



# *Article* **Fungal Diversity and Gibberellin Hormones Associated with Long Whips of Smut-Infected Sugarcanes**

**Syeda Wajeeha Gillani 1,2,† [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8471-3467) Lixiu Teng 1,2,†, Abdullah Khan <sup>1</sup> [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6229-5890) Yuzhi Xu <sup>1</sup> , Charles A. Powell <sup>3</sup> and Muqing Zhang 1,\***

- <sup>1</sup> Guangxi Key Laboratory of Sugarcane Biology, State Key Laboratory for Conservation and Utilization of Subtropical Agro-Bioresources, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, China; 1817401019@st.gxu.edu.cn (S.W.G.)
- <sup>2</sup> College of Agriculture, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, China
- 3 Indian River Research and Education Center-Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IRREC-IFAS), University of Florida, Fort Pierce, FL 34945, USA
- **\*** Correspondence: 20120129@gxu.edu.cn
- These authors contributed equally to this work.

**Abstract:** Sugarcane smut, caused by the fungus *Sporisorium scitamineum* (Sydow), significantly affects sugarcane crops worldwide. Infected plants develop whip-like structures known as sori. Significant variations in these whip lengths are commonly observed, but the physiological and molecular differences causing these morphological differences remain poorly documented. To address this, we employed conventional microbe isolation, metagenomic, and metabolomic techniques to investigate smut-infected sugarcane stems and whips of varying lengths. Metagenomics analysis revealed a diverse fungal community in the sugarcane whips, with *Sporisorium* and *Fusarium* genera notably present (>1%) in long whips. Isolation techniques confirmed these findings. Ultra-performance liquid chromatography analysis (UHPLC-MS/MS) showed high levels of gibberellin hormones (GA<sub>3</sub>, GA<sub>1</sub>,  $G A_4$ ,  $G A_8$ , and  $G A_7$ ) in long whips, with  $G A_4$  and  $G A_7$  found exclusively in long whips and stems. Among the prominent genera present within long whips, *Fusarium* was solely positively correlated with these gibberellin (GA) hormones, with the exception of  $\mathrm{GA}_8$ , which was positively correlated with *Sporisorium*. KEGG enrichment analysis linked these hormones to pathways like diterpenoid biosynthesis and plant hormone signal transduction. These findings suggest that *Fusarium* may influence GA production leading to whip elongation. Our study reveals fungal dynamics and gibberellin responses in sugarcane smut whips. Future research will explore the related molecular gibberellin synthesis mechanisms.

**Keywords:** metagenomics; metabolomics; fungal community; *Sporisorium scitamineum*; *Candida*; *Fusarium*; differentially accumulated metabolites (DAMs)

# **1. Introduction**

Sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) is a globally significant agricultural ratooning crop [\[1\]](#page-13-0), responsible for 85% of the global sugar production and 40% of bio-energy production [\[2–](#page-13-1)[5\]](#page-13-2). Sugarcane is cultivated on approximately 27 million hectares across 100 countries [\[3](#page-13-3)[,6\]](#page-13-4) with southern China, including Guangxi, Yunnan, and Guangdong Provinces, encompassing over 1.7 million hectares of sugarcane cultivation [\[7\]](#page-13-5).

Sugarcane is susceptible to numerous pathogens during sprouting and ratooning, including *Sporisorium scitamineum* (Sydow) (syn. *Ustilago scitaminea* (Syd.)) which causes smut [\[8](#page-13-6)[,9\]](#page-13-7). This fungus infects and reproduces within sugarcane's meristematic tissues, forming long, black whip-shaped sori containing melanized teliospores ( $\geq$ 10 $^{11}$  spores/cm<sup>2</sup>) from the apical parts of infected stalks  $[8,9]$  $[8,9]$ . The symptoms become evident approximately 120 days after infection, leading to adverse effects such as reduced culm widths, abnormal grass-like growth, and highly fibrous stalks with decreased sugar concentration [\[10](#page-13-8)[,11\]](#page-13-9).



**Citation:** Gillani, S.W.; Teng, L.; Khan, A.; Xu, Y.; Powell, C.A.; Zhang, M. Fungal Diversity and Gibberellin Hormones Associated with Long Whips of Smut-Infected Sugarcanes. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2024**, *25*, 9129. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25169129) [doi.org/10.3390/ijms25169129](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25169129)

Academic Editor: Makoto Kimura

Received: 23 July 2024 Revised: 16 August 2024 Accepted: 19 August 2024 Published: 22 August 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

Reportedly, the impact of smut on meristem processes in sugarcane includes the up-regulation of genes linked to whip formation, substituting regular flowering, such as LNG (longifolia-like gene), VIN3 (vernalization insensitive 3 protein) homologs, COL6 (C2C2-CO- like transcription factor), FT (Flowering Locus T), AP1 (APETALA1), and the production of active gibberellins [\[12\]](#page-13-10). In a study, head smut (*Sporisorium reilianum* [Kuhn] Landon & Fullerton)-infected sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) plants showed reduced height, increased tillering, and lower GA levels, indicating that smut infection disrupted plants' GA biosynthesis [\[13\]](#page-13-11). The same study also reported the quantification of GA1 and GA3 in the culture medium of *S. reilianum* using GC-MS-SIM [\[13\]](#page-13-11). Previous studies also reported that *S. reilianum* infection altered hormones in susceptible maize varieties, with reduced gibberellic acid ( $GA_3$ ) leading to dwarfing [\[14\]](#page-13-12). Plants, fungi, and bacteria all possess significant gibberellin (GA) concentrations, with commercial GA production relying on *Fusarium fujikuroi* (Nirenberg) due to its high yield [\[15\]](#page-13-13). *Fusarium fujikuroi* causes "bakanae" disease in rice, and results in elongated seedlings with chlorotic stems and leaves, leading to sterility and grain loss, and gibberellin production being a key factor in the elongation of infected plants [\[16](#page-13-14)[,17\]](#page-13-15). While gibberellins in plants regulate plant growth, in species of *Fusarium*, such as *F. fujikuroi*, those are secondary metabolites presumed to enhance pathogen virulence [\[18\]](#page-13-16). The  $GA_3$  hormone was observed to promote internode lengthening and phyllody in sorghum plants, resembling a typical sign of sorghum head smut [\[19\]](#page-13-17). Additionally,  $GA_3$  isolated from a fungal medium had been reported to stimulate shoot elongation in sorghum [\[20\]](#page-13-18). Gibberellin-like substances produced by *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* (Penz.) resulted in leaf and pod deformation and promoted host pathogenesis in sorghum [\[21\]](#page-13-19). Symbiotic endophytic fungi (*Penicillium* sp. and *Phoma glomerata* Corda.) producing GAs  $(GA_3, GA_7, GA_1, and GA_4)$  were reported to induce shoot elongation and increase chlorophyll content in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) plants exposed to abiotic stress [\[22\]](#page-13-20). Given that *Sporisorium* causes dwarfing, increases tillering, and alters GA levels in infected plants, it remains unclear whether *Sporisorium* alone is responsible for the variation in whip morphology or if other microbial communities and physiological factors contribute. Therefore, the roles of endophytic fungi and metabolites like gibberellins in smut whip growth require further investigation.

Our study aims to identify endophytic fungi influencing gibberellin production and smut-whip elongation in affected sugarcanes. Therefore, we conducted conventional isolation, microbiome sequencing, and ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC-MS/MS) analyses to: (i) characterize fungal diversity in smut-infected sugarcane whips and stems; (ii) quantify gibberellin levels in infected sugarcane samples; and (iii) determine the relationship between endophytic fungi and gibberellin production in whips, and its potential impact on whip elongation.

