



# *Review* **Protein Phosphorylation Alterations in Myotonic Dystrophy Type 1: A Systematic Review**

**Adriana Costa, Ana C. Cruz, Filipa Martins [\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3277-1809) and Sandra Rebelo [\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5862-5797)**

Institute of Biomedicine (iBiMED), Department of Medical Sciences, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

**\*** Correspondence: samartins@ua.pt (F.M.); srebelo@ua.pt (S.R.)

**Abstract:** Among the most common muscular dystrophies in adults is Myotonic Dystrophy type 1 (DM1), an autosomal dominant disorder characterized by myotonia, muscle wasting and weakness, and multisystemic dysfunctions. This disorder is caused by an abnormal expansion of the CTG triplet at the *DMPK* gene that, when transcribed to expanded mRNA, can lead to RNA toxic gain of function, alternative splicing impairments, and dysfunction of different signaling pathways, many regulated by protein phosphorylation. In order to deeply characterize the protein phosphorylation alterations in DM1, a systematic review was conducted through PubMed and Web of Science databases. From a total of 962 articles screened, 41 were included for qualitative analysis, where we retrieved information about total and phosphorylated levels of protein kinases, protein phosphatases, and phosphoproteins in DM1 human samples and animal and cell models. Twenty-nine kinases, 3 phosphatases, and 17 phosphoproteins were reported altered in DM1. Signaling pathways that regulate cell functions such as glucose metabolism, cell cycle, myogenesis, and apoptosis were impaired, as seen by significant alterations to pathways such as AKT/mTOR, MEK/ERK, PKC/CUGBP1, AMPK, and others in DM1 samples. This explains the complexity of DM1 and its different manifestations and symptoms, such as increased insulin resistance and cancer risk. Further studies can be done to complement and explore in detail specific pathways and how their regulation is altered in DM1, to find what key phosphorylation alterations are responsible for these manifestations, and ultimately to find therapeutic targets for future treatments.

**Keywords:** protein kinases; protein phosphatases; phosphoproteins; protein phosphorylation; human samples; animal and cellular models; myotonic dystrophy type 1

### **1. Introduction**

Myotonic Dystrophy type 1 (MIM # 160900), also known as Steinert's disease, is one of the most common muscular dystrophies in adults, with an incidence of 8.3 to 10.6 in 100,000 people [\[1](#page-20-0)[,2\]](#page-20-1). DM1 is mainly characterized by myotonia, progressive muscle wasting and weakness, as well as variable multisystemic features, such as insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, cardiac conduction defects, respiratory dysfunctions, gonadal atrophy, cataracts, and central nervous system alterations [\[3–](#page-20-2)[6\]](#page-20-3). Of note, the cardiac conduction abnormalities and respiratory dysfunctions are highly prevalent and are the main causes of mortality in patients with DM1 [\[7\]](#page-20-4).

DM1 is caused by a mutation leading to an expansion of the CTG triplet at the 3 <sup>0</sup>UTR region of the *Dystrophia Myotonica Protein Kinase* (*DMPK*) gene (MIM \* 605377), located at chromosome 19q13.32 [\[8\]](#page-20-5). Among patients with DM1, CTG expansions can range from 50 to more than 2000 repeats, with increased severity and earlier age of onset of symptoms in the higher CTG repeat lengths. The major hypothesis explaining DM1 pathophysiology is the RNA gain of toxic function, being currently the one presenting the strongest evidence [\[9\]](#page-20-6). Of note, in the expanded sequence of CTG nucleotides in the *DMPK* gene, several CUG expanded mRNAs are transcribed. These expanded mRNAs fold into hairpin-like structures that accumulate in the nucleus, trapping the RNA splicing



**Citation:** Costa, A.; Cruz, A.C.; Martins, F.; Rebelo, S. Protein Phosphorylation Alterations in Myotonic Dystrophy Type 1: A Systematic Review. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 3091. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24043091) [10.3390/ijms24043091](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24043091)

Academic Editor: Giuseppe Novelli

Received: 30 December 2022 Revised: 21 January 2023 Accepted: 1 February 2023 Published: 4 February 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

factors, such as muscleblind-like splicing regulator 1 (MBNL1) and Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein H (hnRNP H), leading to their depletion and loss of function. On the other hand, another RNA splicing factor named CUG binding protein 1 (CUGBP1) does not bind to these expanded mRNAs and is activated through hyperphosphorylation [\[10\]](#page-20-7). This subsequently impairs the expression and splicing of different mRNAs and proteins [\[10\]](#page-20-7), affecting several signaling pathways and the phosphorylation levels of intervenient, being one of the most common post-translational modifications [\[11\]](#page-20-8).

Reversible protein phosphorylation at serine (Ser), threonine (Thr), and tyrosine (Tyr) residues is among the major regulatory mechanisms in eukaryotic cells, controlling key intracellular events that are essential for cell health and viability [\[11](#page-20-8)[,12\]](#page-20-9). Protein kinases are responsible for the mechanism of phosphorylation, adding phosphate groups and activating, or inhibiting, the activity of different proteins, therefore regulating many cellular pathways and events [\[11\]](#page-20-8). On the other hand, protein phosphatases are responsible for removing the phosphate group from the different phosphoproteins, which will return to an unphosphorylated state. Imbalanced kinase/phosphatase activities impair signal transduction pathways and are associated with several diseases, such as Alzheimer's disease and cancer [\[13](#page-20-10)[–18\]](#page-20-11).

Despite substantial progress made in the understanding of DM1, the identification of molecular mechanisms underlying this pathology is still elusive. Of note, DMPK is a protein kinase, and several substrates whose altered phosphorylation levels are directly associated to DM1 have been identified. Among these DMPK substrates are phospholamban (PLB), phospholemman (PLM), and CUGBP1 [\[19\]](#page-20-12). Other phosphoproteins, including sarcolipin and lipin, have been implicated in cellular events relevant for DM1 pathophysiology, namely  $Ca^{2+}$  homeostasis and lipid metabolism, which are associated to myotonia and insulin resistance, respectively [\[6\]](#page-20-3).

Some evidence suggests that certain proteins have altered phosphorylation and expression levels in DM1 [\[20\]](#page-20-13). For example, CUG toxic gain of function is thought to activate the protein kinase C (PKC) signaling pathway, leading to the hyperphosphorylation and upregulation of the CUGBP1 steady-state levels, contributing to its nuclear stabilization [\[9\]](#page-20-6). However, the magnitude of how phosphorylation is impaired in the different signaling pathways is not well established. Unraveling the mysteries of phosphorylation imbalances associated to DM1—namely the protein kinase and phosphatase levels and activity alterations—could represent a powerful strategy to implement new therapies and improve symptoms and overall quality of life of patients with DM1.

The aim of this systematic review was to gather data concerning protein phosphorylation in DM1, understand which kinases, phosphatases, and phosphoproteins have altered expression, phosphorylation levels and activity, and highlight the most impaired signaling pathways regulated by protein phosphorylation associated to DM1.

### **2. Methods**

This systematic review was performed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) checklist for systematic reviews and meta-analysis. The protocol used for this literature review was firstly searched on the international prospective registry for systematic reviews (PROSPERO) [\[21](#page-21-0)[,22\]](#page-21-1) and submitted on the platform after excluding the existence of reviews or protocols with the same purpose. The protocol used for this review was registered in PROSPERO (CRD420211186960).

### *2.1. Search Strategy*

The literature searches were conducted in the PubMed and Web of Science databases and were complemented by weekly automatic updates retrieved up until 10 May 2022. Both a keyword search and PubMed Mesh term search were performed, including keywords/terms such as "Myotonic Dystrophy", "protein phosphorylation", "proteomics", and "phosphoproteins". The full search strategy is described as Supplementary Information in Supplementary Data S1

The studies were considered eligible if they (1) included animal and/or cellular models of DM1; (2) included samples from patients with DM1; (3) were written in English or Portuguese. Studies were excluded if (1) the abstract and title were not available; (2) they were abstracts/conference abstracts, books, book chapters, case reports, commentaries, dissertations, editorials, guidelines, letters to the editor, meta-analysis, news, qualitative studies, position papers, research protocols, statements, systematic reviews, theses, and unpublished work; (3) DM1 was not addressed; (4) protein phosphorylation was not evaluated.

One independent researcher checked for duplicated studies, screened the studies' titles and abstracts, and subsequently reviewed the full text of the selected records for qualitative and quantitative analysis. In case of doubt, a second researcher was consulted for further data analysis.

#### *2.2. Data Extraction, Synthesis and Analysis*

Upon selection of the final studies, the data was extracted to a well-structured table containing author, year and country of the publication, sample type and characterization (e.g., sample size, CTG repeat length, tissue, and age), techniques used for protein evaluation, and the phosphorylation-associated proteins and their main findings (total protein level and phosphorylated levels) (Table S1). A summary table was constructed to summarize the alterations observed in protein phosphorylation associated to DM1. In overall, the data was organized by protein (kinases, phosphatases, and phosphoproteins) and the total, phosphorylated, and activity levels of each protein was presented, comparing DM1 human, animal and cell line samples with corresponding control samples (DM1 vs. CTL). Results were considered similar when the difference between DM1 and CTL was not statistically significant. *p*-values are depicted as "p: NR" when they were not reported in the studies.

Data was extracted by one researcher and verified by a second and third researcher for accuracy and completeness of data. Discrepancies were solved first by consensus, and if consensus was still not possible, a fourth reviewer was consulted.

BioRender, a web-based tool with thousands of premade icons was used to create scientific figures.

### **3. Results and Discussion**

From the PubMed and Web of Science database search, 1623 studies were retrieved. After 661 duplicates were removed, 962 studies were screened by title and abstract in the exclusion criteria analysis, in which 490 were excluded: 263 for the type of study, 107 were not focused on molecular research, 43 studies were not related to DM1, and 77 were excluded by language, which resulted in 472 studies accepted for a second screening with respect to the scientific content of the abstract (full texts were analyzed when necessary). From the 472 studies, 244 did not evaluate proteins and one was a review. Further, 227 studies remained for the full-text analysis, in which 181 did not present relevant data for the aim of this review and four did not use DM1 biological models. Finally, 41 studies [\[23](#page-21-2)[–63\]](#page-22-0) were included in the present systematic review. The details of the screening process can be found in the PRISMA flow diagram in Figure [1.](#page-3-0)

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Figure 1.** PRISMA flow diagram from the results of the systematic search strategy and study selection.

### 3.1. Characterization of Sample Type Used in the Included Studies

*3.1. Characterization of Sample Type Used in the Included Studies*  samples were the most used for the evaluation of protein phosphorylation alterations in patients with DM1, followed by use of mice model derived samples (Figure [2\)](#page-4-0). Additionally, six studies used cell lines, namely induced pluripotent stem cell (IPSC)-derived satellite skeletal muscle cells, Chinese hamster ovary cell (CHO) cells, Cellosaurus cell line M6 (COS M6), C2C12 cell line, and PC12 cell line [\[31,](#page-21-3)[37](#page-21-4)[,51,](#page-22-1)[56,](#page-22-2)[60](#page-22-3)[,63\]](#page-22-0). Interestingly, the tissues of choice were the skeletal muscle  $(n = 25$  for humans; n = 10 for mice models) and heart  $(n = 1$  for humans; n = 4 for mice models) (Figure [2A](#page-4-0)). These are important tissues given that patients with DM1 frequently present muscle impairment and cardiac conduction dysfunctions [64]. Transgenic mice expressing the human skeletal actin gene with 250 CTG repeats (HSALR) was the most used mice model to study protein phosphorylation in DM1 (n = 4) [26,33,43,44] (Figure 2B). Further, the DMSXL mice  $(n = 3)$  [53–55], *Dmpk* knockout mice  $(n = 2)$  [38,39], and inducible transgenic mice with expanded repeats induced by Tamoxifen (TAM) (n = 2) [37,52] were also among the most used DM1 mice models ([Fig](#page-4-0)ure 2B). Concerning the human samples, in most studies skeletal muscl[e](#page-22-13) biopsies were used (n = 15) [26,28,29,32–34,40,45,46,50,57–59,61,62], followed by primary myoblasts ([n](#page-22-11) = 7) [24,31,33,47,49,50,53] and pri[m](#page-4-0)ary myotubes (n = 4) [25,28,47,49] (Figure 2C). Regarding the 41 studies included in present systematic review, the human biological

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Figure 2.** Type of biological sample used and diversity of mice models, human cell types, and body **Figure 2.** Type of biological sample used and diversity of mice models, human cell types, and body fluids used in the selected studies. (A) Type of organs and tissues used across the studies; (B) DM1 mice mice models used in the selected studies; (**C**) Type of human samples used across the studies. models used in the selected studies; (**C**) Type of human samples used across the studies. DM5—RNA toxicity model; DMPK knockout—Mice models without the dystrophia myotonica protein kinase gene; DMSXL—Transgenic mice carrying >1000 CTG repeats; HSALR—Transgenic mice expressing the human skeletal actin gene with long CUG repeat length; TAM induced—Tamoxifen-inducible<br>the human skeletal actin gene with long CUG repeat length; TAM induced—Tamoxifen-inducible and heart-specific EpA960(R) RNA expression model; TREDT960I—Tetracycline-inducible transgene model; EpA960—interrupted 960-CTG expansion model. \* Other organ and tissue derived samples.

