



# *Article* **Arsenic Impairs Wound Healing Processes in Dermal Fibroblasts and Mice**

**Sara R. Dresler <sup>1</sup> [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2668-168X) Bronson I. Pinto <sup>1</sup> , Matthew C. Salanga <sup>1</sup> [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1565-5830) Catherine R. Propper <sup>1</sup> , Savannah R. Berry <sup>1</sup> and Robert S. Kellar 1,2,\***

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ 86011, USA; srd248@nau.edu (S.R.D.); bip5@nau.edu (B.I.P.); matthew.salanga@nau.edu (M.C.S.); catherine.propper@nau.edu (C.R.P.); srb364@nau.edu (S.R.B.)
- <sup>2</sup> Center for Materials Interfaces in Research & Applications, ¡MIRA!, Flagstaff, AZ 86011, USA
- **\*** Correspondence: robert.kellar@nau.edu

**Abstract:** Inorganic arsenic (NaAsO<sub>2</sub>) is a naturally occurring metalloid found in water resources globally and in the United States at concentrations exceeding the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Maximum Contamination Level of 10 ppb. While exposure to arsenic has been linked to cancer, cardiovascular disease, and skin lesions, the impact of arsenic exposure on wound healing is not fully understood. Cultured dermal fibroblasts exposed to NaAsO<sub>2</sub> displayed reduced migration (scratch closure), proliferation, and viability with a lowest observable effect level (LOEL) of 10 µM NaAsO<sup>2</sup> following 24 h exposure. An enrichment of Matrix Metalloproteinase 1 (*MMP1*) transcripts was observed at a LOEL of 1 μM NaAsO<sub>2</sub> and 24 h exposure. In vivo, C57BL/6 mice were exposed to 10  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub> in their drinking water for eight weeks, then subjected to two full thickness dorsal wounds. Wounds were evaluated for closure after 6 days. Female mice displayed a significant reduction in wound closure and higher erythema levels, while males showed no effects. Gene expression analysis from skin excised from the wound site revealed significant enrichment in Arsenic 3-Methyltransferase (*As3mt)* and Estrogen Receptor 2 (*Esr2)* mRNA in the skin of female mice. These results indicate that arsenic at environmentally relevant concentrations may negatively impact wound healing processes in a sex-specific manner.

**Keywords:** *MMP1*; *As3mt*; scratch assay; environmental contaminants; endocrine disruption

#### **1. Introduction**

Arsenic is a well-documented environmental human health hazard, with chronic ingestion of contaminated drinking water being the most prevalent route of exposure [\[1–](#page-12-0)[4\]](#page-12-1). In 2000, approximately 50 million Bangladesh citizens were exposed to high levels of arsenic by way of contaminated drinking water, which was considered the largest population-level poisoning in history [\[5\]](#page-12-2). As a result of large-scale contaminations, such as happened in Bangladesh, the World Health Organization (WHO) and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) established a maximum contaminant level (MCL) in drinking water of 10  $\mu$ g/L (10 ppb) in 2003, which was lowered from the previous MCL of  $50 \mu g/L [6,7]$  $50 \mu g/L [6,7]$  $50 \mu g/L [6,7]$ .

Although the MCL was lowered in 2003, many water resources in the USA still exceed 10 ppb. In the southwest United States, numerous groundwater, well water, and springs have been documented with concerning levels of arsenic [\[8\]](#page-13-2). For example, in Verde Valley, AZ, Montezuma Well contains 210  $\mu$ g/L (210 ppb) of arsenic [\[9\]](#page-13-3), groundwater around the Verde River, AZ, contains  $16 \mu g/L$  (16 ppb) of arsenic on average, with peak values reaching 1.3 mg/L (1.3 ppm) [\[10\]](#page-13-4). Notably, many wells in the Verde Valley exceed 50  $\mu$ g/L (50 ppb) [\[11\]](#page-13-5). Similar exceedances occur across Arizona [\[12\]](#page-13-6).

While exposure to arsenic at or below the MCL is considered safe by some authorities, studies have shown detrimental results from chronic arsenic exposure below the



**Citation:** Dresler, S.R.; Pinto, B.I.; Salanga, M.C.; Propper, C.R.; Berry, S.R.; Kellar, R.S. Arsenic Impairs Wound Healing Processes in Dermal Fibroblasts and Mice. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2024**, *25*, 2161. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25042161) [10.3390/ijms25042161](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25042161)

Academic Editor: Bijan Safai

Received: 5 December 2023 Revised: 3 February 2024 Accepted: 7 February 2024 Published: 10 February 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

MCL, including prostate cancer [\[13\]](#page-13-7), diabetes [\[14–](#page-13-8)[16\]](#page-13-9), cardiovascular diseases [\[17](#page-13-10)[–19\]](#page-13-11), and disrupted microbiomes in non-human organisms such as zebrafish [\[20\]](#page-13-12). A 2010 review described arsenic-induced shifts in the expression of genes responsible for numerous cellular processes, including oxidative stress, inflammation, proteotoxicity, proliferation, DNA repair, cell cycle control, and apoptosis [\[21\]](#page-13-13). Wound healing requires the orchestration of several of these processes and, therefore, could be at risk for disruption from arsenic exposure.

Exposure to very high concentrations of arsenic, such as those found in the Bangladesh disaster, increases the risk of dermal skin lesions, leaving chronically exposed populations at risk for subsequent co-morbidities associated with open skin wounds [\[22–](#page-13-14)[24\]](#page-13-15). Moreover, arsenic impairs dermal fibroblast viability [\[25\]](#page-13-16) and functions such as cellular migration, and proliferation, which are key components in wound closure [\[26](#page-13-17)[,27\]](#page-13-18). These studies suggest that arsenic disrupts skin homeostasis, which may lead to poor wound healing outcomes, and places chronically exposed populations at higher risk for infections in healing wounds.

Toxic metalloids, such as arsenic, have the potential to interact with endogenous hormones and can disrupt cellular signaling. Hormonal influences, especially estrogen, are imperative to the proper healing of wounds [\[28\]](#page-13-19). Estrogen prohibits excessive recruitment of neutrophils to the wound site post-wound bed clearance [\[29\]](#page-13-20) in an anti-inflammatory role that contributes to normal wound healing. Arsenic has been shown to bind to estrogen [\[30\]](#page-13-21), potentially limiting its availability at the receptor, and low dose exposure to arsenic inhibits estrogen-regulated gene expression both in vitro and in vivo [\[31\]](#page-13-22). These studies suggest that arsenic exposure may inhibit the estrogenic effects on wound healing and impart a greater risk of developing chronic wounds. While the effects of estrogen on arsenicexposed dermal fibroblasts have been described previously [\[26\]](#page-13-17), the effects of these complex interactions have not been studied in vivo, which is imperative to understanding how wound healing can be influenced.

In the current studies, the effects of environmentally relevant concentrations of sodium arsenite (NaAsO<sub>2</sub>) on dermal fibroblast scratch closure, metabolic activity, viability, and gene expression were evaluated. In addition, the impacts of  $NaAsO<sub>2</sub>$  exposure on wound healing components, including wound closure, wound erythema, and gene expression were investigated in vivo using a murine full-thickness wound model. These studies unmask the detriments of  $NaAsO<sub>2</sub>$  on wound healing functions in both in vitro and in vivo models.

#### **2. Results**

#### *2.1. NaAsO<sup>2</sup> Exposure Impairs Scratch Closure and Upregulates MMP1 in Cultured Dermal Fibroblasts*

Arsenic slowed scratch closure in human dermal fibroblasts in both 24 and 72 h exposures. While a 24 h exposure to 1  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub> prior to scratching had little effect on scratch closure, 24 h exposure to 10  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub> prior to scratching significantly decreased scratch closure compared to control, and a LOEL was determined ( $n = 21$ ,  $F = 53.58$ ,  $p$  < 0.001; Figure [1A](#page-2-0),B). A 72 h exposure to 1  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub> prior to scratching decreased scratch closure, although not significantly, while a 72 h exposure to 10  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub> was cytotoxic (*n* = 12, F = 2.51, *p* = 0.0512; Figure [1C](#page-2-0),D).