#### **2. Results**

Smut infection in sugarcane leads to phyllody and reduced plant height. A key characteristic of smut disease is the formation of whips, with significant variations in length, both exceptionally long and short. These variations are frequently observed during field surveys, suggesting underlying molecular or physiological changes driving these morphological differences. Consequently, this study focused on the possible role of endophytic fungi and gibberellins in causing smut-whip variation in the highly smut-susceptible sugarcane cultivar ROC22. We selected sugarcane stems with long (>100 cm) and short (<50 cm) smut whips, dried them in the shade, and separated them into four sample types: LW (long whips), SW (short whips), LS (long stalks corresponding to long whips), and SS (short stalks corresponding to short whips). Metagenomic and targeted metabolomic analyses were performed on six biological replicates per sample. Endophytic fungi were isolated using potato dextrose agar (PDA) as the growth medium, and fungal DNA was extracted for ITS region amplification and sequencing. High-throughput sequencing of metagenomic DNA was conducted on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform, and operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were identified to assess microbial diversity. Additionally, the GA levels in the

sugarcane samples were quantified using Ultra-performance liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (UHPLC-MS/MS).

of metagenomic DNA was conducted on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform, and opera-

# *2.1. Diversity of Fungal Communities Associated with Sugarcane Whip Lengths 2.1. Diversity of Fungal Communities Associated With Sugarcane Whip Lengths*

A total of 256 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were identified (Table S1), with A total of 256 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were identified (Table S1), with 26 26 OTUs present in all samples (Figure 1A). OTUs present in all sa[mp](#page-2-0)les (Figure 1A).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

**Figure 1.** Venn diagram and community bar plot illustrating fungal community richness in different **Figure 1.** Venn diagram and community bar plot illustrating fungal community richness in different sugarcane samples. (**A**) Venn diagram of shared and unique OTUs among different stalk and whip sugarcane samples. (**A**) Venn diagram of shared and unique OTUs among different stalk and whip samples. (B) Fungal community abundance (%) at the family level. LS: long stem, SS: short stem, LW: long whip, SW: short whip. (C) PCoA analysis at OTU level for smut-containing sugarcane shoot and  $s_{\text{S}}$  and lengths. LS: long stem, SS: short stem, LW: long whip, SW: short whip whip samples of different lengths. LS: long stem, SS: short stem, LW: long whip, SW: short whip.<br>

tively. In contrast, the long (LW) and short (SW) whip samples had 0 and 4 unique OTUs, respectively. These distinct OTUs provide valuable insights into the factors contributing to variations in whip- and stalk lengths. Additionally, the analysis of fungal diversity at the family level, represented in the community bar plot (Figure [1B](#page-2-0)), showed the predominant families and their richness in the studied samples. The endophytic fungal communities in four samples were precisely dominated by *Ustilaginaceae* (56.5%), *Saccharomycetales Incertae* communities in four samples were precisely dominated by *Ustilaginaceae* (56.5%), *Saccha-sedis* (19.5%), *Nectriaceae* (3.8%), *Saccharomycodaceae* (2%), *Rhynchogastremataceae* (1.6%), and *Tremellaceae* (1.1[%\)](#page-2-0) excluding unclassified families (Figure 1). Moreover, the relative abundance of *Ustilaginaceae* was 48% higher in whip samples (LW, 50%; SW, 45%) compared with stalk samples, while that of *Saccharomycetales Incertae sedis* was 21% lower (LW, 25%; SW, 16%). These specific taxa potentially contribute to variations in whip and stem lengths. Among these, 43 and 114 were unique to long (LS) and short (SS) stalk samples, respec-

Alpha diversity indices were calculated to assess fungal diversity across samples (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). Significant differences were observed between stem and whip samples. Short samples (SS, SW) exhibited higher Shannon and Chao indices compared with long samples (LS, LW), with SS having the highest values, except for the Simpson index (Table [1\)](#page-3-0).



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 1.** Alpha diversity indices of long and short smut-infected sugarcane stem and whip samples.

This indicated relatively minor differences in community richness between short and long whips, while short and long stems showed greater disparity, with SS showing the most varied diversity. Beta diversity, identified using PCoA analysis (R = 0.5957, *p* < 0.001), provided insights into fungal community structure similarities and differences across samples (Figure [1C](#page-2-0)). The PCoA plot accounted for 74.2% of the total variation, with PCo1 and PCo2 explaining 52.2% and 21.9%, respectively. Whip samples (LW, SW) were distinct from stem samples (LS, SS), and long and short whips (LW, SW) were closer to each other, indicating similar fungal community structures compared with the long and short stems (LS, SS). This analysis highlights the variations in community structure between sugarcane stem and whip samples (Figure [1C](#page-2-0)).

# <span id="page-3-1"></span>*2.2. Dominant Fungal Genera Associated with Long Stem and Whip*

In the long stem (LS) samples, the dominant genera (>3%) were *Candida*, *Sporisorium*, and *Fusarium*. In the short stem (SS) samples, the dominant genera were *Sporisorium*, *Candida*, *Hanseniaspora*, *Fusarium*, and *Papiliotrema*. Among the whip samples, the dominant genera (>1%) were *Sporisorium* and *Fusarium* in long whips (LWs), and *Sporisorium* and *Candida* in short whips (SWs) (Figure [2\)](#page-3-1).



# **Figure 2.** Pie plot of community composition displaying endophytic fungal genera in different sug-**Figure 2.** Pie plot of community composition displaying endophytic fungal genera in different sugarcane stem and whip samples. (A) Smut-induced sugarcane shoot samples. (B) Smut-induced sugarcane whip samples. LS: long stem, SS: short stem, LW: long whip, SW: short whip. The genera indicated by red boundaries are shared among samples. indicated by red boundaries are shared among samples.

*Candida* was the most prevalent genus (>3%) in both stem samples (LS; SS), with an average abundance of 34.8%. In contrast, *Sporisorium* was prevalent in whip samples (LW; SW), with an average abundance of 92.4% (Figure [2\)](#page-3-1). Moreover, the abundance of the genus *Candida* was 47.9% higher in long stems (LSs) compared with short stems (SSs), while the abundance of the genus *Fusarium*, after *Sporisorium* (smut pathogen), was 3.8% higher in long whips (LWs) compared with short whips (SWs) (Figure [2\)](#page-3-1). Despite the percentage abundance, at the OTU level, *S. scitamineum* was the highestranked OTU cluster (>300,000 sequences), followed by *Candida jarooni* (Kurtzman & Robnett) with >85,000 sequences, and *Fusarium concentricum* (Nirenberg & O'Donnell) with >23,000 sequences across samples, excluding the unclassified species (Table S1). These representative sequences accurately reflect the abundant species within each cluster with over 97% nucleotide similarity. Therefore, these findings emphasize the significance of these genera in the morphological diversity and physiological fluctuations in these stems and whips.

These metagenomic results were further validated by conventionally isolated fungal sequences (Table [2,](#page-4-0) Figures S1 and S2). Abundant isolates of genera including *Sporisorium*, *Fusarium*, and *Sarocladium* were obtained from both long- and short-whip samples, with *F. verticillioides* (Sacc.) distinctly isolated from long (LS; LW) samples (Table [2\)](#page-4-0).

