



# *Article* **Robust CXCL10/IP-10 and CCL5/RANTES Production Induced by Tick-Borne Encephalitis Virus in Human Brain Pericytes Despite Weak Infection**

Veronika Prančlová <sup>1[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1660-1372)2</sup>**0**, Václav Hönig <sup>1,[3](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0469-4604)</sup>0, Marta Zemanová <sup>1</sup>, Daniel Růžek <sup>1,3,4,</sup>[\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4279-7092)0 and Martin Palus <sup>1,3,</sup>\*

- 1 Institute of Parasitology, Biology Centre of the Czech Academy of Sciences,
- CZ-37005 Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic; honig@paru.cas.cz (V.H.)
- <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, CZ-37005 Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic<br><sup>3</sup> Laboratory of Emergine Viral Infections, Vaterinary Besserek Institute, CZ 63100 Prns, Czech
- <sup>3</sup> Laboratory of Emerging Viral Infections, Veterinary Research Institute, CZ-62100 Brno, Czech Republic
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Experimental Biology, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, CZ-62500 Brno, Czech Republic
- **\*** Correspondence: ruzekd@paru.cas.cz (D.R.); palus@paru.cas.cz (M.P.)

**Abstract:** Tick-borne encephalitis virus (TBEV) targets the central nervous system (CNS), leading to potentially severe neurological complications. The neurovascular unit plays a fundamental role in the CNS and in the neuroinvasion of TBEV. However, the role of human brain pericytes, a key component of the neurovascular unit, during TBEV infection has not yet been elucidated. In this study, TBEV infection of the primary human brain perivascular pericytes was investigated with highly virulent Hypr strain and mildly virulent Neudoerfl strain. We used Luminex assay to measure cytokines/chemokines and growth factors. Both viral strains showed comparable replication kinetics, peaking at 3 days post infection (dpi). Intracellular viral RNA copies peaked at 6 dpi for Hypr and 3 dpi for Neudoerfl cultures. According to immunofluorescence staining, only small proportion of pericytes were infected (3% for Hypr and 2% for Neudoerfl), and no cytopathic effect was observed in the infected cells. In cell culture supernatants, IL-6 production was detected at 3 dpi, together with slight increases in IL-15 and IL-4, but IP-10, RANTES and MCP-1 were the main chemokines released after TBEV infection. These chemokines play key roles in both immune defense and immunopathology during TBE. This study suggests that pericytes are an important source of these signaling molecules during TBEV infection in the brain.

**Keywords:** tick-borne encephalitis virus; human pericytes; infection; chemokine; flavivirus; CXCL10; CCL5; inflammation

# **1. Introduction**

Tick-borne encephalitis virus (TBEV), *Orthoflavivirus encephalitidis*, is a human neurotropic pathogen transmitted mainly through the bite of an infected tick. While less than 2% of tick-borne encephalitis (TBE) cases in Europe are fatal, long-term sequelae occur in about 40–50% of patients who have developed a neurological phase of TBE [\[1](#page-10-0)[,2\]](#page-10-1).

In order to develop a neurological phase of TBE, the virus must cross the blood–brain barrier (BBB). The BBB is a highly selective, semi-permeable barrier that separates the circulating blood from the brain and the extracellular fluid in the central nervous system (CNS). It is composed of neurovascular unit (NVU) cells: tightly interconnected endothelial cells lining the capillaries of the brain, astrocyte end feet and brain pericytes. The microvascular endothelial cells are interconnected by tight junctions (TJ), which are responsible for the paracellular barrier in the capillaries perfusing the brain. TJ proteins include claudins and occludin, which are linked to the cytoskeleton by cytoplasmic proteins such as zonula occludens (ZOs) [\[3\]](#page-10-2). Astrocytes have their end feet in close contact with the basal membrane, while their other projections extend further into the brain parenchyma and interact with other cells and components of the nervous tissue [\[4\]](#page-10-3). Pericytes support and maintain the



Citation: Prančlová, V.; Hönig, V.; Zemanová, M.; Růžek, D.; Palus, M. Robust CXCL10/IP-10 and CCL5/RANTES Production Induced by Tick-Borne Encephalitis Virus in Human Brain Pericytes Despite Weak Infection. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2024**, *25*, 7892. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25147892>

Academic Editor: Ana Paula Arez

Received: 9 June 2024 Revised: 15 July 2024 Accepted: 16 July 2024 Published: 18 July 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

endothelium through both paracrine signaling and contact-mediated interactions [\[5\]](#page-10-4). Their importance in maintaining the integrity of the BBB has been demonstrated using adult mice with pericyte deficiency, in which reduced pericyte coverage increased BBB permeability and the accumulation of water, plasma proteins and various tracers in the brain [\[6\]](#page-10-5).

Previous studies of TBEV infection within the human neurovascular unit have examined various cell types, including human brain microvascular endothelial cells (HB-MECs) [\[7\]](#page-10-6), astrocytes [\[8–](#page-10-7)[10\]](#page-10-8), microglial cells [\[11\]](#page-10-9) and neurons [\[12\]](#page-10-10), all of which support productive viral infection. In vitro experiments using a monoculture model of the human BBB suggest that HBMECs may facilitate TBEV's entry into the brain without compromising the integrity of the blood–brain barrier BBB [\[7\]](#page-10-6). Infected astrocytes activate and release proinflammatory cytokines that may contribute to neurotoxicity and BBB breakdown during TBE [\[8,](#page-10-7)[13\]](#page-10-11). TBEV infection of human neurons increases viral replication after the stimulation of autophagy, etc. [\[12\]](#page-10-10). Although pericytes form a neurovascular complex with HBMECs and are the second cell type with which the virus comes into contact on its way from the blood to the brain parenchyma, their role in TBE pathogenesis has been largely overlooked.

Pericytes are susceptible to infection with some mosquito-borne viruses, namely dengue virus (DENV), Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV) and Zika virus (ZIKV), which belong to the same genus as TBEV [\[14\]](#page-10-12). In the case of JEV, the infection of the pericytes can trigger the production of inflammatory molecules such as IL-6, RANTES (also known as CCL5) and PGE2. Furthermore, the integrity of the endothelium has been shown to be negatively affected not only when treated with supernatants from JEV-infected pericytes but also when established co-cultures of endothelial cells and pericytes are inoculated with JEV [\[15\]](#page-10-13). Similarly, ZIKV infection of human pericytes has been associated with the compromised integrity of the epithelial layers of the human choroid plexus [\[16\]](#page-10-14).

This study aims to investigate the particular contribution of human brain vascular pericytes (HBVPs) to both TBEV infection and subsequent neuropathological processes.