### 3.2. Protein Kinases

levels of 29 kinases were analyzed (Figure [3A](#page-5-0); Table [1\)](#page-6-0). The most evaluated kinase was protein kinase B (AKT), which was evaluated in 10 studies [\[26](#page-21-5)[,27](#page-21-17)[,30](#page-21-18)[,35,](#page-21-19)[38,](#page-21-8)[45](#page-22-9)[–49\]](#page-22-17), followed<br>levels as protein as 20,50,50,50,60 and the level in the late of the late of the late of the late of the late  $E_{\text{B}}$  (GSK3β) [\[27,](#page-21-17)[29,](#page-21-11)[33,](#page-21-6)[38,](#page-21-8)[45,](#page-22-9)[53\]](#page-22-6) evaluated in six studies. Both 5<sup>7</sup> AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) [\[26,](#page-21-5)[27,](#page-21-17)[40,](#page-21-14)[44,](#page-22-5)[48\]](#page-22-18) and ribosomal protein S6 kinase β-1 (S6K1) [\[25](#page-21-16)[,27](#page-21-17)[,46–](#page-22-10)48] were evaluated in five studies. Extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1 and 2 (ERK1 and ERK2) [24,35,45,46] were evaluated by four studies, mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) [\[26](#page-21-5)[,46](#page-22-10)[,51\]](#page-22-1) and protein kinase C  $\alpha$ /βII (PKC $\alpha$ /βII) [\[37](#page-21-4)[,51](#page-22-1)[,52\]](#page-22-8) were evaluated by three studies, while protein kinase R (PKR) [\[31](#page-21-3)[,41\]](#page-21-20) and p38 mitogen-activated protein  $\frac{1}{2}$  ( $\frac{1}{2}$ )  $\frac$ remaining kinases were evaluated in one study (Figure [3A](#page-5-0); Table [1\)](#page-6-0).  $\frac{2}{3}$  and protein kinase C and protein kinase C  $\frac{2}{3}$  were evaluated by the studies of  $\frac{2}{3}$  were evaluated by the studies of  $\frac{2}{3}$ Among the 41 studies, the total protein levels as well as protein phosphorylation by DMPK [\[24,](#page-21-15)[28,](#page-21-10)[30,](#page-21-18)[50,](#page-22-11)[56](#page-22-2)[–59,](#page-22-13)[62\]](#page-22-15) evaluated in nine studies and glycogen synthase kinase kinases (p38MAPK) [\[24,](#page-21-15)[30\]](#page-21-18) and CDK4 [\[49,](#page-22-17)[61\]](#page-22-14) were evaluated by two studies. All the

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

**Figure 3.** Distribution of protein kinases, protein phosphatases, and phosphoproteins evaluated **Figure 3.** Distribution of protein kinases, protein phosphatases, and phosphoproteins evaluated across the studies of this systematic review. Both total levels and phosphorylation levels were eval-across the studies of this systematic review. Both total levels and phosphorylation levels were evaluated. (A) Kinases; (B) Phosphatases; (C) Phosphoproteins. Abbreviations: AKT-Protein kinase B; AMPK-5' AMP-activated protein kinase; AS160-TBC1 domain family member 4; CaMKIIβM-Ca2+/calmodulin dependent protein kinase; CDKN1B—Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1B; Ca2+/calmodulin dependent protein kinase; CDKN1B—Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1B; CDK4/6/12—Cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6/12; CUGBP1—CUG triplet repeat RNA binding pro- $1$ ; DMPK—Dystrophia myotonica protein kinase; eI $2$ α—Eukaryotic translation initiation factor  $\alpha$  in initiation factor 2 tein 1; DMPK—Dystrophia myotonica protein kinase; eIF2α—Eukaryotic translation initiation factor<br>Carl Dividend Carl Dividen 2 subunit 1; ERK 1/2—Extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1/2; FOXO1—Forkhead box protein O1; GSK3—Glycogen synthase kinase 3; IGF-1R—Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor; IkBα—Nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cells inhibitor, alpha; INPP4B—Type II inositol 3,4-bisphosphate 4-phosphatase; InsR—Insulin receptor; IRS1—Insulin receptor substrate 1; LKB1—Liver kinase B1; MEK—Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase; mTOR—Mammalian target of rapamycin; NF-kB—Nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; PDGFRβ— Platelet-derived growth factor receptor beta; PERK—Protein kinase R (PKR)-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase; PKC—Protein kinase C; PKM2—Pyruvate kinase isozyme M2; PKR—Protein kinase; subunit; PTPRF—Receptor-type tyrosine-protein phosphatase F; p38MAPK—p38 Mitogen-acti-PLN—Phospholamban; PRKAR1B—cAMP-dependent protein kinase type I-beta regulatory subunit; van en protein kinastie en protein protein de la protein seguenti seguenti, en protein seguenti, seguenti seguenti s PTPRF—Receptor-type tyrosine-protein phosphatase F; p38MAPK—p38 Mitogen-activated protein kinase; Rb—Retinoblastoma protein; RpS6—Ribosomal protein S6; Stat3—Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; S6K1—Ribosomal protein S6 kinase beta-1; TAK1—Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 7; TSC2—Tuberous Sclerosis Complex 2; ULK1—Unc-51-like autophagy activating kinase.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

## **Table 1.** Summary of the main findings of the different proteins' total and phosphorylation levels in DM1.

**Table 1.** *Cont.*



**Table 1.** *Cont.*







Results of similar, increased, and decreased levels are in comparison of DM1 with control (CTL) group. \* Absence of comparison with control group. Abbreviations: AKT—Protein kinase B; AMPK—5<sup>'</sup> AMP-activated protein kinase; AS160—TBC1 domain family member 4; BB—*Biceps Brachii*; CaMKIIβM—Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin dependent protein kinase; CDKN1B— Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1B; CDK4/6/12—Cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6/12; CSF—Cerebrospinal fluid; CTG—Cytosine–Thymine–Guanine triplet; CTL—Control group; CUGBP1—CUG triplet repeat RNA binding protein 1; DMPK—*Dystrophia myotonica* protein kinase; DM1—Myotonic Dystrophy type 1 group; EDL—*Extensor digitorum longus* muscle; eIF2 $\alpha$ —Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit 1; ERK 1/2—Extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1/2; Fed—Mice food-deprived for 12 h followed by 4 h of free access to food before sacrifice; FOXO1—Forkhead box protein O1; GSK3—Glycogen synthase kinase 3; IGF-1R—Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor; IkBα—Nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cells inhibitor, alpha; INPP4B—Type II inositol 3,4-bisphosphate 4-phosphatase; InsR—Insulin receptor; IRS1—Insulin receptor substrate 1; LBCLs—Lymphoblastoid cell lines; LKB1—Liver kinase B1; MEK—Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase; MeHg—Methylmercury chloride; mTOR—Mammalian target of rapamycin; n—sample size; NF-kB—Nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; NR—Not reported; PDGFRβ—Platelet-derived growth factor receptor beta; PERK—Protein kinase R (PKR)-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase; PKC—Protein kinase C; PKM2—Pyruvate kinase isozyme M2; PKR—Protein kinase R; PLN—Phospholamban; p-protein: phosphorylated protein; PRKAR1B—cAMP-dependent protein kinase type I-beta regulatory subunit; PTPRF—Receptor-type tyrosine-protein phosphatase F; p38MAPK—p38 Mitogen-activated protein kinase; Rb—Retinoblastoma protein; RpS6—Ribosomal protein S6; Stat3—Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; Ser—Serine; S6K1—Ribosomal protein S6 kinase beta-1; TA—*Tibialis Anterior*; TAK1—Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 7; Thr—Threonine; TSC2—Tuberous Sclerosis Complex 2; Tyr—Tyrosine; ULK1—Unc-51-like autophagy activating kinase; 24hStarved/45hStarved—Mice with 24/45 h of food deprivation but free access to water before sacrifice.

#### *3.3. Protein Phosphatases*

Phosphatases were the class of proteins less assessed across the studies, with three phosphatases retrieved that were analyzed by one article each: Type II inositol 3,4-bisphosphate 4-phosphatase (INPP4B) [\[23\]](#page-21-2), Receptor-type tyrosine-protein phosphatase F (PTPRF) [\[23\]](#page-21-2), and Calcineurin [\[43\]](#page-21-7) (Figure [3B](#page-5-0); Table [1\)](#page-6-0).

### *3.4. Phosphoproteins*

The phosphorylation status of 17 phosphoproteins was evaluated across the 41 included studies (Figure [3C](#page-5-0), Table [1\)](#page-6-0). The most evaluated phosphoproteins were the RNA binding protein CUGBP1 [\[31](#page-21-3)[,33](#page-21-6)[,36](#page-21-42)[,37](#page-21-4)[,49](#page-22-17)[,52](#page-22-8)[,53\]](#page-22-6) reported in seven studies and Tau protein [\[42,](#page-21-43)[55,](#page-22-7)[63\]](#page-22-0) reported in three studies, followed by Retinoblastoma protein (Rb) [\[25,](#page-21-16)[28\]](#page-21-10), Ribosomal protein S6 (RpS6) [\[26](#page-21-5)[,27\]](#page-21-17), Eukaryotic translation eIF2α [\[31,](#page-21-3)[32\]](#page-21-12), Insulin Receptor Substrate 1 (IRS1) [\[45,](#page-22-9)[46\]](#page-22-10), and c-Jun [\[40](#page-21-14)[,60\]](#page-22-3) reported in two studies (Figure [3C](#page-5-0)). All the remaining phosphoproteins were only evaluated by one study each (Figure [3C](#page-5-0)).

### *3.5. Deregulation of Major Signalling Pathways Associated to DM1 Regulated by Protein Phosphorylation*

### 3.5.1. AKT/mTOR Pathway

AKT is a serine/threonine kinase that promotes cell survival, proliferation, and glucose uptake and synthesis by interacting and phosphorylating targets such as  $GSK3\beta$ , mTOR, AS160, and FOXO1 [\[65\]](#page-22-39). In DM1, AKT total levels were quite similar to control in most of the reported biological samples (Figures S1, S2 and S3A, Table [1\)](#page-6-0), but were found increased in human DM1 tibialis anterior (TA) skeletal muscle biopsies (*p* = 0.02) [\[45\]](#page-22-9) (Figure S1A; Table [1\)](#page-6-0) and decreased in human DM1-derived congenital myoblasts with 3200 CTG repeats  $(p < 0.05)$  [\[47\]](#page-22-16) (Figure S2A; Table [1\)](#page-6-0). The dual phosphorylation of AKT at Thr308 and Ser473 residues is responsible for its activation. Phosphorylated AKT (p-AKT) levels at Thr308 were increased in DM1 human distal skeletal muscle biopsies (*p* = 0.04), while no difference was detected in proximal skeletal muscle biopsies [\[45\]](#page-22-9) (Figure S1B; Table [1\)](#page-6-0). Phosphorylation levels at Ser473 differed between human myoblasts and myotubes, where they were increased and decreased compared to control, respectively [\[48](#page-22-18)[,49\]](#page-22-17) (Figures S2B, S3B and S3C, Table [1\)](#page-6-0). Also, p-AKT levels were decreased in DM1 human fibroblasts [\[30\]](#page-21-18) (Table [1\)](#page-6-0).

GSK3 is a multi-functional serine/threonine kinase that inhibits the glycogen synthase activity, involved in cell proliferation, metabolism, and cell survival [\[65\]](#page-22-39). There are two isoforms,  $GSK3β$  and  $GSK3α$ , which are constitutively active, and are inhibited upon AKT phosphorylation at Ser9 and Ser21, respectively, favoring glycogen formation and storage [\[65\]](#page-22-39). GSK3β total levels in DM1 human skeletal muscle biopsies varied according to the biopsy site (Figure S1 and Table [1\)](#page-6-0), since they were significantly decreased in human TA distal muscle biopsies (*p* = 0.03) [\[45\]](#page-22-9) but increased in BB proximal muscle biopsies [\[33\]](#page-21-6). Further, GSK3β total levels were also increased in DM1 human myoblasts [\[53\]](#page-22-6) (Figure S2A and Table [1\)](#page-6-0) and mice skeletal muscle biopsies [\[33,](#page-21-6)[36,](#page-21-42)[53\]](#page-22-6) (Figure S4A and Table [1\)](#page-6-0). Regarding phosphorylation of GSK3, there is consensus that phosphorylated GSK3β at Ser9 (inhibited state) is decreased in DM1 human skeletal muscle biopsies when compared to control [\[33\]](#page-21-6) and in neural stem cells (*p* < 0.05) [\[27\]](#page-21-17) (Figure S1B and Table [1\)](#page-6-0). Phosphorylated GSK3β at Tyr216 (active state) was increased in human distal  $(p = 0.004)$  [\[45\]](#page-22-9) and proximal muscle biopsies [\[33\]](#page-21-6) (Figure S1B and Table [1\)](#page-6-0). Lastly, GSK3 $\alpha$ phosphorylated levels at Ser21 (inhibited state) were significantly decreased in human neural stem cells in patients with DM1 ( $p < 0.05$ ) [\[27\]](#page-21-17) (Table [1\)](#page-6-0). Overall, these results indicate that phosphorylated and inhibited forms of GSK3 are decreased, suggesting an increase of GSK3 activity, possibly due to a decrease in phosphorylated levels of AKT.

mTOR is a serine/threonine kinase that stimulates muscle protein synthesis and cell growth [\[65\]](#page-22-39). AKT is suggested to phosphorylate and directly activate mTOR at Ser2448 and indirectly through the inhibition of TSC2, which is a mTOR inhibitor [\[65\]](#page-22-39). In DM1, phosphorylated mTOR levels at Ser2448 were decreased in human- and IPSC-derived

satellite skeletal muscle cells  $(p < 0.05)$  [\[51\]](#page-22-1) (Table [1\)](#page-6-0), suggesting that mTOR activity is decreased in DM1. Further, mTOR phosphorylates and activates S6K1 at Thr389, which promotes translation of cell proliferation-related mRNA [\[66\]](#page-22-40). In DM1, the phosphorylated S6K1 levels at Thr389 were increased in DM1 human skeletal muscle biopsies and DM1 mice skeletal muscle biopsies upon 24 h starvation compared to control [\[26\]](#page-21-5) (Figure S1B and Table [1\)](#page-6-0). Also, mTOR protein complex 1 (mTORC1) and c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) phosphorylates S6K1 at Thr421/Ser424, which disables the autoinhibition domain, inducing a S6K1 conformational change that facilitates its phosphorylation and activation [\[67\]](#page-22-41). S6K1 phosphorylated levels at these two residues were significantly decreased before and during myoblasts to myotubes differentiation [\[24\]](#page-21-15) (Figures S2B and S5B, Table [1\)](#page-6-0).

