

Article Genetic Factors of Teeth Impaction: Polymorphic and Haplotype Variants of PAX9, MSX1, AXIN2, and IRF6 Genes

Grzegorz Trybek ^{1,2,*}, Aleksandra Jaroń ², Ewa Gabrysz-Trybek ³, Monika Rutkowska ², Aleksandra Markowska ², Krzysztof Chmielowiec ⁴, Jolanta Chmielowiec ⁴, and Anna Grzywacz ⁵

- ¹ Department of Oral Surgery, Pomeranian Medical University in Szczecin, Powstańców Wielkopolskich 72/18, 70-111 Szczecin, Poland
- ² 4th Military Clinical Hospital in Wroclaw, ul. Rudolfa Weigla 5, 50-981 Wroclaw, Poland; jaronola@gmail.com (A.J.); olka.markowska@gmail.com (A.M.)
- ³ Individual Specialist Medical Practice Ewa Gabrysz-Trybek, 70-111 Szczecin, Poland; ewa_gabrysz@wp.pl
- ⁴ Department of Hygiene and Epidemiology, Collegium Medicum, University of Zielona Góra, 28 Zyty St., 65-046 Zielona Góra, Poland; chmiele@vp.pl (K.C.); chmiele1@o2.pl (J.C.)
- ⁵ Independent Laboratory of Health Promotion, Pomeranian Medical University in Szczecin, Powstańców Wielkopolskich 72 St., 70-111 Szczecin, Poland; grzywacz.anna.m@gmail.com
- Correspondence: grzegorz.trybek@pum.edu.pl

Abstract: In recent research, there has been a growing awareness of the role of genetic factors in the positioning and eruption of teeth in the maxilla and mandible. This study aimed to evaluate the potential of specific polymorphic markers of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) located within the *PAX9*, *MSX1*, *AXIN2*, and *IRF6* genes to determine the predisposition to tooth impaction. The study participants were divided into two groups: the first group consisted of individuals with at least one impacted secondary tooth. In contrast, the second group (control group) had no impacted teeth in their jaws. To analyze the genes, real-time PCR (polymerase chain reaction) and TaqMan probes were utilized to detect the selected polymorphisms. The findings suggest that disruptions in the structure and function of the mentioned genetic factors such as polymorphic and haplotype variants of *PAX9*, *MSX1*, *AXIN2*, and *IRF6* genes, which play a direct role in tooth and periodontal tissue development, might be significant factors in tooth impaction may be influenced, at least in part, by the presence of specific genetic markers, including different allelic variants of the *PAX9*, *AXIN2*, and *IRF6* genes, and especially *MSX1*.

Keywords: *MSX1; PAX9; AXIN2; IRF6;* genetic phenomenon; genetic association studies; tooth; impacted; odontogenesis; polymerase chain reaction; nucleotides

1. Introduction

Extensive research has revealed that tooth development in humans and other mammals is influenced by both genetic and environmental factors [1–3]. Interactions between hundreds of genes control the number, shape, and location of teeth during embryogenesis [1–3]. The genetic basis of tooth developmental disorders, including agenesis, extra teeth, and structural anomalies, has been extensively documented [4–7]. Specific genetic factors have also been associated with abnormalities in tooth location and eruption [7]. Additionally, the impact of genetical, pre-, peri-, and postnatal risk factors on enamel development disorders should be considered. These risk factors include severe illness or complications during pregnancy, low birth weight or premature birth, breastfeeding issues or illness, and early childhood infections [8].

Studies in animals have shown that dental defects, including impacted teeth, are often influenced by multiple genes [9,10]. Currently, an impacted tooth is defined as a fully developed tooth embedded in the jawbone or mandible, with closed and mineralized root



Citation: Trybek, G.; Jaroń, A.; Gabrysz-Trybek, E.; Rutkowska, M.; Markowska, A.; Chmielowiec, K.; Chmielowiec, J.; Grzywacz, A. Genetic Factors of Teeth Impaction: Polymorphic and Haplotype Variants of *PAX9*, *MSX1*, *AXIN2*, and *IRF6* Genes. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 13889. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms241813889

Academic Editors: Anna Cieślińska and Ewa Fiedorowicz

Received: 30 July 2023 Revised: 3 September 2023 Accepted: 6 September 2023 Published: 9 September 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). apices. It can be categorized as complete if fully covered by bone, or partial if the crown has perforated the bone plate and remains adjacent to the soft tissues. Impacted teeth no longer exhibit growth tendencies [11,12].

Researchers are continuously delving into the intricate relationship between genetic and environmental factors in tooth development, advancing our understanding of dental defects and their underlying mechanisms. Impacted teeth are commonly found in the maxilla, specifically the canines and incisors, as well as in the mandible, including the third molars and second premolars. The prevalence of impacted teeth in the anterior region ranges from 0.9% to 4.3% of the population, with canines accounting for 70% and incisors for 11.5% of cases [13,14]. The diagnosis of lower third molar impaction varies across populations and time periods. In the 1960s, the prevalence ranged from 17% to over 70% among young Europeans, while more recent studies in the early 2000s have reported varying figures [15].

Extensive research on the genetic basis of dental disorders has identified several candidate genes, such as *MSX1*, *PAX9*, *AXIN2*, WNT10A, EDA, EDAR, BMP4, FGF8, *IRF6*, and PITX2 [16–26]. These genes encode proteins with diverse functions, including signaling molecules, receptors, mediators, and gene expression regulators. They participate in various signaling pathways, including WNT/ β -catenin, transforming growth factor-beta (TGF β), and BMP pathways [16]. Mutations in the *MSX1* and *PAX9* genes are frequently associated with tooth developmental disorders. These genes encode transcriptional regulators that play a crucial role in tooth formation and the development of other structures [1,2]. The *PAX9* gene has been extensively studied in terms of its molecular structure, expression, and potential effects on tooth development processes [27–30].

The *PAX9* gene, located on chromosome 14 in humans, is linked to various dental abnormalities. It consists of four exons, with the first exon acting as a start codon and exon 2 encoding a highly conserved region of the pairing domain [31]. Most mutations in the *PAX9* gene have been identified in exon 2, or less frequently, in exon 4. These mutations are associated with different forms of agenesis, non-syndromic hypodontia, and oligodontia [29,32,33]. Specific alleles at polymorphic sites in exon 2 or 4, as well as the deletion of one copy of the *PAX9* gene, can lead to selective tooth agenesis, particularly in molars. The observed phenotype is often seen in heterozygotes, indicating that haploinsufficiency of the *PAX9* gene contributes to tooth agenesis [30,34].

The *MSX1* gene, located on chromosome 4 in humans, consists of two exons, 2332 and 1229 bp in length, separated by a 2332 bp intron. It produces two distinct transcripts, resulting in two polypeptides of different lengths of 303 and 297 amino acids [35,36]. The transcription factor encoded by the MSX1 gene regulates the expression of multiple target genes and interacts with proteins involved in odontogenesis, such as PAX9, Dlx family proteins, and Tbp [37]. Genes like *MSX1*, which code for proteins containing a homeodomain, play a crucial role in odontogenesis, controlling various stages of tooth development and influencing the final dentition pattern [38]. The AXIN2 gene is responsible for encoding a factor in the WNT signaling pathway, which regulates the stability of beta-catenin. Disruptions in this pathway can contribute to the development of certain neoplasms [39–41]. The WNT pathway controls the levels and localization of beta-catenins. Activation of the WNT-dependent pathway stabilizes beta-catenin, allowing it to bind to transcription factors from the TCF family and regulate target gene expression. In the absence of WNT signals, beta-catenin undergoes phosphorylation and degradation through a multiprotein complex involving the APC protein, AXIN1, and AXIN2 proteins [42–45]. AXIN proteins, including AXIN2, facilitate the formation of beta-catenin-degrading complexes [46]. AXIN2 gene expression is induced by the WNT signaling pathway, indicating that AXIN2 acts as a negative regulator in a feedback loop [47,48]. The SHH and WNT pathways, along with proteins like MSX1 and PAX9, play crucial roles in normal tooth morphogenesis and contribute to the complex regulation of tooth development [49,50]. The IRF6 gene is associated with van der Woude syndrome (VWS) and Bartsocas-Papas congenital anomaly syndrome. VWS is characterized by cleft lip and palate, mucous cysts of the lower lip, and tooth agenesis [51]. Certain SNP mutations in the *IRF6* gene have been significantly correlated with the presence of cleft lip with or without cleft palate (CL/P) in various populations [52–57]. The *IRF6* gene may also contribute to tooth developmental disorders, especially when other craniofacial abnormalities are present. The development of teeth, palate, and lips is interconnected, and the severity of cleft symptoms is often associated with the number of affected teeth [58–67]. Therefore, the *IRF6* gene is considered important in the study of dental development disorders due to its confirmed role in the etiology of cleft lip and palate.

This research's main objective was to assess the usefulness of selected polymorphic markers of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) characters located within the *PAX9*, *MSX1*, *AXIN2*, and *IRF6* genes in the context of their applicability to determine the predisposition to the occurrence of the tooth impaction phenomenon. The protein products of the *PAX9*, *MSX1*, *AXIN2*, and *IRF6* genes directly participate in the processes of tooth formation. Therefore, it has been hypothesized that the polymorphism of these genes may contribute to the phenomenon of impacted teeth in humans.

2. Results

Tables 1–4 describe the frequency of impaction of different groups of teeth in the maxilla and mandible.

Table 1. Number of impacted molars in maxilla and mandible together, and in maxilla and mandible separately in respondents with impacted teeth.

Location of Impacted Teeth	Impacted Molars—0 n (%)	Impacted Molars—1 n (%)	Impacted Molars—2 n (%)	Impacted Molars—3 n (%)	Impacted Molars—4 n (%)	Impacted Molars—5 n (%)	Σ
Maxilla and mandible	1 (0.01)	1 (0.01)	15 (0.14)	24 (0.23)	64 (0.60)	1 (0.01)	106
Maxilla	10 (0.26)	13 (0.34)	5 (0.13)	2 (0.05)	8 (0.21)	0 (0.00)	38
Mandible	3 (0.05)	17 (0.28)	37 (0.62)	0 (0.00)	3 (0.05)	0 (0.00)	60
Σ	14	31	57	26	75	1	204

Table 2. Number of impacted premolars in maxilla and mandible together, and in maxilla and mandible separately in respondents with impacted teeth.

Location of Impacted Teeth	Impacted Premolars—0 n (%)	Impacted Premolars—1 n (%)	Impacted Premolars—2 n (%)	Impacted Premolars—5 n (%)	Σ
Maxilla and mandible	103 (0.97)	2 (0.02)	0 (0.00)	1 (0.01)	106
Maxilla	37 (0.97)	0 (0.00)	1 (0.03)	0 (0.00)	38
Mandible	57 (0.95)	3 (0.05)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	60
Σ	197	5	1	1	204

Differences in the frequency of the *MSX1 rs8670* gene polymorphism were found in respondents with impacted teeth between maxilla and mandible(^{max&man}) together, and maxilla(^{max}) and mandible(^{man}) separately (C/C^{max&man} 0.47 vs. C/C^{max} 0.71 vs. C/C^{man} 0.34; T/T^{max&man} 0.05 vs. T/T^{max} 0.05 vs. T/T^{man} 0.14; C/T^{max&man} 0.48 vs. C/T^{max} 0.24 vs. C/T^{man} 0.24; χ^2 = 15.876; *p* = 0.0031, Table 4).

