Structural Isomerism and Enhanced Lipophilicity of Pyrithione Ligands of Organoruthenium(II) Complexes Increase Inhibition on AChE and BuChE

Jerneja Kladnik ¹, Samuel Ristovski ², Jakob Kljun ¹, Andrea Defant ³, Ines Mancini ³, Kristina Sepčič ^{2,*} and Iztok Turel ^{1,*}

- ¹ Faculty of Chemistry and Chemical Technology, University of Ljubljana, Večna pot 113, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia; jerneja.kladnik@fkkt.uni-lj.si (J.Kla.), jakob.kljun@fkkt.uni-lj.si (J.Klj.), iztok.turel@fkkt.uni-lj.si (I. T.)
- ² Department of Biology, Biotechnical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Jamnikarjeva 101, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia; <u>samuel.ritovski@gmail.com</u> (S.R.), <u>kristina.sepcic@bf.uni-lj.si</u> (K.S.)
- ³ Department of Physics, Bioorganic Chemistry Laboratory, University of Trento, via Sommarive, 14 I-38123 Povo-Trento, Italy; <u>andrea.defant@unitn.it</u> (A.D.), <u>ines.mancini@unitn.it</u> (I.M.)
- * Correspondence: <u>kristina.sepcic@bf.uni-lj.si</u> (K.S.), <u>iztok.turel@fkkt.uni-lj.si</u> (I.T.)

Table of Contents

1.	Synthesis of the ligands f-h and their complexes 1f-h	2
2.	Single crystal X-ray diffraction	3
3.	NMR stability	5
4.	NMR spectra	8
5.	IR spectra	17
6.	Additional computational data	20
7.	ADME prediction data	21

1. Synthesis of the ligands f-h and their complexes 1f-h.



Scheme S1. General scheme of *N*-oxidation and thiolation for the ligands **f-h**.



Scheme S2. General scheme of the synthesis for the organoruthenium(II) complexes 1f-h.

2. Single crystal X-ray diffraction.

Compound	1 f	1g
Empirical formula	C ₁₉ H ₂₀ ClNORuS	C19H20ClNORuS
Formula weight	446.94	446.94
Temperature/K	150.00(10)	150.00(10)
Crystal system	triclinic	monoclinic
Space group	P-1	P2 ₁ /n
a/Å	9.3454(4)	12.1593(6)
b/Å	9.7451(5)	10.8164(6)
c/Å	10.3401(5)	14.5652(7)
α/°	91.210(4)	90
β/°	102.660(4)	97.892(5)
γ/°	101.940(4)	90
Volume/Å ³	896.74(8)	1897.47(17)
Z	2	4
ρ _{calc} g/cm ³	1.655	1.565
µ/mm ⁻¹	1.145	1.082
F(000)	452.0	904.0
Crystal size/mm ³	0.15 imes 0.1 imes 0.1	$0.10 \times 0.05 \times 0.05$
Radiation	MoKa ($\lambda = 0.71073$)	MoKa ($\lambda = 0.71073$)
20 range for data coll./°	5.548 to 54.968	5.566 to 54.96
Index ranges	$-12 \le h \le 12,$ $-12 \le k \le 12,$ $-11 \le 1 \le 13$	$-15 \le h \le 15,$ $-13 \le k \le 14,$ $-18 \le l \le 18$
Reflections collected	7902	11118
Independent reflections	$\begin{array}{l} 4112 \; [R_{int} = 0.0310, \\ R_{sigma} = 0.0484] \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 4336 \; [R_{int} = 0.0825, \\ R_{sigma} = 0.0788] \end{array}$
Data/restraints/parameters	4112/0/220	4336/0/220
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.047	1.060
Final R indexes [I>= 2σ (I)]	$\begin{array}{l} R_1 = 0.0321, \\ wR_2 = 0.0661 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} R_1 = 0.0646, \\ wR_2 = 0.1604 \end{array}$
Final R indexes [all data]	$\begin{array}{l} R_1 = 0.0414, \\ wR_2 = 0.0710 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} R_1 = 0.0855, \\ wR_2 = 0.1881 \end{array}$
Largest diff. peak/hole / $eÅ^{-3}$	0.66/-0.59	1.92/-1.65

 Table S1. Crystallographic data for the compounds 1f, and 1g.



