



Editorial

Techniques and Strategies in Drug Design and Discovery

George Mihai Nitulescu

Faculty of Pharmacy, “Carol Davila” University of Medicine and Pharmacy, 6 Traian Vuia Street,
020956 Bucharest, Romania; george.nitulescu@umfcd.ro

The process of drug discovery constitutes a highly intricate and formidable undertaking, encompassing the identification and advancement of novel therapeutic entities. In recent epochs, the procedural landscape of drug discovery has undergone rapid evolution, incorporating a multitude of paradigms and techniques [1–3]. Nevertheless, the absence of an infallible algorithm for assured success persists. Despite extensive research endeavors, fortuitous discoveries, and the extraordinary exercise of intuition, inspiration, and creativity by researchers, a pivotal role endures for these qualities in the realm of drug discovery, which continues to command substantial interest among the scientific community [4,5]. The imperatives of novelty and ingenuity are indispensable to contend with the prevailing challenge of cost efficiency [6,7].

This Special Issue was focused on providing an extensive and diverse platform for researchers to expound upon their contemporary efforts aimed to refine existing methodologies with the objective of discovering new molecular entities. The thematic objective was directed towards presenting new research and comprehensive reviews that elucidated prevailing practices across all aspects of drug discovery and drug development.

The scaffold-based method in drug design is a strategic approach that focuses on identifying and utilizing bioactive scaffolds associated with specific biological activities as templates for the design of new compounds [8–11]. Tamanova et al. [12] used a scaffold-based approach to devise novel 2,7,9-trisubstituted 8-oxopurines as FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 (FLT3) inhibitors and analyzed their structure–activity relationship studies in their effort to find better solutions for the management of FLT3-positive acute myeloid leukemia [13]. Their findings demonstrated that substituents at positions 7 and 9 exert a modulating effect on the activity between CDK4 and FLT3 kinase, with the isopropyl group at position 7 significantly enhancing selectivity toward FLT3. This enhancement led to the identification of 9-cyclopentyl-7-isopropyl-2-((4-(piperazin-1-yl)phenyl)amino)-7,9-dihydro-8H-purin-8-one. Cellular analyses conducted in MV4-11 cells revealed the inhibition of FLT3 kinase autophosphorylation at nanomolar doses, encompassing the suppression of downstream STAT5 and ERK1/2 phosphorylation. Mechanistic studies in cell lines and activity observed in a mouse xenograft model *in vivo* are also detailed. Stecoza et al. [14] used a similar scaffold-based technique to develop new 1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-amine derivatives as anti-proliferative agents. The use of *in silico* methods like the PASS (Prediction of Activity Spectra for Substances) application [15,16] and docking studies indicated STAT3, Mcl-1, and CDK9 as the most likely mechanisms responsible for the anti-cancer effects. This work supports the importance of the 1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-amine scaffold in anti-cancer design [17,18].

The work of Lu Wang et al. [19] focused on developing a method relatively similar to PASS in order to identify potential drug–target interactions (DTIs). The use of these computational methods can systematically assess and prioritize the most probable targets for a specific drug [20–23], facilitating more focused experimental validation and uncovering new therapeutic uses for existing drugs [24,25]. Their study introduced AMMVF-DTI, an innovative end-to-end deep learning model employing an attention mechanism and multi-view fusion. AMMVF-DTI utilizes a multi-head self-attention mechanism to assess varying



Citation: Nitulescu, G.M. Techniques and Strategies in Drug Design and Discovery. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2024**, *25*, 1364. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25031364>

Received: 9 January 2024

Accepted: 18 January 2024

Published: 23 January 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

degrees of interaction between drugs and target proteins. It extracts interactive features from both node-level and graph-level embeddings, enhancing DTI modeling by incorporating local and global structures. Compared to state-of-the-art methods, AMMVF-DTI exhibits exceptional performance on human, *C. elegans*, and DrugBank baseline datasets. Deep learning techniques based on self-attention have shown promising applications in drug design [26–28] and were also used by the team of Ghemire et al. [29] to predict drug–target affinity (DTA). In the initial phases of drug development, the accurate prediction of drug–target affinity assumes paramount significance. This study introduced a model named Convolutional Self-Attention for Drug–Target Affinity (CSatDTA), employing convolution-based self-attention mechanisms on molecular drug and target sequences. CSatDTA demonstrated enhanced effectiveness in predicting DTA compared to previous convolution methods, which are constrained by notable limitations in this context. Unlike conventional convolutional neural networks (CNNs) that focus on specific information regions [30], thereby missing comprehensive details, CSatDTA integrates self-attention, a recent technique adept at capturing long-range interactions [31]. Comparative experiments underscore CSatDTA's superiority over preceding sequence-based approaches, affirming its exceptional retention capabilities [29].