Location of Impacted Teeth	Impacted Canines—0 n (%)	Impacted Canines—1 n (%)	Impacted Canines—2 n (%)	Σ
Maxilla and mandible	103 (0.97)	3 (0.03)	0 (0.00)	106
Maxilla	26 (0.68)	11 (0.29)	1 (0.03)	38
Mandible	59 (0.98)	1 (0.02)	0 (0.00)	60
Σ	188	15	1	204

Table 3. Number of impacted canines in maxilla and mandible together, and in maxilla and mandible separately in respondents with impacted teeth.

Differences in the frequency of the *MSX1 rs12532* gene polymorphism were found in respondents with impacted teeth between maxilla and mandible (^{max&man}) together, and maxilla(^{max}) and mandible(^{man}) separately (A/A^{max&man} 0.61 vs. A/A^{max} 0.29 vs. A/A^{man} 0.58; G/G^{max&man} 0.06 vs. G/G^{max} 0.21 vs. G/G^{man} 0.08; A/G^{max&man} 0.33 vs. A/G^{max} 0.50 vs. A/G^{man} 0.34; $\chi^2 = 15.1036$; p = 0.0044) and alleles (A^{max&man} 0.78 vs. A^{max} 0.54 vs. A^{man} 0.75; G^{max&man} 0.22 vs. G^{max} 0.46 vs. G^{man} 0.25; $\chi^2 = 16.3635$; p = 0.0003) (Table 4).

Differences in the frequency of the *AXIN2 rs2240308* gene polymorphism were found in respondents with impacted teeth between maxilla and mandible (^{max&man}) together, and maxilla (^{max}) and mandible(^{man}) separately (A/A^{max&man} 0.30 vs. A/A^{max} 0.13 vs. A/A^{man} 0.40; G/G^{max&man} 0.21 vs. G/G^{max} 0.39 vs. G/G^{man} 0.17; A/G^{max&man} 0.49 vs. A/G^{max} 0.47 vs. A/G^{man} 0.43; $\chi^2 = 11.2104$; p = 0.0243) and alleles (A^{max&man} 0.55 vs. A^{max} 0.37 vs. A^{man} 0.61; G^{max&man} 0.45 vs. G^{max} 0.63 vs. G^{man} 0.39; $\chi^2 = 11.3513$; p = 0.00343) (Table 4).

The *PAX9 rs4904210*, *AXIN2 rs7591*, *AXIN2 rs4904210*, *IRF6 rs642961*, *IRF6 rs861019*, *IRF6 rs4904210*, and *IRF6 rs658860* genotype and allele frequencies in the studied sample did not differ in respondents with impacted teeth between maxilla and mandible together, and maxilla and mandible separately (Table 4).

Table 4. Frequency of genotypes and alleles of the *PAX9 rs4904210*, *MSX1 rs8670*, *MSX1 rs12532*, *AXIN2 rs7591*, *AXIN2 rs4904210*, *AXIN2 rs2240308*, *IRF6 rs642961*, *IRF6 rs861019*, *IRF6 rs4904210*, and *IRF6 rs658860* polymorphisms in respondents with impacted teeth in maxilla and mandible together, and maxilla and mandible separately.

		Genotypes		All	leles
Location of			PAX9 rs4904210		
Impacted Teeth —	GG	CC	GC	G	С
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Maxilla and mandible $n = 106$	42	15	49	133	79
	(0.40)	(0.14)	(0.46)	(0.63)	(0.37)
Maxilla n = 38	19	7	12	50	26
	(0.50)	(0.18)	(0.32)	(0.66)	(0.34)
Mandible $n = 58$	20	11	27	67	49
	(0.34)	(0.19)	(0.47)	(0.58)	(0.42)
χ^2		3.592		1.394	
<i>p</i> -value		0.4640		0.4982	
φ		0.13		0.06	
Statistical test power		0.28		0.17	

