

Article **Macrophage-Myofibroblast Transition Contributes to Myofibroblast Formation in Proliferative Vitreoretinal Disorders**

Ahmed M. Abu El-Asrar 1,2,* ,† [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2226-8617) Gert De Hertogh 3,4,†, Eef Allegaert 3,4, Mohd I. Nawaz ¹ [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7040-9405) Sara Abouelasrar Salama ⁵ [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0484-7765) Priscilla W. Gikandi ¹ , Ghislain Opdenakker 1,4,[6](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1714-2294) and Sofie Struyf [5](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4558-0769)

- ¹ Department of Ophthalmology, College of Medicine, King Saud University, Riyadh 11411, Saudi Arabia; mnawaz@ksu.edu.sa (M.I.N.); pgikandi.c@ksu.edu.sa (P.W.G.); ghislain.opdenakker@kuleuven.be (G.O.)
- ² Dr. Nasser Al-Rashid Research Chair in Ophthalmology, College of Medicine, King Saud University, Riyadh 11411, Saudi Arabia
- ³ Laboratory of Histochemistry and Cytochemistry, University of Leuven, KU Leuven, 3000 Leuven, Belgium; gert.dehertogh@uzleuven.be (G.D.H.); eef.allegaert@kuleuven.be (E.A.)
- ⁴ University Hospitals UZ Gasthuisberg, 3000 Leuven, Belgium
- ⁵ Laboratory of Molecular Immunology, Rega Institute for Medical Research, Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Transplantation, University of Leuven, KU Leuven, 3000 Leuven, Belgium; sarah.abuelasrar@kuleuven.be (S.A.S.); sofie.struyf@kuleuven.be (S.S.)
- Laboratory of Immunobiology, Rega Institute for Medical Research, Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Transplantation, University of Leuven, KU Leuven, 3000 Leuven, Belgium
- ***** Correspondence: abuasrar@ksu.edu.sa; Tel.: +96-650-619-6070
- These authors contributed equally to this work and share first authorship.

Abstract: Inflammation and fibrosis are key features of proliferative vitreoretinal disorders. We aimed to define the macrophage phenotype and investigate the role of macrophage-myofibroblast transition (MMT) in the contribution to myofibroblast populations present in epiretinal membranes. Vitreous samples from proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR), proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) and nondiabetic control patients, epiretinal fibrovascular membranes from PDR patients and fibrocellular membranes from PVR patients, human retinal Müller glial cells and human retinal microvascular endothelial cells (HRMECs) were studied by ELISA, immunohistochemistry and flow cytometry analysis. Myofibroblasts expressing α-SMA, fibroblast activation protein-α (FAP-α) and fibroblast-specific protein-1 (FSP-1) were present in all membranes. The majority of CD68⁺ monocytes/macrophages coexpressed the M2 macrophage marker CD206. In epiretinal membranes, cells undergoing MMT were identified by co-expression of the macrophage marker CD68 and myofibroblast markers α -SMA and FSP-1. Further analysis revealed that CD206⁺ M2 macrophages co-expressed α -SMA, FSP-1, FAP- α and ß-catenin. Soluble (s) CD206 and sFAP-α levels were significantly higher in vitreous samples from PDR and PVR patients than in nondiabetic control patients. The proinflammatory cytokine TNF-α and the hypoxia mimetic agent cobalt chloride induced upregulation of sFAP-α in culture media of Müller cells but not of HRMECs. The NF-κß inhibitor BAY11-7085 significantly attenuated TNF- α -induced upregulation of sFAP- α in Müller cells. Our findings suggest that the process of MMT might contribute to myofibroblast formation in epiretinal membranes, and this transition involved macrophages with a predominant M2 phenotype. In addition, sFAP-α as a vitreous biomarker may be derived from M2 macrophages transitioned to myofibroblasts and from Müller cells.

Keywords: proliferative diabetic retinopathy; proliferative vitreoretinopathy; macrophage-myofibroblast transition; M2 macrophages

1. Introduction

Proliferative vitreoretinal disorders, comprising proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) and proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR), are characterized by the pathological

Citation: Abu El-Asrar, A.M.; De Hertogh, G.; Allegaert, E.; Nawaz, M.I.; Abouelasrar Salama, S.; Gikandi, P.W.; Opdenakker, G.; Struyf, S. Macrophage-Myofibroblast Transition Contributes to Myofibroblast Formation in Proliferative Vitreoretinal Disorders. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 13510. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms241713510) [ijms241713510](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms241713510)

Academic Editor: Peter Koulen

Received: 12 July 2023 Revised: 17 August 2023 Accepted: 25 August 2023 Published: 31 August 2023

Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) $4.0/$).

development of membranes on the surface of the retina. In the epiretinal membranes of patients with PDR and PVR, inflammatory and fibrotic changes are characterized by the presence of CD68⁺ monocytes/macrophages and α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA)expressing myofibroblasts [\[1–](#page-21-0)[5\]](#page-21-1) and contribute to the initiation and progression of proliferative vitreoretinal disorders.

Macrophages are considered to be a key cell type behind the development of fibrotic disease [\[6–](#page-21-2)[8\]](#page-22-0). Macrophage phenotype, which is broadly stratified into classically activated or M1 and alternatively activated or M2, has been shown to influence pathologic fibrosis. M1 macrophages carry the cell surface marker CD86, a costimulatory molecule, and express overtly inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS). M2 macrophages have the cell surface markers CD206 (known as the macrophage mannose receptor) and CD163 (known as the hemoglobin-haptoglobin scavenger receptor). Specifically, the M2 macrophage phenotype has been identified as a driver of fibrosis by producing pro-fibrotic cytokines such as transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1) [\[6](#page-21-2)[–8\]](#page-22-0). In contrast, M1 macrophages lack expression of pro-fibrotic cytokines while they secrete extracellular matrix-degrading proteases, suggesting that M1 macrophages have an anti-fibrotic effect [\[8\]](#page-22-0).

Myofibroblasts are the key cellular mediators of fibrosis, and their presence is a marker of progressive fibrosis. Myofibroblasts have contractile properties and generate pro-fibrotic mediators and extracellular matrix components, including collagen [\[9\]](#page-22-1). Identification of fibroblasts/myofibroblasts is based on the expression of various markers, such as α -SMA, fibroblast activation protein-α (FAP-α) and fibroblast-specific protein-1 (FSP-1, known as S100A4) [\[10\]](#page-22-2). The added value of FAP- α is that it marks fibroblast/myofibroblast activation in fibrotic conditions [\[11](#page-22-3)[,12\]](#page-22-4). Their cellular origin in the ocular microenvironment of patients with PDR and PVR is not entirely clear. Accumulating evidence indicates a diverse cellular origin of these myofibroblasts, including bone marrowderived fibrocytes and endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EndoMT) [\[3,](#page-21-3)[4\]](#page-21-4). Epithelialto-mesenchymal transition (EMT) of retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) cells (expressing cytokeratin) to a myofibroblast-like phenotype was suggested to be a major pathological process in PVR [\[13,](#page-22-5)[14\]](#page-22-6). In addition, vitreal hyalocytes-to-myofibroblast transdifferentiation was suggested to have a role in the pathogenesis of PDR [\[15\]](#page-22-7).

Macrophage-myofibroblast transition (MMT) is a term that describes the mechanism through which macrophages, derived from circulating monocytes originating in the bone marrow, transform into myofibroblasts and thereby contribute to fibrosis [\[16](#page-22-8)[–23\]](#page-22-9). The transitioning cells express markers of both the macrophages and myofibroblast lineage. MMT was demonstrated to constitute an additional source of myofibroblasts in experimental models of fibrosis, such as lung fibrosis, renal fibrosis after transplantation or ureteric obstruction and post-myocardial infarction fibrosis [\[16](#page-22-8)[–22\]](#page-22-10). Those findings were confirmed in patients with active chronic renal allograft rejection [\[17\]](#page-22-11) and patients with fibrotic lung disease [\[22\]](#page-22-10). MMT was also identified as a mechanism for the tumor microenvironment to promote cancer-associated fibroblast formation [\[23\]](#page-22-9). The study of MMT in fibrotic diseases relies on the detection of intermediate cells that co-express macrophage markers, such as CD68 and myofibroblast markers, such as α -SMA [\[16](#page-22-8)[–23\]](#page-22-9). Several studies demonstrated that the TGF-β1/β-catenin signaling pathway promotes the MMT process [\[6–](#page-21-2)[8](#page-22-0)[,16](#page-22-8)[,17](#page-22-11)[,21–](#page-22-12)[24\]](#page-22-13).

