



Review

PPARs and Myocardial Infarction

Kay-Dietrich Wagner * and Nicole Wagner *

Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, INSERM, iBV, 06107 Nice, France

* Correspondence: kwagner@unice.fr (K.-D.W.); nwagner@unice.fr (N.W.);

Tel.: +33-493-377665 (K.-D.W. & N.W.)

Received: 1 December 2020; Accepted: 10 December 2020; Published: 11 December 2020



Abstract: Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) belong to the nuclear hormone receptor family. They are ligand-activated transcription factors and exist in three different isoforms, PPAR α (NR1C1), PPAR β/δ (NR1C2), and PPAR γ (NR1C3). PPARs regulate a variety of functions, including glucose and lipid homeostasis, inflammation, and development. They exhibit tissue and cell type-specific expression patterns and functions. Besides the established notion of the therapeutic potential of PPAR agonists for the treatment of glucose and lipid disorders, more recent data propose specific PPAR ligands as potential therapies for cardiovascular diseases. In this review, we focus on the knowledge of PPAR function in myocardial infarction, a severe pathological condition for which therapeutic use of PPAR modulation has been suggested.

Keywords: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor; myocardial infarction; cardiovascular disease; angiogenesis; endothelial cells; cardiomyocytes

1. Introduction

Acute myocardial infarction is one of the leading causes of death, the prevalence of the disease approaches three million people worldwide. The etiology of acute myocardial infarction is decreased coronary blood flow. The available oxygen supply cannot meet oxygen demand, resulting in cardiac ischemia. Risk factors include obesity, dyslipidemia, and diabetes mellitus. Activators of PPARs are used to treat a variety of metabolic disorders, like diabetes and hyperlipidemias, via individual or combined activation of PPAR isoforms. PPARs are ligand-activated transcription factors that belong to the nuclear receptor superfamily. They exist in three different isoforms: PPAR α , PPAR β/δ , and PPAR γ , and are activated by fatty acids and their derivatives, linking them directly to metabolism. PPAR α is mostly expressed in the liver, heart, brown adipose tissue, kidney, and intestine. PPAR β/δ is ubiquitously expressed with some species differences, and PPAR γ is detectable in adipose tissue, the heart, gut, and immune cells. Synthetic specific agonists are available for all PPARs. PPARs heterodimerize with retinoid X receptors (RXR) and bind to cis-acting DNA elements, PPAR response elements (PPREs), which results in increased gene transcription [1]. Though therapies with activators of PPARs have mostly favorable effects on the risk factors for cardiovascular disease, also adverse effects on the cardiovascular system occur, which mitigates their advantageous effects, thus limiting their widespread use in patients with cardiovascular risk. Our review summarizes basic and clinical research findings associating PPARs with beneficial or detrimental effects in the setting of myocardial infarction.

2. PPARs and Myocardial Ischemia/Infarction

2.1. PPAR α

In the late 1980s, the Helsinki heart study suggested the PPAR α agonist gemfibrozil for the prevention of coronary artery disease [2]. At this time, it was not known that gemfibrozil actually was

a PPAR α agonist, as PPAR α had been identified as the first PPAR in 1990 [3]. In the Helsinki heart study, the effect of modifying plasma low-density lipoprotein (LDL), and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol on the primary prevention of coronary heart disease in middle-aged men with hypercholesterolemia was investigated over a five year trial period. A 34% reduction in the incidence of coronary artery disease had been observed [2]. In 1998, the bezafibrate infarction prevention (BIP) study was initiated. Bezafibrate is a lipid-lowering fibric acid derivative and a pan (α , β/δ , γ)-PPAR agonist. The aim of this trial was to investigate if bezafibrate would reduce the risk of myocardial infarction in coronary artery disease patients. An eight-year follow-up demonstrated a 17% reduction of major cardiac events [4]. 1999 was the start of the ACCORD (action to control cardiovascular risk in diabetes) trial, which aimed at elucidating whether combination therapy with a statin (simvastatin) plus fenofibrate, as compared with statin monotherapy, would reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Combination of the PPAR α agonist fenofibrate and simvastatin did not reduce the rate of fatal cardiovascular events, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke, as compared with simvastatin alone [5]. Later, first experimental studies investigating the effects of PPAR α modulation on the outcome of myocardial infarction emerged. In 2002, the group of C. Thiemermann was the first to examine the effects of PPAR α agonists (clofibrate and WY14643) after experimentally induced myocardial infarction. They also investigated the effects of PPAR γ agonists (thiazolidinediones and cyclopentanone prostaglandins) on myocardial infarct size [6]. The detailed information about the substances or experimental interventions used as well as the outcome on myocardial infarction for all PPARs, are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Type of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPAR) modulation and experimental settings used in animal studies.

Concerned PPAR	Type of PPAR Modulation	Experimental Setting	Species	Outcome for Myocardial Infarction	Citation
PPAR α	Agonists, Clofibrate, WY14643, 30 min before, ischemia	ischemia 25 min, reperfusion 2 h	rats	reduced infarct sizes	[6]
PPAR α	agonist GW7647, 2 days and 1 h before ischemia	ischemia 30 min reperfusion 24 h	mice	reduced infarct sizes, improvement of myocardial contractile dysfunction	[7]
PPAR α	cardiac specific overexpression, knockout	ischemia 18 min reperfusion 40 min	mice	worsened cardiac function, improved cardiac function	[8]
PPAR α	agonist fenofibrate, 12 weeks after MI	myocardial infarction	rats	unchanged leftventricular dysfunction	[9]
PPAR α	agonist fenofibrate, 4 weeks before ischemia	ischemia 90 min reperfusion 120 min	pigs	unchanged infarct sizes and cardiac function	[10]
PPAR α	agonist WY14643, 35 in before ischemia	ischemia 35 min reperfusion 2 h repetitive, brief I/R during several days	Goto-Kakizaki, rats	reduced infarct sizes	[11]
PPAR α	cardiomyocyte specific overexpression		mice	impaired ventricular function	[12]
PPAR α	agonist clofibrate, 7 days after MI for 7 days	myocardial infarction	rats	reduced left ventricular dilatation, LVEF unchanged	[13]
PPAR α	agonist fenofibrate, ginsenoside Rb3, 7 days after MI	myocardial infarction	mice	Ejection fractions and fractional shortening increased	[14]
PPAR β/δ	agonist GW610742X, 6–9 weeks after MI	myocardial infarction	rats	infarct sizes and EF fractions unchanged	[15]
PPAR β/δ	conditional inducible vessel specific overexpression, started 1 week before MI	myocardial infarction	mice	bigger infarct sizes, worse functional parameters	[16]

Table 1. Cont.