#### **2. Results**

## *2.1. Human Pericytes Are Susceptible to TBEV Infection*

To characterize TBEV infection of human pericytes, we infected human brain perivascular pericytes (HBVPs) with two viral strains, highly virulent Hypr and mildly virulent Neudoerfl. These two strains were used because they are the most studied and characterized European TBEV strains, frequently used in similar studies as representative models of highly and mildly virulent TBEV cases [\[17\]](#page-10-15). Cell-free supernatants harvested at different time points post infection were investigated to determine virus titers by plaque assay. Replication kinetics were shown to be strikingly similar for both strains. In both cases, the production of new infectious viral particles was detected already at 1 day p.i., with TBEV strain Hypr showing a slightly sharper increase in virus titer compared to the strain Neudoerfl. The peak of viral production was observed 3 days p.i., when TBEV Hypr reached a maximum titer  $5.33 \pm 0.17 \log 10$  PFU/mL and TBEV Neudoerfl reached  $5.42 \pm 0.12 \log 10$  PFU/mL. The peak was then followed by a slight decrease in virus titer; however, it did not fall below 3.34 log10 PFU/mL (Figure [1A](#page-2-0)).

Viral replication was also quantified by RT-qPCR using cell lysates of TBEV-infected cells. Overall, the dynamics of both strains were comparable, although a slightly higher number of intracellular TBEV RNA copies was observed in the case of the more virulent strain Hypr. In the case of the strain Hypr, the number of intracellular viral RNA copies reached its peak at 6 days p.i. with  $5169.2 \pm 750.854$ , while the TBEV strain Neudoerfl reached its peak at 3 days p.i. with 7762.5  $\pm$  2271.2 viral RNA copies per well (Figure [1B](#page-2-0)).

When observing TBEV-infected pericytes using phase-contrast microscopy, there were no signs of cytopathic effect (CPE). Even in the case of highly virulent strain Hypr, no morphological changes and no cell death were noticeable throughout the whole course of the infection (Figure [1C](#page-2-0)).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

**Figure 1.** TBEV-infected HBVP. (**A**) Virus titers in cell-free supernatants of infected HBVP were **Figure 1.** TBEV-infected HBVP. (**A**) Virus titers in cell-free supernatants of infected HBVP were evaluated on days 0, 1, 3, 6 and 10 p.i. by plaque assay. The results represent the mean  $\pm$  SEM of independent experiments that were run in biological triplicates. (**B**) The number of intracellular 3 independent experiments that were run in biological triplicates. (**B**) The number of intracellular TBEV RNA copies was evaluated on days 1, 3, 6 and 10 p.i. by qRT-PCR. The results represent  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  independent experiments that we run in the second  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  HBVP were run in biological triplications of  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ the mean  $\pm$  SEM of 2 independent experiments that were run in biological triplicates. (C) HBVP were infected with TBEV strain Hypr and examined for signs of CPE using light microscopy (scale: 100 µm). (**D**) HBVP grown on slides were infected with the TBEV strains Hypr and Neudoerfl. At 3 days p.i., cells were fixed and stained with anti-PDIA3 (red) and anti-flavivirus envelope protein antibody (green). Nuclei counterstained with DAPI are shown in blue (scale:  $20 \mu m$ ).

Immunofluorescence staining was performed to survey the distribution of TBEV antigen by staining TBEV E protein together with protein disulphide isomerase family A,  $\frac{1}{10}$  member 3 (PDIA3), suggesting that the viral antigen was localized in the hypertrophied and rearranged endoplasmic reticula of the cells as early as 3 days p.i. (Figure [1D](#page-2-0)). To quantify the portion of infected cells in the population, TBEV-infected HBVPs were also stained for TBEV antigen together with PDGFRβ, one of the pericyte markers. Based on a total number of at least 1500 cells counted for each viral strain, approximately 3% of HBVP cells positive for PDGFRβ were infected with the strain Hypr and approximately 2% of the cells were infected with the strain Neudoerfl at 3 days p.i. (Figure [2\)](#page-3-0). the cells were infected with the strain Neudoerfl at 3 days p.i. (Figure 2). the suppositing that the virul distigent was foculated in the hypertrophical  $G_F$  of  $F_F$  were infected with the strain Hypr and approximately 2% of the  $F_F$ 

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Figure 2.** TBEV-infected human brain vascular pericytes (HBVPs). (**A**) HBVP grown on slides were **Figure 2.** TBEV-infected human brain vascular pericytes (HBVPs). (**A**) HBVP grown on slides were infected with 2 different strains of TBEV, Hypr and Neudoerfl. At 3 days post infection (p.i.), cells were fixed and stained with anti-PDGFRβ (red) together with anti-flavivirus envelope protein body (green) and counterstained with DAPI (blue). (**B**) The percentage of infected cells at 3 days p.i. antibody (green) and counterstained with DAPI (blue). (**B**) The percentage of infected cells at 3 days p.i. was determined after immunofluorescence staining. The results represent mean  $\pm$  SEM based on *2.2. TBEV Infection Induces Increased Production of Oas1, IP-10/CXCL10, IFNB and IL-1B at*  a total of at least 1500 cells counted per viral strain.

#### *mRNA Level 2.2. TBEV Infection Induces Increased Production of Oas1, IP-10/CXCL10, IFNB and IL-1B at*  $S_{\rm eff}$  in the expressions induced changes in the expression of many genes, especially genes, especially genes, especially genes encoding various inflammatory molecules, we investigated the effect of TBEV in*mRNA Level*

Since viral infections induce changes in the expression of many genes, especially genes encoding various inflammatory molecules, we investigated the effect of TBEV infection on the mRNA expression of a few selected factors involved in the activation and regulation of  $m$  $m$ ung regnonses namely IB 10 (also known as  $C<sup>Y</sup>$ CI 10) IENJ<sub>b</sub> H 1 inflammatory and immune responses, namely IP-10 (also known as CXCL10), IFNb, IL-1<br> $\frac{1}{2}$ and OAS1. With the sole exception of IL-1β, we observed a time-dependent increase in mRNA production for all the surveyed targets. The most dramatic upregulation was seen in the chemokine IP-10 mRNA. The upregulation was detected already at 1 day p.i. in Neudoerfl-infected HBVP and reached an almost 2000-fold change at 3 days p.i. in both Neudoerfl- and Hypr-infected cells (Figure [3\)](#page-3-1).

