# **Supplementary Material**

## **1. Supplementary Methods**

#### *1.1. Patients and Samples*

Renal disease was defined by either a total urinary protein level  $\geq 0.5$  g/day, an increment of serum creatinine levels of more than 0.5 mg/dL, or the presence of active sediment by microscopic examination, and confirmed by renal biopsy. Nephrotic syndrome was defined as a proteinuria > 3.5  $g/24$  h and a serum albumin < 30  $g/L$ . Disease activity was assessed by the Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index 2000 update (SLEDAI-2Ks; range 0–105) [1]. Renal activity was defined as the sum of the SLEDAI-2Ks accrued in the renal domain of the measure (rSLEDAIs; range  $0-16$ ) and defined by a SLEDAI-2K = 4) [2]. Accumulated damage was assessed by the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics Damage Index (SLICC-DI) (rSDI; range 0–47) [3]. As a part of the protocol of SLE renal involvement study, renal biopsy is performed when renal involvement is suspected. Renal biopsies were examined by light and immunofluorescence microscopy and categorized according to the International Society of Nephrology/Renal Pathology Society Classification (ISN/RPS) [4] and rated for activity (AI) and chronicity (CI) [5].

All patients received intravenous (i.v) methyl-prednisolone (500 mg  $\times$  3 days) followed by a tapering dose of oral prednisone along with at least a 24-month course of oral mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), as previously reported, with cyclophosphamide or tacrolimus as induction and maintenance therapy. Patients were classified as responders or non-responders. Responders (R) were defined as those patients who achieved complete remission (CR), defined as urinary protein excretion < 0.3 g/24 h, normal urinary sediment, and normal or stable renal function (within 10% of normal estimated glomerular filtrate rate if previously abnormal) [6]. Non-responders (NR) included those in partial remission (defined as proteinuria  $> 0.3$  but  $< 3.5$  g/24 h or a decrease in proteinuria by at least 50% from the initial value and  $\lt$  3.5 g/24 h), and those with an increase in serum creatinine concentration  $\geq 0.6$  mg/dL and a creatinine clearance  $> 15\%$  below the baseline value.

On the day of the serum sample collection, key laboratory measurements were also obtained, including white blood cells (WBCs), serum creatinine, estimated glomerular filtrate rate (eGFR), serum albumin, complement levels (C3 and C4), anti-double-stranded DNA (anti-dsDNA), and 24 h protein excretion. Patients with urinary tract infection, diabetes mellitus, pregnancy, malignancy, and non-lupus-related renal failure were excluded.

## *1.2. Urinary Exosome Isolation*

Exosomes were extracted from fresh urine samples using the miRCURY™ Exosomes Isolation Kit (Exiqon, Woburn, MA, USA). Briefly 10-mL samples were centrifuged at 3200× *g* for 5 min to remove debris and stored at 4 °C. After adding 4 mL of precipitation buffer B to the supernatant, the samples were newly incubated at 4  $^{\circ}$ C for 60 min, and again centrifuged for 30 min at 3200× *g*, removing the supernatant. Exosomes were extracted from remaining pellet. The pellet was resuspended in 100 μL of resuspension buffer to obtain intact exosomes and stored at −80 °C prior to RNA isolation.

#### *1.3. Serum Exosome Isolation*

Isolation exosomes were obtained using the exoRNeasy Serum/Plasma Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) from 1-mL pre-centrifuged serum samples (16,000× *g* for 10 min at 4 °C). One volume of Buffer XBP was added to the serum sample. Mix serum:Buffer XBP was then added to the exoEasy spin column and spun for 1 min at 500× *g*. The flow-through was discarded and 3.5 mL of Buffer XWP were added. This was then spun for five min at 5000× *g*, discarding the flow-through together with the collection tube. The spin column with the containing extracellular vesicles was then transferred into a new collection tube and kept at −80 °C until characterization.

## *1.4. Exosome Characterization by Western-Blot, Cryo-TEM, and NanoSight Analysis*

The protein isolation of exosomes was carried out using the Total Exosome RNA & Protein Isolation Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). For Western blot analysis, the samples were loaded on 10% polyacrylamide gels and transferred to the membrane. Different antibodies against exosomal markers (rabbit anti-CD9, rabbit anti-AQP2, rabbit anti-TSG101, dilutions 1:1000, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) were used to incubate the membrane and the proteins were detected using enhanced chemiluminescence detection reagents according to the manufacturer's instructions (Thermo Fischer, Waltham, MA, USA).

