



Review

SLO3: A Conserved Regulator of Sperm Membrane Potential

Maximilian D. Lyon ¹ , Juan J. Ferreira ¹, Ping Li ¹, Shweta Bhagwat ¹, Alice Butler ^{1,2}, Kelsey Anderson ¹, Maria Polo ¹ and Celia M. Santi ^{1,2,*}

¹ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA; d.lyon@wustl.edu (M.D.L.); juanferreira@wustl.edu (J.J.F.); pli@wustl.edu (P.L.); bhagwat@wustl.edu (S.B.); butlera@wustl.edu (A.B.); kelsey.l.anderson@wustl.edu (K.A.); polo@wustl.edu (M.P.)

² Department of Neuroscience, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA

* Correspondence: santic@wustl.edu; Tel.: +1-314-747-3306

Abstract: Sperm cells must undergo a complex maturation process after ejaculation to be able to fertilize an egg. One component of this maturation is hyperpolarization of the membrane potential to a more negative value. The ion channel responsible for this hyperpolarization, SLO3, was first cloned in 1998, and since then much progress has been made to determine how the channel is regulated and how its function intertwines with various signaling pathways involved in sperm maturation. Although *Slo3* was originally thought to be present only in the sperm of mammals, recent evidence suggests that a primordial form of the gene is more widely expressed in some fish species. *Slo3*, like many reproductive genes, is rapidly evolving with low conservation between closely related species and different regulatory and pharmacological profiles. Despite these differences, SLO3 appears to have a conserved role in regulating sperm membrane potential and driving large changes in response to stimuli. The effect of this hyperpolarization of the membrane potential may vary among mammalian species just as the regulation of the channel does. Recent discoveries have elucidated the role of SLO3 in these processes in human sperm and provided tools to target the channel to affect human fertility.

Keywords: membrane hyperpolarization; SLO3; contraception; potassium channels; sperm; acrosomal exocytosis; capacitation; hyperactivated motility; SLO channels; male fertility



Citation: Lyon, M.D.; Ferreira, J.J.; Li, P.; Bhagwat, S.; Butler, A.; Anderson, K.; Polo, M.; Santi, C.M. SLO3: A Conserved Regulator of Sperm Membrane Potential. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 11205. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms241311205>

Academic Editor: Elisabeth Pinart

Received: 25 May 2023

Revised: 3 July 2023

Accepted: 5 July 2023

Published: 7 July 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Sperm have a long and complex maturation process that completes after they are expelled from the body in which they are produced. This post-ejaculatory process gives sperm the capacity to fertilize an oocyte and thus is termed capacitation [1,2]. Capacitation occurs in the female genital tract and involves many molecular changes including increases in cyclic AMP, protein tyrosine phosphorylation [3], intracellular pH [4–7], potassium ion (K⁺) conductance [8], and intracellular calcium (Ca²⁺) concentration [7,9–12]. Additionally, the plasma membrane hyperpolarizes to a more negative potential [8,13–17]. These changes culminate in two major physiological changes. The sperm become hyperactive, characterized by an asymmetry of flagellar beating and change in the forces generated [18,19]. This facilitates sperm release from the oviductal reservoir and helps them penetrate through the cumulus and extracellular matrix surrounding the egg (zona pellucida) [20,21]. Additionally, they undergo acrosomal exocytosis, which helps them penetrate the zona pellucida [22–24] and exposes binding sites that allow the sperm membrane to fuse with the membrane of the oocyte [25]. Each step of capacitation is required for normal sperm function, but how each step is regulated and regulates other steps has not been fully determined.

A key component of sperm capacitation in many species, from marine invertebrates to mammals, is changes in membrane potential [26–28]. Membrane potential is the electrical potential difference (voltage) across a cell's plasma membrane and is determined by the

differences in ion concentrations across the membrane and the selective permeability of the membrane to said ions. One of the most prominent ions for controlling membrane potential in sperm is K^+ . In 1987, K^+ -dependent transient membrane hyperpolarization was first reported in sea urchin sperm in response to a signal from the egg jelly [28]. This hyperpolarization was later shown to also occur in murine and bovine sperm and to be associated with capacitation [13]. Like their mammalian counterparts, human sperm undergo a capacitation-associated hyperpolarization from approximately -40 mV [29] to approximately -58 mV [30].

Several lines of evidence suggest that defects in hyperpolarization can result in infertility. For example, failure to hyperpolarize was correlated with a failure to undergo acrosomal exocytosis in mice [13,27], indicating that sperm membrane hyperpolarization is a key event in sperm capacitation. In humans, electrophysiological studies of patients undergoing in vitro fertilization (IVF) or intra-cytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) revealed that ~10% of patients with subfertility have depolarized membrane potentials caused by K^+ conductance abnormalities [31]. Sperm isolated from men with idiopathic infertility or asthenozoospermia had a significantly more depolarized membrane potential than those from men with normal fertility [32]. Furthermore, capacitated sperm are more hyperpolarized than non-capacitated sperm [26,33]. In 2020, two groups independently used flow cytometry to quantitate membrane potential in sperm from normozoospermic donors and showed that the ability of sperm to hyperpolarize in capacitating conditions correlated with hyperactivation of motility, acrosomal exocytosis, and success in IVF [34,35].

Given the importance of sperm membrane potential in capacitation and fertilization, many researchers have focused on identifying the responsible K^+ channels. In this review, we describe the evidence of the K^+ channel SLO3 (KCNU1) regulating sperm membrane potential hyperpolarization during capacitation in several species. Additionally, we highlight several challenges that made it difficult to determine whether or not SLO3 is likewise responsible for hyperpolarization in human sperm. Finally, we present new compelling genetic and pharmacologic data confirming that SLO3 is required for human sperm capacitation and fertility.

2. Potassium Channels in Sperm

Sperm from different species are exposed to drastically different environments, from sea water to unique genital tracts. This means that although hyperpolarization is conserved in many species, the mechanisms that drive it must be tailored to the specific environment. One species in which this mechanism has been explored in depth is the mouse. In 1998, the sperm-specific potassium channel SLO3 was cloned by first conducting a low-stringency NCBI Blast query with a cDNA sequence of mouse *Slo1* [36]. A short *Slo3* expressed sequence tag was identified and used to screen a mouse testis cDNA library. Two overlapping cDNAs were isolated and joined to make a complete *Slo3* coding region. A comparison of the SLO1 (KCNMA1) and SLO3 protein sequences showed that the two proteins had similar hydrophilicity profiles, a similar core resembling a voltage-gated K^+ channel, and long cytoplasmic tail structures. However, the mouse *Slo3* sequence was conspicuously missing two Ca^{2+} -binding domains present in the *Slo1* cytoplasmic tail, suggesting that the channel is activated by factors other than Ca^{2+} . Instead, the SLO3 channel was found to be activated by intracellular alkalinization.

After the discovery of the SLO3 channel, a potassium current was identified in mouse sperm that shared several key features with the channel [37]. This current, dubbed IKSper, was found to be activated by intracellular pH. The magnitude of the current meant that it was capable of driving large changes in membrane potential [8,37]. These traits matched those of SLO3, and it was confirmed that SLO3 was responsible for IKSper when a SLO3 knockout mouse was generated [37–40]. Deletion of SLO3 completely abolished the IKSper current. Additionally, sperm from SLO3 knockout mice lack the hyperpolarization that occurs during capacitation. These sperm also lack the resulting Ca^{2+} influx through CatSper,

the primary Ca²⁺ channel in sperm that is also necessary for fertility. [38,39]. As a result, SLO3 knockout mice are completely male-infertile.

The *Slo3* gene appears to have arisen by gene duplication of its close paralogue, *Slo1* [41–43]. In some non-mammalian animals, such as the spotted gar fish (*Lepisosteus oculatus*) [44] and members of the Salmonidae family, SLO3 has a broad tissue distribution similar to that of SLO1 [45]. However, in mammals, its broad tissue distribution has been lost, and in all mammalian species where it has been studied, including mice, bovines, and humans, SLO3 is only expressed in sperm. Additionally, as is the case with many reproduction-related genes, *Slo3* has evolved rapidly. SLO3 shows only 60% protein sequence identity between mouse, human, and bovine (Figure 1), whereas the SLO1 protein sequence is 90% identical across the same species [46]. Moreover, SLO3 has accumulated species-specific features, which we will discuss later in this review.