<span id="page-3-1"></span>

**Figure 3.** TBEV-induced changes in the expression of selected genes on an mRNA level. Total RNA **Figure 3.** TBEV-induced changes in the expression of selected genes on an mRNA level. Total RNA  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is control to the  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and 3 days p.i. was used at 1, 2 and 3 days p.i. was used at 1, 2 and 3 days p.i. was used at 1, 2 and 3 days p.i. was used at 1, 2 and 3 days p.i. was used at 1, isolated from TBEV-infected and uninfected control HBVP harvested at 1, 2 and 3 days p.i. was used to determine the fold changes in IP-10, OAS1, IFNβ and IL-1β with RT-qPCR. Changes in the mRNA  $T_{\text{total}}$  to the expression of house keeping genes (human  $\Lambda \text{CTR}$  and  $\text{CADU}$ ) levels were first normalized to the expression of house-keeping genes (human ACTB and GAPDH).<br>' expressed as means  $\pm$  SEM. Statistically significant changes are marked with asterisk (\*,  $p$  < 0.05). The fold change in the infected cells was calculated compared to the corresponding controls. Data are

#### 2.3. Chemokines IP-10 and RANTES Are the Most Elevated Chemokines during TBEV Infection and INTIVITES The the triost Elevated Chemokines during TDEV Injection

To investigate the immune response of human pericytes to TBEV infection on protein level, we analyzed cell-free supernatants of TBEV-infected HBVP using a micro-bead-based multiplex assay that allowed us to test an array of 41 different cytokines, chemokines and other modulatory factors. Samples were collected at 1, 3, 6 and 10 days p.i. to account for both early and late infection time points (Figure [4\)](#page-4-0). Of the 36 analytes tested, a considerable amount was shown to be under the limit of detection and was thus excluded from the statistical analysis. The analytes that HBVP did not produce were TGFα, sCD40L, IL-1 $\alpha$ , IL-1β, IL-2, IL-3, IL-5, IL-9, IL-10, IL-12p70, IL-17A, IFNγ, TNFα and TNFβ. In addition, EGF and FGF-2 were excluded from the statistical analysis due to their default presence in culture medium. TBEV-induced production of selected chemokines/cytokines (IP-10, RANTES, MCP-1, IL-15, IL-6) is shown in Figure [5;](#page-4-1) the rest of the analytes are presented in Supplementary Figures S1–S3. are expressed as means  $\beta$  statistical changes are material with a processed with a processed with a step of 0.05  $\alpha$ based multiplex assay that  $\frac{1}{4}$ . Of the 50 analyties tested, a considerable  $\frac{1}{2}$  cluded from the statistical analytical analytical analytical analytical method  $\frac{1}{2}$  $k_{10}$ ,  $k_{10}$ ,  $k_{10}$ ,  $k_{10}$ ,  $k_{11}$   $k_{10}$ ,  $k_{10}$ 

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Figure 4.** TBEV infection induces changes in cytokine/chemokine production. Cell-free superod with the TBEV strains Hypr and Neudoerfl were harvested at  $1, 3, 6$  and natants of HBVP infected with the TBEV strains Hypr and Neudoerfl were harvested at 1, 3, 6 and 10 days p.i. and used for the detection of different cytokines, chemokines and growth factors by a micro-bead-based multiplex assay. The results represent the mean of the biological triplicates. Statistically significant changes compared to the control were identified using multiple unpaired *t*-tests with Benjamini, Krieger and Yekutieli two-stage step-up false-discovery-rate correction  $(Q = 2\%)$ . Statistically significant differences are marked by asterisks. significant changes compared to the control were identified using multiple unpaired *t*-tests with

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

**Figure 5.** TBEV-induced production of selected chemokines/cytokines. Cell-free supernatants of **Figure 5.** TBEV-induced production of selected chemokines/cytokines. Cell-free supernatants of TBEV-infected and uninfected control HBVP were harvested at 3 days p.i. and used for the detection TBEV-infected and uninfected control HBVP were harvested at 3 days p.i. and used for the detection of selected chemokines and cytokines by a micro-bead-based multiplex assay in order to compare the  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  the peak of viral production at the peak of viral production. The results represent the mean of biodegree of their secretion at the peak of viral production. The results represent the mean of biological triplicates. Statistically significant changes compared to non-infected controls were identified using the Brown–Forsythe and Welch ANOVA tests. Statistically significant differences are marked by asterisks (\* *p* < 0.05; \*\* *p* < 0.01; ns—not significant).

Of all the analytes tested, the chemokines IP-10 and RANTES stood out the most with their significant increase in production in TBEV-infected pericytes compared to uninfected controls. Regardless of the virus strain, their expression increased at 3 days p.i. and remained elevated until day 10 p.i. (Figure [4\)](#page-4-0). While no significant differences were observed in the production of IP-10 between the virus strains, RANTES production was significantly higher in cells infected with the strain Neudoerfl than in cells infected with the more virulent strain Hypr (Figure [5\)](#page-4-1). In addition, significantly increased production of another chemokine, MCP-1, was observed at 3 days p.i. (Figure [4\)](#page-4-0). Regarding the amounts of secreted chemokines, MCP-1 reached the highest concentrations of nearly 2000 pg/mL, followed by IP-10 and RANTES (Figure [5\)](#page-4-1).

Of the remaining analytes, including cytokines, colony-stimulating factors and growth factors, we detected significantly increased production of three cytokines. At 3 days p.i., TBEV-infected pericytes increased IL-6 production compared to the uninfected control (Figure [4\)](#page-4-0). In the case of the strain Hypr, slightly increased production of IL-15 was also detected at this time point. Additionally, enhanced expression of IL-4 was detected at 6 days p.i. by cells infected with the strain Neudoerfl.

## **3. Discussion**

Pericytes are an essential component of the BBB, which lies between the vascular system and the brain parenchyma (Figure [6\)](#page-5-0). Their high density and close association with endothelial cells and astrocytes are essential for the maintenance of selective tight junctions and the integrity of the barrier [\[18\]](#page-10-16). The loss of pericytes in the brain is associated with the occurrence of neurodegenerative and neuroinflammatory diseases [\[19\]](#page-11-0). The role of pericytes in health and disease, including viral infections, has gained importance. Viral infections of pericytes, particularly those associated with the BBB, are common and suggest that these cells play an important role in the neurological effects induced by various viruses [\[14\]](#page-10-12). These infections often lead to changes in inflammatory responses, emphasizing the importance of pericytes for understanding the general impact of immune system dysfunction on viral disease. system dysfunction on viral disease.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