The review of Mercurio et al. [32] is based on another important strategy of drug design, the target-based approach [33,34]. Sam (Sterile alpha motif) domains are versatile protein binding modules found in various organisms. The EphA2 receptor's C-terminal Sam domain (EphA2-Sam) interacts with regulators like Ship2 and Odin, influencing receptor stability. Ship2 promotes pro-oncogenic outcomes by reducing EphA2 endocytosis, while Odin hinders ubiquitination, contributing to stability. With EphA2 overexpression in tumors, inhibiting Sam–Sam interactions via peptides could be an anti-cancer strategy. The review explored the structural–functional aspects of EphA2-Sam and its interactome, summarizing design strategies for peptides targeting these interactions and arguing that efforts are needed to enhance peptide affinities toward Sam domains in order to increase their anti-cancer potential [32].

The articles published in this Special Issue present the successful use of diverse strategies of drug design, integrating scaffold-based, deep learning, and target-based approaches. Each method contributes unique insights and advancements, emphasizing the continual need for innovation and refinement in the pursuit of effective and targeted drug development.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Singh, N.; Vayer, P.; Tanwar, S.; Poyet, J.-L.; Tsaioun, K.; Villoutreix, B.O. Drug Discovery and Development: Introduction to the General Public and Patient Groups. *Front. Drug Discov.* **2023**, *3*, 1201419. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Bender, A.; Cortés-Ciriano, I. Artificial Intelligence in Drug Discovery: What Is Realistic, What Are Illusions? Part 1: Ways to Make an Impact, and Why We Are Not There Yet. *Drug Discov. Today* **2021**, *26*, 511–524. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Bender, A.; Cortes-Ciriano, I. Artificial Intelligence in Drug Discovery: What Is Realistic, What Are Illusions? Part 2: A Discussion of Chemical and Biological Data. *Drug Discov. Today* **2021**, *26*, 1040–1052. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Paul, D.; Sanap, G.; Shenoy, S.; Kalyane, D.; Kalia, K.; Tekade, R.K. Artificial Intelligence in Drug Discovery and Development. *Drug Discov. Today* **2021**, *26*, 80–93. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Surur, A.S.; Fekadu, A.; Makonnen, E.; Hailu, A. Challenges and Opportunities for Drug Discovery in Developing Countries: The Example of Cutaneous Leishmaniasis. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* **2020**, *11*, 2058–2062. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Vijayan, R.S.K.; Kihlberg, J.; Cross, J.B.; Poongavanam, V. Enhancing Preclinical Drug Discovery with Artificial Intelligence. *Drug Discov. Today* **2022**, *27*, 967–984. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Dimasi, J.A. Research and Development Costs of New Drugs. *JAMA J. Am. Med. Assoc.* **2020**, *324*, 516–517. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Welsch, M.E.; Snyder, S.A.; Stockwell, B.R. Privileged Scaffolds for Library Design and Drug Discovery. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **2010**, *14*, 347–361. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Li, Y.; Hu, J.; Wang, Y.; Zhou, J.; Zhang, L.; Liu, Z. DeepScaffold: A Comprehensive Tool for Scaffold-Based de Novo Drug Discovery Using Deep Learning. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **2020**, *60*, 77–91. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Davison, E.K.; Brimble, M.A. Natural Product Derived Privileged Scaffolds in Drug Discovery. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **2019**, *52*, 1–8. [[CrossRef](#)]