The four goals of the present study were (1) to define the macrophage population in epiretinal membranes from patients with PDR and PVR in the context of classically activated or M1 and alternatively activated or M2 macrophage phenotypes; (2) to reveal the presence of macrophages co-expressing myofibroblast markers as an indication of the occurrence of the MMT process contributing to the myofibroblast population present in the epiretinal membranes; (3) to analyze vitreous fluid from patients for the expression levels of the MMTrelated markers: soluble (s)CD206 which is a marker of activated M2 macrophages and $sFAP-\alpha$, which is a marker of myofibroblast activation, thereby evaluating their biomarker potential for proliferative vitreoretinal disorders; (4) to evaluate the expression of $FAP-\alpha$ by cultured human retinal Müller glial cells and human retinal microvascular endothelial cells

(HRMECs) as a source of $sFAP-\alpha$ in the vitreous fluid, since these cells are the major cell types in inflammatory reactions in the retina.

2. Results 2. Results

2.1. Neovascularization and Expression of Myofibroblast Markers in Epiretinal Fibrovascular 2.1. Neovascularization and Expression of Myofibroblast Markers in Epiretinal Fibrovascular Membranes from Patients with PDR Membranes from Patients with PDR

No staining was detected in the negative control slides (Figure [1A](#page-2-0)). Neovessels that No staining was detected in the negative control slides (Figure 1A). Neovessels that were positive for the vascular endothelial cell marker CD31 were detected in all 12 mem-were positive for the vascular endothelial cell marker CD31 were detected in all 12 mem-branes (Figure [1B](#page-2-0)). Strong cytoplasmic immunoreactivity for α-SMA was noted in spindle-shaped myofibroblasts (Figure [1C](#page-2-0)). Membranous immunoreactivity for FAP-α was noted in spindle-shaped myofibroblast-like cells (Fi[gu](#page-2-0)re 1D). Immunoreactivity for FSP-1 was noted in vascular endothelial cells lining new blood vessels ([Fig](#page-2-0)ure 1E) and stromal spindle-shaped cells ([Fi](#page-2-0)gure 1F). Tissue pigmentation was absent in PDR epiretinal membranes ([Fig](#page-2-0)ure 1).

Figure 1. Immunodetection of endothelial and mesenchymal markers in proliferative diabetic retinopathy epiretinal fibrovascular membranes. The figure shows representative immunohistochemical analysis results for 1 patient out of a total of 12 investigated patients. Each panel is from a different patient (A). Negative control slide showing no labeling. (B) Immunohistochemical staining for the endothelial cell marker CD31 demonstrating pathologic new blood vessels (arrows). Immunohistochemical staining for (**C**) α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) and (**D**) fibroblast activation protein-α (FAP-α) showing immunoreactivity in stromal spindle-shaped myofibroblasts (arrows). Immunohistochemical staining for fibroblast specific protein-1 (FSP-1) showing immunoreactivity in (**E**) vascular endothelial cells lining new blood vessels (arrows) and (**F**) stromal spindle-shaped cells (arrows) (scale bar, $10 \mu m$).

2.2. Expression of Myofibroblast Markers in Epiretinal Fibrocellular Membranes from Patients *with PVR* \mathcal{A} l twee positive presence of heavy pigmenta-of heavy

All twelve PVR membranes were characterized by the presence of heavy pigmentation (Figure [2\)](#page-3-0). No staining was observed in the negative control slide (Figure [2A](#page-3-0)). Immunore-activities for α-SMA (Figure [2B](#page-3-0)), FAP-α (Figure [2C](#page-3-0)) and FSP-1 (Figure [2D](#page-3-0)) were detected in spindle-shaped myofibroblast-like cells in all membranes. tected in spindle-shaped myofibroblast-like cells in all membranes. the time $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ and the negative control superved in the negative control superved

nal fibrocellular membranes. Representative figures are provided for 1 patient out of a total of 12 patients, and each panel is from a different patient. Note that PVR membranes are characterized tients, and each panel is from a different patient. Note that PVR membranes are characterized by a by a high degree of pigmentation. (**A**) Negative control slide showing no labeling. (**B**) Immunohistohigh degree of pigmentation. (**A**) Negative control slide showing no labeling. (**B**) Immunohisto-chemical staining for α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) showing immunoreactivity in myofibroblasts chemical staining for α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) showing immunoreactivity in myofibroblasts (arrows). Immunohistochemical stainings for (**C**) fibroblast activation protein-α (FAP-α) (arrows) (arrows). Immunohistochemical stainings for (**C**) fibroblast activation protein-α (FAP-α) (arrows) and (**D**) fibroblast specific protein-α (FSP-α) (arrows) showing immunoreactivity in spindle-shaped and (**D**) filest specific protein-a (FSP-a) (arrows) showing immunoreactivity in spindle-shaped shaped showing immunoreactivity in spin-a (FSP-a) (arrows) show that Ω and Ω and Ω and Ω and Ω and Ω and Ω myofibroblast-like cells (scale bar, 10 µm). myofibroblast-like cells (scale bar, 10 µm). **Figure 2.** Immunodetection of mesenchymal markers in proliferative vitreoretinopathy epireti-

2.3. CD68+ Monocytes/Macrophages Are Predominantly CD206+ M2 Phenotype in Epiretinal 2.3. CD68⁺ Monocytes/Macrophages Are Predominantly CD206⁺ M2 Phenotype in Epiretinal Membranes

Immunohistochemical analysis revealed the presence of CD68⁺ monocytes/macrophages Immuno exceptional included to graphy. Summany, monocytes, macropiages expressing
CD68 were detected in PVR epiretinal membranes (Figure [3B](#page-4-0)). Pigment was detected within some of the CD68⁺ cells in PVR epiretinal membranes (Figure [3B](#page-4-0)). pressing CD68 were detected in PVR epiretinal membranes (Figure 3B). Pigment was dein PDR epiretinal membranes (Figure [3A](#page-4-0)). Similarly, monocytes/macrophages expressing

(A) PDR: CD68

(B) PVR: CD68

Figure 3. Identification of CD68⁺ cells in epiretinal membranes. Immunohistochemical staining for the monocyte/macrophage marker CD68 showing infiltrating monocytes/macrophages in (A) a membrane from a patient with proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) (arrows) and in (**B**) a mem-membrane from a patient with proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) (arrows) and in (**B**) a membrane from a patient with proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) (arrows). Note that some of the CD68+ cells in the PVR membrane contain pigment. Representative figures are provided for 1 patient CD68⁺ cells in the PVR membrane contain pigment. Representative figures are provided for 1 patient out of a total of 12 PDR or 12 PVR patients (scale bar, $10 \mu m$).

To confirm that M2 is the predominant phenotype of monocytes/macrophages in epiretinal membranes, we performed double-labeling experiments. Double immunohistochemistry analysis demonstrated that the majority of CD68⁺ monocytes/macrophages expressed CD206 in epiretinal membranes from patients with PDR (Figure 4A) and PVR co-expressed CD206 in epiretinal membranes from patients with PDR (Figure [4A](#page-5-0)) and PVR (Figure 4[B\).](#page-5-0) (Figure 4B).

(A) PDR: CD206 (red) + CD68 (brown)

(B) PVR: CD206 (red) + CD68 (brown)

Figure 4. Identification of CD68⁺/CD206⁺ double-positive cells in epiretinal membranes. Double immunohistochemical staining for CD68 (brown) and CD206 (red) demonstrating co-expression monocytes/macrophages in a membrane from a patient with proliferative diabetic retinopathy in monocytes/macrophages in a membrane from a patient with proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) (arrows) (**A**) and in a membrane from a patient with proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) (PDR) (arrows) (**A**) and in a membrane from a patient with proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) (arrows) (B). Note the presence of CD206⁺ single-positive cells (arrowheads). No counterstain to visualize the cell nuclei was applied (scale bar, 10 µm). visualize the cell nuclei was applied (scale bar, 10 µm).

2.4. CD206+ Cells in Epiretinal Membranes from Patients with PDR and PVR 2.4. CD206⁺ Cells in Epiretinal Membranes from Patients with PDR and PVR

PDR epiretinal membranes contained CD206 immunoreactivity in vascular endothe-PDR epiretinal membranes contained CD206 immunoreactivity in vascular endothe-lial cells lining pathologic new blood vessels (Figure 5A). [C](#page-6-0)D206 was also detected in stromal monocyte/macrophages (Figures 4A and 5B) and [sp](#page-6-0)indle-shaped stromal cells (Figure 5C). In se[ria](#page-6-0)l sections, the distribution and morphology of spindle-shaped cells expressing CD206 were similar to those of myofibroblasts expressing α -SMA (Figure 1C). CD206 expression by retinal endothelial cells was confirmed on in vitro primary HRMEC

culture with the use of flow cytometry, wherein 29 \pm 5.2% of cells were positive for CD206 expression [\(F](#page-6-0)igure 5D).

