



Review Functional Relationships between Long Non-Coding RNAs and Estrogen Receptor Alpha: A New Frontier in Hormone-Responsive Breast Cancer Management

Viola Melone^{1,†}, Annamaria Salvati^{1,2,†}, Noemi Brusco¹, Elena Alexandrova¹, Ylenia D'Agostino^{1,2}, Domenico Palumbo¹, Luigi Palo^{1,3}, Ilaria Terenzi¹, Giovanni Nassa¹, Francesca Rizzo¹, Giorgio Giurato¹, Alessandro Weisz^{1,2,3}, and Roberta Tarallo^{1,*}

- ¹ Laboratory of Molecular Medicine and Genomics, Department of Medicine, Surgery and Dentistry 'Scuola Medica Salernitana', University of Salerno, 84081 Baronissi, Italy
- ² Medical Genomics Program and Division of Oncology, AOU 'S. Giovanni di Dio e Ruggi d'Aragona', University of Salerno, Rete Oncologica Campana, 84131 Salerno, Italy
- ³ CRGS-Genome Research Center for Health, University of Salerno Campus of Medicine, 84081 Baronissi, Italy
- Correspondence: rtarallo@unisa.it; Tel.: +39-089-965-067
- † These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: In the complex and articulated machinery of the human genome, less than 2% of the transcriptome encodes for proteins, while at least 75% is actively transcribed into non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs). Among the non-coding transcripts, those \geq 200 nucleotides long (lncRNAs) are receiving growing attention for their involvement in human diseases, particularly cancer. Genomic studies have revealed the multiplicity of processes, including neoplastic transformation and tumor progression, in which lncRNAs are involved by regulating gene expression at epigenetic, transcriptional, and post-transcriptional levels by mechanism(s) that still need to be clarified. In breast cancer, several lncRNAs were identified and demonstrated to have either oncogenic or tumor-suppressive roles. The functional understanding of the mechanisms of lncRNA action in this disease could represent a potential for translational applications, as these molecules may serve as novel biomarkers of clinical use and potential therapeutic targets. This review highlights the relationship between lncRNAs and the principal hallmark of the luminal breast cancer phenotype, estrogen receptor α (ER α), providing an overview of new potential ways to inhibit estrogenic signaling via this nuclear receptor toward escaping resistance to endocrine therapy.

Keywords: lncRNAs; estrogen receptor alpha; estrogen signaling; breast cancer biomarkers

1. Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is the most diagnosed cancer among women and is a leading cause of death [1]. BC heterogeneity is strictly correlated with its multiple subtypes, which originate from different cells with a proper function. Tumor-forming cells derive mainly from the epithelium of the ducts or lobules that, following genetic and epigenetic alterations, undergo uncontrolled growth and the dysregulation of intracellular signaling [2,3]. The majority of BCs are considered hormone-responsive based on the expression of hormone receptors, among which the alpha receptor subtype is clinically relevant for endocrine therapy. Indeed, estrogen receptor α (ER α) has been for a long time the main therapeutic target due to its key role in the regulation of genes involved in cellular processes such as proliferation, apoptosis, invasion, metastasis, and angiogenesis [4,5]. The primary goal of endocrine therapy for ER α positive (ER α +) patient management is the blocking of estrogen signaling through the inhibition of estrogen biosynthesis by aromatase inhibitors (AIs) or by using antiestrogen drugs. The latter are grouped into two main classes: selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs), such as tamoxifen or raloxifene, and selective estrogen



Citation: Melone, V.; Salvati, A.; Brusco, N.; Alexandrova, E.; D'Agostino, Y.; Palumbo, D.; Palo, L.; Terenzi, I.; Nassa, G.; Rizzo, F.; et al. Functional Relationships between Long Non-Coding RNAs and Estrogen Receptor Alpha: A New Frontier in Hormone-Responsive Breast Cancer Management. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2023, 24, 1145. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijms24021145

Academic Editors: Francesca Forini, Giuseppina Nicolini and Manuela Cabiati

Received: 22 December 2022 Revised: 3 January 2023 Accepted: 5 January 2023 Published: 6 January 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). receptor down-regulators (SERDs), such as fulvestrant (ICI 182,780) [6,7]. Although these therapies show effectiveness in clinical practice, de novo or acquired resistance to hormone therapy remains the main problem associated with disease recurrence and progression. Several studies have explored different resistance mechanisms leading to the estrogenindependent growth of hormone receptor-positive BC following genetic and epigenetic alterations. These mechanisms include, for example, acquired mutations of the ESR1 gene in response to endocrine loss, the constitutive activation of cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK4-6), the dysregulation in the cross-talk between ER α and growth factor receptor signaling, epigenetic alterations and modifications by histone deacetylase (HDAC), as well as interactions with the tumor microenvironment and host immune response [8]. Among these, alterations in long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) expression patterns were identified as involved in different mechanisms, such as drug resistance, occurrence, and progression in multiple cancer histotypes, including BC [9]. LncRNAs belong to the large class of non-coding transcripts and, recently, have drawn attention for their functional roles in epigenetics and transcriptional and post-transcriptional processes [10], rendering them very attractive for the improvement of cancer treatment efficiency. The heterogeneity of lncRNA mechanisms of action depends on multiple factors, such as their cellular localization and interacting molecules [11].

In this review, we described the functional relationship between ER α and lncRNAs, highlighting their contribution to the regulation of crucial BC cell signaling activities. Interestingly, in this context, the binding of ER α by estrogens or the absence of hormone stimulation showed different contributions to BC progression and cancer cell survival, suggesting a new landscape that might be studied and exploited for novel potential therapeutic target identification.

2. Ligand-Induced and Constitutive Activity of ERα

As a member of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily, $ER\alpha$ is engaged in a plethora of mechanisms that could act in parallel or be altered in a tumor context, thus providing several ways to escape from the attempts to block its mitogenic activity. Furthermore, the ability of estrogen receptors to act even in the absence of hormone stimulation leads to increased challenges to functional mechanism understanding.

The knowledge of how $\text{Er}\alpha$'s protein structure relates to its activity and how this affects BC cell biological functions is critical for new drug development aiming at preventing or overcoming endocrine resistance. ER α is composed of six functional domains (A-F), including the hormone binding domain (HBD) located within the E region at the C-terminus. The well-described estrogen-dependent pathway foresees that the binding with specific ligands allows ER α to migrate into the nucleus where, acting as a transcription factor, it modulates target gene expression through either direct or indirect binding to specific chromatin loci [12].

Several lines of evidence showed that, in the absence of hormones, a plethora of posttranslational modifications (PTMs) or extracellular signals are able to mediate ER α activity, comprising growth factors, cytokines, and other hormones [13] able to maintain the basal transcriptional activity of the receptor. The unliganded receptors also bind to multiple chromatin sites, thus ensuring the constitutive expression of several coding and non-coding RNAs [14,15] to promote cell development and epithelial phenotype maintenance. Among the ER α estrogen-independent functions, RNA processing activity was observed [16]; this activity was also shared by ligand-activated receptors [17], suggesting a new landscape that might be studied and exploited for novel potential therapeutic target identification.

Both in ligand-induced and hormone-independent receptor activity, $ER\alpha$ plays a central role in breast carcinogenesis by interacting with a multitude of co-regulators to modulate target gene transcription. Once in the nucleus, $ER\alpha$ becomes a key component of multiple protein complexes involved in crucial functions for tumor cell proliferation and survival. Interestingly, a substantial fraction of $ER\alpha$ molecular partners is essential for cancer cell survival [18], as they are involved in transcriptional machinery assembly,

splicing events and epigenetic modifications [19]. Most ER α -associated co-regulators were shown as ligand-specific, and the functional interplay among the molecules is often mediated by RNA-protein interactions [20,21]. Among the RNA molecules, the class of non-coding RNAs is increasingly attractive for novel approaches in the treatment of cancer, as their deregulation was specifically linked to known tumors due to their tissue specificity, as well as to their association with cancer hallmarks [22,23].

3. IncRNAs and Cancer

Cancer is mostly due to genetic mutations affecting gene networks to different extents [24]. Many of these mutations lie within the non-coding regions of the genome that carry out their functions as RNA molecules [25]. Indeed, despite the fact that ~70% of the human genome is transcribed, only 2% comprises protein-coding gene sequences [26]. The remaining non-coding transcripts are classified according to their length; most of them are small molecules (small non-coding RNAs, sncRNAs), but others may be more than 200 nucleotides in length and, for this reason, they are called long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) [27]. lncRNAs are receiving growing concerns in human diseases, particularly cancer. Next-generation sequencing (NGS) has revealed thousands of lncRNAs whose aberrant expression is associated with different cancer types, including BC [27].

They are generally defined as molecules longer than 200 nucleotides with many features shared with mRNAs since they are transcribed by RNA polymerase II, 5' capped, polyadenylated, spliced, and, in many cases, exported from the nucleus, but they lack open reading frames (ORFs) [28,29]. Currently, there are different classification methods that group lncRNAs depending on major features, which are based on their genomic location, effects exerted on DNA sequences, and mechanisms of action. According to their position within the genome, lncRNAs are classified into five categories, namely, sense, antisense, bi-directional, intronic, and intergenic lncRNAs [30].

Recent findings have revealed that lncRNAs are implicated, at different stages, in cancer development by interacting with DNA, RNA, proteins, or a combination of these. The mechanisms by which lncRNAs contribute to the modulation of regulatory pathways that promote cancer development are different. Indeed, an increasing number of studies reported that lncRNAs play a pivotal role in regulating all steps of gene expression, such as transcription, post-transcription, translation, and epigenetic modification [31]. In the latter case, it is believed that lncRNAs exert, above all, a repressive action against target genes through histone modifications, the remodeling of chromatin structures, or DNA methylation. For instance, the most well-characterized lncRNAs, such as HOTAIR, lincROR, ANRIL, H19, and XIST, repress gene transcription by recruiting histone-modifying or chromatin-remodeling proteins [32]. Additionally, lncRNAs could act as oncogenes or tumor-suppressing factors, adding a new layer of complexity to the molecular architecture of human cancers [33].

