SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Structure-Dependent Effects of Phthalates on Intercellular and Intracellular Communication in Liver Oval Cells

Lucie Čtveráčková¹, Daniel Jančula², Jan Raška¹, Pavel Babica^{1,2} and Iva Sovadinová^{1,*}

- ¹ RECETOX, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Kamenice 753/5, Pavilion A29, 625 00 Brno, Czech Republic; <u>lucie.ctverackova@recetox.muni.cz</u> (L.Č.); raska@med.muni.cz (J.R.); <u>pavel.babica@recetox.muni.cz</u> (P.B.); <u>iva.sovadinova@recetox.muni.cz</u> (I.S.)
- ² Department of Experimental Phycology and Ecotoxicology, Institute of Botany of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Lidická 25/27, 602 00 Brno, Czech Republic; <u>pavel.babica@ibot.cas.cz</u> (P.B.)
- * Correspondence: iva.sovadinova@recetox.muni.cz; Tel.: +420-549-494-738; Fax: +420-549-492-840

Page No.

TABLES

Table S1: Examples of national regulatory limits for phthalates	3
Table S2: Biological activity of studied phthalates concerning their hepatotoxicity	4-5
Table S3: The primers used in the study	6
FIGUES	
Figure S1: Structure of studied phthalates	7

Figure S2: The scalpel cut in the confluent monolayer of WB F-344 cells exposed to phthalates	8
Figure S3: Cell viability of rat liver oval WB-F344 cells after 24-h treatment with phthalates evaluated by Alamar Blue® (AB) assay	9
Figure S4: Cell viability of rat liver oval WB-F344 cells after 24-h treatment with phthalates evaluated by neutral red uptake (NRU) assay	10
Figure S5: Lucifer yellow dye transfer in WB F-344 cells exposed to the phthalates reducing cell viability at least in one of the cytotoxicity assays	11
Figure S6: Original uncropped and unadjusted blot images for Figure 4 (Group A-C) – MAPK-pErk1/2 (A.) and GAPDH (B.)	12
Figure S7: Original uncropped and unadjusted blot images for Figure 4 (Group A-C) – MAPK-Erk1/2 (A.) and GAPDH (B.)	13
Figure S8: Original uncropped and unadjusted blot images for Figure 4 (Group D-F, TPA, DPhP) – MAPK-pErk1/2 (A.) and GAPDH (B.)	14
Figure S9: Original uncropped and unadjusted blot images for Figure 4 (Group D-F, TPA, DPhP) – total MAPK-Erk1/2 (A.) and GAPDH (B.)	15
Figure S10: Original uncropped and unadjusted gel images for Figure 5 – Actb and Ppar α	16

Figure S11: Original uncropped and unadjusted gel images for Figure 5 –Ppar β/δ (A.) and	17
Ppary (B.)	

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary rable SI: Examples of national regulatory limits for pluna
--

Country	ountry Phthalate Regulation						
Argentina ^a	DEHP, BBP, DBP, DOP, DINP	 Children's toys and daycare items for children <3 years old: DEHP + BBP + DBP <0.1% For articles that can be placed into a child's mouth: DEHP + BBP + DBP + DOP + DINP <0.1% 					
Australia ^a	DEHP	• Children's toys and daycare items for children <3 years old: <1%					
Brazil ^a	DEHP, BBP, DBP, DOP, DINP	 Ethylvinyl toys and childcare articles: DEHP, BBP, DBP <1% Ethylvinyl toys and articles that can be placed in the mouth of children <3 years old: DEHP, BBP, DBP, DOP, DINP <1% 					
Canada ^a	DEHP, BBP, DBP DOP, DIDP, DINP	Ethyl vinyl toys and childcare articles: <0.1% Soft ethyl vinyl toys and articles that can be placed in the mouth of children <4 years old: <0.1%					
EU ^{a,b}	DEHP, DBP, BBP, DIBP DCHP DINP, DOP	 Included in the list of REACH substances of very high concern, because of equivalent concern of "endocrine disrupting" properties in humans They have been restricted in the EU from 2019 All children's toys or childcare articles for children <3 years old: DEHP + BBP + DBP <0.1% Included in the list of REACH substances of very high concern, because of equivalent concern of "endocrine disrupting" properties in human All toys and childcare items that can be placed in a child's mouth: DIDP + DINP + DOP <0.1% 					
Japan ^{a,c}	DBP, DEHP, BBP DIDP, DINP, DOP	 <= 0.1% in the plasticized material in designated toys All synthetic polymer toys: DEHP is prohibited <=0.1% in the plasticized material in the parts that are intended to be placed in the mouth (excluding pacifiers and teething rings) For all mouth contact toys for children under 6 years old: DINP is prohibited DINP shall not be used as raw material for a toy that is intended to come in contact with the infant's mouth, PVC parts that are not intended to come in contact with the infant's mouth 					
USA ^{a,d}	DEHP, DBP, BBP DEHP, DBP, BBP, DINP, DIDP, DOP	<=0.1% in children's toys and childcare articles <=0.1% in any children's toy that can be placed in a child's mouth and childcare articles					