No.	<b>Isolated</b> <b>Fungi Code</b>	<b>PCR</b> Amplicon Number	Type of Sugarcane	<b>Identified Species</b>	Plant Part
1	А	$\overline{2}$	Long	Mucor irregularis	<b>Stem</b>
2	B	4	Long	Fusarium proliferatum	<b>Stem</b>
3	C	5	Long	Fusarium pseudocircinatum	whip
4	D	6	Long	Fusarium sp. DBF13KW4b	Stem
5	E	7	Long	Daldinia eschscholtzii	Stem
6	F	8	Long	Epicoccum sorghinum	Whip
7	G	9	Long	Mucor irregularis	Whip
8	Н	10	Long	Fusarium fujikuroi	Whip
9	Ι	11	Long	Fusarium sp. ASR-126	Whip
10		12	Long	Fusarium verticillioides	Whip
11	K	13	Short	Fusarium sp. ASR-126	Whip
12	L	14	Short	Fusarium fujikuroi	Whip
13	М	15	Short	Fusarium sp. ASR-126	<b>Stem</b>
14	N	16	Short	Fusarium temperatum	<b>Stem</b>
15	Ω	17	Short	Fusarium fujikuroi	<b>Stem</b>
16	P	18	Short	Fusarium proliferatum	<b>Stem</b>
17	Q	19	Short	Sarocladium sp. BAB-5555	<b>Stem</b>
18	$\mathbb{R}$	20	<b>Short</b>	Sporisorium scitamineum	Whip
19	S	21	Short	Mucoromycotina sp.	<b>Stem</b>
20	T	22	Short	Fusarium chlamydosporum	Whip
21	U	23	<b>Positive Control</b>	Sporisorium scitamineum	

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 2.** Endophytic fungi isolated from smut-infected sugarcane stalk and whip samples of varying lengths following PCR amplification and 99% nucleotide similarity results.

# *2.3. Regulation of Gibberellin and Its Association with Fungi and Whip Lengths*

Nine gibberellin hormones were detected and actively regulated in the four tested sample types (LS, SS, LW, SW), each revealing distinct quantitative changes ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) based on the source (Table [3\)](#page-5-0).

Compared with short samples, the concentrations of five DAMs  $(GA_{20}, GA_3, GA_1,$  $GA_4$ ,  $GA_7$ ) were higher in the long samples. Notably,  $GA_3$  and  $GA_1$  were significantly higher in long-stem and long-whip samples (LS, LW), with the highest levels observed in long whips (Table [3\)](#page-5-0). The  $GA_{20}$  content was highest in long stems (LS), while  $GA_4$  and GA<sup>7</sup> were exclusively present in long samples (LS, LW), with the highest concentration in long whips (Table [3\)](#page-5-0). To elucidate the physiological processes linked to these differentially accumulated metabolites (DAMs), Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG)

annotation and pathway enrichment analysis were performed for the comparison groups LS vs. SS and LW vs. SW. These analyses revealed that the differentially accumulated GAs in both groups were predominantly enriched in four pathways, with diterpenoid biosynthesis (ko00904) being the most prominent, followed by the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites (ko01110). Additionally, plant hormone signal transduction (ko04075) was more pronounced in LW vs. SW compared with LS vs. SS (Figure [3A](#page-5-1),B).

Metabolite	Long Stem (LS)	<b>Short Stem (SS)</b>	<b>Short Whip</b> (SW)	Long Whip (LW)
GA20	$0.81 \pm 0.48$ a	$0.14 \pm 0.07$ a	$0.03 \pm 0.02$ a	$0.18 \pm 0.10$ a
GA <sub>1</sub>	$2.58 \pm 1.59$ b	$1.05 + 0.14$ b	$0.72 \pm 0.19$ b	$10.24 \pm 6.96$ a
GA3	$10.10 \pm 9.24$ b	$0.49 + 0.69c$	$0.65 \pm 0.92$ c	34.01 $\pm$ 46.38 a
GAS	0.00	$0.19 + 0.27$	$0.37 + 0.37$ b	$1.77 \pm 1.70$ a
<b>GA19</b>	0.00	$0.54 + 0.77$	$1.51 \pm 0.39$ a	$1.42 \pm 1.02$ a
GA4	$0.003 \pm 0.01$	0.00	0.00	$0.40 + 0.50$
GA7	$0.10 \pm 0.14$	0.00	0.00	$0.54 \pm 0.70$

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 3.** Gibberellin (GA) contents (ng/g) in different smut-infected sugarcane stalk and whip samples.

<span id="page-5-1"></span> $\frac{6.16 \pm 0.11}{0.00 \pm 0.11}$  was more signal to  $\frac{6.00}{0.000}$  was more signal transmutations of the same sample (*p*  $\leq 0.05$ ). procedure in Land in Land with Land and Land with Line and Concentration of the



**Figure 3. (A)** KEGG annotation barplot. **(B)** KEGG enrichment scatter plot of differentially accumulated gibberellins (GAs) in LW vs. SW and LS vs. SS comparison analyses. Higher values indicate lated gibberellins (GAs) in LW vs. SW and LS vs. SS comparison analyses. Higher values indicate greater enrichment and redder points signify higher enrichment significance ( $p < 0.05$ ). (C) Binary heatmap of differentially accumulated GAs across KEGG pathways. LS: Long stem; SS: Short stem; heatmap of differentially accumulated GAs across KEGG pathways. LS: Long stem; SS: Short stem; LW: Long whip; SW: Short whip; Ko: KEGG orthology. LW: Long whip; SW: Short whip; Ko: KEGG orthology.

Among the detected DAMs, six were common to both comparison groups.  $GA<sub>1</sub>$ and  $\rm GA_{4}$  were annotated across all four pathways, whereas  $\rm GA_{8}$  was exclusively linked to diterpenoid biosynthesis. Only two DAMs,  $GA_4$  and  $GA_1$ , were annotated to the plant hormone signal transduction pathway (Figure 3C). [Mo](#page-5-1)reover,  $\text{GA}_{19}$  was found to be non-significant in LW vs. SW and was downregulated in the LS vs. SS comparison group. The regulatory trends of these DAMs are shown in Figure [4.](#page-6-0) Consequently, the diterpenoid biosynthesis and plant hormone signal transduction pathways were further examined. DAMs identified in both LS vs. SS and LW vs. SW comparisons revealed that all six common GAs were associated with the diterpenoid pathway.  $GA_8$  and  $GA_{19}$  were downregulated in long stems compared to short stems, and  $GA_{19}$  was non-significant in long whips compared with short whips (Figure  $4$ ).

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

**Figure 4.** Schematic diagram of KEGG pathways associated with (**A**) diterpenoid biosynthesis **Figure 4.** Schematic diagram of KEGG pathways associated with (**A**) diterpenoid biosynthesis (map00904), and (**B**) plant hormone signal transduction (map04075) between two comparison (map00904), and (**B**) plant hormone signal transduction (map04075) between two comparison groups (LS vs. SS; LW vs. SW) shown by the colored cells. Positive Log2FC (fold change) values indicate indicate GA upregulation, negative indicate downregulation, and zero signifies insignificant. DES: GA upregulation, negative indicate downregulation, and zero signifies insignificant. DES: GA4 GA4 desaturase; TF: Phytochrome-interacting factor 4; LS: Long stem; SS: Short stem; LW: Long desaturase; TF: Phytochrome-interacting factor 4; LS: Long stem; SS: Short stem; LW: Long whip; whip; SW: Short whip. SW: Short whip.

These results also suggest that these physiological variations may be influenced by These results also suggest that these physiological variations may be influenced by factors such as smut infection and the endophytic fungal community structure. The upregulation of gibberellin in long stems and whips during diterpenoid biosynthesis, as shown shown in Figure 4B, led to stem growth and germination. This process could contribute in Figure [4B](#page-6-0), led to stem growth and germination. This process could contribute to the elongation of whip structures emerging from the smut-infected stem tips of sugarcane plants.

The heatmap analysis of  $\overline{GA}$  concentrations with selected fungal genera revealed significant correlations, supporting the previous results (Figure [5\)](#page-7-0).

GA<sub>19</sub> and GA<sub>8</sub> were positively correlated with *Sporisorium*, the smut pathogen, and significantly downregulated in long stems (LS vs. SS). These GAs were negatively correlated with *Candida* and *Sarocladium*, abundant in long stem (LS) samples. Additionally, GA<sub>20</sub> was highest in long-stem samples, showing a positive correlation with *Sarocladium*, followed by *Candida* and *Fusarium*, and a negative correlation with *Sporisorium*. *Fusarium* displayed a distinct trend compared with other dominant genera, being the only genus significantly positively correlated with  $GA_1$ ,  $GA_7$ ,  $GA_3$ , and  $GA_4$  (Figure [5\)](#page-7-0). These GAs were most concentrated in long whips (LWs), followed by long stems.  $GA_4$  and  $GA_7$  were exclusively present in long samples, with the highest levels in long whips.  $GA_1$  and  $GA_4$  were linked to all mentioned pathways and specifically annotated to the plant hormone signal transduction



<span id="page-7-0"></span>pathway. These findings highlight the significant association between endophytic fungi and gibberellin regulation and their impacts on plant physiology.