4. Current Methods for the Functional Analysis of IncRNAs

The elucidation of the molecular mechanisms underlying the action of lncRNAs represents a challenging task that may be accomplished by the application of multiple assays, briefly summarized below (Table 1). The determination of the intracellular localization of lncRNAs represents an approach providing the first hint of possible lncRNA-specific cellular functions and may be fulfilled by the application of methods such as quantitative PCR (qPCR) [34], RNA-fluorescent in situ hybridization (RNA-FISH) [35], RNA-FISH combined with stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM) [36], and lncRNA labeling with aptamers linked to fluorescent tags [37,38]. A more profound functional characterization may be achieved after lncRNA knock-down mediated by RNA silencing with small interfering RNAs (siRNAs), short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs), antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs), or via knock-outs/knock-ins using CRISPR/Cas9 technologies [39]. Another important milestone in the discovery of lncRNA functions is the establishment of secondary and three-dimensional lncRNA structures. Chemical or enzymatic probing, shotgun secondary structure fragment analysis [40], or computational prediction [41,42] are the most popular approaches adopted to uncover secondary structures. The determination of threedimensional structures and tertiary lncRNA interactions, instead, is generally achieved using solution-state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy [43,44], small-angle scattering (SAS) [45], X-ray diffraction, or cryo-electron microscopy (reviewed in [39]). Finally, computational modeling may be applied to ascertain insights into secondary and 3D RNA structure prediction [46,47]. Since lncRNAs do not act alone but in association with proteins or within multi-molecule complexes, the determination of lncRNA-protein interactions (LPIs) is crucial to understanding their molecular functions. Based on whether the protein or RNA component of the lncRNA-protein complex is targeted, protein-centric and RNA-centric LPI determination assays are distinguished (see [48] for a detailed overview).

Protein-centric assays take advantage of immunoprecipitation followed by RNA extraction that may be further analyzed using quantitative PCR (qPCR), microarrays, or high-throughput sequencing. Many of these methods are based on the cross-linking immunoprecipitation (CLIP) assay [49,50], which provides evidence of lncRNA-protein interactions. In case the location of a protein binding site/sites within a lncRNA and the relative differences in their binding strengths are needed, methods, such as TRIBE (targets of RNA-binding proteins identified by editing) [51] and DO-RIP-seq (digestion-optimized RNA immunoprecipitation cDNA library sequencing) [52] may be applied.

Concerning RNA-centric methods, the determination of proteins bound to an RNA of interest may be fulfilled in vitro with RNA-affinity purification followed by mass spectrometry [53–56], protein microarrays [57], or in vivo using in-cell RNA-protein cross-linking followed by the isolation of target lncRNA-protein complexes with biotinylated antisense probes [54,58,59] or peptide nucleic acid oligomers [55]. Otherwise, a histidine-biotin (HB) tag may be attached in vivo to the lncRNA of interest, thus allowing efficient HB-tag-based affinity RNA purification [56]. In case the experimental plan aims to interrogate lncRNA-chromatin interactions, chromatin isolation with RNA purification (ChIRP) [59,60] or RNA chromosome conformation capture (R3C) [61] may be applied to map lncRNA binding sites within the genome.

In the end, the biophysical characterization of quantitative and qualitative LPIs may be achieved via the application of methods such as the electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) [62], filter-binding assays [62], or surface plasmon resonance [63,64].

The data obtained downstream of the applications of LPI determination methods are collected in databases of lncRNA-protein interactions (Starbase [65], RNAInter [66], POSTAR [67], NPInter [68], and RAIN [69]) or RNA-binding motifs (ATtRACT [70] and oRNAment [71]). These curated databases are further exploited by LPI prediction algorithms that use molecular docking or machine learning approaches that were extensively described by Philip et al. [72].

Intriguingly, recent studies have indicated that some lncRNAs contain small open reading frames (less than 300 nucleotides long) encoding for short peptides that may regulate key biological processes, such as muscle function, mRNA stability, and gene expression (reviewed in [73,74]). Since these lncRNAs combine both protein-coding and non-coding functions, they were suggested to be reclassified as bi-functional RNAs [73]. Several studies demonstrated the importance of this lncRNA subtype in TNBC development. In particular, it was shown that translated from LINC00665, micro peptide CIP2A-BP inhibits the metastatic potential of cancer cells [75], whereas lncRNA LINC00908 encodes a 60-aa polypeptide ASRPS inhibiting angiogenesis in mouse xenografts and spontaneous BC models [76]. Methods for the identification of lncRNA-encoding peptides are based on ribosome profiling, mass spectrometry, and global translation initiation sequencing (GTI-seq) mass spectrometry (reviewed in [77]). Moreover, several computational methods for the prediction of long non-coding RNA peptides were developed (reviewed in [74]).

Table 1. Selected methods for functional lncRNA analysis.

Method	Reference
Determination of the intracellular lncRNA localization	
Quantitative PCR (qPCR)	[34]
RNA-fluorescent in situ hybridization (RNA-FISH)	[35]
RNA-FISH combined with stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM)	[36]
IncRNA labeling with aptamers linked to fluorescent tags	[37,38]
IncRNA depletion or over-expression	
Small interfering RNA (siRNA) silencing	[78,79]
Short hairpin RNA (shRNA) silencing	[80]
Antisense oligonucleotide (ASO) silencing	[79]
CRISPR/Cas9 knock-out/knock-in	[81]
The establishment of secondary and three-dimensional lncRNA structures	
Shotgun secondary structure fragment analysis	[40]
Solution-state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy	[43,44]
Small-angle scattering (SAS)	[45]
X-ray diffraction and cryo-electron microscopy	[39]
Computational prediction	[41,42,46]
The determination of lncRNA-protein interactions (LPIs)	
Cross-linking immunoprecipitation (CLIP)	[49,50]
Targets of RNA-binding proteins identified by editing (TRIBE)	[51]
Digestion-optimized RNA immunoprecipitation cDNA library sequencing (DO-RIP-seq)	[52]
RNA-affinity purification followed by mass spectrometry	[53–56]
RNA-affinity purification followed by protein microarrays	[57]
The isolation of target RNA molecules by biotinylated antisense probes	[54,58]
The isolation of target RNA molecules by peptide nucleic acid oligomers	[55]
HB-tag-based affinity RNA purification	[56]
Chromatin isolation with RNA purification (ChIRP)	[59,60]
RNA chromosome conformation capture (R3C)	[61]
LPI computational prediction	[72]
The biophysical characterization of quantitative and qualitative LPIs	
Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)	[62]
Filter-binding assays	[82]
Surface plasmon resonance	[63,64]
The evaluation of the coding capacity of lncRNAs	
Ribosome profiling	[83]
Mass spectrometry	[84]
Global translation initiation sequencing (GTI-seq)	[73]

5. LncRNA Mechanisms in Breast Cancer

Since these molecules appear to be involved in multiple biological processes, such as cell proliferation, differentiation, chromosome remodeling, epigenetic modulation, and transcriptional and post-transcriptional modifications [85,86], understanding their regulation would provide new insights into cancer biology. Recent studies have proved that

some lncRNAs are abnormally up-regulated in a variety of BC cell lines, and their altered expression may contribute to cancer initiation and progression [87]. Other evidence also demonstrates that estrogen stimulation affects the expression levels of many lncRNAs in BC [88]. Specifically, lncRNAs could act as either promoters or inhibitors of metastasis, but the complete scenario by which they concur to BC initiation is still far from being completely unraveled. In hormone-responsive BC, understanding the functional cooperation between lncRNAs and ER α could be useful for the identification of specific mechanisms involved in antiestrogen resistance, thus providing alternative ways to escape it. Moreover, since one of the most adopted endocrine treatments is represented by aromatase inhibitors, which deplete the organism of estrogens, it is also important to understand the functional link between breast-acting lncRNAs and unliganded (constitutive) ER α .

In Table 2 and Figure 1, all the lncRNAs whose roles were investigated in this review are summarized.



Figure 1. Schematic representation of the $ER\alpha$ -lncRNAs interactions reviewed in the text.

5.1. Estrogen-Inducible lncRNAs

The application of NGS methods, particularly GRO and RNA-Seq, allowed the identification of a huge number of lncRNAs, many of them appearing to be regulated by estrogenic signaling or having specific roles in estrogen-dependent transcriptional regulation.

5.1.1. lncRNA H19

The maternally imprinted oncofetal lncRNA H19, physiologically expressed during embryogenesis and down-regulated at birth, was demonstrated to be re-expressed in a variety of cancers and act through different mechanisms [89]. Aberrant expression levels of H19 were identified in different cancer types, including BC, where it acts as an oncogenic factor [90]. A relationship between H19 expression and hormone receptors (both PR and ER) was previously proposed [91]. H19 appeared to be over-expressed in Er α + MCF7 cells compared to the Er α - MDA-MB-231 cell line [92]. Sun et al. demonstrated that stimulation with 17 β -estradiol produced a dose and time-dependent induction of H19 expression in MCF-7 cells. This effect was supposed to be Er α -mediated since Er α inhibition, either through the treatment with ICI 182,780, the specific Er α antagonist, or knock-down, determined its reduction [92]. On the other hand, H19 knock-down decreased BC cell survival and blocked estrogen-induced cell growth, while its over-expression induced cell proliferation [92]. Another study demonstrated the involvement of H19 in the symmetric division of breast cancer stem-like cells (BrCSCs), which resulted in increasing levels of self-renewing [93]. BrCSCs are highly implicated in tumor generation, resistance, and recurrence and, in the cited study, it was demonstrated that H19 inhibited Let-7c expression by acting as a ceRNA (competing endogenous RNA), thus affecting the estrogen-activated Wnt pathway and determining the BrCSC symmetric division [93].

5.1.2. HOX Transcript Antisense RNA (HOTAIR)

Similar to H19, the expression of the lncRNA HOX transcript antisense RNA (HO-TAIR) was regulated in an estrogen-dependent manner [94]. HOTAIR belongs to the mammalian HOX locus, and its structure is devoid of any stem-loops, suggesting this is a pre-miRNA [95]. This lncRNA could be considered a potential diagnostic and clinically actionable marker for different cancer types [95], including BC since its expression profile appears to be up-regulated in both primary tumors and distant metastases compared to adjacent normal tissue [96]. HOTAIR is transcribed from the antisense strand of the HOXC gene locus in chromosome 12, and its promoter contains multiple functional estrogen-response elements (EREs) [94].

From a functional point of view, HOTAIR is involved in epigenetic regulation and plays an important role in several cellular pathways by interacting with polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) [95], which modulates epigenetic silencing in several processes, including neoplastic transformation [97]. PRC2 is a histone methyl transferase complex mainly composed of three major subunits: EZH2, the key factor for methyl transferase activity, SUZ12 and EED, which increase EZH2 RNA binding affinity [95]. HOTAIR localizes and targets PRC2 genome-wide [98] and functions as a molecular scaffold, also interacting with the LSD1 (lysine-specific demethylase 1) complex to regulate gene expression by affecting histone H3 demethylation at lysine 4 [95]. HOTAIR binds PRC2 to the 5' domain and LSD1 to the 3' domain affecting, through these two complexes, chromatin remodeling and the expression of different genes involved in a variety of cell functions [98,99].