^{*a*}Ashworth 2018, Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health, 15, 200; ^{*b*} REACH Annex XVII restricted substances list (entry 51

and 52), ^{*c*} Japan Food Sanitation Law (Effective - September 6, 2011), ^{*d*} Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 (CPSIA). **BBP**, Benzyl butyl phthalate; **DBP**, Dibutyl phthalate; **DCHP**, dicyclohexyl phthalate; **DEHP**, Di-(2-ethyl hexyl) phthalate; **DIBP**, Diisobutyl phthalate; **DIDP**, Diisodecyl phthalate; **DINP**, Diisononyl phthalate; **DOP**, Dioctyl phthalate.

	Literature search			ToxCast activity ^c												
Phthalate	Hazard traits	In vivo & In vitro assays concerning hepatic cells ^b			No. of	% Active assays per gene set						Active assays				
	Liver tox./ tumor ^{<i>a</i>}	PPARα	PPAR\$/8	ΡΡΑΒγ	GJIC	ToxCast assays tested	positive hits	PPARs	CAR	PXR	LXR	RXR	FXR	AhR	CYPs	Hepatotox.
MMP		-	-	-		1084	1.38	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	3
d DMP	+/-	-	-	-		1081	1.11	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3
^Q [▼] DEP	+/+	-	-	-		881	1.14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
MBP		+/-	+/-	+/-		1080	1.57	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	6
<u> </u>						513	4.09	2	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	9
o DIPrP		-	-	+		423	4.09	1	0	1	1	2	0	1	0	13
^O DAP	+/-	+	+	+		882	6.8	2	0	2	2	2	0	0	4	21
DBP	+/	+	+	+		1087	7.33	1	1	2	0	1	1	0	11	22
DIBP	+/-	+		+		878	11.39	2	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	36
dr BBP	+/-	+	+	+		882	7.82	2	0	1	0	0	2	0	2	12
DPeP	+/	+	+	+		883	8.49	1	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	21
DCHP	+/-					608	26.97	2	0	2	0	2	3	1	0	22
DPhP						560	11.61	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	8
d DHpP						450	1.78	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
^{ဥ ပိ} DIHpP					-	211	2.84	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
DOP	+/			+	-	885	5.08	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	3
o 🗹 🖬 DEHP	+/+	+/-	-	+/-	+/-	1080	4.17	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	8
ت DINP	+/+	+		+	+/-	553	2.13	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3
d DDP						211	0.95	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DIDP	+/				-	552	1.27	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	2

Supplementary Table S2: Biological activity of studied phthalates concerning their liver toxicity

Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2020, 21, x; doi: FOR PEER REVIEW

5 of 17

^{*a*} A "+" or "-" notation indicates data from *in vivo* studies in animals or *in vitro* studies are available to support or not to support, respectively, chemical activity for the hazard trait - Pham 2016, Tox Sci 151, 286; the Chemistry Dashboard, <u>https://comptox.epa.gov/dashboard/</u> (accessed 5/2019); ^{*b*} A "+" or "-" notation indicates data from *in vivo* studies in animals or *in vitro* studies are available to support or not support, respectively, chemical interaction with the appropriate molecular target - Bility 2004, Tox Sci 82, 170; Corton 2005, Tox Sci 83, 4; Hurst 2003, Tox Sci 74, 297; Isenberg, 2000, Tox Sci 56, 73; Kanyama 2005, Mol Pharmacol 67, 766; Lampen 2003, Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 188, 14; Lapinskas 2005, Toxicology 207, 149; Maloney 1999, Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 161, 209; McKee, 2000, Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 32, 51; The Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's (OEHHA), 2013, https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/proposition-65/chemicals/dinphid100413.pdf; Pham 2016, Tox Sci 151, 286; Pugh 2000, Tox Sci 56, 181; Smith 2000, Tox Sci 54, 312; Valles 2003, Toxicology 191, 211; ^{*c*} The number indicates the number of assay endpoints in each gene set concerning hepatoxicity or hepatic tumors or in the hepatotoxicity assays with rat and human hepatocytes or HepG2 cells - Pham 2016, Tox Sci 151, 286; ToxCast dashboard (https://actor .epa.gov/dashboard/), the Chemistry Dashboard, <u>https://comptox.epa.gov/dashboard/</u> (both accessed 5/2019). Blank cells indicate a lack of data. **AhR**,aryl hydrocarbon receptor; **CAR**, constitutive androstane receptor; **CYPs**, cytochromes P450; **FXR**, farnesoid X receptor; **GJIC**, gap junctional intercellular communicatior; **LXR**, liver X receptor; **PPARs**, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors; **PXR**, pregnane X receptor; **RXR**, retinoid X receptor.