Human dermal fibroblasts exposed to 1 and 10  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub> in the scratch assay additionally displayed an upregulation of *MMP1* expression at 24 h  $(n = 4, F = 12.1, F = 12.1)$  $p < 0.001$ ). A 24 h exposure to 1  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub> resulted in a 2.14-fold upregulation of *MMP1* compared to control (Tukey's,  $p < 0.05$ ), and a 24 h exposure to 10  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub> led to a 5.4-fold upregulation of *MMP1* compared to control (ANOVA,  $n = 4$ ,  $F = 12.1$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; Figure [2A](#page-2-1)). A 72 h exposure to 1  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub> resulted in a 5.56-fold upregulation of *MMP1* compared to control, while a 72 h exposure to 10  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub> was cytotoxic (Tukey's, *p* < 0.05; Figure [2B](#page-2-1)).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 1. NaAsO<sub>2</sub> exposure for 24 h and 72 h and its effects on scratch closure. (A) Quantification of dermal fibroblast migration in the scratch assay following NaAsO<sub>2</sub> exposure for 24 h. Mean area under the curve (AUC) values for each treatment group are represented  $+/-$  SEM ( $n = 21$ , F = 53.58,  $p < 0.001$ ). (B) Light micrographs of cells from the 24 h arsenic exposure scratch assay over a 20 h period. (**C**) Quantification of dermal fibroblast migration in the scratch assay following NaAsO<sup>2</sup> period. (C) Quantification of dermal fibroblast migration in the scratch assay following  $NaAsO<sub>2</sub>$ exposure for 72 h. Mean AUC values for each treatment group are represented  $+/-$  SEM ( $n = 12$ , F = 2.51,  $p$  = 0.0512). (D) Light micrographs of cells from the 72 h arsenic exposure scratch assay over a 20 h period. 72 pre-scratch exposure to 10 µM arsenic killed all cells thus no images were taken. \* Statistically significant values (*p* < 0.05) compared to control (Tukey's post hoc test).

<span id="page-2-1"></span>

of 0, 0.1, 1, and 10 µM NaAsO<sub>2</sub> on *MMP1* gene expression in dermal fibroblasts collected after 24 h in the scratch closure assay ( $n = 4$ , F = 12.1,  $p < 0.001$ ). (B) Effect of 0, 0.1, 0.5, and 1  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub> **Figure 2.** Relative gene expression of *MMP1* in dermal fibroblasts exposed to NaAsO<sub>2</sub>. (**A**) Effect on *MMP1* gene expression in dermal fibroblasts collected after 72 h in the scratch closure assay (*n* = 4, F = 12.1, *p* < 0.001). Individual data points represent fold changes per replicate +/− SEM. \* Statistically significant values (*p* < 0.05) compared to control (Tukey's post hoc test).

#### *2.2. Exposure to NaAsO<sup>2</sup> in Dermal Fibroblasts Alters Cell Morphology and Slows Proliferation*

adherent and displayed spindle-like morphology with lamellipodial and filopodial extensions protruding outward from the cell body, indicative of a 'normal' cell. In contrast, the Arsenic exposure affected overall cellular appearance and growth of fibroblasts in a dose-dependent pattern. Control and  $0.1 \mu M$  NaAsO<sub>2</sub>-exposed fibroblasts remained  $0.5$ , 1, and 10  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub>-treated cells appeared rounded in shape and were frequently observed suspended in the media indicating a loss of adherence (Figure [3A](#page-3-0)). Cell counting observed suspended in the media indicating a loss of adherence (Figure 3A). Cell counting  $r_{\text{c}}$  revealed lower population numbers after 48 h in the presence of  $10 \mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub>-treatment  $(n = 4, F = 12.86, p < 0.001)$ , with reduced growth appearing at 72 h of exposure with 1  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub> ( $n = 4$ ,  $F = 26.81$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ; Figure [3B](#page-3-0)). By 96 h, reduced cell growth was observed in the 0.5  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub>-treatment (*n* = 4, F = 25.01, *p* < 0.01; Figure [3B](#page-3-0)). After 96 h of growth, the 0.5, 1, and 10  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub> treatments significantly slowed cellular proliferation with average cell counts of 55,527, 30,895, and 417, respectively, compared to control. After 144 h of growth, cellular proliferation contributed no further changes or return to baseline.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

B



(A) Light micrographs of cells exposed to NaAsO<sub>2</sub> for 96 h. (B) Effect of arsenic exposure on dermal fibroblast proliferation over a 96 h period. Mean cell counts are represented for each day and fibroblast proliferation over a 96 h period. Mean cell counts are represented for each day and treattreatment group  $+/-$  SEM (*n* = 4). \* Statistically significant values (*p* < 0.05) compared to control (Tukey's post hoc test). Figure 3. NaAsO<sub>2</sub> exposure over a 96 h time period and its effects on dermal fibroblast proliferation.

#### *2.3. NaAsO2 Exposure for 24 h at the Time of Scratch Reduces Cell Metabolism and Alters DNA DNA Quantity 2.3. NaAsO<sup>2</sup> Exposure for 24 h at the Time of Scratch Reduces Cell Metabolism and Alters*

While PrestoBlue is an indicator of viable DNA of all the cells in any one well, which under conditions of cell stress, such as occurs during exposure to toxicants, may not be a true measure of cell viability. Therefore, we used a combination of the PrestoBlue assay and the CyQuant assay, which is used as a proliferation assay for determining metabolic activity, and the combination of PrestoBlue and CyQuant as a measure of metabolism/living DNA to represent a cell metabolic index. Fibroblasts exposed to  $NaAsO<sub>2</sub>$  for 24 h displayed a dose-dependent decrease in metabolism measured by PrestoBlue as treatment doses increased (*n* = 6, F = 29.19, *p* < 0.001, Tukey's, *p* < 0.05; Figure [4A](#page-4-0)). While a 24 h exposure to NaAsO<sub>2</sub> reduced metabolism, NaAsO<sub>2</sub> treatment induced a non-monotonic dose response



<span id="page-4-0"></span>with increased proliferation at 0.1  $\mu$ M, no effect at 1  $\mu$ M, and decreased proliferation at higher doses compared to the control  $(n = 6, F = 131.9, p < 0.001$ ; Tukey's,  $p < 0.05$ ; Figure [4B](#page-4-0)).

**Figure 4.** NaAsO2 exposure for 24 h and 72 h and its effects on metabolic activity, viability, and metabolic ratio (value of PrestBlue:CyQuant) (**A**) Effect of 24 h NaAsO<sub>2</sub> exposure on metabolic across the present tivity. Mean RFU values for each treatment group are represented +/− SEM (*n* = 6, F = 29.19, *p* < activity. Mean RFU values for each treatment group are represented +/− SEM (*n* = 6, F = 29.19,  $p < 0.001$ ). (**B**) Effect of 24 h NaAsO<sub>2</sub> exposure on DNA content. Mean RFU values for each treatment group are represented  $+/-$  SEM ( $n = 6$ , F = 131.9,  $p < 0.001$ ). (C) Effect of 24 h NaAsO<sub>2</sub> exposure on metabolic output per unit of viable DNA. The ratio of RFU values for each treatment group are represented +/ - SEM ( $n = 6$ , F = 7.58,  $p < 0.001$ ). (D) Effect of 72 h NaAsO<sub>2</sub> exposure on metabolic activity. Mean RFU values for each treatment group are represented +/− SEM (*n* = 10, F = 2040.7, *p* < activity. Mean RFU values for each treatment group are represented +/− SEM (*n* = 10, F = 2040.7, p < 0.001). (E) Effect of 72 h NaAsO<sub>2</sub> exposure on DNA content. Mean RFU values for each treatment group are represented  $+/-$  SEM ( $n = 10$ , F = 2735.1,  $p < 0.001$ ). (F) Effect of 72 h NaAsO<sub>2</sub> exposure on  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$  metabolic output per unit DNA. The ratio of RFU values for each treatment group are represented. metabolic output per unit DNA. The ratio of RFU values for each treatment group are represented to control and the ratio of RFU values for each treatment group are represented +/ − SEM (*n* = 10, F = 19.6, *p* < 0.001). \* Statistically significant values (*p* < 0.05) compared to control Figure 4. NaAsO<sub>2</sub> exposure for 24 h and 72 h and its effects on metabolic activity, viability, and (Tukey's post hoc test).

### *2.4. Exposure to NaAsO2 for 72 h Reduces Cell Metabolism and Alters DNA Quantity as a 2.4. Exposure to NaAsO<sup>2</sup> for 72 h Reduces Cell Metabolism and Alters DNA Quantity as a Measure of Viability Measure of Viability*

Fibroblasts exposed to NaAsO<sub>2</sub> for 72 h displayed changes in cell metabolism measured by PrestoBlue at all treatment doses ( $n = 10$ , F = 2040.7,  $p < 0.001$ ; Figure [4D](#page-4-0); Tukey's,  $p < 0.05$ ). There was a dose-dependent decrease in viability with increasing NaAsO<sub>2</sub> concentrations beginning at 1  $\mu$ M (Tukey's,  $p < 0.05$ ; Fi[gu](#page-4-0)re 4D). However, there was an increase in viability in cells exposed to 0.1  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub> (Tukey's,  $p < 0.05$ ; [Fig](#page-4-0)ure 4D).