Concerned PPAR	Type of PPAR Modulation	Experimental Setting	Species	Outcome for Myocardial Infarction	Citation
PPAR β/δ	agonist GW610742X, after MI every 3 days	myocardial infarction	rats	unchanged functional parameters	[17]
PPAR β/δ	conditional inducible cardiomyocyte specific overexpression started 1 week before MI, agonist GW0742 after MI for 2 weeks	myocardial infarction	mice	smaller infarct sizes, better functional parameters	[18]
PPAR γ	agonist rosiglitazone, before occlusion and after reperfusion	ischemia 30 min, reperfusion 24 h	rats	smaller infarct sizes, better functional parameters	[19]
PPAR γ	agonists rosiglitazone, ciglitazone, pioglitazone, 15D-PGJ ₂ , PGA ₁ , 30 min before, ischemia	ischemia 25 min, reperfusion 2 h	rats	substantial reduction of infarct sizes, most pronounced with 15D-PGJ ₂	[6]
PPAR γ	agonist pioglitazone 7 days before ischemia	ischemia 30 min, reperfusion 24 h	rats	smaller infarct sizes	[20]
PPAR γ	agonist rosiglitazone, fed regularly, before occlusion	ischemia 1 h, reperfusion 1 h	rats	smaller infarct sizes	[21]
PPAR γ	agonist rosiglitazone after MI for 8 weeks	myocardial infarction	rats	better functional parameters	[22]
PPAR γ	agonist pioglitazone after occlusion	ischemia 30 min, reperfusion 30 min or 120 min	rats	better systolic function, less necrosis	[23]
PPAR γ	agonist rosiglitazone, during reperfusion	ischemia 20 min, reperfusion 28 days	mice	smaller infarct sizes	[24]
PPAR γ	agonist rosiglitazone, before occlusion	ischemia 1 h, reperfusion 4 h	rabbits	smaller infarct sizes	[25]
PPAR γ	agonist pioglitazone 7 days before occlusion	ischemia 30 min, reperfusion 48 h	rabbits	smaller infarct sizes improved left ventricular function	[26]
PPAR γ	agonist rosiglitazone, for 14 days, before occlusion	ischemia 30 min, reperfusion 2 h	mice	smaller infarct sizes, ventricular fibrillation reduced	[27]
PPAR γ	agonist rosiglitazone, for 3 days, before MI	myocardial infarction	wildtype and APN knockout mice	smaller infarct sizes, better survival and functional parameters in wildtype, but not in APN ko mice	[28]
PPAR γ	Telmisartan, ortelmisartan+ antagonist GW9662, for 28 days after MI	myocardial infarction	rats	mortality reduced, left ventricular systolic function improved, GW9662 abolished these effects	[29]
PPAR γ	agonist pioglitazone treated MSCs, 2 weeks after MI	myocardial infarction	nude rats	improvement of left ventricular function	[30]
PPAR γ	agonist pioglitazone, 2 weeks after MI and MSC injection	myocardial infarction	rats	better functional parameters	[31]
PPAR γ	injection of LPA treated progenitor cells after MI	myocardial infarction	rats	better functional parameters	[32]
PPAR α/γ	dual agonist TZD18 after MI	myocardial infarction	rats	improvement of left ventricular function	[33]
PPAR γ	myeloid specific knockout	myocardial infarction	mice	increased infarct sizes, worse functional parameters	[34]

Table 1. Cont.

Concerned PPAR	Type of PPAR Modulation	Experimental Setting	Species	Outcome for Myocardial Infarction	Citation
PPAR γ	agonist pioglitazone	ischemia 30 min in mice, 60 min in pigs reperfusion different time points	mice, pigs	decreased infarct sizes, better functional parameters	[35]
PPAR γ	pioglitazone treated adipose tissue-derived regenerative cells	myocardial infarction	rats	improved functional parameters	[36]

The authors administered the different agonists 30 min before coronary artery occlusion (for 25 min) and reperfusion (2 h) in rats. Afterward, they determined the respective infarct sizes. Regarding the PPAR α agonists, clofibrate reduced infarct sizes to about 30%, and WY14643 to \approx 44% of that observed in control DMSO treated rats. In the group of thiazolidinediones, rosiglitazone, and ciglitazone caused the most significant reduction of infarct sizes (\approx 45%), whereas pioglitazone reduced the infarcted area to about 25%. However, the highest reduction of infarct sizes was observed when the animals were treated with PPAR γ agonists cyclopentanone prostaglandins: 85% reduction with 15D-PGJ₂, and \approx 47% with PGA₁. The authors proposed inhibition of the transcription factor nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF- κ B) as one mechanism of cardioprotective actions following pretreatment with PPAR α and/or γ agonists, as they observed an increase of NF- κ B pro-inflammatory target genes such as monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) and inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) after myocardial infarction, whose expression was attenuated in case of pretreatment with 15D-PGJ₂. Furthermore, 15D-PGJ₂ upregulated the expression of cardioprotective hemeoxygenase-1 (HO-1). However, although 15D-PGJ₂ is a potent agonist of PPAR γ , not all the effects observed seemed to be mediated via PPAR γ . In vitro studies with rat cardiomyocytes evidenced that only 15D-PGJ₂, but not the PPAR γ agonist rosiglitazone was able to increase HO-1 expression. The authors suggested that the beneficial effect of 15D-PGJ₂ could also be due to the simultaneous activation of PPAR α [6]. Similar beneficial effects were also observed by Tian-Li Hue and colleagues. Using a mouse model system for ischemia (30 min) and reperfusion (24 hrs), they evaluated the effects of the PPAR α agonist GW7647. GW7647 substantially reduced infarct sizes and improved myocardial contractile dysfunction. These effects were abolished in PPAR α knockout mice, confirming the selectivity of the chosen agonist for PPAR α . After ischemia/reperfusion, GW7647 attenuated the decreased myocardial fatty acid oxidation (FAO) enzyme activity, the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, neutrophil accumulation, and inhibited NF- κ B activation. These results further suggested the metabolic and anti-inflammatory properties of PPAR α in cardioprotection [7]. Completely contrasting results were observed in a study by Sambandan and colleagues. Using transgenic mice with cardiac-specific overexpression of PPAR α , wildtype, and PPAR α knockout mice, the authors demonstrated using ischemia (18 min) and reperfusion (40 min) that PPAR α knockout mice had significantly lower FAO, higher glucose oxidation rates, and better recovery of cardiac power (calculated as the product of developed pressure and cardiac output) than animals from the two other groups. In contrast, mice with cardiac overexpression of PPAR α showed highest FAO, lowest glucose oxidation rates as well as worst recovery of cardiac power, indicating a detrimental effect of chronic PPAR α activation on cardiac recovery after ischemia [8]. These findings were later confirmed by Duerr and colleagues, who demonstrated that cardiomyocyte-specific overexpression provokes irreversible damage in murine hearts subjected to repeated ischemia/reperfusion procedures. They observed higher glycogen deposition, increased apoptosis, disturbed antioxidative capacity, and maladaptation of contractile elements as major elements of the deteriorated ventricular function during brief, repetitive ischemia/reperfusion episodes in animals with cardiomyocyte-specific overexpression of PPAR α [12]. In diabetic patients, several prospective, randomized, and double-blind clinical trials for the dual PPAR (α and γ) agonist muraglitazar in comparison with the PPAR γ agonist pioglitazone and placebo were

associated with a higher incidence of major cardiovascular events as myocardial infarction or stroke [37]. Later trials with Alogliptazar, also a dual PPAR α and γ agonist, had to be stopped due to excess adverse events, making this class of therapeutics unlikely to be successful in the treatment of cardiovascular disease in diabetic patients [38]. The Fenofibrate Intervention and Event Lowering in Diabetes (FIELD) study, implying 9795 patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, showed that fenofibrate did not significantly reduce the risk of the primary outcome of coronary events but reduced total cardiovascular events, mainly due to fewer non-fatal myocardial infarctions and re-vascularizations [39]. One experimental study elucidated the effects of chronic PPAR α activation using fenofibrate in heart failure after induced myocardial infarction in rats. No changes in left-ventricular dilatation or dysfunction despite the enhanced cardiac FAO and left ventricular hypertrophy upon PPAR α modulation were observed [9]. Similar findings were reported in fenofibrate treated pigs, which were submitted to ischemia/reperfusion: Myocardial infarct sizes, contractile function, lipid accumulation, substrate uptake, and expression of carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1 (CPT1), important in long-chain fatty acid oxidation, were unaffected by PPAR α activation [10]. Two comparative studies linked single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) concerning PPAR α in humans to a higher risk of myocardial infarction [40,41]. The PPAR α agonist WY 14643 had cardioprotective effects in Goto Kakizaki rats (an animal model of diabetes mellitus type 2) upon ischemia/reperfusion manipulations. The authors observed reduced infarct sizes and increased serine/threonine-protein kinase (AKT) and endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) signaling in the ischemic myocardium of Goto Kakizaki rats treated with WY 14643 [11]. Similar findings were observed in non-diabetic rats submitted to myocardial infarction and treated with the PPAR α agonist clofibrate. The authors focused in their analysis on inflammation related molecules and demonstrated reduced expression of interleukin (IL)-6, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α , intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM)-1, vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM)-1, matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) -2 and -9, NF- κ B, and inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) upon clofibrate treatment. Echocardiographic examinations showed that clofibrate reduced left ventricular dilatation, however, other important functional parameters as left ventricular ejection fractions (LVEF) were not improved [13]. Cardioprotective functions of PPAR α were also proposed in a recent publication where the potential therapeutic effects of ginsenoside Rb-3 (GRb-3) on the outcome after myocardial infarction in mice were examined. Animals were treated either with GRb-3 or fenofibrate. Both substances similarly increased ejection fractions (EF) and fractional shortening (FS), and inhibited apoptosis. The PPAR α inhibitor GW6471 attenuated the effects of GRb-3 in species different rat cardiac myoblasts in vitro, suggesting that cardioprotective consequences of GRb-3 therapy might be mediated through PPAR α activation [14].

