Yu, V.K.; Praliev, K.D.; Iskakova, T.K.; Ten, A.Y.; Amirkulova, M.K.; Kadyrova, D.M.; Satpaeva, E.M.; Seilkhanov, T.M. Structure—Activity relationship of local anesthetics based on alkynylpiperidine derivatives. *Pharm. Chem. J.* **2021**, *54*, 1209–1214. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11094-021-02345-9)
- <span id="page-22-6"></span>7. Kemelbekov, U.S.; Hagenbach, A.; Lentz, D.; Imachova, S.O.; Pichkhadze, G.M.; Rustembekov, Z.I.; Beketov, K.M.; Praliev, K.D.; Gabdulkhakov, A.; Guskov, A.; et al. Pharmacology and structures of the free base of the anaesthetic kazcaine and its complex with β-cyclodextrin. *J. Incl. Phenom. Macrocycl. Chem.* **2010**, *68*, 323–330. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10847-010-9791-7)
- <span id="page-22-7"></span>8. Hughes, J.P.; Rees, S.; Kalindjian, S.B.; Philpott, K.L. Principles of early drug discovery. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **2011**, *162*, 1239–1249. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1476-5381.2010.01127.x)
- <span id="page-22-8"></span>9. Ramírez, D. Computational Methods Applied to Rational Drug Design. *Open Med. Chem. J.* **2016**, *10*, 7–20. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2174/1874104501610010007)
- <span id="page-22-9"></span>10. Tao, L.; Zhang, P.; Qin, C.; Chen, S.Y.; Zhang, C.; Chen, Z.; Zhu, F.; Yang, S.Y.; Wei, Y.Q.; Chen, Y.Z. Recent progresses in the exploration of machine learning methods as in silico ADME prediction tools. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* **2015**, *86*, 83–100. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2015.03.014)
- <span id="page-22-10"></span>11. Olaokun, O.O.; Zubair, M.S. Antidiabetic Activity, Molecular Docking, and ADMET Properties of Compounds Isolated from Bioactive Ethyl Acetate Fraction of Ficus lutea Leaf Extract. *Molecules* **2023**, *28*, 7717. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28237717) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/38067448)
- <span id="page-22-11"></span>12. Ferrari, I.V.; Di Mario, M. Prediction of physicochemical property/Biological Activity and ADMET (absorption, distribution, mechanism, excretion, and toxicity) parameters of approved HIV Medications. *Int. J. Sci. Res. Biol. Sci.* **2022**, *9*, 76–83.
- <span id="page-22-12"></span>13. Bakirova, R.; Nukhuly, A.; Iskineyeva, A.; Fazylov, S.; Burkeyev, M.; Mustafayeva, A.; Minayeva, Y.; Sarsenbekova, A. Obtaining and Investigation of the β-Cyclodextrin Inclusion Complex with Vitamin D3 Oil Solutio. *Hindawi Sci.* **2020**, *2020*, 6148939.
- <span id="page-23-0"></span>14. Papezhuk, M.V.; Volynkin, V.A.; Panyushkin, V.T. The structure and properties of functionalized cyclodextrins and complex compounds based on them. *Russ. Chem. Bull.* **2022**, *71*, 430–442. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11172-022-3430-5)
- <span id="page-23-1"></span>15. Jo, J.; Kim, J.Y.; Yun, J.-J.; Lee, Y.J.; Jeong, Y.-I.L. β-Cyclodextrin Nanophotosensitizers for Redox-Sensitive Delivery of Chlorin e6. *Molecules* **2023**, *28*, 7398. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28217398)
- <span id="page-23-2"></span>16. Ding, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Ding, C.; Xu, S.; Xu, Z. The Use of Cyclodextrin Inclusion Complexes to Increase the Solubility and Pharmacokinetic Profile of Albendazole. *Molecules* **2023**, *28*, 7295. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28217295)
- <span id="page-23-3"></span>17. Kemelbekov, U.; Luo, Y.; Orynbekova, Z.; Rustembekov, Z.; Haag, R.; Saenger, W.; Praliyev, K. IR, UV and NMR studies of β-cyclodextrin inclusion complexes of kazcaine and prosidol bases. *J. Incl. Phenom. Macrocycl. Chem.* **2011**, *69*, 181–190. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10847-010-9829-x)
- <span id="page-23-17"></span>18. Kemelbekov, U.; Saipov, A.; Abdildanova, A.; Ospanov, I.; Luo, Y.; Guskov, A.; Saenger, W.; Imachova, S.; Nasyrova, S.; Pichkhadze, G. Structure and pharmacological studies of the anaesthetic 1-(3-n-butoxypropyl)-4-benzoyloxypiperidin hydrochloride and its complex with b-cyclodextrin in solution. NMR and IR-spectroscopy data. *J. Incl. Phenom. Macrocycl. Chem.* **2013**, *77*, 249–257. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10847-012-0239-0)