Sarocladium Candida Fusarium Sporisorium

selected fungal genera and GA concentrations across all samples. The sizes of the squares corresponds selected fungal genera and GA concentrations across all samples. The sizes of the squares correspondence to the magnitudes of the values, which are also displayed within each cell. (\* =  $p$  < 0.05; \*\* =  $p$  < 0.01). **Figure 5.** Heatmap based on Spearman's correlation in combination with cluster analysis among

# **3. Discussion**

The present study employed a combination of conventional isolation techniques and isolation techniques and isolation techniques and is and is and is and is and is an isolation of convention of conventional isolation techni significantly downregulated in long stems (LS vs. SS). These GAs were negatively corre-microbial community analysis to examine the fungal population diversity and the regulation of gibberellin (GA) concentrations in smut-infected sugarcanes with long and short<br>examples. A sample of galaxy and short and whips. The results indicated that long shoot and whip samples (LS, LW) exhibited remark-<br>whips. The results indicated that long shoot and whip samples (LS, LW) exhibited remarkably greater concentrations of  $GA_1$ ,  $GA_3$ ,  $GA_4$ , and  $GA_7$ , with the greatest concentrations due to be a negative correlations of  $GM$ ). Means one *Fusarium* are a line and show due to great in discussed an original compared with  $\theta$  and  $\theta$  whip samples associated with these GAs (GA<sub>1</sub>, GA<sub>3</sub>, GA<sub>4</sub>, and GA<sub>7</sub>). Additionally, *Candida* detected in long whips (LW). Moreover, *Fusarium* was the only abundant genus found in was associated with  $GA_{20}$ , both of which were prevalent in long shoots. Therefore, it can be concluded that the presence of *Fusarium* in long whips was related to the production of GA1,  $GA_3, GA_4$ , and  $GA_7$ .  $GA_1$  and  $GA_4$ , which were involved in all four annotated pathways, and might have contributed to whip elongation through hormone signal transduction.  $GA_4$ and  $GA<sub>7</sub>$  were not detected in short whip or stem samples.

Previous research has revealed that gibberellin promotes plant height in sugarcane through stem elongation [\[23\]](#page-13-21). A separate study reported that *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Gessard) B18-infected sugarcane plants producing  $IAA$ ,  $GA_3$ ,  $ABA$ , and ETH coped with better tolerance to smut pathogen stress [\[24\]](#page-14-0). The present study observed greater gibberellic acid  $(GA_3)$  production in long shoot and long whip samples (LS; LW). However, several studies have revealed that various microbes interacting with plants also produce GAs, which do not regulate host development, but promote infection by inhibiting immunity [\[25](#page-14-1)[,26\]](#page-14-2). These findings support our results regarding the higher gibberellin concentration in long-whip samples. Through community abundance analysis, conventional isolation techniques, and UPLC-MS/MS analysis, we investigated the fungal communities and gibberellin hormones associated with smut whip length elongation in silico.

The present research employed community abundance analysis to identify significant variations in the fungal communities between shoot and whip samples. These variations can be attributed to abiotic factors, multiple taxa, and the host plant, potentially leading to endophyte-mediated plant features in the long run [\[27,](#page-14-3)[28\]](#page-14-4). The prominent fungal genera identified in shoot samples (LS; SS) comprised *Candida*, *Sporisorium*, *Fusarium, Hanseniaspora*, and *Papiliotrema*. Meanwhile, in whip samples (LW; SW), the dominant genera were *Sporisorium*, *Candida*, and *Fusarium* (>1%). In whip samples, *Fusarium* emerged as the most prominent genus after *Sporisorium*, exclusively in long whips, while *Candida* was more prevalent in short whips. Notably, *Fusarium* species were detected in all samples except for short whips, an absence that warrants further investigation in future research focused on short whips. Yeast genera, i.e., *Candida, Hanseniaspora*, and *Papiliotrema*, accounted for 41.84% of the total fungal diversity in shoot samples, which aligns with the previous findings [\[29\]](#page-14-5), with *Candida* being significantly abundant (57.94%) in long-stem (LS) samples. *Candida* species are renowned for their capacity to promote plant development, degrade organic contaminants, and exhibit significant aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase (ACCD) activity, which acts as an ethylene suppressor and a growth promoter for plants [\[30–](#page-14-6)[33\]](#page-14-7). In addition to the community abundance analysis, cultivable endophytic fungi were isolated from the samples using the conventional isolation technique and identified through ITS amplification. Consistent with the present study's findings, several *Fusarium* species, including *F. fujikuroi*, *F. proliferatum*, and *F. verticillioides*, have previously been identified in smut-infected sugarcane whips [\[34–](#page-14-8)[36\]](#page-14-9). *F. concentricum*, a member of the *F. fujikuroi* species complex (FFPC), has been isolated from rice infected with bakanae disease [\[37\]](#page-14-10).

Gibberellins, particularly  $GA_3$ , have been proven to stimulate cell division and elongation at the cellular level [\[38\]](#page-14-11). Moreover, the fungus *Sporisorium* infects plants by undergoing mitotic division of its sori within the infected meristem region [\[39\]](#page-14-12). KEGG enrichment analysis identified six DAMs  $(GA_{20}, Ga_8, Ga_3, GA_1, GA_4, and GA_7)$  in both LS vs. SS and LW vs. SW comparison analyses. All these DAMs, except for  $GA_{20}$  (highest in long stems), had their highest concentrations in long whips and were linked to the diterpenoid biosynthesis pathway.  $G_{8}$  was exclusively annotated to this pathway and positively associated with *Sporisorium*. Terpenoids are specialized metabolites essential for direct defense against biotic and abiotic stresses. Similarly, many monoterpenes possess fungicidal and insecticidal properties [\[40\]](#page-14-13). Therefore, the detection of these DAMs, with the highest concentrations in long whips, along with their role in upregulated diterpenoid biosynthesis, suggests that, after the smut pathogen infiltrated the apical meristem region, the plant's internal defense mechanisms were activated. This response likely involved pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP)-triggered immunity (PTI), which could subsequently be suppressed by pathogen-induced effector-triggered susceptibility (ETS) [\[41\]](#page-14-14).

The higher abundance of the endophytic fungus *Candida* in both long and short shoots (LSs; SSs) and its positive correlation with  $GA_{20}$  suggests that it could be involved in the stimulation of stem growth in sugarcane samples, particularly in long shoots (LSs), which exhibited the highest concentration of  $GA_{20}$ .  $GA_{20}$  is a bioactive gibberellin  $(GA_1, GA_3)$ precursor that promotes cell elongation and increases shoot height [\[42](#page-14-15)[,43\]](#page-14-16). A previous study demonstrated that *Candida tropicalis* HY produced plant growth regulators and stimulated growth in rice seedlings [\[30\]](#page-14-6). *Sporisorium*, the causal agent of smut [\[8\]](#page-13-6), was found in all samples, with higher concentrations observed in whip samples (LW; SW). Previous studies have revealed that *Sporisorium* spp. (*S. reilianum*) stimulates inflorescence and inhibits apical dominance in maize by down-regulating the GA20-oxidase gene. This finding is consistent with our results, suggesting that *S. scitamineum* is somewhat involved in the down-regulation of  $GA_{20}$  in short whips (SW), having the lowest  $GA_{20}$  content, leading to altered plant morphology [\[14\]](#page-13-12).