Figure 5. Identification of CD206⁺ cells in epiretinal membranes. Immunohistochemical staining for the M2 macrophage marker CD206 showing immunoreactivity in (**A**) vascular endothelial cells (arrows), (**B**) stromal monocytes/macrophages (arrows) and (**C**) stromal spindle-shaped cells (arrows) in membranes from patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR). (D) CD206 surface pression was detected in human retinal microvascular endothelial cells (HRMECs) using flow cy-expression was detected in human retinal microvascular endothelial cells (HRMECs) using flow cytometry. Results are presented as the mean percent of $CD206^+$ cells \pm SEM and are derived from four independent experiments. Immunoreactivity for CD206 was detected (**E**) in monocytes/macrophages phages (arrows) and (**F**) spindle-shaped cells (arrows) in membranes from patients with prolifera-(arrows) and (F) spindle-shaped cells (arrows) in membranes from patients with proliferative vitreo-

(*F*) spindle-shaped cells (arrows) in membranes from patients with proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR). Note that some of the CD206⁺ cells in the PVR membrane contained pigment. Representative figures are provided for 1 patient out of a total of 12 PDR or 12 PVR patients. Each panel is from a different patient (scale bar, 10 µm).

In PvR epiretinal membranes, immunoreactivity for C In PVR epiretinal membranes, immunoreactivity for CD206 was detected in mono-cytes/macrophages (Figures [4B](#page-5-0) and [5E](#page-6-0)) and in spindle-shaped myofibroblast-like cells
China membranes (china consection de la co (Figure [5F](#page-6-0)). Some of the CD206⁺ monocytes/macrophages in PVR epiretinal membranes

contained pigment (Figure 5E). Immunoreactivities for M1-related CD86 and iNOS were not detected in epiretinal membranes from patients with PDR and PVR.

2.5. Characterization of the Cells Containing Pigment in Epiretinal Fibrocellular Membranes from Patients with PVR 2.5. Characterization of the Cells Containing Pigment in Epiretinal Fibrocellular Membranes from Patients with PVR

To characterize the heavily pigmented cells in PVR epiretinal membranes, we per-To characterize the heavily pigmented cells in PVR epiretinal membranes, we performed immunohistochemical stainings with the RPE marker pan-cytokeratin and with formed immunohistochemical stainings with the RPE marker pan-cytokeratin and with the macrophage markers CD68 and CD206 in serial sections. The heavily pigmented cells the macrophage markers CD68 and CD206 in serial sections. The heavily pigmented cells were negative for pan-cytokeratin (Figure [6A](#page-7-0)) but were positive for CD68 (Figure [6B](#page-7-0)) and were negative for pan-cytokeratin (Figure 6A) but were positive for CD68 (Figure 6B) and CD206 (Figure [6C](#page-7-0)). These findings suggest that these cells are monocytes/macrophages CD206 (Figure 6C). These findings suggest that these cells are monocytes/macrophages engulfing pigments. In addition, we observed the presence of pan-cytokeratin expressing engulfing pigments. In addition, we observed the presence of pan-cytokeratin expressing RPE cells in PVR epiretinal membranes (Figure [6A](#page-7-0)). RPE cells in PVR epiretinal membranes (Figure 6A).

(C) PVR: CD206

Figure 6. Immunohistochemical staining of proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) epiretinal fibrocellular membranes. Immunohistochemical staining for (A) pan-cytokeratin, (B) CD68 and (C) CD206 of serial sections. The white circle and ellipses outline the same area of interest present in the different serial sections. The white circle and ellipses outline the same area of interest present in the different
serial sections. PVR membranes contained cells that were immunoreactive for pan-cytokeratin (arrowheads) (A). Note that the heavily pigmented cells were not immunoreactive for pan-cytokeratin (arrow) (A). The heavily pigmented cells expressed CD68 (arrow) (B) and CD206 (arrow) (C) (scale row) (**C**) (scale bar, 10 µm). Representative figures are provided for 1 patient out of a total of 12 PVR bar, 10 μ m). Representative figures are provided for 1 patient out of a total of 12 PVR patients.

2.6. Intermediate CD68+/α-SMA+ and CD68+/FSP-1+ Double-Positive Cells in Epiretinal Membranes from Patients with PDR and PVR 2.6. Intermediate CD68⁺ /α-SMA⁺ and CD68⁺ /FSP-1⁺ Double-Positive Cells in Epiretinal

Double-labeling experiments were performed to identify cells undergoing MMT on the basis of co-expression of macrophage and myofibroblast markers. On the one hand, double immunohistochemistry analysis demonstrated that most of the CD68⁺ monocytes/macrophages co-expressed α-SMA (Figure [7A](#page-8-0)) and FSP-1 (Figure [7B](#page-8-0)) in PDR epireti-

nal membranes. Similarly, most of the CD68⁺ monocytes/macrophages co-expressed α-SMA (Figure 7[C\) a](#page-8-0)nd FSP-1 (Figure 7D) [in](#page-8-0) PVR epiretinal membranes. In epiretinal membranes from patients with PDR, 87% (range: 82–89%; $n = 9$ patients) and 88% (range: 83–93%; n = 5 patients) of CD68⁺ monocytes/macrophages co-expressed α -SMA and FSP-1, respectively. In epiretinal membranes from patients with PVR, CD68⁺/α-SMA⁺ cells accounted for 85% (range: $77-92\%$; n = 8 patients) of the total CD68+ monocyte/macrophage counted for 85% (range: 77–92%; n = 8 patients) of the total CD68+ monocyte/macrophage
population and 97% (range: 95–98%; n = 3 patients) of the CD68⁺ monocytes/macrophages co-expressed FSP-1. On the other hand, double immunohistochemistry did not reveal the co-expressed FSP-1. On the other hand, double immunohistochemistry did not reveal the presence of $CD68^+/FAP- α^+ cells in epiretinal membranes. These data suggest that MMT is$ contributing to the accumulation of myofibroblasts in epiretinal membranes. contributing to the accumulation of myofibroblasts in epiretinal membranes.

Figure 7. Identification of macrophage–myofibroblast transition cells in epiretinal membranes. ble immunolected and the monocytes for construction of the monocytes material materials in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}$ Double immunohistochemical analysis for co-expression of the monocyte/macrophage marker CD68 (brown) and either α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) (red) or fibroblast-specific protein-1 (FSP-1) (red). CD68⁺/α-SMA⁺ double positive cells were identified in (A) a membrane from a patient with proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) (arrows) and in (C) a membrane from a patient with with proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) (arrows) and in (C) a membrane from a patient with
proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) (arrows). CD68⁺/FSP-1⁺ double positive cells were identified in (B) a membrane from a patient with PDR (arrows) and in (D) a membrane from a patient with PVR (arrows). No counterstain to visualize the cell nuclei was applied (scale bar, $10 \mu m$).

2.7. CD206+ M2 Macrophages Contribute to MMT in Epiretinal Membranes from Patients with 2.7. CD206⁺ M2 Macrophages Contribute to MMT in Epiretinal Membranes from Patients with I_n PDR epiretinal membranes, double immunohistochemistry analysis revealed that revealed that I_n *PDR and PVR*

In PDR epiretinal membranes, double immunohistochemistry analysis revealed that the majority of CD206⁺ M2 monocytes/macrophages co-expressed α-SMA (Figure [8A](#page-9-0)),
FSP-1. CD₂₀₆+ M₂ monocytes/macrophages co-expressed α-SMA (Figure 8A), FSP-1 (Figure [8B](#page-9-0)) and FAP-α (Figure [8C](#page-9-0)). CD206⁺/α-SMA⁺ cells, CD206⁺/FSP-1⁺ cells $(78-92\%)$; n = 7 patients) and 70% (range: 63–75%; n = 8 patients) of the total CD206⁺ T_0 , T_2 , $T_1 = T_1$ patterns) and 70% (tanget 65.75%, $T_1 = 0$ patterns) of the total CD206+/M2 macrophage population. Similarly, CD206+/α-SMA+ (Figure [9A](#page-10-0)), CD206+/FSP-1+ (Figure [9B](#page-10-0)) and $CD206^+$ /FAP- α^+ (Figure [9C](#page-10-0)) double-positive cells were identified in PVR and CD206⁺/FAP- α ⁺ cells accounted for 81% (range: 75–86%; n = 9 patients), 83% (range: epiretinal membranes. In PVR epiretinal membranes, all CD206⁺ M2 macrophages coexpressed α -SMA and FSP-1 (n = 4 patients and n = 3 patients, respectively). CD206⁺/FAP- α^+ cells accounted for 85% (range: 80–90%; n = 5 patients) of the total CD206⁺ macrophage population. It is worth noting that most of the $CD206^+/FAP-α^+$ cells were spindle-shaped.

(B) PDR: FSP-1 (red) + CD206 (brown)

(C) PDR: FAP-α (red) + CD206 (brown)

Figure 8. CD206⁺ cells co-express myofibroblast markers in epiretinal membranes from patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR). Double immunohistochemistry for CD206 (brown) and either α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) (red), fibroblast specific protein-1 (FSP-1) (red) or fibroblast activation protein-α (FAP-α) (red). (A) CD206⁺/α-SMA⁺ cells (arrows), (B) CD206⁺/FSP-1⁺ cells (arrows) and (C) CD206⁺/FAP-α⁺ cells (arrows) were identified. No counterstain to visualize the cell nuclei was applied (scale bar, 10 µm). nuclei was applied (scale bar, 10 µm).