Concerning estrogenic signaling, Bhan et al. identified the mechanism by which $Er\alpha$ recruits multiple ER-coregulators, such as histone methylases MLL1 and MLL3 and CBP/p300, and binds the HOTAIR promoter region in an E2-dependent manner [94]. Particularly, the HOTAIR promoter is targeted by histone H3K4-trimethylation, histone acetylation, and RNA polymerase II in the presence of E2; on the contrary, the knock-down of both $Er\alpha$ and MLLs down-regulates E2-induced HOTAIR expression [94].

5.1.3. lncRNA ERINA

The intergenic lncRNA ERINA (estrogen-inducible lncRNA) was identified to be highly expressed in multiple cancer types, especially in Er α + BC [100]. ERINA was described as an estrogen-responsive oncogenic factor because its knock-down inhibits cell-cycle progression and cancer cell proliferation both in vitro and in xenograft in vivo models, while its over-expression promotes cell growth and cell-cycle progression [101].

This functions as an ER α -responsive gene: an ER-binding element was identified within the ERINA intronic site, and the enrichment of H3K27Ac suggested that this region functions as an enhancer to mediate the estrogen-responsive induction of ERINA [101].

Its oncogenic roles were found to be directly related to an interaction with E2F transcription factor 1 (E2F1). ERINA over-expression induces the sequestration of tumor suppressor retinoblastoma protein 1 (RB1), which normally binds to E2F1, and the release of E2F1, causing the over-expression of its target genes particularly involved in cell-cycle progression [101]. These findings also suggested that ERINA over-expression may contribute to drug resistance and the poor survival of patients with ER α + BC not responding to endocrine therapies [101]. 5.1.4. Myocardial Infarction-Associated Transcript (MIAT)

Another lncRNA regulated via estrogenic signaling is the myocardial infarctionassociated transcript (MIAT) [102].

Significant evidence points to the involvement of MIAT in various diseases and cellular processes [103–106], and the abnormal expression of this lncRNA was observed in multiple malignancies and in BC [107,108].

Concerning BC, its over-expression was more likely observed in ER α + BC tissues than in ER α - ones [102]. Li et al. demonstrated that estrogen signaling activation using diethylstilbestrol (DES) allows the dose and time-dependent up-regulation of MIAT in MCF-7 cells. ER α inhibition through either silencing or pharmacological blockade with the specific antagonist ICI 182,780 proved that this occurred through an ER α -dependent mechanism. Moreover, MIAT knock-down allowed decreased DES-induced MCF-7 cell proliferation, while its over-expression increased MCF-7 cell growth [102]. In another study, it was demonstrated that MIAT knock-down allowed the suppression of the epithelialmesenchymal transition (EMT), decreased the migration and invasion of MCF-7 BC cell lines, and inhibited tumor growth in vivo [109]. In this study, MIAT was described as a ceRNA in the modulation of the tumor suppressor dual specificity phosphatase 7 (DUSP7) by uptaking miR-155-5p [109].

5.1.5. Long Intergenic Non-Protein Coding RNA 472 (LINC00472) and Long Intergenic Non-Protein Coding RNA 1016 (LINC01016)

Among the lncRNAs regulated by ERα, LINC00472 and LINC01016 were also identified [96].

The expression of intergenic LINC00472 correlates with BC progression and patient survival; particularly, its over-expression was found to be associated with low tumor grade, early-stage disease, estrogen or progesterone receptor positivity, and less cancer aggressiveness [110], while lower expression was associated with aggressive tumor features and unfavorable disease outcomes [110,111].

In addition, it was demonstrated to be estrogen-responsive, and an ER α -binding site within its promoter region was predicted and confirmed [111]. Indeed, LINC00472 is up-regulated by ER α , and its inhibition correlates to poor tumor growth and improved patient outcomes [96].

The second intergenic lncRNA, LINC01016, was identified to be highly expressed in BC and a direct ER α transcriptional target [112] since the receptor binds within its promoter region [113]. Its expression correlates with ER α expression in clinical samples and shows prognostic significance in relation to patients' survival; its over-expression was observed more specifically in ER α + tumors with more favorable clinical outcomes [114].

5.1.6. IncRNA DSCAM-AS1 Regulation by Unliganded ERα

Among ER α activities, it is important to define its constitutive regulatory role in the absence of ligand stimulation. This receptor plays a hormone-independent function in the maintenance of BC cell's epithelial phenotype. Miano et al. reported the lncRNA DSCAM-AS1 among the genes specifically regulated by unliganded ER α (Apo-ER α) in MCF-7 cells [113]. DSCAM-AS1 is a cancer-related lncRNA over-expressed in luminal A, B, and HER2-positive BCs [115]. This lncRNA is implicated in multiple tumorigenic processes, including DNA replication and chromosome segregation [96]. In another study, further evidence of DSCAM-AS1 expression regulated by ER α was demonstrated [116]. In particular, the authors demonstrated the interaction between ER α and the DSCAM-AS1 promoter and how the association between DSCAM-AS1 and hnRNPL led to a more aggressive cancer phenotype [116]. In addition, the knock-down of DSCAM-AS1 reduced the growth of ER α + BC cells, diminished EMT markers, and limited cell colony formation [96,113].

5.2. IncRNAs Able to Regulate ERa Expression

lncRNAs are involved in different steps of gene expression, including transcription, mRNA stability, translation, and epigenetic modifications [117]. Among the lncRNAs that stabilize ER α mRNA, TMPO antisense RNA1 (TMPO-AS1) was identified by Mitobe et al. [118]. Its functions are widely recognized in various diseases, especially in human cancers, and previous studies demonstrated that this acts as an oncogenic factor in colorectal cancer, osteosarcoma, cervical cancer, and non-small cell lung cancer [119].

TMPO-AS1 stabilizes ER α transcripts and positively regulates the expression of the receptor through direct binding to ESR1 mRNA [118]. In this way, TMPO-AS1 promotes cell growth and proliferation of ER α + BC cells both in vivo and in vitro [118].

Another mechanism involved in ER α stability maintenance was defined for lncRNA MIR2052HG [120], which foresees that this lncRNA regulates lemur tyrosine kinase 3 LMTK3, which is responsible for ER α stability through the PKC/MEK/ERK/RSK1 axis via EGR1 (early growth response protein 1) [120]. Functionally, MIR2052HG interacts with EGR1 and facilitates its recruitment to the LMTK3 gene promoter. On its end, LMTK3 maintains ER α levels both by reducing protein kinase C (PKC) activity, determining an increment of ESR1 transcription through AKT/FOXO3, and by reducing ER α degradation mediated by the PKC/MEK/ERK/RSK1 axis [120]. To confirm this evidence, the depletion of MIR2052HG in BC cells decreased LMTK3 expression and cell growth [120].

In summary, MIR2052HG directly interacts with the EGR1 protein, enhancing LMTK3 transcription and thus sustaining ESR1 expression and stabilizing ER α protein [120]. Furthermore, the inhibition of MIR2052HG in BC cell lines could decrease ER α expression and cell proliferation [121].

5.3. Enhancer RNAs (eRNAs)

In the beginning, enhancers were defined as DNA fragments located on chromatin, controlling transcription as cis-acting factors. Subsequently, the transcripts derived from active enhancers were identified, and these were named enhancer RNAs (eRNAs) [122–125]. Enhancer RNAs (eRNAs) may be lncRNAs transcribed bi-directionally by polymerase II from the DNA sequences of enhancer regions marked by H3K27ac and H3K4me1 [126]. Their function is not well defined, but it is known that these lncRNAs are able to increase the expression of target genes and stabilize the binding of active transcription factors when stimulated [126]. On the one hand, eRNAs link DNA enhancers generating them, to target gene promoters, thus aiding functional chromosome architecture formation [127]. On the other hand, they act during the release of paused RNA polymerase II in order to induce transcriptional activation [128,129].

In estrogenic signaling, active ER α is predominantly located at the enhancer regions [130,131]. Genome-wide studies showed that this receptor, after the activation by 17 β -estradiol, could induce a global increase in the transcription of eRNAs close to the enhancers of estrogen-regulated coding genes [127]. Notably, it confirmed the existence of two categories of enhancers, both of which showed strong ER α binding and generated RNAs that could be activated or repressed following estrogen exposition [132]. Using the genome-wide nascent transcript profiles in BC cells, Yang et al. identified a group of eRNAs essential for estrogen-induced transcriptional repression [132]. In particular, they described the mechanisms by which the eRNAs TM4SF1 and EFEMP1 not only stabilize promoter-enhancer interactions but also recruit ER α to the enhancer regions to facilitate the formation of a functional transcriptional complex and promote the association of the histone demethylase KDM2A, which dismisses RNA polymerase II from the designated enhancers and suppresses the transcription of target genes [132]. ER α directly binds eRNAs through its DNA-binding domain [132].

In another study using ChIP-Seq, a global profile of ER α co-activator thymine DNA glycosylase (TDG), which plays an essential role in DNA demethylation, was generated in response to 17 β -estradiol in the MCF7 BC cell line [133]. Following estrogen stimulation, TDG was co-recruited with ER α , RNA Pol II, and other co-regulators to enhancer regions

marked by histone modifications indicative of active enhancers [133]. Contrarily, TDG depletion inhibited the estrogen-mediated transcription of eRNAs and the transcription of ER α -target genes [133].

Table 2. Cellular functions of lncRNAs in BC.

LncRNAs	Expression in BC	Regulation	Cellular Functions	References
lncRNA H19	Up-regulation	Estrogen-dependent	Proliferation, tumorigenesis, migration, invasion, and EMT	[90,92,93]
HOTAIR (HOX transcript antisense RNA	Up-regulation	Estrogen-dependent	Proliferation, invasion, migration, survival, epigenetic regulation, and chemotherapy resistance	[94,97]
LncRNA ERINA	Up-regulation	Estrogen-dependent	Proliferation, survival, and chemotherapy resistance	[101]
MIAT (myocardial infarction-associated transcript)	Up-regulation	Estrogen-dependent	Proliferation, migration, invasion, chemotherapy resistance, and EMT	[102]
LINC00472	Up-regulation	Estrogen-dependent	Proliferation, survival, migration, and invasion	[110,111]
LINC01016	Up-regulation	Estrogen-dependent	Proliferation and survival	[114]
LncRNA DSCAM-AS1	Up-regulation	Estrogen-independent	Tumorigenic processes, DNA replication, chromosome, segregation, survival, and EMT	[113,116]
TMPO-AS1 (TMPO antisense RNA1)	Up-regulation	Regulation of ERα expression	Proliferation and cell growth	[118]
MIR2052HG	Up-regulation	Regulation of ERα expression	Proliferation and cell growth	[120,121]

6. Prognostic and Clinical Significance of lncRNAs in Hormone-Responsive BC Treatments

The inhibition of estrogenic signaling with ET is an effective treatment for ER α + BC tumors. Unfortunately, the development of endocrine therapy resistance (ETR) is a frequent event resulting in disease relapse and decreased overall patient survival. In the context of lncRNAs, some of these molecules were described as involved in ETR mechanisms.