The characterization by cryo-TEM and NanoSight was done at the Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona (UAB, Barcelona, Spain). For cryo-TEM characterization, a drop of a dilution of exosome pellet (1:100 in PBS) was put on a frozen grid. This was transferred to a cryopreparation chamber (Leica EM CPC, Barcelona, Spain) using a GATAN cryotransfer apparatus to prepare the sample for freezing with propane and ethane. The temperature of the grid was maintained at −174 °C. The vitrified samples were examined using a JEM-1400 electron microscope (Jeol, Peabody, MA, USA) with an acceleration voltage of 40–120 kV. For NanoSight characterization, different dilutions of exosomes were examined using the Nanosight LM-20 particle size analyzer (Malvern Panalytical, Malvern, UK).

#### *1.5. RNA Extraction from Urinary Exosomes and Serum Exosomes*

For urinary exosomes, the lysis process was performed by adding 350 μL of lysis solution and 200 μL of 95% ethanol to exosome samples. Lysate with ethanol was applied onto the column and centrifuged at 14,000× g for one minute. Purification was improved by adding 400 μL of wash solution to the column, with centrifuging at  $14,000 \times g$  for 1 min three times. Finally, the purified RNA bound to the column was obtained by adding 50 μL of elution buffer with brief centrifugation at 200× *g* for 2 min for uniform distribution into the column. Later, centrifugation for 1 min at 14,000× *g* was necessary to obtain the purified RNA samples. These were stored at −80 °C. The degree of RNA quality of different samples was evaluated using Bioanalyzer PicoChip (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA).

For serum exosomes, QIAzol (700 μL) was added to serum exosome dilution and immediately spun for 5 min at 5000× *g*; the lysate was collected and transferred completely into a new 2-mL tube. The lysate was incubated for 5 min at room temperature (15–25 °C). Then, 90  $\mu$ L of chloroform were added and incubated after vigorous shaking for 3 min at room temperature. Then, the mixture was centrifuged at 12,000 $\times$  *g* at 4 °C for 15 min. The upper aqueous phase was then transferred to a new collection tube, avoiding transferring any interphase. Two volumes of 100% ethanol were added and mixed. Then, 700 μL of the new sample were pipetted into an RNeasy MinElute spin column and centrifuged at 10,000 $\times$  *g* for 15 s at room temperature. The step was repeated until completing volume transference. Once completed, the sample was spun for 15 s at 10,000× *g* after adding 700 μL of RWT buffer. One 15-s centrifugation cycle of 500 μL buffer RPE was then applied and another of 2 min was performed afterward. Then, the RNeasy MinElute spin column was centrifuged at full speed with an open lid to completely dry the membrane. Finally  $17 \mu L$  of RNase-free water were directly added to the center of the spin column membrane; after letting it stand for 1 min, the sample was centrifuged for 1 min at full speed to elute the purified RNA. Samples were then stored at −80 °C for later use.

## *1.6. MicroRNA Screening with Pre-Designed Plates*

A reaction mixture of 6 μL of diluted cDNA (1:80), 594 μL of free-nuclease water, and 600 μL of PCR Master Mix (Exiqon, Woburn, MA, USA) was applied to each well in a 10-μL volume. The plate was later sealed with optical sailing and briefly spun (1500× *g* for 1 min) to collect the samples. Real-time PCR amplification was performed following an initial cycle of polymerase activation for 10 min at 95 °C. The amplification process consisted of 45 amplification cycles of 10 s at 95 °C and 1 min at 60 °C. Finally a dissociation cycle was applied, consisting of 15 s at 95 °C , followed for 20 s at 60 °C and finally 15 s at 95 °C. Transcription was measured by using ABI PRISM 7000 (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA).

#### *1.7. miRNA Screening Analysis*

Data were obtained from the Exiqon MicroRNA arrays were analyzed by the Vall d'Hebron Research Institute bioinformatic unit. The microarray statistical analysis was performed using the R statistical software package ([www.R-project.org](http://www.r-project.org/)) [7] and the libraries developed for microarray data analysis by the Bioconductor Project ([www.bioconductor.org](http://www.bioconductor.org/)) [8]. The biological relationship of the results obtained in the microarray was studied using the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA®, QIAGEN Redwood City, CA, USA, <u>www.qiagen.com/ingenuity</u>).