Figure 1. Amino acid sequence homology of mouse (mSLO3), human (hSLO3), and bovine (bSLO3) SLO3. Conserved regions are highlighted in blue. Dark highlighting indicates conservation between three species, light highlighting indicates conservation between two species. Sequence alignment performed using Jalview Version 2 [47–50].

3. Structure and Gating of the SLO3 Pore-Forming Subunits

The pore-forming components of SLO channels are formed by homo-tetramers of α -subunits. Generally, SLO family α -subunits resemble those of voltage-gated K⁺ channels in having transmembrane domains symmetrically arranged around a water-filled, K⁺ selective pore. However, SLO2.1 and SLO2.2 channels have six transmembrane domains

(S1–S6) and thus have intracellular N- and C-termini, as is common with members of the voltage-gated K⁺ channel family (Figure 2) [51,52]. In contrast, SLO1 and SLO3 have seven transmembrane domains (S0–S6) and thus have extracellular N-termini.

The cytosolic domains of SLO family channels contain two regulators of K⁺ conductance (RCK) domains, RCK1 and RCK2. These domains sense several intracellular signals and confer each subfamily with distinctive properties [53–58]. For example, in SLO1, both RCK1 and RCK2 contain Ca²⁺ sensors [58–60]. The “calcium bowl” in RCK2 is composed of a highly conserved string of aspartate residues, which are negatively charged [61]. SLO2.1 and SLO2.2 are also modulated by Na⁺, Cl[−], and activation of G-protein-coupled receptors [51,52,62–65]. The cytosolic domain may also be a point of interaction between monomers of different SLO family channels.

The gating of SLO3 is similar to that of SLO1, as the opening of both channels is allosterically regulated by movement of a voltage sensor. This movement is driven by transmembrane potential and conformational change of the cytosolic gating ring induced by intracellular ligand binding. However, there are two important differences between the sensitivities of SLO1 and SLO3 channels to ligands. First, SLO1 is activated by acidification, whereas SLO3 is activated by alkalization [36,66]. Second, SLO1 has several Ca²⁺ binding sites and is activated by a broad range of Ca²⁺ concentrations [58,61,66]. Because of this, SLO1 can function over a broad range of voltages. In contrast, mouse SLO3 is insensitive to calcium and human SLO3 is several orders of magnitude less sensitive to Ca²⁺ than SLO1 [67] and functions in a narrow voltage range near the sperm resting potential.

SLO1 and SLO3 are both sensitive to pH. SLO1 has two histidine residues in the gating ring, which may act as proton sensors and open the channel in response to low intracellular pH [66]. The mechanism of pH modulation of SLO3 is unknown. The half-activation point of SLO3 by pH is estimated to be around 7.7 [68], which is close to the pK_a of histidine. With the recently solved structures of the human SLO3 gating ring [69] and complete SLO1 channel [70–72], the key residues governing SLO3 regulation by protons should be revealed soon.




Figure 2. Human SLO3 gating ring structure determined by X-ray crystallography. (a) Cartoon of domain topology of two opposing SLO3 α -subunits. (b) Crystal structure of the gating ring of a hSLO3 tetramer with RCK1 and RCK2 domains colored in blue and red, respectively. (c) A single subunit of the hSLO3 channel and (d) highlight of RCK1. (e) A closeup of the hSLO3 assembly interface and (f) the corresponding region of SLO1 bound to Ca²⁺. The RCK1 N-terminal residue that connects to the transmembrane pore is shown as a green sphere. Ca²⁺ ion is shown as a yellow sphere. Reprinted/adapted with permission from [69].

4. Structure and Function of the SLO3 β and γ Subunits

SLO1 and SLO3 α subunits are associated with several accessory subunits that regulate their expression and biophysical properties [73–75]. The α subunit of SLO1 channels is usually associated with auxiliary β - and γ -subunits [76–80], including β 1-4 and γ 1-2. These auxiliary subunits influence channel pharmacological and gating properties.

An important regulator of SLO3 is γ 2, also known as leucine-rich-repeat-containing protein 52 (LRRC52) [81]. This subunit is abundantly expressed in the testis and predominantly interacts with SLO3. It is composed of a single transmembrane segment with an N-terminal extracellular peptide and a short cytoplasmic C-terminal tail [82]. Expression of γ 2 depends on SLO3 expression, as *Slo3*^{-/-} mice do not express measurable γ 2. The primary effect of γ 2 is to shift the activation of SLO3 to more negative potentials, as seen in mouse, rat, and human channels [69,82,83]. Importantly, mouse SLO3 currents in the presence of γ 2 activate at membrane voltage ranges, similar to those of IK_{Sper} [82]. The importance of γ 2 for SLO3 activity is evident in γ 2^{-/-} knockout mice, as IK_{Sper} currents in sperm from γ 2^{-/-} mice activate more slowly and their activation curve is shifted to more positive potentials than the currents from wild-type sperm. Additionally, alkaline pH is less able to hyperpolarize γ 2^{-/-} sperm than wild-type sperm. As a consequence of all this, the γ 2^{-/-} male mice are severely subfertile [84], indicating that the γ 2 subunit is essential for male fertility.

Mouse and human SLO3 somewhat vary in their responses to γ 2. For example, functional expression of human SLO3 requires γ 2, and the activation rate and pH sensitivity of human SLO3 channels expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes are increased by γ 2. Although mouse SLO3 can be heterologously expressed without γ 2, it has increased expression when this subunit is present [67,69,82,85]. Additionally, mouse SLO3 currents display a minor increase in pH sensitivity and activates at more negative potentials [69] when co-expressed with γ 2. Nonetheless, γ 2 is an important regulator of mouse SLO3. This was shown by expressing mouse SLO3 in *Xenopus* oocytes. Currents obtained from expressing mouse SLO3 alone exhibited a different pH- and voltage dependence than IK_{Sper}. However, when co-expressed with γ 2, SLO3 produced currents that resembled the native IK_{Sper} currents [82].

Unlike γ 2, γ 1 (LRRC26), γ 3 (LRRC55), and γ 4 (LRRC38) are minimally expressed in the testis [80,82]. Co-expression of γ 1 or γ 4 with mouse SLO3 in *Xenopus* oocytes yielded a slight shift towards activation at more negative potentials [82]. Co-expression of γ 3 had no effect on mouse or rat SLO3 currents [82]. Thus, γ 1, γ 3, and γ 4 do not appear to play a substantial role in SLO3 regulation.

Because SLO1 is regulated by β subunits, the effects of these subunits on SLO3 have been examined. β 1-3 are minimally expressed in the mouse testis and do not appear to functionally regulate SLO3 [78,86,87]. It has even been shown that replacing the mouse SLO1 tail with that of mouse SLO3 ablates the effect of β 1 on the channel [88]. Moreover, if co-expressed in Sf9 cells, β 1, β 3a, and β 3b all immunoprecipitate with mouse SLO3 but do not affect the channel gating [87]. β 4 is expressed in mouse testis, and co-expression of β 4 can increase the surface expression, macro-conductance, and activation kinetics of mouse SLO3 channels in *Xenopus* oocytes [87]. This indicates that only β 4 selectively modulates SLO3 expression and function. In humans, β 3 and β 4 mRNAs are both expressed in the testis [77], but little is known regarding the effects of β subunits on human SLO3.

5. Challenges in Determining Whether SLO3 Is Responsible for Human Sperm Hyperpolarization

Both mouse and human sperm undergo hyperpolarization that is required for capacitation. Moreover, mouse and human sperm both have similar K⁺ currents, IK_{Sper} and hK_{Sper}, respectively. Although SLO3 was conclusively demonstrated to be responsible for mouse sperm hyperpolarization in 2010 [38], four key challenges have impeded our understanding of whether SLO3 is responsible for hyperpolarization in human sperm. These

include differences in pH and Ca^{2+} regulation, voltage sensitivity, functional relationships with CatSper, and pharmacology.

5.1. Challenge 1: Differences in pH and Ca^{2+} Regulation

Although IKSPer and hKSPer have several similarities, these currents have important differences, including that hKSPer is less sensitive to pH than is IKSPer. Additionally, unlike the IKSPer current, the hKSPer current is sensitive to intracellular Ca^{2+} [67,89]. Given these reports, Mannowetz et al. suggested that hKSPer was conducted by SLO1 rather than by SLO3 [69]. Later, Brenker et al. [67] showed that human SLO3 expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes and Chinese hamster ovary cells is sensitive to both Ca^{2+} and pH. The Ca^{2+} concentrations required to activate SLO3 in both sperm and heterologously expressed in cells were similar (60–1000 μM) and higher than those needed to activate SLO1 [58,67]. Due to these findings, these investigators concluded that SLO3 is likely responsible for the hKSPer current.