Estrogen-regulated lncRNA H19 was previously described to play a significant role in the estrogen-induced proliferation of $ER\alpha + BC$ cells. In addition, its involvement in ETR mechanisms was also observed. This lncRNA seems to be important also for the proliferation and survival of ET-resistant cells [134]. The treatment of resistant cells with tamoxifen or fulvestrant allows for increments in H19 expression, while its decreased expression overcomes resistance phenomena in these cells [134]. Basak et al. demonstrated that, in ETR cells, H19 regulates ER α expression at both the mRNA and protein levels; particularly, H19 protects the receptor against fulvestrant-mediated down-regulation [134]. In these cell lines, H19 expression was found to be regulated by Notch and HGF signaling, and the pharmacological inhibition of these pathways significantly reverted the resistance to tamoxifen and fulvestrant in an H19-dependent manner [134]. In summary, H19 expression acts as an ER α modulator, and subsequently, ER α levels could be decreased by blocking Notch and c-MET receptor signaling, which helps in overcoming the resistance to fulvestrant and tamoxifen [134]. Another study demonstrated that H19 knock-out allows the down-regulation of EMT-related transcription factors in tamoxifen-resistant BC cells through the inhibition of Wnt/ β -catenin pathway activation [135].

The lncRNA HOTAIR was described to be a predictor of adverse outcomes in cancer; in BC, its high expression was linked to the mechanisms of metastasis and drug resistance, especially in eR α + tumors [136]. A higher expression level of this lncRNA was observed in tamoxifen-resistant cells, while its down-regulation inhibited the colony formation abilities of these cells [136].

The lncRNA urothelial carcinoma-associated protein1 (UCA1) was shown to have high expression levels in tamoxifen-resistant BC cells, where it conferred resistance by regulating the EZH2/p21 axis [137]. UCA1 expression was also detected in the exosomes released from tamoxifen-resistant BC cells; treating MCF-7 BC cells with these exosomes in vitro resulted in increased resistance to tamoxifen in this model [138]. Furthermore, UCA1 could also activate PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling, which is known to be involved in tamoxifen-resistance acquisition [139].

Another lncRNA associated with the ETR mechanism is MAFG-AS1, whose overexpression is associated with poor prognoses in ER α + BC. Moreover, the down-regulation of this lncRNA determines cell proliferation inhibition and apoptosis induction [140]. The gene locus of MAFG-AS1 contains an ERE element [140]. Functionally, a cross-talk between estrogenic signaling and cell-cycle regulation by MAFG-AS1 and CDK2 that could promote tamoxifen resistance was described. This mechanism promotes CDK2 expression by sponging miR-339-5p; both MAFG-AS1 and CDK2 were found to be up-regulated in tamoxifen-resistant cells [140].

In addition, lncRNAs may act as miRNA molecular sponges to promote drug resistance in BC. An example was represented by the lncRNA ROR, whose decreased expression could attenuate the resistance of BC cells to tamoxifen [141]. This lncRNA acts as a molecular sponge of miR-205 to increase the expression of ZEB1 and ZEB2, promoting EMT and tamoxifen resistance [142]. In another study, it was demonstrated that ROR could induce tamoxifen resistance by activating MAPK/ERK signaling; ROR promoted the degradation of dual specificity phosphatase7 (DUSP7), which is an important inhibitor of MAPK/ERK signaling, leading to tamoxifen resistance [143].

7. Conclusions

A recent study defined the novel and interesting role of ER α acting as an RNA-binding protein [17]. This finding opened a window above the other classes of $\text{Er}\alpha$ -interacting partners, extending its functional roles not only in the nuclear compartment but also in the cytoplasm. Xu et al. reported how $ER\alpha$, by directly binding to specific mRNAs in the cytoplasm, promotes BC progression and resistance to drug therapies [17]. Among the several RNA molecules that $ER\alpha$ may bind to, there are also non-coding RNAs and particularly lncRNAs [17], whose implications in BC progression and induction were previously demonstrated. Recently, the ER α -lncRNA interaction was further investigated from a biochemical point of view, identifying the amino acid region of ER α as most likely involved in the direct association with RNA molecules [144]. This adds another level of complexity to the already complicated regulation of estrogen signaling by ER α . Indeed, in addition to the most investigated functional cooperation, the direct interaction between the estrogen receptor and specific lncRNA molecules could profoundly influence the transcriptional and post-transcriptional activity of the receptor, its chromatin binding, and the possible association with nascent transcripts, the stabilization of target mRNAs, their nucleus-cytoplasm translocation, and the consequent modulation of protein expression levels. Although there is still much to clarify about the functional roles of lncRNAs in BC, due to their involvement in estrogenic signaling, they offer a novel opportunity in the field of molecular targeted therapy that may escape the mechanisms of endocrine resistance. Indeed, if compared to mRNAs, lncRNAs are more stable and tissue-specific, rendering these molecules also potential biomarkers for the early diagnosis of BC and putative therapeutic targets [145]. For example, their different expression levels could help to discriminate between normal and tumor tissues or the different stages of BC [146]. Despite huge advances, there are still many challenges that must be faced and overcome; the

major limiting factor concerning the application of lncRNAs in clinical practice is the lack of effective detection, off-target effects, the high number of their isoforms, the design of specific small molecule drugs, and their delivery methods [147]. Nowadays, several research lines are exploring novel valid strategies to target lncRNAs in order to improve their detection in the circulatory system [148]. The main lncRNA-based clinical approaches involve the application of RNAi-mediated gene silencing, the use of synthetic oligonucleotides, such as locked nucleic acid-modified oligonucleotides (LNAs), or the application of genome editing tools, such as the CRISPR/Cas9 system to specifically silence oncogenic lncRNAs [146]. Although there is still much to investigate, in this review, the interactions between some well-characterized lncRNAs and ER α were discussed, particularly paying attention to the molecular mechanisms by which these ncRNAs are regulated or regulate estrogenic signaling and unearthing their potential roles as biomarkers or therapeutic targets.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, R.T., V.M., A.S. and A.W.; writing—original draft preparation, V.M., A.S., N.B., E.A., Y.D., L.P. and I.T.; writing—review and editing, R.T., G.N., F.R., G.G. and A.W.; visualization, D.P. and V.M.; supervision, R.T.; funding acquisition, A.W., G.N. and G.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the Italian Association for Cancer Research (grant number IG-23068), the University of Salerno (Fondi FARB 2022, CUP: ORSA223095), Regione Campania, Progetto GENOMAeSALUTE (POR Campania FESR 2014/2020, azione 1.5; CUP: B41C17000080007), Ministero della Salute (grant number GR-2018-12366312) and Ministero dell'Università e Ricerca (Progr. POC R&I 2014-2020 "Dottorati innovativi con caratterizzazione industriale", XXXV Ciclo, CUP D52G19000580006 and Progr. PNRR "Dottorati innovativi che soddisfano i fabbisogni di innovazione delle imprese", XXXVIII Ciclo, CUP: D42B22000460006 to V.M. and L.P. respectively). V.M. and L.P. are PhD Students of the Research Doctorate in "Translational Medicine for Development and Active Ageing" (DOT1328517) of the University of Salerno. A.S. and Y.D. are residents of the Postgraduate School in Clinical Pathology and Clinical Biochemistry of the University of Salerno. I.T. is a PhD student of the Research Doctorate in "Molecular and Translational Oncology and Innovative Medical-Surgical Technologies" of the University of Catanzaro "Magna Graecia". E.A. was supported by Fondazione Umberto Veronesi.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

AI	Aromatase Inhibitor
AKT	Ak strain Transforming gene
ANRIL	Antisense Non-coding RNA in the INK4 Locus
ASOs	Antisense Oligonucleotides
BC	Breast Cancer
BrCSCs	Breast Cancer Stem-like Cells
c-MET	Mesenchymal Epithelial Transition cellular oncogene
CBP	cAMP-regulated-enhancer (CRE)-binding protein (CREB)-Binding Protein
CDK2	Cyclin-dependent Kinase 2
CDK4-6	Cyclin-dependent Kinase 4-6
ceRNA	Competing endogenous RNA
ChIP-Seq	Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Sequencing
ChIRP	Chromatin Isolation by RNA Purification
CLIP	Cross-Linking Immunoprecipitation
CRISPR/Cas9	Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats-CRISPR associated
	protein 9
DES	Diethylstilbestrol

DO-RIP-seq DSCAM-AS1	Digestion-Optimized RNA Immunoprecipitation cDNA library sequencing Down Syndrome Cell Adhesion Molecule antisense1
DUSP7	Dual Specificity Prosphatase 7
E2F1	E2F transcription factor 1
EED	Embryonic Ectoderm Development
EFEMILI ECD1	EGF-containing fibulin-like extracellular matrix protein 1
EGKI	Early Growth Response 1
EMSA	Electrophoretic mobility shift assay
EMI	Epithelial-mesenchymal transition
EKES	Estrogen Response Element
ERINA	Estrogen inducible IncRNA
EKK	Extracellular signal-Kegulated Kinase
eKNAs ED	Enhancer KNAs
EKα	Estrogen Receptor α
$ER\alpha +$	Estrogen Receptor a positive
ESKI	Estrogen Receptor I
EI	Endocrine Therapy
EIK	Endocrine Therapy Resistance
EZH2	Enhancer of Zeste Homolog 2
FOXO3	Forkhead Box U3
GRO-Seq	Global Run-On sequencing
GII-seq	Global Iranslation Initiation sequencing
H3K27Ac	Histone 3 lysine 27 acetylation
H3K4	Histore H3 lysine K4
HB	Histidine-Biotin
HBD	Hormone Binding Domain
HDAC	Histone Deacetylase
HEK2	Human Epidermal growth factor Receptor 2
HGF	Hepatocyte Growth Factor
hnKNPL	Heterogeneous nuclear Kibonucleoprotein L
HOTAIR	HOX Transcript Antisense KNA
ICI	Fulvestrant, ICI 182,780
KDM2A	Lysine demethylase 2A
linc-KOK	Long Intergenic Non-Protein Coding KNA, Regulator Of Reprogramming
LINC004/2	Long Intergenic Non-Protein Coding KNA 472
LINCUIUI6	Long Intergenic Non-Protein Coding KNA 1016
LIVIINO	Lemur Tyrosine Kinase 3
LINA	Locked huciele acid-modified ongonucleondes
INCKINA H19	Long non-coding RNA FI19
	Long non-coding RNA Regulator Or Reprogramming
IIICKINAS I DI	Long non-couling KNAS
	Lucino Specific Demothylace 1
LODI MAEC ASI	MAE BZID Transcription Eactor C AS1
MAPG-ASI MADV	MAR DZIF Hanschphon Factor G -AST
MEK	Mitogen-activated protein kinase
MIAT	Muccardial Infarction-Associated Transcript
$miR_{-155-5n}$	microRNA-155-5p
miR-339-5p	microRNA-339-5p
MIR2052HC	MIR2052 Host Cane
MIR200211C	Mixed Lineage Leukemianrotein-1
MLL3	Mixed Lineage Leukemiaprotein-3
MLLS	Mixed Lineage Leukemias
mRNA	messenger RNA
mTOR	Mammalian Target Of Rapamycin
ncRNAs	Non-coding RNAs
NGS	Next-generation sequencing
NMR	Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
	0