## *1.8. Validation of miRNA Expression by qPCR-RT*

Initially a first-strand cDNA synthesis reaction was performed to provide a template for all microRNA real-time PCR assays using the miRCURY LNA™ Universal RT microRNA PCR (Exiqon, Woburn, MA, USA). Each RNA sample was normalized to a 5 ng/μL concentration using nuclease-free water. Then, 2 μL were used in combination with a mix of 2 μL of reaction buffer + 1 μL of enzyme mix + 5 μL nuclease-free water. The reaction was vortexed gently, ensuring the thorough mixing of all reagents. The resulting solution was later incubated for 60 min at 40 °C followed by a heat-inactivation of reverse transcriptase for 5 min at 95 °C. Immediately the samples of cDNA were stored at −80 °C. To avoid process variability the final samples used for RT-qPCR arrays were obtained by repeating the previous steps three-fold and mixing the extracts. All cDNA samples were diluted 1:6.6 in nuclease-free water. Every well contained a combination of 5 μL of PCR master mix, 1 μL of PCR primer mix*,* and 4 μL of diluted cDNA. All analysis were repeated in triplicate. The required amount of primer:master mix solution was calculated and prepared in advance when multiple real-time PCR reactions were performed with same microRNA primer. Fifteen percent of all reagents were additionally included to compensate for pipetting excess material. Real-time PCR amplification was performed following an initial cycle of polymerase activation for 10 min at 95 °C. The amplification process consisted of 45 amplification cycles of 10 s at 95 °C and 1 min at 60 °C. Finally a dissociation cycle was applied consisting of 15 s at 95 °C, followed by 20 s at 60 °C and finally 15 s at 95 °C. Transcription was measured by using a ABI PRISM 7000*.* Data were normalized based on the expression of the least variable miRNA from the microarray study (miR-423) and relative expressions were calculated using the 2<sup>-∆∆Ct</sup> Livak method [9].

## *1.9. Double Fluorescent in situ hybridization (ISH) Detection*

The *miRCURY LNA™ microRNA ISH Optimization Kit* (Exiqon® ) was used for visualizing gene expression and microRNA localization in renal tissue samples. Samples were obtained from histopathological laboratory bank at the Hospital Vall Hebron, and used through a deparaffinized method by placing slides in xylene and successively lower ethanol concentration solutions. MicroRNAs were demasked by using Proteinase-K. The Proteinase-K method allows the access of the labelled LNA™ probes into the cell and hybridization with the corresponding RNA sequence. Then, 100 μL of proteinase-K/proteinase-K Buffer Mix were applied to fully cover every slide of tissue, followed by incubation for 10 min at 37 °C. Later, a wash was performed by placing the slides into PBS, followed by a dehydrating process in new ethanol solutions with increased ethanol concentrations.

The hybridization mix was made by mixing a dilution of microRNA ISH buffer 1:1 with RNase-free water with the LNA™ microRNA probe at a 1:650 concentration. A concentration of 1:500 LNA™ U6 snRNA was used as a positive control. The probe concentration was optimized for an optimal microRNA ISH signal using the provider's instructions. The *Hybridization Mix* was then

applied and kept at 50 °C for 120 min. Tissue sections were later washed in saline-sodium citrate (SSC) buffer at decreasing concentrations. First, a 5-min SSC wash at hybridization temperature was performed, followed by a 10-min SSC (5x) wash at hybridization temperature, a 10-min SSC (0.2x) wash at hybridization temperature, and finally a 10-min SSC (0.2x) wash at room temperature (RT). Before detection, the hybridization tissue section was incubated for 15 min at RT using  $3\%$  H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to block endogenous peroxidases before applying horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antibodies to avoid high background signaling due to intrinsic tissue peroxidase activity. Also, autofluorescence quenching was applied by using 20-min incubation on 0.5% Sudan Black with 70% ethanol. Thereafter, the slides were washed three times (3 min per wash) with TN buffer (0.1 M Tris-HCL, pH 7.5, 0.15 M NaCl). The next step consisted of a 30-min incubation with *blocking buffer* (10 mL TN buffer + 0.5 g BSA + 200 μL sheep serum) at RT. After that, *blocking solution* was removed and a primary antibody solution (anti-DIG/HRP or anti-FITC/HRP) was applied with a dilution 1:400 in blocking buffer. After a 30-min incubation period, 5-min TNT buffer (0.1 M Tris-HCL, pH 7.5, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.3% (v/v) Triton X-100) washes were applied three times. Tyramide signal amplification (TSA) technology (Exiqon, Woburn, MA, USA) was used to improve and amplify the fluorescent signal. Then, 10 min of incubation with 500 μL of TSA Working Solution, a dilution 1:50 of TSA Plus Stock Solution, and amplification diluent were applied. Later, the slides were washed three times, at 5 min per wash, with TNT buffer in the dark with shaking. The last steps were then repeated, applying the other primary antibody (anti-FITC/HRP instead of anti-DIG/HRP or vice versa). Prior visualization a 10-s DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) application was done to visualize the cellular nucleus. Finally, an Olympus BX61 motorized upright microscope with fluorescence and phase optics for immunofluorescence imaging was used to visualize all tissue sections.