RpS6 is a target of S6K1, which is phosphorylated and activated at Ser235/Ser236/Ser240/ Ser244 and is involved in translation [\[68\]](#page-22-42). In human skeletal muscle biopsies, phosphorylated RpS6 levels were increased [\[26\]](#page-21-5) (Figure S1B and Table [1\)](#page-6-0). However, in human neural stem cells, phosphorylated RpS6 levels were decreased in DM1 when compared with controls [\[27\]](#page-21-17) (Table [1\)](#page-6-0).

In addition, three studies have evaluated the AKT/mTOR pathway upon insulin stimulation, resulting in its activation. Essentially, in these studies, a range of concentration between 10 nM and 100 nM of insulin was administrated to mice/cells for different periods of time, namely 0, 5, 15, 20 and 30 min. Results showed that this pathway is under stimulated in DM1 [\[38,](#page-21-8)[45,](#page-22-9)[46,](#page-22-10)[69\]](#page-22-43).

#### 3.5.2. AMPK Pathway

Another important signaling pathway evaluated in DM1 was the AMPK pathway, that functions as cell growth inhibitor, metabolism regulator, and is also associated to autophagy and cell polarity [\[70\]](#page-23-0). The kinase CaMKIIβ is an upstream effector of AMPK, that phosphorylates and activates AMPK at Thr172 residue upon an increase in calcium influx. In DM1 mice muscle tissue, there was a significant decrease in p-CaMKIIβM (Thr286) levels (*p* < 0.05) [\[26\]](#page-21-5) (Figure S4B and Table [1\)](#page-6-0). Concerning total AMPK levels, they appear to be similar between DM1 and controls in almost all types of biological samples tested except for muscle biopsies from TREDT960I mice with severe muscle wasting, where they were found increased  $(p = 0.05)$  [\[40\]](#page-21-14) (Figure S4A and Table [1\)](#page-6-0). The levels of phosphorylated AMPK at Thr172 were also similar between DM1 and controls in most models tested. However, there was a significant decrease of phosphorylated AMPK at this residue in muscle biopsies from HSALR mice  $(p = 0.02)$  [\[44\]](#page-22-5) and in human fibroblastderived myoblasts ( $p = 0.02$ ) [\[44\]](#page-22-5) (Figure S4B and Table [1\)](#page-6-0), while an increase was found in skeletal muscle biopsies obtained from TREDT960I mice with severe muscle wasting (*p* < 0.01) [\[40\]](#page-21-14) (Figure S4B and Table [1\)](#page-6-0).

There were several alterations in the AMPK signaling pathway upon starvation in DM1 samples. Skeletal muscle biopsies from HSALR DM1 mice were collected and analyzed upon a period of starvation. These DM1 mice models did not respond to fasting conditions as compared to control [\[26\]](#page-21-5). Firstly, AMPK regulatory kinases LKB1 and TAK1 total levels were similar in both DM1 and control [\[26\]](#page-21-5) (Table [1\)](#page-6-0). However, a decrease was reported in total and phosphorylated active CaMKII (Thr286) levels in these mice models when compared to controls upon 24 h starvation (*p* < 0.05) [\[26\]](#page-21-5) (Table [1\)](#page-6-0). AMPK phosphorylation levels were not responsive upon 24 h of starvation, and reduced levels of p-AMPK (Thr172) in HSALR DM1 mice skeletal muscle biopsies were observed, while a significant increase was observed in control muscle biopsies ( $p < 0.05$ ) [\[26\]](#page-21-5). However, p-AMPK levels were normalized after 45 h of starvation [\[26\]](#page-21-5) (Table [1\)](#page-6-0). Similar phosphorylation levels of the AMPK downstream targets TSC2 (Ser1387) and ULK1 (Ser317) were observed between DM1 and controls in 24 h starved mice [\[26\]](#page-21-5) (Table [1\)](#page-6-0).

### 3.5.3. CUGBP1 Regulation

CUGBP1 is an RNA-binding protein, which regulates alternative splicing, mRNA degradation, and translation affecting gene expression. CUGBP1 total levels are commonly increased in DM1 human samples, mice, and cell models compared to controls [\[31](#page-21-3)[,33,](#page-21-6)[36,](#page-21-42)[37\]](#page-21-4) (Table [1\)](#page-6-0). CUGBP1 is regulated by different proteins and protein complexes, which alters its affinity to different mRNAs [\[49\]](#page-22-17). CUGBP1 is phosphorylated at Ser302 and activated by the cyclinD3/CDK4/6 protein complex. This activation leads to the formation of an active translational complex with the unphosphorylated active form of  $eIF2\alpha$ , which will increase the translation of mRNAs involved in DNA damage repair and chromatin remodeling [\[31\]](#page-21-3). In DM1, there was a decreased formation of these active translational complexes, since there is decreased interaction between CUGBP1 with cyclinD3 in myotubes and decreased levels of p-CUGBP1 at Ser302 in human myoblasts [\[31\]](#page-21-3) and myotubes [\[49\]](#page-22-17) (Figures S2B and S3B, Table [1\)](#page-6-0). Further, increased levels of inactive form of  $eIF2\alpha$ , phosphorylated at Ser51, were detected in DM1 human myoblasts and CHO cell lines expressing expanded CUG RNA [\[31\]](#page-21-3) (Figure S2B and Table [1\)](#page-6-0).

CUGBP1 can be phosphorylated by AKT at Ser28. Increased levels of p-CUGBP1 at Ser28 were detected in DM1 human myoblasts, which increased the interaction of CUGBP1 with cyclin D1 mRNA, a strong promoter of cell proliferation [\[49\]](#page-22-17) (Figure S2B and Table [1\)](#page-6-0). Another regulator of CUGBP1 is PKC, which has increased phosphorylated levels in DM1 mice heart and muscle tissue, human heart tissue, and COS M6 cell lines (Table [1\)](#page-6-0). In the studies that evaluated CUGBP1 and PKC, total and phosphorylated levels were both found to be increased [\[36](#page-21-42)[,37,](#page-21-4)[52,](#page-22-8)[53\]](#page-22-6). CUGBP1 is considered a target of DMPK; however, no studies have evaluated both proteins simultaneously to understand how their interaction may be impaired in DM1. Therefore, this issue should be explored in future studies.

### 3.5.4. MEK/ERK Pathway

The MEK/ERK pathway plays an important role in regulating cell growth and division. ERK1/2 regulates transcription factors and gene expression that promotes cell proliferation [\[71\]](#page-23-1). MEK phosphorylates ERK1/2 at Thr202/Tyr204 [\[24,](#page-21-15)[72,](#page-23-2)[73\]](#page-23-3) (Figure S2B and Table [1\)](#page-6-0). Total levels of ERK1/2 were also significantly increased in DM1 human skeletal muscle biopsies ( $p = 0.02$  in BB muscle biopsies;  $p = 0.01$  in TA muscle biopsies) [\[45\]](#page-22-9) and phosphorylated levels at Thr202/Tyr204 were increased in TA distal skeletal muscle biopsies (*p* = 0.03) [\[45\]](#page-22-9) and human myoblasts (*p* < 0.01) [\[45\]](#page-22-9) (Figures S1 and S2B, Table [1\)](#page-6-0). In addition, phosphorylated levels were positively correlated with CTG repeat length in a DM1 Lymphoblastoid B-Cell Line (LBCLs) [\[35\]](#page-21-19) (Table [1\)](#page-6-0). These results indicate that the MEK/ERK pathway may be upregulated in DM1.

This pathway was further evaluated upon insulin stimulation, where phosphorylated ERK1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204) levels remained unresponsive upon insulin signaling activation in DM1, while in control samples these levels significantly increased after stimulation [\[45,](#page-22-9)[46\]](#page-22-10) in human skeletal muscle biopsies and satellite cell-derived myotubes (Table [1\)](#page-6-0).

#### 3.5.5. Myoblast Differentiation and Proliferation

DMPK is the DM1 central protein and evidence suggests that due to the occurrence of CUG-expanded mRNA that forms hairpin-like structures and accumulates in the nucleus, the DMPK protein levels are diminished. Indeed, all studies reported a significant decrease in the total levels of DMPK in DM1 compared to controls (Figures S1A, S2A and S3A, Table [1\)](#page-6-0) [\[24](#page-21-15)[,28](#page-21-10)[,30](#page-21-18)[,50](#page-22-11)[,57–](#page-22-12)[59,](#page-22-13)[62\]](#page-22-15).

The myoblast proliferation and differentiation signaling alterations observed in DM1 are summarized in Figure S5 [\[24](#page-21-15)[,47](#page-22-16)[,49\]](#page-22-17). Firstly, DMPK was decreased during the differentiation process in human fetus-derived myoblasts in DM1 compared to controls. The CDK4 protein levels were unchanged in DM1 but increased in control during differentiation (Figure S5A and Table [1\)](#page-6-0) [\[49,](#page-22-17)[61\]](#page-22-14). CUGBP1, one of CDK4's targets, had both total and Ser302 phosphorylated levels decrease during human myoblast differentiation (Figure S5 and Table [1\)](#page-6-0) [\[49\]](#page-22-17). Rb protein, another CDK4 downstream target, was shown to be slightly increased during the first two days of differentiation of DM1 fetus-derived myoblasts compared to controls. Rb, in the 4th to 6th day of myoblast differentiation, was completely dephosphorylated in DM1, similar to controls (Figure S5B and Table [1\)](#page-6-0) [\[24\]](#page-21-15).

The AKT/mTOR pathway studies in DM1 showed that AKT has increased phosphorylated levels (Ser473) in proliferating DM1 myoblasts but decreased levels in DM1 differentiating myoblasts (Figure S5B and Table [1\)](#page-6-0) [\[49\]](#page-22-17). Additionally, S6K1 phosphorylated levels (Thr421/Ser424) also appeared mostly reduced during human myoblast differentiation in DM1 (*p* < 0.01) [\[24,](#page-21-15)[47\]](#page-22-16), except for myoblasts with 1800 CTG repeats [\[47\]](#page-22-16) (Figure S2B and Table [1\)](#page-6-0). Phosphorylated CUGBP1 at Ser38, one of the downstream targets of AKT, appeared increased during human myoblast proliferation (Table [1\)](#page-6-0) [\[49\]](#page-22-17), and interactions with these two proteins were also increased during proliferation in DM1 [\[49\]](#page-22-17). Another downstream target of AKT, GSK3β, increased total levels during all steps of myoblast differentiation (Figure S5A and Table [1\)](#page-6-0) [\[33\]](#page-21-6). Concerning the MEK/ERK pathway, the p-ERK1/2 (Thr202) levels were increased in DM1 myoblasts through almost all of the differentiation process, but especially at the beginning of the differentiation (Figure S5B and Table [1\)](#page-6-0) [\[24\]](#page-21-15). Further, its effector MEK also presented increased phosphorylation levels (Ser218/Ser222) in the beginning of myoblast differentiation (Figure S5 and Table [1\)](#page-6-0) [\[24\]](#page-21-15); p38MAPK, however, presented reduced phosphorylated levels (Thr180/Tyr182) during the DM1 myoblast differentiation process (Figure S5B and Table [1\)](#page-6-0) [\[24\]](#page-21-15).