14 0	of 1	19
-------------	------	----

ORF	Open Reading Frame
PI3K	Phosphatidylinositol-3 Kinase
РКС	Protein Kinase C
PR	Progesterone Receptor
PRC2	Polycomb Repressive Complex 2
PTM	Post-Translational Modification
qPCR	Quantitative PCR
R3C	RNA chromosome conformation capture
RB1	Retinoblastoma protein 1
RNA Pol II	RNA Polymerase II
RNA-FISH	RNA-Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization
RNA-Seq	RNA Sequencing
RSK1	Ribosomal S6 Kinase 1
SAS	Small-angle Scattering
SERDs	Selective Estrogen Receptor Down-regulators
SERMs	Selective Estrogen Receptor Modulators
shRNAs	Short hairpin RNAs
siRNAs	Small interfering RNAs
sncRNAs	Small non-coding RNAs
STORM	Stochastic Optical Reconstruction mMicroscopy
SUZ12	Suppressor of Zeste 12 homolog
TDG	Thymine DNA glycosylase
TM4SF1	Transmembrane-4 L-six family member-1
TMPO	Thymopoietin
TMPO-AS1	TMPO antisense RNA1
TRIBE	Targets of RNA-binding proteins Identified By Editing
UCA1	Urothelial Carcinoma-Associated protein1
XIST	X-Inactive Specific Transcript
ZEB1	Zinc Finger E-Box Binding Homeobox 1
ZEB2	Zinc Finger E-Box Binding Homeobox 2

References

- 1. Dai, X.; Li, T.; Bai, Z.; Yang, Y.; Liu, X.; Zhan, J.; Shi, B. Breast cancer intrinsic subtype classification, clinical use and future trends. *Am. J. Cancer Res.* **2015**, *5*, 2929–2943.
- 2. Skibinski, A.; Kuperwasser, C. The origin of breast tumor heterogeneity. Oncogene 2015, 34, 5309–5316. [CrossRef]
- Zardavas, D.; Irrthum, A.; Swanton, C.; Piccart, M. Clinical management of breast cancer heterogeneity. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* 2015, 12, 381–394. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- McKenna, N.J.; Nawaz, Z.; Tsai, S.Y.; Tsai, M.-J.; O'Malley, B.W. Distinct steady-state nuclear receptor coregulator complexes exist in vivo. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1998, 95, 11697–11702. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Dobrzycka, K.M.; Townson, S.M.; Jiang, S.; Oesterreich, S. Estrogen receptor corepressors—A role in human breast cancer? *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* **2003**, *10*, 517–536. [CrossRef]
- 6. Selli, C.; Dixon, J.M.; Sims, A.H. Accurate prediction of response to endocrine therapy in breast cancer patients: Current and future biomarkers. *Breast Cancer Res.* **2016**, *18*, 118. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rani, A.; Stebbing, J.; Giamas, G.; Murphy, J. Endocrine Resistance in Hormone Receptor Positive Breast Cancer—From Mechanism to Therapy. *Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne)* 2019, 10, 245. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Rugo, H.S.; Vidula, N.; Ma, C. Improving Response to Hormone Therapy in Breast Cancer: New Targets, New Therapeutic Options. *Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol. Educ. Book* 2016, 35, e40–e54. [CrossRef]
- 9. Du, T.; Shi, Y.; Xu, S.; Wan, X.; Sun, H.; Liu, B. Long Non-Coding RNAs in Drug Resistance of Breast Cancer. *Onco Targets Ther.* **2020**, *13*, 7075–7087. [CrossRef]
- 10. Fang, Y.; Fullwood, M.J. Roles, Functions, and Mechanisms of Long Non-coding RNAs in Cancer. *Genom. Proteom. Bioinform.* **2016**, *14*, 42–54. [CrossRef]
- 11. Harlen, K.M.; Churchman, L.S. The code and beyond: Transcription regulation by the RNA polymerase II carboxy-terminal domain. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2017, *18*, 263–273. [CrossRef]
- 12. Yaşar, P.; Ayaz, G.; User, S.D.; Güpür, G.; Muyan, M. Molecular mechanism of estrogen-estrogen receptor signaling. *Reprod. Med. Biol.* 2017, *16*, 4–20. [CrossRef]
- Maggi, A. Liganded and unliganded activation of estrogen receptor and hormone replacement therapies. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2011, 1812, 1054–1060. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Caizzi, L.; Ferrero, G.; Cutrupi, S.; Cordero, F.; Ballaré, C.; Miano, V.; Reineri, S.; Ricci, L.; Friard, O.; Testori, A.; et al. Genome-wide activity of unliganded estrogen receptor-α in breast cancer cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2014, 111, 4892–4897. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Stellato, C.; Porreca, I.; Cuomo, D.; Tarallo, R.; Nassa, G.; Ambrosino, C. The "busy life" of unliganded estrogen receptors. *Proteomics* **2016**, *16*, 288–300. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Elhasnaoui, J.; Ferrero, G.; Miano, V.; Cutrupi, S.; De Bortoli, M. The Estrogen Receptor α Signaling Pathway Controls Alternative Splicing in the Absence of Ligands in Breast Cancer Cells. *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 6261. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Xu, Y.; Huangyang, P.; Wang, Y.; Xue, L.; Devericks, E.; Nguyen, H.G.; Yu, X.; Oses-Prieto, J.A.; Burlingame, A.L.; Miglani, S.; et al. ERα is an RNA-binding protein sustaining tumor cell survival and drug resistance. *Cell* **2021**, *184*, 5215–5229.e17. [CrossRef]
- Salvati, A.; Gigantino, V.; Nassa, G.; Cappa, V.M.; Ventola, G.M.; Cracas, D.G.C.; Mastrocinque, R.; Rizzo, F.; Tarallo, R.; Weisz, A.; et al. Global View of Candidate Therapeutic Target Genes in Hormone-Responsive Breast Cancer. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 4068. [CrossRef]
- Nassa, G.; Salvati, A.; Tarallo, R.; Gigantino, V.; Alexandrova, E.; Memoli, D.; Sellitto, A.; Rizzo, F.; Malanga, D.; Mirante, T.; et al. Inhibition of histone methyltransferase DOT1L silences ERα gene and blocks proliferation of antiestrogen-resistant breast cancer cells. *Sci. Adv.* 2019, *5*, eaav5590. [CrossRef]
- Gigantino, V.; Salvati, A.; Giurato, G.; Palumbo, D.; Strianese, O.; Rizzo, F.; Tarallo, R.; Nyman, T.A.; Weisz, A.; Nassa, G. Identification of Antiestrogen-Bound Estrogen Receptor α Interactomes in Hormone-Responsive Human Breast Cancer Cell Nuclei. *Proteomics* 2020, 20, e2000135. [CrossRef]
- Nassa, G.; Giurato, G.; Salvati, A.; Gigantino, V.; Pecoraro, G.; Lamberti, J.; Rizzo, F.; Nyman, T.A.; Tarallo, R.; Weisz, A. The RNA-mediated estrogen receptor α interactome of hormone-dependent human breast cancer cell nuclei. *Sci. Data* 2019, *6*, 173. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Gutschner, T.; Diederichs, S. The hallmarks of cancer: A long non-coding RNA point of view. *RNA Biol.* **2012**, *9*, 703–719. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Lv, D.; Xu, K.; Jin, X.; Li, J.; Shi, Y.; Zhang, M.; Jin, X.; Li, Y.; Xu, J.; Li, X. LncSpA: LncRNA Spatial Atlas of Expression across Normal and Cancer Tissues. *Cancer Res.* **2020**, *80*, 2067–2071. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Uhlén, M.; Zhang, C.; Lee, S.; Sjöstedt, E.; Fagerberg, L.; Bidkhori, G.; Benfeitas, R.; Arif, M.; Liu, Z.; Edfors, F.; et al. A pathology atlas of the human cancer transcriptome. *Science* 2017, 357, eaan2507. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kapranov, P.; Cheng, J.; Dike, S.; Nix, D.A.; Duttagupta, R.; Willingham, A.T.; Stadler, P.F.; Hertel, J.; Hackermüller, J.; Hofacker, I.L.; et al. RNA maps reveal new RNA classes and a possible function for pervasive transcription. *Science* 2007, *316*, 1484–1488. [CrossRef]
- 26. Djebali, S.; Davis, C.A.; Merkel, A.; Dobin, A.; Lassmann, T.; Mortazavi, A.; Tanzer, A.; Lagarde, J.; Lin, W.; Schlesinger, F.; et al. Landscape of transcription in human cells. *Nature* **2012**, *489*, 101–108. [CrossRef]
- 27. Huarte, M. The emerging role of lncRNAs in cancer. Nat. Med. 2015, 21, 1253–1261. [CrossRef]
- 28. Rinn, J.L.; Chang, H.Y. Genome regulation by long noncoding RNAs. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2012, 81, 145–166. [CrossRef]
- 29. Sun, M.; Kraus, W.L. Minireview: Long noncoding RNAs: New "links" between gene expression and cellular outcomes in endocrinology. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **2013**, *27*, 1390–1402. [CrossRef]
- Kopp, F.; Mendell, J.T. Functional Classification and Experimental Dissection of Long Noncoding RNAs. Cell 2018, 172, 393–407. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, X.; Wang, W.; Zhu, W.; Dong, J.; Cheng, Y.; Yin, Z.; Shen, F. Mechanisms and Functions of Long Non-Coding RNAs at Multiple Regulatory Levels. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2019, 20, 5573. [CrossRef]
- 32. Lee, J.T. Epigenetic regulation by long noncoding RNAs. *Science* **2012**, *338*, 1435–1439. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Yang, G.; Lu, X.; Yuan, L. LncRNA: A link between RNA and cancer. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2014, 1839, 1097–1109. [CrossRef]
- 34. Wang, Y.; Zhu, W.; Levy, D.E. Nuclear and cytoplasmic mRNA quantification by SYBR green based real-time RT-PCR. *Methods* **2006**, *39*, 356–362. [CrossRef]
- 35. Cabili, M.N.; Dunagin, M.C.; McClanahan, P.D.; Biaesch, A.; Padovan-Merhar, O.; Regev, A.; Rinn, J.L.; Raj, A. Localization and abundance analysis of human lncRNAs at single-cell and single-molecule resolution. *Genome Biol.* **2015**, *16*, 20. [CrossRef]
- 36. Sunwoo, H.; Wu, J.Y.; Lee, J.T. The Xist RNA-PRC2 complex at 20-nm resolution reveals a low Xist stoichiometry and suggests a hit-and-run mechanism in mouse cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2015**, *112*, E4216–E4225. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Mao, Y.S.; Sunwoo, H.; Zhang, B.; Spector, D.L. Direct visualization of the co-transcriptional assembly of a nuclear body by noncoding RNAs. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2011**, *13*, 95–101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Paige, J.S.; Wu, K.Y.; Jaffrey, S.R. RNA mimics of green fluorescent protein. Science 2011, 333, 642–646. [CrossRef]
- 39. McFadden, E.J.; Hargrove, A.E. Biochemical Methods to Investigate lncRNA and the Influence of lncRNA: Protein Complexes on Chromatin. *Biochemistry* **2016**, *55*, 1615–1630. [CrossRef]
- Novikova, I.V.; Dharap, A.; Hennelly, S.P.; Sanbonmatsu, K.Y. 3S: Shotgun secondary structure determination of long non-coding RNAs. *Methods* 2013, 63, 170–177. [CrossRef]
- 41. Zuker, M. Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2003, 31, 3406–3415. [CrossRef]
- 42. Reuter, J.S.; Mathews, D.H. RNAstructure: Software for RNA secondary structure prediction and analysis. *BMC Bioinform*. 2010, 11, 129. [CrossRef]