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline & MSX1 rs8670 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$			Genotypes		All	eles	
$\begin{split} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Price P$	Location of			MSX1 rs8670			
$\begin{array}{ $	Impacted Teeth —	CC	TT	СТ	С	Т	
$\begin{array}{l c c c c c c } \mbox{Maxilla and mandible} & 50 & 5 & 51 & 151 & 161 & 61 \\ n = 106 & (0.47) & (0.05) & (0.04) & (0.71) & (0.29) \\ \mbox{Maxilla} & 27 & 2 & 9 & 63 & 13 \\ n = 38 & (0.71) & (0.05) & (0.24) & (0.83) & (0.17) \\ \mbox{Mandible} & 36 & 8 & 14 & 86 & 30 \\ n = 58 & (0.62) & (0.14) & (0.24) & (0.74) & (0.26) \\ \mbox{γ}^2 & 15.876^{+} & $		n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Maxilla and mandible	50	5	51	151	61	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<i>n</i> = 106	(0.47)	(0.05)	(0.48)	(0.71)	(0.29)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Maxilla	27	2	9	63	13	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<i>n</i> = 38	(0.71)	(0.05)	(0.24)	(0.83)	(0.17)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mandible	36	8	14	86	30	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c } & 15.876* & 3.986. \\ p-value & 0.0031 & 0.1363 \\ \hline p-value & 0.91 & 0.42 \\ \hline \\ Statistical test power & 0.91 & 0.42 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ Statistical test power & 0.91 & 0.42 \\ \hline \\ Maxilla and mandible & 65 & 6 & 35 & 165 & 47 \\ n = 106 & (0.61) & (0.06) & (0.33) & (0.78) & (0.22) \\ \hline \\ Maxilla & 11 & 8 & 19 & 41 & 35 \\ n = 38 & (0.29) & (0.21) & (0.50) & (0.54) & (0.46) \\ \hline \\ Mandible & 34 & 5 & 20 & 88 & 30 \\ n = 59 & (0.58) & (0.08) & (0.34) & (0.75) & (0.25) \\ \hline \\ Statistical test power & 0.89 & 0.96 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ Maxilla and mandible & 44 & 19 & 43 & 131 & 81 \\ n = 106 & (0.42) & (0.18) & (0.41) & (0.62) & (0.38) \\ \hline \\ Maxilla and mandible & 44 & 19 & 43 & 131 & 81 \\ n = 106 & (0.42) & (0.18) & (0.41) & (0.62) & (0.38) \\ \hline \\ Maxilla and mandible & 7 & 9 & 22 & 36 & 40 \\ n = 38 & (0.18) & (0.24) & (0.58) & (0.47) & (0.53) \\ \hline \\ Maxilla & 7 & 9 & 22 & 36 & 40 \\ n = 38 & (0.18) & (0.24) & (0.58) & (0.47) & (0.53) \\ \hline \\ Maxilla & 7 & 9 & 22 & 36 & 40 \\ n = 38 & (0.18) & (0.24) & (0.58) & (0.47) & (0.53) \\ \hline \\ Maxilla & 7 & 9 & 22 & 36 & 40 \\ n = 38 & (0.18) & (0.24) & (0.58) & (0.47) & (0.53) \\ \hline \\ Maxilla & 7 & 9 & 22 & 36 & 40 \\ n = 38 & (0.18) & (0.24) & (0.58) & (0.47) & (0.53) \\ \hline \\ Maxilla & 7 & 9 & 22 & 36 & 40 \\ n = 38 & (0.18) & (0.24) & (0.58) & (0.47) & (0.53) \\ \hline \\ Maxilla & 7 & 9 & 22 & 36 & 40 \\ n = 38 & (0.18) & (0.24) & (0.58) & (0.47) & (0.53) \\ \hline \\ Maxilla & 7 & 9 & 22 & 36 & 40 \\ n = 39 & (0.33) & (0.23) & (0.24) & (0.58) & (0.47) & (0.53) \\ \hline \\ Maxilla & 7 & 9 & 22 & 36 & 40 \\ n = 38 & (0.18) & (0.24) & (0.58) & (0.47) & (0.53) \\ \hline \\ Maxilla & 7 & 9 & 22 & 36 & 40 \\ n = 38 & (0.18) & (0.24) & (0.58) & (0.47) & (0.53) \\ \hline \\ Maxilla & 7 & 9 & 20 & 14 & 26 & 66 & 52 \\ n = 59 & (0.33) & (0.23) & (0.24) & (0.58) & (0.47) & (0.53) \\ \hline \\ Maxilla & 7 & 9 & 20 & 36 & 40 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ F value & 0.1352 & 0.0861 \\ \hline \\ F value & 0.155 & 0.11 \\ \hline \\$	<i>n</i> = 58	(0.62)	(0.14)	(0.24)	(0.74)	(0.26)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	χ^2		15.876 *		3.9	986.	
ϕ 0.25 0.10 Statistical test power 0.42 0.42 $Maxilla and mandible AA CG AG A G n (%) n (%) n (%) n (%) n (%) n (%) Maxilla and mandible 65 6 35 165 47 n = 106 (0.61) (0.06) (0.33) (0.78) (0.22) Maxilla 11 8 19 41 35 n = 38 (0.29) (0.21) (0.50) (0.54) (0.46) Mandible 34 5 20 88 30 n = 59 (0.58) (0.08) (0.34) (0.75) (0.25) \chi^2 15.104* 16.364* 0.0003 0.96 0.96 \chi^2 0.027 0.20 0.27 0.20 0.26 Statistical test power 0.89 0.96 0.96 0.96 Maxilla and mandible 44 19 43 131 $	<i>p</i> -value		0.0031		0.1	.363	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline MST is 12532 & MSX1 is 12532 & m (%) & n (%) & (0.41) & (0.61) & (0.61) & (0.61) & (0.61) & (0.53) & (0.22) & Maxilla & 11 & 8 & 19 & 41 & 35 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	φ Statistical test power		0.28		0.	.10 .42	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Maxilla and mandible \\ n = 106 & 65 & 6 & 35 & 165 & 47 \\ (0.61) & (0.06) & (0.33) & (0.78) & (0.22) \\ \hline Maxilla and mandible \\ n = 106 & (0.61) & (0.06) & (0.33) & (0.78) & (0.22) \\ \hline Maxilla & 11 & 8 & 19 & 41 & 35 \\ n = 38 & (0.29) & (0.21) & (0.50) & (0.54) & (0.46) \\ \hline Mandible & 34 & 5 & 20 & 88 & 30 \\ n = 59 & (0.58) & (0.08) & (0.34) & (0.75) & (0.25) \\ \hline \chi^2 & 15.104^* & 16.364^* \\ p-value & 0.0044 & 0.0003 \\ \phi & 0.27 & 0.20 \\ \hline Statistical test power & 0.89 & 0.96 \\ \hline \\ Maxilla and mandible & 44 & 19 & 43 & 131 & 81 \\ n = 106 & (0.42) & (0.18) & (0.41) & (0.62) & (0.38) \\ \hline Maxilla and mandible & 44 & 19 & 43 & 131 & 81 \\ n = 106 & (0.42) & (0.18) & (0.41) & (0.62) & (0.38) \\ \hline Maxilla and mandible & 20 & 14 & 26 & 66 & 52 \\ n = 59 & (0.33) & (0.23) & (0.23) & (0.56) & (0.44) \\ \hline \chi^2 & 7.013 & 4.904 \\ p-value & 0.185 & 0.11 \\ \hline Statistic test power & 0.54 & 0.50 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$				MSX1 rs12532			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		A A	CC	AC	٨	C	
Maxilla and mandible n = 106 A(h) A(h) A(h) A(h) A(h) A(h) Maxilla and mandible n = 106 (0.61) (0.06) (0.33) (0.78) (0.22) Maxilla n = 38 11 8 19 41 35 $n = 38$ (0.29) (0.21) (0.50) (0.54) (0.46) Mandible $n = 59$ 34 5 20 88 30 $n = 59$ (0.58) (0.08) (0.34) (0.75) (0.25) χ^2 15.104 * 16.364 * 0.0003 0.20 0.20 y value 0.0044 0.0003 0.20 0.20 0.20 Statistical test power 0.89 0.96 16(%) n (%) n (%) Maxilla and mandible 44 19 43 131 81 $n = 106$ (0.42) (0.18) (0.41) (0.62) (0.38) Maxilla and mandible 7 9 22 36 40 $n = 38$ (0.1		AA n (%)	n (%)	n(%)	n(%)	n (%)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline NIAM and mathematical bet cover \\ \hline n = 106 & (0.61) & (0.06) & (0.33) & (0.78) & (0.22) \\ \hline Maxilla & 11 & 8 & 19 & 41 & 35 \\ \hline n = 38 & (0.29) & (0.21) & (0.50) & (0.54) & (0.46) \\ \hline Mandible & 34 & 5 & 20 & 88 & 30 \\ \hline n = 59 & (0.58) & (0.08) & (0.34) & (0.75) & (0.25) \\ \hline \chi^2 & 15.104* & 16.364* \\ \hline p-value & 0.0044 & 0.0003 \\ \hline \phi & 0.27 & 0.20 \\ \hline Statistical test power & 0.89 & 0.96 \\ \hline \\ \hline TT & AA & TA & T & A \\ n (\%) & n (\%) & n (\%) & n (\%) \\ \hline Maxilla and mandible & 44 & 19 & 43 & 131 & 81 \\ n = 106 & (0.42) & (0.18) & (0.41) & (0.62) & (0.38) \\ \hline Maxilla & 7 & 9 & 22 & 36 & 40 \\ n = 38 & (0.18) & (0.24) & (0.58) & (0.47) & (0.53) \\ \hline Maxilla & 7 & 9 & 22 & 36 & 40 \\ n = 38 & (0.18) & (0.24) & (0.58) & (0.47) & (0.53) \\ \hline Mandible & 20 & 14 & 26 & 66 & 52 \\ n = 59 & (0.33) & (0.23) & (0.43) & (0.56) & (0.44) \\ \hline \chi^2 & 7.013 & 4.904 \\ \hline p-value & 0.1352 & 0.0861 \\ \hline \phi & 0.185 & 0.11 \\ \hline Statistical test power & 0.54 & 0.56 \\ \hline \end{array}$	Maxilla and mandible	65	6	35	165	47	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	n = 106	(0.61)	(0.06)	(0.33)	(0.78)	(0.22)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Maxilla	11	8	19	41	35	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	n = 38	(0.29)	(0.21)	(0.50)	(0.54)	(0.46)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mandible	34	5	20	88	30	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<i>n</i> = 59	(0.58)	(0.08)	(0.34)	(0.75)	(0.25)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	χ^2		15.104 *		16.3	364 *	
φ 0.27 0.20 Statistical test power 0.89 0.96 AXIN2 rs7591 AA TA T A n (%) n (%) n (%) n (%) n (%) n (%) Maxilla and mandible 44 19 43 131 81 $n = 106$ (0.42) (0.18) (0.41) (0.62) (0.38) Maxilla 7 9 22 36 40 $n = 38$ (0.18) (0.24) (0.58) (0.47) (0.53) Mandible 20 14 26 66 52 $n = 59$ (0.33) (0.23) (0.43) (0.56) (0.44) χ^2 7.013 4.904 0.0861 φ 0.185 0.011 0.54 0.50	<i>p</i> -value		0.0044		0.0	0003	
0.89 0.96 AXIN2 rs7591 TT AA TA T A n (%) n (%) n (%) n (%) n (%) Maxilla and mandible 44 19 43 131 81 $n = 106$ (0.42) (0.18) (0.41) (0.62) (0.38) Maxilla 7 9 22 36 40 $n = 38$ (0.18) (0.24) (0.58) (0.47) (0.53) Mandible 20 14 26 66 52 $n = 59$ (0.33) (0.23) (0.43) (0.56) (0.44) χ^2 7.013 4.904 4.904 0.0861 φ 0.185 0.111 0.54 0.50	φ		0.27		0.20		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Statistical test power		0.89		0.	.96	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				AXIN2 rs7591			
n (%) n (%) n (%) n (%) n (%)Maxilla and mandible44194313181 $n = 106$ (0.42)(0.18)(0.41)(0.62)(0.38)Maxilla79223640 $n = 38$ (0.18)(0.24)(0.58)(0.47)(0.53)Mandible2014266652 $n = 59$ (0.33)(0.23)(0.43)(0.56)(0.44) χ^2 7.0134.904 ρ 0.1850.11Statistical test power0.540.540.50		TT	AA	TA	Т	A	
Maxilla and mandible44194313181 $n = 106$ (0.42) (0.18) (0.41) (0.62) (0.38) Maxilla79223640 $n = 38$ (0.18) (0.24) (0.58) (0.47) (0.53) Mandible2014266652 $n = 59$ (0.33) (0.23) (0.43) (0.56) (0.44) χ^2 7.0134.904 p -value0.13520.0861 φ 0.1850.11Statistical test power0.540.50		n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
$n = 106$ (0.42) (0.18) (0.41) (0.62) (0.38) Maxilla79223640 $n = 38$ (0.18) (0.24) (0.58) (0.47) (0.53) Mandible2014266652 $n = 59$ (0.33) (0.23) (0.43) (0.56) (0.44) χ^2 7.0134.904 p -value 0.1352 0.0861 φ 0.185 0.11 Statistical test power 0.54 0.50	Maxilla and mandible	44	19	43	131	81	
Maxilla79223640 $n = 38$ (0.18)(0.24)(0.58)(0.47)(0.53)Mandible2014266652 $n = 59$ (0.33)(0.23)(0.43)(0.56)(0.44) χ^2 7.0134.904 p -value0.13520.0861 φ 0.1850.11Statistical test power0.540.50	<i>n</i> = 106	(0.42)	(0.18)	(0.41)	(0.62)	(0.38)	
$n = 38$ (0.18)(0.24)(0.58)(0.47)(0.53)Mandible2014266652 $n = 59$ (0.33)(0.23)(0.43)(0.56)(0.44) χ^2 7.0134.904 p -value0.13520.0861 φ 0.1850.11Statistical test power0.540.50	Maxilla	7	9	22	36	40	
Mandible2014266652 $n = 59$ (0.33)(0.23)(0.43)(0.56)(0.44) χ^2 7.0134.904 p -value0.13520.0861 φ 0.1850.11Statistical test power0.540.50	<i>n</i> = 38	(0.18)	(0.24)	(0.58)	(0.47)	(0.53)	
$n = 59$ (0.33)(0.23)(0.43)(0.56)(0.44) χ^2 7.0134.904 p -value0.13520.0861 φ 0.1850.11Statistical test power0.540.50	Mandible	20	14	26	66	52	
χ^2 7.0134.904 <i>p</i> -value0.13520.0861 φ 0.1850.11Statistical test power0.540.50	<u>n = 59</u>	(0.33)	(0.23)	(0.43)	(0.56)	(0.44)	
p -value 0.1352 0.0861 φ 0.185 0.11 Statistical test power 0.54 0.50	χ^2		7.013		4.9	904	
Statistical test power 0.54 0.50	<i>p</i> -value		0.1352		0.0	1001 11	
	Ψ Statistical test power		0.54		0.	.50	

Table 4. Cont.