The initial enthusiasm regarding the potential therapeutic benefits of PPAR α agonists as activators of cardiac FAO and inhibitors of glucose utilization in the prevention and cure of myocardial infarction has not only been dampened by negative or not clearly beneficial outcomes in large clinical trials but also extremely contrasting results of experimental studies. The role of PPAR α in myocardial infarction remains unclear as both beneficial and detrimental effects of PPAR α activation have been reported. This might be due to ligand-dependent variations, differences in experimental settings, the timing of administration, and species used.

2.2. PPAR β/δ

PPAR β/δ is the predominant PPAR subtype expressed in cardiac tissue [42]. Conditional cardiomyocyte-specific deletion of PPAR β/δ has been shown to induce myocardial lipid accumulation and cardiomyopathy, resulting in congestive heart failure with reduced survival. As the main mechanism for the cardioprotective action of PPAR β/δ , its leading role in maintaining normal fatty acid oxidation (FAO) was identified [43]. Animals with cardiomyocyte-specific deletion of PPAR β/δ were also examined in a study that aimed to establish an open-chest method for acquiring in vivo 31 P nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) cardiac spectra from mice at 4.7 Tesla. Interestingly, mice lacking PPAR β/δ in cardiomyocytes had even lower mean phosphocreatine (PCr)/adenosine triphosphate (ATP) ratios than control animals with myocardial infarction [44]. Given these important findings, it is astonishing

that relatively few investigations focused on the implication of PPAR β/δ in myocardial infarction. Accordingly, nearly no clinical trials exist investigating the consequences of PPAR β/δ modulation in cardiovascular disease. The PPAR β/δ agonist GW501516 entered clinical trials to treat metabolic syndrome and diabetes at the beginning of 2000. These trials were stopped in 2007 due to multiple appearances of cancers in mice and rats [45], a finding which our group could confirm using either the PPAR β/δ agonist GW0742 or animals with conditional inducible vessel-specific overexpression of PPAR β/δ [46,47]. Currently, the angiotensin II receptor blocker telmisartan is one drug on the market that targets PPAR β/δ [48], as well as PPAR γ [49,50]. Two clinical trials for telmisartan were completed: TRANSCEND (Telmisartan Randomized Assessment Study in ACE-Intolerant Subjects with Cardiovascular Disease) and ONTARGET (Ongoing Telmisartan Alone and in Combination with Ramipiril Global Endpoint Trial). No significant differences were observed between the groups in terms of primary and secondary outcomes, except for female patients who showed a 20% overall risk reduction for myocardial infarction [51]. It is, however, difficult to say if this beneficial effect of telmisartan can be attributed to angiotensin II receptor blockade or PPAR β/δ activation.

Concerning expression levels of PPAR β/δ after myocardial infarction, no changes could be observed after the infarction of rats [52]. The group around R. N. Willette examined the effects of the specific PPAR β/δ agonist GW610742X on the outcome after myocardial infarction in rats. The PPAR β/δ agonist did not ameliorate reduced left ventricular ejection fractions, and decreased phosphocreatine/adenosine triphosphate ratios, nor changed left ventricular weights or infarct sizes. In contrast, GW610742X normalized cardiac substrate metabolism after infarction and reduced right ventricular hypertrophy and pulmonary congestion [15]. Indirectly, cardioprotective functions of PPAR β/δ have been postulated in work from Li and coworkers. The authors investigated the beneficial effects of remote ischemic preconditioning (rIPC) for cardiac protection after myocardial infarction and the underlying molecular pathway. rIPC reduced infarct size and apoptosis and improved functional recovery. The authors demonstrated that protective effects of rIPC were mediated via the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt/glycogen synthase kinase 3 β (GSK3 β) signaling pathway, which associates the nuclear accumulation of β -catenin and the up-regulation of its downstream targets E-cadherin and PPAR β/δ involved in cell survival [53]. Our group specifically aimed at elucidating a hypothetical benefit from vessel-specific overexpression of PPAR β/δ on recovery after myocardial infarction. Prompted by our earlier finding that PPAR β/δ agonist treatment induced a rapid increase in cardiac muscle mass and vascularization [54]. We also wanted to know if vascular specific PPAR β/δ overexpression would be sufficient to induce cardiac growth. In mice with inducible vascular specific overexpression of PPAR β/δ , we observed not only a rapid increase of cardiac vascularization but also a fast induction of cardiac growth, indicating that myocardial hypertrophy was due to enhanced angiogenesis. Vascular-specific PPAR β/δ overexpression impaired cardiac function, as evidenced by increased systolic and diastolic volumes, a reduced fractional shortening, and decreased ejection fractions. PPAR β/δ vessel-specific overexpression also increased capillary densities in the setting of myocardial infarction but failed to improve the outcome. We observed bigger infarct sizes, enhanced fibrosis, and significantly impaired echocardiographic parameters in the animals with the induction of vessel-specific overexpression of PPAR β/δ compared to controls. This indicates that the specific, unbalanced activation of PPAR β/δ only in the vasculature is not sufficient to protect against chronic ischemic heart disease [16,55]. Treatment with the PPAR β/δ agonist GW610742 after myocardial infarction in rats similarly has been reported to increase vessel densities and fibrosis, however, echocardiographic examinations revealed no differences between PPAR β/δ agonist treated animals and controls. GW610742 increased bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) recruitment in the heart and augmented the differentiation of fibroblasts into myofibroblasts. This was accompanied by increased serum platelet-derived growth factor B, stromal-derived factor-1 alpha, and MMP 9 levels. However, despite the enhanced angiogenesis, fibrosis, and myofibroblast differentiation in the early phase after infarction, the authors could not conclude the beneficial effects of PPAR β/δ activation on cardiac function after myocardial infarction [17]. In contrast to these studies,

Magadum and coworkers observed a beneficial effect of PPAR β/δ activation on the outcome after myocardial infarction. It remains to be determined if different PPAR β/δ agonists used or different experimental settings might contribute to these discrepancies. Using an inducible mouse model with cardiomyocyte-specific overexpression of PPAR β/δ , Magadum and colleagues demonstrated smaller infarct sizes, enhanced cardiomyocyte proliferation, and improved functional parameters upon overexpression of PPAR β/δ in cardiomyocytes. They constated similar favorable effects by treating mice after ligation of the left anterior descending artery (LAD) with the PPAR β/δ agonist GW0742 [18]. These results partially confirm our hypothesis that a proper balance of PPAR β/δ activation in the different cardiac cell types may be important for potential cardioprotective effects of PPAR β/δ [16], and highlights the significance of cardiomyocyte PPAR β/δ expression for cardiac repair.

