Article

Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Teacher Quality of Life: A Longitudinal Study from before and during the Health Crisis

Pablo A. Lizana [1,*] **, Gustavo Vega-Fernadez** [1] **, Alejandro Gomez-Bruton** [2] **, Bárbara Leyton** [3] **and Lydia Lera** [3,4]

1   Laboratory of Morphological Sciences, Instituto de Biología, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Valparaíso 2373223, Chile; gustavo.vega@pucv.cl
2   GENUD "Growth, Exercise, Nutrition and Development" Research Group, Faculty of Sport and Health Sciences, Universidad de Zaragoza, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain; bruton@unizar.es
3   Public Nutrition Unit, Institute of Nutrition and Food Technology, University of Chile, Santiago 7830490, Chile; bleyton@inta.uchile.cl (B.L.); llera@inta.uchile.cl (L.L.)
4   Online Education, Keiser University, 1900 W Commercial Blvd, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309, USA
*   Correspondence: pablo.lizana@pucv.cl

Abstract: Background: Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, teachers were already reporting a low quality of life (QoL) perception, with a significant impact on mental and physical health due to various stress factors associated with work overload. The objective of this study was to evaluate the QoL impact on Chilean teachers before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. The analysis was performed following a longitudinal design on a sample of 63 Chilean teachers in pre-pandemic and COVID-19 pandemic timeframes. QoL perception, along with teachers' sociodemographic data, was evaluated via the Short-Form 36 Health Survey (SF-36) questionnaire. Sociodemographic variables presented no significant variations in pre-pandemic and pandemic comparisons. QoL, however, showed a significant decrease during the pandemic compared to the pre-pandemic measurement ($p < 0.01$). In each gender, there were significant differences between pre-pandemic and pandemic timeframes, with a greater impact among women in the mental and physical component summary variables and seven of the eight QoL scales ($p < 0.01$). Between age categories, people under 45 presented significant differences ($p < 0.05$) between pre-pandemic and pandemic timeframes in all summary dimensions and measurements. In conclusion, Chilean teachers' QoL perception has been affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. These findings could be related to work overload due to teleworking or feelings of uncertainty, loneliness, and fear that the pandemic and its associated confinements will worsen.

Keywords: pandemic; COVID-19; school teachers; quality of life; mental health

1. Introduction

Due to the rapid worldwide spread of the coronavirus (COVID-19), work organizations have had to adapt to public health measures regarding social distancing to reduce viral dissemination, forcing a massive shift towards teleworking [1]. In this context, teleworking is a factor that has been a major challenge for some professionals, with a lack of control over working hours and increased psychosocial risks associated with stress and work overload [2,3]. Furthermore, teleworking has had a significant impact on professional and personal life (work–life balance), producing physical and mental exhaustion and burnout [4]. Additionally, the increase in digital technologies at work has increased stress in workers (techno-stress), which is associated with significant psychosocial demands [5]. The concept of techno-stress includes the adverse effects caused by technology on people's behaviors and physiology [6]. In this context, as psychosomatic consequences are recognized, over time, teachers may develop high levels of burnout [7]. Thus, in Chile, techno-stress (techno-anxiety, techno-fatigue, or both conditions) had already been reported in teachers

who incorporated computer and communication technologies into their practices before the COVID-19 pandemic [8]. In this context, techno-stress in teachers may be caused by the introduction of technology to the classroom and a lack of adaptation to the technological environment [9]. Due to the global crisis caused by COVID-19, the change from face-to-face to an online format may affect teachers' mental health due to the short adaptation period.

The COVID-19 pandemic created rapid global change that affected the teaching world. In many countries, the situation was approached with strict confinement by closing educational establishments [10] and obligating teachers to swiftly adapt to distance learning [11]. In Chile, 3 March 2020 saw the first case of the SARS-CoV-2 virus, which can cause severe acute respiratory syndrome [12]. Throughout the Chilean territory, on 16 March, churches, schools, and gymnasiums were closed by decree, followed by the closure of international borders. From the beginning, plans for social isolation were implemented, with dynamic quarantines between Chilean regions that were eventually extended through various regional capitals and cities showing higher infection rates. Some cities reached 120 days of total quarantine. The health crisis outlook is a reflection of the critical scenario in many developed and developing countries, where the psychosocial impact has been reported as significantly high throughout the population [13]. This is a context in which differences in the quality-of-life (QoL) perception of the population could emerge, especially among the teacher labor pool, which, prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, was already reporting diminished QoL perception associated with various factors [14,15].