- 43. Foster, M.P.; McElroy, C.A.; Amero, C.D. Solution NMR of large molecules and assemblies. *Biochemistry* 2007, 46, 331–340. [CrossRef]
- 44. Lu, K.; Miyazaki, Y.; Summers, M.F. Isotope labeling strategies for NMR studies of RNA. J. Biomol. NMR 2010, 46, 113–125. [CrossRef]
- Neylon, C. Small angle neutron and X-ray scattering in structural biology: Recent examples from the literature. *Eur. Biophys. J.* 2008, 37, 531–541. [CrossRef]
- Freier, S.M.; Kierzek, R.; Jaeger, J.A.; Sugimoto, N.; Caruthers, M.H.; Neilson, T.; Turner, D.H. Improved free-energy parameters for predictions of RNA duplex stability. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1986**, *83*, 9373–9377. [CrossRef]
- 47. Wu, M.; McDowell, J.A.; Turner, U.H. A periodic table of symmetric tandem mismatches in RNA. *Biochemistry* **1995**, *34*, 3204–3211. [CrossRef]
- Ramanathan, M.; Porter, D.F.; Khavari, P.A. Methods to study RNA-protein interactions. *Nat. Methods* 2019, 16, 225–234. [CrossRef]
- 49. Ule, J.; Jensen, K.B.; Ruggiu, M.; Mele, A.; Ule, A.; Darnell, R.B. CLIP identifies Nova-regulated RNA networks in the brain. *Science* 2003, 302, 1212–1215. [CrossRef]
- 50. Kim, B.; Kim, V.N. fCLIP-seq for transcriptomic footprinting of dsRNA-binding proteins: Lessons from DROSHA. *Methods* **2019**, 152, 3–11. [CrossRef]
- McMahon, A.C.; Rahman, R.; Jin, H.; Shen, J.L.; Fieldsend, A.; Luo, W.; Rosbash, M. TRIBE: Hijacking an RNA-Editing Enzyme to Identify Cell-Specific Targets of RNA-Binding Proteins. *Cell* 2016, *165*, 742–753. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nicholson, C.O.; Friedersdorf, M.; Keene, J.D. Quantifying RNA binding sites transcriptome-wide using DO-RIP-seq. RNA 2017, 23, 32–46. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Faoro, C.; Ataide, S.F. Ribonomic approaches to study the RNA-binding proteome. FEBS Lett. 2014, 588, 3649–3664. [CrossRef]
- 54. McHugh, C.A.; Guttman, M. RAP-MS: A Method to Identify Proteins that Interact Directly with a Specific RNA Molecule in Cells. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **2018**, *1649*, 473–488. [CrossRef]
- 55. Zeng, F.; Peritz, T.; Kannanayakal, T.J.; Kilk, K.; Eiríksdóttir, E.; Langel, U.; Eberwine, J. A protocol for PAIR: PNA-assisted identification of RNA binding proteins in living cells. *Nat. Protoc.* **2006**, *1*, 920–927. [CrossRef]
- 56. Tsai, B.P.; Wang, X.; Huang, L.; Waterman, M.L. Quantitative profiling of in vivo-assembled RNA-protein complexes using a novel integrated proteomic approach. *Mol. Cell. Proteom.* **2011**, *10*, M110.007385. [CrossRef]
- 57. Kretz, M.; Siprashvili, Z.; Chu, C.; Webster, D.E.; Zehnder, A.; Qu, K.; Lee, C.S.; Flockhart, R.J.; Groff, A.F.; Chow, J.; et al. Control of somatic tissue differentiation by the long non-coding RNA TINCR. *Nature* 2013, 493, 231–235. [CrossRef]
- 58. Simon, M.D. Capture hybridization analysis of RNA targets (CHART). Curr. Protoc. Mol. Biol. 2013, 101, 21–25. [CrossRef]
- 59. Chu, C.; Quinn, J.; Chang, H.Y. Chromatin isolation by RNA purification (ChIRP). J. Vis. Exp. 2012, 61, 3912. [CrossRef]
- 60. Quinn, J.J.; Ilik, I.A.; Qu, K.; Georgiev, P.; Chu, C.; Akhtar, A.; Chang, H.Y. Revealing long noncoding RNA architecture and functions using domain-specific chromatin isolation by RNA purification. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2014**, *32*, 933–940. [CrossRef]
- 61. Zhang, H.; Zeitz, M.J.; Wang, H.; Niu, B.; Ge, S.; Li, W.; Cui, J.; Wang, G.; Qian, G.; Higgins, M.J.; et al. Long noncoding RNA-mediated intrachromosomal interactions promote imprinting at the Kcnq1 locus. *J. Cell Biol.* **2014**, 204, 61–75. [CrossRef]
- Rio, D.C. 5'-end labeling of RNA with [γ-32P]ATP and T4 polynucleotide kinase. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* 2014, 2014, 441–443. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Katsamba, P.; Park, S.; Laird-Offringa, I.A. Kinetic studies of RNA-protein interactions using surface plasmon resonance. *Methods* 2002, 26, 95–104. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Di Primo, C.; Dausse, E.; Toulmé, J.-J. Surface plasmon resonance investigation of RNA aptamer-RNA ligand interactions. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **2011**, *764*, 279–300. [CrossRef]
- Li, J.-H.; Liu, S.; Zhou, H.; Qu, L.-H.; Yang, J.-H. starBase v2.0: Decoding miRNA-ceRNA, miRNA-ncRNA and protein-RNA interaction networks from large-scale CLIP-Seq data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2014, 42, D92–D97. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Lin, Y.; Liu, T.; Cui, T.; Wang, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Tan, P.; Huang, Y.; Yu, J.; Wang, D. RNAInter in 2020: RNA interactome repository with increased coverage and annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2020**, *48*, D189–D197. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hu, B.; Yang, Y.; Huang, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Lu, Z.J. POSTAR: A platform for exploring post-transcriptional regulation coordinated by RNA-binding proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2017, 45, D104–D114. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Teng, X.; Chen, X.; Xue, H.; Tang, Y.; Zhang, P.; Kang, Q.; Hao, Y.; Chen, R.; Zhao, Y.; He, S. NPInter v4.0: An integrated database of ncRNA interactions. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2020, *48*, D160–D165. [CrossRef]
- 69. Junge, A.; Refsgaard, J.C.; Garde, C.; Pan, X.; Santos, A.; Alkan, F.; Anthon, C.; von Mering, C.; Workman, C.; Jensen, L.J.; et al. RAIN: RNA-protein Association and Interaction Networks. *Database* **2017**, 2017, baw167. [CrossRef]
- 70. Giudice, G.; Sanchez-Cabo, F.; Torroja, C.; Lara-Pezzi, E. ATtRACT—A database of RNA-binding proteins and associated motifs. *Database* **2016**, 2016, baw035. [CrossRef]
- Bouvrette, L.P.B.; Bovaird, S.; Blanchette, M.; Lécuyer, E. oRNAment: A database of putative RNA binding protein target sites in the transcriptomes of model species. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2020, 48, D166–D173. [CrossRef]
- Philip, M.; Chen, T.; Tyagi, S. A Survey of Current Resources to Study lncRNA-Protein Interactions. *Noncoding RNA* 2021, 7, 33. [CrossRef]
- Choi, S.-W.; Kim, H.-W.; Nam, J.-W. The small peptide world in long noncoding RNAs. *Brief Bioinform.* 2019, 20, 1853–1864. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 74. Xing, J.; Liu, H.; Jiang, W.; Wang, L. LncRNA-Encoded Peptide: Functions and Predicting Methods. *Front. Oncol.* 2020, 10, 622294. [CrossRef]
- 75. Guo, B.; Wu, S.; Zhu, X.; Zhang, L.; Deng, J.; Li, F.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, S.; Wu, R.; Lu, J.; et al. Micropeptide CIP2A-BP encoded by LINC00665 inhibits triple-negative breast cancer progression. *EMBO J.* **2020**, *39*, e102190. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 76. Wang, Y.; Wu, S.; Zhu, X.; Zhang, L.; Deng, J.; Li, F.; Guo, B.; Zhang, S.; Wu, R.; Zhang, Z.; et al. LncRNA-encoded polypeptide ASRPS inhibits triple-negative breast cancer angiogenesis. J. Exp. Med. 2020, 217, jem20190950. [CrossRef]
- 77. Giambruno, R.; Mihailovich, M.; Bonaldi, T. Mass Spectrometry-Based Proteomics to Unveil the Non-coding RNA World. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* **2018**, *5*, 90. [CrossRef]
- Thijssen, M.S.; Bintz, J.; Arnes, L. In Vitro Silencing of IncRNA Expression Using siRNAs. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 2021, 2348, 141–156. [CrossRef]
- 79. Watts, J.K.; Corey, D.R. Silencing disease genes in the laboratory and the clinic. J. Pathol. 2012, 226, 365–379. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 80. Guttman, M.; Donaghey, J.; Carey, B.W.; Garber, M.; Grenier, J.K.; Munson, G.; Young, G.; Lucas, A.B.; Ach, R.; Bruhn, L.; et al. lincRNAs act in the circuitry controlling pluripotency and differentiation. *Nature* **2011**, *477*, 295–300. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Goyal, A.; Myacheva, K.; Groß, M.; Klingenberg, M.; Arqué, B.D.; Diederichs, S. Challenges of CRISPR/Cas9 applications for long non-coding RNA genes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2017, 45, e12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rio, D.C. Filter-binding assay for analysis of RNA-protein interactions. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* 2012, 2012, 1078–1081. [CrossRef]
