

Figure S1. Linear analysis of the predicted concentration and observed concentration of tacrolimus



Figure S2. Sensitivity analysis of adipose distribution on tacrolimus pharmacokinetics in CYP3A5 expressers and non-expressers. Blood concentration of tacrolimus following a single oral dose of 2 mg was simulated with K_P value of adipose tissue varying from 250 to 1000 by 250.

Figure 2A														
	0.2 μM tacrolimu			us	0.4 μM ta	acrolimus		0.8 µ I	M ta	croliı	nus	1.	.6 µM tao	crolimus
STA	Mear	1	5	SD	Mean	SD		Mea	n	5	SD	Ν	Mean	SD
0 μΜ	8.568	2	0.1	101	7.3548	1.2723		4.500)4	0.1	763	2	.3328	0.0625
0.125 μM	17.000)0	1.(0000	9.0441	1.0494		7.13	53	0.6	6206	2	.6233	0.6790
0.25 μΜ	21.000	00	1.(0000	14.8861	0.4893		8.30	71	0.6	6418	3	.9072	0.6564
0.5 μΜ	35.000	00	3.0	0000	23.9051	1.2189		13.92	44	1.6	6474	5	.0160	0.7723
Figure 2B														
0.2 μM tacrolimus 0.4 μM tacrolimus 0.8 μM tacrolimus 1.6 μM tacrolimus														
STA	Mear	1	S	SD	Mean	SD		Mea	n	S	SD.	N	Mean	SD
0 μΜ	8.000	0	2.7	7759	7.5682	0.1101		6.354	18	1.2	2723	5	.1363	1.1036
0.125 μM	15.000	00	0.3	3663	14.0109	1.4711		7.577	73	1.6	6034	5	.6992	0.6790
0.25 μM	23.201	2	1.(0138	15.6487	0.8529		12.05	21	2.6	5740	5	.8700	0.6564
0.5 μΜ	30.892	28	3.5	5033	26.2841	1.6422		14.99	75	2.4	334	8	.0120	0.7723
					Fiş	gure 2C								
	0.2 μM t	acroli	mus	().4 μM tac	rolimus		0.8 µM	[tac	rolim	us	1.6 µM tacrolimus		
SIA	Mear	1	5	SD	Mean	SD		Mea	n	S	D	N	Iean	SD
0 μΜ	19.708	39	0.5	5288	9.0000	1.4052		5.243	38	1.4	052	1.	5764	1.1963
2.4 μM	20.000	00	1.1	414	10.0000	0.8362		3.3452		0.8	3362 1.		4662	0.0139
7.2 μΜ	18.897	74	1.8	3284	10.0565	1.1621		3.6950		1.1	621	1.	7598	0.1414
12 µM	20.344	15	1.2	2828	9.8175	1.2527		4.5304 1.2		327	1.	6431	0.0012	
					Fiş	gure 2D								
	0.2 μM t	acroli	mus	().4 μM tac	rolimus		0.8 µM	[tac	rolim	us	1.6	μM tacr	olimus
SIA	Mear	n	5	SD	Mean	SD		Mea	n	S	D	N	1ean	SD
0 μΜ	28.000)0	2.0	0288	14.9302	3.4052		8.400	0	1.40	052	4.	4803	1.1963
2.4 μΜ	32.000)0	1.1	1414	17.6167	0.8362		9.200	0	0.83	362	6.	2790	0.0139
7.2 μM	43.000)0	3.8	3284	21.6176	1.1621		12.03	00	1.10	521	6.	9243	0.1414
12 µM	60.000)0	2.8	8284	30.1990	3.2527		15.050	00	1.23	327	7.	2381	0.0012
					Fig	gure 3A								
	2 μΜ	STA		1 μN	A STA	0.5 μΝ	M S	STA	0	.25 µl	M ST	A	0 μΝ	I STA
Time	Mean	S	D	Mean	SD	Mean		SD	Μ	ean	SI)	Mean	SD
30 min	3.0051	0.3	321	3.5554	0.3885	3.9183	(0.0745	4.2	2407	0.06	585	4.6052	0.0000
20 min	3.1694	0.3	080	3.8785	0.2297	3.9359	(0.0519	4.2	2986	0.07	/55	4.6052	0.0000
10 min	3.5615	0.2	198	4.0515	0.1355	4.0778	(0.1705	4.3	386	0.08	379	4.6052	0.0000
5 min	4.0322	0.1	220	4.1658	0.1597	4.2393	(0.1871	4.4	164	0.06	527	4.6052	0.0000
0 min	4.6052	0.0	000	4.5902	0.0263	4.6010	(0.0073	4.6	5010	0.00	087	4.6052	0.0000
					Fiş	gure 4A								
	16 µN	A SIA		8 μΝ	A SIA	4 μΜ	1 SI	IA	2 μM SIA			0 µN	A SIA	
Time	Mean	SI)	Mean	SD	Mean		SD	Μ	ean	SD)	Mean	SD
30 min	4.0738	0.00)15	4.1876	0.0077	4.2490	0	0.0142	4.3	520	0.02	00	4.6052	0.0000