Fibroblasts exposed to  $NaAsO<sub>2</sub>$  for 72 h displayed changes in proliferation measured by CyQuant ( $n = 10$ , F = 2735.1,  $p < 0.001$ ; Figure [4E](#page-4-0)). This exposure to NaAsO<sub>2</sub> for 72 h resulted in a dose-dependent decrease in proliferation with increasing NaAsO<sub>2</sub> concentrations beginning at 1  $\mu$ M (Tukey's,  $p < 0.05$ ). Furthermore, increasing time of exposure from 24 to 72 h decreased the LOEL from 2.5 to 1  $\mu$ M (Figure [4E](#page-4-0)).

#### *2.5. Reduction in Metabolic Output Relative to Viable DNA Content after 24 and 72 h Exposure to NaAsO<sup>2</sup>*

A non-monotonic dose-response was observed in which  $24$  h NaAsO<sub>2</sub> exposures decreased cellular metabolic rate relative to viable DNA content at all treatment doses compared to control ( $n = 6$ ,  $F = 7.58$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; Tukey's,  $p < 0.05$ ; Figure [4C](#page-4-0)). Exposure to NaAsO<sub>2</sub> for 72 h also affected metabolic output per unit of DNA ( $n = 10$ , F = 19.6,  $p < 0.001$ ) but only at doses 2.5  $\mu$ M and greater (Tukey's,  $p < 0.05$ ; Figure [4F](#page-4-0)).  $N_{\rm B}$  for  $\lambda_{\rm L}$  transferred field wound burpar per unit of  $N_{\rm H}$  (F)  $N_{\rm H}$  (F)  $N_{\rm H}$  (F)

## 2.6. Wound Healing Processes in Female Mice Are Negatively Affected by NaAsO<sub>2</sub>-Exposure

An 8-week exposure to 10  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub> in drinking water impeded wound closure in mice 6 days post-wounding  $\text{(Chi}^2 = 13.3458; p = 0.0039, \text{ Figure 5A)}.$  $\text{(Chi}^2 = 13.3458; p = 0.0039, \text{ Figure 5A)}.$  $\text{(Chi}^2 = 13.3458; p = 0.0039, \text{ Figure 5A)}.$  Wound closure areas were significantly decreased in NaAsO<sub>2</sub>-treated females compared to control or NaAsO<sub>2</sub>-treated males (Z = 2.817;  $p = 0.0290$ ) and control females (Z =  $-3.185$ ;  $p = 0.0087$ ; Figure [5A](#page-5-0)).  $\frac{1}{\tan 2\theta}$  and  $\frac{1}{\tan 2\theta}$  (Dunne  $\frac{1}{\tan 2\theta}$ ).

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

wounding in mice exposed to 10 μM NaAsO<sub>2</sub> for 8 weeks (**A**) Wound closures in NaAsO<sub>2</sub>-treated females were significantly decreased compared to control females (Chi<sup>2</sup> = 16.5095, *p* = 0.0022) and **Figure 5.** Wound closure areas, wound erythema, and representative wound images at day 6 post-NaAsO<sub>2</sub>-treated males (Chi<sup>2</sup> = 16.5095,  $p$  = 0.0091). Mean wound closure areas for each treatment group are represented  $+/-$  SEM ( $n = 12$ ). (**B**) Erythema scores in NaAsO<sub>2</sub>-treated females were significantly elevated compared to control females ( $Chi^2 = 19.3237$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ). Mean erythema values for each treatment group are represented +/− SEM (*n* = 12). (**C**) Representative gross images of wound sites from vehicle and  $\mathrm{NaAsO}_2$ -treated mice on day 0 and on day 6.  $^*$  Statistically significant values ( $p < 0.05$ ) compared to control (Dunn's post hoc test).

NaAsO2-treated female mice displayed increased wound erythema levels compared to all other groups (Figure [5B](#page-5-0)). A Kruskal Wallis test revealed a significant effect among all treatment groups (Chi<sup>2</sup> = 9.456;  $p = 0.0238$ ). Macroscopic observations revealed increased visual erythema in NaAsO<sub>2</sub>-treated female mice at day 6 with no difference observed in other treatment groups (Figure [5C](#page-5-0)). Water consumption was significantly higher in males vs. females (ANOVA, *p* < 0.0001) with post hoc tests revealing no difference between control and arsenic male mice (Dunn's, *p* > 0.9999) and no difference between control and arsenic female mice (Dunn's, ANOVA *p* = 0.1639).

#### *2.7. Altered Gene Expression in Mouse Wound Biopsies due to NaAsO2-Exposure 2.7. Altered Gene Expression in Mouse Wound Biopsies due to NaAsO2-Exposure*  $\frac{1}{2}$  in drinking water to 10  $\frac{1}{2}$  in drinking water altered generation in drinking water altered generation in dependent of  $\frac{1}{2}$

An 8-week exposure to 10  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub> in drinking water altered gene expression in wound biopsies (Figure [6\)](#page-6-0). One-way ANOVAs revealed significant differences in both *As3mt* (W = 12.20;  $p = 0.0008$ ) and *Esr2* expression (W = 19.30;  $p = 0.0002$ ) across groups. *As3mt* was significantly upregulated in wounds of NaAsO<sub>2</sub>-treated females compared to control females, control males, and  $NaASO<sub>2</sub>$ -treated males ( $n = 6$ ;  $p < 0.0001$ ;  $p < 0.0001$ ;  $p = 0.0230$ , respectively). *Esr2* was significantly upregulated in wounds of NaAsO<sub>2</sub>-treated  $p = 0.0230$ , respectively). *Esr2* was significantly upregulated in wounds of NaAsO<sub>2</sub>-treated females compared to control female and  $NaAsO<sub>2</sub>$ -treated male groups ( $n = 6$ ;  $p = 0.006$ ;  $p = 0.006$ , respectively). No significant differences in transcript levels were detected for *Esr1*, *Gper1*, *Mmp1a*, or *Timp1* in wound sites (Figure [6\)](#page-6-0).  $\mu$ <sub>1</sub> o-week exposure to 10  $\mu$ <sub>W</sub>  $\mu$ a $As$ O<sub>2</sub> in trinking water differences gene expression in p = 0.0200, respectively). Ess2 was significantly apregatated in womens of radiscopied and *F*<sub>=</sub>  $\frac{1}{2}$  *G<sub>per</sub>1 M<sub>um</sub>1*<sub>*i*</sub>, *or Timp1* in worm d sites (Figure 6).

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

**Figure 6.** Relative gene expression of arsenic metabolism, matrix remodeling, and estrogen signaling genes in mice exposed to 10  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub> for 8 weeks. Exposure to NaAsO<sub>2</sub> significantly increased *As3mt* expression in NaAsO<sub>2</sub>-treated female mice compared to control groups ( $W = 12.20$ ,  $p = 0.008$ ). Exposure to NaAsO<sub>2</sub> significantly increased *Esr2* expression in NaAsO<sub>2</sub>-treated female mice compared to control groups ( $W = 19.30$ ,  $p = 0.0002$ ). Individual data points represent fold changes per replicate  $+/-$  SEM (*n* = 6). \* Statistically significant (*p* < 0.05) compared to control (Tukey's post hoc test). hoc test). **Figure 6.** Relative gene expression of arsenic metabolism, matrix remodeling, and estrogen signaling

## **3. Discussion 3. Discussion**

In the current study, arsenic (NaAsO<sub>2</sub>) exposure interfered with normal wound healing processes modeled using in vitro and in vivo experimental tests. In cell culture experiments, dermal fibroblasts exposed to environmentally relevant concentrations of NaAsO<sub>2</sub> displayed slowed scratch closure, decreased cell proliferation, and decreased cell metabolism relative to viable DNA content. Increased transcription of *MMP1* was detected in dermal fibroblasts exposed to NaAsO<sub>2</sub>. In mice, 10  $\mu$ M NaAsO<sub>2</sub> negatively impacted wound healing outcomes in female mice displaying slower wound closure and higher erythema scores. Increased transcription of *Esr2* and *As3mt* were also measured in mouse wound biopsies. Here, we demonstrated the use of in vitro techniques to help guide study designs in an in vivo full thickness wound model where NaAsO<sub>2</sub> exposure was found to impair wound healing functions and outcomes in both models.