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline $X1N2 rs4904210$ & $$X1N2 rs4904210$ & $$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$			Genotypes		All	eles
$\begin{split} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Product Produ$	Location of			AXIN2 rs4904210		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c } \hline n (\%) & n (\%) & n (\%) & n (\%) & n (\%) \\ \hline Maxilla and mandible & 55 & 11 & 40 & 150 & 62 \\ (0.52) & (0.10) & (0.38) & (0.71) & (0.29) \\ \hline Maxilla & 13 & 8 & 17 & 43 & 33 \\ n - 38 & (0.54) & (0.21) & (0.45) & (0.57) & (0.43) \\ \hline Mandible & 30 & 7 & 23 & 83 & 37 \\ n = 60 & (0.50) & (0.12) & (0.38) & (0.69) & (0.31) \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & \\ n + 60 & (0.50) & (0.12) & (0.38) & (0.69) & (0.31) \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & \\ p \ value & 0.3050 & & 0.0701 & 0.011 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & \\ p \ value & 0.3050 & & 0.0701 & 0.011 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & \\ p \ value & 0.36 & & & & & & & & \\ p \ value & 0.36 & & & & & & & & \\ p \ value & 0.36 & & & & & & & & & \\ p \ statistical lest power & 0.36 & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & &$	Impacted Teeth —	СС	TT	СТ	С	Т
$\begin{array}{l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c } n = 106 & (0.52) & (0.10) & (0.38) & (0.71) & (0.29) \\ \hline Maxilla & 13 & 8 & 17 & 43 & 33 \\ n = 38 & (0.34) & (0.21) & (0.45) & (0.57) & (0.43) \\ \hline Mandible & 30 & 7 & 23 & 83 & 37 \\ n = 60 & (0.50) & (0.12) & (0.38) & (0.69) & (0.31) \\ \hline \chi^2 & 4.833 & 5.316 \\ p + value & 0.3050 & 0.011 \\ \hline \varphi & 0.15 & 0.11 \\ \hline Statistical test power & 0.36 & 0.50 \\ \hline \\ Maxilla and mandible & 32 & 22 & 52 & 116 & 96 \\ n (\%) & n (\%) & n (\%) & n (\%) & n (\%) \\ \hline Maxilla and mandible & 32 & 22 & 52 & 116 & 96 \\ n = 106 & (0.30) & (0.21) & (0.47) & (0.37) & (0.63) \\ \hline Maxilla & 5 & 15 & 18 & 28 & 48 \\ n = 38 & (0.13) & (0.39) & (0.47) & (0.37) & (0.63) \\ \hline Maxilla & 5 & 15 & 18 & 28 & 48 \\ n = 38 & (0.13) & (0.39) & (0.47) & (0.37) & (0.63) \\ \hline Maxilla & 5 & 15 & 18 & 28 & 48 \\ n = 58 & (0.40) & (0.17) & (0.43) & (0.61) & (0.39) \\ \hline \chi^2 & 11.210^* & 11.351^* \\ p + value & 0.0243 & 0.0034 \\ p - value & 0.225 & 0.17 \\ \hline Statistical test power & 0.77 & 0.87 \\ \hline Maxilla and mandible & 74 & 3 & 29 & 177 & 35 \\ n = 58 & (0.60) & (0.00) & (0.27) & (0.83) & (0.17) \\ \hline Maxilla and mandible & 74 & 3 & 29 & 177 & 35 \\ n = 106 & (0.70) & (0.03) & (0.27) & (0.83) & (0.17) \\ \hline Maxilla and mandible & 74 & 3 & 29 & 177 & 35 \\ n = 106 & (0.70) & (0.03) & (0.27) & (0.83) & (0.17) \\ \hline Maxilla and mandible & 74 & 3 & 29 & 177 & 35 \\ n = 106 & (0.69) & (0.00) & (0.27) & (0.83) & (0.17) \\ \hline Maxilla and mandible & 74 & 3 & 29 & 177 & 35 \\ n = 106 & (0.69) & (0.00) & (0.27) & (0.83) & (0.17) \\ \hline Maxilla and mandible & 74 & 3 & 29 & 177 & 35 \\ n = 106 & (0.69) & (0.03) & (0.27) & (0.83) & (0.17) \\ \hline Maxilla and mandible & 74 & 3 & 29 & 177 & 35 \\ n = 106 & (0.69) & (0.03) & (0.27) & (0.83) & (0.17) \\ \hline Maxilla and mandible & 74 & 2 & 16 & 98 & 20 \\ n = 59 & (0.69) & (0.03) & (0.27) & (0.83) & (0.17) \\ \hline Maxilla = 26 & 0.012 & 0.05 \\ \hline \end{array}$	Maxilla and mandible	55	11	40	150	62
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } \mbox{Maxilla and mandible} & 13 & 8 & 17 & 43 & 33 \\ n = 38 & (0.34) & (0.21) & (0.45) & (0.57) & (0.43) \\ \hline (0.45) & (0.57) & (0.43) & (0.57) & (0.43) \\ \hline (0.50) & (0.12) & (0.38) & (0.69) & (0.31) \\ \hline (0.50) & (0.12) & (0.38) & (0.69) & (0.31) \\ \hline & \chi^2 & 4.833 & 5.316 & 0.0701 & 0.0701 \\ \hline & \chi^2 & 0.36 & 0.0701 & 0.11 & 0.50 \\ \hline & \chi^2 & 0.36 & 0.0701 & 0.50 & 0.50 \\ \hline & \chi^2 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	<i>n</i> = 106	(0.52)	(0.10)	(0.38)	(0.71)	(0.29)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Maxilla	13	8	17	43	33
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<i>n</i> = 38	(0.34)	(0.21)	(0.45)	(0.57)	(0.43)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mandible	30	7	23	83	37
χ^2 4.833 5.316 p -value 0.3050 0.0701 χ^2 0.36 0.50 Statistical test power 0.36 0.50 χ^2 <th< td=""><td><u>n = 60</u></td><td>(0.50)</td><td>(0.12)</td><td>(0.38)</td><td>(0.69)</td><td>(0.31)</td></th<>	<u>n = 60</u>	(0.50)	(0.12)	(0.38)	(0.69)	(0.31)
p-vatue 0.300 0.071 φ 0.15 0.11 Statistical test power 0.36 0.50 AA GG AG GG AG G n(%) n(%) n(%) n(%) n(%) n(%) Maxilla and mandible 32 22 52 116 96 n = 106 (0.30) (0.21) (0.49) (0.55) (0.45) Maxilla 5 15 18 28 48 n = 38 (0.13) (0.39) (0.47) (0.37) (0.63) Mandible 23 10 25 71 45 n = 58 (0.40) (0.17) (0.43) (0.03) (0.23) χ^2 11.210* 11.351* 0.0034 0.0034 0.003 χ^2 0.77 0.87 0.17 0.35 0.17 Statistical test power 74 3 29 177 35 n = 106 (0.70) <	χ^2		4.833		5.3	316
Statistical test power 0.13 0.11 Statistical test power 0.36 0.50 AA GG AAG A G AA GG AG A G n (%) n (%) n (%) n (%) n (%) n (%) Maxilla and mandible 32 22 52 116 96 (0.30) (0.21) (0.49) (0.55) (0.45) Maxilla 5 15 18 28 48 n = 38 (0.13) (0.39) (0.47) (0.37) (0.63) Mandible 23 10 25 71 45 n = 58 (0.40) (0.17) (0.43) (0.61) (0.39) χ^2 11.351* 0.0034 0.0034 0.0034 φ 0.235 0.17 0.87 Statistical test power 74 3 29 177 35 n = 106 (0.70) (0.03) (0.27) (0.83)	<i>p</i> -value		0.3050		0.0	1/01
AA GG AG AG n (%)	Statistical test power		0.36		0.	.50
AA n (%) GG n (%) AG n (%) AG n (%) A n (%) G n (%) Maxilla and mandible n = 106 32 22 52 116 96 n = 106 (0.30) (0.21) (0.49) (0.55) (0.45) Maxilla n = 38 5 15 18 28 48 n = 38 (0.13) (0.39) (0.47) (0.37) (0.63) Mandible n = 58 23 10 25 71 45 n = 58 (0.40) (0.17) (0.43) (0.61) (0.39) χ^2 11.210* 11.351* 0.0034 0.0034 φ 0.235 0.17 0.87 Statistical test power 0.77 0.87 0.87 Maxilla and mandible 74 3 29 177 35 n = 106 (0.70) (0.03) (0.27) (0.83) (0.17) Maxilla and mandible 26 0 12 64 12 n = 38 (0.68)				AXIN2 rs2240308		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c } \hline n (\%) & n (\%) \\ \hline Maxilla and mandible & 32 & 22 & 52 & 116 & 96 \\ (0.30) & (0.21) & (0.49) & (0.55) & (0.45) \\ \hline Maxilla & 5 & 15 & 18 & 28 & 48 \\ (0.13) & (0.39) & (0.47) & (0.37) & (0.63) \\ \hline Mandible & 23 & 10 & 25 & 71 & 45 \\ n = 58 & (0.40) & (0.17) & (0.43) & (0.61) & (0.39) \\ \hline \chi^2 & 11.210* & 11.351* \\ p-value & 0.0243 & 0.0034 \\ \phi & 0.235 & 0.17 \\ \hline Statistical test power & 0.77 & 0.87 \\ \hline Maxilla and mandible & 74 & 3 & 29 & 177 & 35 \\ n = 106 & (0.70) & (0.03) & (0.27) & (0.83) & (0.17) \\ \hline Maxilla and mandible & 74 & 3 & 29 & 177 & 35 \\ n = 106 & (0.70) & (0.03) & (0.27) & (0.83) & (0.17) \\ \hline Maxilla and mandible & 74 & 3 & 29 & 177 & 35 \\ n = 106 & (0.70) & (0.03) & (0.27) & (0.83) & (0.17) \\ \hline Maxilla & 26 & 0 & 12 & 64 & 12 \\ n = 38 & (0.68) & (0.00) & (0.32) & (0.84) & (0.16) \\ \hline Maxilla & 26 & 0 & 12 & 64 & 12 \\ n = 38 & (0.68) & (0.00) & (0.32) & (0.84) & (0.16) \\ \hline Maxilla & 26 & 0 & 12 & 64 & 12 \\ n = 38 & (0.68) & (0.00) & (0.32) & (0.84) & (0.16) \\ \hline Maxilla & 26 & 0 & 12 & 64 & 12 \\ n = 38 & (0.68) & (0.00) & (0.32) & (0.84) & (0.16) \\ \hline Maxilla & 26 & 0 & 12 & 64 & 12 \\ n = 38 & (0.68) & (0.00) & (0.32) & (0.84) & (0.16) \\ \hline Maxilla & 26 & 0 & 0.12 & 64 & 12 \\ n = 38 & (0.68) & (0.00) & (0.32) & (0.84) & (0.16) \\ \hline Maxilla & 26 & 0 & 0.32 & (0.84) & (0.16) \\ \hline Maxilla & 26 & 0 & 0.12 & 60.02 \\ \hline n = 59 & (0.69) & (0.03) & (0.27) & (0.83) & (0.17) \\ \hline \chi^2 & 1.412 & 0.072 \\ \hline \mu - value & 0.8420 & 0.9648 \\ \hline \phi & 0.084 & 0.01 \\ \hline Statistical test power & 0.12 & 0.05 \\ \hline \end{array}$		AA	GG	AG	А	G
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } \mbox{Maxilla and mandible} & 32 & 22 & 52 & 116 & 96 \\ \hline n = 106 & (0.30) & (0.21) & (0.49) & (0.55) & (0.45) \\ \mbox{Maxilla} & 5 & 15 & 18 & 28 & 48 \\ \hline n = 38 & (0.13) & (0.39) & (0.47) & (0.37) & (0.63) \\ \mbox{Mandible} & 23 & 10 & 25 & 71 & 45 \\ \hline n = 58 & (0.40) & (0.17) & (0.43) & (0.61) & (0.39) \\ \hline \chi^2 & & 11.210* & & 11.351* \\ \hline p-value & 0.0243 & 0.0034 \\ \hline \phi & 0.235 & 0.17 \\ \mbox{Statistical test power} & 0.77 & 0.87 \\ \hline \hline & \hline &$		n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Maxilla and mandible	32	22	52	116	96
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } \mbox{Maxilla} & 5 & 15 & 18 & 28 & 48 \\ \hline n = 38 & (0.13) & (0.39) & (0.47) & (0.37) & (0.63) \\ \hline Mandible & 23 & 10 & 25 & 71 & 45 \\ \hline n = 58 & (0.40) & (0.17) & (0.43) & (0.61) & (0.39) \\ \hline \chi^2 & & 11.210^* & & 11.351^* \\ p-value & 0.0243 & & 0.0034 \\ \hline \phi & 0.225 & & 0.17 \\ \hline Statistical test power & 0.77 & 0.87 \\ \hline & 0.77 & & 0.87 \\ \hline \end{array}$	<i>n</i> = 106	(0.30)	(0.21)	(0.49)	(0.55)	(0.45)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Maxilla	5	15	18	28	48
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<i>n</i> = 38	(0.13)	(0.39)	(0.47)	(0.37)	(0.63)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mandible	23	10	25	71	45
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<i>n</i> = 58	(0.40)	(0.17)	(0.43)	(0.61)	(0.39)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	χ^2		11.210 *		11.3	351 *
ψ 0.255 0.17 Statistical test power 0.77 0.87 IRF6 rs642961 GG AA GA G A $n (\%)$ $n (\%)$ $n (\%)$ $n (\%)$ $n (\%)$ Maxilla and mandible 74 3 29 177 35 $n = 106$ (0.70) (0.03) (0.27) (0.83) (0.17) Maxilla 26 0 12 64 12 $n = 38$ (0.68) (0.00) (0.32) (0.84) (0.16) Mandible 41 2 16 98 20 $n = 59$ (0.69) (0.03) (0.27) (0.83) (0.17) χ^2 1.412 0.002 0.072 φ 0.08 0.01 0.05	<i>p</i> -value		0.0243		0.0	17
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline $IRF6$ rs642961$ \\ \hline $IRF6$ rs642961$ \\$	Statistical test power		0.233		0.	.87
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c } \hline GG & AA & GA & G & A \\ $n(\%)$ & $n(\%)$ & $n(\%)$ & $n(\%)$ & $n(\%)$ & $n(\%)$ \\ \hline $n(\%)$ & $n(\%)$ & $n(\%)$ & $n(\%)$ & $n(\%)$ & $n(\%)$ \\ \hline $n(\%)$ & $n($	1			IRF6 rs642961		
$n (\%)$ $n (\%)$ $n (\%)$ $n (\%)$ $n (\%)$ Maxilla and mandible7432917735 $n = 106$ (0.70) (0.03) (0.27) (0.83) (0.17) Maxilla260126412 $n = 38$ (0.68) (0.00) (0.32) (0.84) (0.16) Mandible412169820 $n = 59$ (0.69) (0.03) (0.27) (0.83) (0.17) χ^2 1.4120.072 p -value0.84200.9648 ϕ 0.080.01Statistical test power0.120.05		GG	AA	GA	G	А
Maxilla and mandible7432917735 $n = 106$ (0.70) (0.03) (0.27) (0.83) (0.17) Maxilla260126412 $n = 38$ (0.68) (0.00) (0.32) (0.84) (0.16) Mandible412169820 $n = 59$ (0.69) (0.03) (0.27) (0.83) (0.17) χ^2 1.4120.072 p -value0.84200.9648 φ 0.080.01Statistical test power0.120.05		n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
$n = 106$ (0.70) (0.03) (0.27) (0.83) (0.17) Maxilla260126412 $n = 38$ (0.68) (0.00) (0.32) (0.84) (0.16) Mandible412169820 $n = 59$ (0.69) (0.03) (0.27) (0.83) (0.17) χ^2 1.412 0.072 p -value 0.8420 0.9648 φ 0.08 0.01 Statistical test power 0.12 0.05	Maxilla and mandible	74	3	29	177	35
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<i>n</i> = 106	(0.70)	(0.03)	(0.27)	(0.83)	(0.17)
$n = 38$ (0.68)(0.00)(0.32)(0.84)(0.16)Mandible412169820 $n = 59$ (0.69)(0.03)(0.27)(0.83)(0.17) χ^2 1.4120.072 p -value0.84200.9648 φ 0.080.01Statistical test power0.120.05	Maxilla	26	0	12	64	12
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Mandible & 41 & 2 & 16 & 98 & 20 \\ \hline $n = 59$ & (0.69)$ & (0.03)$ & (0.27)$ & (0.83)$ & (0.17) \\ \hline χ^2 & 1.412$ & 0.072 \\ \hline p-value$ & 0.8420$ & 0.9648 \\ \hline ϕ & 0.08$ & 0.01 \\ \hline $Statistical test power$ & 0.12$ & 0.05 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	<i>n</i> = 38	(0.68)	(0.00)	(0.32)	(0.84)	(0.16)
$n = 59$ (0.69)(0.03)(0.27)(0.83)(0.17) χ^2 1.4120.072 p -value0.84200.9648 φ 0.080.01Statistical test power0.120.05	Mandible	41	2	16	98	20
$\begin{array}{cccc} \chi^2 & 1.412 & 0.072 \\ p\mbox{-value} & 0.8420 & 0.9648 \\ \phi & 0.08 & 0.01 \\ \hline Statistical test power & 0.12 & 0.05 \\ \end{array}$	<i>n</i> = 59	(0.69)	(0.03)	(0. 27)	(0.83)	(0.17)
$\begin{array}{ccc} p \text{-value} & 0.8420 & 0.9648 \\ \phi & 0.08 & 0.01 \\ \text{Statistical test power} & 0.12 & 0.05 \end{array}$	χ^2		1.412		0.0	072
Statistical test power 0.12 0.05	<i>p</i> -value		0.8420		0.9	048 01
	Ψ Statistical test power		0.12		0.	.05