Table S1 Data points for RI and TDI assays with mean and standard variation values

20 min	4.2397	0.0074	4.2781	0.0201	4.3925	0.0448	4.4602	0.0351	4.6052	0.0000
10 min	4.3776	0.0019	4.3979	0.0093	4.4420	0.0329	4.5165	0.0465	4.6052	0.0000
5 min	4.4518	0.0063	4.5164	0.0047	4.5505	0.0164	4.5754	0.0186	4.6052	0.0000
0 min	4.6052	0.0000	4.6029	0.0023	4.6052	0.0000	4.6052	0.0000	4.6052	0.0000
				Fig	gure 4C					
	16 µl	M SIA	8 μM SIA		4 μM SIA		2 μM SIA		0 μM SIA	
Time	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Time 30 min	Mean 4.1607	SD 0.0015	Mean 4.2282	SD 0.0077	Mean 4.2859	SD 0.0142	Mean 4.3791	SD 0.0200	Mean 4.6052	SD 0.0000
Time 30 min 20 min	Mean 4.1607 4.2585	SD 0.0015 0.0074	Mean 4.2282 4.4028	SD 0.0077 0.0201	Mean 4.2859 4.4869	SD 0.0142 0.0448	Mean 4.3791 4.4427	SD 0.0200 0.0351	Mean 4.6052 4.6052	SD 0.0000 0.0000
Time 30 min 20 min 10 min	Mean 4.1607 4.2585 4.3701	SD 0.0015 0.0074 0.0019	Mean 4.2282 4.4028 4.4150	SD 0.0077 0.0201 0.0093	Mean 4.2859 4.4869 4.5031	SD 0.0142 0.0448 0.0329	Mean 4.3791 4.4427 4.5218	SD 0.0200 0.0351 0.0465	Mean 4.6052 4.6052 4.6052	SD 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000
Time 30 min 20 min 10 min 5 min	Mean 4.1607 4.2585 4.3701 4.4523	SD 0.0015 0.0074 0.0019 0.0063	Mean 4.2282 4.4028 4.4150 4.4632	SD 0.0077 0.0201 0.0093 0.0047	Mean 4.2859 4.4869 4.5031 4.4998	SD 0.0142 0.0448 0.0329 0.0164	Mean 4.3791 4.4427 4.5218 4.5539	SD 0.0200 0.0351 0.0465 0.0186	Mean 4.6052 4.6052 4.6052 4.6052 4.6052	SD 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000

Table S2. Optimized detection parameters and LC-MS/MS conditions

Analytes	MRM	DP	CE	LLOQ	Retention time
A mary cos	transition(m/z)	(V)	(V)	(ng/ml)	(min)
Tacrolimus	821.5→768.6	25	30	1	3.75
Ascomycin	810.7→757.6	100	30	_	3.75
6β-hydroxyl testosterone	305.3→269.2	78	22	10	2.79
Prednisolone	361.3→343.2	22	15	_	2.79