## *1.10. Cell Cultures*

Primary human renal mesangial cells (MsCM), renal proximal tubular epithelial cells (HRPTEpiC), and Primary Human renal endothelial cells (HRGEC) were purchased from InnoProt (Derio, Spain) and cultured in the recommended media provided by the manufacturer. Cells were grown at 37 °C in a humidified 5% CO2 atmosphere. Cell passes were performed using TrypLE™ Express (Gibco® Thermofisher Scientific).

## *1.11. Immunofluorescence*

For cell immunofluorescence, they were incubated with paraformaldehyde (4%) for 20 min and permeabilizated with 0.1% Triton for 10 min. Blocking using PBS 5% BSA was performed for 1 h at room temperature, and primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4 °C. Secondary antibodies were incubated for 2.5 h at room temperature.

For renal tissue immunofluorescence, this was performed on paraffin-embedded (FFPE) renal biopsies during renal flare (*n* = 3 for each subgroup). Slides were baked, soaked in xylene, passed through graded alcohols, and then pre-treated with 10 mM citrate (pH 6) in a steam pressure cooker (Decloaking Chamber; BioCare Medical, Walnut Creek, CA, USA) as per the manufacturer's instructions. All further steps were done at room temperature in a hydrated chamber. Slides were then treated with peroxidase block (DAKO) for 5 min to quench endogenous peroxidase activity. Staining was performed with 1:100 rabbit anti-Hypoxia inducible factor 1 alpha (Gennetex, GTX127309, Irvine, CA, USA), rabbit anti-KLF4 (Proteintech, 11880-1-AP, Manchester, UK) or mouse anti-FOXO1 (Proteintech,  $66457-1-Ig$ ) overnight at 4 °C. Slides were then labelled with diluted 1:250 Alexa 488 donkey anti-rabbit (Abcam, ab150061, Cambridge, UK) and/or Alexa 647 goat anti-mouse (Abcam, ab150119) for 2 h at room temperature and cover slipped using Fluoromount-G with DAPI (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Finally, using an Olympus BX61 motorized upright microscope with fluorescence and phase optics for immunofluorescence imaging, all the tissue sections were visualized.

## *1.12. Evaluation of Hybridization in Situ and Immunofluorescence*

After antibody incubation, the results were evaluated on blinded specimens by the Vall d'Hebrón Pathology Unit under the supervision of the nephropathologist (Dr. Marta Vidal, Barcelona, Spain). The percentages of cells expressing the different probes were scored semiquantitatively as follows: 0 (no expression), 1  $(11\% - 20\%)$ , 2  $(40\% - 60\%)$ , or 3  $(>80\%)$ . Staining intensity was scored semi-quantitatively as 0 (no staining), 1 (weakly positive), 2 (moderately positive), or 3 (strongly positive).