Figure S1. Residual electron density around the ruthenium atom. Red colour denotes peaks, green colour denotes holes.

<u>Comment to the checkcif report.</u> The checkcif report to the crystal structure of compound **1g** presents several alerts arising from issues of X-ray absorption and not ideal crystal quality. All bond lengths and angles as well as thermal ellipsoid parameters are within normal range for organoruthenium complexes. At present this structure is the best we were able to obtain.



Figure S2: Crystal packing in the compound **1g**. The molecules of the compound **1g** form dimers in solid state. The blue dashed line represents a weak C(Ar)-H…Cl hydrogen bond, the red dashed line shows π -stacking interactions. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 20% probability level, H atoms not involved in intramolecular interactions are omitted for better clarity of presentation.

3. NMR stability



Figure S3: Selected spectra of the chlorido complex **1e** (**A**) and **1g** (**B**) in 6.25% EtOH- d_6/D_2O containing 80.2 mM K₂HPO₄ and 19.8 mM KH₂PO₄, followed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy immediately after the dilution of the samples and after 1h.



Figure S4: Selected spectra of the chlorido complex **1e** in D₂O (**A**) or in D₂O containing 140 mM NaCl (**B**), followed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy immediately after the dilution, after one and three days. The release of *p*-cymene is labelled with an asterisk (*).



8.8 8.7 8.6 8.5 8.4 8.3 8.2 8.1 8.0 7.9 7.8 7.7 7.6 7.5 7.4 7.3 7.2 7.1 7.0 6.9 6.8 6.7 6.6 6.5 6.4 6.3 6.2 6.1 6.0 5.9 5.8 5.7 5.6 5.5 5.4 5.3 5.2 5.1 5.0 f1 (ppm)

Figure S5: Selected spectra of the chlorido complex **1g** in D₂O (**A**) or in D₂O containing 140 mM NaCl (**B**), followed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy immediately after the dilution, after one and three days. The release of *p*-cymene is labelled with an asterisk (*).

4. NMR spectra



Figure S6: ¹H NMR spectrum of f'.



Figure S7: ¹H NMR spectrum of **g'**.



Figure S8: ¹H NMR spectrum of h'.



Figure S9: ¹H NMR spectrum of **f**.



Figure S10: ¹H NMR spectrum of **g**.



Figure S11: ¹H NMR spectrum of **h**.



Figure S12: ¹H NMR spectrum of 1f.



Figure S13: ¹H NMR spectrum of 1g.



Figure S14: ¹H NMR spectrum of 1h.





Figure S15: IR spectrum of 1f.



Figure S16: IR spectrum of 1g.



Figure S17: IR spectrum of 1h.

6. Additional computational data



Figure S18. Comparison of the three-dimensional representations of sting ray (*Torpedo californica*) AChE (PDB ID:6G1V; orange) with electric eel AChE (PDB ID: 1C2B; violet) using the TM- alignment, with the obtained result. The identical amino acidic residue in the active sites of both AChEs is marked in green, whereas in red the different amino acidic residues in the active sites are reported.