- 19. Kaldybekova, G.M.; Kemel'bekov, U.S.; Abdildanova, A.A.; Praliev, K.D.; Volynkin, V.A.; Nasyrova, S.R.; Imashova, S.O.; Pichkhadze, G.M. Preparation of an inclusion complex of 1-(3-n-butoxypropyl)-4-vinylacetylen-4-benzoyloxypiperidine with β-cyclodextrin and its local anesthetic activity. *Pharm. Chem. J.* **2014**, *48*, 196–200. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11094-014-1076-9)
- <span id="page-23-19"></span>20. Sharipov, R.A.; Sharapov, K.S.; Kemelbekov, U.S.; Volynkin, V.A.; Yu, V.K.; Panyushkin, V.T.; Praliev, K.D. The structure and pharmacological properties of the 4-acetoxy-1-(2-ethoxyethyl)-4-phenylpiperidine inclusion complex with β-cyclodextrin. *J. Incl. Phenom. Macrocycl. Chem.* **2017**, *87*, 141–148. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10847-016-0685-1)
- <span id="page-23-4"></span>21. Kemelbekov, U.S.; Ramazanova, K.R.; Kabdraissova, A.Z.; Sabirov, V.K. X-ray and NMR study of β-cyclodextrin inclusion complexes with 1-methyl-4-ethynyl-4-hydroxypiperidin. *Chem. Data Collect.* **2022**, *37*, 100811. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cdc.2021.100811)
- <span id="page-23-5"></span>22. Al-Tuwaijri, H.M.; Al-Abdullah, E.S.; El-Rashedy, A.A.; Ansari, S.A.; Almomen, A.; Alshibl, H.M.; Haiba, M.E.; Alkahtani, H.M. New Indazol-Pyrimidine-Based Derivatives as Selective Anticancer Agents: Design, Synthesis, and In Silico Studies. *Molecules* **2023**, *28*, 3664. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28093664) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37175074)
- <span id="page-23-6"></span>23. Krämer, S.D.; Aschmann, H.E.; Hatibovic, M.; Hermann, K.F.; Neuhaus, C.S.; Brunner, C.; Belli, S. When barriers ignore the "rule-of-five". *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* **2016**, *101*, 62–74. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2016.02.001)
- <span id="page-23-7"></span>24. Lipinski, C.A.; Lombardo, F.; Dominy, B.W.; Feeney, P.J. Experimental and computational approaches to estimate solubility and permeability in drug discovery and development settings. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* **2001**, *46*, 3–26. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-409X(00)00129-0) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11259830)
- <span id="page-23-8"></span>25. Daina, A.; Michielin, O.; Zoete, V. Swiss ADME: A free web tool to evaluate pharmacokinetics, drug-likeness and medicinal chemistry friendliness of small molecules. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 42717. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep42717) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28256516)
- <span id="page-23-9"></span>26. Wang, J.B.; Cao, D.S.; Zhu, M.F.; Yun, Y.H.; Xiao, N.; Liang, Y.Z. In silico evaluation of logD7. 4 and comparison with other prediction methods. *J. Chemom.* **2015**, *29*, 389–398. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/cem.2718)
- <span id="page-23-10"></span>27. Lipinski, C.A. Drug-like properties and the causes of poor solubility and poor permeability. *J. Pharmacol. Toxicol. Methods* **2000**, *44*, 235–249. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1056-8719(00)00107-6)
- <span id="page-23-11"></span>28. Ranjith, D.; Ravikumar, C. SwissADME predictions of pharmacokinetics and drug-likeness properties of small molecules present in Ipomoea mauritiana Jacq. *J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem.* **2019**, *8*, 2063–2073.
- <span id="page-23-12"></span>29. Filimonov, D.A.; Lagunin, A.A.; Gloriozova, T.A.; Rudik, A.V.; Druzhilovskii, D.S.; Pogodin, P.V.; Poroikov, V.V. Prediction of the biological activity spectra of organic compounds using the PASS online web resource. *Chem. Heterocycl. Compd.* **2014**, *50*, 444–457. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10593-014-1496-1)
- 30. Pogodin, P.V.; Lagunin, A.A.; Rudik, A.V.; Druzhilovskiy, D.S.; Filimonov, D.A.; Poroikov, V.V. AntiBac-Pre d: A Web Application for Predicting Antibacterial Activity of Chemical Compounds. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **2019**, *59*, 4513–4518. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.9b00436)
- <span id="page-23-13"></span>31. AntiFUN Pred Web-Service. Available online: <http://www.way2drug.com/micF/> (accessed on 23 April 2023).