**Figure 6.** The suggested entry and spread of TBEV in the human brain and TBEV-induced cyto-**Figure 6.** The suggested entry and spread of TBEV in the human brain and TBEV-induced cytokine/chemokine production at the protein level by the cells of the neurovascular unit on protein level. (1) The infectious TBEV particles present in the bloodstream infect brain microvascular encent $C$  of periodices  $\overline{CDP}$  in the virus  $\overline{PT}$  (c)  $\overline{TDEN}$  fund on the second dothelial cells (less than 5%) without affecting BBB integrity [\[7\]](#page-10-6). (**2**) TBEV further infects a small percentage of pericytes (2–3%) in which the virus replicates effectively and induces the secretion of RANTES, MCP-1, IL-6 and IL-15, (3) TREV infection of astrocytes is associated the chemokines IP-10, RANTES, MCP-1, IL-6 and IL-15. (**3**) TBEV infection of astrocytes is associated

with a higher number of infected cells (about 11%), as well as their activation and increased production of cytokines/chemokines, namely IP-10, IL-8, RANTES, MIP-1a, MIP-1B, MCP-1, MIG and IL-6 [\[8,](#page-10-7)[13\]](#page-10-11). (**4**) Microglia are also sensitive to TBEV infection with 10–28% of the cells being infected. The infection induces the upregulation of the chemokines IP-10, MIP-1a, RANTES and MIG; however, other inflammatory molecules are produced depending on the specific viral strains. CNS-resident macrophages capable of phagocytosis, microglia are actively involved in combating the infection [\[11\]](#page-10-9). (**5**) Neurons, the primary target of TBEV, are highly susceptible to TBEV infection, which causes their irreversible damage and cell death. The virus is able to infect between 30 and 100% of neurons present in population [\[9](#page-10-17)[,12](#page-10-10)[,20\]](#page-11-1). Neuronal response to TBEV infection and related injury might involve the production of RANTES and IL-8 [\[13\]](#page-10-11). It should be noted that the percentages of infectivity for the individual cell types are based on in vitro experiments and may not accurately reflect the actual conditions in the brain.

Interactions between pericytes and viruses have been described for several human pathogens, including SARS-CoV-2 [\[21\]](#page-11-2), human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) [\[22\]](#page-11-3), the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV-1) [\[23\]](#page-11-4), mosquito-borne orthoflaviviruses such as DENV [\[24\]](#page-11-5), JEV [\[15\]](#page-10-13), ZIKV [\[16\]](#page-10-14) and the tick-borne Powassan virus (POWV) [\[25\]](#page-11-6). However, the interactions between pericytes and TBEV are not yet fully understood. The aim of this study is to describe the infection of primary human pericytes with TBEV in vitro, focusing on the kinetics of the virus, the susceptibility of the cells to infection and their immune response.

Our study shows that HBVPs are susceptible to TBEV infection, as demonstrated by successful infection with the highly virulent Hypr and the mildly virulent Neudoerfl strain. Both strains exhibited similar replication kinetics within the pericytes, with virus replication being detected as early as one day p.i. and peaking after three days p.i., indicating efficient virus replication within these cells. Even after ten days p.i., the TBEV infection did not lead to CPE or morphological changes in the cell culture recognizable by light microscopy. Despite the low proportion of infected cells (3% in Hypr and 2% in Neudoerfl), TBEV reached high viral titers of  $10^5$  and  $10^6$  PFU/mL, respectively. These results are comparable to TBEV-infected primary HBMEC [\[7\]](#page-10-6), in which the viral titers also reached a similar titer and less than 5% of the cells were infected.

Pericytes may facilitate viral spread in the CNS by providing a long-term source of progeny virus, as shown by viral titers and the presence of viral RNA at late infection intervals. The role of pericytes in invading the brain parenchyma has also been hypothesized for other orthoflaviviruses such as ZIKV. In vitro, ZIKV infection of HBVPs causes the increased permeability of the BBB endothelial barrier despite a low number of ZIKV-positive cells (4%), suggesting that infection of pericytes may facilitate CNS invasion [\[16\]](#page-10-14). In mouse models, ZIKV infects pericytes in the choroid plexus and meninges before spreading to the cortex [\[16\]](#page-10-14).

Pericytes respond to viral pathogens by secreting various cytokines, chemokines and growth factors, with responses varying by pathogen and pericyte subtype [\[26\]](#page-11-7). Our study showed a time-dependent increase in the mRNA expression of antiviral defense genes (IP-10, IL-1β, IFN-β and OAS1) in HBVPs after TBEV infection, suggesting the progressive activation of antiviral pathways. These transcriptional changes are similar to those observed in POWV-infected HBVPs [\[25\]](#page-11-6). Despite increased IFN-β expression, TBEV-infected HBVPs established persistent infection, in contrast to DENV, which is eliminated from infected human endothelial cells by IFN-β activated pathways [\[27\]](#page-11-8). ZIKV circumvents this limitation by blocking IFN-β secretion [\[28\]](#page-11-9), POWV persists in pericytes without completely blocking IFN-β secretion [\[25\]](#page-11-6) and TBEV and WNV can inhibit the surface expression of the interferon- $\alpha/\beta$  (IFN-I) receptor (IFNAR1) through prolidase and NS5 interaction [\[29\]](#page-11-10). That might explain the persistent infection of HBVPs, despite the upregulation of IFN-β expression, but further data are needed to clarify the susceptibility of HBVP to TBEV infection.

Since TBEV infection in the brain is associated with the induction of cytokines and chemokines, we analyzed paracrine signaling in TBEV-infected HBVPs using Luminex technology. The high expression of various cytokines/chemokines during TBEV infection

mediates the immunopathology that can lead to severe disease and increased mortality [\[30\]](#page-11-11). In particular, the infected HBVPs predominantly produced IP-10 and RANTES. Both chemokines are critical for the recruitment of activated T cells to sites of tissue inflammation involved in not only viral clearance but also neuropathology in neurotropic flavivirus infections. Elevated levels of these chemokines are found in the CSF of TBE patients, with CD4 T cells showing higher levels of CCR5 compared to blood [\[31–](#page-11-12)[33\]](#page-11-13). Similar results were reported in TBEV-infected mice [\[13\]](#page-10-11). The induction of RANTES by the TBEV NS5 protein leads to the increased infiltration of immune cells into the CNS, contributing to neuroinflammation and brain pathology [\[34,](#page-11-14)[35\]](#page-11-15). Moreover, RANTES secretion also promotes the persistent infection of ZIKV-infected HBMEC. ZIKV-elicited CCL5 secretion directs the autocrine HBMEC activation of ERK1/2 survival pathways, supporting ZIKV pathogenesis and spread [\[36\]](#page-11-16). A similar signaling pathway may operate in TBEV-infected pericytes, although further experiments are needed to confirm this possibility.

IP-10, which is highly upregulated in the CSF of TBE patients, correlates with the number of T lymphocytes and can activate proinflammatory signaling pathways, affecting CNS cells such as microglia, astrocytes and neurons [\[37,](#page-11-17)[38\]](#page-11-18).