2.3. PPAR γ

Thiazolidinediones are the major class of PPAR γ agonists, including rosiglitazone, pioglitazone, and troglitazone indicated for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. However, their usefulness has become controversial due to severe cardiovascular side effects [56,57]. In the PROactive (PROspective pioglitAzone Clinical Trial In macroVascular Events) study, enrolling patients with type 2 diabetes and pre-existing cardiovascular disease, pioglitazone increased the incidence of heart failure [58]. Especially, rosiglitazone has been associated with a higher risk for myocardial infarction and stroke [59]. However, the RECORD (rosiglitazone evaluated for cardiovascular outcomes in oral agent combination therapy for type 2 diabetes) trial could not confirm an increased risk for cardiovascular morbidity or mortality, but admitted inconclusive data about the incidence of myocardial infarction upon rosiglitazone therapy [60]. A science advisory from the American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology Foundation finally concluded that “thiazolidinediones should not be used with an expectation of benefit with respect to ischemic heart disease (IHD) events” [61]. Doney and colleagues investigated PPAR γ variants in diabetic patients. They found an association between the *PPARG* Pro12Ala variant and decreased risk of myocardial infarction, while the C1431T genotype had the opposite effect. These polymorphisms might contribute to the conflicting results mentioned above; mechanistic consequences of the polymorphisms are currently unknown [62]. Later meta-analyses did not support a role of P12A polymorphism in the PPAR γ gene in myocardial infarction or coronary heart disease risk [63]. Expression levels of PPAR γ were found to be up-regulated after myocardial infarction in rats, however, increased PPAR γ could not counteract the decrease in metabolic genes [52]. Experimental studies investigating a possible therapeutical potential for PPAR γ agonists in ischemic heart disease started in 2001 with a report from Eliot H. Ohlstein’s group. Using ischemia/reperfusion manipulations in rosiglitazone treated rats, they observed reduced infarct sizes, an improvement of myocardial contractile dysfunction, less macrophage/neutrophil invasion, which correlated with decreased ICAM-1 and MCP-1 expression upon PPAR γ activation with rosiglitazone. They ascribed the cardioprotective effect of rosiglitazone to the inhibition of inflammatory responses [19]. Similar results were obtained, as already mentioned in the PPAR α section, by Wayman and colleagues, who in addition to rosiglitazone investigated the effects of ciglitazone, pioglitazone, 15D-PGJ₂, and PGA₁ [6], also by Ito and colleagues who focused only on pioglitazone [20]. The group of J. L. Mehta concentrated on the interplay of PPAR γ and the renin-angiotensin system in myocardial ischemia. Rats treated with rosiglitazone or vehicle were subjected to ischemia (1 hr)/reperfusion (1 hr). Infarct sizes were smaller in the rosiglitazone group, and the authors found decreased ATR1 and increased ATR2 expression of angiotensin II (ANGII) receptors in the hearts from PPAR γ agonist treated animals. This was accompanied by a down-regulation of mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) 42/44, indicating that the inhibition of MAPKs 42/44 by ATR2 ANGII represents one mechanism of rosiglitazone cardioprotective effects [21]. Similar beneficial results of rosiglitazone on left ventricular remodeling and cardiac function after myocardial infarction in rats were reported, however, in this study, no expression differences for ANGII, ATR1, and ATR2 were found [22]. In addition, in mice as well as in rabbits, cardioprotection by rosiglitazone after ischemia/reperfusion has been reported [24,25].

Comparable, administration of the PPAR γ agonist pioglitazone after ischemia/reperfusion decreased myocardial necrosis, apoptosis, MMP2 levels, and improved systolic cardiac function in rats [23]. In rabbits treated with pioglitazone for seven days before ischemia/reperfusion, reduced infarct sizes, improved left ventricular function, and activation of (PI3K)/Akt and eNOS pathways were reported [26]. Zhang and colleagues also proposed activation of the (PI3K)/Akt pathway as a mechanism of rosiglitazone mediated cardioprotection in mice subjected to ischemia/reperfusion [27]. Curcumin [64], vitamin D [65], apigenin [66], the traditional Chinese medication qiliqiangxin [67], melatonin [68], the flavonoids chrysin [69,70] and fisetin [71], hesperitin derived from citrus fruits [72], and the purin alkaloid theacrine [73] have all been suggested to be cardioprotective in the setting of myocardial ischemia through the activation of PPAR γ . Shinmura and colleagues used the PPAR γ agonist pioglitazone to enhance the cardiomyogenic transdifferentiation potential of human marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), which they injected two weeks after myocardial infarction in nude rats. Pioglitazone treated MSCs improved left ventricular function significantly more than non-treated MSCs [30]. Similarly, simultaneous pioglitazone treatment after MSC injection following myocardial infarction in rats ameliorated cardiac function more efficiently than MSC transplantation alone [31]. The group of Ferreira employed lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) to enhance the survival of human umbilical cord blood-derived hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells to boost the regenerative potential in the setting of myocardial infarction. LPA enhanced survival through activation of PPAR γ and pro-survival extracellular signal related kinases (ERK) and Akt signaling pathways and inhibition of mitochondrial apoptotic pathway. Injection of LPA treated cells improved cardiac fractional shortening and ejection fraction parameters after myocardial infarction [32]. The importance of PPAR γ expression in myeloid cells for cardiac repair after infarction has been supported by the group of Duan, which analyzed the outcomes of myocardial infarctions in mice with myeloid specific knockout for PPAR γ . Pioglitazone increased the repair potential of adipose tissue-derived regenerative cells (ADRCs) upon grafting on the anterior left ventricular wall two weeks after myocardial infarction in rats as reflected by improved functional cardiac parameters [36]. Myeloid PPAR γ knockout animals had bigger infarct sizes, worse cardiac functional parameters, and enhanced oxidative stress and immune responses compared to their control counterparts [34]. The angiotensin II receptor blocker telmisartan, which also targets PPAR γ [50], has been evaluated in an experimental model of isoproterenol (a synthetic non-selective β -adrenoceptor agonist) induced myocardial injury. Telmisartan lowered left ventricular end-diastolic pressure and improved biochemical, histopathological, and ultrastructural parameters [74]. The same group reported similar beneficial effects of telmisartan in diabetic rats with isoproterenol induced myocardial injury, which could be counteracted using the PPAR γ antagonist GW9662 [75]. In a profound study using LAD ligation in rats, Maejima and colleagues demonstrated that Telmisartan attenuated unfavorable left ventricular remodeling after myocardial infarction, but did not influence infarct sizes or blood pressure, indicating that the favorable effects were blood pressure independent. Furthermore, co-administration of GW9662 abolished the beneficial effects of telmisartan on left ventricular remodeling, further suggesting PPAR γ agonistic activity of this drug [29]. In 2010, Tao and colleagues aimed at solving the discrepancies of experimental and clinical studies regarding the effects of PPAR γ agonists of the thiazolidinedione class in cardioprotection. They used adiponectin (an adipocytokine secreted from adipose tissue) knockout and wildtype mice to show that anti-oxidative, anti-ischemic, anti-apoptotic, and cardioprotective actions of the PPAR γ agonist rosiglitazone depend on normal adiponectin (APN) levels. Rosiglitazone improved post-MI survival rate and cardiac function in wildtype mice after ligation of the LAD, but not in APN knockout animals. The PPAR γ agonist further reduced infarct sizes, apoptosis, and oxidative stress in normal mice, however, failed to produce these beneficial effects in the APN knockout group and provoked an enhanced superoxide production only in the APN deficient hearts. Treatment with a superoxide dismutase mimic reversed the detrimental effects of rosiglitazone in APN knockout animals, indicating that the anti-oxidant effect of rosiglitazone relies on APN. Adiponectin is down-regulated in obesity related diseases such as Diabetes type 2 or coronary artery disease, which might partially explain the unfavorable outcomes in

clinical studies using rosiglitazone in such pathologies [28]. An original approach demonstrated that nanoparticle (NP) mediated targeting of pioglitazone to monocytes/macrophages, but not systemic intravenous treatment with pioglitazone solution, ameliorated ischemia/reperfusion injury, and cardiac remodeling. Pioglitazone-NPs antagonized monocyte/macrophage-mediated acute inflammation and promoted cardiac healing after myocardial infarction as also evidenced by improved cardiac functional parameters [35]. In addition, microRNA studies focused on PPAR γ : Zhao and colleagues demonstrated that PPAR γ promotes microRNA (miR) 711 expression after myocardial infarction in rats, which in turn induced downregulation of the chaperone calnexin leading to enhanced cardiac apoptosis due to endoplasmatic reticulum stress [76]. Downregulation of miR-130 expression has been shown to promote PPAR γ -mediated cardioprotective effects by suppressing inflammation and myocardial fibrosis [77].