Our results demonstrated that scratch closure, proliferation, metabolic activity, and cell viability of fibroblasts were detrimentally affected when exposed to environmentally relevant NaAsO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Altered fibroblast activity during the wound healing process may lead to poor wound healing outcomes, including an increased risk for nonhealing wounds and infection  $[32,33]$  $[32,33]$ . In the scratch assay, NaAsO<sub>2</sub> exposure at the time of scratching negatively impacted closure, and 72 h exposure to NaAsO2 prior to scratching exacerbated the effect, in some cases reducing the LOEL by 10-fold. This shift in exposure timing and LOEL suggests that long-term exposure to arsenic is more detrimental to cellular processes than short-term exposure.

Cellular proliferation was also impacted by  $NaAsO<sub>2</sub>$  exposure, which was evident by the dose-dependent decline in cell counts. This trend was consistent with Xiong et al.'s findings of arsenic induced cell cycle arrest in neuroblastoma cells. This group treated cells with similar concentrations of As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (1, 2, or 4  $\mu$ M), in which 4  $\mu$ M induced cell cycle arrest leading to decreased cellular proliferation [\[34\]](#page-14-2).

The decrease in scratch closure and proliferation of continuously exposed fibroblasts were accompanied by decreased cellular metabolism relative to viable DNA content. The detrimental effects of  $NaAsO<sub>2</sub>$  on metabolic activity and cell viability reported here were expected due to arsenic's known affinity for thiol groups and disruption of sulfhydryl group-containing enzymes, many of which have prominent roles in several metabolic pathways [\[35\]](#page-14-3). In addition to decreases in cell metabolism, increased cell death due to  $NaAsO<sub>2</sub>$  exposure has been previously described. One study found that exposure to lowdose NaAsO<sub>2</sub> (0.5  $\mu$ M) exhibited cytotoxic effects both acutely and chronically in lung fibroblasts [\[36\]](#page-14-4), and our dermal fibroblast viability results were consistent with their studies. Interestingly,  $0.1 \mu M$  NaAsO<sub>2</sub> slightly increased proliferation and metabolism in our study. Low levels of arsenic may induce these changes through a variety of pathways, which may include a non-detectable change in oxidative stress and reduced cell autophagy [\[37\]](#page-14-5). Like most toxicants, effects of arsenic are likely concentration-dependent, in which increasing concentrations no longer provide stimulatory changes, but rather detrimental consequences [\[38\]](#page-14-6).

While other matrix remodeling genes were analyzed in this study (not published), only *MMP1* was significantly upregulated in dermal fibroblasts. *MMP1* transcript levels were enriched in NaAsO<sub>2</sub>-treated fibroblasts, which was consistent with a reported significant upregulation of *MMP1* gene expression, however, in human uroepithelial cells (SV-HUC-1) exposed to arsenic [\[39\]](#page-14-7). MMP1 functions to remodel the extracellular matrix (ECM) of a healing wound through proteolytic digestion of collagen, which then stimulates fibroblasts to lay down new collagen for cell adherence and subsequent cellularization  $[40,41]$  $[40,41]$ . The dynamic process of ECM remodeling by dermal fibroblasts during tissue remodeling is a delicate balance of collagen deposition and MMP1 enzymatic digestion. For example, increased collagen deposition during the final stages of wound healing can lead to hypertrophic scarring or the formation of a keloid scar [\[42\]](#page-14-10). In contrast, elevated levels of MMP1 are implicated in the pathogenesis of chronic wounds; therefore, results from the current study suggest that arsenic may play a role in the pathogenesis of chronic wound formation in individuals who are repeatedly exposed for extended periods [\[43,](#page-14-11)[44\]](#page-14-12).

While NaAsO<sub>2</sub> impeded fibroblast functions, multiple cell types, including epithelial cells, fibroblasts, and leukocytes, work together to close and remodel wounds in a living organism. The effects of  $N\alpha\text{A}sO_2$  were further explored in a full thickness wound model in mice to evaluate if in vitro findings translated to in vivo models. Exposure to  $10 \mu M$  $(10 \text{ ppb})$  NaAsO<sub>2</sub> for 8 weeks diminished wound closure and increased erythema in female mice, with no visual detriments observed in male mice. Sex differences were seen in wound healing for both gross evaluations and gene expression analysis, which suggests disrupted hormone signaling. Additionally, water consumption in our study was significantly different between male and female mice, but not within treatment groups. Sex difference results were expected and have been reported in the literature previously [\[45\]](#page-14-13). Water consumption data in our study may have been influenced by a variety of variables including cage movement and water leakage during water changes.

Hormone signaling plays an imperative role in cell communication, specifically in wound healing. Under normal inflammatory processes, neutrophils are rapidly recruited to the wound site in a spatial pattern surrounding the wound [\[46\]](#page-14-14) and undergo apoptosis after wound bed clearance [\[47\]](#page-14-15), which slows the recruitment of additional neutrophils. Estrogen inhibits excessive neutrophil recruitment by upregulating mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphatase 2 (MKP-2) [\[29\]](#page-13-20), and by reducing leukocyte interleukin-1β (IL-1β) secretion [\[29,](#page-13-20)[48,](#page-14-16)[49\]](#page-14-17). Because of arsenic's affinity for endogenous estrogen [\[30\]](#page-13-21), the presence of  $NaAsO<sub>2</sub>$  in our study may have inhibited estrogen's ability to promote normal wound healing in female mice.

There is evidence that arsenic acts as an endocrine disruptor through several potential molecular mechanisms. Arsenic binds to estrogen directly [\[30\]](#page-13-21), and many studies have investigated the endocrine disrupting properties of arsenic [\[50–](#page-14-18)[53\]](#page-14-19); however, there is limited literature regarding arsenic–estrogen interactions in skin. In the current study, we evaluated estrogen receptor mRNA expression in vivo (*Esr1*, *Esr2*, and *Gpr30*) and found that *Esr2* was upregulated in the wound sites of female mice exposed to NaAsO2. In skin, *Esr1* exhibits low expression [\[54\]](#page-14-20), while *Esr2* expression is widespread [\[55\]](#page-14-21). One study showed that knockout mice for estrogen receptor beta ( $Erf$ ), encoded by the Esr2 gene, showed impaired wound healing [\[56\]](#page-14-22), indicating that Erβ activity in the skin is vital to wound closure. These results suggest that arsenic may interfere with wound healing through disruption of estrogen signaling.

There was a significant upregulation of the *As3mt* gene in the wound sites of both sexes in vivo. Arsenic methyltransferase (*AS3MT*) detoxifies inorganic arsenic in many species including humans [\[57\]](#page-14-23), rodents [\[58\]](#page-15-0), and fish [\[59](#page-15-1)[,60\]](#page-15-2). The upregulation of the AS3MT gene in the skin of both male and female mice exposed to NaAsO<sub>2</sub> in this study demonstrated the ability of NaAsO<sub>2</sub> to affect the skin. One study found that *As3mt* is required for NaAsO<sup>2</sup> metabolism in mice, with *As3mt* knockout mice retaining toxic arsenic metabolites in tissues [\[61\]](#page-15-3). Interestingly, polymorphisms in the human *AS3MT* gene may also lead to a higher risk of arsenic-induced premalignant skin lesions [\[62\]](#page-15-4), indicating that human AS3MT expression in skin may play a protective role. The *As3mt* upregulation in the wound sites of mice in the current study may have been a sign of detoxification in the skin, and therefore may have been protective. Additionally, one study has previously shown that when exposed to arsenate, GAPDH acts as an AsV reductase, and therefore shows that in the presence of arsenate and other isoforms of arsenic, GAPDH is present [\[63\]](#page-15-5). The presence of GAPDH during arsenic detoxification supports the use of this gene as a housekeeping gene in these studies.

In normal human wound healing processes, MMP1 degrades type 1 collagen to remodel the wound bed, while its inhibitor, TIMP1, acts to inhibit MMP1 activity [\[64,](#page-15-6)[65\]](#page-15-7). The in vivo results paralleled the cytotoxic effects seen in fibroblasts exposed to  $10 \mu M$ NaAsO<sub>2</sub> for 72 h. While there were no significant differences in the expression of *Mmp1a* and Timp1, the expression of other collagenases, gelatinases, and MMP inhibitors may have changed in response to  $N_{\rm A}$  and  $N_{\rm O2}$  exposure for 72 h. In our study, wound sites may have had reduced ECM at the time of tissue collection (6 days post-wound creation). Another study identified a 7–14 day matrix remodeling phase in murine wound models [\[66\]](#page-15-8). This finding of a longer murine wound remodeling phase may suggest that the timepoint of tissue collection was critical for analysis of matrix remodeling genes.