Table 4. Cont.

GG	IRF6 rs861019						
GG	IRF6 rs861019						
n (%)	AG	A	G				
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)				
18	63	113	99				
(0.17)	(0.59)	(0.53)	(0.47)				
5	25	41	35				
(0.13)	(0.66)	(0.54)	(0.46)				
10	31	67	51				
(0.17)	(0.53)	(057)	(0.43)				
2.025		0.3	379				
0.7312		0.8	1276				
0.10		0.	03				
0.17		0.	08				
	IRF6 rs4904210						
CC	TC	Т	С				
n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)				
13	50	136	76				
(0.12)	(0.47)	(0.64)	(0.36)				
5	21	45	31				
(0.13)	(0.55)	(0.59)	(0.41)				
9	26	72	44				
(0.16)	(0.45)	(0.62)	(0.38)				
1.461 0.8336 0.085 0.13		0.4 0.7 0.	605 7391 04 10				
	IRF6 rs658860						
CC	TC	Т	С				
n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)				
3	29	177	35				
(0.03)	(0.27)	(0.83)	(0.17)				
0	11	63	11				
(0.00)	(0.30)	(0.85)	(0.15)				
1	17	95	19				
(0.02)	(0.30)	(0.83)	(0.17)				
1.240 0.8715 0.08 0.12		0.: 0.9 0.	129 374 02 06				
	(0.00) 1 (0.02) 1.240 0.8715 0.08 0.12	0 11 (0.00) (0.30) 1 17 (0.02) (0.30) 1.240 0.8715 0.08 0.12	0 11 05 (0.00) (0.30) (0.85) 1 17 95 (0.02) (0.30) (0.83) 1.240 0.300 0.9 0.8715 0.9 0.08 0.0 0.12 0.1				

Table 4. Cont.

p—statistical significance, χ^2 —Chi² test result, *n*—number of subjects, *—significant statistical differences, φ —effect size.

For genotypes and alleles for which statistical significances were demonstrated, a comparison of allele and genotype frequencies between the study and control groups is also presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Comparison of allele and genotype frequencies for *MSX1* NM_002448.3:c.*6C>T (rs8670) and NM_002448.3:c.*276A>G (rs12532), and *AXIN2* NM_004655.3:c.148C>T (rs2240308) variants between study and control groups.

MSX1 rs8670	Control Group ($n = 186$)	Study Group ($n = 202$)	Pa
CC (n = 228) CT (n = 134) TT (n = 26)	CC $(n = 228)$ 115 (61.8%)CT $(n = 134)$ 60 (32.3%)TT $(n = 26)$ 11 (5.9%)		$0.487 (\chi^2 \ 1.44)$
C 0.76 T 0.24	0.78 0.22	0.74 0.26	$0.228 (\chi^2 \ 1.46)$
	HV	VE	
$\chi^2 = 1.07; \text{pb} = 0.301$	$\chi^2 = 0.7$; pc = 0.403	$\chi^2 = 0.35; pd = 0.554$	
MSX1 rs12532	Control group ($n = 186$)	Study group ($n = 202$)	pa
AA $(n = 209)$ AG $(n = 145)$ GG $(n = 35)$	99 (53.2%) 71 (38.2%) 16 (8.6%)	110 (54.2%) 74 (36.5%) 19 (9.4%)	$0.925 (\chi^2 \ 0.16)$
A 0.72 G 0.28	0.72 0.28	0.72 0.28	0.975 (χ ² 0.001)
	HV	VE	
$\chi^2 = 1.8; \text{pb} = 0.180$	$\chi^2 = 0.41; pc = 0.522$	$\chi^2 = 1.56$; pd = 0.212	
AXIN2 rs2240308	Control group ($n = 186$)	Study group ($n = 202$)	pa
AA $(n = 113)$ AG $(n = 190)$ GG $(n = 85)$	53 (28.5%) 95 (51.1%) 38 (20.4%)	60 (29.7%) 95 (47.0%) 47 (23.3%)	$0.695 (\chi^2 \ 0.73)$
A 0.54 G 0.46	0.54 0.46	0.53 0.47	$0.820 (\chi^2 \ 0.05)$
	HV	VE	
$\chi^2 = 0.09; \text{pb} = 0.764$	$\chi^2 = 0.15; pc = 0.699$	$\chi^2 = 0.62$; pd = 0.431	

p_a—values calculated for allele and genotype frequency comparisons between control and study groups; pb—values calculated for genotype Hardy–Weinberg proportions in all participants (control and study groups analyzed together); pc—values calculated for genotype Hardy–Weinberg proportions in control group; pd—values calculated for genotype Hardy–Weinberg proportions in study group; HWE—Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium.

3. Discussion

When examining the phenotypic impact of mutations, certain circumstances can associate an allele reducing functional protein levels with favorable traits. For instance, the reduction in C-allele teeth at the rs4904210 locus in the *PAX9* gene, primarily affecting the development of the third molars, has been observed in studies and considered not only neutral but even beneficial [68].

The shift in modern lifestyles, with a preference for highly processed and cooked foods over the raw and hard diet of our ancestors, has rendered third molars unnecessary remnants of our species' evolutionary history [69]. In cases of impacted or abnormally erupted molars, their presence can pose health hazards, serving as sites for inflammation and bacterial impaction, leading to cavities in neighboring teeth and infections in the bone tissue, potentially weakening or even destroying them. Additionally, bacteria multiplying in these areas can spread systemically, attacking other organs and posing health risks [70].

In this context, the Ala240Pro mutation in the *PAX9* gene is described by some authors as a beneficial change aimed at eliminating unnecessary third molars from the modern human population [68].

Functional analysis of the Arg196Pro polymorphism in the *MSX1* gene, involved in hypodontia, showed that the structurally defective protein with reduced thermal stability resulted in impaired DNA binding and protein interactions. This mutation was associated

with a higher frequency of missing second premolars and third molars, and in some cases, upper premolars and lower first molars [71].

In a study of the Chinese population, a new C662A mutation in the *MSX1* gene's homeodomain region was identified, leading to an Ala221Glu substitution. This mutation disrupts the binding to DNA and interactions with other proteins, such as those from the DLX family, hindering the initiation of epithelial–mesenchymal signal cascades during tooth development [72]. Carriers of the Ala221Glu mutation exhibited selective tooth loss, including premolars, third molars, lateral incisors, and canines [73].

Another mutation in the *MSX1* gene's homeodomain region is the T671C substitution, resulting in a Leu224Pro substitution. Predictive analysis suggests that this mutation may completely abolish the activity of the *MSX1* protein, leading to the congenital absence of second premolars and third molars in carriers [74].

Bearing in mind the information presented above on the influence of various mutations in the coding area of the *MSX1* gene homeodomain, it is worth emphasizing that the functional analysis of the remaining five missense mutations in the same region of the *MSX1* gene, correlated with hypodontia (Met61Lys, Ala194Val, Arg196Pro, Ala219Thr, and Ala221Glu), revealed none of the previously known molecular mechanisms (such as a disturbance of the interaction of *MSX1* with *PAX9* or other regulatory proteins). Considering the influence of various mutations in the coding region of the *MSX1* gene homeodomain, it is important to note that functional analysis of five missense mutations (Met61Lys, Ala194Val, Arg196Pro, Ala219Thr, and Ala221Glu) associated with hypodontia revealed that previously known molecular mechanisms could not fully explain the significance of these amino acid substitutions in tooth agenesis [22].