SLO3 from mice [69,90,91], rats [83], bovines [90], and humans [67] is activated by intracellular alkalinization, though the origin of this pH sensitivity is unresolved. Mouse SLO3 channels are more pH-sensitive than bovine channels and contain two histidine residues in the RCK1 domain, whereas bovine channels have only one histidine [90]. However, substituting one of the histidines in the mouse channel did not significantly alter its pH sensitivity, suggesting that this region is not central to pH regulation. We recently identified a natural structural variant (C382R) of human SLO3 with enhanced pH sensitivity [46]. This variant inserts a positive charge in the -B helix of the N-lobe in RCK1, a critical region likely to interact with the pore-gating region of the channel and may enhance the effectiveness of transduction between the gating ring and the cytoplasmic pore-gating domain. This variant was the third most common single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in *Slo3* in the NIH SNP database, possibly indicating positive selection pressure. However, the effect of this SNP on fertility is unknown [46].

5.2. Challenge 2: Differences in Voltage Sensitivity


The voltage range of activation of the alpha subunit of SLO3 expressed by itself in heterologous systems varies widely between species. Bovine SLO3 activates at more negative potentials than mouse SLO3. The half-maximal activation of conductance of mouse is at +70–77 mV [36,90] and near +0.5 mV in bovines [90]. In our previous works, we generated a series of chimeric constructs between bovine and mouse α -subunits to map the functional domain responsible for this difference [90]. Our data revealed that the amino acid residues determining this property were distributed over a loop of the RCK1 domain. This region contains the greatest sequence and length polymorphisms among the RCK1 domains of SLO3 channels from many species. This region also has unusually low sequence conservation between bovine and mouse SLO3 channels. Specifically, we found that introducing this region from the bovine channel into the mouse conferred a similar voltage sensitivity as in the bovine channel, but the reverse was not true. This implies that the RCK1 domain of mouse SLO3 is important for voltage sensitivity, but the bovine channel contains other unknown regulatory domains. Expression of the human SLO3 alpha subunit by itself does not result in measurable whole-cell currents. Human SLO3 whole-cell currents can only be obtained in the presence of the human $\gamma 2$ subunit [67,85,92]. The human SLO3 channel, even in the presence of the $\gamma 2$ subunit, activates at more positive potentials than mouse or bovine SLO3 in *Xenopus* oocytes [67].

5.3. Challenge 3: Differences in Functional Relationship with CatSper

In mouse sperm capacitation, the role of SLO3 is extensively characterized (Figure 3). During capacitation, two major ion channels become active, the SLO3 K^+ channel and the CatSper Ca^{2+} channel. Both channels are essential for fertilization, as knocking out either of them confers male infertility [36–40,93–97]. It is accepted in the field that the increase in intracellular Ca^{2+} necessary to promote hyperactivated motility in the sperm is

conducted by CatSper channels [7,37,39,40]. Furthermore, this activation of CatSper seems to be subsequent to and dependent on SLO3 activation, as CatSper activation in *Slo3*^{-/-} mice is impaired and can be rescued by alkaline depolarization [11]. A working hypothesis suggests that when sperm are exposed to bicarbonate and an alkaline external pH in the female genital tract, it initially results in an increase in sperm intracellular pH, possibly through the activation of the sperm-specific Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger (sNHE) (unpublished data). This rise in intracellular pH activates SLO3 channels, leading to cell hyperpolarization due to potassium efflux. This hyperpolarization can potentially enhance the driving force and influx of calcium ions through CatSper channels, which exhibit a weak voltage dependence [37]. Additionally, it may further activate sNHE, which contains a putative voltage sensor that could be activated by membrane hyperpolarization [11]. Activation of the sNHE would increase intracellular pH, further stimulating CatSper and SLO3 and establishing a positive feedback loop. Notably, recent results from Chávez et al. in 2020 found more evidence that membrane hyperpolarization induced an increase in intracellular pH of individual sperm [98]. Ultimately, the activation of CatSper channels triggers calcium influx, leading to the necessary elevation in intracellular calcium concentration for sperm hyperactivation.

a) Mouse



b) Human




Figure 3. Models of mouse and human SLO3 activity. (a) Mouse: The exposure to a more alkaline pH and high [HCO₃⁻] concentrations in the female tract contribute to an increase in pHi, potentially through the activation of the sNHE. This rise in pHi leads to the activation of SLO3 channels, resulting in membrane hyperpolarization. This hyperpolarization enhances calcium influx through CatSper channels, possibly through two distinct mechanisms: Firstly, by increasing the inward driving force of calcium. Secondly, it may further activate sNHE to elevate intracellular pH even more. (b) Human: In human sperm, exposure to an elevated external pH could potentially activate the Hv1 channel, resulting in an increase in pHi and contributing to the activation of SLO3 and CatSper channels. However, it is important to note that in humans, SLO3 channels are primarily activated by calcium, while CatSper channels are activated by progesterone. On the other hand, activation of SLO3 leads to membrane hyperpolarization, which has been proposed to remove [Ca²⁺]_i oscillations that inhibit CatSper activation. This raises the question of whether SLO3 is activated upstream or downstream of CatSper channels.

In human sperm, the temporal sequence of activation of these channels is debated (Figure 3). Because human SLO3 is activated by intracellular Ca^{2+} , it was suggested that SLO3 is activated downstream of CatSper in human sperm [99,100]. Contrary to this model, it has been shown that human sperm undergo transient Ca^{2+} oscillations that enact acid extrusion in sperm, inactivate CatSper, and are inhibited by hyperpolarization [101,102]. According to this, SLO3 activity precedes CatSper activity in human sperm as it does in mouse sperm. More experiments need to be conducted to determine the exact sequence of events in human sperm.

The precise details of the SLO3 and CatSper interaction may differ in other ways between mouse and human sperm. For instance, even though sNHE is expressed in human sperm, the proton channel Hv1 has been suggested to have a significant role in the alkalinization of human sperm [103,104]. Hv1 is activated by a combination of the pH gradient and membrane depolarization, and it may also be activated by the removal of zinc after ejaculation and during sperm passage through the female genital tract [103,105]. Activation of this channel could be crucial in raising intracellular sperm pH and subsequently facilitating the activation of CatSper channels. This contrasts with mouse channels, which do not express Hv1 currents and instead rely on sNHE [4,103]. Progesterone at low concentrations activates human CatSper currents. However, it does not have an effect on the mouse currents [67].

5.4. Challenge 4: Differences in Pharmacology

Both mouse and human SLO3 exhibit similar responses to certain inhibitors. For example, low concentrations of Ba^{2+} have been found to inhibit mouse SLO3 currents [24,105,106]. This inhibition also extends to hyperpolarization of CHO cells transfected with human SLO3 and human sperm during capacitation [83]. Additionally, high concentrations of TEA (Tetraethylammonium) have comparable effects on both human and mouse SLO3 currents [83,105,106]. However, it is important to note that low concentrations of TEA do not hinder human sperm hyperpolarization during capacitation [83] and have no impact on hKSper currents [65] or human SLO3 in transfected HEK-293 cells [107]. This indicates that, similar to mouse SLO3, human SLO3 is only inhibited by high concentrations of TEA. Another widely used potassium channel blocker, 4-AP (4-Aminopyridine), does not exhibit inhibitory effects on either human or mouse SLO3 when applied externally [108,109]. In contrast, two other inhibitors, quinidine and clofilium, demonstrate strong inhibition of both mouse [105,106,108] and human SLO3 [65,109]. Despite these similarities, mouse and human SLO3 differ in their responses to several other inhibitors.

The first study that examined hKSper currents in human sperm revealed that the current was inhibited by the canonical SLO1 inhibitors charybdotoxin, iberiotoxin, and paxilline [89]. Although later studies confirmed some of these findings, others have presented conflicting results. For example, flow cytometry measurements of membrane potential showed that charybdotoxin and iberiotoxin each strongly inhibited human sperm hyperpolarization during capacitation [26,85]. However, neither drug blocked more than 50% of currents in heterologously expressed human SLO3 currents in CHO cells [85]. Later recordings showed no effect of iberiotoxin on hKSper in sperm [67] or on human SLO3 currents heterologously expressed in HEK cells [106]. These discrepancies may be caused by the different recording conditions used [67,89]. We recently measured the effect of iberiotoxin on human SLO3 expressed in HEK-293 cells and found that it inhibited SLO3 at about 20-fold higher concentrations than SLO1 and had a much wider inhibition curve, making its inhibition of SLO3 currents highly susceptible to different recording conditions [92]. Paxilline, on the other hand, maintained a strong selectivity for SLO1 over human SLO3, as was observed in mice, making it a more useful tool for comparing the inhibition of SLO1 or SLO3 channels across species [92,107].