Limitations to our study include time of tissue collection, which is mentioned previously, and length of arsenic exposure. Some studies utilizing a chronic model of arsenic exposure dosed for more than 8 weeks [\[66\]](#page-15-8); however, longer exposure times were out of the scope of the current study. Additionally, dermal protein expression, histological analysis, and flow cytometry may provide more insight into cellular changes; however, these studies are out of the scope of the current study.

These data underscore the significance to human and environmental health concerns of long-term arsenic exposure. This study used  $NaAsO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations between 0.1 and 10  $\mu$ M, which capture the USEPA MCL of 0.13  $\mu$ M (10 ppb); however, global surface and groundwater resources often contain levels well above 0.13 µM. These arsenic-contaminated resources (many above 10  $\mu$ M) are found globally, including areas in Asia and the Americas [\[1,](#page-12-0)[4,](#page-12-1)[5,](#page-12-2)[12,](#page-13-6)[67](#page-15-9)[–70\]](#page-15-10). Overall, these data suggest that individuals who experience delayed wound closure and/or the formation of chronic non-healing skin wounds are at particular risk if chronically exposed to arsenic.

#### **4. Materials and Methods**

#### *4.1. Arsenic Preparation*

Sodium arsenite (CAS#: 7784-46-5, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was weighed and diluted in reverse osmosis deionized (DI) water to create a 10 mM stock solution. For cell culture assays and mouse experiments,  $10 \text{ mM NaAsO}_2$  stocks were further diluted into either cell culture medium or reconditioned RO water to reach a final concentration of 10 µM.

#### *4.2. General Cell Culture Conditions*

Human neonatal dermal fibroblasts (hDFn), primary isolates up to 5th passage, (Cell Applications, Inc, San Diego, CA, USA) were grown as a monolayer in a tissue culture treated T75 flask (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA) at a seeding density of 5000 cells/ $\rm cm^2$ and passaged at 70–80% confluence. All cell counts were generated using a hemocytometer. The cells were grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% *v*/*v* fetal bovine serum (FBS, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Cells were incubated at  $37 °C$ ,  $5\% CO<sub>2</sub>$ .

#### *4.3. Exposure for 24 h and 72 h Cell Scratch Assay*

Cells were sub-cultured into a tissue culture-treated 12-well plate (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA) at a seeding density of  $5000$  cells/cm<sup>2</sup> and incubated for 2–3 days until the cells reached 100% confluence. Upon reaching confluence, the monolayer was scratched by hand using a P200 pipet tip (Gilson Inc., Middleton, WI, USA). Next, the media were removed, the wells rinsed with 1x Hanks Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) to remove detached cells, and  $NaAsO<sub>2</sub>$  in DMEM at 0.01, 0.1, 0.5, 1, and 10 µM concentrations were added. Independent scratch assays were conducted to assess the effect of 24 h versus 72 h NaAsO<sub>2</sub> exposure on wound closure. In the 24 h exposure assays, the cells were scratched and concomitantly exposed to NaAsO2 concentrations. In the 72 h exposure assays, cells were exposed to  $NaAsO<sub>2</sub>$  for 72 h prior to performing the scratch. In both assays, an inverted microscope and digital camera (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) were used to capture images every four hours for 24 h to record cellular migration. Scratch closure was quantified for all experimental conditions and compared to control conditions using an automated algorithm that was developed to quantify cellular migration using MatLab (See Supplemental File). Summed area under the curve (AUC) was calculated using the summed trapezoid method of the percent closure values over a 24 h period from individual wells. At the experiment's conclusion, all cells were lysed in 300 µL TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA), and the lysates were stored at −80 °C to be used later for gene expression analysis.

#### *4.4. Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR) in Human Dermal Fibroblasts*

Total RNA was isolated from the same cells used in the scratch assay (stored in TRIzol at −80 ◦C) with a DirectZol MicroPrep RNA kit (Zymo, Irvine, CA, USA). RNA quantity and ribosomal RNA integrity (RIN) was determined using a fragment analyzer (Advanced Analytical, Ankeny, IA, USA). First-strand cDNA was synthesized using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA) from 1 µg of RNA. All qPCR assays utilized primers designed using design software (Primer3, NCBI: [https://www.ncbi.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/) [nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/) accessed 1 August 2019) and synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA, USA) (Table [1\)](#page-10-0). A standard curve was run for each primer set, and melting peaks were assessed. All assays were performed using qPCR SYBR

Green Master Mix chemistry (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA) and a CFX384-TOUCH real-time thermocycler. All samples were conducted in quadruplicate technical replicates. Cycling conditions were polymerase activation at  $95\text{ °C}$  (30 s), followed by 40 amplification cycles of template denaturation at 95 °C (15 s), primer annealing/extension/detection at 60 °C (30 s), and a melt analysis at 65–95 °C ( $\Delta$ 0.5 °C/5 s). Expression was determined using the delta-delta cT method and presented as "log 2-fold change" relative to untreated control samples normalized to the geometric mean of 2 housekeeping genes in control and treated samples.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Table 1.** Real-time qPCR gene targets and primer sequences for human dermal fibroblasts and Mus musculus.



#### *4.5. Cell Proliferation and Viability Assays*

Human neonatal dermal fibroblasts (hDFn) were grown as a monolayer in a tissue culture treated T75 flask at a seeding density of 5000 cells/ $\rm cm^2$  and passaged at 70–80% confluence. Cells were sub-cultured into a black wall, clear bottom, tissue culture-treated 96-well plate (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA) at a seeding density of 7000 cells/cm $^2$  in 200 µL media/well, with 200 µL water blanks in outer periphery of wells to prevent media evaporation of inner treatment wells. Cells were grown for 24 h, then exposed to  $NaAsO<sub>2</sub>$ concentrations  $(0, 0.1, 1, 2.5, 5, \text{ and } 10 \mu\text{M})$  for either 24 or 72 h prior to the addition of fluorescent dyes. On the day of experiment, PrestoBlue (PB, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and CyQuant (CQ, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) solutions were prepared according to the manufacturer's protocol. A portion of media was removed from each of the wells (150  $\mu$ L) and replaced with 50  $\mu$ L diluted PB, leaving 100  $\mu$ L total volume per well. Once PB was added, the plate was incubated for 10 min at 37  $°C$ , 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and read on a Biotek

Synergy HT fluorescent plate reader (Biotek, Winooski, VT, USA) at 560/590 nm and gain set at 45. Next, 100 µL of CO diluent was added to each well. The plate was incubated for 60 min at 37  $\rm{^{\circ}C}$ , 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and read on a Biotek Synergy HT fluorescent plate reader at 480/538 nm and gain set at 70. Relative fluorescent units (RFU) were generated for each well, which was directly correlated to cell metabolic output per unit DNA.

### *4.6. Growth Curve Assay*

Cells were sub-cultured into tissue culture-treated 12-well plates at a seeding density of 2630 cells/cm<sup>2</sup> into a total of 12 plates. At the time of seeding in 12-well plates, concentrations of NaAsO<sub>2</sub> (0, 0.1, 0.5, 1, and 10  $\mu$ M) were added. Cells from 4 wells/plate were counted using a hemocytometer every 24 h over a 6-day period. At the end of the 6-day period, the cell counts from each day were used to assess fibroblast proliferation in the presence of increasing NaAsO<sub>2</sub>.

#### *4.7. Full-Thickness Wound Creation and Image Analysis*

Twelve female and twelve male 8-week-old mice (Mus musculus C57BL/6) were purchased from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA). These mice were used in accordance with Northern Arizona University's (NAU) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol# 18-018). The mice arrived in a healthy condition at NAU's Research Annex and were allowed a 28-day acclimatization period, during which animal care staff placed mice in cages in a blinded fashion without interference from research staff. Mice were randomly selected (6 female and 6 male) to receive RO water containing  $10 \mu M$ NaAsO<sub>2</sub>, while the rest of the groups (6 female and 6 male) received RO water. Once dosing began, cages and food were changed weekly, and water was changed every 3 days. Water intake was measured per cage every 3 days using a 500 mL graduated cylinder.

After 8 weeks of dosing, mice were anesthetized using 3% Isoflurane and two fullthickness wounds were created, one on each side of the midline of the dorsum of each animal using a sterile 6 mm dermal punch and tissue excision following prior methods [\[71\]](#page-15-11). All animals were treated with Tegaderm (3M., St Paul, MN, USA) dressings to cover the wound sites and butterfly harnesses (LOMIR INC., Malone, NY, USA) to prevent animal interference with wound sites. Mice were individually housed for the duration of the experiment. Left- and right-wound sites for each mouse were photographed with a Nikon DSLR camera with lightbox and macro lens attachments on days 0 and 6.

