

Editorial

Phylogenetics of Molecular Regulators Contributing to Plant Stress Tolerance

Xiang Yu ^{1,*}  and Yan Bao ^{2,*} 

¹ Department of Biology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

² Biochemistry & Molecular Biology Department, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

* Correspondence: yuxiang1@sas.upenn.edu (X.Y.); baoyan@msu.edu (Y.B.)

Received: 15 October 2020; Accepted: 19 October 2020; Published: 21 October 2020



Abstract: Genetic studies on model plants and crops in the last few decades have uncovered numerous genes that play vital roles in plant tolerance to adverse environments. These genes could be used as targets for genetic engineering to improve plant tolerance to abiotic and biotic stresses. Recent advances in CRISPR-based genome editing have accelerated modern plant breeding and wild-species domestication. However, the stress regulators in many crops and horticultural cultivars and their wild species remain largely unexplored. Thus, transferring the accumulated knowledge of these molecular regulators from model plants to a wider range of other species is critical for modern plant breeding. Phylogenetic analysis is one of the powerful strategies for studying the functional conservation and diversity of homologous gene families among different species with complete genome sequences available. In addition, many transcriptome datasets of plants under stress conditions have been publicly released, providing a useful resource for addressing the stress response of given gene families. This Special Issue aims to illustrate the phylogenetics of molecular regulators with potential in contributing to plant stress tolerance and their stress response diversity in multiple non-model plants.

Keywords: phylogenetics; stress response; plant stress tolerance; genetic diversity; genome editing

Plants encounter numerous environmental stresses throughout their lives because of their immobile nature. Unfavorable environments include abiotic stresses such as aberrant temperatures (cold or heat), drought and hypertonic stress, and biotic ones such as infections by viruses, bacteria and fungi. Although plants have evolved a variety of strategies to adapt to their growth environments, these stresses have led to massive yield losses, and global climate change is expected to limit crop production further. Thus, breeding stress-resistant crops to maintain or increase yields under challenging environments is essential for feeding a growing population. To date, numerous genes that contribute to plant stress tolerance and defense have been revealed in model plants and a few crops through genetic studies. The discovery of these molecular regulators opens a window for molecular breeding to improve plant resilience to stresses. As the most powerful genome editing tool, the CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats)–Cas (CRISPR-associated protein) system has accelerated modern plant breeding and wild-species domestication [1,2]. However, the majority of these important gene families have not yet been investigated in a large range of crops and horticultural plants including vegetables, flowers and trees.

Phylogenetic analysis is a powerful method for translating the accumulated knowledge of molecular stress regulators in model plants to other plant species. Additionally, with the advances in high-throughput sequencing, the genome assembly of many plants has been completed, and subsequent transcriptome profiles under different environmental stresses are publicly available, providing an important data resource for illustrating phylogenetic trees and exploring the expression patterns

of given gene families. These include transcriptional regulators such as transcription factors that regulate the expression of hundreds and thousands of downstream genes, playing a critical role in plant responses to stress signals. More specifically, heat shock factors (HSFs) are well known to promote plant tolerance to extremely high temperatures [3], while WRKY transcription factors function in diverse stress responses including abiotic and plant immunity responses [4,5]. Correspondingly, the phylogenetic analysis of *HSFs* and their expression profiling under heat stress have been performed in tomatoes [6], and the phylogenetic tree of the *WRKY* gene family and the responses to multiple stresses have also been demonstrated in non-model plants such as the wild potato [4,7]. Besides, some transcription factors are critical in plant development, but the same family members are also responsive to specific stresses, indicating a key role in balancing plant development and stress responses. For example, previous studies of *TCP* transcription factors were more focused on their function in controlling plant development [8], but expression pattern analysis showed that the transcription of *TCP* genes was induced or repressed by drought and cold in cassava [9]. Given the progresses achieved, there is still much left to learn about the functional conservation and genetic diversity of transcription factors among different plant species.