Both clofilium and quinidine consistently inhibit hKSper currents under physiological conditions [67,108], leading to the prevention of hyperactivation and hyperpolarization of human sperm [67,85,108]. However, determining the extent of SLO3 channel inhibition by

quinidine and clofilium in sperm, as well as their impact on sperm physiological properties, is challenging due to the presence of CatSper in these cells [109]. CatSper channels can conduct large currents carried by monovalent cations, which may contribute to the total outward K^+ current measured in sperm and potentially be mistaken for SLO3 currents. Consequently, quinidine and clofilium might also inhibit CatSper channels [40]. Supporting this notion, Mansell et al. reported that clofilium and quinidine inhibit CatSper channels in human sperm [108]. The off-target effects of these inhibitors on CatSper, as well as their lack of selectivity against other potassium channels, could contribute to their effects on hyperpolarization and hyperactivation. To avoid this confusion, the application of divalent ions, such as 2 mM or higher Ca^{2+} , in the external solution can significantly inhibit CatSper from carrying such currents [94,110].

Additionally, studying these channels in heterologous systems can help characterize the inhibitory effects of these drugs on specific channels. For instance, it has been demonstrated that clofilium inhibits human SLO3 currents expressed in CHO cells [26].

Making the situation more complex, inhibitors of CatSper channels can also exert inhibitory effects on SLO3 channels. For example, Mibefradil, a CatSper inhibitor, shows slight and reversible inhibition of mouse SLO3 [111].

Therefore, a dual approach should be employed to characterize the inhibitory effects of these drugs. This includes investigating the inhibitory effects of the drugs on heterologously expressed channels, as well as channels recorded in native cells. Fortunately, such a dual approach is feasible for SLO3 channels, which are well-expressed in both *Xenopus* oocytes and mammalian cell lines. However, achieving expression of CatSper channels in a heterologous system has not yet been accomplished, posing a challenge for their characterization. Summaries of the data on the effects of inhibitors on potassium currents in native cells and in heterologous systems are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

Table 1. Effects of compounds on mouse or human sperm K^+ currents. Whole-cell patch clamp studies were performed on mouse (IKSper) or human (hKSper) sperm and reported the effect of compounds on potassium currents. Effects were categorized as inhibiting (↓) or having no effect (–).

Compound	Assay	Concentration	Effect	Study
4-AP	hKSper	2 mM	–	[108]
Bupivacaine	hKSper	3 mM	↓	[108]
Charybdotoxin	hKSper, IKSper	1 μ M	↓	[89]
Clofilium	hKSper	50 μ M–5 mM	↓	[67,108]
Iberiotoxin	hKSper, IKSper hKSper	100 nM 100 nM	– ↓	[67,89] [89]
Lidocaine	hKSper	3 mM	↓	[108]
Paxilline	hKSper, IKSper	100 nM	↓	[89]
Progesterone	hKSper, IKSper	0.5–30 μ M	↓	[89,108]
Quinidine	hKSper	300–500 μ M	↓	[67,108]
TEA	hKSper	10 mM	–	[67]
VU0546110	hKSper	10 μ M	↓	[92]

Table 2. Effects of compounds on mouse, human, and rat SLO3 currents expressed in heterologous systems. Studies were examined that measured the effects on whole-cell SLO3 currents in heterologous expression systems of mouse (mSLO3), human (hSLO3), or rat (rSLO3) channels. These data are only for compounds applied externally. Effects were categorized as activating (\uparrow), inhibiting (\downarrow), or having no effect ($-$).

Compound	Assay	Concentration	Effect	Study
4-AP	hSLO3	25 mM	-	[106]
	rSLO3	100 mM	-	[83]
Ba ²⁺	hSLO3	1 mM	\downarrow	[85]
	mSLO3	2 mM	\downarrow	[111,112]
Charybdotoxin	hSLO3	100 nM	\downarrow	[85]
Clofilium	hSLO3	50 μ M	-	[85]
	mSLO3	50 μ M	\downarrow	[111]
Iberiotoxin	hSLO3	100 nM	-	[85,106]
	hSLO3	0.1–300 nM	\downarrow	[92]
Ketamine	rSLO3	25–500 μ M	\downarrow	[83]
LDD175	hSLO3	30 μ M	\uparrow	[106]
NS1619	hSLO3	50 μ M	\downarrow	[106]
Paxilline	hSLO3	1–30 μ M	\downarrow	[92]
Penitrem A	hSLO3	100 nM	\downarrow	[85]
Progesterone	hSLO3	30 μ M	\downarrow	[67,85]
Propofol	rSLO3	100–700 μ M	\downarrow	[83]
Quinidine	hSLO3	0.1–100 μ M	\downarrow	[85,92]
	rSLO3	10–500 μ M	\downarrow	[83]
	mSLO3	500 μ M	\downarrow	[111]
Slotoxin	hSLO3	100 nM	-	[85]
TEA	hSLO3	20 mM	\downarrow	[106]
	mSLO3	60 mM	\downarrow	[112]
	mSLO3	20 mM	-	[111]
VU0546110	hSLO3	0.3–30 μ M	\downarrow	[92]

6. Newly Discovered Variants and Inhibitors Confirm That SLO3 Is Responsible for Human Sperm Hyperpolarization

Two recent papers provide genetic evidence supporting the role of SLO3 in human fertility. Lv et al. reported that a missense mutation and a splice variant of human SLO3 channels are associated with male infertility [96]. However, it should be noted that the male patient in the study presented with asthenozoospermia, a condition characterized by reduced or absent motile sperm [113]. This disorder is not known to be associated with SLO3-deficient mice, as these mice exhibit normal sperm count and motility [38]. Therefore, the presence of this condition suggests that other sperm functional defects unrelated to SLO3 function may have contributed to the infertility observed [96].

In a more compelling case implicating human SLO3 in infertility, a man carrying a missense mutation of the *Slo3* gene (c.1237A>T: Ile413Phe) exhibited sperm that failed to hyperpolarize, undergo acrosome reaction, and achieve successful fertilization in in vitro fertilization (IVF) procedures [97]. However, in intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI), where sperm capacitation is not required, fertilization was successful, as expected for a mutation in the *Slo3* gene. To further confirm the role of SLO3 in this phenotype, the authors generated a mouse line in which the endogenous *Slo3* gene carried the same missense mutation found in the affected men. These mice also exhibited infertility. These findings

provide clear evidence that SLO3 is necessary for fertility in both humans and mice and suggest its conserved role in acrosomal exocytosis [97].

We recently described a new inhibitor, VU0546110, which is more than 40-fold selective for human SLO3 over SLO1 [92]. This inhibitor completely inhibited hKSper, confirming that SLO1 channels do not meaningfully contribute to the current. This inhibition also had physiological effects, significantly inhibiting hyperpolarization, hyperactivation, and the acrosome reaction in human sperm. These downstream effects provide further evidence that human SLO3 is necessary for sperm hyperpolarization and fertility.