### 3.5.6. Other Relevant Signaling Alterations

The PKC kinase has been found to be related to MEK/ERK pathway activation [\[72\]](#page-23-2) and CUGBP1 increased steady-state levels and phosphorylation [\[37\]](#page-21-4). Three isoforms of PKC were evaluated in DM1: PKC $\alpha$ , PKC $\beta$ II, and PKC $\theta$ . In mice skeletal muscle biopsy, PKCα/βII and PKCθ total levels and PKCα/βII phosphorylated levels at Thr638/Thr641 were similar in DM1 and controls (Figure S4 and Table [1\)](#page-6-0) [\[36\]](#page-21-42) but they were increased in mice and human cardiac muscle biopsies and in COS M6 cell lines with expanded CUG repeats (Table [1\)](#page-6-0) [\[37\]](#page-21-4). Regarding PKCθ, phosphorylated levels at Thr538 were found significantly increased in DM1 compared to control mice skeletal muscle biopsies ( $p = 0.05$ ) (Figure S4B) [\[36\]](#page-21-42).

Phospholamban (PLN) is another downstream target of DMPK. This protein regulates the sarcoplasmic reticulum calcium pump and, when phosphorylated, increases calcium reuptake [\[73\]](#page-23-3). DMPK phosphorylates PLN at Ser16 [\[39\]](#page-21-9). In DMPK knockout mice cardiac tissue biopsies, PLN phosphorylated levels at Ser16 were decreased before and after stimulation with the β-adrenergic agonist isoproterenol compared to controls (*p* < 0.05) (Table [1\)](#page-6-0) [\[39\]](#page-21-9).

One protein that is influenced by calcium levels is calcineurin, a  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$ -activated serine/threonine phosphatase (PP2B) which is an important stimulator of muscle growth, hypertrophy, and remodeling [\[74](#page-23-4)[,75\]](#page-23-5). As observed in DM1 HSALR mice skeletal muscle tissue biopsies, this phosphatase activity and total protein levels were significantly increased about two-fold compared to controls (Figure S4A and Table [1\)](#page-6-0) [\[43\]](#page-21-7).

PKR and PKR-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK) proteins are kinases that respond upon stress signals. Both phosphorylate and inhibit the initiation factor 2 subunit 1 (eIF2 $\alpha$ ) at Ser51, leading to protein synthesis suppression and apoptosis induction [\[76\]](#page-23-6). In DM1, phosphorylated levels of PKR [\[31,](#page-21-3)[41\]](#page-21-20) and PERK [\[31\]](#page-21-3) are increased in human skeletal muscle biopsies and myoblasts. Also, protein CDK6, a kinase that promotes eIF2 $\alpha$  translation of transcription factors for cell cycle progression [\[77\]](#page-23-7), is also increased in human myoblasts (Figure S2A and Table [1\)](#page-6-0) [\[23\]](#page-21-2). EIF2 $\alpha$  is involved in the initiation of eukaryotic protein synthesis and can be phosphorylated and inhibited at Ser51 by the previously described PKR and PERK proteins, leading to autophagy and suppression of protein synthesis [\[76\]](#page-23-6). In DM1, phosphorylated levels of eIF2 $\alpha$  at Ser51 are increased in human skeletal muscle biopsies (Figure S1B and Table [1\)](#page-6-0), human myoblasts (Figure S2B and Table [1\)](#page-6-0), and CHO cell lines (Table [1\)](#page-6-0) [\[31\]](#page-21-3). NF-kB, a family of inducible transcription factors that mediate pro-inflammatory gene induction [\[78\]](#page-23-8), is indirectly activated by PKR, through the phosphorylation of IkBα (a NF-kB inhibitor) at Ser32, which targets the protein for degradation [\[78\]](#page-23-8). In mice C2C12 myoblasts with 800 CUGexp RNA, NF-kB has similar total levels when compared to control and increased phosphorylated levels at Ser536 (*p* < 0.01)

(Table [1\)](#page-6-0) [\[41\]](#page-21-20). IkB $\alpha$  has increased phosphorylated levels at Ser32 ( $p < 0.01$ ) [\[42\]](#page-21-43), which results in increased protein degradation, being consistent with the significant decrease observed in its total levels  $(p < 0.01)$  $(p < 0.01)$  (Table 1) [\[41\]](#page-21-20).

Several proteins involved in cell proliferation are altered in DM1. PKM2 is a pyruvate kinase isomer that catalyzes the final reaction of glycolysis, usually expressed in tissues such as tumor cells, embryonic tissues, and testis. Its activity promotes cancer cell proliferation and growth [\[79\]](#page-23-9). In DM1, the PKM2 is aberrantly expressed in muscle, with increased total levels in C2C12 myoblasts and human skeletal muscle biopsies (Figure S1A and Table [1\)](#page-6-0). Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (Stat3) is a transducer of cytokines and growth factors. When phosphorylated, Stat3 is active and regulates target genes related to cell growth, proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis [\[80\]](#page-23-10). Aberrant phosphorylation of Stat3 has been related to malignant cell transformation induction [\[80\]](#page-23-10). In DM1, total and phosphorylated Stat3 levels at Ser727 were found increased in mice skeletal muscle biopsies (Figure S4 and Table [1\)](#page-6-0) [\[40\]](#page-21-14). Lastly, the Rb protein is a tumor suppressor that negatively regulates the G1/S cell cycle transition [\[81\]](#page-23-11). It becomes inhibited when phosphorylated, enabling cell cycle progression. Rb phosphorylated levels were increased in DM1 human myoblasts at an unknown residue (Figure S2B and Table [1\)](#page-6-0) [\[24\]](#page-21-15) but decreased in neural stem cells at Ser801/Ser811 (*p* < 0.05) (Table [1\)](#page-6-0) [\[27\]](#page-21-17).

#### *3.6. Discussion*

In this systematic review, we gathered evidence suggesting deregulation of several protein kinases, protein phosphatases, and phosphoproteins deregulated in DM1, as well several signaling pathways that might be impaired, contributing to the clinical manifestations and symptoms commonly observed in patients with DM1. Some heterogeneous and conflicting results were reported depending on the model system used. In fact, several in vivo and in vitro biological models have been used to study the molecular mechanisms underlying DM1, with several advantages/disadvantages. For instance, transgenic mice are a biological model of choice that recreates key molecular and phenotypic features of DM1 [\[82](#page-23-12)[,83\]](#page-23-13). However, some of the limitations for the use of mouse models are that the levels of repeat instability are decreased in comparison to what is observed in germ lines and somatic tissues in human cell models, possibly due to the use of a relatively shorter number of repeats than observed in humans, especially in more severe phenotypes [\[84\]](#page-23-14). Human-derived cell models are of great utility for the study of DM1, since they can express the whole range of repeat lengths while staying at their genomic context and reproducing the characteristic features of DM1 [\[85–](#page-23-15)[87\]](#page-23-16). One of the major limitations of primary cells, in general, is the limited number of times they can divide until they enter a state of replicative senescence. In DM1, this effect is even more accentuated since cells may enter a state of early senescence compared to the control cells. At the same time, primary cells have great variability between individuals, which alters the growth conditions of the cell line considering age, the tissue of origin, and the degree of dysfunction [\[88–](#page-23-17)[90\]](#page-23-18). Therefore, to increase the robustness of the data and integrate the research findings related to DM1, both the use of mouse models and human cell lines should be considered. Further, also reported were variations in the results obtained in studies performed using human muscle samples, which may be related to the sample collection (i.e., biopsies, post-mortem). Moreover, differences between the type of muscle group—for instance, between BB and TA—are also observed. These differences are, in fact, expected in DM1, given that this muscular dystrophy is characterized by progressive and symmetrical distal muscle weakness [\[5\]](#page-20-14).

Due to the high variability in methodologies and DM1 models used, a meta-analysis was not possible to perform. The results of this review clearly demonstrate that several signaling pathways are impaired in DM1, such as the AKT/mTOR, MEK/ERK, PKC, and AMPK signaling pathways. These pathways are responsible for regulating different key cellular mechanisms, such as cell cycle, cell proliferation and differentiation, apoptosis, autophagy, glucose metabolism, and stress response [\[70,](#page-23-0)[71,](#page-23-1)[91\]](#page-23-19).

DM1 is characterized by genetic alterations in the *DMPK* gene, specifically at the 3 <sup>0</sup>UTR region, and patients with DM1 have abnormally expressed CTG repeats. This gene encodes for the DMPK protein, which was found decreased in patients with DM1 in all studies included in this review [\[24,](#page-21-15)[28,](#page-21-10)[30,](#page-21-18)[50\]](#page-22-11). One plausible explanation is that DMPK mRNA transcripts with expanded CUG tend to be retained at the nucleus as nuclear aggregates, called foci, preventing DMPK mRNA translocation to the cytoplasm, leading to decreased DMPK expression and, consequently, loss of function [\[4\]](#page-20-15). Also, around a 50% decrease was reported in DMPK mRNA levels in patients with DM1 [\[58](#page-22-44)[,92\]](#page-23-20). Targets of DMPK such as PLN also have decreased phosphorylated levels in DM1 mice heart biopsies compared to controls [\[39\]](#page-21-9), which can explain the increased probability for patients with DM1 to develop cardiac conduction abnormalities. Surprisingly, to date, there have been no results to determine the functional relation between the DMPK protein with its target CUGBP1 [\[19\]](#page-20-12), despite being two of the most altered proteins in this disorder. These studies are very important and should be addressed in the near future. Currently, there is no reported evidence suggesting the direct role of DMPK in other kinases and phosphatases highlighted in this manuscript. Although DMPK might not be impacting directly other kinases and phosphatases, it is expected that by altering several signaling pathways, it impacts indirectly their expression and activity, which might be underlying some of DM1's heterogenous symptoms.

MBNL1 and CUGBP1 are two RNA-binding proteins that were found deregulated in DM1, contributing to abnormal alternative splicing of several different pre-mRNAs [\[69\]](#page-22-43). Studies that addressed CUGBP1 in DM1 detected increased steady-state levels and hyperphosphorylation that can be attributed to an increase in PKC expression and activity [\[37\]](#page-21-4). However, a study that used  $PKC\alpha/\beta$  knockout mice skeletal muscle biopsies presenting RNA toxicity did not observe a difference in the skeletal muscle phenotypes compared to a wildtype, suggesting that the phenotypes are independent of these proteins [\[36\]](#page-21-42). Another PKC isoform named PKCθ, predominantly expressed in skeletal muscle, demonstrated increased phosphorylated levels in skeletal muscle biopsies of DM1 patients. PKCθ is suggested to be involved in biological events associated with DM1 pathology, such as myoblast differentiation and chloride channel function modulation [\[93](#page-23-21)[–95\]](#page-23-22). Although the mechanisms underlying PKC upregulation mediated by the CUG expanded repeats remain to be elucidated, PKCθ may be an important target to explore in DM1. Additionally, the relationship between PKCθ phosphorylation and CUGBP1 increased phosphorylation should be explored.

CUGBP1 is also regulated by AKT and cyclinD3/CDK4/6 phosphorylation, altering its affinity for certain mRNAs. As previously described, in DM1 human myoblasts, CUGBP1 has decreased interaction with cyclin D3 in myotubes, leading to increased formation of inhibited translational complexes with phosphorylated eIF2 $\alpha$  (inhibited) [\[31\]](#page-21-3). These complexes aggregate into stress granules in the cytoplasm, trapping mRNAs that code for proteins involved in DNA damage repair and also the remodeling factor MRG15, contributing to the progressive muscle loss in patients with DM1 [\[31\]](#page-21-3). Additionally, the formation of stress granules with RNA binding proteins has been reported in neurodegenerative disorders such as Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis and Spinal Muscular Atrophy [\[96\]](#page-23-23).

The AKT/mTOR pathway was reported to be impaired in DM1, especially under stimulation with insulin. Insulin insensitivity and resistance is a common endocrine abnormality observed in patients with DM1 [\[97\]](#page-23-24). AKT and mTOR, which are important stimulators of anabolic pathways such as glucose uptake, glycogen storage, and protein synthesis, did not respond to insulin stimulation and, therefore, were found decreased in DM1. FOXO1, AS160, and  $GSK3\alpha/\beta$ , which should be inhibited upon insulin stimulation, presented increased activity in DM1, leading to increased apoptosis, oxidative stress, and protein degradation. In Figure [4,](#page-16-0) we propose a mechanism by which the insulin pathway is impaired in DM1, considering the results gathered within this review. In addition, the MEK/ERK pathway is responsible for the growth-promoting effects of insulin [\[98\]](#page-24-0). Together they regulate several biological processes, such as transcription, protein synthesis,

<span id="page-16-0"></span>

cell growth, and differentiation [\[99\]](#page-24-1). ERK1/2 was also found decreased in DM1 upon insulin stimulation [\[46\]](#page-22-10) (Figure [4\)](#page-16-0).

**Figure 4.** Schematic representation of the proposed mechanism of insulin signaling dysfunction in DM1. (**A**) Normal insulin signaling pathway; (**B**) Insulin signaling alterations in DM1 according to the results. The AKT/mTOR and MEK/ERK pathway have decreased levels of phosphorylation and activity, contributing to decreased glucose uptake and gene transcription, as well as increased apoptosis. Created using Biorender.com. Abbreviations: AKT—Protein kinase B; AS160—TBC1 domain family member 4; ERK 1/2—Extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1/2; FOXO1—Forkhead box protein O1; GSK3—Glycogen synthase kinase 3; IGF-1R—Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor; InsR—Insulin receptor; IRS1—Insulin receptor substrate 1; mTOR—Mammalian target of rapamycin; S6K1—Ribosomal protein S6 kinase beta-1; TSC2—Tuberous Sclerosis Complex 2.