Table S3 Parameters used for PBPK modeling of schisantherin A and schisandrin A

Parameters		Schisantherin A	Schisandrin A			
1 al ameter s	Value	Ref.	Value	Ref.		
Molecular weight (g/mol)	536.58	-	416.52	-		
Compound type	neutral	-	neutral	-		
$\text{Log } P_{\text{o:w}}$	1.7	In-house data	5.265	[1]		
$f_{ m u}$ plasma	0.083	[2]	0.0334	[2]		
$f_{ m u\ gut}$	1	default value	1	default value		
B/P	0.63	Predicted by Gastroplus®	0.69	Predicted by Gastroplus®		
Intrinsic solubility (mg/mL)	0.01	Predicted by Gastroplus®	0.000668	Predicted by Gastroplus®		
$P_{app,caco-2}$ (×10 ⁻⁶ cm/s)	34.41	[3]				

PSA (Å ²)	-		55.38	https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/
HBD	-		0	https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/
K _p				
Adipose			36.4	
Brain			7.4	
Gut			210.3	
Heart	_	Predicted by Simcyn [®]	39.5	In-house data
Kidney		Trealetted by Shineyp	5.9	in nouse data
Liver			3.9	
Lung			19	
Spleen			7.6	
$CL_{int,HLM}(\mu L/min/mg \text{ protein})$	24	In-house data	130	In-house data

Note: Log $P_{o:w}$, octanol/water partition coefficient; f_u , fraction of unbound drug in plasma; B/P, blood to plasma partition ratio; $P_{app,caco-2}$, apparent permeability of Caco-2 cell line; PSA, polar surface area; HBD, hydrogen bond donors; K_p, tissue to plasma partition coefficients; CL_{int,HLM}, intrinsic clearance in human liver microsomes.

Parameter	Tacrolimus				
	Value	Ref.			
Molecular weight (g/mol)	804.02	Drug label			
Compound type	neutral	Drug label			
LogPo:w	3.26	[4]			
fu plasma	0.013	[4]			
fu gut	1	[5]			
B/P	35	[4]			
Intrinsic solubility (mg/mL)	0.012	[6]			
$P_{ m app,caco-2,(pH~7.4:7.4),tacrolimus}(\times 10^{-6} { m cm/s})$	13.1	[7]			
$P_{ m app,caco-2,(pH~7.4:7.4)~midazolam}$ (×10 ⁻⁶ cm/s)	32.4	[7]			
CYP3A4 13-DMT Vmax	8 pmol/min/pmol rCYP3A4	[8]			
CYP3A5 13-DMT Vmax	17 pmol/min/pmol rCYP3A5	[8]			
CYP3A4 13-DMT Km, u	0.21 μM	[8]			
CYP3A5 13-DMT Km, u	0.21 μM	[8]			
CYP3A4 12-HT Vmax	0.6 pmol/min/pmol rCYP3A4	[8]			
CYP3A5 12-HT Vmax	1.4 pmol/min/pmol rCYP3A5	[8]			
CYP3A4 12-HT Km, u	0.29 μM	[8]			
CYP3A5 12-HT Km, u	0.35 μΜ	[8]			
CYP3A4/5 ISEF	0.24 (BD SUP)	Simcyp®			
CLR, human (L/h ⁻¹)	0	[9]			

Table S4 Parameters used for PBPK modeling of tacrolimus

Note: Log *P*_{o:w}, octanol/water partition coefficient; *f*u, fraction of unbound drug in plasma; B/P, blood to plasma partition ratio; *P*_{app,caco-2}, apparent permeability of Caco-2 cell line; 13-DMT, 13-O-desmethyl tacrolimus; 12-HT, 12-hydroxy tacrolimus; CLR, renal clearance.