7. Conclusions and Future Directions

The two paralogues *Slo1* and *Slo3* apparently have a common ancestor that underwent a gene duplication event approximately 450 million years ago. Since then, the older gene, *Slo1*, has remained highly conserved in species as diverse as the nematode *C. elegans* worm and *H. sapiens*. Conversely, *Slo3* has been subject to wide evolutionary divergence, functioning in many tissue types in the spotted gar [45] but only functioning in spermatozoa in other species [36]. As a sperm-specific gene in mammals, *Slo3* continues to rapidly evolve to acquire new functional properties, such as Ca²⁺-dependent activation in human sperm [67]. In mammals, SLO3 K⁺ channels hyperpolarize sperm to ensure that Ca²⁺ and possibly also pH reach optimal internal values to drive hyperactivation and regulated acrosomal exocytosis. These actions are essential for sperm to reach and penetrate an oocyte. Because SLO3 plays such an important role in male fertility, it is becoming the focus of studies of possible causes of male infertility and is being targeted to develop new contraceptives.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.D.L. and C.M.S.; writing—original draft preparation, M.D.L., J.J.F., P.L., S.B., A.B., K.A., M.P. and C.M.S.; writing—review and editing, M.D.L. and C.M.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work is supported by NIH R01HD069631, R61 HD099742, and R33 HD099742 and by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation INV-049944 to C.M.S., and the Male Contraceptive Initiative MCI 2019-B01 to M.D.L.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: No new data were created or analyzed in this study. Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank Deborah J. Frank and Lawrence Salkoff for editing this manuscript and Anthony Bartley for preparation of Figure 2.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Chang, M.C. Fertilizing Capacity of Spermatozoa Deposited into the Fallopian Tubes. *Nature* **1951**, *168*, 697–698. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Austin, C. Observations on the Penetration of the Sperm into the Mammalian Egg. *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.* **1951**, *4*, 581. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Visconti, P.E.; Moore, G.D.; Bailey, J.L.; Leclerc, P.; Connors, S.A.; Pan, D.; Olds-Clarke, P.; Kopf, G.S. Capacitation of Mouse Spermatozoa. II. Protein Tyrosine Phosphorylation and Capacitation Are Regulated by a CAMP-Dependent Pathway. *Development* **1995**, *121*, 1139–1150. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Zeng, Y.; Oberdorf, J.A.; Florman, H.M. PH Regulation in Mouse Sperm: Identification of Na(+)-, Cl(-)-, and HCO₃(-)-Dependent and Arylamino benzoate-Dependent Regulatory Mechanisms and Characterization of Their Roles in Sperm Capacitation. *Dev. Biol.* **1996**, *173*, 510–520. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Vredenburg-Wilberg, W.L.; Parrish, J.J. Intracellular PH of Bovine Sperm Increases during Capacitation. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* **1995**, *40*, 490–502. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Breitbart, H. Signaling Pathways in Sperm Capacitation and Acrosome Reaction. *Cell. Mol. Biol.* **2003**, *49*, 321–327.
7. Ferreira, J.J.; Lybaert, P.; Puga-Molina, L.C.; Santi, C.M. Conserved Mechanism of Bicarbonate-Induced Sensitization of CatSper Channels in Human and Mouse Sperm. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2021**, *9*, 2614. [[CrossRef](#)]

8. Chávez, J.C.; de la Vega-Beltrán, J.L.; Escoffier, J.; Visconti, P.E.; Treviño, C.L.; Darszon, A.; Salkoff, L.; Santi, C.M. Ion Permeabilities in Mouse Sperm Reveal an External Trigger for SLO3-Dependent Hyperpolarization. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e60578. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
9. DasGupta, S.; Mills, C.L.; Fraser, L.R. Ca²⁺-Related Changes in the Capacitation State of Human Spermatozoa Assessed by a Chlortetracycline Fluorescence Assay. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* **1993**, *99*, 135–143. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Baldi, E.; Casano, R.; Falsetti, C.; Krausz, C.; Maggi, M.; Forti, G. Intracellular Calcium Accumulation and Responsiveness to Progesterone in Capacitating Human Spermatozoa. *J. Androl.* **1991**, *12*, 323–330.
11. Chávez, J.C.; Ferreira, J.J.; Butler, A.; De La Vega Beltrán, J.L.; Treviño, C.L.; Darszon, A.; Salkoff, L.; Santi, C.M. SLO3 K⁺ Channels Control Calcium Entry through CATSPER Channels in Sperm. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2014**, *289*, 32266–32275. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Ferreira, J.J.; Cassina, A.; Irigoyen, P.; Ford, M.; Pietroroia, S.; Peramsetty, N.; Radi, R.; Santi, C.M.; Sapiro, R. Increased Mitochondrial Activity upon CatSper Channel Activation Is Required for Mouse Sperm Capacitation. *Redox Biol.* **2021**, *48*, 102176. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Zeng, Y.; Clark, E.N.; Florman, H.M. Sperm Membrane Potential: Hyperpolarization during Capacitation Regulates Zona Pellucida-Dependent Acrosomal Secretion. *Dev. Biol.* **1995**, *171*, 554–563. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Santi, C.M.; Orta, G.; Salkoff, L.; Visconti, P.E.; Darszon, A.; Treviño, C.L. K⁺ and Cl[−] Channels and Transporters in Sperm Function. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* **2013**, *102*, 385–421. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Arnoult, C.; Kazam, I.G.; Visconti, P.E.; Kopf, G.S.; Villaz, M.; Florman, H.M. Control of the Low Voltage-Activated Calcium Channel of Mouse Sperm by Egg ZP3 and by Membrane Hyperpolarization during Capacitation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1999**, *96*, 6757–6762. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. Muñoz-Garay, C.; de la Vega-Beltrán, J.L.; Delgado, R.; Labarca, P.; Felix, R.; Darszon, A. Inwardly Rectifying K⁺ Channels in Spermatogenic Cells: Functional Expression and Implication in Sperm Capacitation. *Dev. Biol.* **2001**, *234*, 261–274. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Gunderson, S.J.; Puga Molina, L.C.; Spies, N.; Balestrini, P.A.; Buffone, M.G.; Jungheim, E.S.; Riley, J.; Santi, C.M. Machine-Learning Algorithm Incorporating Capacitated Sperm Intracellular PH Predicts Conventional in Vitro Fertilization Success in Normospermic Patients. *Fertil. Steril.* **2021**, *115*, 930–939. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Mortimer, S.T.; Swan, M.A.; Mortimer, D. Effect of Seminal Plasma on Capacitation and Hyperactivation in Human Spermatozoa. *Human. Reprod.* **1998**, *13*, 2139–2146. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Ishijima, S. Dynamics of Flagellar Force Generated by a Hyperactivated Spermatozoon. *Reproduction* **2011**, *142*, 409–415. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Demott, R.P.; Suarez, S.S. Hyperactivated Sperm Progress in the Mouse Oviduct. *Biol. Reprod.* **1992**, *46*, 779–785. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Suarez, S.S.; Dai, X.B.; DeMott, R.P.; Redfern, K.; Mirando, M.A. Movement Characteristics of Boar Sperm Obtained from the Oviduct or Hyperactivated in vitro. *J. Androl.* **1992**, *13*, 75–80.
22. Yanagimachi, R. Fertility of Mammalian Spermatozoa: Its Development and Relativity. *Zygote* **1994**, *2*, 371–372. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Buffone, M.G.; Rodriguez-Miranda, E.; Storey, B.T.; Gerton, G.L. Acrosomal Exocytosis of Mouse Sperm Progresses in a Consistent Direction in Response to Zona Pellucida. *J. Cell Physiol.* **2009**, *220*, 611–620. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Breitbart, H.; Rubinstein, S.; Lax, Y. Regulatory Mechanisms in Acrosomal Exocytosis. *Rev. Reprod.* **1997**, *2*, 165–174. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Inoue, N.; Ikawa, M.; Okabe, M. The Mechanism of Sperm–Egg Interaction and the Involvement of IZUMO1 in Fusion. *Asian J. Androl.* **2011**, *13*, 81. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
26. López-González, I.; Torres-Rodríguez, P.; Sánchez-Carranza, O.; Solís-López, A.; Santi, C.M.; Darszon, A.; Treviño, C.L. Membrane Hyperpolarization during Human Sperm Capacitation. *Mol. Hum. Reprod.* **2014**, *20*, 619–629. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. De La Vega-Beltrán, J.L.; Sánchez-Cárdenas, C.; Krapf, D.; Hernandez-González, E.O.; Wertheimer, E.; Treviño, C.L.; Visconti, P.E.; Darszon, A. Mouse Sperm Membrane Potential Hyperpolarization Is Necessary and Sufficient to Prepare Sperm for the Acrosome Reaction. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2012**, *287*, 44384–44393. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
28. González-Martínez, M.; Darszon, A. A Fast Transient Hyperpolarization Occurs during the Sea Urchin Sperm Acrosome Reaction Induced by Egg Jelly. *FEBS Lett.* **1987**, *218*, 247–250. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Linares-Hernández, L.; Guzmán-Grenfell, A.M.; Hicks-Gomez, J.J.; González-Martínez, M.T. Voltage-Dependent Calcium Influx in Human Sperm Assessed by Simultaneous Optical Detection of Intracellular Calcium and Membrane Potential. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.* **1998**, *1372*, 1–12. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Patrat, C.; Serres, C.; Jouannet, P. Progesterone Induces Hyperpolarization after a Transient Depolarization Phase in Human Spermatozoa. *Biol. Reprod.* **2002**, *66*, 1775–1780. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Brown, S.G.; Publicover, S.J.; Mansell, S.A.; Lishko, P.V.; Williams, H.L.; Ramalingam, M.; Wilson, S.M.; Barratt, C.L.R.; Sutton, K.A.; Da Silva, S.M. Depolarization of Sperm Membrane Potential Is a Common Feature of Men with Subfertility and Is Associated with Low Fertilization Rate at IVF. *Human. Reprod.* **2016**, *31*, 1147–1157. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Calzada, L.; Tellez, J. Defective Function of Membrane Potential (Ψ) on Sperm of Infertile Men. *Arch. Androl.* **1997**, *38*, 151–155. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
33. Brukman, N.G.; Nuñez, S.Y.; Puga Molina, L.d.C.; Buffone, M.G.; Darszon, A.; Cuasnicu, P.S.; Da Ros, V.G. Tyrosine Phosphorylation Signaling Regulates Ca²⁺ Entry by Affecting Intracellular PH during Human Sperm Capacitation. *J. Cell Physiol.* **2019**, *234*, 5276–5288. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
34. Molina, L.C.P.; Gunderson, S.; Riley, J.; Lybaert, P.; Borrego-Alvarez, A.; Jungheim, E.S.; Santi, C.M. Membrane Potential Determined by Flow Cytometry Predicts Fertilizing Ability of Human Sperm. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2020**, *7*, 387. [[CrossRef](#)]