Studies on alternative splicing detected abnormal splicing of the InsR mRNA, where patients with DM1 have increased expression of the fetal isoforms instead of the adult isoforms, which can partially explain the decreased response upon [in](#page-22-10)[suli](#page-24-2)n stimulation  $[46,100]$ . Another cause could be the increase in basal phosphorylation levels of AKT, ERK1/2 and GSK3 $β$  without insulin stimulation, as observed in distal skeletal muscle biopsy from patients with DM1, which could impair further stimulation upon insulin signaling. Lack of insulin stimulation has been linked to loss of muscle strength and muscle mass [\[101\]](#page-24-3), symptoms typically associated with progressive muscle wasting observed in DM1. Together, the dysfunction of the insulin pathway in DM1 may explain why these patients are more likely to develop metabolic complications such as insulin resistance  $[100, 102]$  $[100, 102]$ .

patients with DM1 have increased expression of the fetal isoforms instead of the adult

One of the insulin pathway antagonists is the AMPK signaling pathway. This pathway is one of the major regulators of cell metabolism in eukaryotes and is tightly related by autophagy [\[70\]](#page-23-0). DM1 HSALR mice skeletal muscle biopsies did not respond upon starvation conditions [\[26\]](#page-21-5). In Figure 5, we propose [a](#page-17-0) mechanism by which the AMPK pathway is impaired in DM1 considering the results gathered in this review. While the upstream effectors of AMPK, LKB1, and TAK1 did not present statistically significant differences between DM1 and controls, total and phosphorylated levels of CaMKIIβM, also an upstream effector of AMPK, which is activated in response of  $Ca^{2+}$  flux [\[70\]](#page-23-0), were significantly decreased in DM1. AMPK and its downstream target ULK1 also appeared with decreased phosphorylated active levels, which may be related to CaMKIIβM decreased activity (Figure [5B](#page-17-0)).

<span id="page-17-0"></span>

**Figure 5.** Schematic representation of the proposed mechanism of AMPK signaling dysfunction in DM1. (**A**) Normal AMPK signaling pathway; (**B**) AMPK Signaling alterations in DM1 upon starvation, according to the results. In DM1, an abnormal activation of mTORC1 pathway and inhibition of the AMPK pathway during ATP decrease is observed. Created using Biorender.com. Abbreviations: AKT—Protein kinase B; AMPK—5<sup>'</sup> AMP-activated protein kinase; CaMKII—Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin dependent protein kinase; LKB1—Liver kinase B1; mTOR—Mammalian target of rapamycin; RpS6— Ribosomal protein S6; S6K1—Ribosomal protein S6 kinase beta-1; TAK1—Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 7; TSC2—Tuberous Sclerosis Complex 2; ULK1—Unc-51-like autophagy activating kinase; enhancer in B-cells inhibitor, alpha.

Previous studies on alternative splicing in DM1 detected abnormalities in the splicing of CaMKII mRNA [\[103\]](#page-24-5), which could explain the decreased response. However, it is unknown whether these results are due to an impaired response induced by starvation or lack of  $Ca^{2+}$  flux since the latter was not reported. Further, the downstream target of mTOR, S6K1 as well as its target RpS6, presented increased phosphorylated levels upon starvation, while mTOR levels were similar between DM1 and controls [\[26\]](#page-21-5). This could be either due to a mechanism independent of mTOR or to increased activity and interaction of mTOR and S6K1, which was not ascertained in the study [\[26\]](#page-21-5). Together, these results suggest autophagy and apoptosis perturbations and an aberrant increase of anabolic pathways, increased protein synthesis and cell growth [\[26](#page-21-5)[,70\]](#page-23-0), which can lead to muscle alterations such as muscle atrophy and myotonia. In fact, DM1 mice treated with the AMPK agonist 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleoside (AICAR) and the mTORC1 inhibitor rapamycin normalized muscle weakness and reduced myotonia [\[26\]](#page-21-5).

The regulation of skeletal muscle formation (myogenesis) involves several different signaling pathways that are tightly regulated, controlling cell differentiation and proliferation [\[104–](#page-24-6)[106\]](#page-24-7). In DM1, pathways that promote differentiation are decreased, while pathways that promote proliferation are increased during differentiation, which significantly impairs and delays myogenesis [\[107\]](#page-24-8). Previous studies using C2C12 cells demonstrated that DMPK has a role in cell morphology regulation during myogenesis and is necessary for myogenin expression during differentiation of myoblasts to myotubes [\[108\]](#page-24-9). DMPK is markedly decreased during differentiation in DM1, and mRNA studies corroborate that the decreased levels of DMPK mRNA transcripts lead to a delay in DM1 myoblast maturation due to nuclear retention of the transcripts [\[58\]](#page-22-44). CUGBP1 increased phosphorylation by cyclin D3/CDK4/CDK6 enables the translation of mRNAs important for myoblast differentiation [\[106](#page-24-7)[,109\]](#page-24-10). However, in DM1, CUGBP1 is decreased and interacts less with cyclin D3 due to decreased levels of cyclin D3 and CDK4 during differentiation [\[49\]](#page-22-17). Moreover, an increased interaction between CUGBP1 and AKT is found in DM1 myoblasts [\[49\]](#page-22-17), increasing the levels of proteins that are strong stimulators of cell proliferation such as cyclin D1 [\[109\]](#page-24-10). Tumor suppressors such as Rb protein are important for cell cycle and differentiation. CDK4 kinase phosphorylates and inhibits the Rb protein, enabling the cell cycle progression [\[110](#page-24-11)[,111\]](#page-24-12). In DM1, there was an increase in the phosphorylated inactive form of Rb during differentiation, enabling cell proliferation, a feature observed in different types of cancer [\[112\]](#page-24-13). The MEK/ERK pathway mainly promotes and regulates cellular proliferation [\[105\]](#page-24-14), and in DM1 is abnormally active in the early stages of DM1 myoblast differentiation [\[24\]](#page-21-15). Additionally, the aberrant expression of other cell proliferation stimulators, such as PKR, PERK, and PKM2, observed in DM1 are also observed in cancer. This may explain why patients with DM1 present increased cancer susceptibility [\[113–](#page-24-15)[115\]](#page-24-16).

In this present systematic review, one of the limitations observed in the studies included is the lack of reporting on statistical significance to assess the differences between DM1 models and controls, which occurred only in 10 out of 41 studies included. Although this does not take credibility to the results, p-level reporting could increase the robustness of the data for this systematic review. It is also worth noting the lack of research on some main phosphatases that can participate in the regulation of the different signaling pathways that are dysfunctional in DM1. However, calcineurin presented robust results, with significantly increased levels in DM1 mice models. Surprisingly, this observation was considered a beneficial compensatory mechanism in DM1, since the normalization of calcineurin levels resulted in aggravated phenotypes of abnormal alternative splicing [\[43\]](#page-21-7). Calcineurin overexpression has also been reported to ameliorate the dystrophic phenotype in Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (MIM # 310200) mice models [\[116\]](#page-24-17).

In order to increase the knowledge of the role of protein phosphatases in the DM1 underlying molecular mechanisms, the study of other phosphatases should be considered in the future, such as protein phosphatase 1 and 2 (PP1/PP2A), which account for more than 90% of the protein phosphatase activity in eukaryotic cells [\[12\]](#page-20-9). They may reduce or increase the activity of certain proteins that have been shown to be altered in DM1,

such as AKT, mTOR, or GSK3, which in turn influences the activity of their downstream targets [\[117,](#page-24-18)[118\]](#page-24-19). Also, PP1 and its regulatory proteins have been found to be important participants in nuclear events, such as cell cycle and transcription regulation [12], which has been reported to be dysfunctional in DM1 in this review. Other phosphatases, such as Phosphatase and Tensin Homolog (PTEN), which indirectly dephosphorylates AKT, have been shown to be increased in models of muscle atrophy [119]. However, there is a lack of evidence of how these proteins interact and how their protein levels and activity vary in DM1 human or cellular models. Altogether, the described phosphatases may be good candidates for future research to unravel new molecular mechanisms and signaling pathways altered in DM1.

increase the activity of certain proteins that have been shown to be altered in DM1, such

#### **4. Conclusions** In conclusion, the alternation of the alternation o

In conclusion, this review provided a compilation of the altered protein phosphorylation events in several different kinases, phosphatases, and phosphoproteins that participate in signaling pathways, which apparently are deregulated in DM1. In Figure [6,](#page-19-0) we compile the major protein phosphorylation alterations in DM1 reported in the studies included in this review and the corresponding pathomechanisms and clinical observations.

<span id="page-19-0"></span>

**Figure 6.** Schematic representation of the major protein phosphorylation and molecular alterations **Figure 6.** Schematic representation of the major protein phosphorylation and molecular alterations in in DM1, corresponding pathomechanisms, and clinical manifestations. Created using DM1, corresponding pathomechanisms, and clinical manifestations. Created using Biorender.com.  $Symbols: \uparrow, \text{increased}; \downarrow, \text{decreased}.$ 

Key cellular pathways such as AKT/mTOR and AMPK were underactive in DM1, Key cellular pathways such as AKT/mTOR and AMPK were underactive in DM1, especially upon exposure of stimulating factors such as insulin/IGF-1 or decreased ATP especially upon exposure of stimulating factors such as insulin/IGF-1 or decreased ATP levels, leading to glucose metabolism impairments and decreased autophagy upon levels, leading to glucose metabolism impairments and decreased autophagy upon starvation. Also, several pathways and proteins, such as MEK/ERK, NF-kB, PKR, and PERK, were overexpressed and overactive, contributing to increased proliferation and delayed myogenesis in DM1. These findings explain the heterogeneity of symptoms and muscular and extra muscular clinical manifestations in DM1, such as muscle weakness, delayed differentiation, insulin resistance, and cardiac conduction problems. Also, the findings provide useful insights into the different phosphorylation abnormalities in regulation of several pathways, which can be good candidates for the development of innovative therapies in future clinical trials.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at [https:](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms24043091/s1) [//www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms24043091/s1.](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms24043091/s1)

**Author Contributions:** A.C. and A.C.C. contributed to data acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data, and manuscript writing; F.M. and S.R. contributed to the study design and conceptualization, manuscript writing, and revision of the manuscript for intellectual content. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) through the Institute of Biomedicine (iBiMED) UIDB/BIM/04501/2020 and UIDP/04501/2020, and by the MEDISIS project (CENTRO-01-0246-FEDER-000018).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### **References**