(B) PVR: FSP-1 (red) + CD206 (brown)

(C) PVR: FAP-α (red) + CD206 (brown)

Figure 9. CD206⁺ cells co-express myofibroblast markers in epiretinal membranes from patients with proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR). Double immunohistochemistry for CD206 (brown) and either α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) (red), fibroblast-specific protein-1 (FSP-1) (red) or fibroblast activation protein-α (FAP-α) (red). (**A**) CD206⁺/α-SMA⁺ cells (arrows), (**B**) CD206⁺/ FSP-1⁺ cells (arrows) and (**C**) CD206⁺/FAP-α⁺ cells (arrows) were identified. No counterstain to visualize the cell muclei was applied (scale bar, $10 \mu m$).

(A) PVR: α-SMA (red) + CD206 (brown)

2.8. Expression of TGF-ß1 and ß-Catenin in Epiretinal Membranes from Patients with PDR 2.8. Expression of TGF-ß1 and ß-Catenin in Epiretinal Membranes from Patients with PDR and and PVR PVR

We next evaluated the expression of the components of the TGF-ß1/ß-catenin signaling pathway, as it is the main mediator of MMT. In PDR epiretinal membranes, immunoreactivity for TGF-ß1 was detected in vascular endothelial cells and stromal spindle-shaped myofibroblast-like cells (Figure [10A](#page-11-0)). In PVR epiretinal membranes, immunoreactivity for TGF-ß1 was observed in spindle-shaped myofibroblast-like cells (Figure [10B](#page-11-0)).

(A) PDR: TGF-ß1

(B) PVR: TGF-ß1

histochemical staining for TGF-ß1 showed immunoreactivity in (A) vascular endothelial cells (arrows) and stromal spindle-shaped cells (arrowheads) in a membrane from a patient with proliferative di- $\frac{d}{dx}$ arrows) and stromagnetic stromagnetic stromatic membrane from a patient with property $\frac{d}{dx}$ abetic retinopathy (PDR) and in (**B**) spindle shaped myofibroblast-like cells in a membrane from a patient with proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) (arrows) (scale bar, 10 µm). Representative figures are provided for one patient out of a total of 12 PDR or 12 PVR patients. **Figure 10.** Expression of transforming growth factor-ß1 (TGF-ß1) in epiretinal membranes. Immuno-

In PDR epiretinal membranes, ß-catenin expression was observed in endothelial cells In PDR epiretinal membranes, ß-catenin expression was observed in endothelial cells lining new blood vessels (Figure [11A](#page-12-0)). In the stroma, β -catenin expression was detected in the stroma, in the str spindle-shaped myofibroblast-like cells (Figure 11B) and monocytes/macrophages in spindle-shaped myofibroblast-like cells (Figure [11B](#page-12-0)) and monocytes/macrophages expressing CD206 (Figure [11C](#page-12-0)). In PVR epiretinal membranes, ß-catenin was expressed in pressing CD200 (Figure 11C). In 1 VK epitemial includerates, is cateful was expressed in
spindle-shaped myofibroblast-like cells (Figure [12A](#page-13-0)) and CD206⁺ monocytes/macrophages (Figure [12B](#page-13-0)). Table [1](#page-14-0) provides a summary of the immunohistochemical findings. phages (Figure 12B). Table 1 provides a summary of the immunohistochemical findings.

(A) PDR: ß-catenin

(B) PDR: ß-catenin

(C) PDR: ß-catenin (red) + CD206 (brown)

Figure 11. Expression of ß-catenin in epiretinal membranes from patients with proliferative diabetic betic retinopathy (PDR). Immunohistochemical staining for ß-catenin showed immunoreactivity in retinopathy (PDR). Immunohistochemical staining for ß-catenin showed immunoreactivity in (**A**) vascular endothelial cells (arrows) and (**B**) stromal cells (arrows). (**C**) Double immunohistochemical ical staining for ß-catenin (red) and CD206 (brown) demonstrated co-expression in stromal cells (are above) in stromal cells (are above Figure 11. Expression of *ß*-catenin in epiretinal membranes from patients with proliferative dia-
betic retinopathy (PDR). Immunohistochemical staining for *ß*-catenin showed immunoreactivity in
(A) vascular endothelial c (**A**) vascular endothelial cells (arrows) and (**B**) stromal cells (arrows). (**C**) Double immunohistochem-(arrows). No counterstain to visualize the cell nuclei was applied (scale bar, $10 \mu m$).

(A) PVR: ß-catenin

(B) PVR: ß-catenin (red) + CD206 (brown)

oretinopathy (PVR). Immunoreactivity in spindle-shaped myofibroblast-like cells is indicated by α reque (Λ) and θ actorin and $CD206$ so avaregion by arrays (\mathbf{R}) . No counterstain to visualize the arrows (**A**), and ß-catenin and CD206 co-expression by arrows (**B**). No counterstain to visualize the arrows (**A**), and ß-catenin and CD206 co-expression by arrows (**B**). No counterstain to visualize the cell nuclei was applied (scale bar, 10 µm). cell nuclei was applied (scale bar, 10 µm).**Figure 12.** Expression of ß-catenin in epiretinal membranes from patients with proliferative vitre-

Table 1. Summary of immunohistochemical findings.

 $*$ + present; - absent. E= endothelial cells of pathological neovessels; M2 = M2 macrophages, MF = myofibroblasts, M/M = monocytes/macrophages, MMT = cells co-expressing macrophage and myofibroblast markers; NA, not applicable; RPE = retinal pigment epithelial cells.

2.9. Levels of sCD206 and sFAP-α in Vitreous Samples from Control Patients and Patients with PDR and PVR

To provide an additional indication of the occurrence of MMT in the ocular microenvironment of patients with proliferative vitreoretinal disorders, we measured the levels of sCD206 and sFAP- α in vitreous samples from nondiabetic control patients with rhegmatogenous retinal detachment, PDR patients and PVR patients.

Comparisons of sCD206 and $\text{sFAP-}\alpha$ levels in vitreous samples from control patients $(n = 30)$, PDR patients $(n = 38)$ and PVR patients $(n = 10)$ were conducted with the Kruskal– Wallis test. The levels differed significantly between the three groups (*p* < 0.001 for both comparisons) (Table [2\)](#page-14-1). Pairwise comparisons (Mann–Whitney test) indicated that sCD206 levels were significantly higher in patients with PDR and PVR than in control patients (*p* < 0.001; *p* = 0.001, respectively). Similarly, sFAP-α levels were significantly higher in patients with PDR and PVR than in control patients ($p < 0.001$; $p = 0.033$, respectively) (Table [2\)](#page-14-1). A significant positive correlation (Spearman's correlation coefficient) was found between vitreous fluid levels of sCD206 and levels of sFAP- α (r = 0.774; *p* < 0.001) (Figure [13\)](#page-15-0).

Table 2. Comparisons of soluble CD206 (sCD206) and soluble fibroblast activation protein-α (sFAP-α) in vitreous samples from control patients with rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RD), proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) patients and proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) patients.

 $\overline{\text{F}}$ Statistically significant at 5% level of significance. IQR = interquartile range. ND = below detection limit

and soluble fibroblast activation protein-α (sFAP-α). A summary of the measured levels is shown in $Table 2$ **Figure 13.** Significant positive correlation between vitreous fluid levels of soluble CD206 (sCD206) Table [2.](#page-14-1)

2.10. Proinflammatory TNF-α and the Hypoxia Mimetic Agent CoCl2, but Not Proangiogenic VEGF Induce Upregulation of sFAP-α in Retinal Müller Glial Cells 2.10. Proinflammatory TNF-α and the Hypoxia Mimetic Agent CoCl2, but Not Proangiogenic

From the immunohistochemical data presented above, the presence of sFAP-α in vitreous fluid may be derived from myofibroblasts and M2 macrophages transitioned to myofibroblasts. However, additional cellular sources could contribute to the measured sFAP-α. We investigated the effect of various proliferative vitreoretinal disorder-associated mechanisms, such as ischemic hypoxia, proinflammatory cytokines and proangiogenic factors, on the release of sFAP- α by HRMECs and by retinal Müller glial cells. With ELISA analysis of the culture medium, we demonstrated high constitutive sFAP-α production by Müller cells and weak production by HRMECs. The levels of $sFAP-\alpha$ were twelve-fold higher in Müller cells than from HRMECs. We also demonstrated that treatment of Müller cells with TNF-α and the hypoxia mimetic agent CoCl₂ induced significant upregulation of $sFAP-\alpha$ in the culture medium compared to untreated control. However, the proangiogenic factor VEGF did not affect sFAP-α production compared to untreated control. Co-treatment nation VEGT and not affect start at production compared to anticated control. Co-treatment with TNF-α plus the NF-κß inhibitor BAY11-7085 significantly attenuated TNF-α-induced with TNF-α-plus the TNF-κ in Müller cells. However, BAY11-7085 did not affect CoCl₂-induced upregulation of sFAP-α in Müller cells. However, BAY11-7085 did not affect CoCl₂-induced upregulation of sFAP-α (Figure [14\)](#page-15-1). In HRMECs, treatment with CoCl₂, TNF-α or VEGF did not affect sFAP-α expression compared to untreated control. α_{P} and α_{C} and α_{C} are α_{P} and α_{P} are α_{P} and α_{P} and α_{P} are α_{P} and α_{P} are α_{P} and α_{P} are α_{P} and α_{P} are α_{P}