7. ADME prediction data

1g			
H O 🖌			Water Solubility
	LIPO	Log S (ESOL) 🥹	-7.13
		Solubility	3.30e-05 mg/ml ; 7.39e-08 mol/l
H _a c H	FLEX	Class ⁽²⁾	Poorly soluble
Litt		Log S (Ali) 🚱	-7.43
H ₃ C Ru		Solubility	1.68e-05 mg/ml ; 3.75e-08 mol/l
	.H_3	Class 🤨	Poorly soluble
" \	INSATU POLAR	Log S (SILICOS-IT) 😣	-3.62
	Y	Solubility	1.07e-01 mg/ml ; 2.39e-04 mol/l
	INFOLL	Class 😣	Soluble
	INSOLU		Pharmacokinetics
SMILES CC(C12C3[Ru]45	672(C1C5C7(C34)C)(Cl)Sc1n(O6)c2cccc2cc1)C	GI absorption (9)	High
P	hysicochemical Properties	BBB permeant 😣	No
Formula	C19H20CINORuS	P-gp substrate 😣	No
Volecular weight	446.96 g/mol	CYP1A2 inhibitor 😣	Yes
lum. heavy atoms	24	CYP2C19 inhibitor 😣	No
lum. arom. heavy atoms	10	CYP2C9 inhibitor 😣	No
Fraction Csp3	0.53	CYP2D6 inhibitor 😣	No
Num. rotatable bonds	1	CYP3A4 inhibitor 😣	No
Num. H-bond acceptors	1	Log K _p (skin permeation) 🥹	-4.21 cm/s
lum. H-bond donors	0		Drualikeness
Volar Refractivity	96.36	Lipinski 📀	Yes; 1 violation: MLOGP>4.15
rpsa 🧐	39.46 Ų	Ghose 🤒	No: 1 violation: WLOGP>5.6
	Lipophilicity	Veber 🔞	Yes
Log P _{o/w} (iLOGP) 😣	0.00	Egan 🤨	No: 1 violation: WLOGP>5.88
.og P _{o/w} (XLOGP3) 📀	6.79	Muegge 🥹	No: 2 violations: XLOGP3>5. #rings>7
_og P _{o/w} (WLOGP) 😣	6.50	Bioavailability Score 9	0.55
₋og P _{o/w} (MLOGP) 🧐	5.12		Medicinal Chemistry
Log P _{o/w} (SILICOS-IT) 📀	2.62	PAINS ()	0 alert
Consensus Log P _{olw} 😣	4.21	Brenk 🐵	0 alert
		Leadlikeness 🐵	No; 2 violations: MW>350, XLOGP3>3.5
		Synthetic accessibility 🤨	5.57

1d			
₩ ⊕ 			Water Solubility
	LIPO	Log S (ESOL) 🤨	-6.19
		Solubility	2.63e-04 ma/ml : 6.41e-07 mol/l
СН ₃ / ц	FLEX	Class 📀	Poorly soluble
		Log S (Ali) 😢	-6.41
		Solubility	1.60e-04 mg/ml; 3.90e-07 mol/l
H CONTRACTOR		Class 🔞	Poorly soluble
Y Y	CH3 INSATU POLAR	Log S (SILICOS-IT) 😕	-3.17
H CH3 POLAR		Solubility	2.78e-01 mg/ml; 6.78e-04 mol/l
		Class 🔞	Soluble
	INSOLU		Pharmacokinetics
SMILES Cc1ccc2n(c1)O[Ru	I]13456(S2)(CI)C2C4C6(C3C1C52C)C(C)C	GI absorption 😣	High
Ph	ysicochemical Properties	BBB permeant 📀	Yes
Formula	C16H20CINORuS	P-gp substrate 🐵	No
Molecular weight	410.92 g/mol	CYP1A2 inhibitor 😣	Yes
Num. heavy atoms	21	CYP2C19 inhibitor 😣	No
Num. arom. heavy atoms	6	CYP2C9 inhibitor 😣	No
Fraction Csp3	0.69	CYP2D6 inhibitor 😣	No
Num. rotatable bonds	1	CYP3A4 inhibitor 😣	No
Num. H-bond acceptors	1	Log Kn (skin permeation)	-4 68 cm/s
Num. H-bond donors	0		Druglikeness
Molar Refractivity	83.82	Lininski	Yes: 1 violation: MLOGP>4 15
TPSA 😣	39.46 Ų	Chose 9	No: 1 violation: WLOGP>5.6
	Lipophilicity	Veber 🖗	Ves
Log P _{o/w} (iLOGP) 😣	0.00	Fran	Ves
Log P _{o/w} (XLOGP3) 🤨	5.81	Mueage (9)	No: 2 violations: XI OGP3>5 #rings>7
Log P _{olw} (WLOGP) 🥹 5.66		Bioavailability Score 😕	0.55
Log P _{o/w} (MLOGP) 🥹 4.38			Medicinal Chemistry
Log P _{o/w} (SILICOS-IT) 😣	2.16	PAINS 🔞	0 alert
Consensus Log Poly 8 3.60		Brenk 🧐	0 alert
		Leadlikeness 📀	No; 2 violations: MW>350, XLOGP3>3.5
		Synthetic accessibility 😢	5.46

Figure S19. ADME Prediction of compounds **1g** and **1d** evaluated by online Server Swiss-ADME.