- <span id="page-20-0"></span>1. Mah, J.K.; Korngut, L.; Fiest, K.M.; Dykeman, J.; Day, L.J.; Pringsheim, T.; Jette, N. A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis on the Epidemiology of the Muscular Dystrophies. *Can. J. Neurol. Sci.* **2015**, *43*, 163–177. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1017/cjn.2015.311)
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>2. Carter, J.C.; Sheehan, D.W.; Prochoroff, A.; Birnkrant, D.J. Muscular Dystrophies. *Clin. Chest Med.* **2018**, *39*, 377–389. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccm.2018.01.004)
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>3. Thornton, C.A. Myotonic dystrophy. *Neurol. Clin.* **2014**, *32*, 705–719. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ncl.2014.04.011)
- <span id="page-20-15"></span>4. Ashizawa, T.; Sarkar, P.S. Myotonic dystrophy types 1 and 2. *Handb. Clin. Neurol.* **2011**, *101*, 193–237. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-045031-5.00015-3)
- <span id="page-20-14"></span>5. LoRusso, S.; Weiner, B.; Arnold, W.D. Myotonic Dystrophies: Targeting Therapies for Multisystem Disease. *Neurotherapeutics* **2018**, *15*, 872–884. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s13311-018-00679-z)
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>6. Mateus, T.; Martins, F.; Nunes, A.; Herdeiro, M.T.; Rebelo, S. Metabolic Alterations in Myotonic Dystrophy Type 1 and Their Correlation with Lipin. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 1794. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18041794)
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>7. Mathieu, J.; Allard, P.; Potvin, L.; Prévost, C.; Bégin, P. A 10-year study of mortality in a cohort of patients with myotonic dystrophy. *Neurology* **1999**, *52*, 1658. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.52.8.1658)
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>8. Udd, B.; Krahe, R. The myotonic dystrophies: Molecular, clinical, and therapeutic challenges. *Lancet Neurol.* **2012**, *11*, 891–905. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(12)70204-1)
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>9. Chau, A.; Kalsotra, A. Developmental insights into the pathology of and therapeutic strategies for DM1: Back to the basics. *Dev. Dyn.* **2015**, *244*, 377–390. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.24240)
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>10. Cho, D.H.; Tapscott, S.J. Myotonic dystrophy: Emerging mechanisms for DM1 and DM. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA)-Mol. Basis Dis.* **2007**, *1772*, 195–204. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbadis.2006.05.013)
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>11. Ardito, F.; Giuliani, M.; Perrone, D.; Troiano, G.; Muzio, L.L. The Crucial Role of Protein Phosphorylation in Cell Signalingand Its Use as Targeted Therapy (Review). *Int. J. Mol. Med.* **2017**, *40*, 271–280. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3892/ijmm.2017.3036)
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>12. Rebelo, S.; Santos, M.; Martins, F.; Silva, E.F.D.C.E.; Silva, O.A.D.C.E. Protein phosphatase 1 is a key player in nuclear events. *Cell. Signal.* **2015**, *27*, 2589–2598. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2015.08.007)
- <span id="page-20-10"></span>13. Oliveira, J.M.; Henriques, A.G.; Martins, F.; Rebelo, S.; Silva, O.A.D.C.E. Amyloid-β Modulates Both AβPP and Tau Phosphorylation. *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* **2015**, *45*, 495–507. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-142664)
- 14. Rebelo, S.; Vieira, S.I.; Silva, O.A.B.D.C.E.; Esselmann, H.; Wiltfang, J.; Silva, E.F.D.C.E. Tyr687 dependent APP endocytosis and abeta production. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* **2007**, *32*, 1–8. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s12031-007-0001-z)
- 15. Vieira, S.I.; Rebelo, S.; Esselmann, H.; Wiltfang, J.; Lah, J.; Lane, R.; Small, S.A.; Gandy, S.; da Cruz E Silva, E.F.; da Cruz E Silva, O.A.B. Retrieval of the Alzheimer's Amyloid Precursor Protein from the Endosome to the TGN Is S655 Phosphorylation State-Dependent and Retromer-Mediated. *Mol. Neurodegener.* **2010**, *5*, 1–21. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/1750-1326-5-40)
- 16. Rebelo, S.; Domingues, S.C.; Santos, M.; Fardilha, M.; Esteves, S.L.C.; Vieira, S.I.; Vintém, A.P.B.; Wu, W.; Silva, E.F.D.C.E.; Silva, O.A.B.D.C.E. Identification of a Novel Complex AβPP:Fe65:PP1 that Regulates AβPP Thr668 Phosphorylation Levels. *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* **2013**, *35*, 761–775. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-130095)
- 17. Rebelo, S.; Vieira, S.I.; Esselmann, H.; Wiltfang, J.; Silva, E.F.D.C.E.; Silva, O.A.D.C.E. Tyrosine 687 Phosphorylated Alzheimer's Amyloid Precursor Protein Is Retained Intracellularly and Exhibits a Decreased Turnover Rate. *Neurodegener. Dis.* **2007**, *4*, 78–87. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1159/000101831)
- <span id="page-20-11"></span>18. Singh, V.; Ram, M.; Kumar, R.; Prasad, R.; Roy, B.K.; Singh, K.K. Phosphorylation: Implications in Cancer. *Protein J.* **2017**, *36*, 1–6. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s10930-017-9696-z)
- <span id="page-20-12"></span>19. Kaliman, P.; Llagostera, E. Myotonic dystrophy protein kinase (DMPK) and its role in the pathogenesis of myotonic dystrophy. *Cell. Signal.* **2008**, *20*, 1935–1941. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2008.05.005)
- <span id="page-20-13"></span>20. Ozimski, L.L.; Sabater-Arcis, M.; Bargiela, A.; Artero, R. The hallmarks of myotonic dystrophy type 1 muscle dysfunction. *Biol. Rev.* **2020**, *96*, 716–730. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12674)
- <span id="page-21-36"></span><span id="page-21-33"></span><span id="page-21-30"></span><span id="page-21-29"></span><span id="page-21-27"></span><span id="page-21-22"></span><span id="page-21-21"></span><span id="page-21-0"></span>21. Moher, D.; Liberati, A.; Tetzlaff, J.; Altman, D.G.; PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med.* **2009**, *6*, e1000097. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19621072)
- <span id="page-21-23"></span><span id="page-21-1"></span>22. PRISMA-P Group; Moher, D.; Shamseer, L.; Clarke, M.; Ghersi, D.; Liberati, A.; Petticrew, M.; Shekelle, P.; Stewart, L.A. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (prisma-p) 2015 statement. *Syst. Rev.* **2015**, *4*. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/2046-4053-4-1) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25554246)
- <span id="page-21-37"></span><span id="page-21-2"></span>23. André, L.M.; van Cruchten, R.T.; Willemse, M.; Bezstarosti, K.; Demmers, J.A.; van Agtmaal, E.L.; Wansink, D.G.; Wieringa, B. Recovery in the Myogenic Program of Congenital Myotonic Dystrophy Myoblasts after Excision of the Expanded (CTG)n Repeat. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2019**, *20*, 5685. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20225685) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31766224)
- <span id="page-21-34"></span><span id="page-21-15"></span>24. Beffy, P.; del Carratore, R.; Masini, M.; Furling, D.; Puymirat, J.; Masiello, P.; Simili, M. Altered signal transduction pathways and induction of autophagy in human myotonic dystrophy type 1 myoblasts. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* **2010**, *42*, 1973–1983. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2010.08.010) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20797447)
- <span id="page-21-31"></span><span id="page-21-16"></span>25. Botta, A.; Malena, A.; Tibaldi, E.; Rocchi, L.; Loro, E.; Pena, E.; Cenci, L.; Ambrosi, E.; Bellocchi, M.C.; A Pagano, M.; et al. MBNL142 and MBNL143 gene isoforms, overexpressed in DM1-patient muscle, encode for nuclear proteins interacting with Src family kinases. *Cell Death Dis.* **2013**, *4*, e770. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2013.291) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23949219)
- <span id="page-21-28"></span><span id="page-21-5"></span>26. Brockhoff, M.; Rion, N.; Chojnowska, K.; Wiktorowicz, T.; Eickhorst, C.; Erne, B.; Frank, S.; Angelini, C.; Furling, D.; Rüegg, M.A.; et al. Targeting deregulated AMPK/mTORC1 pathways improves muscle function in myotonic dystrophy type I. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2017**, *127*, 549–563. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1172/JCI89616)
- <span id="page-21-32"></span><span id="page-21-24"></span><span id="page-21-17"></span>27. Denis, J.A.; Gauthier, M.; Rachdi, L.; Aubert, S.; Giraud-Triboult, K.; Poydenot, P.; Benchoua, A.; Champon, B.; Maury, Y.; Baldeschi, C.; et al. MTOR-Dependent Proliferation Defect in Human ES-Derived Neural Stem Cells Affected by Myotonic Dystrophy Type 1. *J. Cell Sci.* **2013**, *126*, 1763–1772. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1242/JCS.116285/263401/AM/MTOR-DEPENDENT-PROLIFERATION-DEFECT-IN-HUMAN-ES)
- <span id="page-21-35"></span><span id="page-21-10"></span>28. Furling, D.; Lam, L.T.; Agbulut, O.; Butler-Browne, G.; Morris, G.E. Changes in Myotonic Dystrophy Protein Kinase Levels and Muscle Development in Congenital Myotonic Dystrophy. *Am. J. Pathol.* **2003**, *162*, 1001–1009. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9440(10)63894-1)
- <span id="page-21-25"></span><span id="page-21-11"></span>29. Gao, Z.; Cooper, T.A. Reexpression of pyruvate kinase M2 in type 1 myofibers correlates with altered glucose metabolism in myotonic dystrophy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2013**, *110*, 13570–13575. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1308806110)
- <span id="page-21-40"></span><span id="page-21-18"></span>30. García-Puga, M.; Saenz-Antoñanzas, A.; Fernández-Torrón, R.; de Munain, A.L.; Matheu, A. Myotonic Dystrophy type 1 cells display impaired metabolism and mitochondrial dysfunction that are reversed by metformin. *Aging* **2020**, *12*, 6260–6275. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.18632/aging.103022)
- <span id="page-21-26"></span><span id="page-21-3"></span>31. Huichalaf, C.; Sakai, K.; Jin, B.; Jones, K.; Wang, G.; Schoser, B.; Schneider-Gold, C.; Sarkar, P.; Pereira-Smith, O.M.; Timchenko, N.; et al. Expansion of CUG RNA repeats causes stress and inhibition of translation in myotonic dystrophy 1 (DM1) cells. *FASEB J.* **2010**, *24*, 3706–3719. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1096/fj.09-151159) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20479119)
- <span id="page-21-41"></span><span id="page-21-38"></span><span id="page-21-12"></span>32. Ikezoe, K.; Nakamori, M.; Furuya, H.; Arahata, H.; Kanemoto, S.; Kimura, T.; Imaizumi, K.; Takahashi, M.P.; Sakoda, S.; Fujii, N.; et al. Endoplasmic reticulum stress in myotonic dystrophy type 1 muscle. *Acta Neuropathol.* **2007**, *114*, 527–535. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-007-0267-9) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17661063)
- <span id="page-21-6"></span>33. Jones, K.; Wei, C.; Iakova, P.; Bugiardini, E.; Schneider-Gold, C.; Meola, G.; Woodgett, J.; Killian, J.; Timchenko, N.A.; Timchenko, L.T. GSK3β mediates muscle pathology in myotonic dystrophy. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2012**, *122*, 4461–4472. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1172/JCI64081) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23160194)
- <span id="page-21-39"></span><span id="page-21-13"></span>34. Ketley, A.; Wojciechowska, M.; Ghidelli-Disse, S.; Bamborough, P.; Ghosh, T.K.; Morato, M.L.; Sedehizadeh, S.; Malik, N.A.; Tang, Z.; Powalowska, P.; et al. CDK12 inhibition reduces abnormalities in cells from patients with myotonic dystrophy and in a mouse model. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2020**, *12*, eaaz2415. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aaz2415)
- <span id="page-21-19"></span>35. Khajavi, M.; Tari, A.M.; Patel, N.B.; Tsuji, K.; Siwak, D.R.; Meistrich, M.L.; Terry, N.H.A.; Ashizawa, T. 'Mitotic drive' of expanded CTG repeats in myotonic dystrophy type 1 (DM1). *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **2001**, *10*, 855–863. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/10.8.855)
- <span id="page-21-42"></span>36. Kim, Y.K.; Yadava, R.S.; Mandal, M.; Mahadevan, K.; Yu, Q.; Leitges, M.; Mahadevan, M.S. Disease Phenotypes in a Mouse Model of RNA Toxicity Are Independent of Protein Kinase Cα and Protein Kinase Cβ. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0163325. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0163325)
- <span id="page-21-4"></span>37. Kuyumcu-Martinez, N.M.; Wang, G.-S.; Cooper, T.A. Increased Steady-State Levels of CUGBP1 in Myotonic Dystrophy 1 Are Due to PKC-Mediated Hyperphosphorylation. *Mol. Cell* **2007**, *28*, 68–78. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2007.07.027)
- <span id="page-21-8"></span>38. Llagostera, E.; Catalucci, D.; Marti, L.; Liesa, M.; Camps, M.; Ciaraldi, T.P.; Kondo, R.; Reddy, S.; Dillmann, W.H.; Palacin, M.; et al. Role of Myotonic Dystrophy Protein Kinase (DMPK) in Glucose Homeostasis and Muscle Insulin Action. *PLoS ONE* **2007**, *2*, e1134. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001134)
- <span id="page-21-9"></span>39. Llagostera, E.; Jesús Álvarez López, M.; Scimia, C.; Catalucci, D.; Párrizas, M.; Ruiz-Lozano, P.; Kaliman, P. Altered β-adrenergic response in mice lacking myotonic dystrophy protein kinase. *Muscle Nerve* **2011**, *45*, 128–130. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/mus.22256)