Wound images from days 0 and 6 post-operation were analyzed with ImageJ software v.1.54h (U. S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). Scale was determined by measuring a 1 mm line on the ruler in every photo (using the line tool). Wound areas were traced along the inside wound edge (using the freehand selections tool) and the total area was computed. Percent wound closure was calculated using the below equation:

% Wound Closure = [(Initial Wound Area − Final Wound Area) × 100]/(Initial Wound Area)

Erythema was measured using a qualitative rating scale for all images at each timepoint across cohorts. Images were ranked from 1–10, with 1 being the least red and 10 being the reddest.

#### *4.8. Tissue Collection and Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR) in Mouse Wound Biopsies*

On day 6, all animals were euthanized by 5% Isoflurane overdose and cervical dislocation. Animals were weighed and all wound areas (2 per mouse) were excised, placed in 1 mL RNAlater™ Stabilization Solution (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, WA, USA), and stored at −80 ◦C until RNA isolation. Dermal punches were sent to University of Arizona Genetics Core (Tucson, AZ, USA) for RNA isolation. Total RNA was isolated from each mouse skin tissue sample using the Invitrogen PureLink RNA Mini extraction kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). RNA quantity and ribosomal RNA integrity (RIN) was determined using the 2100 Bioanalyzer Instrument (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Primers for

*Gapdh*, *Sdha*, *As3mt*, *Mmp1a*, *Timp1*, *Esr1*, *Esr2*, and *Gper1* were designed using the total gene sequences (Primer3, NCBI) for each target gene assessed (Integrated Data Technologies, Coralville, IA, USA) (Table [1\)](#page-10-0). A standard curve was run for each primer set and melting peaks were assessed. Reagents for cDNA synthesis/qPCR and thermocycler settings were previously described.

#### *4.9. Statistical Analysis*

Statistically significant differences among experimental conditions in vitro were determined using the R-Program for Statistical Computation (V3.2.2 GUI 2014) using an ANOVA with a Tukey's HSD post hoc evaluation. In vivo data were statistically evaluated using JMP® (Version 15. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) software. Data were evaluated for normality and homogeneity of variance, with the alpha set at 0.05. Wound area, erythema endpoints, and water consumption were assessed using a one-way Kruskal Wallis test with a Dunn's post hoc test for non-parametric data. Transcript levels assayed by qPCR were compared using a one-way ANOVA and Tukey's HSD post hoc evaluation. Graphing was performed using GraphPad Prism version 9.0.0 for Windows, GraphPad Software v9.4.1, San Diego, CA, USA.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: [https:](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms25042161/s1) [//www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms25042161/s1.](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms25042161/s1)

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, S.R.D., B.I.P., C.R.P., M.C.S. and R.S.K.; Methodology, S.R.D., B.I.P., S.R.B., C.R.P., M.C.S. and R.S.K.; Software, S.R.D., B.I.P., M.C.S. and C.R.P.; Formal Analysis, S.R.D., B.I.P., C.R.P., M.C.S. and R.S.K.; Investigation, C.R.P., M.C.S. and R.S.K.; Resources, C.R.P., M.C.S. and R.S.K.; Data Curation, S.R.D., B.I.P., C.R.P., M.C.S. and R.S.K.; Writing—Original Draft Preparation, S.R.D., B.I.P. and R.S.K.; Writing—Review and Editing, C.R.P., M.C.S., R.S.K. and S.R.B.; Visualization, S.R.D., B.I.P., S.R.B. and M.C.S.; Supervision, C.R.P., R.S.K. and M.C.S.; Project Administration, C.R.P. and R.S.K.; Funding Acquisition, C.R.P. and R.S.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** Southwest Health Equity Research Collaborative (NIH RCMI, 1 U54 MD012388-01).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The animal study protocol was approved by IACUC of Northern Arizona University (Protocol # 18-018, approved 30 August 2018).

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to confidentiality concerns.