In addition to transcriptional regulators, many post-transcriptional regulators contribute to plant stress tolerance as well. For instance, the post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS) system plays a vital role in plant defenses against viral infections [10], and many small RNAs function in plant stress tolerance through PTGS [11]. Therefore, the key components of small RNA biogenesis pathways provide potential targets for genome editing. Regarding this, the essential small RNA biogenesis genes Dicer-like proteins (*DCLs*), Argonautes (*AGOs*) and RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (*RDRs*) were identified genome-wide in three legume crops, and their roles in biotic stress responses were uncovered [12]. Recent findings have evidenced that several RNA modifications, such as N⁶-methyladenosine (m⁶A) and 5-methylcytosine (m⁵C), can influence plant responses to salt, high temperatures and virus infections [13–15]. Thus, the key components and complexes known as writers, readers and erasers of these RNA modifications are also potential editing loci for improving plant yields for confronting environmental stresses. Given their importance, a phylogenetic tree of 278 YTH domain-containing proteins (m⁶A readers) from 22 plant species was constructed [16], while the expression pattern of these genes under stressful conditions in these species remains unclear. Overall, more post-transcriptional regulators need to be characterized genome-wide in non-model plants and to be studied extensively for their response to stresses.

The molecular regulators contributing to stress tolerance also include post-translational regulators such as autophagy pathway players [17], metabolic enzymes [18] and genes involved in phytohormone signaling pathways. For instance, as a plant stress hormone, abscisic acid (ABA), plays a critical role in plant responses to multiple abiotic stresses [19]. Therefore, the genome-wide characterization of the ABA receptor *PYL* gene families and their stress responses in rice provides useful information for the further application of *PYL* gene editing in crops [20]. Furthermore, this study also identified single amino acid polymorphisms of these *OsPYLs* across different rice genotypes [20], which could be used as base editing targets. Therefore, detailed information of genetic variations within the same species and among different species will provide potential precise editing loci for breeding stress-resistant crops. Still, there are many stress regulators left to be uncovered in depth through phylogenetic studies in non-model plant species.

Taken together, this Special Issue aims to collect a vast set of work by providing insights into the phylogenetics and stress-response diversities of molecular regulators, which could be potentially applied in genetic engineering to improve plant stress tolerance.