Furthermore, it was demonstrated that most of these missense mutations did not impair the crucial interaction between *MSX1* and *PAX9*, which activates the expression of the BMP4 gene, suggesting that the role of *MSX1* in tooth development is not directly linked to the interaction with *PAX9* [22]. This implies that potential molecular targets responsible for dental abnormalities may lie in other regions of the *MSX1* gene beyond the homeodomain.

Promising variants of the *MSX1* gene, relevant to various dental development disorders, include polymorphic sites rs8670 and rs12532, located in the non-coding UTR region of exon 2 [75]. These variants were found in the Polish population and primarily associated with non-syndromic sporadic oligodontics in humans [76].

The non-coding regions of the *MSX1* gene may also contribute to the development of dental disorders, independent of the homeodomain's direct functioning. The rs8670 variant in the *MSX1* gene was found to potentially correlate with non-syndromic hypodontia in the upper lateral incisors, suggesting an impact on mRNA stability, antisense RNA-mediated interactions, or translation processes [77]. However, larger studies in Brazilian patients did not show significant differences in the distribution of genotypes and alleles in the rs8670 and rs12532 sites between the agenesis group and the control group [78].

In patients with severe familial hypodontia, direct sequencing of the *AXIN2* gene revealed a nonsense mutation (Arg656Stop) in exon 7, which led to premature translation termination [79]. This mutation may result in mRNA degradation through the nonsense-mediated decay mechanism, as the mRNA transcript with a premature stop codon fulfills the conditions for degradation [80,81]. The protein produced in individuals with the C1966T mutation lacks the C-terminal domain responsible for oligomerization and interaction with other proteins [42,82,83]. Consequently, individuals with this mutation experience a decrease in the function of the *AXIN2* gene in Polish patients with selective tooth agenesis identified several polymorphic sites, including two new variants in the intron region and one variant in exon 7 [85]. Statistical analysis revealed that carriers of the c.956 + 16G and c.2062T alleles had an increased risk of selective tooth agenesis, indicating a potential association [85]. The synonymous change Leu688Leu may disrupt the exonic splicing enhancer sequence, affecting the recognition of cleavage sites in splicing

processes [81]. The c.956 + 16A>G mutation could also impact splicing processes, creating an additional donor site within exon 2, potentially interfering with odontogenesis [85].

In studies involving Brazilian and Turkish patients with selective tooth agenesis, three intragenic polymorphic sites (rs7591, rs11867417, and rs2240308) in the *AXIN2* gene were analyzed [86]. The presence of the rs2240308 variant, either in isolation or as part of a haplotype, correlated with the absence of at least one incisor in the studied populations [86]. Although in silico analysis did not confirm this variant as a direct etiological factor, it is suggested that it may interact with another variant to contribute to the development of tooth developmental disorders [86].

Associations between selected markers (rs7802, rs861019, and rs2235371) within the *IRF6* gene and preferential agenesis of premolars were confirmed in the Brazilian population [87]. The non-synonymous mutation rs2235371 (Val274Ile) was found to have an attributable fraction (AF) coefficient value of 16.4%, indicating its contribution to agenesis cases [87]. Haplotypes reconstructed for the analyzed polymorphic sites in the *IRF6* gene, containing the more common allele at rs2235371, were consistently found in agenesis patients, particularly in relation to premolar agenesis [87].

However, it was suggested that the rs2235371 variant may not be an etiological factor in itself, but rather interacts with other variants that directly impact the appearance of agenesis [88]. To further investigate, additional markers within the *IRF6* gene (rs4844880, rs2235371, rs2013162, rs861019, rs2073487, rs642961, and rs658860) were analyzed. The presence of rs658860 variants and the haplotype [A; T; A] reconstructed for sites [rs861019; rs2073487; rs642961] showed a correlation with abnormal development of at least one incisor [88].

In patients with impaired premolar development, the association was observed for the rs2013162 and rs642961 variants, as well as the haplotypes [G; T; A] in [rs861019; rs2073487; rs642961] and [T; AND; T] in [rs2073487; rs642961; rs658860] [88]. The presence of the rs642961 site in all statistically significant haplotype systems suggests its potential functional role. In silico analyses indicate that the rs642961 mutation may alter the AGAAT sequence near the *IRF6* gene to the AGGAT sequence, which is responsible for binding to the product of the heat shock transcription factor (HSF) gene, a transcription factor activated in response to temperature stress. Additionally, the rs861019 mutation was found to cause a change in another sequence (GTTCT \rightarrow ATTCT) within the HSF binding domain [88]. However, the precise mechanism by which disruption of HSF binding affects normal tooth development remains unknown.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Subjects

Participation in the research project was offered to a consecutive group of 392 patients who visited the Department of Oral Surgery. The inclusion criteria for the study group required the presence of at least one fully impacted tooth in either the maxillary or mandibular bone. An impacted tooth was defined as a tooth surrounded by bone in X-ray images but absent in the dental arch during eruption. The study group consisted of 204 individuals, including 82 males and 122 females, who had one to seven impacted teeth. Patients under the age of sixteen and those who were unable to undergo a panoramic radiograph or had multiple missing teeth that prevented a diagnosis of hypodontia were not eligible for the project. Relatives of the patients were also excluded.

The study participants were divided into two groups based on the presence or absence of a diagnosis of tooth/teeth impaction provided by a medical specialist:

The study group included patients with at least one permanently impacted tooth in the maxillary or mandibular bone, as well as patients with supernumerary teeth.

The control group consisted of patients who had no impacted teeth in the maxillary bones and whose permanent teeth had properly emerged and aligned in the dental arches. All patients were fully informed about the purpose, benefits, and research methods of the study. After providing written consent to participate, they were assigned individual identification numbers to ensure anonymity.

The study group included 204 patients (82 males and 122 females) in whom one to seven impacted teeth were identified (median 3, interquartile range 2–4). A histogram of patients in the study group with a specific number of impacted teeth is shown in Table 5. The most significant number of patients in the study group were observed to have four impacted teeth, while patients with two impacted teeth were the second most numerous subgroup.

It was assumed that the size of the Phi effect would be 0.25 with a group of 204 tested people, and the power of the statistical test for the polymorphism of the tested genes would be 0.83. However, for alleles (n = 408) the Phi effect size was assumed to be 0.16 and the power of the statistical test to be 0.83. The actual results obtained for the size of the Phi effect and the power of the statistical test are presented in Table 6.

Table 6. Number of impacted teeth in study group patients.

Number of Impacted Teeth	n	%
1	44	21.6
2	55	27.0
3	28	13.7
4 and more	77	37.7

4.2. Genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from venous blood using standard procedures. Genotyping was conducted with the real-time PCR method.

LightCycler[®] 480 II System (Roche Diagnostic, Basel, Switzerland) was applied to perform the fluorescence resonance energy into the genotypic data. The data relating to the DRD2 gene polymorphism were obtained under the following conditions: PCR was performed with 50 ng DNA of each sample in a final volume of 20 μ L containing 2 μ L reaction mix, 0.5 mM of each primer, 0.2 mM of each hybridization probe, and 2 mM MgCl2 according to the manufacturer's instructions with initial denaturation (95 °C for 10 min) and then 35 cycles of denaturation (95 °C for 10 s), annealing (60 °C for 10 s), and extension (72 °C for 15 s). After amplification, a melting curve was generated by holding the reaction at 40 °C for 20 s and heating slowly to a level of 95 °C. The fluorescence signal was plotted against temperature to provide melting curves for each sample.

4.3. Statistical Analysis

The frequencies of genotypes and alleles of the *PAX9* rs4904210, *MSX1* rs8670, *MSX1* rs12532, *AXIN2* rs7591, *AXIN2* rs4904210, *AXIN2* rs2240308, *IRF6* rs642961, *IRF6* rs861019, *IRF6* rs4904210, and *IRF6* rs658860 polymorphisms in analyzed groups were compared with the chi-square test. All analyses were performed using STATISTICA 13 (Tibco Software Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA) for Windows (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA).

5. Conclusions

Abnormalities in the structure and function of the previously described genetic factors directly involved in the development of the tooth, including its hard tissues and periodontal tissues, may therefore have a role in the appearance of retained teeth in individuals with such alterations in genetic material. On the basis of this study, it can be assumed that the impaction of teeth will also depend, at least in part, on the presence of certain genetic markers, particularly *AXIN2 rs2240308* and *MSX1 rs12532*.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, G.T.; methodology, G.T.; software, A.J., A.G., M.R. and J.C.; validation, G.T. and A.G.; formal analysis, G.T., A.G. and K.C.; investigation, G.T.; resources, G.T. and A.J.; data curation, G.T. and A.J.; writing—original draft preparation, G.T.; writing—review and editing, G.T., A.J. and A.G.; visualization, A.J., E.G.-T. and A.M.; supervision, G.T.; project administration, G.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: This study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of Pomeranian Medical University in Szczecin, Poland (Resolution No. KB-0012/39/16 of 25 April 2016).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in this study.