**Acknowledgments:** We thank the members of Northern Arizona University Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine (TERM) lab, the Northern Arizona University Biological Science Annex (BSA), and the University of Arizona Genomics Core (UAGC). We would also like to thank the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities of the National Institutes of Health (U54MD01238) for funding this research.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-12-0"></span>1. Ayotte, J.D.; Medalie, L.; Qi, S.L.; Backer, L.C.; Nolan, B.T. Estimating the High-Arsenic Domestic-Well Population in the Conterminous United States. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51*, 12443–12454. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b02881) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29043784)
- 2. Abdul, K.S.; Jayasinghe, S.S.; Chandana, E.P.; Jayasumana, C.; De Silva, P.M. Arsenic and human health effects: A review. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* **2015**, *40*, 828–846. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2015.09.016) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26476885)
- 3. Berg, M.; Tran, H.C.; Nguyen, T.C.; Pham, H.V.; Schertenleib, R.; Giger, W. Arsenic contamination of groundwater and drinking water in Vietnam: A human health threat. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2001**, *35*, 2621–2626. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/es010027y) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11452583)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>4. George, C.M.; Sima, L.; Arias, M.H.; Mihalic, J.; Cabrera, L.Z.; Danz, D.; Checkley, W.; Gilman, R.H. Arsenic exposure in drinking water: An unrecognized health threat in Peru. *Bull. World Health Organ.* **2014**, *92*, 565–572. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.13.128496) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25177071)
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>5. Smith, A.H.; Lingas, E.O.; Rahman, M. Contamination of drinking-water by arsenic in Bangladesh: A public health emergency. *Bull. World Health Organ.* **2000**, *78*, 1093–1103. [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11019458)
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>6. World Health Organization. *Arsenic in Drinking-Water: Background Document for Development of WHO Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality*; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2003.
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>7. Environmental Protection, A. National primary drinking water regulations: Long Term 1 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule. Final rule. *Fed. Regist.* **2002**, *67*, 1811–1844.
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>8. Beamer, P.I.; Klimecki, W.T.; Loh, M.; Van Horne, Y.O.; Sugeng, A.J.; Lothrop, N.; Billheimer, D.; Guerra, S.; Lantz, R.C.; Canales, R.A.; et al. Association of Children's Urinary CC16 Levels with Arsenic Concentrations in Multiple Environmental Media. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2016**, *13*, 521. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13050521)
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>9. Foust, R.D., Jr.; Mohapatra, P.; Compton-O'Brien, A.-M.; Reifel, J. Groundwater arsenic in the Verde Valley in central Arizona, USA. *Appl. Geochem.* **2004**, *19*, 251–255. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2003.09.011)
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>10. Robertson, F.N. Arsenic in ground-water under oxidizing conditions, south-west United States. *Environ. Geochem. Health* **1989**, *11*, 171–185. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01758668)
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>11. ADEQ. The Status of Water Quality in Arizona: Arizona's Integrated 305(b) Assessment and 303(d) Listing Report. In *Ground Water Quality*; Chapter VII; Arizona Department of Environmental Quality: Tucson, AZ, USA, 2004.
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>12. Jones, M.C.; Credo, J.M.; Ingram, J.C.; Baldwin, J.A.; Trotter, R.T., Jr.; Propper, C.R. Arsenic Concentrations in Ground and Surface Waters across Arizona Including Native Lands. *J. Contemp. Water Res. Educ.* **2020**, *169*, 44–60. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1936-704X.2020.03331.x)
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>13. Roh, T.; Lynch, C.F.; Weyer, P.; Wang, K.; Kelly, K.M.; Ludewig, G. Low-level arsenic exposure from drinking water is associated with prostate cancer in Iowa. *Environ. Res.* **2017**, *159*, 338–343. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2017.08.026)
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>14. Ettinger, A.S.; Zota, A.R.; Amarasiriwardena, C.J.; Hopkins, M.R.; Schwartz, J.; Hu, H.; Wright, R.O. Maternal arsenic exposure and impaired glucose tolerance during pregnancy. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2009**, *117*, 1059–1064. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0800533) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19654913)
- 15. James, K.A.; Marshall, J.A.; Hokanson, J.E.; Meliker, J.R.; Zerbe, G.O.; Byers, T.E. A case-cohort study examining lifetime exposure to inorganic arsenic in drinking water and diabetes mellitus. *Environ. Res.* **2013**, *123*, 33–38. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2013.02.005) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23507312)
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>16. Maull, E.A.; Ahsan, H.; Edwards, J.; Longnecker, M.P.; Navas-Acien, A.; Pi, J.; Silbergeld, E.K.; Styblo, M.; Tseng, C.H.; Thayer, K.A.; et al. Evaluation of the association between arsenic and diabetes: A National Toxicology Program workshop review. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2012**, *120*, 1658–1670. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104579) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22889723)
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>17. Abhyankar, L.N.; Jones, M.R.; Guallar, E.; Navas-Acien, A. Arsenic exposure and hypertension: A systematic review. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2012**, *120*, 494–500. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1103988) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22138666)
- 18. Balakumar, P.; Kaur, J. Arsenic exposure and cardiovascular disorders: An overview. *Cardiovasc. Toxicol.* **2009**, *9*, 169–176. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12012-009-9050-6) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19787300)
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>19. Chen, Y.; Karagas, M.R. Arsenic and cardiovascular disease: New evidence from the United States. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **2013**, *159*, 713–714. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-159-10-201311190-00720) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24061555)
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>20. Dahan, D.; Jude, B.A.; Lamendella, R.; Keesing, F.; Perron, G.G. Exposure to Arsenic Alters the Microbiome of Larval Zebrafish. *Front. Microbiol.* **2018**, *9*, 1323. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01323)
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>21. Gentry, P.R.; McDonald, T.B.; Sullivan, D.E.; Shipp, A.M.; Yager, J.W.; Clewell, H.J., 3rd. Analysis of genomic dose-response information on arsenic to inform key events in a mode of action for carcinogenicity. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.* **2010**, *51*, 1–14. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/em.20505)
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>22. Chen, Y.; Graziano, J.H.; Parvez, F.; Hussain, I.; Momotaj, H.; van Geen, A.; Howe, G.R.; Ahsan, H. Modification of risk of arsenic-induced skin lesions by sunlight exposure, smoking, and occupational exposures in Bangladesh. *Epidemiology* **2006**, *17*, 459–467. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.ede.0000220554.50837.7f)
- 23. Li, Y.; Jing, D.; Xiao, Y.; Huang, X.; Shen, M. Patient-Reported Outcomes of Arsenic-Related Skin Lesions in China. *Biomed. Res. Int.* **2020**, *2020*, 6195975. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/6195975) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33015173)
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>24. Wei, S.; Zhang, H.; Tao, S. A review of arsenic exposure and lung cancer. *Toxicol. Res.* **2019**, *8*, 319–327. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8TX00298C) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31160966)
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>25. Chayapong, J.; Madhyastha, H.; Madhyastha, R.; Nurrahmah, Q.I.; Nakajima, Y.; Choijookhuu, N.; Hishikawa, Y.; Maruyama, M. Arsenic trioxide induces ROS activity and DNA damage, leading to G0/G1 extension in skin fibroblasts through the ATM-ATR-associated Chk pathway. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* **2017**, *24*, 5316–5325. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-8215-7) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28013460)
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>26. Pinto, B.I.; Lujan, O.R.; Ramos, S.A.; Propper, C.R.; Kellar, R.S. Estrogen Mitigates the Negative Effects of Arsenic Contamination in an In Vitro Wound Model. *Appl. In Vitro Toxicol.* **2018**, *4*, 24–29. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1089/aivt.2017.0020) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30956995)
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>27. Yamaguchi, Y.; Madhyastha, H.; Madhyastha, R.; Choijookhuu, N.; Hishikawa, Y.; Pengjam, Y.; Nakajima, Y.; Maruyama, M. Arsenic acid inhibits proliferation of skin fibroblasts, and increases cellular senescence through ROS mediated MST1-FOXO signaling pathway. *J. Toxicol. Sci.* **2016**, *41*, 105–113. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2131/jts.41.105) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26763397)
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>28. Horng, H.C.; Chang, W.H.; Yeh, C.C.; Huang, B.S.; Chang, C.P.; Chen, Y.J.; Tsui, K.H.; Wang, P.H. Estrogen Effects on Wound Healing. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2017**, *18*, 2325. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18112325) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29099810)
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>29. Zhang, P.; Fu, Y.; Ju, J.; Wan, D.; Su, H.; Wang, Z.; Rui, H.; Jin, Q.; Le, Y.; Hou, R. Estradiol inhibits fMLP-induced neutrophil migration and superoxide production by upregulating MKP-2 and dephosphorylating ERK. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* **2019**, *75*, 105787. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2019.105787) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31401382)
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>30. Kumar, S.; Mukherjee, T.K.; Guptasarma, P. Arsenic and 17-beta-estradiol bind to each other and neutralize each other's signaling effects. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2016**, *477*, 575–580. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.06.087)
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>31. Davey, J.C.; Nomikos, A.P.; Wungjiranirun, M.; Sherman, J.R.; Ingram, L.; Batki, C.; Lariviere, J.P.; Hamilton, J.W. Arsenic as an endocrine disruptor: Arsenic disrupts retinoic acid receptor-and thyroid hormone receptor-mediated gene regulation and thyroid hormone-mediated amphibian tail metamorphosis. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2008**, *116*, 165–172. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.10131)
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>32. Wall, I.B.; Moseley, R.; Baird, D.M.; Kipling, D.; Giles, P.; Laffafian, I.; Price, P.E.; Thomas, D.W.; Stephens, P. Fibroblast dysfunction is a key factor in the non-healing of chronic venous leg ulcers. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* **2008**, *128*, 2526–2549. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2008.114)
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>33. Maione, A.G.; Smith, A.; Kashpur, O.; Yanez, V.; Knight, E.; Mooney, D.J.; Veves, A.; Tomic-Canic, M.; Garlick, J.A. Altered ECM deposition by diabetic foot ulcer-derived fibroblasts implicates fibronectin in chronic wound repair. *Wound Repair. Regen.* **2016**, *24*, 630–643. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/wrr.12437) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27102877)
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>34. Xiong, X.; Li, Y.; Liu, L.; Qi, K.; Zhang, C.; Chen, Y.; Fang, J. Arsenic trioxide induces cell cycle arrest and affects Trk receptor expression in human neuroblastoma SK-N-SH cells. *Biol. Res.* **2018**, *51*, 18. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40659-018-0167-6) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29898774)
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>35. Delnomdedieu, M.; Basti, M.M.; Otvos, J.D.; Thomas, D.J. Transfer of arsenite from glutathione to dithiols: A model of interaction. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **1993**, *6*, 598–602. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/tx00035a002) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8292735)