 Wang, H.; Wang, Y.; Xie, S.; Liu, Y.; Xie, Z. Global and cell-type specific properties of lincRNAs with ribosome occupancy. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2017, 45, 2786–2796. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Verheggen, K.; Volders, P.-J.; Mestdagh, P.; Menschaert, G.; Van Damme, P.; Gevaert, K.; Martens, L.; Vandesompele, J. Noncoding after All: Biases in Proteomics Data Do Not Explain Observed Absence of IncRNA Translation Products. *J. Proteome Res.* 2017, 16, 2508–2515. [CrossRef]
- 85. Tian, T.; Gong, Z.; Wang, M.; Hao, R.; Lin, S.; Liu, K.; Guan, F.; Xu, P.; Deng, Y.; Song, D.; et al. Identification of long non-coding RNA signatures in triple-negative breast cancer. *Cancer Cell Int.* **2018**, *18*, 103. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 86. Quinn, J.J.; Chang, H.Y. Unique features of long non-coding RNA biogenesis and function. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2016**, *17*, 47–62. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, T.; Hu, H.; Yan, G.; Wu, T.; Liu, S.; Chen, W.; Ning, Y.; Lu, Z. Long Non-Coding RNA and Breast Cancer. *Technol. Cancer Res. Treat.* 2019, 18, 1533033819843889. [CrossRef]
- 88. Choo, S.-W.; Zhong, Y.; Sendler, E.; Goustin, A.-S.; Cai, J.; Ju, D.; Kosir, M.A.; Giordo, R.; Lipovich, L. Estrogen distinctly regulates transcription and translation of lncRNAs and pseudogenes in breast cancer cells. *Genomics* **2022**, *114*, 110421. [CrossRef]
- 89. Raveh, E.; Matouk, I.J.; Gilon, M.; Hochberg, A. The H19 Long non-coding RNA in cancer initiation, progression and metastasis— A proposed unifying theory. *Mol. Cancer* 2015, *14*, 184. [CrossRef]
- Han, J.; Han, B.; Wu, X.; Hao, J.; Dong, X.; Shen, Q.; Pang, H. Knockdown of lncRNA H19 restores chemo-sensitivity in paclitaxel-resistant triple-negative breast cancer through triggering apoptosis and regulating Akt signaling pathway. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 2018, 359, 55–61. [CrossRef]
- Adriaenssens, E.; Dumont, L.; Lottin, S.; Bolle, D.; Leprêtre, A.; Delobelle, A.; Bouali, F.; Dugimont, T.; Coll, J.; Curgy, J.-J. H19 overexpression in breast adenocarcinoma stromal cells is associated with tumor values and steroid receptor status but independent of p53 and Ki-67 expression. *Am. J. Pathol.* **1998**, *153*, 1597–1607. [CrossRef]
- Sun, H.; Wang, G.; Peng, Y.; Zeng, Y.; Zhu, Q.-N.; Li, T.-L.; Cai, J.-Q.; Zhou, H.-H.; Zhu, Y.-S. H19 lncRNA mediates 17β-estradiolinduced cell proliferation in MCF-7 breast cancer cells. *Oncol. Rep.* 2015, 33, 3045–3052. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, M.; Li, Y.; Xiao, G.-D.; Zheng, X.-Q.; Wang, J.-C.; Xu, C.-W.; Qin, S.; Ren, H.; Tang, S.-C.; Sun, X. H19 regulation of oestrogen induction of symmetric division is achieved by antagonizing Let-7c in breast cancer stem-like cells. *Cell Prolif.* 2019, 52, e12534. [CrossRef]
- 94. Bhan, A.; Hussain, I.; Ansari, K.I.; Kasiri, S.; Bashyal, A.; Mandal, S.S. Antisense transcript long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) HOTAIR is transcriptionally induced by estradiol. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2013**, *425*, 3707–3722. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hajjari, M.; Salavaty, A. HOTAIR: An oncogenic long non-coding RNA in different cancers. *Cancer Biol. Med.* 2015, 12, 1–9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 96. Sedano, M.J.; Harrison, A.L.; Zilaie, M.; Das, C.; Choudhari, R.; Ramos, E.; Gadad, S.S. Emerging Roles of Estrogen-Regulated Enhancer and Long Non-Coding RNAs. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2020**, *21*, 3711. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 97. Davidovich, C.; Zheng, L.; Goodrich, K.J.; Cech, T.R. Promiscuous RNA binding by Polycomb repressive complex. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **2013**, *20*, 1250–1257. [CrossRef]
- Gupta, R.A.; Shah, N.; Wang, K.C.; Kim, J.; Horlings, H.M.; Wong, D.J.; Tsai, M.-C.; Hung, T.; Argani, P.; Rinn, J.L.; et al. Long non-coding RNA HOTAIR reprograms chromatin state to promote cancer metastasis. *Nature* 2010, 464, 1071–1076. [CrossRef]
- 99. Tsai, M.-C.; Manor, O.; Wan, Y.; Mosammaparast, N.; Wang, J.K.; Lan, F.; Shi, Y.; Segal, E.; Chang, H.Y. Long noncoding RNA as modular scaffold of histone modification complexes. *Science* **2010**, *329*, 689–693. [CrossRef]
- Song, H.K.; Kim, S.Y. The Role of Sex-specific Long Non-coding RNAs in Cancer Prevention and Therapy. J. Cancer Prev. 2021, 26, 98–109. [CrossRef]
- 101. Fang, Z.; Wang, Y.; Wang, Z.; Xu, M.; Ren, S.; Yang, D.; Hong, M.; Xie, W. Is an Estrogen-Responsive LncRNA That Drives Breast Cancer through the E2F1/RB1 Pathway. *Cancer Res.* 2020, *80*, 4399–4413. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 102. Li, Y.; Jiang, B.; Wu, X.; Huang, Q.; Chen, W.; Zhu, H.; Qu, X.; Xie, L.; Ma, X.; Huang, G. Long non-coding RNA MIAT is estrogen-responsive and promotes estrogen-induced proliferation in ER-positive breast cancer cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2018, 503, 45–50. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 103. Ishii, N.; Ozaki, K.; Sato, H.; Mizuno, H.; Saito, S.; Takahashi, A.; Miyamoto, Y.; Ikegawa, S.; Kamatani, N.; Hori, M.; et al. Identification of a novel non-coding RNA, MIAT, that confers risk of myocardial infarction. *J. Hum. Genet.* 2006, *51*, 1087–1099. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 104. Vausort, M.; Wagner, D.R.; Devaux, Y. Long noncoding RNAs in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Circ. Res.* 2014, 115, 668–677. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 105. Rao, S.-Q.; Hu, H.-L.; Ye, N.; Shen, Y.; Xu, Q. Genetic variants in long non-coding RNA MIAT contribute to risk of paranoid schizophrenia in a Chinese Han population. *Schizophr. Res.* 2015, *166*, 125–130. [CrossRef]
- 106. Sun, C.; Huang, L.; Li, Z.; Leng, K.; Xu, Y.; Jiang, X.; Cui, Y. Long non-coding RNA MIAT in development and disease: A new player in an old game. *J. Biomed. Sci.* 2018, 25, 23. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 107. Li, F.; Li, H.; Li, S.; Lv, B.; Shi, J.; Yan, H.; Zhang, H.; He, Y. Long Non-coding RNA MIAT Mediates Non-small Cell Lung Cancer Development through Regulating the miR-128-3p/PELI3 Axis. *Biochem. Genet.* 2020, 58, 867–882. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Y.; Fu, L.; Lu, T.; Zhang, G.; Zhang, J.; Zhao, Y.; Jin, H.; Yang, K.; Cai, H. Clinicopathological and Prognostic Significance of Long Non-coding RNA MIAT in Human Cancers: A Review and Meta-Analysis. *Front. Genet.* 2021, 12, 729768. [CrossRef]
- Luan, T.; Zhang, X.; Wang, S.; Song, Y.; Zhou, S.; Lin, J.; An, W.; Yuan, W.; Yang, Y.; Cai, H.; et al. Long non-coding RNA MIAT promotes breast cancer progression and functions as ceRNA to regulate DUSP7 expression by sponging miR-155-5p. *Oncotarget* 2017, *8*, 76153–76164. [CrossRef]
- 110. Shen, Y.; Wang, Z.; Loo, L.W.M.; Ni, Y.; Jia, W.; Fei, P.; Risch, H.A.; Katsaros, D.; Yu, H. LINC00472 expression is regulated by promoter methylation and associated with disease-free survival in patients with grade 2 breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 2015, 154, 473–482. [CrossRef]
- 111. Wang, Z.; Katsaros, D.; Biglia, N.; Shen, Y.; Loo, L.; Yu, X.; Lin, H.; Fu, Y.; Chu, W.-M.; Fei, P.; et al. ERα upregulates the expression of long non-coding RNA LINC00472 which suppresses the phosphorylation of NF-κB in breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 2019, 175, 353–368. [CrossRef]
- 112. Pan, X.; Li, D.; Huo, J.; Kong, F.; Yang, H.; Ma, X. LINC01016 promotes the malignant phenotype of endometrial cancer cells by regulating the miR-302a-3p/miR-3130-3p/NFYA/SATB1 axis. *Cell Death. Dis.* **2018**, *9*, 303. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 113. Miano, V.; Ferrero, G.; Reineri, S.; Caizzi, L.; Annaratone, L.; Ricci, L.; Cutrupi, S.; Castellano, I.; Cordero, F.; De Bortoli, M. Luminal long non-coding RNAs regulated by estrogen receptor alpha in a ligand-independent manner show functional roles in breast cancer. *Oncotarget* 2016, 7, 3201–3216. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 114. Jonsson, P.; Coarfa, C.; Mesmar, F.; Raz, T.; Rajapakshe, K.; Thompson, J.; Gunaratne, P.H.; Williams, C. Single-Molecule Sequencing Reveals Estrogen-Regulated Clinically Relevant IncRNAs in Breast Cancer. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 2015, 29, 1634–1645. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 115. Elhasnaoui, J.; Miano, V.; Ferrero, G.; Doria, E.; Leon, A.E.; Fabricio, A.S.C.; Annaratone, L.; Castellano, I.; Sapino, A.; De Bortoli, M. DSCAM-AS1-Driven Proliferation of Breast Cancer Cells Involves Regulation of Alternative Exon Splicing and 3'-End Usage. *Cancers* 2020, 12, 1453. [CrossRef]