- <span id="page-23-14"></span>32. Lagunin, A.; Zakharov, A.; Filimonov, D.; Poroikov, V. QSAR Modelling of Rat Acute Toxicity on the Basis of PASS Prediction. *Mol. Inform.* **2011**, *30*, 241–250. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/minf.201000151) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27466777)
- <span id="page-23-15"></span>33. Zhu, H.; Martin, T.M.; Ye, L.; Sedykh, A.; Young, D.M.; Tropsha, A. Quantitative structure–activity relationship modeling of rat acute toxicity by oral exposure. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **2009**, *22*, 1913–1921. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/tx900189p) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19845371)
- <span id="page-23-16"></span>34. Ivanov, S.M.; Lagunin, A.A.; Rudik, A.V.; Filimonov, D.A.; Poroikov, V.V. ADVERPred–Web Service for Prediction of Adverse Effects of Drugs. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **2018**, *58*, 8–11. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.7b00568) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29206457)
- <span id="page-23-18"></span>35. Mukhamedzhanova, G.S.; Pichkhadze, G.M.; Praliyev, D.; Kadirova, D.M.; Esetova, K.U.; Nasyrova, S.R.; Imashova, S.O.; Amyrkulova, M.K.; Aytzhanova, G.B. Local anesthetic activity of the piperidine derivatives (LAS-54) in combination with vasoconstricts. *Vestn. KAZNMU* **2012**, *2*, 352–354.
- <span id="page-23-20"></span>36. Dib, B. Intrathecal chronic catheterization in the rat. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* **1984**, *20*, 45–48. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/0091-3057(84)90098-4)
- <span id="page-23-21"></span>37. Korablev, M.V.; Praliev, K.D.; Salita, T.A.; Zhilribaev, O.T.; Kurbat, N.M.; Sydykov, A.O.; Sokolov, D.V. Synthesis of piperidine and decahydroquinoline derivatives, their analgetic and psychotropic activity. *Pharm. Chem. J.* **1985**, *19*, 419–422. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00833352)
- <span id="page-23-22"></span>38. Iskakova, T.K. Success in the search for local anesthetics in the series of mono- and bicyclic N-alkosialkylpiperidines. 1. Derivatives of 4-hydroxypiperidine. *Chem. J. Kazakhstan* **2010**, *2*, 158–164.
- <span id="page-23-23"></span>39. Daina, A.; Michielin, O.; Zoete, V. SwissTargetPrediction: Updated data and new features for efficient prediction of protein targets of small molecules. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2019**, *47*, W357–W364. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz382)
- <span id="page-23-24"></span>40. Pogodin, P.V.; Lagunin, A.A.; Filimonov, D.A. PASS Targets: Ligand-based multi-target computational system based on a public data and naïve Bayes approach. *SAR QSAR Environ. Res.* **2015**, *26*, 783–793. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/1062936X.2015.1078407)
- <span id="page-24-0"></span>41. Quevauviller, A. Experimental methods for comparing local anesthetic activity. In *International Encyclopedia of Pharmacology and Therapeutics*; Radouco-Thomas, C., Ed.; Section 8: Local anesthetics (Lechat P, Section editor); Pergamon Press: Oxford, UK; New York, NY, USA, 1971; Volume I, pp. 291–318.
- <span id="page-24-1"></span>42. Camougis, G.; Takman, B.H. Nerve and nerve-muscle preparations (as applied to local anesthetics). In *Methods in Pharmacology, Appleton-Century-Crofts, Educational Division*; Schwartz, A., Ed.; Meredith Corp.: New York, NY, USA, 1971; Volume 1, pp. 1–40.
- <span id="page-24-2"></span>43. Issayeva, U.B.; Akhmetova, G.S.; Datkhayev, U.M.; Omyrzakov, M.T.; Praliyev, K.D.; Ross, S.A. The Search for Biologically Active Compounds in the Series of N-ethoxyethylpiperidine Derivatives. *Eurasian Chem.-Technol. J.* **2019**, *21*, 125–133. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.18321/ectj822)
- <span id="page-24-3"></span>44. Khamitova, A.E.; Berillo, D.A. In silico pharmacokinetic assessment parameters and toxicity of new derivatives piperidine and morpholine hydrazides. *Vestn. KAZNMU* **2022**, *4*, 90–112.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.