# **2. Supplementary References**

- 1. Hochberg, M.C. Updating the American college of rheumatology revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum.* **1997**, *40,* 1725.
- 2. Gladman, D.D.; Ibañez, D.; Urowitz, M.B. Systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index 2000. *J. Rheumatol.* **2002**, *29*, 288–291.
- 3. Gladman, D.; Ginzler, E.; Goldsmith, C.; Fortin, P.; Liang, M.; Urowitz, M.; Bacon, P.; Bombardieri, S.; Hanly, J.; Hay, E.; et al. The development and initial validation of the systemic lupus international collaborating clinics/American College of Rheumatology damage index for systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum.* **1996**, *39*, 363–369.
- 4. Yung, S.; Chan, T. Redefining lupus nephritis: Clinical implications of pathophysiologic subtypes. *Nat. Rev. Nephrol.* **2017**, *13*, 483–495.
- 5. Austin, H.A. 3rd; Muenz, L.R.; Joyce, K.M.; Antonovych, T.A.; Kullick, M.E.; Klippel, J.H.; Decker, J.L.; Balow, J.E. Prognostic factors in lupus nephritis. Contribution of renal histologic data. *Am. J. Med.* **1983**, *75*, 382–391.
- 6. Liang, M.; Schur, P.; Fortin, P.; St.Clair, E.; Balow, J.; Costenbader, K.; Crofford, L.; De Pablo, P.; Dooley, M.A.; Finckh, A.; et al. The American College of Rheumatology response criteria for proliferative and membranous renal disease in systemic lupus erythematosus clinical trials. *Arthritis Rheum.* **2006**, *54*, 421– 432.
- 7. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing: Vienna, Austria, 2008.
- 8. Gentleman, R.C.; Carey V.J.; Bates, D.M.; Bolstad, B.; Dettling, M.; Dudoit, S.; Ellis, B.; Gautier, L.; Ge, Y. Gentry, J.; et al. Bioconductor: Open software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. *Genome Biol.* **2004**, *5*, R80.
- 9. Livak, K.; Schmittgen, T. Analysis of Relative Gene Expression Data Using Real-Time Quantitative PCR and the 2–ΔΔCt Method. *METHODS*. **2001**, *25*, 402–408.

## **3. Supplementary Figure**



**Figure S1.** Characterization and identification of urinary exosomes. (**A**) Cryo-transmission electron micrograph of urinary exosomes showed small vesicles with sizes ranging from 57 to 130 nm in diameter. (**B**) Size distribution of urinary exosomes analyzed by Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis. (**C**) Western blotting of Alix protein and TSG101 characteristics of urinary exosomes. (**D**) The PicoBio analysis of RNA extracted from urinary exosomes revealed a predominant population of small RNAs (<200 nt).



Figure S2. Comparative analysis for urinary exosomal miRNA expression according to clinical lupus nephritis (LN) response. (**A**) Scheme of the four comparative analyses performed by the Bioinformatic Unit. (**B**) Heatmap from flare and post-treatment comparison in the lupus nephritis responder group illustrating levels of significantly changed microRNA expression (fold change > 1.5 and *p*-value < 0.05). DE miRNAs: differentially expressed miRNAs. Color red means upregulated and color blue means downregulated in heatmap.



**Figure S3.** Kinetic study production of microRNA (miRNA) urinary exosomes. Values of miRNA expression in exosomes obtained from mesangial renal cells (MRCs), endothelial renal cells (ERCs), and epithelial tubular renal cells (ETRCs) after 6, 18, and 36 h of cytokine stimulation.



**Figure S4.** Immunofluorescence of kidney biopsies. Kruppel-like factor 4 (KLF4) (green) and Forkhead box protein O1 (FOXO1) (red) did not show different protein levels between responder and non-responder kidney biopsies. Scale bar =  $100 \mu m$ .



**Figure S5.** *HIF1A* expression levels in mesangial and endothelial renal cells. Overexpression (OVER) of miR-31, miR-107, or miR-135b-5p induced significant inhibition of HIF1A gene expression in interleukin-1 alpha, interferon alpha and vascular endothelial growth factor stimulation. In a similar way, inhibition (INH) of each miRNA induced high expression of HIF1A. \*\*\* *p* < 0.0001, \*\* *p* < 0.001.



**Figure S6.** Protein levels of hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha (HIF1A) in mesangial and endothelial renal cells. Overexpression of the three microRNAs (miRNAs, miR-31, miR-107, and miR-135b-5) in both types of renal cells induced a significant reduction of HIF1A protein levels. \*\*\* *p* < 0.0001, \*\* *p* < 0.001, \* *p* < 0.01. Scale bar = 20 µm.