- <span id="page-21-14"></span>40. Morriss, G.R.; Rajapakshe, K.; Huang, S.; Coarfa, C.; A Cooper, T. Mechanisms of skeletal muscle wasting in a mouse model for myotonic dystrophy type. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **2018**, *27*, 2789–2804. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddy192)
- <span id="page-21-20"></span>41. Nakamori, M.; Hamanaka, K.; Thomas, J.D.; Wang, E.T.; Hayashi, Y.K.; Takahashi, M.P.; Swanson, M.S.; Nishino, I.; Mochizuki, H. Aberrant Myokine Signaling in Congenital Myotonic Dystrophy. *Cell Rep.* **2017**, *21*, 1240–1252. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.10.018)
- <span id="page-21-43"></span>42. Peric, S.; Mandic-Stojmenovic, G.; Markovic, I.; Stefanova, E.; Ilic, V.M.; Parojcic, A.; Misirlic-Dencic, S.; Ostojic, M.; Rakocevicstojanovic, V.; Kostic, V.S. Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers of neurodegeneration in patients with juvenile and classic myotonic dystrophy type. *Eur. J. Neurol.* **2013**, *21*, 231–237. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/ene.12237)
- <span id="page-21-7"></span>43. Ravel-Chapuis, A.; Bélanger, G.; Côté, J.; Michel, R.N.; Jasmin, B.J. Misregulation of calcium-handling proteins promotes hyperactivation of calcineurin–NFAT signaling in skeletal muscle of DM1 mice. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **2017**, *26*, 2192–2206. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddx109) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28369518)
- <span id="page-22-34"></span><span id="page-22-33"></span><span id="page-22-32"></span><span id="page-22-31"></span><span id="page-22-25"></span><span id="page-22-23"></span><span id="page-22-22"></span><span id="page-22-21"></span><span id="page-22-20"></span><span id="page-22-19"></span><span id="page-22-5"></span>44. Ravel-Chapuis, A.; Al-Rewashdy, A.; Bélanger, G.; Jasmin, B.J. Pharmacological and physiological activation of AMPK improves the spliceopathy in DM1 mouse muscles. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **2018**, *27*, 3361–3376. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddy245) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29982462)
- <span id="page-22-38"></span><span id="page-22-9"></span>45. Renna, L.V.; Bosè, F.; Iachettini, S.; Fossati, B.; Saraceno, L.; Milani, V.; Colombo, R.; Meola, G.; Cardani, R. Receptor and post-receptor abnormalities contribute to insulin resistance in myotonic dystrophy type 1 and type 2 skeletal muscle. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0184987. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184987)
- <span id="page-22-10"></span>46. Renna, L.V.; Bosè, F.; Brigonzi, E.; Fossati, B.; Meola, G.; Cardani, R. Aberrant insulin receptor expression is associated with insulin resistance and skeletal muscle atrophy in myotonic dystrophies. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0214254. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214254)
- <span id="page-22-36"></span><span id="page-22-30"></span><span id="page-22-16"></span>47. Rizzo, M.; Beffy, P.; Del Carratore, R.; Falleni, A.; Pretini, V.; D'Aurizio, R.; Botta, A.; Evangelista, M.; Stoccoro, A.; Coppedè, F.; et al. Activation of the interferon type I response rather than autophagy contributes to myogenesis inhibition in congenital DM1 myoblasts. *Cell Death Dis.* **2018**, *9*, 1071. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-018-1080-1)
- <span id="page-22-26"></span><span id="page-22-18"></span>48. Sabater-Arcis, M.; Bargiela, A.; Furling, D.; Artero, R. miR-7 Restores Phenotypes in Myotonic Dystrophy Muscle Cells by Repressing Hyperactivated Autophagy. *Mol. Ther.-Nucleic Acids* **2019**, *19*, 278–292. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2019.11.012) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31855836)
- <span id="page-22-29"></span><span id="page-22-17"></span>49. Salisbury, E.; Sakai, K.; Schoser, B.; Huichalaf, C.; Schneider-Gold, C.; Nguyen, H.; Wang, G.-L.; Albrecht, J.H.; Timchenko, L.T. Ectopic expression of cyclin D3 corrects differentiation of DM1 myoblasts through activation of RNA CUG-binding protein, CUGBP. *Exp. Cell Res.* **2008**, *314*, 2266–2278. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2008.04.018)
- <span id="page-22-27"></span><span id="page-22-11"></span>50. Salvatori, S.; Fanin, M.; Trevisan, C.P.; Furlan, S.; Reddy, S.; Nagy, J.I.; Angelini, C. Decreased expression of DMPK: Correlation with CTG repeat expansion and fibre type composition in myotonic dystrophy type 1. *Neurol. Sci.* **2005**, *26*, 235–242. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-005-0466-x)
- <span id="page-22-35"></span><span id="page-22-1"></span>51. Song, K.-Y.; Guo, X.-M.; Wang, H.-Q.; Zhang, L.; Huang, S.-Y.; Huo, Y.-C.; Zhang, G.; Feng, J.-Z.; Zhang, R.-R.; Ma, Y.; et al. MBNL1 reverses the proliferation defect of skeletal muscle satellite cells in myotonic dystrophy type 1 by inhibiting autophagy via the mTOR pathway. *Cell Death Dis.* **2020**, *11*, 1–16. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-020-02756-8) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32683410)
- <span id="page-22-24"></span><span id="page-22-8"></span>52. Wang, G.-S.; Kuyumcu-Martinez, M.N.; Sarma, S.; Mathur, N.; Wehrens, X.; Cooper, T.A. PKC inhibition ameliorates the cardiac phenotype in a mouse model of myotonic dystrophy type. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2009**, *119*, 3797–3806. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1172/JCI37976)
- <span id="page-22-37"></span><span id="page-22-28"></span><span id="page-22-6"></span>53. Wang, M.; Weng, W.-C.; Stock, L.; Lindquist, D.; Martinez, A.; Gourdon, G.; Timchenko, N.; Snape, M.; Timchenko, L. Correction of Glycogen Synthase Kinase 3β in Myotonic Dystrophy 1 Reduces the Mutant RNA and Improves Postnatal Survival of DMSXL Mice. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **2019**, *39*, e00155-19. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00155-19) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31383751)
- 54. González-Barriga, A.; Lallemant, L.; Dincã, D.M.; Braz, S.O.; Polvèche, H.; Magneron, P.; Pionneau, C.; Huguet-Lachon, A.; Claude, J.-B.; Chhuon, C.; et al. Integrative Cell Type-Specific Multi-Omics Approaches Reveal Impaired Programs of Glial Cell Differentiation in Mouse Culture Models of DM1. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **2021**, *15*, 662035. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2021.662035) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34025359)
- <span id="page-22-7"></span>55. Panaite, P.-A.; Kielar, M.; Kraftsik, R.; Gourdon, G.; Kuntzer, T.; Barakat-Walter, I. Peripheral Neuropathy Is Linked to a Severe Form of Myotonic Dystrophy in Transgenic Mice. *J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol.* **2011**, *70*, 678–685. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1097/NEN.0b013e3182260939) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21760538)
- <span id="page-22-2"></span>56. Takahashi, N.; Sasagawa, N.; Usuki, F.; Kino, Y.; Kawahara, H.; Sorimachi, H.; Maeda, T.; Suzuki, K.; Ishiura, S. Coexpression of the CUG-Binding Protein Reduces DM Protein Kinase Expression in COS Cells. *J. Biochem.* **2001**, *130*, 581–587. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jbchem.a003022)
- <span id="page-22-12"></span>57. Fu, Y.-H.; Friedman, D.L.; Richards, S.; Pearlman, J.A.; Gibbs, R.A.; Pizzuti, A.; Ashizawa, T.; Perryman, M.B.; Scarlato, G.; Fenwick, R.G.; et al. Decreased Expression of Myotonin-Protein Kinase Messenger RNA and Protein in Adult Form of Myotonic Dystrophy. *Science* **1993**, *260*, 235–238. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1126/science.8469976)
- <span id="page-22-44"></span>58. Furling, D.; Lemieux, D.; Taneja, K.; Puymirat, J. Decreased levels of myotonic dystrophy protein kinase (DMPK) and delayed differentiation in human myotonic dystrophy myoblasts. *Neuromuscul. Disord.* **2001**, *11*, 728–735. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8966(01)00226-7)
- <span id="page-22-13"></span>59. Koga, R.; Nakao, Y.; Kurano, Y.; Tsukahara, T.; Nakamura, A.; Ishiura, S.; Nonaka, I.; Arahata, K. Decreased Myotonin-Protein Kinase in the Skeletal and Cardiac Muscles in Myotonic Dystrophy. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1994**, *202*, 577–585. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.1994.1967)
- <span id="page-22-3"></span>60. Usuki, F.; Takahashi, N.; Sasagawa, N.; Ishiura, S. Differential Signaling Pathways Following Oxidative Stress in Mutant Myotonin Protein Kinase cDNA-Transfected C2C12 Cell Lines. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2000**, *267*, 739–743. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.1999.2026)
- <span id="page-22-14"></span>61. Timchenko, N.A.; Iakova, P.; Cai, Z.-J.; Smith, J.R.; Timchenko, L.T. Molecular Basis for Impaired Muscle Differentiation in Myotonic Dystrophy. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **2001**, *21*, 6927–6938. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.21.20.6927-6938.2001) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11564876)
- <span id="page-22-15"></span>62. A Narang, M.; Waring, J.D.; A Sabourin, L.; Korneluk, R.G. Myotonic dystrophy (DM) protein kinase levels in congenital and adult DM patients. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **2000**, *8*, 507–512. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ejhg.5200490)
- <span id="page-22-0"></span>63. Hernández-Hernández, O.; de Leon, M.B.; Gómez, P.; Velázquez-Bernardino, P.; García-Sierra, F.; Cisneros, B. Myotonic dystrophy expanded CUG repeats disturb the expression and phosphorylation of τ in PC12 cells. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **2006**, *84*, 841–851. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.20989) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16862553)
- <span id="page-22-4"></span>64. Wenninger, S.; Montagnese, F.; Schoser, B. Core Clinical Phenotypes in Myotonic Dystrophies. *Front. Neurol.* **2018**, *9*, 303. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2018.00303)
- <span id="page-22-39"></span>65. Manning, B.D.; Toker, A. AKT/PKB Signaling: Navigating the Network. *Cell* **2017**, *169*, 381–405. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.04.001) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28431241)
- <span id="page-22-40"></span>66. Fingar, D.C.; Richardson, C.J.; Tee, A.R.; Cheatham, L.; Tsou, C.; Blenis, J. mTOR Controls Cell Cycle Progression through Its Cell Growth Effectors S6K1 and 4E-BP1/Eukaryotic Translation Initiation Factor 4E. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **2004**, *24*, 200–216. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.24.1.200-216.2004)
- <span id="page-22-41"></span>67. Martin, T.D.; Dennis, M.D.; Gordon, B.S.; Kimball, S.R.; Jefferson, L.S. mTORC1 and JNK coordinate phosphorylation of the p70S6K1 autoinhibitory domain in skeletal muscle following functional overloading. *Am. J. Physiol. Metab.* **2014**, *306*, E1397–E1405. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00064.2014)
- <span id="page-22-42"></span>68. Meyuhas, O. Ribosomal Protein S6 Phosphorylation: Four Decades of Research. *Int. Rev. Cel Mol. Biol.* **2015**, *320*, 41–73. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ircmb.2015.07.006)
- <span id="page-22-43"></span>69. Lee, J.E.; Cooper, T.A. Pathogenic mechanisms of myotonic dystrophy. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **2009**, *37*, 1281–1286. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1042/BST0371281)
- <span id="page-23-0"></span>70. Mihaylova, M.M.; Shaw, R.J. The AMPK signalling pathway coordinates cell growth, autophagy and metabolism. *Nature* **2011**, *13*, 1016–1023. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2329)
- <span id="page-23-1"></span>71. Guo, Y.J.; Pan, W.W.; Liu, S.B.; Shen, Z.F.; Xu, Y.; Hu, L.L. ERK/MAPK signalling pathway and tumorigenesis. *Exp. Ther. Med.* **2020**, *19*, 1997–2007. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3892/etm.2020.8454) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32104259)
- <span id="page-23-2"></span>72. Ueda, Y.; Hirai, S.-I.; Osada, S.-I.; Suzuki, A.; Mizuno, K.; Ohno, S. Protein Kinase C δ Activates the MEK-ERK Pathway in a Manner Independent of Ras and Dependent on Raf. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1996**, *271*, 23512–23519. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.38.23512)
- <span id="page-23-3"></span>73. Ceholski, D.K.; Trieber, C.; Holmes, C.F.B.; Young, H.S. Lethal, Hereditary Mutants of Phospholamban Elude Phosphorylation by Protein Kinase A. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2012**, *287*, 26596–26605. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.382713)
- <span id="page-23-4"></span>74. Hudson, M.B.; Price, S.R. Calcineurin: A poorly understood regulator of muscle mass. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* **2013**, *45*, 2173–2178. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2013.06.029) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23838168)
- <span id="page-23-5"></span>75. Sakuma, K.; Yamaguchi, A. The Functional Role of Calcineurin in Hypertrophy, Regeneration, and Disorders of Skeletal Muscle. *J. Biomed. Biotechnol.* **2010**, *2010*, 1–8. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1155/2010/721219) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20379369)
- <span id="page-23-6"></span>76. Gal-Ben-Ari, S.; Barrera, I.; Ehrlich, M.; Rosenblum, K. PKR: A Kinase to Remember. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* **2019**, *11*, 480. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2018.00480) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30686999)