Our study also found increased CCL2 production by TBEV-infected pericytes, supporting its role in T lymphocyte signaling during TBEV infection. The upregulation of CCL2 in the CSF of TBEV patients and the brains of TBEV-infected mice suggests its importance for immune cell recruitment and viral pathogenesis [\[13,](#page-10-11)[39,](#page-11-19)[40\]](#page-11-20).

In addition, TBEV-infected HBVPs produced IL-6 and IL-15, which are crucial for regulatory and inflammatory processes in the CNS [\[41\]](#page-11-21). Elevated IL-6 levels in the sera of TBE patients and the brains of TBEV-infected mice correlate with increased viral replication and a dysregulated host immune response [\[42\]](#page-11-22). IL-6 acts synergistically with IL-7 and IL-15 and increases cytolytic capacity and T-cell proliferation [\[43\]](#page-12-0).

Differences in host response between the TBEV strains were also observed, particularly in chemokine production. While IP-10 expression remained consistent, RANTES production was significantly higher in Neudoerfl-infected cells, suggesting virus strainspecific influences on the host immune response and disease progression. As these two strains differ in their overall virulence, which could be reflected by the differential immune response of the infected cells, the exact factors determining this differential response remain unknown and will be the subject of our future studies.

This study has several limitations. One key open question, already mentioned above, is what molecular determinants dictate the differential immune response in pericytes infected with TBEV strains differing in virulence. Additionally, the cause of the low susceptibility or permissivity of pericytes to TBEV infection remains unknown. Several factors influence infectivity, including the level of expression of host-cell receptors, the metabolic activity of the cells, the presence or absence of specific enzymes needed for virus replication, and the level of activation of antiviral defense mechanisms. Identifying which of these factors contributes to the low susceptibility or permissivity of pericytes to TBEV infection is an area for future investigation. Furthermore, while we did not observe the formation of a CPE in the TBEV-infected culture, we cannot rule out the possibility of cellular damage or death among the infected cells, which were a minority in the culture. More sensitive methods for detecting such effects will be employed in our future studies. Lastly, it remains unclear whether the soluble factors produced by TBEV-infected pericytes contribute to increased BBB permeability. This will be addressed in future studies involving co-culture experiments with pericytes and other cell components of the NVU.

In summary, this study shows that TBEV can infect the pericytes of the human brain, leading to viral replication and the production of antiviral molecules and chemokines. These findings suggest that pericytes play a role in TBE pathogenesis and may contribute to T-cell recruitment and the inflammatory response in the brain. Further studies, especially in context of interactions with other NVU cells, are needed to elucidate the exact mechanisms and molecular factors by which pericytes influence TBEV infection and disease severity.

## **4. Materials and Methods**

#### *4.1. Virus and Cells*

Two different European TBEV strains were used in this study. The highly virulent strain Hypr was isolated in 1953 in Brno, former Czechoslovakia. The closely related but less virulent strain Neudoerfl was originally isolated from the tick *Ixodes ricinus* in Austria in 1971 and kindly provided by Professor F. X. Heinz, Institute of Virology, Medical University of Vienna, Austria. Prior to this study, both strains were first passaged by the intracranial infection of suckling mice—Hypr eight times; Neudoerfl five times.

Human brain vascular pericytes (HBVP) (ScienCell Cat. No. #1200) were cultured in complete PM medium (ScienCell Cat. No. #1201) at 37 ◦C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO<sup>2</sup> in air using poly-L-lysine (ScienCell Cat. #0413)-coated culture flasks. For all experiments, HBVPs were used at low passage numbers and always seeded on pre-coated surfaces in complete culture media.

Porcine kidney stable (PS) cells, which were used for the plaque assay, were grown in L-15 medium supplemented with 3% new born calf serum, 1% L-glutamine and 1% Antibiotic–Antimycotic Solution (Biosera, Cholet, France) at 37 ◦C in ambient air.

#### *4.2. Viral Growth*

To establish a TBEV growth curve, cells were seeded onto a 96-well plate (5  $\times$  10 $^4$  cells per well) and infected with the virus at a multiplicity of infection (moi) of 5. After 2 h, cells were washed twice with PBS before fresh culture medium was added. Supernatants were harvested at 1, 3, 6 and 10 days p.i. Additionally, cell monolayers were lysed using an Ambion Cell-to-CT Kit (Invitrogen/Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA) and further processed for RNA isolation.

## *4.3. Plaque Assay*

PS cells were used to determine viral titers, as described previously [\[44\]](#page-12-1). Next, 10-fold dilutions of the infectious samples were placed in 96-well plates and incubated with PS cell suspension (5  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup> cell per well) for 4 h at 37 °C and 0.5% CO<sub>2</sub>. The samples were then covered with a 1:1  $(v/v)$  overlay mixture (carboxymethylcellulose and a  $2\times$  L-15 medium containing 6% PTS, 2% L-glutamine and 2% penicillin/streptomycin/amphotericin B). After 5 days, the plates were washed with PBS and stained with naphthalene black. Virusproduced plaques were counted, and the titers were expressed as PFU/mL.

## *4.4. RNA Isolation*

Viral RNA was extracted from TBEV-infected cells at 1, 3, 6 and 10 days p.i.; RNA from non-infected cells served as a control. The RNA was isolated using a QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The RNA samples were then stored at  $-80$  °C until the analysis.

Total RNA was extracted from TBEV-infected HBCA and controls using an Ambion Cell-to-CT Kit (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. cDNA was synthesized using a High-Capacity RNA-to-cDNA Kit (Applied Biosystems), according to the manufacturer's protocol. The synthesized cDNAs were used as templates for real-time PCR.

## *4.5. Real-Time qPCR*

Following RNA isolation, viral RNA was quantified using a genesig Real-time PCR Detection Kit for TBEV (PrimerDesign Ltd., Eastleigh, UK) using oasig Lyophilized OneStep qRT-PCR (PrimerDesign Ltd., UK) on a Rotor Gene-3000 (Corbett Research, Mortlake, Australia).

Real-time qPCR for host mRNA gene expression was performed as conducted previously [\[8\]](#page-10-7). In brief, we used pre-developed TaqMan Reagent Assay IDs, IL1β (Hs01555410\_m1), IP10 (Hs01124251\_g1), INFβ (Hs01555410\_m1) and Oas1 (Hs00973637\_m1), with TaqMan Gene Expression Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) on a Rotor Gene-3000 (Corbett Research). Human beta actin (Hs99999903\_m1) and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydroge-

nase (Hs03929097\_g1) housekeeping genes' RNA transcripts were used for normalization. The amplification conditions were as follows: 2 min at 50  $°C$  (to allow UNG to cleave any contaminating templates); 10 min at 95  $°C$  (to denature UNG and activate the enzymes); 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 15 s; and annealing/extension at 60 °C for 1 min. To calculate the fold change in gene expression, the CT of the housekeeping gene was subtracted from the CT of the target gene to yield the ∆CT. The change in expression of the normalized target gene was expressed as  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  where  $\Delta\Delta CT = \Delta CT$  sample- $\Delta CT$  control, as described previously [\[45\]](#page-12-2).