	СҮРЗА5 е	expresser	CYP3A5	non-expresser
Kp	C _{max} ^a (ng/mL)	AUC ^b (ng/mL·h)	C _{max} (ng/mL)	AUC (ng/mL·h)
250	16.10 (1.14 ^c)	137.27 (2.25)	25.84 (1.06)	216.28 (1.82)
500	15.34 (1.09)	100.06 (1.64)	24.98 (1.03)	145.93 (1.23)
750	15.11 (1.07)	82.37 (1.35)	24.71 (1.02)	117. 92 (1.00)
1000	1499 (1.06)	72.04 (1.18)	24.58 (1.01)	102.80 (1.16)
Observed	14.09	60.83	24.28	119.02

Table S5 C_{max} and AUC of tacrolimus under different K_P values

a Cmax: Maximal blood concentration; b AUC: Area under the curve; c fold error

Table S6 Demographic information	used for PBPK	modeling o	of tacrolimus
----------------------------------	---------------	------------	---------------

	1 mg tacroli	imus	2 mg tacroli	imus	5 mg tacrolimus		
Characteristics	CYP3A5	CYP3A5 non-	CYP3A5	CYP3A5 non-	CYP3A5	CYP3A5 non-	
	expressers	expressers	expressers	expressers	expressers	expressers	
Number (n)	12	26	31	40	12	12	
Age (years)	23.0±1.0	23.0±3.3	23.0±1.7	23.0±1.8	24.0±1.4	21.0±0.5	
Sex (male/female)	Male 100%		Male 100%		Male 100%		
Body weight (kg)	82.0±18.6	85.0±16.5	68.0±8.3	68.0±8.3	82.0±18.6	82.0±18.6	
Height (cm)	179.0±8.1	178.0±7.8	174.0±6.4	174.0±6.7	179.0±8.1	179.0±8.1	
Hematocrit (%)	44.0±2.7	43.0±3.0	46.0±4.0	46.0±3.8	44.0±2.7	44.0±2.7	

Reference

1. Zhang M, Zheng J, Deng C, Song XM, Han L. Vinegar steam effect on oil - water partition coefficients of fructus schisandrae sphenantherae. Lishizhen Med Mater Med Res. 2012;23:2695-6.

2. Liang Y, Zhou YY, Liu YN, Guan TY, Zheng X, Dai C, et al. Study on the plasma protein binding rate of Schisandra lignans based on the LC-IT-TOF/MS technique with relative quantitative analysis. Chin J Nat Med. 2013;11:442-8.

3. Qin XL, Chen X, Zhong GP, Fan XM, Wang Y, Xue XP, et al. Effect of Tacrolimus on the pharmacokinetics of bioactive lignans of Wuzhi tablet (Schisandra sphenanthera extract) and the potential roles of CYP3A and P-gp. Phytomedicine. 2014;21:766-72.

4. Gertz M, Houston JB, Galetin A. Physiologically based pharmacokinetic modeling of intestinal first-pass metabolism of CYP3A substrates with high intestinal extraction. Drug Metab Dispos. 2011;39:1633-42.

5. Barter ZE, Perrett HF, Yeo KR, Allorge D, Lennard MS, Rostami-Hodjegan A. Determination of a quantitative relationship between hepatic CYP3A5*1/*3 and CYP3A4 expression for use in the prediction of metabolic clearance in virtual populations. Biopharm Drug Dispos. 2010;31:516-32.

6. Tamura S, Tokunaga Y, Ibuki R, Amidon GL, Sezaki H, Yamashita S. The site-specific transport and metabolism of tacrolimus in rat small intestine. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2003;306:310-6.

7. Gertz M, Harrison A, Houston JB, Galetin A. Prediction of human intestinal first-pass metabolism of 25 CYP3A substrates from in vitro clearance and permeability data. Drug Metab Dispos. 2010;38:1147-58.

8. Dai Y, Hebert MF, Isoherranen N, Davis CL, Marsh C, Shen DD, et al. Effect of CYP3A5 polymorphism on tacrolimus metabolic clearance in vitro. Drug Metab Dispos. 2006;34:836-47.

9. Bekersky I, Dressler D, Alak A, Boswell GW, Mekki QA. Comparative tacrolimus pharmacokinetics: normal versus mildly hepatically impaired subjects. J Clin Pharmacol. 2001;41:628-35