Teachers have experienced an important change in their work format during the global health emergency [16]. Prior to the pandemic, these professionals were widely studied, and research showed a high work overload that led to work burnout [17,18]. Some reports indicate that stressors present among teachers include poor working conditions, difficulties with students and families, and work organizational factors [19]. In the educational workforce, teachers must organize their work and allot extra hours for tending to parents and guardians, preparing materials, and planning, which is mostly done at home [20]. On top of this, teachers have reported various epidemic problems, such as high rates of chronic non-transmissible diseases associated with QoL deterioration [15], high prevalence of obesity and low physical activity (associated with post-work fatigue and very late work hours) [18], high rates of musculoskeletal disorders, burnout syndrome, depression, and anxiety [21,22]. Additionally, these problems are exacerbated among females [23], a highly important sociodemographic factor given the high proportion of women in this profession [20,24]; age is also a significant factor associated with greater mental and physical deterioration [14,15]. All of this takes place within a context of work overload multiplied by teleworking and other factors that result in physical and mental QoL deterioration [19,25]. Therefore, the objective of this study was to compare health-related QoL in teachers before and during the COVID-19 pandemic.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Participants

During the COVID-19 pandemic, 155 random teachers were contacted via e-mail, all of whom had participated in the Chilean National Fund for Scientific and Technological Research project (FONDECYT-ANID 11170716) before the pandemic. Ninety-two teachers were excluded due to incomplete data, leaving a sample of 63 teachers (from 13 schools; 46.15% from northern Chile, 23.08% from central Chile, and 30.77% from southern Chile), who participated in both evaluations and satisfied the longitudinal design of this study.

2.2. Instruments

All sociodemographic data on participants were gathered through surveys. To evaluate teachers' QoL, the Short-Form 36 Health Survey (SF-36) instrument, which was developed in the USA to evaluate QoL perception related to health in adults, was applied [26]. This questionnaire was adapted syntactically and semantically to Chilean idiosyncrasy and applied to a representative sample of the Chilean adult population [27]. The instrument

consists of 36 Likert-type personal appraisal questions grouped into eight scales: physical function, role limitations due to physical problems, bodily pain, general health perceptions, vitality, social functioning, role limitations due to emotional problems, and mental health. These scales are also grouped into two summary measurements: a physical component summary (PCS) and a mental component summary (MCS). Participants' scores for each scale and component are transformed into a scale of 0–100, followed by calculating z-score and t-score values for each scale and summary measurements in the mental and physical component summaries with an internationally standardized method [28].

To calculate the scores for each scale and component, the method using standardized scores in the USA was applied. For the general population, the scores of each scale were obtained with the following transformation:

$$T = z\text{-score} \times 10 + 50$$

The values of each component were obtained with the following:

$$SF\text{-}36\ PCS = \Sigma\ (z\text{-score of each scale} \times \text{respective physical factor coefficient}) \times 10 + 50$$

$$SF\text{-}36\ MCS = \Sigma\ (z\text{-score of each scale} \times \text{respective mental factor coefficient}) \times 10 + 50$$

This transformation, with a mean of 50 and an SD of 10, allows the results to be directly interpretable. Thus, scores above 50 indicate a better QoL and scores below 50 indicate a worse QoL than the mean of the reference population. Regarding the reliability of the SF-36 scale, Cronbach's alpha coefficient was high and homogeneous ($\alpha = 0.85$) for each of the eight SF-36 scales. Factor analysis yielded two factors; the first and second factors accounted for 77% and 32% of the total variance and 90% and 38% of the reliable variance, respectively. The data met the Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin measure (0.85) with small values, meaning that, overall, the variables had too little in common to warrant a factor analysis. Pearson's correlation coefficients of the eight scale scores with MCS indicated a high correlation for vitality, social functioning, role limitations due to emotional problems, and mental health, ranging from $r = 0.92$ (mental health) to $r = 0.78$ (social functioning). On the other hand, the medium and highest correlations for PCS were obtained for the scales of physical function, role limitations due to physical problems, bodily pain, and general health perceptions, ranging from $r = 0.74$ (body pain) to $r = 0.58$ (general health perceptions), as shown in Appendix A, Tables A1–A4.

2.3. Procedure

Teachers' QoL was evaluated in two stages: pre-pandemic and pandemic. The evaluation of pre-pandemic subjects included teachers from the FONDECYT-ANID 11170716 study who were interviewed in person between October 2018 and October 2019; during the pandemic, contact was reestablished with teachers via email between July and October 2020. The teachers who agreed to participate answered a Spanish survey via an online survey platform named SurveyMonkey (SurveyMonkey, San Mateo, CA, USA).