## *4.6. Bead-Based Multiplex Assay*

Concentrations of cytokines, chemokines and growth factors in cell culture supernatant samples were determined using a Human Cytokine/Chemokine Magnetic Bead Panel —Premixed 41 Plex—Immunology Multiplex Assay (HCYTMAG-60K-PX41, Milliplex, EMD Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA), following the manufacturer's instructions.

## *4.7. Immunocytochemistry*

HBVP were seeded in poly-L-lysine-coated wells of glass chamber slides  $(0.4 \text{ cm}^2,$  $1 \times 10^4$  cells per well), infected at a moi of 5 and incubated for 3 days. Non-infected cells served as a control. Cells were then fixed with an ice-cold 1:1 (*v*/*v*) mixture of methanol and acetone for 10 min. After 10 min, cells were washed and blocked with 10% FBS and 5% goat serum for 30 min at 37 °C. Cells were then double-labeled with either rabbit anti-PDGFRβ monoclonal antibody (1:100, MA5-15143, Invitrogen) and mouse anti-flavivirus group antigen monoclonal antibody (1:250, MAB10216, Invitrogen) or rabbit anti-PDIA3 polyclonal antibody (1:250, HPA003230, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) together with anti-flavivirus group antigen antibody. After a 1 h incubation at  $37 \degree C$ , cells were washed and labeled with goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 and goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 594 secondary antibodies (1:500, A11029 and A11037, Invitrogen) and incubated for 1 h at 37 ◦C. After the final wash, coverslips were mounted and counterstained using Fluoroshield with DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich). Images were taken with Olympus BX60 (Tokyo, Japan) and processed using ImageJ 1.53c. All wash steps were performed three times with 0.05% Tween-20 in PBS (PBS-T). Primary antibodies were diluted in the blocking solution, while secondary antibodies were diluted in PBS-T.

## *4.8. Statistical Analysis*

Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 9.0.2. The data from the multiplex assay were processed as described previously [\[13\]](#page-10-11). Net MFI values of the analytes in individual samples instead of concentration were used because of their better fitting to normal distribution. The normality was further improved by log transformation, and the data were analyzed using multiple t-test comparisons with two-stage step-up corrections for multiple comparisons of Benjamini, Krieger and Yekutieli (Q = 2%) to identify statistically significant differences between infected and control samples. All obtained P values were additionally analyzed using a two-stage linear step-up Benjamini, Krieger and Yekutieli procedure  $(Q = 2\%)$ . In the case of concentrations of the analytes tested, the Brown–Forsythe and Welch ANOVA tests with Dunnett's T3 multiple comparisons test were performed to identify statistically significant differences between different conditions (control, Hypr-infected, Neudoerfl-infected). Differences with *p* < 0.05 were considered significant.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded via this link: [https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms25147892/s1.](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms25147892/s1)

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, D.R. and M.P.; methodology, M.P., V.P. and V.H.; validation, V.P. and M.P.; formal analysis, V.P., V.H. and M.P.; investigation, M.Z., V.P., V.H. and M.P.; resources, D.R. and M.P.; writing—original draft preparation, V.P. and M.P.; writing—review and editing, D.R., V.H., V.P., M.Z. and M.P.; visualization, V.H., M.P. and V.P.; supervision, D.R. and M.P.; project

administration, M.P.; funding acquisition, D.R. and M.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This study was supported from the Czech Science Foundation (grant No. 20-30500S and 23-08039S) and the National Institute of Virology and Bacteriology (Programme EXCELES, ID Project No. LX22NPO5103), funded by the European Union-Next Generation EU.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data generated in this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

**Acknowledgments:** We thank to Marika Davídková (Biology Centre) for technical assistance.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## **References**