Figure 14. Human retinal Müller glial cells were left untreated or treated with tumor necrosis factor-**Figure 14.** Human retinal Müller glial cells were left untreated or treated with tumor necrosis factor-α

(TNF-α) (50 ng/mL), cobalt chloride (CoCl₂) (300μM), TNF-α (50 ng/mL) plus BAY11-7085 (10 μM) or CoCl₂ (300μM) plus BAY11-7085 (10 μM) for 24h. Levels of soluble fibroblast activation protein-α $(sFAP-\alpha)$ were quantified in the culture media by ELISA. Results are expressed as median (interquartile range) from three different experiments (each experiment: $n = 6$). Kruskal–Wallis test and Mann–Whitney tests were used for comparisons between three groups and two groups, respectively. $p < 0.05$ compared with values obtained from untreated cells. # $p < 0.05$ compared with TNF- α plus BAY11-7085-treated cells.

3. Discussion

The key findings of our study were that in epiretinal membranes from patients with proliferative vitreoretinal disorders, most of the CD68⁺ monocytes/macrophages exhibit an M2 phenotype. In addition, we demonstrated that epiretinal membranes from patients with PVR were characterized by the presence of heavy pigmentation. The heavily pigmented cells were negative for the RPE marker pan-cytokeratin, but were positive for CD68 and CD206. These findings indicate that the heavily pigmented cells are monocytes/macrophages engulfing pigments rather than retinal RPE cells [\[13,](#page-22-5)[14\]](#page-22-6).

Most importantly, we demonstrated the existence of MMT in epiretinal membranes from patients with PDR and PVR. We identified cells undergoing macrophage-to-myofibroblast transition by the co-expression of the macrophage marker CD68 and the fibroblast/myofibroblast markers α -SMA and FSP-1. $CD68^+/FAP-\alpha^+$ cells were not detected, and our data are in agreement with a study demonstrating $FAP-\alpha$ expression by smooth muscle cells, but not by CD68⁺ macrophages in human atherosclerotic plaques [\[25\]](#page-22-14). Further analysis revealed that the CD206⁺ M2 macrophages co-expressed α-SMA, FSP-1 and FAP- $α$, suggesting that MMT cells had a predominant CD206⁺ M2 phenotype (Figure [15\)](#page-17-0). In studies of other fibrotic disorders, the CD206⁺ subset of M2 macrophages is strongly associated with fibrosis, and the MMT cells are largely derived from the CD206⁺ subset of M2 macrophages [\[6](#page-21-2)[–8](#page-22-0)[,17](#page-22-11)[,18,](#page-22-15)[20,](#page-22-16)[21,](#page-22-12)[26–](#page-22-17)[29\]](#page-22-18). This may demonstrate that MMT cells transitioned through an M2 state before becoming myofibroblasts. We also identified CD206⁺ M2 macrophages with a spindle-like morphology, suggesting that they were in a transitional state. These findings were supported by double-labeling experiments identifying CD206+/FAP-α + spindle-shaped cells. Our findings were recently supported by Laich and colleagues [\[30\]](#page-23-0), who demonstrated the presence of CD206⁺ M2 monocytes/macrophages and Iba1⁺ myeloid cells in PVR epiretinal membranes. In addition, the majority of α -SMA⁺ cells co-expressed Iba-1, the leukocytes common antigen CD45 and the M2 monocyte/macrophage marker CD163. Furthermore, cultured vitreal hyalocytes from patients with PDR co-expressed the myeloid cell marker Iba-1 and α -SMA, suggesting that hyalocytes are capable of transdifferentiation to myofibroblasts during the course of PDR [\[15\]](#page-22-7). We cannot make definite conclusions on the specific myeloid origin of the CD206⁺ cells, since the CD68 marker used cannot discriminate between different myeloid cells (retinal microglia, infiltrating monocytes, in situ proliferating macrophages, perivascular macrophages). In mice where PVR was induced by intravitreal injection of RPE cells, CD206⁺ M2-type macrophages were also found in preretinal fibrous membranes [\[31\]](#page-23-1).

The monocytes that transit into myofibroblasts during the MMT process need to be recruited to the ocular microenvironment. Specifically, in patients with proliferative vitreoretinal disorders, monocyte recruitment is induced by upregulation of the expression of monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (CCL2/MCP-1) [\[32\]](#page-23-2), and activation may occur through elevated expression of scavenger receptor for phosphatidyl serine and oxidized low-density lipoprotein (CXCL16/SR-PSOX) [\[33\]](#page-23-3). These chemokine–receptor interactions (with CCR2 and CXCR6, respectively) mediate persistent mononuclear infiltration that leads to chronic inflammation, neovascularization and fibrosis [\[32,](#page-23-2)[33\]](#page-23-3).

Figure 15. The process of macrophage–myofibroblast transition in proliferative vitreoretinal disorders. Based on our results, we propose the depicted sequence of changes in monocytes while they transition to myofibroblasts. First, macrophages acquire an M2 phenotype, and, at this stage, the expression of corresponding markers, such as CD206, is enhanced. Subsequently, myofibroblast-specific genes specific genes are turned on, and apparently, α-SMA and FSP-1 become detectable, whereas the are turned on, and apparently, α-SMA and FSP-1 become detectable, whereas the expression of expression of FAP-2 remains precluded to FAP-2 remains precedured, whereas the expression of FAP- α remains precluded, as the latter marker was not costained with CD68. The figure is generated using BioRender.com.

The roles of TGF- β_1/β -catenin in mediating pro-fibrotic signaling pathways [\[6](#page-21-2)[–8,](#page-22-0)[16,](#page-22-8)[17\]](#page-22-11) and in the MMT process are established $[21–24]$ $[21–24]$. In vitro studies demonstrated that TGF- R_1 treatment induced the transition of cultured macrophages into collagen-producing R_1 α_1 definition matter the matterial of calculated matter-proteges three comigen proteinary myofibroblasts, as shown by the expression of α-SMA (CD68⁺ α-SMA⁺ cells) [\[16](#page-22-8)[,21\]](#page-22-12). In addition, most bone marrow macrophages that transition into α -SMA⁺ myofibroblasts expressed the M2 marker CD206 [\[21\]](#page-22-12). We demonstrated clinically extensive expression of $TGF-₆₁$ and β -catenin in vascular endothelial cells and myofibroblasts and the colocalization of ß-catenin and CD206⁺ in M2 macrophages. In analogy, in another fibrotic disease, ß-catenin is expressed in myofibroblasts in lung samples from patients with idiopathic pul-monary fibrosis [\[24\]](#page-22-13). Increased expression of $\overset{\circ}{B}$ -catenin protein in CD206⁺ M2 macrophages was preclinically observed in a model of lung cancer with similar polarization of M2 macrophages [\[34\]](#page-23-4). Our findings provide evidence of the involvement of the TGF- β_1/β catenin signaling pathway in fibrosis associated with proliferative vitreoretinal disorders.

In addition to fibrosis enhancement, it is known that CD206⁺ M2 macrophages secrete proangiogenic factors and matrix metalloproteinases, promoting tumor angiogenesis and progression [\[34](#page-23-4)-37], thereby suggesting that the inhibition of M2 macrophage polarization may inhibit these processes [34,35,37]. Indeed, i[n](#page-23-6) vitro and in vivo studies demonstrated that suppressing the polarization of M2 macrophages was effective in inhibiting fibrosis in animal models of liver [27] and pulmonary [28] fibrosis. We demonstrated previously significant correlations between the numbers of CD68⁺ monocytes/macrophages [1] and CD163⁺ cells [2] and the degree of angiogenic activity in PDR epiretinal fibrovascular membranes.