35. Baro Graf, C.; Ritagliati, C.; Torres-Monserrat, V.; Stival, C.; Carizza, C.; Buffone, M.G.; Krapf, D. Membrane Potential Assessment by Fluorimetry as a Predictor Tool of Human Sperm Fertilizing Capacity. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2020**, *7*, 383. [CrossRef]
36. Schreiber, M.; Wei, A.; Yuan, A.; Gaut, J.; Saito, M.; Salkoff, L. Slo3, a Novel PH-Sensitive K⁺ Channel from Mammalian Spermatozoa. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, *273*, 3509–3516. [CrossRef]
37. Navarro, B.; Kirichok, Y.; Clapham, D.E. KSper, a PH-Sensitive K⁺ Current That Controls Sperm Membrane Potential. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2007**, *104*, 7688–7692. [CrossRef]
38. Santi, C.M.; Martínez-López, P.; de la Vega-Beltrán, J.L.; Butler, A.; Alisio, A.; Darszon, A.; Salkoff, L. The SLO3 Sperm-Specific Potassium Channel Plays a Vital Role in Male Fertility. *FEBS Lett.* **2010**, *584*, 1041–1046. [CrossRef]
39. Zeng, X.H.; Yang, C.; Kim, S.T.; Lingle, C.J.; Xia, X.M. Deletion of the Slo3 Gene Abolishes Alkalinization-Activated K⁺ Current in Mouse Spermatozoa. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2011**, *108*, 5879–5884. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
40. Zeng, X.H.; Navarro, B.; Xia, X.M.; Clapham, D.E.; Lingle, C.J. Simultaneous Knockout of Slo3 and CatSper1 Abolishes All Alkalinization- and Voltage-Activated Current in Mouse Spermatozoa. *J. Gen. Physiol.* **2013**, *142*, 305–313. [CrossRef]
41. Uhlén, M.; Fagerberg, L.; Hallström, B.M.; Lindskog, C.; Oksvold, P.; Mardinoglu, A.; Sivertsson, Å.; Kampf, C.; Sjöstedt, E.; Asplund, A.; et al. Tissue-Based Map of the Human Proteome. *Science* **2015**, *347*, 1260419. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
42. The Human Protein Atlas. Available online: <https://www.proteinatlas.org/> (accessed on 18 July 2022).
43. Macqueen, D.J.; Johnston, I.A. A Well-Constrained Estimate for the Timing of the Salmonid Whole Genome Duplication Reveals Major Decoupling from Species Diversification. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **2014**, *281*, 20132881. [CrossRef]
44. Braasch, I.; Gehrke, A.R.; Smith, J.J.; Kawasaki, K.; Manousaki, T.; Pasquier, J.; Amores, A.; Desvignes, T.; Batzel, P.; Catchen, J.; et al. The Spotted Gar Genome Illuminates Vertebrate Evolution and Facilitates Human-Teleost Comparisons. *Nat. Genet.* **2016**, *48*, 427–437. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
45. Vicens, A.; Andrade-López, K.; Cortez, D.; Gutiérrez, R.M.; Treviño, C.L. Premammalian Origin of the Sperm-Specific Slo3 Channel. *FEBS Open Bio* **2017**, *7*, 382–390. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
46. Geng, Y.; Ferreira, J.J.; Dzikunu, V.; Butler, A.; Lybaert, P.; Yuan, P.; Magleby, K.L.; Salkoff, L.; Santi, C.M. A Genetic Variant of the Sperm-Specific SLO3 K⁺ Channel Has Altered PH and Ca²⁺ Sensitivities. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2017**, *292*, 8978–8987. [CrossRef]
47. Waterhouse, A.M.; Procter, J.B.; Martin, D.M.A.; Clamp, M.; Barton, G.J. Jalview Version 2—A Multiple Sequence Alignment Editor and Analysis Workbench. *Bioinformatics* **2009**, *25*, 1189–1191. [CrossRef]
48. Troshin, P.V.; Procter, J.B.; Sherstnev, A.; Barton, D.L.; Madeira, F.; Barton, G.J. JABAWS 2.2 Distributed Web Services for Bioinformatics: Protein Disorder, Conservation and RNA Secondary Structure. *Bioinformatics* **2018**, *34*, 1939–1940. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
49. Troshin, P.V.; Procter, J.B.; Barton, G.J. Java Bioinformatics Analysis Web Services for Multiple Sequence Alignment-JABAWS:MSA. *Bioinformatics* **2011**, *27*, 2001–2002. [CrossRef]
50. Edgar, R.C. MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2004**, *32*, 1792. [CrossRef]
51. Bhattacharjee, A.; Joiner, W.J.; Wu, M.; Yang, Y.; Sigworth, F.J.; Kaczmarek, L.K. Slick (Slo2.1), a Rapidly-Gating Sodium-Activated Potassium Channel Inhibited by ATP. *J. Neurosci.* **2003**, *23*, 11681–11691. [CrossRef]
52. Yuan, A.; Santi, C.M.; Wei, A.; Wang, Z.W.; Pollak, K.; Nonet, M.; Kaczmarek, L.; Crowder, C.M.; Salkoff, L. The Sodium-Activated Potassium Channel Is Encoded by a Member of the Slo Gene Family. *Neuron* **2003**, *37*, 765–773. [CrossRef]
53. Jiang, Y.; Pico, A.; Cadene, M.; Chait, B.T.; MacKinnon, R. Structure of the RCK Domain from the E. Coli K⁺ Channel and Demonstration of Its Presence in the Human BK Channel. *Neuron* **2001**, *29*, 593–601. [CrossRef]
54. Peng, Y.; Leonetti, M.D.; Pico, A.R.; Hsiung, Y.; MacKinnon, R. Structure of the Human BK Channel Ca²⁺-Activation Apparatus at 3.0 Å Resolution. *Science* **2010**, *329*, 182–186. [CrossRef]
55. Marty, A. Ca-Dependent K Channels with Large Unitary Conductance in Chromaffin Cell Membranes. *Nature* **1981**, *291*, 497–500. [CrossRef]
56. Pallotta, B.S.; Magleby, K.L.; Barrett, J.N. Single Channel Recordings of Ca²⁺-Activated K⁺ Currents in Rat Muscle Cell Culture. *Nature* **1981**, *293*, 471–474. [CrossRef]
57. Latorre, R.; Vergara, C.; Hidalgo, C. Reconstitution in Planar Lipid Bilayers of a Ca²⁺-Dependent K⁺ Channel from Transverse Tubule Membranes Isolated from Rabbit Skeletal Muscle. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1982**, *79*, 805–809. [CrossRef]
58. Schreiber, M.; Yuan, A.; Salkoff, L. Transplantable Sites Confer Calcium Sensitivity to BK Channels. *Nat. Neurosci.* **1999**, *2*, 416–421. [CrossRef]
59. Xia, X.M.; Zeng, X.; Lingle, C.J. Multiple Regulatory Sites in Large-Conductance Calcium-Activated Potassium Channels. *Nature* **2002**, *418*, 880–884. [CrossRef]
60. Geng, Y.; Deng, Z.; Zhang, G.; Budelli, G.; Butler, A.; Yuan, P.; Cui, J.; Salkoff, L.; Magleby, K.L. Coupling of Ca²⁺ and Voltage Activation in BK Channels through the AB Helix/Voltage Sensor Interface. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2020**, *117*, 14512–14521. [CrossRef]
61. Schreiber, M.; Salkoff, L. A Novel Calcium-Sensing Domain in the BK Channel. *Biophys. J.* **1997**, *73*, 1355–1363. [CrossRef]
62. Santi, C.M.; Ferreira, G.; Yang, B.; Gazula, V.-R.; Butler, A.; Wei, A.; Kaczmarek, L.K.; Salkoff, L. Opposite Regulation of Slick and Slack K Channels by Neuromodulators. *J. Neurosci.* **2006**, *26*, 5059–5068. [CrossRef]
63. Li, P.; Halabi, C.M.; Stewart, R.; Butler, A.; Brown, B.; Xia, X.; Santi, C.; England, S.; Ferreira, J.; Mecham, R.P.; et al. Sodium-Activated Potassium Channels Moderate Excitability in Vascular Smooth Muscle. *J. Physiol.* **2019**, *597*, 5093–5108. [CrossRef]