Several *Fusarium* species are potentially hazardous as they can produce toxins that affect pasture fodder and field agricultural products [\[44\]](#page-14-17). *Fusarium* species also produce a wide range of bioactive secondary metabolites associated with their biosynthetic genes [\[45\]](#page-14-18). For nearly a century, the isolate *F. fujikuroi* MP-C, part of the *Gibberella fujikuroi* (Sawada) species complex, has been recognized for the industrial-scale production of gibberellic acid [\[15,](#page-13-13)[46,](#page-14-19)[47\]](#page-14-20). Moreover, significant GA production has been reported in strains *F. proliferatum* (orchid-strain N1), *F. proliferatum* (KGL0401), and *F. konzum* MP-I (Zeller, Summerell & J.F. Leslie) [\[46,](#page-14-19)[48,](#page-15-0)[49\]](#page-15-1). In this study, secondary metabolite biosynthesis was annotated with five DAMs, among which four showed a significant correlation with *Fusarium*. After *Sporisorium*, *Fusarium* was exclusively detected in long whips (LW), which also exhibited the highest concentrations of  $GA_3$ ,  $GA_1$ ,  $GA_4$ , and  $GA_7$ , among which  $GA_1$  is available in the developing parts of plants, including shoots, leaves, and flowers [\[50](#page-15-2)[,51\]](#page-15-3), while  $GA_4$  is a highly growth-promoting hormone [\[52,](#page-15-4)[53\]](#page-15-5) that acts as a precursor of GA<sub>1</sub>, GA<sub>7</sub>, and GA<sub>3</sub> production [\[54\]](#page-15-6). These findings suggest that *Fusarium* may influence GA regulation in long whips, promoting terpene biosynthesis and upregulating plant hormone signaling pathways, which lead to whip elongation. It is also possible that other endophytes contribute to this process.

Consequently, it can be inferred that the association of  $GAs(GA<sub>1</sub>, GA<sub>7</sub>, and GA<sub>4</sub>)$  with *Fusarium* actively stimulated hormone signal transduction within the shoot meristem area, leading to the elongation of sori and hence, longer whip lengths. These findings provide valuable insights into the role of endophytic fungi in gibberellin hormone regulation and their impact on smut-infected sugarcanes.

#### **4. Materials and Methods**

#### *4.1. Sampling Site and Experiment Design*

This experiment was conducted from 2021 to 2022, with samples collected from the highly smut-susceptible sugarcane cultivar ROC22, planted at the Guangxi University Field Station in Fusui (22◦38′06′′ N, 107◦54′15′′ E), China. Sugarcane stems displaying distinct long and short whips were harvested and shade-dried, followed by meticulous separation of whips from stems, yielding four sample types: LWs (long whips, >100 cm), SWs (short whips, <50 cm), LSs (long stalks corresponding to long whips), and SSs (short stalks corresponding to short whips) (Figure  $6$ ). Both metagenomic and targeted metabolomic analyses were conducted using six biological replicates per sample. All samples were stored at 4 ◦C until further use.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Figure 6. Samples of smut-infected sugarcane stalks with whips of different lengths. (A) Collected sample (intact); (**B**) Separated long and short whip samples; (C) Separated long and short stalks of corresponding whip samples. Red blocks and arrows indicate the part utilized for conventional gal isolation from shoot samples. LW: Long whip; SW: Short whip; LS: Long stem; SS: Short stem. fungal isolation from shoot samples. LW: Long whip; SW: Short whip; LS: Long stem; SS: Short stem.

# *4.2. Conventional Isolation of Endophytic Fungi 4.2. Conventional Isolation of Endophytic Fungi*

Potato dextrose agar (PDA; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was used as the growth medium (Table S2). For fungal isolation from stalk samples, 2 mm stem disks were disinfected with ethanol (30 s) and sterile water (30 s, twice), air-dried, and placed on PDA plates. For whips, smut spores were collected and suspended in 0.01% Tween-20/ddH $_2\rm{O}$  $(v/v, 1:100)$ . A 100 µL suspension was spread on PDA plates [\[55](#page-15-7)[,56\]](#page-15-8). Plates were incubated at 28 ℃ and monitored daily for fungal growth. Distinct colonies were transferred to fresh PDA plates, and single spore cultures were established [\[57\]](#page-15-9). The purity of the cultures was validated by microscopic investigation (Figure S1).

# *4.3. DNA Isolation and ITS Region Amplification*

Genomic DNA from isolated fungal samples was extracted using the Cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) method [\[58\]](#page-15-10). For metagenomic DNA (mDNA), plant samples were crushed in liquid nitrogen and processed with the QIAAmp® DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Shanghai, China). DNA quality was measured with a NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo

Fisher Scientific, Shanghai, China). The extracted DNA was used to amplify the ITS1-ITS2 gene region with universal primers ITS1F (5′ -TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG-3′ ) and ITS4R (5′ -TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3′ ). For metagenomic samples, barcoded ITS1F/ITS4R primers were synthesized. PCR was performed using an ABI GeneAmp Model 9700 thermocycler (details in Tables S3 and S4). *Sporisorium scitamineum* (S201301 and S201302) from Fujian, China, was used as a positive control. Amplicons were subjected to electrophoresis on 2% agarose gel.

# *4.4. ITS Sequencing of Isolated Fungi*

Amplified genomic DNA products were sent to Shanghai Biotech (CN) for ITS sequencing. Sequences were identified using NCBI's BLAST with a 99% similarity threshold. From each set of identical samples, one strain was chosen based on optimal growth rate and nucleotide identity. This method allowed for the selection of diverse strains and insights into the most frequently isolated strains (Figures S1 and S2).

# *4.5. Illumina Sequencing for Metagenomic Analysis*

mDNA PCR products were detected and quantified using the QuantiFluor-ST assay (Promega, Beijing, China). DNA libraries were created with the Illumina TruSeq DNA sample preparation kit and sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform, producing 270 bp paired-end reads (Gene Denovo Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Guangzhou, China). Raw sequences were assembled into clean reads using Fast Length Adjustment of Short reads (FLASH v1.2.11) [\[59\]](#page-15-11) software filtering out reads  $<$  20 bp, those with  $<$ 20 quality in a 10 bp window, and those containing N bases. Paired-end reads with a 10 bp overlap and a maximum mismatch ratio of 0.2 were merged and de novo assembly was conducted using Uparse (version 7.0.1090 [http://drive5.com/uparse/,](http://drive5.com/uparse/) assessed on 10 January 2024). The most abundant sequence in each cluster was selected as the representative sequence. Operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were assigned using QIIME (Quantitative Insights into Microbial Ecology v.1.9.0) software with the UCLUST algorithm and the Greengene database at a 97% similarity threshold. Sequences were mapped to representative sequences, yielding valid sequences for each OTU based on a minimum count of two and 8% prevalence. The OTU table was generated, and the relative abundance of different taxa was calculated for each sample. All sequencing and OTU generation analyses were performed using the Majorbio Cloud Platform (CN; [https://cloud.majorbio.com/,](https://cloud.majorbio.com/) assessed on 2 February 2024).

# *4.6. Alpha and Beta Diversity Analyses*

The Majorbio Cloud Platform (CN; [https://cloud.majorbio.com/,](https://cloud.majorbio.com/) assessed on 2 February 2024) was used for further analysis of detected OTUs. Alpha diversity (Chao, Shannon, and Simpson indices) and beta diversity (principal coordinate analysis; PCoA) were analyzed to investigate OTU richness and diversity. Chao, Shannon, and Simpson indices were calculated using Mothur software (version v.1.30.2, [https://mothur.org/wiki/](https://mothur.org/wiki/calculators/) [calculators/,](https://mothur.org/wiki/calculators/) assessed on 2 February 2024). Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) with the Anosim test and Euclidean algorithm was performed using R software (version 3.3.1, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) [\[60\]](#page-15-12). Venn diagrams of shared OTUs, community richness bar plots, and pie plots were also generated using R software (version 3.3.1) [\[60\]](#page-15-12).

