



## Supplementary File 5 – Results of the quality assessment

Author	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5
Björk et al. 2008 [27]	1	3	1	1	2
Dadvand et al. 2016 [28]	1	3	1	1	2
Orban et al. 2017 [29]	1	3	1	1	2
Reklaitienne et al. 2014 [30]	1	2	1	1	2
Ruijsbroek et al. 2017 [31]	1	3	1	1	2
Stronegger et al. 2010 [32]	1	2	1	1	2
Triguero-Mas et al. 2005 [33]	1	3	1	1	2

Author	Selection Bias	Information Bias	Confounding Bias	Sum
Björk et al. 2008 [27]	3 = Poor	1 = Good	2 = Fair	6 = Fair quality
Dadvand et al. 2016 [28]	3 = Poor	1 = Good	2 = Fair	6 = Fair quality
Orban et al. 2017 [29]	3 = Poor	1 = Good	2 = Fair	6 = Fair quality
Reklaitienne et al. 2014 [30]	2 = Fair	1 = Good	2 = Fair	5 = Fair quality
Ruijsbroek et al. 2017 [31]	3 = Poor	1 = Good	2 = Fair	6 = Fair quality
Stronegger et al. 2010 [32]	2 = Fair	1 = Good	2 = Fair	5 = Fair quality
Triguero-Mas et al. 2005 [33]	3 = Poor	1 = Good	2 = Fair	6 = Fair quality