Data Availability Statement: Data available on request due to restrictions—privacy or ethical.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Peters, H.; Balling, R. Teeth: Where and How to Make Them. Trends Genet. 1999, 15, 59–65. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vieira, A.R. Oral Clefts and Syndromic Forms of Tooth Agenesis as Models for Genetics of Isolated Tooth Agenesis. J. Dent. Res. 2003, 82, 162–165. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kangas, A.T.; Evans, A.R.; Thesleff, I.; Jernvall, J. Nonindependence of Mammalian Dental Characters. *Nature* 2004, 432, 211–214. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Kindelan, J.D.; Rysiecki, G.; Childs, W.P. Hypodontia: Genotype or Environment? A Case Report of Monozygotic Twins. *Br. J. Orthod.* **1998**, 25, 175–178. [CrossRef]
- Arte, S.; Nieminen, P.; Apajalahti, S.; Haavikko, K.; Thesleff, I.; Pirinen, S. Characteristics of Incisor-Premolar Hypodontia in Families. J. Dent. Res. 2001, 80, 1445–1450. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Parkin, N.; Elcock, C.; Smith, R.N.; Griffin, R.C.; Brook, A.H. The Aetiology of Hypodontia: The Prevalence, Severity and Location of Hypodontia within Families. *Arch. Oral Biol.* **2009**, *54* (Suppl. S1), S52–S56. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Trybek, G.; Jaroń, A.; Grzywacz, A. Association of Polymorphic and Haplotype Variants of the MSX1 Gene and the Impacted Teeth Phenomenon. *Genes* 2021, 12, 577. [CrossRef]
- Butera, A.; Maiorani, C.; Morandini, A.; Simonini, M.; Morittu, S.; Barbieri, S.; Bruni, A.; Sinesi, A.; Ricci, M.; Trombini, J.; et al. Assessment of Genetical, Pre, Peri and Post Natal Risk Factors of Deciduous Molar Hypomineralization (DMH), Hypomineralized Second Primary Molar (HSPM) and Molar Incisor Hypomineralization (MIH): A Narrative Review. *Children* 2021, *8*, 432. [CrossRef]
- Aksenovich, T.I.; Zorkal'tsov, I.V.; Kniazev, S.P.; Kulikova, A.V. Inheritance of Hypodontia in Kerry Blue Terrier Dogs. *Genetika* 2004, 40, 658–666. [CrossRef]
- 10. Aksenovich, T.I.; Kulikova, A.V.; Kniazev, S.P.; Zorkal'tseva, I.V.; Borodin, P.M. Polymorphism of dental formula and segregation of its variants in a pedigree of kerry blue terrier dogs. *Genetika* **2006**, *42*, 414–420. [CrossRef]
- 11. Mead, S. Incidence of Impacted Teeth. Int. J. Orthod. Oral Surg. Radiogr. 1930, 16, 885–890. [CrossRef]
- 12. Jaroń, A.; Trybek, G. The Pattern of Mandibular Third Molar Impaction and Assessment of Surgery Difficulty: A Retrospective Study of Radiographs in East Baltic Population. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 6016. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Haralabakis, H. Observations on the Time of Eruption, Congenital Absence, and Impaction of the Third Molar Teeth. *Trans. Eur. Orthod. Soc.* **1957**, *33*, 9.
- 14. Dachi, S.F.; Howell, F.V. A Survey of 3874 Routine Full-Mouth Radiographs: II. A Study of Impacted Teeth. *Oral Surg. Oral Med. Oral Pathol.* **1961**, *14*, 1165–1169. [CrossRef]
- 15. Elsey, M.J.; Rock, W.P. Influence of Orthodontic Treatment on Development of Third Molars. *Br. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg.* 2000, *38*, 350–353. [CrossRef]
- 16. Mostowska, A.; Trzeciak, W.H. Molekularne Podłoże Wrodzonego Braku Zawiązków Zębów Stałych Na Podstawie Piśmiennictwa. *Czas Stomat* 2006, 59, 110–117.
- 17. Chalothorn, L.A.; Beeman, C.S.; Ebersole, J.L.; Kluemper, C.T.; Hicks, E.P.; Kryscio, R.J.; DeSimone, C.P.; Modesitt, S.C. Hypodontia as a Risk Marker for Epithelial Ovarian Cancer: A Case-Controlled Study. *J. Am. Dent. Assoc.* 2008, 139, 163–169. [CrossRef]
- 18. Goldenberg, M.; Das, P.; Messersmith, M.; Stockton, D.W.; Patel, P.I.; D'Souza, R.N. Clinical, Radiographic, and Genetic Evaluation of a Novel Form of Autosomal-Dominant Oligodontia. *J. Dent. Res.* **2000**, *79*, 1469–1475. [CrossRef]
- 19. Jedryszek, A.; Kmiecik, M.; Paszkiewicz, A. Review of Modern Knowledge on Hypodontia. Dent. Med. Probl. 2009, 46, 118–125.
- 20. Shafi, I.; Phillips, J.M.; Dawson, M.P.; Broad, R.D.; Hosey, M.T. A Study of Patients Attending a Multidisciplinary Hypodontia Clinic over a Five Year Period. *Br. Dent. J.* 2008, 205, 649–652. [CrossRef]
- Vieira, A.R.; Meira, R.; Modesto, A.; Murray, J.C. *MSX1*, *PAX9*, and TGFA Contribute to Tooth Agenesis in Humans. *J. Dent. Res.* 2004, 83, 723–727. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 22. Wang, Y.; Kong, H.; Mues, G.; D'Souza, R. *MSX1* Mutations: How Do They Cause Tooth Agenesis? *J. Dent. Res.* 2011, 90, 311–316. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chhabra, N.; Goswami, M.; Chhabra, A. Genetic Basis of Dental Agenesis—Molecular Genetics Patterning Clinical Dentistry. Med. Oral Patol. Oral Cirugía Bucal 2014, 19, 112–119. [CrossRef]
- Mitsui, S.N.; Yasue, A.; Masuda, K.; Watanabe, K.; Horiuchi, S.; Imoto, I.; Tanaka, E. Novel PAX9 Mutations Cause Non-Syndromic Tooth Agenesis. J. Dent. Res. 2014, 93, 245–249. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. van den Boogaard, M.J.; Créton, M.; Bronkhorst, Y.; van der Hout, A.; Hennekam, E.; Lindhout, D.; Cune, M.; van Amstel, H.K.P. Mutations in WNT10A Are Present in More than Half of Isolated Hypodontia Cases. *J. Med. Genet.* **2012**, *49*, 327–331. [CrossRef]
- Mostowska, A.; Biedziak, B.; Zadurska, M.; Dunin-Wilczynska, I.; Lianeri, M.; Jagodzinski, P. Nucleotide Variants of Genes Encoding Components of the Wnt Signalling Pathway and the Risk of Non-Syndromic Tooth Agenesis. *Clin. Genet.* 2013, *84*, 429–440. [CrossRef]
- 27. Xu, W.; Rould, M.A.; Jun, S.; Desplan, C.; Pabo, C.O. Crystal Structure of a Paired Domain-DNA Complex at 2.5 A Resolution Reveals Structural Basis for Pax Developmental Mutations. *Cell* **1995**, *80*, 639–650. [CrossRef]
- Neubüser, A.; Koseki, H.; Balling, R. Characterization and Developmental Expression of *PAX9*, a Paired-Box-Containing Gene Related to Pax1. Dev. Biol. 1995, 170, 701–716. [CrossRef]
- Stockton, D.W.; Das, P.; Goldenberg, M.; D'Souza, R.N.; Patel, P.I. Mutation of *PAX9* Is Associated with Oligodontia. *Nat. Genet.* 2000, 24, 18–19. [CrossRef]
- 30. Mensah, J.K.; Ogawa, T.; Kapadia, H.; Cavender, A.C.; D'Souza, R.N. Functional Analysis of a Mutation in *PAX9* Associated with Familial Tooth Agenesis in Humans. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2004**, *279*, 5924–5933. [CrossRef]
- Paixão-Côrtes, V.R.; Meyer, D.; Pereira, T.v.; Mazières, S.; Elion, J.; Krishnamoorthy, R.; Zago, M.A.; Silva, W.A.; Salzano, F.M.; Bortolini, M.C. Genetic Variation among Major Human Geographic Groups Supports a Peculiar Evolutionary Trend in *PAX9*. *PLoS ONE* 2011, 6, e15656. [CrossRef]
- 32. Frazier-Bowers, S.A.; Guo, D.C.; Cavender, A.; Xue, L.; Evans, B.; King, T.; Milewicz, D.; D'Souza, R.N. A Novel Mutation in Human *PAX9* Causes Molar Oligodontia. *J. Dent. Res.* **2017**, *81*, 129–133. [CrossRef]
- 33. Nieminen, P.; Arte, S.; Tanner, D.; Paulin, L.; Alaluusua, S.; Thesleff, I.; Pirinen, S. Identification of a Nonsense Mutation in the *PAX9* Gene in Molar Oligodontia. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **2001**, *9*, 743–746. [CrossRef]
- 34. Das, P.; Stockton, D.W.; Bauer, C.; Shaffer, L.G.; D'Souza, R.N.; Wright, J.T.; Patel, P.I. Haploinsufficiency of *PAX9* Is Associated with Autosomal Dominant Hypodontia. *Hum. Genet.* 2002, *110*, 371–376. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Mostowska, A.; Kobielak, A.; Trzeciak, W.H. Molecular Basis of Non-Syndromic Tooth Agenesis: Mutations of *MSX1* and *PAX9* Reflect Their Role in Patterning Human Dentition. *Eur. J. Oral Sci.* 2003, 111, 365–370. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Stoczyńska, E.; Pawłowska, E.; Popławski, T.; Szczepańska, J.; Błasiak, J. Rola Białek *PAX9* i *MSX1* w Rozwoju i Agenezji Zębów. *J. Stomatol.* **2010**, *65*, 310–319.
- Neubüser, A.; Peters, H.; Balling, R.; Martin, G.R. Antagonistic Interactions between FGF and BMP Signaling Pathways: A Mechanism for Positioning the Sites of Tooth Formation. *Cell* 1997, *90*, 247–255. [CrossRef]
- 38. Sharpe, P.T. Homeobox Genes and Orofacial Development. Connect. Tissue Res. 1995, 32, 17–25. [CrossRef]
- Huelsken, J.; Birchmeier, W. New Aspects of Wnt Signaling Pathways in Higher Vertebrates. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 2001, 11, 547–553. [CrossRef]
- 40. Lustig, B.; Behrens, J. The Wnt Signaling Pathway and Its Role in Tumor Development. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. 2003, 129, 199–221. [CrossRef]
- 41. Giles, R.H.; van Es, J.H.; Clevers, H. Caught up in a Wnt Storm: Wnt Signaling in Cancer. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Rev. Cancer* 2003, 1653, 1–24. [CrossRef]
- Seidensticker, M.J.; Behrens, J. Biochemical Interactions in the Wnt Pathway. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2000, 1495, 168–182. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Ming, M.; Qian, C.; Yokomizo, A.; Smith, D.I.; Wanguo, L. Cloning of the Human Homolog of Conductin (*AXIN2*), a Gene Mapping to Chromosome 17q23-Q24. *Genomics* **1999**, *55*, 341–344. [CrossRef]
- 44. Behrens, J.; Jerchow, B.A.; Würtele, M.; Grimm, J.; Asbrand, C.; Wirtz, R.; Kühl, M.; Wedlich, D.; Birchmeier, W. Functional Interaction of an Axin Homolog, Conductin, with β-Catenin, APC, and GSK3β. *Science* **1998**, *280*, 596–599. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yamamoto, H.; Kishida, S.; Uochi, T.; Ikeda, S.; Koyama, S.; Asashima, M.; Kikuchi, A. Axil, a Member of the Axin Family, Interacts with Both Glycogen Synthase Kinase 3beta and Beta-Catenin and Inhibits Axis Formation of Xenopus Embryos. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 1998, 18, 2867–2875. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Lee, E.; Salic, A.; Krüger, R.; Heinrich, R.; Kirschner, M.W. The Roles of APC and Axin Derived from Experimental and Theoretical Analysis of the Wnt Pathway. *PLoS Biol.* **2003**, *1*, e10. [CrossRef]
- Leung, J.Y.; Kolligs, F.T.; Wu, R.; Zhai, Y.; Kuick, R.; Hanash, S.; Cho, K.R.; Fearon, E.R. Activation of AXIN2 Expression by Beta-Catenin-T Cell Factor. A Feedback Repressor Pathway Regulating Wnt Signaling. J. Biol. Chem. 2002, 277, 21657–21665. [CrossRef]
- Jho, E.; Zhang, T.; Domon, C.; Joo, C.-K.; Freund, J.-N.; Costantini, F. Wnt/Beta-Catenin/Tcf Signaling Induces the Transcription of AXIN2, a Negative Regulator of the Signaling Pathway. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 2002, 22, 1172–1183. [CrossRef]
- Jernvall, J.; Thesleff, I. Reiterative Signaling and Patterning during Mammalian Tooth Morphogenesis. *Mech. Dev.* 2000, 92, 19–29. [CrossRef]