- <span id="page-10-0"></span>1. Bogovic, P.; Strle, F. Tick-Borne Encephalitis: A Review of Epidemiology, Clinical Characteristics, and Management. *World J. Clin. Cases* **2015**, *3*, 430–441. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v3.i5.430) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25984517)
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>2. Bogovič, P.; Stupica, D.; Rojko, T.; Lotrič-Furlan, S.; Avšič-Županc, T.; Kastrin, A.; Lusa, L.; Strle, F. The Long-Term Outcome of Tick-Borne Encephalitis in Central Europe. *Ticks Tick-Borne Dis.* **2018**, *9*, 369–378. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2017.12.001) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29275872)
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>3. Persidsky, Y.; Ramirez, S.H.; Haorah, J.; Kanmogne, G.D. Blood–Brain Barrier: Structural Components and Function Under Physiologic and Pathologic Conditions. *J. Neuroimmune Pharmacol.* **2006**, *1*, 223–236. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11481-006-9025-3) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18040800)
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>4. McConnell, H.L.; Mishra, A. Cells of the Blood–Brain Barrier: An Overview of the Neurovascular Unit in Health and Disease. In *The Blood-Brain Barrier*; Stone, N., Ed.; Methods in Molecular Biology; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2022; Volume 2492, pp. 3–24. ISBN 978-1-07-162288-9.
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>5. Hirschi, K.K.; D'Amore, P.A. Pericytes in the Microvasculature. *Cardiovasc. Res.* **1996**, *32*, 687–698. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-6363(96)00063-6) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8915187)
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>6. Armulik, A.; Genové, G.; Mäe, M.; Nisancioglu, M.H.; Wallgard, E.; Niaudet, C.; He, L.; Norlin, J.; Lindblom, P.; Strittmatter, K.; et al. Pericytes Regulate the Blood–Brain Barrier. *Nature* **2010**, *468*, 557–561. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09522) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20944627)
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>7. Palus, M.; Vancova, M.; Sirmarova, J.; Elsterova, J.; Perner, J.; Ruzek, D. Tick-Borne Encephalitis Virus Infects Human Brain Microvascular Endothelial Cells without Compromising Blood-Brain Barrier Integrity. *Virology* **2017**, *507*, 110–122. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2017.04.012) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28432926)
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>8. Palus, M.; Bílý, T.; Elsterová, J.; Langhansová, H.; Salát, J.; Vancová, M.; Růžek, D. Infection and Injury of Human Astrocytes by Tick-Borne Encephalitis Virus. *J. Gen. Virol.* **2014**, *95*, 2411–2426. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.068411-0) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25000960)
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>9. Fares, M.; Cochet-Bernoin, M.; Gonzalez, G.; Montero-Menei, C.N.; Blanchet, O.; Benchoua, A.; Boissart, C.; Lecollinet, S.; Richardson, J.; Haddad, N.; et al. Pathological Modeling of TBEV Infection Reveals Differential Innate Immune Responses in Human Neurons and Astrocytes That Correlate with Their Susceptibility to Infection. *J. Neuroinflamm.* **2020**, *17*, 76. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12974-020-01756-x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32127025)
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>10. Potokar, M.; Korva, M.; Jorgačevski, J.; Avšič-Županc, T.; Zorec, R. Tick-Borne Encephalitis Virus Infects Rat Astrocytes but Does Not Affect Their Viability. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e86219. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0086219)
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>11. Pranclova, V.; Nedvedova, L.; Kotounova, E.; Vaclav, H.; Dvorakova, M.; Davidkova, M.; Bily, T.; Vancova, M.; Ruzek, D.; Palus, M. Unraveling the Role of Human Microglia in Tick-Borne Encephalitis Virus Infection: Insights into Neuroinflammation and Viral Pathogenesis. *Microbes Infect.* **2024**, 105383. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micinf.2024.105383)
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>12. Bílý, T.; Palus, M.; Eyer, L.; Elsterová, J.; Vancová, M.; Růžek, D. Electron Tomography Analysis of Tick-Borne Encephalitis Virus Infection in Human Neurons. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 10745. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep10745) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26073783)
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>13. Pokorna Formanova, P.; Palus, M.; Salat, J.; Hönig, V.; Stefanik, M.; Svoboda, P.; Ruzek, D. Changes in Cytokine and Chemokine Profiles in Mouse Serum and Brain, and in Human Neural Cells, upon Tick-Borne Encephalitis Virus Infection. *J. Neuroinflamm.* **2019**, *16*, 205. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12974-019-1596-z) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31699097)
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>14. Butsabong, T.; Felippe, M.; Campagnolo, P.; Maringer, K. The Emerging Role of Perivascular Cells (Pericytes) in Viral Pathogenesis. *J. Gen. Virol.* **2021**, *102*, 1634. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1099/jgv.0.001634)
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>15. Chang, C.-Y.; Li, J.-R.; Ou, Y.-C.; Lin, S.-Y.; Wang, Y.-Y.; Chen, W.-Y.; Hu, Y.-H.; Lai, C.-Y.; Chang, C.-J.; Chen, C.-J. Interplay of Inflammatory Gene Expression in Pericytes Following Japanese Encephalitis Virus Infection. *Brain. Behav. Immun.* **2017**, *66*, 230–243. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2017.07.003) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28690034)
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>16. Kim, J.; Alejandro, B.; Hetman, M.; Hattab, E.M.; Joiner, J.; Schroten, H.; Ishikawa, H.; Chung, D.-H. Zika Virus Infects Pericytes in the Choroid Plexus and Enters the Central Nervous System through the Blood-Cerebrospinal Fluid Barrier. *PLoS Pathog.* **2020**, *16*, e1008204. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008204)
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>17. Wallner, G.; Mandl, C.W.; Ecker, M.; Holzmann, H.; Stiasny, K.; Kunz, C.; Heinz, F.X. Characterization and Complete Genome Sequences of High- and Low-Virulence Variants of Tick-Borne Encephalitis Virus. *J. Gen. Virol.* **1996**, *77*, 1035–1042. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-77-5-1035)
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>18. Hill, J.; Rom, S.; Ramirez, S.H.; Persidsky, Y. Emerging Roles of Pericytes in the Regulation of the Neurovascular Unit in Health and Disease. *J. Neuroimmune Pharmacol.* **2014**, *9*, 591–605. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11481-014-9557-x)
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>19. Bergers, G.; Song, S. The Role of Pericytes in Blood-Vessel Formation and Maintenance. *Neuro-Oncology* **2005**, *7*, 452–464. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1215/S1152851705000232)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>20. Lindqvist, R.; Rosendal, E.; Weber, E.; Asghar, N.; Schreier, S.; Lenman, A.; Johansson, M.; Dobler, G.; Bestehorn, M.; Kröger, A.; et al. The Envelope Protein of Tick-Borne Encephalitis Virus Influences Neuron Entry, Pathogenicity, and Vaccine Protection. *J. Neuroinflamm.* **2020**, *17*, 284. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12974-020-01943-w)
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>21. Bocci, M.; Oudenaarden, C.; Sàenz-Sardà, X.; Simrén, J.; Edén, A.; Sjölund, J.; Möller, C.; Gisslén, M.; Zetterberg, H.; Englund, E.; et al. Infection of Brain Pericytes Underlying Neuropathology of COVID-19 Patients. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 11622. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms222111622)
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>22. Alcendor, D.J.; Charest, A.M.; Zhu, W.Q.; Vigil, H.E.; Knobel, S.M. Infection and Upregulation of Proinflammatory Cytokines in Human Brain Vascular Pericytes by Human Cytomegalovirus. *J. Neuroinflamm.* **2012**, *9*, 607. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-2094-9-95)
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>23. Nakagawa, S.; Castro, V.; Toborek, M. Infection of Human Pericytes by HIV-1 Disrupts the Integrity of the Blood-Brain Barrier. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* **2012**, *16*, 2950–2957. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1582-4934.2012.01622.x)