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>36. Xie, H.; Huang, S.; Martin, S.; Wise, J.P., Sr. Arsenic is cytotoxic and genotoxic to primary human lung cells. *Mutat. Res. Genet. Toxicol. Environ. Mutagen.* **2014**, *760*, 33–41. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox.2013.11.001) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24291234)
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>37. Dodson, M.; de la Vega, M.R.; Harder, B.; Castro-Portuguez, R.; Rodrigues, S.D.; Wong, P.K.; Chapman, E.; Zhang, D.D. Low-level arsenic causes proteotoxic stress and not oxidative stress. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **2018**, *341*, 106–113. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2018.01.014) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29408041)
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>38. Li, J.; Lou, B.; Wu, R.; Wang, G.; Lu, C.; Wang, H.; Pi, J.; Xu, Y. The Role of Reactive Oxygen Species in Arsenic Toxicity. *Biomolecules* **2020**, *10*, 240.
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>39. Liu, S.; Wang, F.; Liu, J.; Jin, P.; Wang, X.; Yang, L.; Xi, S. ATF2 partly mediated the expressions of proliferative factors and inhibited pro-inflammatory factors' secretion in arsenite-treated human uroepithelial cells. *Toxicol. Res.* **2017**, *6*, 468–476. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/C6TX00407E)
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>40. Pilcher, B.K.; Dumin, J.A.; Sudbeck, B.D.; Krane, S.M.; Welgus, H.G.; Parks, W.C. The activity of collagenase-1 is required for keratinocyte migration on a type I collagen matrix. *J. Cell Biol.* **1997**, *137*, 1445–1457. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.137.6.1445)
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>41. Medina, A.; Scott, P.G.; Ghahary, A.; Tredget, E.E. Pathophysiology of chronic nonhealing wounds. *J. Burn. Care Rehabil.* **2005**, *26*, 306–319. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.BCR.0000169887.04973.3A)
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>42. Berman, B.; Bieley, H.C. Keloids. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* **1995**, *33*, 117–123. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/0190-9622(95)90035-7)
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>43. Barone, E.J.; Yager, D.R.; Pozez, A.L.; Olutoye, O.O.; Crossland, M.C.; Diegelmann, R.F.; Cohen, K.I. Interleukin 1 alpha and collagenase activity are elevated in chronic wounds. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* **1998**, *102*, 1028–1029. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1097/00006534-199809020-00016)
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>44. Lobmann, R.; Ambrosch, A.; Schultz, G.; Waldmann, K.; Schiweck, S.; Lehnert, H. Expression of matrix-metalloproteinases and their inhibitors in the wounds of diabetic and non-diabetic patients. *Diabetologia* **2002**, *45*, 1011–1016. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-002-0868-8) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12136400)
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>45. Muhetaer, M.; Yang, M.; Xia, R.; Lai, Y.; Wu, J. Gender difference in arsenic biotransformation is an important metabolic basis for arsenic toxicity. *BMC Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **2022**, *23*, 15. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40360-022-00554-w) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35227329)
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>46. Tordoff, M.G.; Bachmanov, A.A.; Reed, D.R. Forty mouse strain survey of water and sodium intake. *Physiol. Behav.* **2007**, *91*, 620–631. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2007.03.025) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17490693)
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>47. Niethammer, P.; Grabher, C.; Look, A.T.; Mitchison, T.J. A tissue-scale gradient of hydrogen peroxide mediates rapid wound detection in zebrafish. *Nature* **2009**, *459*, 996–999. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08119) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19494811)
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>48. Savill, J.; Dransfield, I.; Gregory, C.; Haslett, C. A blast from the past: Clearance of apoptotic cells regulates immune responses. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2002**, *2*, 965–975. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri957) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12461569)
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>49. Adachi, A.; Honda, T.; Egawa, G.; Kanameishi, S.; Takimoto, R.; Miyake, T.; Hossain, M.R.; Komine, M.; Ohtsuki, M.; Gunzer, M.; et al. Estradiol suppresses psoriatic inflammation in mice by regulating neutrophil and macrophage functions. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **2022**, *150*, 909–919.e8. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2022.03.028)
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>50. Davey, J.C.; Bodwell, J.E.; Gosse, J.A.; Hamilton, J.W. Arsenic as an endocrine disruptor: Effects of arsenic on estrogen receptormediated gene expression in vivo and in cell culture. *Toxicol. Sci.* **2007**, *98*, 75–86. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfm013)
- 51. Chatterjee, A.; Chatterji, U. Arsenic abrogates the estrogen-signaling pathway in the rat uterus. *Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol.* **2010**, *8*, 80. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7827-8-80)
- 52. Treas, J.N.; Tyagi, T.; Singh, K.P. Effects of chronic exposure to arsenic and estrogen on epigenetic regulatory genes expression and epigenetic code in human prostate epithelial cells. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e43880. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043880)
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>53. Kitchin, K.T.; Wallace, K. Arsenite binding to synthetic peptides based on the Zn finger region and the estrogen binding region of the human estrogen receptor-alpha. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **2005**, *206*, 66–72. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2004.12.010) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15963345)
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>54. Maekawa, R.; Sato, S.; Okada, M.; Lee, L.; Tamura, I.; Jozaki, K.; Kajimura, T.; Asada, H.; Yamagata, Y.; Tamura, H.; et al. Tissue-Specific Expression of Estrogen Receptor 1 Is Regulated by DNA Methylation in a T-DMR. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **2016**, *30*, 335–347. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1210/me.2015-1058)
- <span id="page-14-21"></span>55. Thornton, M.J.; Taylor, A.H.; Mulligan, K.; Al-Azzawi, F.; Lyon, C.C.; O'Driscoll, J.; Messenger, A.G. The distribution of estrogen receptor beta is distinct to that of estrogen receptor alpha and the androgen receptor in human skin and the pilosebaceous unit. *J. Investig. Dermatol. Symp. Proc.* **2003**, *8*, 100–103. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1747.2003.12181.x)
- <span id="page-14-22"></span>56. Campbell, L.; Emmerson, E.; Davies, F.; Gilliver, S.C.; Krust, A.; Chambon, P.; Ashcroft, G.S.; Hardman, M.J. Estrogen promotes cutaneous wound healing via estrogen receptor beta independent of its antiinflammatory activities. *J. Exp. Med.* **2010**, *207*, 1825–1833. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20100500)
- <span id="page-14-23"></span>57. Meza, M.M.; Yu, L.; Rodriguez, Y.Y.; Guild, M.; Thompson, D.; Gandolfi, A.J.; Klimecki, W.T. Developmentally restricted genetic determinants of human arsenic metabolism: Association between urinary methylated arsenic and CYT19 polymorphisms in children. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2005**, *113*, 775–781. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.7780) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15929903)
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>58. Huang, M.C.; Douillet, C.; Su, M.; Zhou, K.; Wu, T.; Chen, W.; Galanko, J.A.; Drobna, Z.; Saunders, R.J.; Martin, E.; et al. Metabolomic profiles of arsenic (+3 oxidation state) methyltransferase knockout mice: Effect of sex and arsenic exposure. *Arch. Toxicol.* **2017**, *91*, 189–202. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-016-1676-0)
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>59. Park, D.; Propper, C.R.; Wang, G.; Salanga, M.C. Synonymous single nucleotide polymorphism in arsenic (+3) methyltransferase of the Western mosquitofish (Gambusia affinis) and its gene expression among field populations. *Ecotoxicology* **2021**, *30*, 711–718. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-021-02376-8)
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>60. Thomas, D.J.; Li, J.; Waters, S.B.; Xing, W.; Adair, B.M.; Drobna, Z.; Devesa, V.; Styblo, M. Arsenic (+3 oxidation state) methyltransferase and the methylation of arsenicals. *Exp. Biol. Med.* **2007**, *232*, 3–13.
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>61. Drobna, Z.; Naranmandura, H.; Kubachka, K.M.; Edwards, B.C.; Herbin-Davis, K.; Styblo, M.; Le, X.C.; Creed, J.T.; Maeda, N.; Hughes, M.F.; et al. Disruption of the arsenic (+3 oxidation state) methyltransferase gene in the mouse alters the phenotype for methylation of arsenic and affects distribution and retention of orally administered arsenate. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **2009**, *22*, 1713–1720. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/tx900179r)
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>62. Valenzuela, O.L.; Drobna, Z.; Hernandez-Castellanos, E.; Sanchez-Pena, L.C.; Garcia-Vargas, G.G.; Borja-Aburto, V.H.; Styblo, M.; Del Razo, L.M. Association of AS3MT polymorphisms and the risk of premalignant arsenic skin lesions. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **2009**, *239*, 200–207. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2009.06.007)
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>63. Nemeti, B.; Csanaky, I.; Gregus, Z. Effect of an inactivator of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, a fortuitous arsenate reductase, on disposition of arsenate in rats. *Toxicol. Sci.* **2006**, *90*, 49–60. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfj058)
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>64. Barton, P.J.; Birks, E.J.; Felkin, L.E.; Cullen, M.E.; Koban, M.U.; Yacoub, M.H. Increased expression of extracellular matrix regulators TIMP1 and MMP1 in deteriorating heart failure. *J. Heart Lung Transplant.* **2003**, *22*, 738–744. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-2498(02)00557-0)
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>65. Vaalamo, M.; Weckroth, M.; Puolakkainen, P.; Kere, J.; Saarinen, P.; Lauharanta, J.; Saarialho-Kere, U.K. Patterns of matrix metalloproteinase and TIMP-1 expression in chronic and normally healing human cutaneous wounds. *Br. J. Dermatol.* **1996**, *135*, 52–59. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2133.1996.d01-932.x)
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>66. Masson-Meyers, D.S.; Andrade, T.A.M.; Caetano, G.F.; Guimaraes, F.R.; Leite, M.N.; Leite, S.N.; Frade, M.A.C. Experimental models and methods for cutaneous wound healing assessment. *Int. J. Exp. Pathol.* **2020**, *101*, 21–37. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/iep.12346) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32227524)
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>67. Podgorski, J.; Berg, M. Global threat of arsenic in groundwater. *Science* **2020**, *368*, 845–850. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba1510) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32439786)
- 68. Hoover, J.; Gonzales, M.; Shuey, C.; Barney, Y.; Lewis, J. Elevated Arsenic and Uranium Concentrations in Unregulated Water Sources on the Navajo Nation, USA. *Expo Health* **2017**, *9*, 113–124. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12403-016-0226-6)
- 69. Ingram, J.C.; Jones, L.; Credo, J.; Rock, T. Uranium and arsenic unregulated water issues on Navajo lands. *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A* **2020**, *38*, 031003. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1116/1.5142283) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32226218)
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>70. Ahangarpour, A.; Zeidooni, L.; Samimi, A.; Alboghobeish, S.; Khorsandi, L.S.; Moradi, M. Chronic exposure to arsenic and high fat diet additively induced cardiotoxicity in male mice. *Res. Pharm. Sci.* **2018**, *13*, 47–56. [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29387111)
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>71. Machula, H.; Ensley, B.; Kellar, R. Electrospun Tropoelastin for Delivery of Therapeutic Adipose-Derived Stem Cells to Full-Thickness Dermal Wounds. *Adv. Wound Care* **2014**, *3*, 367–375. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1089/wound.2013.0513)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.