- 50. Thesleff, I. Epithelial-Mesenchymal Signalling Regulating Tooth Morphogenesis. J. Cell Sci. 2003, 116, 1647–1648. [CrossRef]
- Kondo, S.; Schutte, B.C.; Richardson, R.J.; Bjork, B.C.; Knight, A.S.; Watanabe, Y.; Howard, E.; de Lima, R.L.L.F.; Daack-Hirsch, S.; Sander, A.; et al. Mutations in *IRF6* Cause Van Der Woude and Popliteal Pterygium Syndromes. *Nat. Genet.* 2002, 32, 285–289. [CrossRef]
- 52. Mossey, P.A.; Modell, B. Epidemiology of Oral Clefts 2012: An International Perspective. In *Cleft Lip and Palate: Epidemiology, Aetiology and Treatment*; Karger Medical and Scientific Publishers: Basel, Switzerland, 2012; Volume 16, pp. 1–18. [CrossRef]
- Zucchero, T.M.; Cooper, M.E.; Maher, B.S.; Daack-Hirsch, S.; Nepomuceno, B.; Ribeiro, L.; Caprau, D.; Christensen, K.; Suzuki, Y.; Machida, J.; et al. Interferon Regulatory Factor 6 (*IRF6*) Gene Variants and the Risk of Isolated Cleft Lip or Palate. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2004, 351, 769–780. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Blanton, S.H.; Cortez, A.; Stal, S.; Mulliken, J.B.; Finnell, R.H.; Hecht, J.T. Variation in *IRF6* Contributes to Nonsyndromic Cleft Lip and Palate. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 2005, 137A, 259–262. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Ghassibé, M.; Bayet, B.; Revencu, N.; Verellen-Dumoulin, C.; Gillerot, Y.; Vanwijck, R.; Vikkula, M. Interferon Regulatory Factor-6: A Gene Predisposing to Isolated Cleft Lip with or without Cleft Palate in the Belgian Population. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **2005**, *13*, 1239–1242. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Scapoli, L.; Palmieri, A.; Martinelli, M.; Pezzetti, F.; Carinci, P.; Tognon, M.; Carinci, F. Strong Evidence of Linkage Disequilibrium between Polymorphisms at the *IRF6* Locus and Non-syndromic Cleft Lip with or without Cleft Palate, in an Italian Population. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **2005**, *76*, 180–183. [CrossRef]
- 57. Srichomthong, C.; Siriwan, P.; Shotelersuk, V. Significant Association between *IRF6* 820G->A and Non-Syndromic Cleft Lip with or without Cleft Palate in the Thai Population. *J. Med. Genet.* **2005**, *42*, e46. [CrossRef]
- Slayton, R.L.; Williams, L.; Murray, J.C.; Wheeler, J.J.; Lidral, A.C.; Nishimura, C.J. Genetic Association Studies of Cleft Lip and/or Palate with Hypodontia Outside the Cleft Region. *Cleft Palate Craniofacial J.* 2003, 40, 274–279. [CrossRef]
- Dewinter, G.; Quirynen, M.; Heidbüchel, K.; Verdonck, A.; Willems, G.; Carels, C. Dental Abnormalities, Bone Graft Quality, and Periodontal Conditions in Patients with Unilateral Cleft Lip and Palate at Different Phases of Orthodontic Treatment. *Cleft Palate Craniofacial J.* 2003, 40, 343–350. [CrossRef]
- 60. Vichi, L.M. Franchi Abnormalities of the Maxillary Incisors in Children with Cleft Lip and Palate. *ASDC J. Dent. Child.* **1995**, *62*, 412–417.
- 61. Roth, P.; Hirschfelder, U. Frequency of Tooth Agenesis in CLP Patients with Eruption of All Four Third Molars. *Dtsch. Zahnarztl. Z.* **1991**, *46*, 734–736.
- 62. Lopes, L.D.; Mattos, B.S.; André, M. Anomalies in Number of Teeth in Patients with Lip and/or Palate Clefts. *Braz. Dent. J.* **1991**, 2, 9–17. [PubMed]
- 63. Carretero Quezada, M.G.; Hoeksma, J.B.; van de Velde, J.P.; Prahl-Andersen, B.; Kuijpers-Jagtman, A.M. Dental Anomalies in Patients with Familial and Sporadic Cleft Lip and Palate. *J. Biol. Buccale* **1988**, *16*, 185–190. [PubMed]
- Ranta, R.; Tulensalo, T. Symmetry and Combinations of Hypodontia in Non-Cleft and Cleft Palate Children. Scand. J. Dent. Res. 1988, 96, 1–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Ranta, R. Numeric Anomalies of Teeth in Concomitant Hypodontia and Hyperdontia. J. Craniofacial Genet. Dev. Biol. 1988, 8, 245–251.
- 66. Ranta, R. Associations of Some Variables to Tooth Formation in Children with Isolated Cleft Palate. *Scand. J. Dent. Res.* **1984**, *92*, 496–502. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. van den Boogaard, M.J.H.; Dorland, M.; Beemer, F.A.; van Amstel, H.K.P. *MSX1* Mutation Is Associated with Orofacial Clefting and Tooth Agenesis in Humans. *Nat. Genet.* 2000, 24, 342–343. [CrossRef]
- Pereira, T.v.; Salzano, F.M.; Mostowska, A.; Trzeciak, W.H.; Ruiz-Linares, A.; Chies, J.A.B.; Saavedra, C.; Nagamachi, C.; Hurtado, A.M.; Hill, K.; et al. Natural Selection and Molecular Evolution in Primate *PAX9* Gene, a Major Determinant of Tooth Development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2006, 103, 5676–5681. [CrossRef]
- Silvestri, A.R.; Singh, I. The Unresolved Problem of the Third Molar: Would People Be Better off without It? J. Am. Dent. Assoc. 2003, 134, 450–455. [CrossRef]
- Song, F.; O'Meara, S.; Wilson, P.; Golder, S.; Kleijnen, J. The Effectiveness and Cost-Effectiveness of the Prophylactic Removal of Wisdom Teeth. *Health Technol. Assess.* 2000, 4, 1–55. [CrossRef]
- 71. Vastardis, H.; Karimbux, N.; Guthua, S.W.; Seidman, J.G.; Seidman, C.E. A Human *MSX1* Homeodomain Missense Mutation Causes Selective Tooth Agenesis. *Nat. Genet.* **1996**, *13*, 417–421. [CrossRef]
- 72. Xuan, K.; Jin, F.; Liu, Y.L.; Yuan, L.T.; Wen, L.Y.; Yang, F.S.; Wang, X.J.; Wang, G.H.; Jin, Y. Identification of a Novel Missense Mutation of *MSX1* Gene in Chinese Family with Autosomal-Dominant Oligodontia. *Arch. Oral Biol.* 2008, 53, 773–779. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. Zhang, H.; Hu, G.; Wang, H.; Sciavolino, P.; Iler, N.; Shen, M.M.; Abate-Shen, C. Heterodimerization of Msx and Dlx Homeoproteins Results in Functional Antagonism. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **1997**, *17*, 2920–2932. [CrossRef]
- Mostowska, A.; Biedziak, B.; Jagodzinski, P.P. Novel MSX1 Mutation in a Family with Autosomal-Dominant Hypodontia of Second Premolars and Third Molars. Arch. Oral Biol. 2012, 57, 790–795. [CrossRef]
- 75. Zhang, M.Q. Statistical Features of Human Exons and Their Flanking Regions. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **1998**, *7*, 919–932. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 76. Pawlowska, E.; Janik-Papis, K.; Wisniewska-Jarosinska, M.; Szczepanska, J.; Blasiak, J. Mutations in the Human Homeobox *MSX1* Gene in the Congenital Lack of Permanent Teeth. *Tohoku J. Exp. Med.* **2009**, *217*, 307–312. [CrossRef]
- Boeira Junior, B.R.; Echeverrigaray, S. Polymorphism in the MSX1 Gene in a Family with Upper Lateral Incisor Agenesis. Arch. Oral Biol. 2012, 57, 1423–1428. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Paixão-Côrtes, V.R.; Braga, T.; Salzano, F.M.; Mundstock, K.; Mundstock, C.A.; Bortolini, M.C. PAX9 and MSX1 Transcription Factor Genes in Non-Syndromic Dental Agenesis. Arch. Oral Biol. 2011, 56, 337–344. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 79. Lammi, L.; Arte, S.; Somer, M.; Järvinen, H.; Lahermo, P.; Thesleff, I.; Pirinen, S.; Nieminen, P. Mutations in *AXIN2* Cause Familial Tooth Agenesis and Predispose to Colorectal Cancer. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **2004**, *74*, 1043–1050. [CrossRef]
- Wilusz, C.J.; Wang, W.; Peltz, S.W. Curbing the Nonsense: The Activation and Regulation of MRNA Surveillance. *Genes Dev.* 2001, 15, 2781–2785. [CrossRef]
- Cartegni, L.; Chew, S.L.; Krainer, A.R. Listening to Silence and Understanding Nonsense: Exonic Mutations That Affect Splicing. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2002, *3*, 285–298. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hsu, W.; Zeng, L.; Costantini, F. Identification of a Domain of Axin That Binds to the Serine/Threonine Protein Phosphatase 2A and a Self-Binding Domain. J. Biol. Chem. 1999, 274, 3439–3445. [CrossRef]
- Mao, J.; Wang, J.; Liu, B.; Pan, W.; Farr, G.H.; Flynn, C.; Yuan, H.; Takada, S.; Kimelman, D.; Li, L.; et al. Low-Density Lipoprotein Receptor-Related Protein-5 Binds to Axin and Regulates the Canonical Wnt Signaling Pathway. *Mol. Cell* 2001, 7, 801–809. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liu, W.; Dong, X.; Mai, M.; Seelan, R.S.; Taniguchi, K.; Krishnadath, K.K.; Halling, K.C.; Cunningham, J.M.; Qian, C.; Christensen, E.; et al. Mutations in AXIN2 Cause Colorectal Cancer with Defective Mismatch Repair by Activating Beta-Catenin/TCF Signalling. Nat. Genet. 2000, 26, 146–147. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 85. Mostowska, A.; Biedziak, B.; Jagodzinski, P.P. Axis Inhibition Protein 2 (*AXIN2*) Polymorphisms May Be a Risk Factor for Selective Tooth Agenesis. *J. Hum. Genet.* 2006, *51*, 262–266. [CrossRef]
- Callahan, N.; Modesto, A.; Meira, R.; Seymen, F.; Patir, A.; Vieira, A.R. Axis Inhibition Protein 2 (AXIN2) Polymorphisms and Tooth Agenesis. Arch. Oral Biol. 2009, 54, 45–49. [CrossRef]
- Vieira, A.R.; Modesto, A.; Meira, R.; Barbosa, A.R.S.; Lidral, A.C.; Murray, J.C. Interferon Regulatory Factor 6 (*IRF6*) and Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptor 1 (FGFR1) Contribute to Human Tooth Agenesis. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 2007, 143A, 538–545. [CrossRef]
- 88. Vieira, A.R.; Seymen, F.; Patir, A.; Menezes, R. Evidence of Linkage Disequilibrium between Polymorphisms at the *IRF6* Locus and Isolate Tooth Agenesis, in a Turkish Population. *Arch. Oral Biol.* **2008**, *53*, 780–784. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.