## **4. Supplementary Table**

**Table S1. The** MiRCURY LNA miRNA focus PCR Urine Exosome Panel I gene list for each 96-well plate.

<b>MicroRNA</b> Name	Order in 96 wells (row)	Order in 96 wells (column)	Panel Plate Position	<b>Target Sequence</b>	<b>LNA PCR</b> Primer Set, Product number	Assay <b>Type</b>
hsa-let-7a- 5p			A <sub>01</sub>	UGAGGUAGUAGGUUGUAUAGUU	YP00205727	GOI
hsa-let-7b- 5p	2	9	A02	UGAGGUAGUAGGUUGUGUGGUU	YP00204750	GOI
hsa-let-7d- 3p	3	17	A03	<b>CUAUACGACCUGCUGCCUUUCU</b>	YP00205627	GOI
hsa-let-7d- 5p	4	25	A <sub>04</sub>	AGAGGUAGUAGGUUGCAUAGUU	YP00204124	GOI
hsa-let-7e-	5	33	A05	UGAGGUAGGAGGUUGUAUAGUU	YP00205711	GOI







**Table S2.** MiRCURY LNA primer identification (IDs) from Exiqon.



hsa-miR-135b-5p	204130
hsa-miR-203a	205914

**Table S3.** Primers identification (IDs) used in Taqman reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) from Applied Biosystems.

Gene	<b>Primer ID</b>		
CXCL1	Hs00236931 m1		
CCL <sub>2</sub>	Hs00234140 m1		
CCL <sub>3</sub>	Hs00234142 m1		
IL <sub>6</sub>	Hs00174131_m1		
IL8 (CXCL8)	Hs00174103 m1		
VCAM1	Hs01003372 m1		
HIF1A	Hs00153153 m1		
FN1	Hs01549976 m1		
COL3A1	Hs00943809 m1		
$TGF\beta1$	Hs00998133 m1		
<b>GAPDH</b>	Hs02786624 g1		

**Table S4.** MicroRNAs ordered according to increasing *p*-value in each of the analyses. MiRNAs marked in bold were selected for the validation phase (fold change >|10| and *p*-value < 0.05). RQ = relative quantification-2<sup>-∆∆Ct</sup>; ∆∆Ct = ∆Ctsample - ∆Ctcalibrator.





**Table S5.** Target genes for miR-31, miR-107, and miR-135b-5p using the miRecords, miRTarBase, and miRWalk databases. Underlined targets are common between two microRNAs (miRNAs).



*SLC2A3, SLC30A7, SLCO3A1, SMARCA5, SMARCE1, SNCG, SNTB2, SNTB2, SNX8, SOWAHC, SREK1, SRSF1, SSU72, STK38, STX6, SUN2, SUN2, SYNRG, TAF13, TARBP2, TBPL1, TBRG1, TCF19, TGFBR3, TJP1, TK1, TM4SF1, TM7SF3, TM9SF1, TMEM170A, TMEM87A, TNRC6B, TRIM35, TRIQK, TSC22D2, TTLL5, TUBA1B, TUBB, TULP4, TWF1, UBE2B, UBE2Q1, UBR3, USP42, VCAN, VEGFA, VPS4A, WDR6, YAF2, YIPF6, YRDC, YTHDC1, YWHAH, ZADH2, ZBTB10, ZBTB38, ZCCHC14, ZDHHC16, ZDHHC4, ZNF100, ZNF273, ZNF449, ZNF585B, ZNF606, ZNF680*

*ACVR1B, AMOTL2, APC, APOA1, ARC, BGLAP, BIRC5, BMPR2, CAPZA2, CASR, CCDC85C, CDR1, CENPN, CEP135, COX6B1, DPP8, FOXO1, GAGE1, GATA6, GNL1, HEYL, HIF1A, HMGB2, IBSP, IL17RA, KIAA1143, KIF6, KLF4, LAX1, LRRC15, LZTS1, MAFB, MARCKS, MBNL1, MID1, MPL, MTCH2, MYC, NHS, NSA2, NUFIP2, PCP4L1, PCTP, PEX2, PIAS4, PIP5K1A, RAB3GAP2, RUNX2, SCYL3, SKIL, SLC19A3, SLC39A6, SMAD5, SNED1, SP7, TGFBR1, TNPO2, TOX4, TRIM4, TRIM66, TTLL7, TXNIP, ZNF107, ZNF468, ZNF805, ZNF846*

**MiR-135b-5p**