11. Langdon, S.R.; Brown, N.; Blagg, J. Scaffold Diversity of Exemplified Medicinal Chemistry Space. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **2011**, *51*, 2174–2185. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Tomanová, M.; Kozlanská, K.; Jorda, R.; Jedinák, L.; Havlíková, T.; Řezníčková, E.; Peřina, M.; Klener, P.; Dolníková, A.; Cankař, P.; et al. Synthesis and Structural Optimization of 2,7,9-Trisubstituted Purin-8-Ones as FLT3-ITD Inhibitors. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 16169. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Zhao, J.C.; Agarwal, S.; Ahmad, H.; Amin, K.; Bewersdorf, J.P.; Zeidan, A.M. A Review of FLT3 Inhibitors in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *Blood Rev.* **2022**, *52*, 100905. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Stecoza, C.E.; Nitulescu, G.M.; Draghici, C.; Caproiu, M.T.; Hanganu, A.; Olaru, O.T.; Mihai, D.P.; Bostan, M.; Mihaila, M. Synthesis of 1,3,4-Thiadiazole Derivatives and Their Anticancer Evaluation. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 17476. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Filimonov, D.A.; Lagunin, A.A.; Glorizova, T.A.; Rudik, A.V.; Druzhilovskii, D.S.; Pogodin, P.V.; Poroikov, V.V. Prediction of the Biological Activity Spectra of Organic Compounds Using the Pass Online Web Resource. *Chem. Heterocycl. Compd.* **2014**, *50*, 444–457. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Parasuraman, S. Prediction of Activity Spectra for Substances. *J. Pharmacol. Pharmacother.* **2011**, *2*, 52–53. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
17. Lu, Y.; Sun, H. Progress in the Development of Small Molecular Inhibitors of Focal Adhesion Kinase (FAK). *J. Med. Chem.* **2020**, *63*, 14382–14403. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Altıntop, M.D.; Ciftci, H.I.; Radwan, M.O.; Sever, B.; Kaplançlı, Z.A.; Ali, T.F.S.; Koga, R.; Fujita, M.; Otsuka, M.; Zdemir, A. Design, Synthesis, and Biological Evaluation of Novel 1,3,4-Thiadiazole Derivatives as Potential Antitumor Agents against Chronic Myelogenous Leukemia: Striking Effect of Nitrothiazole Moiety. *Molecules* **2018**, *23*, 59. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Wang, L.; Zhou, Y.; Chen, Q. AMMVF-DTI: A Novel Model Predicting Drug–Target Interactions Based on Attention Mechanism and Multi-View Fusion. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 14142. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Zhou, L.; Wang, Y.; Peng, L.; Li, Z.; Luo, X. Identifying Potential Drug–Target Interactions Based on Ensemble Deep Learning. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* **2023**, *15*, 1176400. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Luo, H.; Li, M.; Yang, M.; Wu, F.X.; Li, Y.; Wang, J. Biomedical Data and Computational Models for Drug Repositioning: A Comprehensive Review. *Brief. Bioinform.* **2021**, *22*, 1604–1619. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
22. Yaseen, B.T.; Kurnaz, S. Drug–Target Interaction Prediction Using Artificial Intelligence. *Appl. Nanosci.* **2023**, *13*, 3335–3345. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Hu, S.; Zhang, C.; Chen, P.; Gu, P.; Zhang, J.; Wang, B. Predicting Drug–Target Interactions from Drug Structure and Protein Sequence Using Novel Convolutional Neural Networks. *BMC Bioinformatics* **2019**, *20*, 689. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Roessler, H.I.; Knoers, N.V.A.M.; van Haelst, M.M.; van Haafden, G. Drug Repurposing for Rare Diseases. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* **2021**, *42*, 255–267. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. Schuler, J.; Falls, Z.; Mangione, W.; Hudson, M.L.; Bruggemann, L.; Samudrala, R. Evaluating the Performance of Drug–Repurposing Technologies. *Drug Discov. Today* **2022**, *27*, 49–64. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Kim, H.; Nam, H. HERG-Att: Self-Attention-Based Deep Neural Network for Predicting HERG Blockers. *Comput. Biol. Chem.* **2020**, *87*, 107286. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Chen, H.; Li, D.; Liao, J.; Wei, L.; Wei, L. MultiscaleDTA: A Multiscale-Based Method with a Self-Attention Mechanism for Drug–Target Binding Affinity Prediction. *Methods* **2022**, *207*, 103–109. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Askr, H.; Elgeldawi, E.; Aboul Ella, H.; Elshaier, Y.A.M.M.; Gomaa, M.M.; Hassanien, A.E. Deep Learning in Drug Discovery: An Integrative Review and Future Challenges. *Artif. Intell. Rev.* **2023**, *56*, 5975–6037. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Ghimire, A.; Tayara, H.; Xuan, Z.; Chong, K.T. CSatDTA: Prediction of Drug–Target Binding Affinity Using Convolution Model with Self-Attention. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 8453. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Taye, M.M. Theoretical Understanding of Convolutional Neural Network: Concepts, Architectures, Applications, Future Directions. *Computation* **2023**, *11*, 52. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Agyemang, B.; Wu, W.P.; Kpiebaareh, M.Y.; Lei, Z.; Nanor, E.; Chen, L. Multi-View Self-Attention for Interpretable Drug–Target Interaction Prediction. *J. Biomed. Inform.* **2020**, *110*, 103547. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
32. Mercurio, F.A.; Vincenzi, M.; Leone, M. Hunting for Novel Routes in Anticancer Drug Discovery: Peptides against Sam-Sam Interactions. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 10397. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
33. Sadri, A. Is Target-Based Drug Discovery Efficient? Discovery and “Off-Target” Mechanisms of All Drugs. *J. Med. Chem.* **2023**, *66*, 12651–12677. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
34. Emmerich, C.H.; Gamboa, L.M.; Hofmann, M.C.J.; Bonin-Andresen, M.; Arbach, O.; Schendel, P.; Gerlach, B.; Hempel, K.; Bespalov, A.; Dirnagl, U.; et al. Improving Target Assessment in Biomedical Research: The GOT-IT Recommendations. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **2021**, *20*, 64–81. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.