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>24. Cheung, Y.P.; Mastrullo, V.; Maselli, D.; Butsabong, T.; Madeddu, P.; Maringer, K.; Campagnolo, P. A Critical Role for Perivascular Cells in Amplifying Vascular Leakage Induced by Dengue Virus Nonstructural Protein 1. *mSphere* **2020**, *5*, e00258-20. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00258-20)
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>25. Conde, J.N.; Sanchez-Vicente, S.; Saladino, N.; Gorbunova, E.E.; Schutt, W.R.; Mladinich, M.C.; Himmler, G.E.; Benach, J.; Kim, H.K.; Mackow, E.R. Powassan Viruses Spread Cell to Cell during Direct Isolation from *Ixodes* Ticks and Persistently Infect Human Brain Endothelial Cells and Pericytes. *J. Virol.* **2022**, *96*, e01682-21. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01682-21) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34643436)
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>26. Gaceb, A.; Paul, G. Pericyte Secretome. In *Pericyte Biology—Novel Concepts*; Birbrair, A., Ed.; Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Germany, 2018; Volume 1109, pp. 139–163. ISBN 978-3-030-02600-4.
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>27. Dalrymple, N.A.; Mackow, E.R. Roles for Endothelial Cells in Dengue Virus Infection. *Adv. Virol.* **2012**, *2012*, 840654. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/840654) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22952474)
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>28. Mladinich, M.C.; Schwedes, J.; Mackow, E.R. Zika Virus Persistently Infects and Is Basolaterally Released from Primary Human Brain Microvascular Endothelial Cells. *mBio* **2017**, *8*, e00952-17. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00952-17)
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>29. Lubick, K.J.; Robertson, S.J.; McNally, K.L.; Freedman, B.A.; Rasmussen, A.L.; Taylor, R.T.; Walts, A.D.; Tsuruda, S.; Sakai, M.; Ishizuka, M.; et al. Flavivirus Antagonism of Type I Interferon Signaling Reveals Prolidase as a Regulator of IFNAR1 Surface Expression. *Cell Host Microbe* **2015**, *18*, 61–74. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.06.007)
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>30. Palus, M.; Vojtíšková, J.; Salát, J.; Kopecký, J.; Grubhoffer, L.; Lipoldová, M.; Demant, P.; Růžek, D. Mice with Different Susceptibility to Tick-Borne Encephalitis Virus Infection Show Selective Neutralizing Antibody Response and Inflammatory Reaction in the Central Nervous System. *J. Neuroinflamm.* **2013**, *10*, 847. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-2094-10-77) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23805778)
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>31. Lepej, S.Ž.; Mišić-Majerus, L.; Jeren, T.; Rode, O.D.; Remenar, A.; Šporec, V.; Vince, A. Chemokines CXCL10 and CXCL11 in the Cerebrospinal Fluid of Patients with Tick-Borne Encephalitis. *Acta Neurol. Scand.* **2007**, *115*, 109–114. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0404.2006.00726.x)
- 32. Zajkowska, J.; Moniuszko-Malinowska, A.; Pancewicz, S.; Muszyńska-Mazur, A.; Kondrusik, M.; Grygorczuk, S.; Swierzbińska-Pijanowska, R.; Dunaj, J.; Czupryna, P. Evaluation of CXCL10, CXCL11, CXCL12 and CXCL13 Chemokines in Serum and Cerebrospinal Fluid in Patients with Tick Borne Encephalitis (TBE). *Adv. Med. Sci.* **2011**, *56*, 311–317. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2478/v10039-011-0033-z)
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>33. Grygorczuk, S.; Zajkowska, J.; Swierzbińska, R.; Pancewicz, S.; Kondrusik, M.; Hermanowska-Szpakowicz, T. Concentration of the beta-chemokine CCL5 (RANTES) in cerebrospinal fluid in patients with tick-borne encephalitis. *Neurol. Neurochir. Pol.* **2006**, *40*, 106–111. [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16628506)
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>34. Zhang, X.; Zheng, Z.; Liu, X.; Shu, B.; Mao, P.; Bai, B.; Hu, Q.; Luo, M.; Ma, X.; Cui, Z.; et al. Tick-Borne Encephalitis Virus Induces Chemokine RANTES Expression via Activation of IRF-3 Pathway. *J. Neuroinflamm.* **2016**, *13*, 209. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12974-016-0665-9)
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>35. Zheng, Z.; Yang, J.; Jiang, X.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, X.; Li, M.; Zhang, M.; Fu, M.; Hu, K.; Wang, H.; et al. Tick-Borne Encephalitis Virus Nonstructural Protein NS5 Induces RANTES Expression Dependent on the RNA-Dependent RNA Polymerase Activity. *J. Immunol.* **2018**, *201*, 53–68. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1701507) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29760190)
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>36. Mladinich, M.C.; Conde, J.N.; Schutt, W.R.; Sohn, S.-Y.; Mackow, E.R. Blockade of Autocrine CCL5 Responses Inhibits Zika Virus Persistence and Spread in Human Brain Microvascular Endothelial Cells. *mBio* **2021**, *12*, 10–1128. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01962-21) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34399621)
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>37. McKimmie, C.; Michlmayr, D. Role of CXCL10 in Central Nervous System Inflammation. *Int. J. Interferon Cytokine Mediat. Res.* **2014**, *1*, 1–18. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2147/IJICMR.S35953)
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>38. Müller, M.; Carter, S.; Hofer, M.J.; Campbell, I.L. Review: The Chemokine Receptor CXCR3 and Its Ligands CXCL9, CXCL10 and CXCL11 in Neuroimmunity—A Tale of Conflict and Conundrum: CXCR3 and Its Ligands in CNS Inflammation. *Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol.* **2010**, *36*, 368–387. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2990.2010.01089.x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20487305)
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>39. Grygorczuk, S.; Osada, J.; Toczyłowski, K.; Sulik, A.; Czupryna, P.; Moniuszko-Malinowska, A.; Kondrusik, M.; Świerzbińska, R.; Dunaj, J.; Pancewicz, S.; et al. The Lymphocyte Populations and Their Migration into the Central Nervous System in Tick-Borne Encephalitis. *Ticks Tick-Borne Dis.* **2020**, *11*, 101467. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2020.101467) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32723646)
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>40. Bogovič, P.; Lusa, L.; Korva, M.; Pavletič, M.; Resman Rus, K.; Lotrič-Furlan, S.; Avšič-Županc, T.; Strle, K.; Strle, F. Inflammatory Immune Responses in the Pathogenesis of Tick-Borne Encephalitis. *J. Clin. Med.* **2019**, *8*, 731. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm8050731) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31121969)
- <span id="page-11-21"></span>41. Atrasheuskaya, A.V.; Fredeking, T.M.; Ignatyev, G.M. Changes in Immune Parameters and Their Correction in Human Cases of Tick-Borne Encephalitis. *Clin. Exp. Immunol.* **2003**, *131*, 148–154. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2249.2003.02050.x)
- <span id="page-11-22"></span>42. Auroni, T.T.; Arora, K.; Natekar, J.P.; Pathak, H.; Elsharkawy, A.; Kumar, M. The Critical Role of Interleukin-6 in Protection against Neurotropic Flavivirus Infection. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **2023**, *13*, 1275823. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2023.1275823)
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>43. Gagnon, J.; Ramanathan, S.; Leblanc, C.; Cloutier, A.; McDonald, P.P.; Ilangumaran, S. IL-6, in Synergy with IL-7 or IL-15, Stimulates TCR-Independent Proliferation and Functional Differentiation of CD8+ T Lymphocytes. *J. Immunol.* **2008**, *180*, 7958–7968. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.180.12.7958) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18523259)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>44. de Madrid, A.T.; Porterfield, J.S. A Simple Micro-Culture Method for the Study of Group B Arboviruses. *Bull. World Health Organ.* **1969**, *40*, 113–121. [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4183812)
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>45. Livak, K.J.; Schmittgen, T.D. Analysis of Relative Gene Expression Data Using Real-Time Quantitative PCR and the 2−∆∆CT Method. *Methods* **2001**, *25*, 402–408. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11846609)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.