### *4.7. Metabolomic Analysis of Targeted Metabolites*

MetWare Biotechnology Co., Ltd. [\(http://www.metware.cn/;](http://www.metware.cn/) Wuhan, China, assessed on 6 February 2024) conducted the analysis employing their proprietary MS2 spectral tag library (MWDB, Wuhan Meiwei Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Wuhan, China) and public databases for metabolite information. The gibberellin (GAs) concentrations in sugarcane samples (stem: LS, SS; whip: LW, SW) were quantified by UHPLC-MS/MS. Preparation: 50 g of ground sample was mixed with  $10 \mu$ L of isotope-labeled internal standard (conc. 100 ng/mL) and 500 µL of acetonitrile/water (ACN/H2O; 90:10), vortexed, and centrifuged (4  $\degree$ C, 12,000 r/min, 10 min). The collected supernatants were treated with 10 µL of BPTAB and 10 µL of TEA, incubated (1 h, 90 °C), air-dried, redissolved in ACN/H<sub>2</sub>O (100  $\mu$ L; 90:10), filtered, and analyzed via UHPLC-MS/MS (UPLC, ExionLC<sup>™</sup> AD, [/https:](https://sciex.com.cn/) [//sciex.com.cn/,](https://sciex.com.cn/) assessed on 6 February 2024), and GA quantification was performed using the AB Sciex QTRAP 6500 LC-MS/MS platform. Details of the UPLC and LC-MS/MS apparatus are given in Table S5. Scheduled MRM was performed for gibberellins, quantified via Multiquant 3.0.3. The mass spectrometer parameters were optimized with declustering potentials (DPs) and collision energies (CEs). Analysis was controlled by Analyst 1.6.3. The absolute Log2FC (fold change) values were calculated to identify significantly regulated metabolites between groups.

#### *4.8. KEGG Annotation and Enrichment Analysis*

Metabolites with fold changes above 2.0 or below 0.5 (VIP  $\geq$  1) were identified as differentially accumulated metabolites (DAMs). Identified metabolites were annotated using the KEGG compound database [\(http://www.kegg.jp/kegg/compound/,](http://www.kegg.jp/kegg/compound/) assessed on 21 February 2024), and differentially annotated metabolites (DAMs) were then mapped to the KEGG Pathway database [\(http://www.kegg.jp/kegg/pathway.html/,](http://www.kegg.jp/kegg/pathway.html/) assessed on 21 February 2024). Pathways mapped with significantly regulated metabolites were then fed into MSEA (metabolite sets enrichment analysis), and their significance was determined by the hypergeometric test's *p*-values [\[61\]](#page-15-13).

# **5. Conclusions**

In conclusion, this study provides new insights into the fungal dynamics and gibberellin production associated with sugarcane smut. Our analyses revealed a diverse fungal community within the whips of smut-infected sugarcanes, with *Sporisorium* and *Fusarium* genera being prominent, especially in longer whips. Conventional isolation also identified several *Fusarium* isolates from whip samples. UHPLC-MS/MS identified higher levels of gibberellin hormones (GA1, GA4, GA7, and GA3) in these longer whips, with *Fusarium* showing a strong positive correlation with these GAs. KEGG enrichment analysis further linked these hormones to diterpenoid biosynthesis and plant hormone signal transduction pathways. These results suggest that *Fusarium* may significantly contribute to the production of GAs  $(GA_1, GA_4, GA_7, and GA_3)$  and the elongation of sugarcane whips. Other detected endophytes may also contribute to this process. This study lays the groundwork for future investigations into the molecular mechanisms underlying gibberellin synthesis and its regulation in the context of sugarcane smut.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: [https:](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms25169129/s1) [//www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms25169129/s1.](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms25169129/s1)

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, M.Z. and S.W.G.; methodology, S.W.G. and L.T.; software, A.K. and Y.X.; validation, A.K., Y.X. and C.A.P.; formal analysis, S.W.G.; investigation, S.W.G. and L.T.; resources, M.Z.; data curation, A.K.; writing—original draft preparation, S.W.G.; writing—review and editing, M.Z., A.K. and C.A.P.; visualization, M.Z.; supervision, M.Z.; project administration, M.Z.; funding acquisition, M.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This study was supported by the China Agriculture Research System of MOF and MARA (CARS170109) and Guangxi Scientific and Technological Department: Guike AA22117001.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data supporting this study's findings are all provided along with this manuscript.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors express their special gratitude to all the funding sources for the financial assistance. We are grateful to the Guangxi Key Laboratory of Sugarcane Biology for providing the experimental resources.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