FAP- α is a type II transmembrane serine protease and a member of the prolyl peptidase family. Tissue FAP-α expression is normally low or undetectable but is selectively induced at sites of active tissue remodeling and repair. FAP-α is actively induced in myofibroblasts in fibrotic conditions and marks myofibroblast activation. In cancer, FAP-α has been reported to induce tumor angiogenesis, growth and invasion. Membrane-bound FAP-α may be shed as an active soluble form (sFAP- α), which is referred to as α 2-antiplasmin cleaving enzyme [\[11,](#page-22-3)[12\]](#page-22-4). Similarly, CD206 is shed from activated macrophages by proteases

generating a soluble form of CD206 (sCD206). sCD206 is a macrophage activation marker and a potential biomarker for inflammation, fibrosis, cancer and infection [\[38](#page-23-7)[,39\]](#page-23-8). We demonstrated that the levels of sCD206 and sFAP-α were significantly upregulated in vitreous fluid samples from patients with proliferative vitreoretinal disorders and that there was a significant positive correlation between their levels. From immunohistopathological data, $sFAP-\alpha$ in the vitreous may be derived from M2 macrophages transitioned to myofibroblasts and myofibroblasts. We also showed that cultured human Müller cells produce sFAP-α. These results provided additional evidence that macrophage and myofibroblast activation may contribute to the pathogenesis of proliferative vitreoretinal disorders and that inflammation and fibrosis are interrelated events in these disorders. In addition, our findings suggest that sCD206 and sFAP-α are potential biomarkers for proliferative vitreoretinal disorders.

To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to demonstrate the expression of CD206 by retinal endothelial cells. Moreover, our observations are in line with a previous report [\[40\]](#page-23-9). Indeed, dermal microvascular endothelial cells displayed 50–95% CD206 expression, which diminished with increasing passage number and was unrecoverable following cytokine treatment [\[40\]](#page-23-9). It is likely that the expression of CD206, observed within the vascular endothelial cells lining the new blood vessels in epiretinal membranes from patients with PDR, is present during a transitory state and will diminish over time. On the contrary, a particular in vivo milieu might be required for endothelial cells to maintain their expression of CD206.

Inflammation is a key feature of proliferative vitreoretinal disorders. HRMECs and retinal Müller glial cells are major cell types in inflammatory reactions in the retina [\[1,](#page-21-0)[3](#page-21-3)[,5](#page-21-1)[,33\]](#page-23-3). We demonstrated high constitutive sFAP-α production by Müller cells and much lower levels in HRMEC cultures. We also demonstrated the capability of $TNF-\alpha$ and hypoxia (but not VEGF) to induce the production of sFAP-α in Müller cells. Our findings are in line with a previous study documenting the capacity of TNF- α to upregulate sFAP- α in cultures of human aortic smooth muscle cells [\[25\]](#page-22-14). Inhibition of the inflammatory transcription factor NF-κß significantly attenuated TNF-α-induced upregulation of sFAP-α in the culture medium of Müller cells.

Our immunohistochemical analysis provided evidence for the occurrence of the MMT process in the intraocular microenvironment of patients with proliferative vitreoretinal disorders. However, future investigations and alternative techniques are needed to further explore the role of the MMT process in promoting myofibroblast formation in these disorders. For instance, cell lineage tracing studies by adoptive transfer of dye-labeled monocytes in experimental models [\[21\]](#page-22-12) or single-cell RNA sequencing and fate-mapping studies on human epiretinal membranes could provide additional information on the involvement of MMT in proliferative vitreoretinal disorders.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Vitreous Humor Samples and Epiretinal Membrane Specimens

Undiluted vitreous fluid samples (200–300 µL) were obtained from 38 patients with PDR during pars plana vitrectomy for the treatment of tractional retinal detachment and/or nonclearing vitreous hemorrhage. Vitreous fluid samples were also obtained from 10 patients with PVR during vitreoretinal surgery for the treatment of primary rhegmatogenous retinal detachment complicated by PVR. A set of control samples was obtained from 30 patients who had undergone vitrectomy for the treatment of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment without PVR. Control subjects were clinically checked to be free from diabetes or other systemic disease. All these samples were processed as described previously [\[1](#page-21-0)[,2\]](#page-21-5).

Epiretinal fibrovascular membranes were obtained from 12 patients with PDR during pars plana vitrectomy for the repair of tractional retinal detachment. Epiretinal fibrocellular membranes were also obtained from 12 patients without diabetes undergoing vitreoretinal surgery for the treatment of retinal detachment complicated by PVR. These epiretinal membrane samples were processed as described previously $[1-4]$ $[1-4]$. The membranes were fixed for 2 h in a 10% formalin solution and embedded in paraffin; 3 µm sections were cut off these paraffin blocks using a Microm HM 360 Microtome (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The sections were dried overnight at 52 °C. Before staining, the following dewaxing protocol was used: 3 consecutive 2 min incubations in xylene, followed by 3 consecutive 2 min treatment with 100% ethanol.

4.2. Immunohistochemical Staining of Human Epiretinal Membranes

For CD31 and α-SMA detection, antigen retrieval was performed by boiling the sections in citrate-based buffer (pH 5.9–6.1) (BOND Epitope Retrieval Solution 1; Leica) for 10 min. For CD68, CD206, CD86, iNOS, FAP-α, FSP-1, TGF-ß1, ß-catenin and cytokeratin detection, antigen retrievals were performed by boiling the sections in Tris/EDTA buffer (pH 9) (BOND Epitope Retrieval Solution 2; Leica) for 20 min. Subsequently, the sections were incubated for 60 min as described previously $[1-4]$ $[1-4]$ with the antibodies listed in Table [3.](#page-19-0)

Table 3. Antibodies used in this study.

* Location of manufacturers: Dako, Glostrup, Denmark; R&D systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA; Abcam, Cambridge, UK; Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA; Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA; Novus Biologicals, Colorado, USA. mc, monoclonal.

To identify the phenotype of cells expressing CD68 and CD206, sequential double immunohistochemistry was performed. First, primary antibodies (anti-CD68 or anti-CD206) were applied and subsequently reacted with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody to define monocytes/macrophages by enzymatic reaction of the 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride substrate, yielding brown precipitates. Thereafter, incubation with either anti- $α$ -SMA, anti-FAP- $α$, anti-FSP-1 or anti-ß-catenin was followed by treatment with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated secondary antibody and Fast Red reactions. No counterstain was applied. In negative controls, the incubation step with primary antibodies was omitted from the staining protocol. Instead, only the ready-to-use DAKO Real antibody Diluent (Agilent Technologies Product Code 52022) was applied.

CD68⁺ and CD206⁺ cells were counted in 5 representative fields with the use of an eyepiece with a calibrated grid, in combination with a $40\times$ objective. These representative fields were selected based on the presence of immunoreactive cells. With the used magnification and calibration, an area of 0.33 mm \times 0.2 mm was evaluated. The percentages of the numbers of CD68⁺ cells and CD206⁺ cells co-expressing the myofibroblast markers α -SMA, FSP-1 and FAP- α to the total number of CD68⁺ cells and CD206⁺ cells, respectively, were calculated. We report both the global percentage based on the counting for all samples combined and the range (percentage calculations of individual samples).

4.3. Human Retinal Müller Glial Cell and Human Retinal Microvascular Endothelial Cell Cultures

Human retinal Müller glial cells (MIO-M1) (a generous gift from Prof. A. Limb, Institute of Ophthalmology, University College, London, UK) and human retinal microvascular endothelial cells (HRMECs) (Cell Systems Corporation, Kirkland, WA, USA) were cultured as described previously [\[1\]](#page-21-0).

For HRMEC stimulation experiments, 6-well plates (TPP, Trasadingen, Switzerland) were coated with 0.1% (w/v) gelatin for a period of 1 h at room temperature. Afterward, excess gelatin coating was removed, and HRMECs were seeded at a density of 140,000 cells/well in EBM-2 medium supplemented with EGM 2-MV BulletKit (Lonza, Basel Switzerland). Upon adherence, HRMECs were treated with EBM-2 medium containing 3% (*v*/*v*) fetal calf serum (FCS) supplemented with VEGF (30 ng/mL) to maintain the endothelial phenotype. The medium was refreshed after two days. On day four, the cells were processed for flow cytometry staining.

The following stimuli were used to investigate regulation of expression of $sFAP-\alpha$ in HRMECs and Müller cells: 50 ng/mL recombinant human tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) (Cat No 210-TA, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), 50 ng/mL recombinant human vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) (Cat No 293-VE-050, R&D Systems) or 300 μ M of the hypoxia mimetic agent cobalt chloride (CoCl₂) (AVONCHEM Limited, Nacclesfield, Cheshire, UK) in the absence or presence of the nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-κB) inhibitor BAY11-7085 (10 µM) (Cat No sc-202490, Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Santa Cruz, CA, USA). After 24 h, cell supernatants were collected and processed for ELISA analysis.

4.4. Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits for human soluble FAP- α (sFAP- α) (Cat No DY3715) and human soluble CD206 (sCD206) (Cat No ab277420) were purchased from R&D Systems and Abcam, respectively. Levels of human $sFAP-\alpha$ and $sCD206$ in vitreous fluid and in cell culture medium were determined according to the manufacturer's instructions. The minimum detection limits for sFAP-α and sCD206 ELISA kits were 25 pg/mL and 0.41 ng/mL, respectively.