Gene	Accession No	Primer sequences	Splice variants	Product	Source		
Gene	1100000111100	(F - forward R - Reverse)	size (hn)	bource			
Duara	NM 0131961	E - gatgacagtgacatttee	NM 0131961	159	Primor 3		
1 pura	NWI_015170.1		r - gaigacagigacattice NIM_013196.1				
		R - gaagagaaaggtatcatcc					
Pparß/8	NM_013141.2	F - caacaagtgtcagtactgc	NM_013141.2	187	Primer 3		
		R - attgtagatgtgcttgg					
Pparγ	NM_001145367.1	F – ctcatacataaagtccttcc	NM_001145367.1	238	Primer 3		
		R - atactctgtgatctcttgc	NM_013124.3				
			NM_001145366.1				
ß-Actin	NM_007393.5	F – aaccttcttgcagctcctcc	NM_007393.5	193	Primer 3		
-		R - ccatacccaccatcacaccc					

Supplementary Table S3: The primers used in the study



SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

Supplementary Figure S1: Structure of studied phthalates.





Supplementary Figure S2: The scalpel cut in the 100% confluent monolayer of WB F-344 cells exposed to phthalates. The representative bright-field images of the cut after 24-h exposure of cells to phthalates at the highest concentrations tested in GJIC assay (80 µM) followed by the SL-DT technique. The phthalates did not disturb the cell confluent monolayer (no gaps between cells or a large number of detached cells floating). Scale bar = 50 µm. **BBP**, benzyl butyl phthalate; **DAP**, diallyl phthalate; **DCHP**, dicyclohexyl phthalate; **DIP**, diebyl phthalate; **DPP**, diebyl phthalate; **DPP**,

Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2020, 21, x; doi: FOR PEER REVIEW



Supplementary Figure S3: Cell viability of rat liver oval WB-F344 cells after 24-h treatment with phthalates evaluated by Alamar Blue® (AB) assay. Data represent means (SD) of independent experiments (n>3). Significant differences from the vehicle control were determined by one-way ANOVA/Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA (*ns,* P > 0.050).



Supplementary Figure S4: Cell viability of rat liver oval WB-F344 cells after 24-h treatment with phthalates evaluated by neutral red uptake (NRU) assay. Data represent means (SD) of independent experiments (n>3). Significant differences from the vehicle control were determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's post hoc test (**, $P \le 0.010$).

11 of 17



Supplementary Figure S5: Lucifer yellow dye transfer in WB F-344 cells exposed to the phthalates found to reduce cell viability in at least one of the cytotoxicity assays. The representative fluorescent images after 24-h exposure of cells to phthalates at the highest concentrations tested in GJIC assay (80 μM) followed by the SL-DT technique. The lucifer yellow dye spreading into the WB F-344 cells is related to the GJIC extent. Non-specific spreading of lucifer yellow (higher background) due to possible cytotoxicity of phthalates was not observed, which is in contrast to the effect of triclosan (TCS) at the cytotoxic concentration of 100 μM. Scale bar = 50 μm. **BBP**, benzyl butyl phthalate; **DAP**, diallyl phthalate; **DCHP**, disobertyl phthalate; **DIBP**, diisobutyl phthalate; **DIHpP**, diisoheptyl phthalate; **DPeP**, dipentyl phthalate; **DPhP**, diphenyl phthalate; **TCS**, triclosan.



Supplementary Figure S6: Original uncropped and unadjusted blot images for Figure 4 (Group A-C) – MAPK-pErk1/2 (A.) and GAPDH (B.)



Supplementary Figure S7: Original uncropped and unadjusted blot images for Figure 4 (Group A-C) – total MAPK-Erk1/2 (A.) and GAPDH (B.)

Α.

Veh DPhP DHpP DIHpP DCP DINP DINP DIDP TPA B. Veh DPhP DHpP DIHpP DOP DOP DINP DINP DIDP TPA

Supplementary Figure S8: Original uncropped and unadjusted blot images for Figure 4 (Group D-F, TPA, DPhP) – MAPK-pErk1/2 (A.) and GAPDH (B.)



Supplementary Figure S9: Original uncropped and unadjusted blot images for Figure 4 (Group D-F, TPA, DPhP) – total Erk1/2 (A.) and GAPDH (B.)



В.



Supplementary Figure S10: Original uncropped and unadjusted gel images for Figure 5 – Actb (A.) and Ppara (B.)



В.



Supplementary Figure S11: Original uncropped and unadjusted gel images for Figure 5 – $Ppar\beta/\delta$ (A.) and $Ppar\gamma$ (B.)