- 116. Niknafs, Y.S.; Han, S.; Ma, T.; Speers, C.; Zhang, C.; Wilder-Romans, K.; Iyer, M.K.; Pitchiaya, S.; Malik, R.; Hosono, Y.; et al. The IncRNA landscape of breast cancer reveals a role for DSCAM-AS1 in breast cancer progression. *Nat. Commun.* 2016, 7, 12791. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 117. Fu, D.; Shi, Y.; Liu, J.-B.; Wu, T.-M.; Jia, C.-Y.; Yang, H.-Q.; Zhang, D.-D.; Yang, X.-L.; Wang, H.-M.; Ma, Y.-S. Targeting Long Non-coding RNA to Therapeutically Regulate Gene Expression in Cancer. *Mol. Ther. Nucleic Acids.* 2020, 21, 712–724. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 118. Mitobe, Y.; Ikeda, K.; Suzuki, T.; Takagi, K.; Kawabata, H.; Horie-Inoue, K.; Inoue, S. *ESR1*-Stabilizing Long Noncoding RNA. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **2019**, *39*, e00261-19. [CrossRef]
- Liu, G.; Yang, H.; Cao, L.; Han, K.; Li, G. LncRNA TMPO-AS1 Promotes Proliferation and Invasion by Sponging miR-383-5p in Glioma Cells. *Cancer Manag. Res.* 2020, 12, 12001. [CrossRef]
- 120. Cairns, J.; Ingle, J.N.; Kalari, K.R.; Shepherd, L.E.; Kubo, M.; Goetz, M.P.; Weinshilboum, R.M.; Wang, L. The lncRNA MIR2052HG regulates ERα levels and aromatase inhibitor resistance through LMTK3 by recruiting EGR1. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2019, 21, 47. [CrossRef]
- 121. Ingle, J.N.; Xie, F.; Ellis, M.J.; Goss, P.E.; Shepherd, L.E.; Chapman, J.-A.W.; Chen, B.E.; Kubo, M.; Furukawa, Y.; Momozawa, Y.; et al. Genetic Polymorphisms in the Long Noncoding RNA MIR2052HG Offer a Pharmacogenomic Basis for the Response of Breast Cancer Patients to Aromatase Inhibitor Therapy. *Cancer Res.* 2016, 76, 7012–7023. [CrossRef]
- 122. Arner, E.; Daub, C.O.; Vitting-Seerup, K.; Andersson, R.; Lilje, B.; Drabløs, F.; Lennartsson, A.; Rönnerblad, M.; Hrydziuszko, O.; Vitezic, M.; et al. Transcribed enhancers lead waves of coordinated transcription in transitioning mammalian cells. *Science* 2015, 347, 1010–1014. [CrossRef]
- 123. Hsieh, C.-L.; Fei, T.; Chen, Y.; Li, T.; Gao, Y.; Wang, X.; Sun, T.; Sweeney, C.J.; Lee, G.-S.M.; Chen, S.; et al. Enhancer RNAs participate in androgen receptor-driven looping that selectively enhances gene activation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2014**, *111*, 7319–7324. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 124. Kim, T.-K.; Hemberg, M.; Gray, J.M. Enhancer RNAs: A class of long noncoding RNAs synthesized at enhancers. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 2015, 7, a018622. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 125. Andersson, R.; Gebhard, C.; Miguel-Escalada, I.; Hoof, I.; Bornholdt, J.; Boyd, M.; Chen, Y.; Zhao, X.; Schmidl, C.; Suzuki, T.; et al. An atlas of active enhancers across human cell types and tissues. *Nature* **2014**, *507*, 455–461. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 126. Ding, M.; Liu, Y.; Liao, X.; Zhan, H.; Liu, Y.; Huang, W. Enhancer RNAs (eRNAs): New Insights into Gene Transcription and Disease Treatment. *J. Cancer* 2018, *9*, 2334–2340. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 127. Li, W.; Notani, D.; Ma, Q.; Tanasa, B.; Nunez, E.; Chen, A.Y.; Merkurjev, D.; Zhang, J.; Ohgi, K.; Song, X.; et al. Functional roles of enhancer RNAs for oestrogen-dependent transcriptional activation. *Nature* **2013**, *498*, 516–520. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 128. Schaukowitch, K.; Joo, J.-Y.; Liu, X.; Watts, J.K.; Martinez, C.; Kim, T.-K. Enhancer RNA facilitates NELF release from immediate early genes. *Mol. Cell* **2014**, *56*, 29–42. [CrossRef]
- 129. Zhao, Y.; Wang, L.; Ren, S.; Wang, L.; Blackburn, P.R.; McNulty, M.S.; Gao, X.; Qiao, M.; Vessella, R.L.; Kohli, M.; et al. Activation of P-TEFb by Androgen Receptor-Regulated Enhancer RNAs in Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. *Cell Rep.* 2016, 15, 599–610. [CrossRef]
- 130. Carroll, J.S. Mechanisms of oestrogen receptor (ER) gene regulation in breast cancer. *Eur. J. Endocrinol.* **2016**, *175*, R41–R49. [CrossRef]
- 131. Carroll, J.S.; Brown, M. Estrogen receptor target gene: An evolving concept. Mol. Endocrinol. 2006, 20, 1707–1714. [CrossRef]
- 132. Yang, M.; Lee, J.H.; Zhang, Z.; De La Rosa, R.; Bi, M.; Tan, Y.; Liao, Y.; Hong, J.; Du, B.; Wu, Y.; et al. Enhancer RNAs Mediate Estrogen-Induced Decommissioning of Selective Enhancers by Recruiting ERα and Its Cofactor. *Cell Rep.* 2020, *31*, 107803. [CrossRef]
- 133. Kolendowski, B.; Hassan, H.; Krstic, M.; Isovic, M.; Thillainadesan, G.; Chambers, A.F.; Tuck, A.B.; Torchia, J. Genome-wide analysis reveals a role for TDG in estrogen receptor-mediated enhancer RNA transcription and 3-dimensional reorganization. *Epigenet. Chromatin* **2018**, *11*, 5. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 134. Basak, P.; Chatterjee, S.; Bhat, V.; Su, A.; Jin, H.; Lee-Wing, V.; Liu, Q.; Hu, P.; Murphy, L.C.; Raouf, A. Long Non-Coding RNA H19 Acts as an Estrogen Receptor Modulator that is Required for Endocrine Therapy Resistance in ER+ Breast Cancer Cells. *Cell Physiol. Biochem.* 2018, *51*, 1518–1532. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 135. Gao, H.; Hao, G.; Sun, Y.; Li, L.; Wang, Y. Long noncoding RNA H19 mediated the chemosensitivity of breast cancer cells via Wnt pathway and EMT process. *Onco Targets Ther.* **2018**, *11*, 8001–8012. [CrossRef]
- 136. Xue, X.; Yang, Y.A.; Zhang, A.; Fong, K.-W.; Kim, J.; Song, B.; Li, S.; Zhao, J.C.; Yu, J. LncRNA HOTAIR enhances ER signaling and confers tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer. *Oncogene* **2016**, *35*, 2746–2755. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, Z.; Yu, D.; Li, H.; Lv, Y.; Li, S. Long non-coding RNA UCA1 confers tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer endocrinotherapy through regulation of the EZH2/p21 axis and the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway. *Int. J. Oncol.* 2019, 54, 1033–1042. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 138. Xu, C.G.; Yang, M.F.; Ren, Y.Q.; Wu, C.H.; Wang, L.Q. Exosomes mediated transfer of lncRNA UCA1 results in increased tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer cells. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 2016, 20, 4362–4368.
- Wu, C.; Luo, J. Long Non-Coding RNA (IncRNA) Urothelial Carcinoma-Associated 1 (UCA1) Enhances Tamoxifen Resistance in Breast Cancer Cells via Inhibiting mTOR Signaling Pathway. *Med. Sci. Monit.* 2016, 22, 3860–3867. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 140. Feng, J.; Wen, T.; Li, Z.; Feng, L.; Zhou, L.; Yang, Z.; Xu, L.; Shi, S.; Hou, K.; Shen, J.; et al. Cross-talk between the ER pathway and the lncRNA MAFG-AS1/miR-339-5p/CDK2 axis promotes progression of ER+ breast cancer and confers tamoxifen resistance. *Aging* 2020, 12, 20658–20683. [CrossRef]
- 141. Li, Y.; Jiang, B.; Zhu, H.; Qu, X.; Zhao, L.; Tan, Y.; Jiang, Y.; Liao, M.; Wu, X. Inhibition of long non-coding RNA ROR reverses resistance to Tamoxifen by inducing autophagy in breast cancer. *Tumour Biol.* **2017**, *39*, 1010428317705790. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhang, H.-Y.; Liang, F.; Zhang, J.-W.; Wang, F.; Wang, L.; Kang, X.-G. Effects of long noncoding RNA-ROR on tamoxifen resistance of breast cancer cells by regulating microRNA-205. *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.* 2017, 79, 327–337. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Peng, W.-X.; Huang, J.-G.; Yang, L.; Gong, A.-H.; Mo, Y.-Y. Linc-RoR promotes MAPK/ERK signaling and confers estrogenindependent growth of breast cancer. *Mol. Cancer* 2017, *16*, 161. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 144. Steiner, H.R.; Lammer, N.C.; Batey, R.T.; Wuttke, D.S. An Extended DNA Binding Domain of the Estrogen Receptor Alpha Directly Interacts with RNAs. *Biochemistry* 2022, *61*, 2490–2494. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 145. Qi, P.; Du, X. The long non-coding RNAs, a new cancer diagnostic and therapeutic gold mine. *Mod. Pathol.* **2013**, *26*, 155–165. [CrossRef]
- 146. Li, C.H.; Chen, Y. Targeting long non-coding RNAs in cancers: Progress and prospects. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 2013, 45, 1895–1910. [CrossRef]
- 147. Haemmerle, M.; Gutschner, T. Long non-coding RNAs in cancer and development: Where do we go from here? *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2015**, *16*, 1395–1405. [CrossRef]
- 148. Beylerli, O.; Gareev, I.; Sufianov, A.; Ilyasova, T.; Guang, Y. Long noncoding RNAs as promising biomarkers in cancer. *Noncoding RNA Res.* **2022**, *7*, 66–70. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.