4.5. Flow Cytometry

HRMECs were detached using the ReagentPack subculture kit from Lonza. Firstly, the medium was removed, and the cells were washed with HEPES pre-warmed to 37 ◦C. Thereafter, trypsin, pre-warmed to 37 °C , was added to the cells for a period of 1 min, detaching the cells and finally, trypsin-neutralizing solution was added. The cells were allowed to recover for a period of 1 h at room temperature in medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) FCS. To exclude dead cells from the analysis, cells were incubated with Zombie Aqua viability dye (BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA) in PBS for 15 min at room temperature. In addition, the cells were simultaneously treated with human FcR blocking reagent (130-059-901; Miltenyi Biotech, Westphalia, Germany). Afterward, the cells were stained with the Fluorescein isothiocyanate-labeled mouse anti-human CD206 (catalog number 551135 clone 19.2; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) in fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) buffer (PBS, 2% (*v*/*v*) FCS, 2 mM ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid) for 30 min on ice. The cells were subsequently washed twice in FACS buffer and fixed with 0.4% (*v*/*v*) formaldehyde in FACS buffer. Data acquisition was carried out using an LSRFortessa X-20 cell analyzer (BD Biosciences), and data analysis was conducted with FlowJo software, version 10.8.1. (Tree Star, Ashland, OR, USA).

4.6. Statistical Analysis

Data were collected, stored and managed in a spreadsheet using Microsoft Excel 2010° software. Data were analyzed and figures prepared using SPSS $^{\circ}$ version 21.0 (IBM Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) or GraphPad software, v9.5.1 (GraphPad Software Inc. La Jolla, CA, USA). Tests for normality were conducted using Shapiro–Wilk test and Q-Q plots. Data were not normally distributed and presented using box and whisker plots showing

the medians, upper and lower quartiles and range. Consequently, Kruskal–Wallis and Mann–Whitney tests (applying Bonferroni correction where necessary) were used to test the differences between the groups. Additionally, Spearman's correlation analysis was carried out. Any output with a *p*-value below 0.05 was interpreted as an indicator of statistical significance.

5. Conclusions

Our findings indicate that the MMT process contributes to myofibroblast formation in epiretinal membranes, contributing to the development and progression of fibrosis in proliferative vitreoretinal disorders. This transition involves macrophages with a predominant M2 phenotype. Blocking the recruitment of mononuclear-derived macrophages, inhibiting the polarization of M2 macrophages or targeting macrophage signaling pathways may be beneficial for the prevention of fibrosis in proliferative vitreoretinal disorders. This should be the subject of future studies.

Author Contributions: A.M.A.E.-A., G.D.H., S.S. and G.O. conceptualized the project. E.A., M.I.N. and S.A.S. performed experiments. P.W.G. analyzed the data. A.M.A.E.-A., S.A.S., S.S. and G.O. were responsible for the resources and funding. A.M.A.E.-A. wrote the original version, and all authors reviewed and edited the final version. A.M.A.E.-A., G.D.H. and S.S. supervised, and administered the project. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the Deputyship for Research & Innovation, Ministry of Education in Saudi Arabia (IFKSURC-1-2102). Research in the Rega Institute at KU Leuven was supported by C1 funding (C16/17/010 KU Leuven) and the Research Foundation of Flanders (FWO-Vlaanderen G0A3820N, G0A5716N, and G0A7516N). Sara Abouelasrar Salama is a postdoctoral fellow of the FWO-Vlaanderen (1293422N).

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Research Centre and Institutional Review Board of the College of Medicine, King Saud University (approval numbers: 10/2573/R and 10/2568/R).