Although, as already mentioned in the PPAR α chapter, several clinical trials testing dual PPAR α/γ agonists had either to be stopped due to increased rates of heart failure as the AleCardio trial for Aloglitazar [78] or due to elevation of serum creatinine, bodyweight increase, and edema formation with tesaglitazar [79], or major adverse cardiovascular events as for muraglitazar [37], experimental research continued on the concept of dual PPAR α/γ agonism. In rats with myocardial infarction, the dual PPAR α/γ agonist TZD18 improved left ventricular function and increased the expression of enzymes related to myocardial energy metabolism and the content of high energy phosphate in mitochondria [33].

In conclusion, although PPAR γ agonists offer benefits in the treatment of diabetes and atherosclerosis, known risk factors associated with cardiovascular disease, they also have deleterious effects such as increased risk incidence of myocardial infarction and heart failure. Their clinical use remains, therefore, limited.

3. Conclusions

PPAR agonists have very different and sometimes conflicting clinical benefit and adverse event profiles. The rationale for metabolic therapy to remedy cardiovascular disease and dysfunction is clearly understandable given the importance of PPARs in the control of lipid and energy metabolism. However, taking into account the serious side effects of PPAR activators, such as the higher incidence of myocardial infarction observed in clinical trials, further work is required to delineate the intricacies of modulating their activity for optimal therapeutic benefit.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, N.W. and K.-D.W.; writing—original draft preparation, N.W. and K.-D.W.; writing—review and editing, N.W. and K.-D.W.; funding acquisition, N.W. and K.-D.W. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Gemluc (N.W.): and Plan Cancer INSERM, Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (K.D.W.).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

APN	adiponectin
ADRCs	adipose tissue-derived regenerative cells
ATP	adenosine triphosphate
Akt	serine/threonine-specific protein kinase
CPT 1	carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1
EF	ejection fraction
ERK	extracellular signal related kinases
FAO	fatty acid oxidation
FS	fractional shortening
eNOS	endothelial NO synthetase
GRb-3	ginsenoide Rb-3
GSK3 β	glycogen synthase kinase 3 β
HO-1	hemeoxygenase-1

IL	Interleukin
ICAM	intercellular adhesion molecule
iNOS	inducible nitric oxide synthase
LAD	left anterior descending artery
LPA	lysophosphatidic acid
LVEF	left ventricular ejection fractions
MMP	matrix metalloproteinase
MAPK	Mitogen-activated protein kinase
MCP-1	monocyte chemoattractant protein-1
MI	Myocardial infarction
miR	micro RNA
MSCs	marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells
NP	nanoparticle
NF- κ B	nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells
NMR	nuclear magnetic resonance
NO	Nitric oxide
PCr	phosphocreatine
PI3K	phosphoinositide 3-kinase
rIPC	remote ischaemic preconditioning
SNPs	single-nucleotide polymorphisms
VCAM	vascular cell adhesion molecule

References

- Wagner, K.D.; Wagner, N. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor beta/delta (PPAR β/δ) acts as regulator of metabolism linked to multiple cellular functions. *Pharmacol. Ther.* **2010**, *125*, 423–435. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Huttunen, J.K.; Heinsalmi, P.; Manninen, V.; Mänttari, M.; Frick, M.H.; Heinonen, O.P.; Romo, M. Helsinki Heart Study. New perspectives in the prevention of coronary heart disease. *Drugs* **1988**, *36*, 32–36. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Issemann, I.; Green, S. Activation of a member of the steroid hormone receptor superfamily by peroxisome proliferators. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **1990**, *347*, 645–650. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Goldenberg, I.; Benderly, M.; Goldbourt, U. Secondary prevention with bezafibrate therapy for the treatment of dyslipidemia: An extended follow-up of the BIP trial. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **2008**, *51*, 459–465. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Ginsberg, H.N.; Elam, M.B.; Lovato, L.C.; Crouse, J.R.; Leiter, L.A.; Linz, P.; Friede-Wald, W.T.; Buse, J.B.; Gerstein, H.C.; Probstfield, J.; et al. Effects of Combination Lipid Therapy in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *New Engl. J. Med.* **2010**, *362*, 1563–1574. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Wayman, N.S.; Hattori, Y.; McDonald, M.C.; Mota-Filipe, H.; Cuzzocrea, S.; Pisano, B.; Chatterjee, P.; Thiemermann, C. Ligands of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPAR- γ and PPAR- α) reduce myocardial infarct size. *FASEB J.* **2002**, *16*, 1027–1040. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Yue, T.-L.; Bao, W.; Jucker, B.M.; Gu, J.-L.; Romanic, A.M.; Brown, P.J.; Cui, J.; Thudium, D.T.; Boyce, R.W.; Burns-Kurtis, C.L.; et al. Activation of Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor- α Protects the Heart From Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury. *Circulation* **2003**, *108*, 2393–2399. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Sambandam, N.; Morabito, D.; Wagg, C.; Finck, B.N.; Kelly, D.P.; Lopaschuk, G.D. Chronic activation of PPAR α is detrimental to cardiac recovery after ischemia. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **2006**, *290*, H87–H95. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Morgan, E.E.; Rennison, J.H.; Young, M.E.; McElfresh, T.A.; Kung, T.A.; Tserng, K.-Y.; Hoit, B.D.; Stanley, W.C.; Chandler, M.P. Effects of chronic activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- α or high-fat feeding in a rat infarct model of heart failure. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **2006**, *290*, H1899–H1904. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Xu, Y.; Lu, L.; Greyson, C.; Rizeq, M.; Nunley, K.; Wyatt, B.; Bristow, M.R.; Long, C.S.; Schwartz, G.G. The PPAR-alpha activator fenofibrate fails to provide myocardial protection in ischemia and reperfusion in pigs. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **2005**, *290*, H1798–H1807. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Bulhak, A.A.; Jung, C.; Östenson, C.-G.; Lundberg, J.O.; Sjöquist, P.-O.; Pernow, J. PPAR- α activation protects the type 2 diabetic myocardium against ischemia-reperfusion injury: Involvement of the PI3-Kinase/Akt and NO pathway. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **2009**, *296*, H719–H727. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