Acknowledgments: This research received no external funding. We thank the assistant editor Thamas Suwannamas for inviting us to guest-edit this Special Issue.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Zhang, H.; Li, Y.; Zhu, J.-K. Developing naturally stress-resistant crops for a sustainable agriculture. *Nat. Plants* **2018**, *4*, 989–996. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Zhu, H.; Li, C.; Gao, C. Applications of CRISPR–Cas in agriculture and plant biotechnology. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2020**, *21*, 661–667. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Guo, M.; Liu, J.-H.; Ma, X.; Luo, D.-X.; Gong, Z.-H.; Lu, M.-H. The Plant Heat Stress Transcription Factors (HSFs): Structure, Regulation, and Function in Response to Abiotic Stresses. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 114. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Phukan, U.J.; Jeena, G.S.; Shukla, R.K. WRKY Transcription Factors: Molecular Regulation and Stress Responses in Plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 60. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Pandey, S.P.; Somssich, I.E. The Role of WRKY Transcription Factors in Plant Immunity. *Plant Physiol.* **2009**, *150*, 1648–1655. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Yang, X.; Zhu, W.; Zhang, H.; Liu, N.; Tian, S. Heat shock factors in tomatoes: Genome-wide identification, phylogenetic analysis and expression profiling under development and heat stress. *PeerJ* **2016**, *4*, e1961. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Villano, C.; Esposito, S.; D’Amelia, V.; Garramone, R.; Alioto, D.; Zoina, A.; Aversano, R.; Carputo, D. WRKY genes family study reveals tissue-specific and stress-responsive TFs in wild potato species. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 7196. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
8. Manassero, N.G.U.; Viola, I.L.; Welchen, E.; Gonzalez, D.H. TCP transcription factors: Architectures of plant form. *BioMolecular Concepts* **2013**, *4*, 111–127. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
9. Lei, N.; Yu, X.; Li, S.; Zeng, C.; Zou, L.; Liao, W.; Peng, M. Phylogeny and expression pattern analysis of TCP transcription factors in cassava seedlings exposed to cold and/or drought stress. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 10016. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Waterhouse, P.M.; Wang, M.-B.; Lough, T. Gene silencing as an adaptive defence against viruses. *Nature* **2001**, *411*, 834–842. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
11. Shukla, L.; Chinnusamy, V.; Sunkar, R. The role of microRNAs and other endogenous small RNAs in plant stress responses. *Biochim Biophys. Acta (BBA) Gene Regul. Mech.* **2008**, *1779*, 743–748. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Garg, V.; Agarwal, G.; Pazhamala, L.T.; Nayak, S.N.; Kudapa, H.; Khan, A.W.; Doddamani, D.; Sharma, M.; Kavi Kishor, P.B.; Varshney, R.K. Genome-Wide Identification, Characterization, and Expression Analysis of Small RNA Biogenesis Purveyors Reveal Their Role in Regulation of Biotic Stress Responses in Three Legume Crops. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 488. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Anderson, S.J.; Kramer, M.C.; Gosai, S.J.; Yu, X.; Vandivier, L.E.; Nelson, A.D.L.; Anderson, Z.D.; Beilstein, M.A.; Fray, R.G.; Lyons, E.; et al. N6-Methyladenosine Inhibits Local Ribonucleolytic Cleavage to Stabilize mRNAs in Arabidopsis. *Cell Rep.* **2018**, *25*, 1146–1157. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Tang, Y.; Gao, C.-C.; Gao, Y.; Yang, Y.; Shi, B.; Yu, J.-L.; Lyu, C.; Sun, B.-F.; Wang, H.-L.; Xu, Y.; et al. OsNSUN2-Mediated 5-Methylcytosine mRNA Modification Enhances Rice Adaptation to High Temperature. *Dev. Cell* **2020**, *53*, 272–286. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Martínez-Pérez, M.; Aparicio, F.; López-Gresa, M.P.; Bellés, J.M.; Sánchez-Navarro, J.A.; Pallás, V. Arabidopsis m⁶A demethylase activity modulates viral infection of a plant virus and the m⁶A abundance in its genomic RNAs. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2017**, *114*, 10755–10760. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. Yue, H.; Nie, X.; Yan, Z.; Weining, S. N6-methyladenosine regulatory machinery in plants: Composition, function and evolution. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **2019**, *17*, 1194–1208. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
17. Bao, Y.; Song, W.-M.; Wang, P.; Yu, X.; Li, B.; Jiang, C.; Shiu, S.-H.; Zhang, H.; Bassham, D.C. COST1 regulates autophagy to control plant drought tolerance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2020**, *117*, 7482–7493. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
18. Bao, Y.; Magallanes-Lundback, M.; Deason, N.; DellaPenna, D. High throughput profiling of tocochromanols in leaves and seeds of Arabidopsis and Maize. *Plant Methods* **2020**, *16*, 126. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

19. Vishwakarma, K.; Upadhyay, N.; Kumar, N.; Yadav, G.; Singh, J.; Mishra, R.K.; Kumar, V.; Verma, R.; Upadhyay, R.G.; Pandey, M.; et al. Abscisic Acid Signaling and Abiotic Stress Tolerance in Plants: A Review on Current Knowledge and Future Prospects. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 161. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
20. Yadav, S.K.; Santosh Kumar, V.V.; Verma, R.K.; Yadav, P.; Saroha, A.; Wankhede, D.P.; Chaudhary, B.; Chinnusamy, V. Genome-wide identification and characterization of ABA receptor PYL gene family in rice. *BMC Genomics* **2020**, *21*, 676. [[CrossRef](#)]

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).