# **References**

- <span id="page-13-0"></span>1. Borrás-Hidalgo, O.; Thomma, B.P.; Carmona, E.; Borroto, C.J.; Pujol, M.; Arencibia, A.; Lopez, J. Identification of sugarcane genes induced in disease-resistant some clones upon inoculation with *Ustilago scitaminea* or *Bipolaris sacchari*. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2005**, *43*, 1115–1121. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2005.07.011)
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>2. Chen, Z.; Qin, C.; Wang, M.; Liao, F.; Liao, Q.; Liu, X.; Li, Y.; Lakshmanan, P.; Long, M.; Huang, D. Ethylene-mediated improvement in sucrose accumulation in ripening sugarcane involves increased sink strength. *BMC Plant Biol.* **2019**, *19*, 285. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-019-1882-z) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31253103)
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>3. Huang, J.; Khan, M.T.; Perecin, D.; Coelho, S.T.; Zhang, M. Sugarcane for bioethanol production: Potential of bagasse in Chinese perspective. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2020**, *133*, 110296. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.110296)
- 4. Mohamed, A.M.O.; EL-Araby, S.R.S. Productivity, quality, growth and pathological evaluation of some promising sugarcane genotypes in Egypt. *Alex. J. Agric. Sci.* **2019**, *63*, 353–364. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.21608/alexja.2019.33825)
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>5. Nalayeni, K.; Ashwin, N.M.R.; Barnabas, L.; Vinodhini, T.; Agisha, V.N.; Sundar, A.R.; Malathi, P.; Viswanathan, R. Comparative expression analysis of potential pathogenicity-associated genes of high- and low-virulent *Sporisorium scitamineum* isolates during interaction with sugarcane. *3 Biotech* **2021**, *11*, 353. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-021-02893-7)
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>6. Murphy, R. *Sugarcane: Production Systems, Uses and Economic Importance*; Nova Science Publishers: New York, NY, USA, 2017; pp. 1–286.
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>7. Zu, Q.; Mi, C.; Liu, D.L.; He, L.; Kuang, Z.; Fang, Q.; Ramp, D.; Li, L.; Wang, B.; Chen, Y.; et al. Spatio-temporal distribution of sugarcane potential yields and yield gaps in Southern China. *Eur. J. Agron.* **2017**, *92*, 72–83. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2017.10.005)
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>8. Souza, T.P.; Dias, R.O.; Silva-Filho, M.C. Defense-related proteins involved in sugarcane responses to biotic stress. *Genet. Mol. Biol.* **2017**, *40*, 360–372. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-4685-gmb-2016-0057) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28222203)
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>9. Sundar, A.R.; Barnabas, E.L.; Malathi, P.; Viswanathan, R.; Sundar, A.; Barnabas, E. A mini-review on smut disease of sugarcane caused by *Sporisorium scitamineum*. *Botany* **2012**, *2014*, 226.
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>10. Evaluation of Some Sugarcane Genotypes against Smut Disease Caused by Ustilago Scitaminea Sydow. Available online: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/346625072> (accessed on 11 July 2024).
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>11. Umeda, M.; Sakaigaichi, T.; Tanaka, M.; Tarumoto, Y.; Adachi, K.; Hattori, T.; Hayano, M.; Takahashi, H.; Tamura, Y.; Kimura, T.; et al. Detection of a major QTL related to smut disease resistance inherited from a Japanese wild sugarcane using GRAS-Di technology. *Breed. Sci.* **2021**, *71*, 365–374. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1270/jsbbs.20137)
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>12. Schaker, P.D.C.; Palhares, A.C.; Taniguti, L.M.; Peters, L.P.; Creste, S.; Aitken, K.S.; Van Sluys, M.-A.; Kitajima, J.P.; Vieira, M.L.C.; Monteiro-Vitorello, C.B. RNAseq Transcriptional Profiling following Whip Development in Sugarcane Smut Disease. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0162237. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0162237)
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>13. Matheussen, A.-M.; Morgan, P.W.; Frederiksen, R.A. Implication of Gibberellins in Head Smut (*Sporisorium reilianum*) of *Sorghum bicolor*. *Plant Physiol.* **1991**, *96*, 537–544. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.96.2.537) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16668219)
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>14. Ghareeb, H.; Becker, A.; Iven, T.; Feussner, I.; Schirawski, J. *Sporisorium reilianum* Infection Changes Inflorescence and Branching Architectures of Maize. *Plant Physiol.* **2011**, *156*, 2037–2052. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.179499) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21653782)
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>15. Cen, Y.-K.; Lin, J.-G.; Wang, Y.-L.; Wang, J.-Y.; Liu, Z.-Q.; Zheng, Y.-G. The Gibberellin Producer *Fusarium fujikuroi*: Methods and Technologies in the Current Toolkit. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* **2020**, *8*, 232. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2020.00232)
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>16. Chen, C.Y.; Chen, S.Y.; Liu, C.W.; Wu, D.H.; Kuo, C.C.; Lin, C.C.; Chou, H.P.; Wang, Y.Y.; Tsai, Y.C.; Lai, M.H.; et al. Invasion and colonization pattern of *Fusarium fujikuroi* in rice. *Phytopathology* **2020**, *110*, 1934–1945. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-03-20-0068-R)
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>17. Yabuta, T.; Sumiki, Y. On the crystal of gibberellin, a substance to promote plant growth. *J. Agric. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1938**, *14*, 1526. (In Japanese)
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>18. Wiemann, P.; Sieber, C.M.K.; von Bargen, K.W.; Studt, L.; Niehaus, E.-M.; Espino, J.J.; Huß, K.; Michielse, C.B.; Albermann, S.; Wagner, D.; et al. Deciphering the Cryptic Genome: Genome-wide Analyses of the Rice Pathogen *Fusarium fujikuroi* Reveal Complex Regulation of Secondary Metabolism and Novel Metabolites. *PLoS Pathog.* **2013**, *9*, e1003475. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003475)
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>19. Bhaskaran, S.; Smith, R.H.; Frederiksen, R. Gibberellin A3 reverts floral primordia to vegetative growth in sorghum. *Plant Sci.* **1990**, *71*, 113–118. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-9452(90)90074-X)
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>20. Beall, F.D.; Morgan, P.W.; Mander, L.N.; Miller, F.R.; Babb, K.H. Genetic regulation of development in Sorghum bicolor: V. The ma 3R allele results in gibberellin enrichment. *Plant Physiol.* **1991**, *95*, 116–125. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.95.1.116) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16667937)
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>21. Ghebremariam, D.S.; Groenewald, E.G.; Koch, S.H.; Swart, W.J. The production of gibberellin-like substances by Colleto-trichum gloeosporioides associated with lupin anthracnose. *S. Afr. J. Bot.* **2004**, *70*, 235–240. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0254-6299(15)30240-4)
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>22. Waqas, M.; Khan, A.L.; Kamran, M.; Hamayun, M.; Kang, S.-M.; Kim, Y.-H.; Lee, I.-J. Endophytic Fungi Produce Gibberellins and Indoleacetic Acid and Promotes Host-Plant Growth during Stress. *Molecules* **2012**, *17*, 10754–10773. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules170910754) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22960869)
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>23. Wu, J.; Li, Y.; Wang, A.; Yang, L.; Yang, L.T. Relationship between gibberellin-induced internode elongation and related enzyme activities in sugarcane. *Acta Bot. Boreali-Occident. Sin.* **2010**, *30*, 962–967. (In Chinese)
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>24. Singh, P.; Singh, R.K.; Guo, D.-J.; Sharma, A.; Singh, R.N.; Li, D.-P.; Malviya, M.K.; Song, X.-P.; Lakshmanan, P.; Yang, L.-T.; et al. Whole Genome Analysis of Sugarcane Root-Associated Endophyte *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* B18—A Plant Growth-Promoting Bacterium with Antagonistic Potential against *Sporisorium scitamineum*. *Front. Microbiol.* **2021**, *12*, 628376. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.628376)
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>25. Lu, X.; Hershey, D.M.; Wang, L.; Bogdanove, A.J.; Peters, R.J. An ent-kaurene-derived diterpenoid virulence factor from *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzicola*. *New Phytol.* **2015**, *206*, 295–302. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13187)
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>26. Hedden, P. The Current Status of Research on Gibberellin Biosynthesis. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **2020**, *61*, 1832–1849. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcaa092)
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>27. Agler, M.T.; Ruhe, J.; Kroll, S.; Morhenn, C.; Kim, S.-T.; Weigel, D.; Kemen, E.M. Microbial Hub Taxa Link Host and Abiotic Factors to Plant Microbiome Variation. *PLoS Biol.* **2016**, *14*, e1002352. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002352) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26788878)
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>28. Saari, S.; Lehtonen, P.; Helander, M.; Saikkonen, K. High variation in frequency of infection by endophytes in cultivars of meadow fescue in Finland. *Grass Forage Sci.* **2009**, *64*, 169–176. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2494.2009.00680.x)
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>29. de Souza, R.S.C.; Okura, V.K.; Armanhi, J.S.L.; Jorrín, B.; Lozano, N.; da Silva, M.J.; González-Guerrero, M.; de Araújo, L.M.; Verza, N.C.; Bagheri, H.C.; et al. Unlocking the bacterial and fungal communities assemblages of sugarcane microbiome. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 28774. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28774) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27358031)
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>30. Amprayn, K.-O.; Rose, M.T.; Kecskés, M.; Pereg, L.; Nguyen, H.T.; Kennedy, I.R. Plant growth promoting characteristics of soil yeast (*Candida tropicalis* HY) and its effectiveness for promoting rice growth. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* **2011**, *61*, 295–299. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2011.11.009)