12. Duerr, G.D.; Heinemann, J.C.; Arnoldi, V.; Feisst, A.; Kley, J.; Ghanem, A.; Welz, A.; Dewald, O. Cardiomyocyte specific peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- α overexpression leads to irreversible damage in ischemic murine heart. *Life Sci.* **2014**, *102*, 88–97. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Ibarra-Lara, L.; Sánchez-Aguilar, M.; Soria-Castro, E.; Vargas-Barrón, J.; Roldán, F.J.; Pavón, N.; Torres-Narváez, J.C.; Cervantes-Pérez, L.G.; Pastelín-Hernández, G.; Sánchez-Mendoza, A. Clofibrate Treatment Decreases Inflammation and Reverses Myocardial Infarction-Induced Remodelation in a Rodent Experimental Model. *Molecules* **2019**, *24*, 270. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Chen, X.; Wang, Q.; Shao, M.; Ma, L.; Guo, D.; Wu, Y.; Gao, P.; Wang, X.; Li, W.; Li, C.; et al. Ginsenoside Rb3 regulates energy metabolism and apoptosis in cardiomyocytes via activating PPAR α pathway. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2019**, *120*, 109487. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Jucker, B.M.; Doe, C.P.; Schnackenberg, C.G.; Olzinski, A.R.; Maniscalco, K.; Williams, C.; Hu, T.C.-C.; Lenhard, S.C.; Costell, M.; Bernard, R.; et al. PPARdelta activation normalizes cardiac substrate metabolism and reduces right ventricular hypertrophy in congestive heart failure. *J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol.* **2007**, *50*, 25–34. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Wagner, K.-D.; Vukolic, A.; Baudouy, D.; Michiels, J.-F.; Wagner, N. Inducible Conditional Vascular-Specific Overexpression of Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor Beta/Delta Leads to Rapid Cardiac Hypertrophy. *PPAR Res.* **2016**, *2016*, 7631085. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Park, J.R.; Ahn, J.H.; Jung, M.H.; Koh, J.-S.; Park, Y.; Hwang, S.-J.; Jeong, Y.-H.; Kwak, C.H.; Lee, Y.S.; Seo, H.G.; et al. Effects of Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor- δ Agonist on Cardiac Healing after Myocardial Infarction. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0148510. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Magadum, A.; Ding, Y.; He, L.; Kim, T.; Vasudevarao, M.D.; Long, Q.; Yang, K.; Wickramasinghe, N.; Renikunta, H.V.; Dubois, N.; et al. Live cell screening platform identifies PPAR δ as a regulator of cardiomyocyte proliferation and cardiac repair. *Cell Res.* **2017**, *27*, 1002–1019. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Yue, T.-L.; Chen, J.; Bao, W.; Narayanan, P.K.; Bril, A.; Jiang, W.; Lysko, P.G.; Gu, J.-L.; Boyce, R.; Zimmerman, D.M.; et al. In Vivo Myocardial Protection From Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury by the Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor- γ Agonist Rosiglitazone. *Circ.* **2001**, *104*, 2588–2594. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Ito, H.; Nakano, A.; Kinoshita, M.; Matsumori, A. Pioglitazone, a Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor-Agonist, Attenuates Myocardial Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury in a Rat Model. *Lab. Investig.* **2003**, *83*, 1715–1721. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Molavi, B.; Chen, J.; Mehta, J.L. Cardioprotective effects of rosiglitazone are associated with selective overexpression of type 2 angiotensin receptors and inhibition of p42/44 MAPK. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **2006**, *291*, H687–H693. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
22. Geng, D.-F.; Wu, W.; Jin, D.-M.; Wang, J.-F.; Wu, Y.-M. Effect of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ ligand. Rosiglitazone on left ventricular remodeling in rats with myocardial infarction. *Int. J. Cardiol.* **2006**, *113*, 86–91. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Cao, Z.; Ye, P.; Long, C.; Chen, K.; Li, X.; Wang, H. Effect of Pioglitazone, a Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor Gamma Agonist, on Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury in Rats. *Pharmacology* **2007**, *79*, 184–192. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Mersmann, J.; Tran, N.; Zacharowski, P.A.; Grottemeyer, D.; Zacharowski, K. Rosiglitazone is cardioprotective in a murine model of myocardial I/R. *Shock* **2008**, *30*, 64–68. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. Liu, H.-R.; Tao, L.; Gao, E.; Qu, Y.; Lau, W.B.; Lopez, B.L.; Christopher, T.A.; Koch, W.; Yue, T.-L.; Ma, X.-L. Rosiglitazone inhibits hypercholesterolaemia-induced myeloperoxidase upregulation—A novel mechanism for the cardioprotective effects of PPAR agonists. *Cardiovasc. Res.* **2008**, *81*, 344–352. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Yasuda, S.; Kobayashi, H.; Iwasa, M.; Kawamura, I.; Sumi, S.; Narentuoya, B.; Yamaki, T.; Ushikoshi, H.; Nishigaki, K.; Nagashima, K.; et al. Antidiabetic drug pioglitazone protects the heart via activation of PPAR- γ receptors, PI3-kinase, Akt, and eNOS pathway in a rabbit model of myocardial infarction. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **2009**, *296*, H1558–H1565. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Zhang, X.-J.; Xiong, Z.-B.; Tang, A.-L.; Ma, H.; Ma, Y.-D.; Wu, J.-G.; Dong, Y.-G. Rosiglitazone-induced myocardial protection against ischaemia-reperfusion injury is mediated via a phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt-dependent pathway. *Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol.* **2010**, *37*, 156–161. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Tao, L.; Wang, Y.; Gao, E.; Zhang, H.; Yuan, Y.; Lau, W.B.; Chan, L.; Koch, W.J.; Ma, X.-L. Adiponectin: An indispensable molecule in rosiglitazone cardioprotection following myocardial infarction. *Circ. Res.* **2009**, *106*, 409–417. [[CrossRef](#)]