- <span id="page-23-7"></span>77. Tigan, A.-S.; Bellutti, F.; Kollmann, K.; Tebb, G.; Sexl, V. CDK6—A review of the past and a glimpse into the future: From cell-cycle control to transcriptional regulation. *Oncogene* **2015**, *35*, 3083–3091. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2015.407)
- <span id="page-23-8"></span>78. Gil, J.; Alcamí, J.; Esteban, M. Activation of NF-κB by the dsRNA-dependent protein kinase, PKR involves the IκB kinase complex. *Oncogene* **2000**, *19*, 1369–1378. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1203448)
- <span id="page-23-9"></span>79. Zahra, K.; Dey, T.; Ashish; Mishra, S.P.; Pandey, U. Pyruvate Kinase M2 and Cancer: The Role of PKM2 in Promoting Tumorigenesis. *Front. Oncol.* **2020**, *10*, 159. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.00159)
- <span id="page-23-10"></span>80. Wu, M.; Song, D.; Li, H.; Yang, Y.; Ma, X.; Deng, S.; Ren, C.; Shu, X. Negative regulators of STAT3 signaling pathway in cancers. *Cancer Manag. Res.* **2019**, *11*, 4957–4969. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.2147/CMAR.S206175)
- <span id="page-23-11"></span>81. Singh, N.; Frolov, M.; Dyson, N. Retinoblastoma Protein (pRB). *Encycl. Biol. Chem. Second. Ed.* **2013**, 104–107. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-378630-2.00460-6)
- <span id="page-23-12"></span>82. Gomes-Pereira, M.; Cooper, T.A.; Gourdon, G. Myotonic dystrophy mouse models: Towards rational therapy development. *Trends Mol. Med.* **2011**, *17*, 506–517. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmed.2011.05.004) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21724467)
- <span id="page-23-13"></span>83. Carrell, S.T.; Tang, Z.; Mohr, S.; Lambowitz, A.M.; A Thornton, C. Detection of expanded RNA repeats using thermostable group II intron reverse transcriptase. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2017**, *46*, e1. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx867) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29036654)
- <span id="page-23-14"></span>84. Wansink, D.; Wieringa, B. Transgenic mouse models for myotonic dystrophy type 1 (DM1). *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* **2003**, *100*, 230–242. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1159/000072859) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14526185)
- <span id="page-23-15"></span>85. Miller, J.W.; Urbinati, C.R.; Teng-Umnuay, P.; Stenberg, M.G.; Byrne, B.J.; Thornton, C.A.; Swanson, M.S. Recruitment of human muscleblind proteins to (CUG)n expansions associated with myotonic dystrophy. *EMBO J.* **2000**, *19*, 4439–4448. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/19.17.4439)
- 86. Gao, Y.; Guo, X.; Santostefano, K.; Wang, Y.; Reid, T.; Zeng, D.; Terada, N.; Ashizawa, T.; Xia, G. Genome Therapy of Myotonic Dystrophy Type 1 iPS Cells for Development of Autologous Stem Cell Therapy. *Mol. Ther.* **2016**, *24*, 1378–1387. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/mt.2016.97)
- <span id="page-23-16"></span>87. Buj-Bello, A. Muscle-specific alternative splicing of myotubularin-related 1 gene is impaired in DM1 muscle cells. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **2002**, *11*, 2297–2307. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/11.19.2297)
- <span id="page-23-17"></span>88. Renault, V.; Piron-Hamelin, G.; Forestier, C.; DiDonna, S.; Decary, S.; Hentati, F.; Saillant, G.; Butler-Browne, G.; Mouly, V. Skeletal muscle regeneration and the mitotic clock. *Exp. Gerontol.* **2000**, *35*, 711–719. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0531-5565(00)00151-0)
- 89. Bigot, A.; Klein, A.; Gasnier, E.; Jacquemin, V.; Ravassard, P.; Butler-Browne, G.; Mouly, V.; Furling, D. Large CTG Repeats Trigger p16-Dependent Premature Senescence in Myotonic Dystrophy Type 1 Muscle Precursor Cells. *Am. J. Pathol.* **2009**, *174*, 1435–1442. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.2353/ajpath.2009.080560)
- <span id="page-23-18"></span>90. Matloka, M.; Klein, A.F.; Rau, F.; Furling, D. Cells of Matter—In Vitro Models for Myotonic Dystrophy. *Front. Neurol.* **2018**, *9*, 361. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2018.00361)
- <span id="page-23-19"></span>91. Black, A.R.; Black, J.D. Protein kinase C signaling and cell cycle regulation. *Front. Immunol.* **2013**, *3*, 423. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2012.00423)
- <span id="page-23-20"></span>92. Wang, J.; Pegoraro, E.; Menegazzo, E.; Gennarelli, M.; Hoop, R.C.; Angelini, C.; Hoffman, E.P. Myotonic dystrophy: Evidence for a possible dominant-negative RNA mutation. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **1995**, *4*, 599–606. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/4.4.599) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7543316)
- <span id="page-23-21"></span>93. Madaro, L.; Marrocco, V.; Fiore, P.; Aulino, P.; Smeriglio, P.; Adamo, S.; Molinaro, M.; Bouché, M. PKCθ signaling is required for myoblast fusion by regulating the expression of caveolin-3 and β1D integrin upstream focal adhesion kinase. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **2011**, *22*, 1409–1419. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e10-10-0821)
- 94. Marino, J.S.; Hinds, T.D.; Potter, R.A.; Ondrus, E.; Onion, J.L.; Dowling, A.; McLoughlin, T.J.; Sanchez, E.R.; Hill, J.W. Suppression of Protein Kinase C Theta Contributes to Enhanced Myogenesis In Vitro via IRS1 and ERK1/2 Phosphorylation. *BMC Cell Biol.* **2013**, *14*. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2121-14-39) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24053798)
- <span id="page-23-22"></span>95. Camerino, G.M.; Bouchè, M.; De Bellis, M.; Cannone, M.; Liantonio, A.; Musaraj, K.; Romano, R.; Smeriglio, P.; Madaro, L.; Giustino, A.; et al. Protein kinase C theta (PKCθ) modulates the ClC-1 chloride channel activity and skeletal muscle phenotype: A biophysical and gene expression study in mouse models lacking the PKCθ. *Pflügers Arch.-Eur. J. Physiol.* **2014**, *466*, 2215–2228. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-014-1495-1) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24643479)
- <span id="page-23-23"></span>96. Buchan, J.R. mRNP granules. *RNA Biol.* **2014**, *11*, 1019–1030. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.4161/15476286.2014.972208)
- <span id="page-23-24"></span>97. Turner, C. The Myotonic Dystrophies. In *Emery and Rimoin's Principles and Practice of Medical Genetics*; Rimoin, D., Pyeritz, R., Korf, B., Eds.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2013; pp. 1–30.
- <span id="page-24-0"></span>98. DeFronzo, R.A. Insulin resistance, lipotoxicity, type 2 diabetes and atherosclerosis: The missing links. The Claude Bernard Lecture 2009. *Diabetologia* **2010**, *53*, 1270–1287. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-010-1684-1)
- <span id="page-24-1"></span>99. Beale, E.G. Insulin Signaling and Insulin Resistance. *J. Investig. Med.* **2013**, *61*, 11–14. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.2310/JIM.0b013e3182746f95)
- <span id="page-24-2"></span>100. Savkur, R.S.; Philips, A.V.; Cooper, T.A. Aberrant regulation of insulin receptor alternative splicing is associated with insulin resistance in myotonic dystrophy. *Nat. Genet.* **2001**, *29*, 40–47. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/ng704)
- <span id="page-24-3"></span>101. O'Neill, E.D.; Wilding, J.P.H.; Kahn, C.R.; Van Remmen, H.; McArdle, A.; Jackson, M.J.; Close, G.L. Absence of insulin signalling in skeletal muscle is associated with reduced muscle mass and function: Evidence for decreased protein synthesis and not increased degradation. *Age* **2010**, *32*, 209–222. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s11357-009-9125-0)
- <span id="page-24-4"></span>102. Nieuwenhuis, S.; Okkersen, K.; Widomska, J.; Blom, P.; 't Hoen, P.A.C.; van Engelen, B.; Glennon, J.C. Insulin Signaling as a Key Moderator in Myotonic Dystrophy Type 1. *Front. Neurol.* **2019**, *10*, 1229. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2019.01229) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31849810)
- <span id="page-24-5"></span>103. Nakamori, M.; Sobczak, K.; Puwanant, A.; Welle, S.; Eichinger, K.; Pandya, S.; Dekdebrun, J.; Heatwole, C.R.; McDermott, M.P.; Chen, T.; et al. Splicing biomarkers of disease severity in myotonic dystrophy. *Ann. Neurol.* **2013**, *74*, 862–872. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/ana.23992) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23929620)
- <span id="page-24-6"></span>104. Yu, J.S.L.; Cui, W. Proliferation, survival and metabolism: The role of PI3K/AKT/mTOR signalling in pluripotency and cell fate determination. *Development* **2016**, *143*, 3050–3060. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1242/dev.137075) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27578176)
- <span id="page-24-14"></span>105. Zou, J.; Lei, T.; Guo, P.; Yu, J.; Xu, Q.; Luo, Y.; Ke, R.; Huang, D. Mechanisms Shaping the Role of ERK1/2 in Cellular Senescence (Review). *Mol. Med. Rep.* **2019**, *19*, 759–770. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3892/MMR.2018.9712/HTML)
- <span id="page-24-7"></span>106. Huichalaf, C.H.; Sakai, K.; Wang, G.-L.; Timchenko, N.A.; Timchenko, L. Regulation of the promoter of CUG triplet repeat binding protein, Cugbp1, during myogenesis. *Gene* **2007**, *396*, 391–402. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2007.04.022)
- <span id="page-24-8"></span>107. André, L.M.; Ausems, C.R.M.; Wansink, D.G.; Wieringa, B. Abnormalities in Skeletal Muscle Myogenesis, Growth, and Regeneration in Myotonic Dystrophy. *Front. Neurol.* **2018**, *9*, 368. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2018.00368)
- <span id="page-24-9"></span>108. Harmon, E.B.; Harmon, M.L.; Larsen, T.D.; Paulson, A.F.; Perryman, M.B. Myotonic dystrophy protein kinase is expressed in embryonic myocytes and is required for myotube formation. *Dev. Dyn.* **2008**, *237*, 2353–2366. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.21653)
- <span id="page-24-10"></span>109. Timchenko, L. Correction of RNA-Binding Protein CUGBP1 and GSK3β Signaling as Therapeutic Approach for Congenital and Adult Myotonic Dystrophy Type. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2019**, *21*, 94. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21010094)
- <span id="page-24-11"></span>110. Topacio, B.R.; Zatulovskiy, E.; Cristea, S.; Xie, S.; Tambo, C.S.; Rubin, S.M.; Sage, J.; Kõivomägi, M.; Skotheim, J.M. Cyclin D-Cdk4,6 Drives Cell-Cycle Progression via the Retinoblastoma Protein's C-Terminal Helix. *Mol. Cell* **2019**, *74*, 758–770. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.03.020)
- <span id="page-24-12"></span>111. Connell-Crowley, L.; Harper, J.; Goodrich, D.W. Cyclin D1/Cdk4 regulates retinoblastoma protein-mediated cell cycle arrest by site-specific phosphorylation. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **1997**, *8*, 287–301. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.8.2.287)
- <span id="page-24-13"></span>112. Morris, E.J.; Dyson, N.J. Retinoblastoma protein partners. *Adv. Cancer Res.* **2001**, *82*, 1–54. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/s0065-230x(01)82001-7) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11447760)
- <span id="page-24-15"></span>113. Win, A.K.; Perattur, P.G.; Pulido, J.S.; Pulido, C.M.; Lindor, N.M. Increased Cancer Risks in Myotonic Dystrophy. *Mayo Clin. Proc.* **2012**, *87*, 130–135. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2011.09.005) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22237010)
- 114. Alsaggaf, R.; George, D.M.M.S.; Zhan, M.; Pfeiffer, R.M.; Wang, Y.; Wagner, K.R.; Greene, M.H.; Amr, S.; Gadalla, S.M. Cancer Risk in Myotonic Dystrophy Type I: Evidence of a Role for Disease Severity. *JNCI Cancer Spectr.* **2018**, *2*, pky052. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/jncics/pky052) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30556050)
- <span id="page-24-16"></span>115. Gadalla, S.M.; Lund, M.; Pfeiffer, R.M.; Gørtz, S.; Mueller, C.M.; Moxley, R.T.; Kristinsson, S.Y.; Björkholm, M.; Shebl, F.M.; Hilbert, J.E.; et al. Cancer Risk Among Patients With Myotonic Muscular Dystrophy. *JAMA* **2011**, *306*, 2480–2486. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2011.1796)
- <span id="page-24-17"></span>116. Chakkalakal, J.V.; Harrison, M.-A.; Carbonetto, S.; Chin, E.; Michel, R.N.; Jasmin, B.J. Stimulation of calcineurin signaling attenuates the dystrophic pathology in mdx mice. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **2003**, *13*, 379–388. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddh037)
- <span id="page-24-18"></span>117. Xiao, L.; Gong, L.-L.; Yuan, D.; Deng, M.; Zeng, X.-M.; Chen, L.-L.; Zhang, L.; Yan, Q.; Liu, J.-P.; Hu, X.-H.; et al. Protein phosphatase-1 regulates Akt1 signal transduction pathway to control gene expression, cell survival and differentiation. *Cell Death Differ.* **2010**, *17*, 1448–1462. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2010.16)
- <span id="page-24-19"></span>118. Hernández, F.; Langa, E.; Cuadros, R.; Avila, J.; Villanueva, N. Regulation of GSK3 isoforms by phosphatases PP1 and PP2A. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* **2010**, *344*, 211–215. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s11010-010-0544-0)
- <span id="page-24-20"></span>119. Parks, T.E.C.; Ravel-Chapuis, A.; Bondy-Chorney, E.; Renaud, J.-M.; Côté, J.; Jasmin, B.J. Muscle-specific expression of the RNA-binding protein Staufen1 induces progressive skeletal muscle atrophy via regulation of phosphatase tensin homolog. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **2017**, *26*, 1821–1838. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddx085)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.