64. Ferreira, J.J.; Butler, A.; Stewart, R.; Gonzalez-Cota, A.L.; Lybaert, P.; Amazu, C.; Reinl, E.L.; Wakle-Prabakaran, M.; Salkoff, L.; England, S.K.; et al. Oxytocin Can Regulate Myometrial Smooth Muscle Excitability by Inhibiting the Na⁺-Activated K⁺ Channel, Slo2.1. *J. Physiol.* **2019**, *597*, 137–149. [[CrossRef](#)]
65. Bhattacharjee, A.; Gan, L.; Kaczmarek, L.K. Localization of the Slack Potassium Channel in the Rat Central Nervous System. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **2002**, *454*, 241–254. [[CrossRef](#)]
66. Hou, S.; Xu, R.; Heinemann, S.H.; Hoshi, T. Reciprocal Regulation of the Ca²⁺ and H⁺ Sensitivity in the SLO1 BK Channel Conferred by the RCK1 Domain. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **2008**, *15*, 403–410. [[CrossRef](#)]
67. Brenker, C.; Zhou, Y.; Muller, A.; Echeverry, F.A.; Trotschel, C.; Poetsch, A.; Xia, X.M.; Bonigk, W.; Lingle, C.J.; Kaupp, U.B.; et al. The Ca²⁺-Activated K⁺ Current of Human Sperm Is Mediated by Slo3. *Elife* **2014**, *2014*, e01438. [[CrossRef](#)]
68. Zhang, X.; Zeng, X.; Lingle, C.J. Slo3 K⁺ Channels: Voltage and PH Dependence of Macroscopic Currents. *J. Gen. Physiol.* **2006**, *128*, 317–336. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. Leonetti, M.D.; Yuan, P.; Hsiung, Y.; MacKinnon, R. Functional and Structural Analysis of the Human SLO3 PH- and Voltage-Gated K⁺ Channel. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2012**, *109*, 19274–19279. [[CrossRef](#)]
70. Hite, R.K.; Tao, X.; MacKinnon, R. Structural Basis for Gating the High-Conductance Ca²⁺-Activated K⁺ Channel. *Nature* **2017**, *541*, 52–57. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Tao, X.; Hite, R.K.; MacKinnon, R. Cryo-EM Structure of the Open High-Conductance Ca²⁺-Activated K⁺ Channel. *Nature* **2017**, *541*, 46–51. [[CrossRef](#)]
72. Tao, X.; Mackinnon, R. Molecular Structures of the Human Slo1 K⁺ Channel in Complex with B4. *eLife* **2019**, *8*, e51409. [[CrossRef](#)]
73. Liu, G.; Niu, X.; Wu, R.S.; Chudasama, N.; Yao, Y.; Jin, X.; Weinberg, R.; Zakharov, S.I.; Motoike, H.; Marx, S.O.; et al. Location of Modulatory β Subunits in BK Potassium Channels. *J. Gen. Physiol.* **2010**, *135*, 449–459. [[CrossRef](#)]
74. Chen, G.; Li, Q.; Yan, J. The Leucine-Rich Repeat Domains of BK Channel Auxiliary γ Subunits Regulate Their Expression, Trafficking, and Channel-Modulation Functions. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2022**, *298*, 101664. [[CrossRef](#)]
75. Gonzalez-Perez, V.; Xia, X.-M.; Lingle, C.J. Functional Regulation of BK Potassium Channels by Γ 1 Auxiliary Subunits. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2014**, *111*, 4868–4873. [[CrossRef](#)]
76. Behrens, R.; Nolting, A.; Reimann, F.; Schwarz, M.; Waldschütz, R.; Pongs, O. HKCNMB3 and HKCNMB4, Cloning and Characterization of Two Members of the Large-Conductance Calcium-Activated Potassium Channel β Subunit Family. *FEBS Lett.* **2000**, *474*, 99–106. [[CrossRef](#)]
77. Brenner, R.; Jegla, T.J.; Wickenden, A.; Liu, Y.; Aldrich, R.W. Cloning and Functional Characterization of Novel Large Conductance Calcium-Activated Potassium Channel β Subunits, HKCNMB3 and HKCNMB4. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2000**, *275*, 6453–6461. [[CrossRef](#)]
78. Uebele, V.N.; Lagrutta, A.; Wade, T.; Figueroa, D.J.; Liu, Y.; McKenna, E.; Austin, C.P.; Bennett, P.B.; Swanson, R. Cloning and Functional Expression of Two Families of β -Subunits of the Large Conductance Calcium-Activated K⁺ Channel. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2000**, *275*, 23211–23218. [[CrossRef](#)]
79. Yan, J.; Aldrich, R.W. LRRC26 Auxiliary Protein Allows BK Channel Activation at Resting Voltage without Calcium. *Nature* **2010**, *466*, 513–516. [[CrossRef](#)]
80. Yan, J.; Aldrich, R.W. BK Potassium Channel Modulation by Leucine-Rich Repeat-Containing Proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2012**, *109*, 7917–7922. [[CrossRef](#)]
81. Dolan, J.; Walshe, K.; Alsbury, S.; Hokamp, K.; O’Keeffe, S.; Okafuji, T.; Miller, S.F.C.; Tear, G.; Mitchell, K.J. The Extracellular Leucine-Rich Repeat Superfamily; a Comparative Survey and Analysis of Evolutionary Relationships and Expression Patterns. *BMC Genom.* **2007**, *8*, 1–24. [[CrossRef](#)]
82. Yang, C.; Zeng, X.-H.; Zhou, Y.; Xia, X.-M.; Lingle, C.J. LRRC52 (Leucine-Rich-Repeat-Containing Protein 52), a Testis-Specific Auxiliary Subunit of the Alkalinization-Activated Slo3 Channel. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2011**, *108*, 19419–19424. [[CrossRef](#)]
83. Wang, G.M.; Zhong, Z.G.; Du, X.R.; Zhang, F.F.; Guo, Q.; Liu, Y.; Tang, Q.Y.; Zhang, Z. Cloning and Characterization of the Rat Slo3 (KCa5.1) Channel: From Biophysics to Pharmacology. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **2020**, *177*, 3552–3567. [[CrossRef](#)]
84. Zeng, X.-H.; Yang, C.; Xia, X.-M.; Liu, M.; Lingle, C.J. SLO3 Auxiliary Subunit LRRC52 Controls Gating of Sperm KSPER Currents and Is Critical for Normal Fertility. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2015**, *112*, 2599–2604. [[CrossRef](#)]
85. Sánchez-Carranza, O.; Torres-Rodríguez, P.; Darszon, A.; Treviño, C.L.; López-González, I. Pharmacology of HSlo3 Channels and Their Contribution in the Capacitation-Associated Hyperpolarization of Human Sperm. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2015**, *466*, 554–559. [[CrossRef](#)]
86. Jiang, Z.; Wallner, M.; Meera, P.; Toro, L. Human and Rodent MaxiK Channel β -Subunit Genes: Cloning and Characterization. *Genomics* **1999**, *55*, 57–67. [[CrossRef](#)]
87. Yang, C.-T.; Zeng, X.-H.; Xia, X.-M.; Lingle, C.J. Interactions between β Subunits of the KCNMB Family and Slo3: B4 Selectively Modulates Slo3 Expression and Function. *PLoS ONE* **2009**, *4*, e6135. [[CrossRef](#)]
88. Qian, X.; Nimigean, C.M.; Niu, X.; Moss, B.L.; Magleby, K.L. Slo1 Tail Domains, but Not the Ca²⁺ Bowl, Are Required for the B1 Subunit to Increase the Apparent Ca²⁺ Sensitivity of BK Channels. *J. Gen. Physiol.* **2002**, *120*, 829–843. [[CrossRef](#)]
89. Mannowetz, N.; Naidoo, N.M.; Choo, S.A.S.; Smith, J.F.; Lishko, P.V. Slo1 Is the Principal Potassium Channel of Human Spermatozoa. *eLife* **2013**, *2013*, e01009. [[CrossRef](#)]
90. Santi, C.M.; Butler, A.; Kuhn, J.; Wei, A.; Salkoff, L. Bovine and Mouse SLO3 K⁺ Channels. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2009**, *284*, 21589–21598. [[CrossRef](#)]