Informed Consent Statement: All the patients were indicated for vitrectomy as a surgical procedure and signed preoperatively informed written consents and approved the use of any clinical material for further analysis and research.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available from the authors upon request.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Crisalis Longanilla-Bautista for secretarial assistance and Kathleen Van Den Eynde for technical assistance.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- 1. Abu El-Asrar, A.M.; Nawaz, M.I.; Ahmad, A.; Siddiquei, M.M.; Allegaert, E.; Gikandi, P.W.; De Hertogh, G.; Opdenakker, G. Proprotein convertase furin is a driver and potential therapeutic target in proliferative diabetic retinopathy. *Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol.* **2022**, *50*, 632–652. [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35322530)
- 2. Abu El-Asrar, A.M.; Ahmad, A.; Allegaert, E.; Siddiquei, M.M.; Gikandi, P.W.; De Hertogh, G.; Opdenakker, G. Interleukin-11 overexpression and M2 macrophage density are associated with angiogenic activity in proliferative diabetic retinopathy. *Ocul. Immunol. Inflamm.* **2020**, *28*, 575–588. [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31403827)
- 3. Abu El-Asrar, A.M.; De Hertogh, G.; Van den Eynde, K.; Alam, K.; Van Raemdonck, K.; Opdenakker, G.; Van Damme, J.; Geboes, K.; Struyf, S. Myofibroblasts in proliferative diabetic retinopathy can originate from infiltrating fibrocytes and through endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EndoMT). *Exp. Eye Res.* **2015**, *132*, 179–189. [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25637870)
- 4. Abu El-Asrar, A.M.; Struyf, S.; Van Damme, J.; Geboes, K. Circulating fibrocytes contribute to the myofibroblast population in proliferative vitreoretinopathy epiretinal membranes. *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* **2008**, *92*, 699–704. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1136/bjo.2007.134346)
- 5. Abu El-Asrar, A.M.; Nawaz, M.I.; De Hertogh, G.; Alam, K.; Siddiquei, M.M.; Van den Eynde, K.; Mousa, A.; Mohammad, G.; Geboes, K.; Opdenakker, G. S100A4 is upregulated in proliferative diabetic retinopathy and correlates with markers of angiogenesis and fibrogenesis. *Mol. Vis.* **2014**, *20*, 1209–1224.
- 6. Cheng, P.; Li, S.; Chen, H. Macrophages in lung injury, repair, and fibrosis. *Cells* **2021**, *10*, 436. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells10020436)
- 7. Wang, X.; Chen, J.; Xu, J.; Xie, J.; Harris, D.C.H.; Zheng, G. The role of macrophages in kidney fibrosis. *Front. Physiol.* **2021**, *12*, 705838.
- 8. Braga, T.T.; Agudelo, J.S.H.; Camara, N.O.S. Macrophages During the Fibrotic Process: M2 as Friend and Foe. *Front. Immunol.* **2015**, *6*, 602.
- 9. Wynn, T.A. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of fibrosis. *J. Pathol.* **2008**, *214*, 199–210.
- 10. Nurmik, M.; Ullmann, P.; Rodriguez, F.; Haan, S.; Letellier, E. In search of definitions: Cancer-associated fibroblasts and their markers. *Int. J. Cancer* **2020**, *146*, 895–905. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.32193)
- 11. Juillerat-Jeanneret, L.; Tafelmeyer, P.; Golshayan, D. Regulation of fibroblast activation protein-alpha expression: Focus on intracellular protein interactions. *J. Med. Chem.* **2021**, *64*, 14028–14045. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.1c01010) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34523930)
- 12. Fitzgerald, A.A.; Weiner, L.M. The role of fibroblast activation protein in health and malignancy. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* **2020**, *39*, 783–803. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10555-020-09909-3)
- 13. Priglinger, C.S.; Obermann, J.; Szober, C.M.; Merl-Pham, J.; Ohmayer, U.; Behler, J.; Gruhn, F.; Kreutzer, T.C.; Wertheimer, C.; Geerlof, A.; et al. Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition of RPE cells in vitro confers increased beta1,6-N-glycosylation and increased susceptibility to Galectin-3 binding. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0146887. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0146887) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26760037)
- 14. Tamiya, S.; Kaplan, H.J. Role of epithelial-mesenchymal transition in proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Exp. Eye Res.* **2016**, *142*, 26–31. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exer.2015.02.008)
- 15. Boneva, S.K.; Wolf, J.; Hajdu, R.I.; Prinz, G.; Salie, H.; Schlecht, A.; Killmer, S.; Laich, Y.; Faatz, H.; Lommatzsch, A.; et al. In-depth molecular characterization of neovascular membranes suggests a role for hyalocyte-to-myofibroblast transdifferentiation in proliferative diabetic retinopathy. *Front. Immunol.* **2021**, *12*, 757607. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.757607) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34795670)
- 16. Torres, A.; Munoz, K.; Nahuelpan, Y.; R Saez, A.-P.; Mendoza, P.; Jara, C.; Cappelli, C.; Suarez, R.; Oyarzun, C.; Quezada, C.; et al. Intraglomerular monocyte/macrophage infiltration and macrophage-myofibroblast transition during diabetic nephropathy is regulated by the A(2B) adenosine receptor. *Cells* **2020**, *9*, 1051. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9041051)
- 17. Wang, Y.Y.; Jiang, H.; Pan, J.; Huang, X.R.; Wang, Y.C.; Huang, H.F.; To, K.F.; Nikolic-Paterson, D.J.; Lan, H.Y.; Chen, J.H. Macrophage-to-myofibroblast transition contributes to interstitial fibrosis in chronic renal allograft injury. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **2017**, *28*, 2053–2067. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2016050573)
- 18. Yang, F.; Chang, Y.; Zhang, C.; Xiong, Y.; Wang, X.; Ma, X.; Wang, Z.; Li, H.; Shimosawa, T.; Pei, L.; et al. UUO induces lung fibrosis with macrophage-myofibroblast transition in rats. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* **2021**, *93*, 107396. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2021.107396)
- 19. Haider, N.; Bosca, L.; Zandbergen, H.R.; Kovacic, J.C.; Narula, N.; Gonzalez-Ramos, S.; Fernandez-Velasco, M.; Agrawal, S.; Paz-Garcia, M.; Gupta, S.; et al. Transition of macrophages to fibroblast-like cells in healing myocardial infarction. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **2019**, *74*, 3124–3135. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2019.10.036)
- 20. Xiong, Y.; Chang, Y.; Hao, J.; Zhang, C.; Yang, F.; Wang, Z.; Liu, Y.; Wang, X.; Mu, S.; Xu, Q. Eplerenone attenuates fibrosis in the contralateral kidney of UUO rats by preventing macrophage-to-myofibroblast transition. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2021**, *12*, 620433. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2021.620433)
- 21. Wang, S.; Meng, X.M.; Ng, Y.Y.; Ma, F.Y.; Zhou, S.; Zhang, Y.; Yang, C.; Huang, X.R.; Xiao, J.; Wang, Y.Y.; et al. TGF-beta/SMAD3 signalling regulates the transition of bone marrow-derived macrophages into myofibroblasts during tissue fibrosis. *Oncotarget* **2016**, *7*, 8809–8822. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.6604)
- 22. Vierhout, M.; Ayoub, A.; Naiel, S.; Yazdanshenas, P.; Revill, S.D.; Reihani, A.; Dvorkin-Gheva, A.; Shi, W.; Ask, K. Monocyte and macrophage derived myofibroblasts: Is it fate? A review of the current evidence. *Wound Repair. Regen.* **2021**, *29*, 548–562. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/wrr.12946)
- 23. Tang, P.C.; Chung, J.Y.; Xue, V.W.; Xiao, J.; Meng, X.M.; Huang, X.R.; Zhou, S.; Chan, A.S.; Tsang, A.C.; Cheng, A.S.; et al. SMAD3 promotes cancer-associated fibroblasts generation via macrophage-myofibroblast transition. *Adv. Sci. Weinh* **2022**, *9*, e2101235. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202101235) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34791825)
- 24. Chilosi, M.; Poletti, V.; Zamo, A.; Lestani, M.; Montagna, L.; Piccoli, P.; Pedron, S.; Bertaso, M.; Scarpa, A.; Murer, B.; et al. Aberrant Wnt/beta-catenin pathway activation in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Am. J. Pathol.* **2003**, *162*, 1495–1502. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9440(10)64282-4) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12707032)
- 25. Brokopp, C.E.; Schoenauer, R.; Richards, P.; Bauer, S.; Lohmann, C.; Emmert, M.Y.; Weber, B.; Winnik, S.; Aikawa, E.; Graves, K.; et al. Fibroblast activation protein is induced by inflammation and degrades type I collagen in thin-cap fibroatheromata. *Eur. Heart J.* **2011**, *32*, 2713–2722. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehq519) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21292680)
- 26. Tang, P.M.; Nikolic-Paterson, D.J.; Lan, H.Y. Macrophages: Versatile players in renal inflammation and fibrosis. *Nat. Rev. Nephrol.* **2019**, *15*, 144–158. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41581-019-0110-2) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30692665)
- 27. Tan-Garcia, A.; Lai, F.; Sheng Yeong, J.P.; Irac, S.E.; Ng, P.Y.; Msallam, R.; Tatt Lim, J.C.; Wai, L.E.; Tham, C.Y.L.; Choo, S.P.; et al. Liver fibrosis and CD206(+) macrophage accumulation are suppressed by anti-GM-CSF therapy. *JHEP Rep.* **2020**, *2*, 100062. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhepr.2019.11.006)
- 28. Li, S.; Gao, S.; Jiang, Q.; Liang, Q.; Luan, J.; Zhang, R.; Zhang, F.; Ruan, H.; Li, X.; Li, X.; et al. Clevudine attenuates bleomycininduced early pulmonary fibrosis via regulating M2 macrophage polarization. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* **2021**, *101 Pt B*, 108271. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2021.108271)
- 29. Motz, K.; Lina, I.; Murphy, M.K.; Drake, V.; Davis, R.; Tsai, H.W.; Feeley, M.; Yin, L.X.; Ding, D.; Hillel, A. M2 macrophages promote collagen expression and synthesis in laryngotracheal stenosis fibroblasts. *Laryngoscope* **2021**, *131*, E346–E353. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.28980)
- 30. Laich, Y.; Wolf, J.; Hajdu, R.I.; Schlecht, A.; Bucher, F.; Pauleikhoff, L.; Busch, M.; Martin, G.; Faatz, H.; Killmer, S.; et al. Single-cell protein and transcriptional characterization of epiretinal membranes from patients with proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Investig. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* **2022**, *63*, 17. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.63.5.17)
- 31. Zhang, J.; Zhou, Q.; Yuan, G.; Dong, M.; Shi, W. Notch signaling regulates M2 type macrophage polarization during the development of proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Cell. Immunol.* **2015**, *298*, 77–82. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellimm.2015.09.005) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26410397)
- 32. Abu El-Asrar, A.M.; Struyf, S.; Kangave, D.; Geboes, K.; Van Damme, J. Chemokines in proliferative diabetic retinopathy and proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Eur. Cytokine Netw.* **2006**, *17*, 155–165. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-3768.2008.5323.x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17194635)
- 33. Abu El-Asrar, A.M.; Nawaz, M.I.; Ahmad, A.; De Zutter, A.; Siddiquei, M.M.; Blanter, M.; Allegaert, E.; Gikandi, P.W.; De Hertogh, G.; Van Damme, J.; et al. Evaluation of proteoforms of the transmembrane chemokines CXCL16 and CX3CL1, their receptors, and their processing metalloproteinases ADAM10 and ADAM17 in proliferative diabetic retinopathy. *Front. Immunol.* **2020**, *11*, 601639. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.601639) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33552057)
- 34. Cui, Y.; Luo, Y.; Qian, Q.; Tian, J.; Fang, Z.; Wang, X.; Zeng, Y.; Wu, J.; Li, Y. Sanguinarine regulates tumor-associated macrophages to prevent lung cancer angiogenesis through the WNT/beta-catenin pathway. *Front. Oncol.* **2022**, *12*, 732860. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.732860)
- 35. Lee, C.; Jeong, H.; Bae, Y.; Shin, K.; Kang, S.; Kim, H.; Oh, J.; Bae, H. Targeting of M2-like tumor-associated macrophages with a melittin-based pro-apoptotic peptide. *J. Immunother. Cancer* **2019**, *7*, 147. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-019-0610-4)
- 36. Shou, Y.; Wang, X.; Chen, C.; Liang, Y.; Yang, C.; Xiao, Q.; Li, H.; Wang, S.; Shu, J.; Tian, X.; et al. Exosomal miR-301a-3p from esophageal squamous cell carcinoma cells promotes angiogenesis by inducing M2 polarization of macrophages via the PTEN/PI3K/AKT signaling pathway. *Cancer Cell Int.* **2022**, *22*, 153. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12935-022-02570-6)
- 37. Ono, Y.; Yoshino, O.; Hiraoka, T.; Sato, E.; Furue, A.; Nawaz, A.; Hatta, H.; Fukushi, Y.; Wada, S.; Tobe, K.; et al. CD206+ macrophage is an accelerator of endometriotic-like lesion via promoting angiogenesis in the endometriosis mouse model. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 853. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-79578-3)
- 38. Nielsen, M.C.; Hvidbjerg Gantzel, R.; Claria, J.; Trebicka, J.; Moller, H.J.; Gronbaek, H. Macrophage activation markers, CD163 and CD206, in acute-on-chronic liver failure. *Cells* **2020**, *9*, 1175. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9051175)
- 39. Nielsen, M.C.; Andersen, M.N.; Rittig, N.; Rodgaard-Hansen, S.; Gronbaek, H.; Moestrup, S.K.; Moller, H.J.; Etzerodt, A. The macrophage-related biomarkers sCD163 and sCD206 are released by different shedding mechanisms. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* **2019**, *106*, 1129–1138. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/JLB.3A1218-500R)
- 40. Groger, M.; Holnthoner, W.; Maurer, D.; Lechleitner, S.; Wolff, K.; Mayr, B.B.; Lubitz, W.; Petzelbauer, P. Dermal microvascular endothelial cells express the 180-kDa macrophage mannose receptor in situ and in vitro. *J. Immunol.* **2000**, *165*, 5428–5434. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.165.10.5428)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.