29. Maejima, Y.; Okada, H.; Haraguchi, G.; Onai, Y.; Kosuge, H.; Suzuki, J.-I.; Isobe, M. Telmisartan, a unique ARB, improves left ventricular remodeling of infarcted heart by activating PPAR gamma. *Lab. Investig.* **2011**, *91*, 932–944. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Shinmura, D.; Togashi, I.; Miyoshi, S.; Nishiyama, N.; Hida, N.; Tsuji, H.; Tsuruta, H.; Segawa, K.; Tsukada, Y.; Ogawa, S.; et al. Pretreatment of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells with Pioglitazone Improved Efficiency of Cardiomyogenic Transdifferentiation and Cardiac Function. *Stem Cells* **2011**, *29*, 357–366. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Hou, J.; Wang, L.; Guo, T.; Xing, Y.; Zheng, S.; Zhou, C.; Huang, H.; Long, H.; Zhong, T.; Wu, Q.; et al. Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor Gamma Promotes Mesenchymal Stem Cells to Express Connexin43 via the Inhibition of TGF- β 1/Smads Signaling in a Rat Model of Myocardial Infarction. *Stem Cell Rev. Rep.* **2015**, *11*, 885–899. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
32. Kostic, I.; Fidalgo-Carvalho, I.; Aday, S.; Vazão, H.; Carvalheiro, T.; Grãos, M.; Duarte, A.; Cardoso, C.; Gonçalves, L.; Carvalho, L.; et al. Lysophosphatidic acid enhances survival of human CD34+ cells in ischemic conditions. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 16406. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
33. Chen, X.-L.; Liu, Z.-R.; Xue, Y.-J.; Chen, X. Dual PPAR α/γ ligand TZD18 improves myocardial metabolic remodeling after myocardial infarction in rats. *Eur. Rev. Med Pharmacol. Sci.* **2017**, *21*, 5765–5773. [[PubMed](#)]
34. Shen, Z.-X.; Yang, Q.-Z.; Li, C.; Du, L.-J.; Sun, X.-N.; Liu, Y.; Sun, J.-Y.; Gu, H.-H.; Sun, Y.-M.; Wang, J.; et al. Myeloid peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma deficiency aggravates myocardial infarction in mice. *Atherosclerosis* **2018**, *274*, 199–205. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
35. Tokutome, M.; Matoba, T.; Nakano, Y.; Okahara, A.; Fujiwara, M.; Koga, J.-I.; Nakano, K.; Tsutsui, H.; Egashira, K. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma targeting nanomedicine promotes cardiac healing after acute myocardial infarction by skewing monocyte/macrophage polarization in preclinical animal models. *Cardiovasc. Res.* **2019**, *115*, 419–431. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Mori, D.; Miyagawa, S.; Matsuura, R.; Sougawa, N.; Fukushima, S.; Ueno, T.; Toda, K.; Kuratani, T.; Tomita, K.; Maeda, N.; et al. Pioglitazone strengthen therapeutic effect of adipose-derived regenerative cells against ischemic cardiomyopathy through enhanced expression of adiponectin and modulation of macrophage phenotype. *Cardiovasc. Diabetol.* **2019**, *18*, 39. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Nissen, S.E.; Wolski, K.; Topol, E.J. Effect of Muraglitazar on Death and Major Adverse Cardiovascular Events in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *JAMA* **2005**, *294*, 2581–2586. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Erdmann, E.; Califf, R.; Gerstein, H.C.; Malmberg, K.; Ruilope, L.M.; Schwartz, G.G.; Wedel, H.; Volz, D.; Ditmarsch, M.; Svensson, A.; et al. Effects of the dual peroxisome proliferator-Activated receptor activator aleglitazar in patients with Type 2 Diabetes mellitus or prediabetes. *Am. Heart. J.* **2015**, *170*, 117–122. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Keech, A.C.; Simes, R.J.; Barter, P.J.; Best, J.D.; Scott, R.A.P.; Taskinen, M.R.; Forder, P.M.; Pillai, A.; Davis, T.M.; Glasziou, P.; et al. Effects of long-term fenofibrate therapy on cardiovascular events in 9795 people with type 2 diabetes mellitus (the FIELD study): Randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* **2005**, *366*, 1849–1861. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Reinhard, W.; Stark, K.J.; Sedlacek, K.; Fischer, M.; Baessler, A.; Neureuther, K.; Weber, S.; Kaess, B.; Wiedmann, S.; Mitsching, S.; et al. Association between PPAR α gene polymorphisms and myocardial infarction. *Clin. Sci.* **2008**, *115*, 301–308. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Enquobahrie, D.A.; Smith, N.L.; Bis, J.C.; Carty, C.L.; Rice, K.M.; Lumley, T.; Hindorff, L.A.; Lemaitre, R.N.; Williams, M.A.; Siscovick, D.S.; et al. Cholesterol Ester Transfer Protein, Interleukin-8, Peroxisome Proliferator Activator Receptor Alpha, and Toll-Like Receptor 4 Genetic Variations and Risk of Incident Nonfatal Myocardial Infarction and Ischemic Stroke. *Am. J. Cardiol.* **2008**, *101*, 1683–1688. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
42. Gilde, A.J.; Van Der Lee, K.A.; Willemsen, P.H.; Chinetti, G.; Van Der Leij, F.R.; Van Der Vusse, G.J.; Staels, B.; Van Bilsen, M. Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor (PPAR) α and PPAR β/δ , but not PPAR γ , Modulate the Expression of Genes Involved in Cardiac Lipid Metabolism. *Circ. Res.* **2003**, *92*, 518–524. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
43. Cheng, L.; Ding, G.; Qin, Q.; Huang, Y.; Lewis, W.; He, N.; Evans, R.M.; Schneider, M.D.; A Brako, F.; Xiao, Y.; et al. Cardiomyocyte-restricted peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- δ deletion perturbs myocardial fatty acid oxidation and leads to cardiomyopathy. *Nat. Med.* **2004**, *10*, 1245–1250. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
44. Lee, J.; Hu, Q.; Nakamura, Y.; Wang, X.; Zhang, X.; Zhu, X.; Chen, W.; Yang, Q.; Zhang, J. Open-chest³¹P magnetic resonance spectroscopy of mouse heart at 4.7 Tesla. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* **2006**, *24*, 1269–1276. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
45. Mitchell, J.A.; Bishop-Bailey, D. PPAR β/δ a potential target in pulmonary hypertension blighted by cancer risk. *Pulm. Circ.* **2018**, *9*, 2045894018812053. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

46. Wagner, K.D.; Du, S.; Martin, L.; Leccia, N.; Michiels, J.-F.; Wagner, N. Vascular PPAR β/δ Promotes Tumor Angiogenesis and Progression. *Cells* **2019**, *8*, 1623. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
47. Wagner, N.; Wagner, K.D. PPAR Beta/Delta and the Hallmarks of Cancer. *Cells* **2020**, *9*, 1133. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Mikami, D.; Kimura, H.; Kamiyama, K.; Torii, K.; Kasuno, K.; Takahashi, N.; Yoshida, H.; Iwano, M. Telmisartan activates endogenous peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- δ and may have anti-fibrotic effects in human mesangial cells. *Hypertens. Res.* **2013**, *37*, 422–431. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Amano, Y.; Yamaguchi, T.; Ohno, K.; Niimi, T.; Orita, M.; Sakashita, H.; Takeuchi, M. Structural basis for telmisartan-mediated partial activation of PPAR gamma. *Hypertens. Res.* **2012**, *35*, 715–719. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Benson, S.C.; Pershadsingh, H.A.; Ho, C.I.; Chittiboyina, A.; Desai, P.; Pravenec, M.; Qi, N.; Wang, J.; Avery, M.A.; Kurtz, T.W. Identification of Telmisartan as a Unique Angiotensin II Receptor Antagonist With Selective PPAR γ -Modulating Activity. *Hypertension* **2004**, *43*, 993–1002. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Kappert, K.; Boehm, M.; Schmieder, R.; Schumacher, H.; Teo, K.; Yusuf, S.; Sleight, P.; Unger, T. Impact of sex on cardiovascular outcome in patients at high cardiovascular risk: Analysis of the Telmisartan Randomized Assessment Study in ACE-Intolerant Subjects with Cardiovascular Disease (TRANSCEND) and the Ongoing Telmisartan Alone and in Combination with Ramipril Global End Point Trial (ONTARGET). *Circulation* **2012**, *126*, 934–941. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
52. Fliegner, D.; Westermann, D.; Riad, A.; Schubert, C.; Becher, E.; Fielitz, J.; Tschöpe, C.; Regitz-Zagrosek, V. Up-regulation of PPAR γ in myocardial infarction. *Eur. J. Hear. Fail.* **2008**, *10*, 30–38. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
53. Li, J.; Xuan, W.; Yan, R.; Tropak, M.B.; Jean-St-Michel, E.; Liang, W.; Gladstone, R.; Backx, P.H.; Kharbanda, R.K.; Redington, A.N. Remote preconditioning provides potent cardioprotection via PI3K/Akt activation and is associated with nuclear accumulation of β -catenin. *Clin. Sci.* **2011**, *120*, 451–462. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
54. Wagner, N.; Jehl-Piétri, C.; Lopez, P.; Murdaca, J.; Giordano, C.; Schwartz, C.; Gounon, P.; Hatem, S.N.; Grimaldi, P.; Wagner, K.-D. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor β stimulation induces rapid cardiac growth and angiogenesis via direct activation of calcineurin. *Cardiovasc. Res.* **2009**, *83*, 61–71. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Wagner, N.; Wagner, K.-D. PPARs and Angiogenesis—Implications in Pathology. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2020**, *21*, 5723. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Delea, T.E.; Edelsberg, J.S.; Hagiwara, M.; Oster, G.; Phillips, L.S. Use of thiazolidinediones and risk of heart failure in people with type 2 diabetes: A retrospective cohort study. *Diabetes Care* **2003**, *26*, 2983–2989. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Nissen, S.E.; Wolski, K. Effect of Rosiglitazone on the Risk of Myocardial Infarction and Death from Cardiovascular Causes. *New Engl. J. Med.* **2007**, *356*, 2457–2471. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. Erdmann, E.; Charbonnel, B.; Wilcox, R.G.; Skene, A.M.; Massi-Benedetti, M.; Yates, J.; Tan, M.; Spanheimer, R.; Standl, E.; Dormandy, J.A.; et al. Pioglitazone Use and Heart Failure in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes and Preexisting Cardiovascular Disease: Data from the PROactive Study (PROactive 08). *Diabetes Care* **2007**, *30*, 2773–2778. [[CrossRef](#)]
59. Graham, D.J.; Ouellet-Hellstrom, R.; MaCurdy, T.E.; Ali, F.; Sholley, C.; Worrall, C.; Kelman, J.A. Risk of Acute Myocardial Infarction, Stroke, Heart Failure, and Death in Elderly Medicare Patients Treated with Rosiglitazone or Pioglitazone. *JAMA* **2010**, *304*, 411–418. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Home, P.D.; Pocock, S.J.; Beck-Nielsen, H.; Curtis, P.S.; Gomis, R.; Hanefeld, M.; Jones, N.P.; Komajda, M.; McMurray, J.J.V.; Team, R.S. Rosiglitazone evaluated for cardiovascular outcomes in oral agent combination therapy for type 2 diabetes (RECORD): A multicentre, randomised, open-label trial. *Lancet* **2009**, *373*, 2125–2135. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Kaul, S.; Bolger, A.F.; Herrington, D.; Giugliano, R.; Eckel, R.H. Thiazolidinedione drugs and cardiovascular risks: A science advisory from the American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology Foundation. *Circulation* **2010**, *121*, 1868–1877. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
62. Doney, A.S.F.; Fischer, B.; Leese, G.; Morris, A.D.; Palmer, C.N.A. Cardiovascular risk in type 2 diabetes is associated with variation at the PPAR γ locus: A Go-DARTS study. *Arter. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* **2004**, *24*, 2403–2407. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
63. Zafarmand, M.H.; Van Der Schouw, Y.T.; Grobbee, D.E.; De Leeuw, P.W.; Bots, M.L. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma-2 P12A polymorphism and risk of acute myocardial infarction, coronary heart disease and ischemic stroke: A case-cohort study and meta-analyses. *Vasc. Heal. Risk Manag.* **2008**, *4*, 427–436. [[CrossRef](#)]