91. Wang, T.; Young, S.; Krenz, H.; Tüttelmann, F.; Röpke, A.; Krallmann, C.; Kliesch, S.; Zeng, X.H.; Brenker, C.; Strünker, T. The Ca²⁺ Channel CatSper Is Not Activated by CAMP/PKA Signaling but Directly Affected by Chemicals Used to Probe the Action of CAMP and PKA. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2020**, *295*, 13181. [[CrossRef](#)]
92. Lyon, M.; Li, P.; Ferreira, J.J.; Lazarenko, R.M.; Kharade, S.V.; Kramer, M.; McClenahan, S.J.; Days, E.; Bauer, J.A.; Spitznagel, B.D.; et al. A Selective Inhibitor of the Sperm-Specific Potassium Channel SLO3 Impairs Human Sperm Function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2023**, *120*, e2212338120. [[CrossRef](#)]
93. Ren, D.; Navarro, B.; Perez, G.; Jackson, A.C.; Hsu, S.; Shi, Q.; Tilly, J.L.; Clapham, D.E. A Sperm Ion Channel Required for Sperm Motility and Male Fertility. *Nature* **2001**, *413*, 603–609. [[CrossRef](#)]
94. Kirichok, Y.; Navarro, B.; Clapham, D.E. Whole-Cell Patch-Clamp Measurements of Spermatozoa Reveal an Alkaline-Activated Ca²⁺ Channel. *Nature* **2006**, *439*, 737–740. [[CrossRef](#)]
95. Carlson, A.E.; Westenbroek, R.E.; Quill, T.; Ren, D.; Clapham, D.E.; Hille, B.; Garbers, D.L.; Babcock, D.F. CatSper1 Required for Evoked Ca²⁺ Entry and Control of Flagellar Function in Sperm. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2003**, *100*, 14864–14868. [[CrossRef](#)]
96. Lv, M.; Liu, C.; Ma, C.; Yu, H.; Shao, Z.; Gao, Y.; Liu, Y.; Wu, H.; Tang, D.; Tan, Q.; et al. Homozygous Mutation in SLO3 Leads to Severe Asthenozoospermia Due to Acrosome Hypoplasia and Mitochondrial Sheath Malformations. *Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol.* **2022**, *20*, 1–15. [[CrossRef](#)]
97. Liu, R.; Yan, Z.; Fan, Y.; Qu, R.; Chen, B.; Li, B.; Wu, L.; Wu, H.; Mu, J.; Zhao, L.; et al. Bi-Allelic Variants in KCNU1 Cause Impaired Acrosome Reactions and Male Infertility. *Hum. Reprod.* **2022**, *37*, 1394–1405. [[CrossRef](#)]
98. Chávez, J.C.; Darszon, A.; Treviño, C.L.; Nishigaki, T. Quantitative Intracellular PH Determinations in Single Live Mammalian Spermatozoa Using the Ratiometric Dye SNARF-5F. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2020**, *7*, 366. [[CrossRef](#)]
99. Kaupp, U.B.; Strünker, T. Signaling in Sperm: More Different than Similar. *Trends Cell Biol.* **2017**, *27*, 101–109. [[CrossRef](#)]
100. Miller, M.R.; Mansell, S.A.; Meyers, S.A.; Lishko, P.V. Flagellar Ion Channels of Sperm: Similarities and Differences between Species. *Cell Calcium* **2015**, *58*, 105–113. [[CrossRef](#)]
101. Balestrini, P.A.; Sanchez-Cardenas, C.; Luque, G.M.; Baro Graf, C.; Sierra, J.M.; Hernández-Cruz, A.; Visconti, P.E.; Krapf, D.; Darszon, A.; Buffone, M.G. Membrane Hyperpolarization Abolishes Calcium Oscillations That Prevent Induced Acrosomal Exocytosis in Human Sperm. *FASEB J.* **2021**, *35*, e21478. [[CrossRef](#)]
102. Torrezan-Nitao, E.; Brown, S.G.; Mata-Martínez, E.; Treviño, C.L.; Barratt, C.; Publicover, S. [Ca²⁺]_i Oscillations in Human Sperm Are Triggered in the Flagellum by Membrane Potential Sensitive Activity of CatSper. *Hum. Reprod.* **2020**, *36*, 293–304. [[CrossRef](#)]
103. Lishko, P.V.; Botchkina, I.L.; Fedorenko, A.; Kirichok, Y. Acid Extrusion from Human Spermatozoa Is Mediated by Flagellar Voltage-Gated Proton Channel. *Cell* **2010**, *140*, 327–337. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
104. Zhang, Z.; Yang, Y.; Wu, H.; Zhang, H.; Zhang, H.; Mao, J.; Liu, D.; Zhao, L.; Lin, H.C.; Tang, W.; et al. Sodium-Hydrogen-Exchanger Expression in Human Sperm and Its Relationship with Semen Parameters. *J. Assist. Reprod. Genet.* **2017**, *34*, 795–801. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
105. Lishko, P.V.; Kirichok, Y. The Role of Hv1 and CatSper Channels in Sperm Activation. *J. Physiol.* **2010**, *588*, 4667–4672. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
106. Wijerathne, T.D.; Kim, J.; Yang, D.; Lee, K.P. Intracellular Calcium-Dependent Regulation of the Sperm-Specific Calcium-Activated Potassium Channel, HSlo3, by the BKCa Activator LDD175. *Korean J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* **2017**, *21*, 241–249. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
107. Tang, Q.Y.; Zhang, Z.; Xia, O.M.; Lingle, C.J. Block of Mouse Slo1 and Slo3 K⁺ Channels by CTX, IbTX, TEA, 4-AP and Quinidine. *Channels* **2010**, *4*, 22–41. [[CrossRef](#)]
108. Mansell, S.A.; Publicover, S.J.; Barratt, C.L.R.; Wilson, S.M. Patch Clamp Studies of Human Sperm under Physiological Ionic Conditions Reveal Three Functionally and Pharmacologically Distinct Cation Channels. *Mol. Hum. Reprod.* **2014**, *20*, 392–408. [[CrossRef](#)]
109. Brenker, C.; Goodwin, N.; Weyand, I.; Kashikar, N.D.; Naruse, M.; Kräling, M.; Müller, A.; Kaupp, U.B.; Strünker, T. The CatSper Channel: A Polymodal Chemosensor in Human Sperm. *EMBO J.* **2012**, *31*, 1654–1665. [[CrossRef](#)]
110. Lishko, P.V.; Botchkina, I.L.; Kirichok, Y. Progesterone Activates the Principal Ca²⁺ Channel of Human Sperm. *Nature* **2011**, *471*, 387–391. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
111. Wrighton, D.C.; Muench, S.P.; Lippiat, J.D. Mechanism of Inhibition of Mouse Slo3 (KCa 5.1) Potassium Channels by Quinine, Quinidine and Barium. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **2015**, *172*, 4355–4363. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
112. Martínez-López, P.; Santi, C.M.; Treviño, C.L.; Ocampo-Gutiérrez, A.Y.; Acevedo, J.J.; Alisio, A.; Salkoff, L.B.; Darszon, A. Mouse Sperm K⁺ Currents Stimulated by PH and CAMP Possibly Coded by Slo3 Channels. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2009**, *381*, 204–209. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
113. Cavarocchi, E.; Whitfield, M.; Saez, F.; Touré, A. Sperm Ion Transporters and Channels in Human Asthenozoospermia: Genetic Etiology, Lessons from Animal Models, and Clinical Perspectives. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 3926. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.