- 31. del Carmen Orozco-Mosqueda, M.; Glick, B.R.; Santoyo, G. ACC deaminase in plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB): An efficient mechanism to counter salt stress in crops. *Microbiol. Res.* **2020**, *235*, 126439. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2020.126439)
- 32. Silambarasan, S.; Vangnai, A.S. Plant-growth promoting Candida sp. AVGB4 with capability of 4-nitroaniline biodegradation under drought stress. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **2017**, *139*, 472–480. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.02.018)
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>33. Zarei, T.; Moradi, A.; Kazemeini, S.A.; Kohnehrouz, B.B.; Akhgar, A.; Rahi, A.A. The role of ACC deaminase producing bacteria in improving sweet corn (*Zea mays* L. var saccharata) productivity under limited availability of irrigation water. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 20361. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-77305-6)
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>34. Alizadeh, A.; Javan-Nikkhah, M.; Salehi Jozani, G.R.; Fotouhifar, K.; Roodbar-Shojaei, T.; Rahjoo, V.; Taherkhani, K. AFLP, pathogenicity and mating type analysis of Iranian *Fusarium proliferatum* isolates recovered from maize, rice, sugarcane and onion. *Mycol. Iran.* **2017**, *4*, 13–28. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.22043/mi.2017.115180)
- 35. Noorabadi, M.T.; Masiello, M.; Taherkhani, K.; Zare, R.; Torbati, M.; Haidukowski, M.; Somma, S.; Logrieco, A.F.; Moretti, A.; Susca, A. Phylogeny and mycotoxin profile of *Fusarium* species isolated from sugarcane in Southern Iran. *Microbiol. Res.* **2021**, *252*, 126855. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2021.126855) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34455360)
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>36. Zainudin, N.I.M.; Razak, A.; Salleh, B. Bakanae Disease of Rice in Malaysia and Indonesia: Etiology of the Causal Agent Based on Morphological, Physiological and Pathogenicity Characteristics. *J. Plant Prot. Res.* **2008**, *48*, 475–485. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2478/v10045-008-0056-z)
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>37. Choi, J.-H.; Lee, S.; Nah, J.-Y.; Kim, H.-K.; Paek, J.-S.; Lee, S.; Ham, H.; Hong, S.K.; Yun, S.-H.; Lee, T. Species composition of and fumonisin production by the *Fusarium fujikuroi* species complex isolated from Korean cereals. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2018**, *267*, 62–69. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2017.12.006)
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>38. Salisbury, F.B.; Ross, C.W. *Plant Physiology*, 4th ed.; Wadsworth: Belmont, CA, USA, 1992; pp. 357–381.
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>39. Marques, J.P.R.; Appezzato-da-Glória, B.; Piepenbring, M.; Massola, N.S., Jr.; Monteiro-Vitorello, C.B.; Vieira, M.L.C. Sug-arcane smut: Shedding light on the development of the whip-shaped sorus. *Ann. Bot.* **2017**, *119*, 815–827. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcw169)
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>40. Ghosh, S.K.; Ishangulyyeva, G.; Erbilgin, N.; Bonello, P. Terpenoids are involved in the expression of systemic-induced resistance in Austrian pine. *Plant Cell Environ.* **2024**, *47*, 2206–2227. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.14875)
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>41. Guo, F.; Meng, J.; Huang, J.; Yang, Y.; Lu, S.; Chen, B. An efficient inoculation method to evaluate virulence differentiation of field strains of sugarcane smut fungus. *Front. Microbiol.* **2024**, *15*, 1355486. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1355486)
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>42. Inthima, P.; Nakano, M.; Otani, M.; Niki, T.; Nishijima, T.; Koshioka, M.; Supaibulwatana, K. Erratum to: Overexpression of the gibberellin 20-oxidase gene from Torenia fournieri resulted in modified trichome formation and terpenoid of metabolites *Artemisia annua* L. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult. (PCTOC)* **2017**, *130*, 689. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-017-1269-5)
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>43. dos Nascimento, J.C.S.; Ribeiro, A.G.; da Cunha, M.N.C.; Herculano, P.N.; de Marques, D.A.V.; Rabello, C.B.V.; Pessoa, R.A.S.; de Filho, J.L.L.; Porto, A.L.F. Single cell protein production by Candida robusta isolated from sugar cane (*Saccharum* sp.) for animal feed: Produção de Biomassa por Candida robusta isolada da Cana-de-açúcar (*Saccharum* sp.) para alimentação animal. *Braz. J. Dev.* **2022**, 56092–56105. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.34117/bjdv8n8-081)
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>44. Pérez-Pizá, M.C.; Striker, G.G.; Stenglein, S.A. Seed-borne diseases in pasture grasses and legumes: State of the art and gaps in knowledge. *J. Plant Dis. Prot.* **2022**, *130*, 225–244. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41348-022-00703-7)
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>45. Bao, Y.; Lin, Z.; Yao, W.; Akbar, S.; Lin, W.; Powell, C.A.; Xu, J.; Zhang, M. Integration of Transcriptomic and Metabolomic Profiles Provides Insights into the Influence of Nitrogen on Secondary Metabolism in *Fusarium sacchari*. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 10832. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms241310832)
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>46. Malonek, S.; Bömke, C.; Bornberg-Bauer, E.; Rojas, M.C.; Hedden, P.; Hopkins, P.; Tudzynski, B. Distribution of gibberellin biosynthetic genes and gibberellin production in the *Gibberella fujikuroi* species complex. *Phytochemistry* **2005**, *66*, 1296–1311. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2005.04.012)
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>47. Hernández Rodríguez, A.; Díaz Pacheco, A.; Martínez Tolibia, S.E.; Melendez Xicohtencatl, Y.; Granados Balbuena, S.Y.; López y López, V.E. Bioprocess of Gibberellic Acid by *Fusarium fujikuroi*: The Challenge of Regulation, Raw Materials, and Product Yields. *J. Fungi* **2024**, *10*, 418. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/jof10060418)
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>48. Tsavkelova, E.A.; Bömke, C.; Netrusov, A.I.; Weiner, J.; Tudzynski, B. Production of gibberellic acids by an orchid-associated *Fusarium proliferatum* strain. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* **2008**, *45*, 1393–1403. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2008.07.011) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18694840)
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>49. *Fusarium proliferatum* KGL0401 as a New Gibberellin-Producing Fungus. Available online: [https://www.researchgate.net/](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/285860527) [publication/285860527](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/285860527) (accessed on 11 July 2024).
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>50. Binenbaum, J.; Weinstain, R.; Shani, E. Gibberellin Localization and Transport in Plants. *Trends Plant Sci.* **2018**, *23*, 410–421. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2018.02.005)
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>51. Silverstone, A.L.; Chang, C.; Krol, E.; Sun, T. Developmental regulation of the gibberellin biosynthetic gene GA1 in Ara-bidopsis thaliana. *Plant J.* **1997**, *12*, 9–19. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313X.1997.12010009.x)
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>52. Kurepin, L.V.; Pharis, R.P.; Reid, D.M.; Chinnappa, C.C. Involvement of gibberellins in the stem elongation of sun and shade ecotypes of *Stellaria longipes* that is induced by low light irradiance. *Plant Cell Environ.* **2006**, *29*, 1319–1328. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2006.01512.x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17080953)
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>53. Vidal, A.M.; Ben-Cheikh, W.; Talón, M.; García-Martínez, J.L. Regulation of gibberellin 20-oxidase gene expression and gibberellin content in citrus by temperature and citrus exocortis viroid. *Planta* **2003**, *217*, 442–448. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-003-0999-2)
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>54. Tudzynski, B. Gibberellin biosynthesis in fungi: Genes, enzymes, evolution, and impact on biotechnology. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2004**, *66*, 597–611. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-004-1805-1)
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>55. Genetic Characterization of Sporisorium Scitamineum Isolates in Sugarcane through RAPD Analysis. Available online: [https:](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341114611) [//www.researchgate.net/publication/341114611](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341114611) (accessed on 11 July 2024).
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>56. Su, Y.; Yang, Y.; Peng, Q.; Zhou, D.; Chen, Y.; Wang, Z.; Xu, L.; Que, Y. Development and application of a rapid and visual loop-mediated isothermal amplification for the detection of *Sporisorium scitamineum* in sugarcane. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 23994. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23994) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27035751)
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>57. Leslie, J.F.; Summerell, B.A. *The Fusarium Laboratory Manual*; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2008.
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>58. Watanabe, M.; Lee, K.; Goto, K.; Kumagai, S.; Sugita-Konishi, Y.; Hara-Kudo, Y. Rapid and effective DNA extraction method with bead grinding for a large amount of fungal DNA. *J. Food Prot.* **2010**, *73*, 1077–1084. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-73.6.1077)
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>59. Magoˇc, T.; Salzberg, S.L. FLASH: Fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* **2011**, *27*, 2957–2963. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21903629)
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>60. R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*; R Foundation for Statistical Computing: Vienna, Austria; Available online: <https://www.R-project.org/> (accessed on 14 January 2024).
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>61. Ogata, H.; Goto, S.; Sato, K.; Fujibuchi, W.; Bono, H.; Kanehisa, M. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2000**, *28*, 29–34. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/27.1.29) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9847135)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.