64. Lv, F.-H.; Yin, H.-L.; He, Y.-Q.; Wu, H.-M.; Kong, J.; Chai, X.-Y.; Zhang, S.-R. Effects of curcumin on the apoptosis of cardiomyocytes and the expression of NF- κ B, PPAR- γ and Bcl-2 in rats with myocardial infarction injury. *Exp. Ther. Med.* **2016**, *12*, 3877–3884. [[CrossRef](#)]
65. El-Gohary, O.A.; Allam, M.M. Effect of vitamin D on isoprenaline-induced myocardial infarction in rats: Possible role of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ . *Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* **2017**, *95*, 641–646. [[CrossRef](#)]
66. Mahajan, U.B.; Chandrayan, G.; Patil, C.R.; Arya, D.S.; Suchal, K.; Agrawal, Y.O.; Ojha, S.; Goyal, S.N. The Protective Effect of Apigenin on Myocardial Injury in Diabetic Rats mediating Activation of the PPAR- γ Pathway. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2017**, *18*, 756. [[CrossRef](#)]
67. Shen, Z.; Jiang, H.; Bei, Y.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, H.; Zhu, H.; Zhang, C.; Yao, W.; Wei, C.; Shang, H.; et al. Qiliqiangxin Attenuates Adverse Cardiac Remodeling after Myocardial Infarction in Ovariectomized Mice via Activation of PPAR γ . *Cell. Physiol. Biochem.* **2017**, *42*, 876–888. [[CrossRef](#)]
68. Zhou, H.; Li, D.; Zhu, P.; Hu, S.; Hu, N.; Ma, S.; Zhang, Y.; Han, T.; Ren, J.; Cao, F.; et al. Melatonin suppresses platelet activation and function against cardiac ischemia/reperfusion injury via PPAR γ /FUNDC1/mitophagy pathways. *J. Pineal Res.* **2017**, *63*, e12438. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. Yang, M.; Xiong, J.; Zou, Q.; Wang, D.-D.; Huang, C. Chrysin attenuates interstitial fibrosis and improves cardiac function in a rat model of acute myocardial infarction. *J. Mol. Histol.* **2018**, *49*, 555–565. [[CrossRef](#)]
70. Rani, N.; Arya, D.S. Chrysin rescues rat myocardium from ischemia-reperfusion injury via PPAR- γ /Nrf2 activation. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **2020**, *883*, 173389. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Garg, S.; Khan, S.I.; Malhotra, R.K.; Sharma, M.K.; Kumar, M.; Kaur, P.; Nag, T.C.; Ray, R.; Bhatia, J.; Arya, D.S. The molecular mechanism involved in cardioprotection by the dietary flavonoid fisetin as an agonist of PPAR- γ in a murine model of myocardial infarction. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **2020**, *694*, 108572. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
72. Meng, C.; Guo, Z.; Li, D.; Li, H.; He, J.; Wen, D.; Luo, B. Preventive effect of hesperidin modulates inflammatory responses and antioxidant status following acute myocardial infarction through the expression of PPAR- γ and Bcl-2 in model mice. *Mol. Med. Rep.* **2017**, *17*, 1261–1268. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
73. Song, L.L.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, X.R.; Song, Y.N.; Dai, H.Z. Theacrine attenuates myocardial fibrosis after myocardial infarction via the SIRT3/ β -catenin/PPAR γ pathway in estrogen-deficient mice. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol. Sci.* **2019**, *23*, 5477–5486. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
74. Goyal, S.; Arora, S.; Mittal, R.; Joshi, S.; Nag, T.C.; Ray, R.; Kumari, S.; Arya, D.S. Myocardial salvaging effect of telmisartan in experimental model of myocardial infarction. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **2009**, *619*, 75–84. [[CrossRef](#)]
75. Goyal, S.; Arora, S.; Bhatt, T.K.; Das, P.; Sharma, A.; Kumari, S.; Arya, D.S. Modulation of PPAR- γ by telmisartan protects the heart against myocardial infarction in experimental diabetes. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* **2010**, *185*, 271–280. [[CrossRef](#)]
76. Zhao, N.; Mi, L.; Zhang, X.; Xu, M.; Yu, H.; Liu, Z.; Liu, X.; Guan, G.; Gao, W.; Wang, J.; et al. Enhanced MiR-711 transcription by PPAR γ induces endoplasmic reticulum stress-mediated apoptosis targeting calnexin in rat cardiomyocytes after myocardial infarction. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* **2018**, *118*, 36–45. [[CrossRef](#)]
77. Chu, X.; Wang, Y.; Pang, L.; Huang, J.; Sun, X.; Chen, X. miR-130 aggravates acute myocardial infarction-induced myocardial injury by targeting PPAR- γ . *J. Cell. Biochem.* **2018**, *119*, 7235–7244. [[CrossRef](#)]
78. Lincoff, A.M.; Tardif, J.-C.; Schwartz, G.G.; Nicholls, S.J.; Rydén, L.; Neal, B.; Malmberg, K.; Wedel, H.; Buse, J.B.; Henry, R.R.; et al. Effect of aleglitazar on cardiovascular outcomes after acute coronary syndrome in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: The AleCardio randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* **2014**, *311*, 1515–1525. [[CrossRef](#)]
79. Ratner, R.E.; Parikh, S.; Tou, C. Efficacy, safety and tolerability of tesaglitazar when added to the therapeutic regimen of poorly controlled insulin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Vasc. Dis. Res.* **2007**, *4*, 214–221. [[CrossRef](#)]

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).