No monetary compensation was given for completing the questionnaire. The study met Helsinki Declaration guidelines [29]. Participating teachers signed informed voluntary consent forms prior to collecting their background information (sociodemographic data and SF-36 questionnaire), which explicitly said that all personal results are strictly confidential. All procedures for this study were approved by the bioethics committee of the Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed with STATA 16 software for Windows. Descriptive statistics were analyzed using measures with standard deviations (SDs) for continuous variables and frequencies with percentages for categorical variables (*n*, %). Sociodemographic variables were compared between genders in the pre-pandemic and pandemic timeframes. QoL was also evaluated by comparing each scale and summary measure between the pre-

pandemic and pandemic periods for each of the two teacher age groups ($\leq$44 years old and $\geq$45 years old). Age categories were obtained from the Chilean National Health Survey 2009/2010 [30], and QoL (according to all scales) was compared between pre-pandemic and pandemic periods in males and females. Specific tests were used for comparing medians (*t*-test for related samples or their non-parametric equivalent, Wilcoxon) according to results of the Shapiro–Wilk normality test, and the chi-squared and Fisher's exact association tests were used to analyze categorical variables.

3. Results

3.1. Participant Characteristics

Of the 63 study participants, 71% were women (*n* = 45). In Table 1, we present the sociodemographic characteristics analyzed between genders in pre-pandemic and pandemic periods, with all variables showing no significant differences between the two evaluation periods.

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of Chilean teachers analyzed by gender before and during the COVID-19 pandemic (*n* = 63).

	Pre-Pandemic			Pandemic		
	Male	**Female**	*p* **Value**	**Male**	**Female**	*p* **Value**
Age (years) [d]	41.2 ± 13.3	37.3 ± 10.7	0.360 [a]	42.6 ± 13.4	38.9 ± 10.9	0.398 [a]
$\leq$44 [e]	10 (55.6)	33 (73.33)	0.232 [b]	10 (58.82)	31 (67.39)	0.562 [b]
$\geq$45 [e]	8 (44.4)	12 (26.67)		7 (41.18)	151 (32.61)	
Marital status [e]						
Single	16 (88.89)	41 (91.11)	0.07 [b]	16 (88.89)	41 (91.11)	0.07 [b]
Married/partnered	2 (11.11)	4 (8.89)		2 (11.11)	4 (8.89)	
Experience work (years) [d]				15.944 ± 13.50	13.355 ± 10.81	0.731 [a]
Type of contract [e]						
Fixed-term	18 (100)	45 (100)	-	18 (100)	45 (100)	-
Type of school [e]						
Public (state)	2 (11.11)	8 (17.78)	0.473 [c]	3 (16.67)	10 (22.22)	0.546 [c]
Private (subsidized)	12 (66.67)	22 (48.89)		11 (61.11)	20 (44.44)	
Private (non-subsidized)	4 (22.22)	15 (33.33)		4 (22.22)	15 (33.33)	
Domestic work [e,f]						
<15 h	18 (28.57)	45 (71.43)	-	13 (72.22)	36 (80.00)	0.502 [b]
>15 h	-	-		5 (27.78)	9 (20.00)	

<15 h and >15 h, domestic work in hours; *p* < 0.05. [a] Wilcoxon test, [b] Fisher's exact test, [c] chi-squared test. [d] Data are expressed as mean and standard deviation. [e] Data are expressed as frequency (percentage). [f] Performing household chores, either your own or someone else's, without payment (e.g., cooking, cleaning, shopping, laundry, ironing, child care, etc.).

3.2. Quality of Life

In Table 2, we can observe a comparison of scores on each of the eight scales and the two summary measurements on the SF-36 survey in the pre-pandemic and pandemic timeframes for the total sample of individuals. Participants in the pre-pandemic period presented higher scores on QoL perception in all dimensions in comparison with measurements taken during the pandemic. Comparisons of all QoL dimensions in pre-pandemic and pandemic timeframes were all significant (*p* < 0.01). During the pandemic, the dimensions with the lowest scores were social functioning (35.251 ± 12.826), mental health (36.868 ± 10.783), and the mental component summary (34.959 ± 10.3).

Table 2. Comparison of Short-Form 36 Health Survey (SF-36) measurements of 8 scales and quality of life (QoL) summary measurements evaluated in pre-pandemic and pandemic timeframes in the total sample and each gender.

QoL	Total Sample (*n* = 63)			Male (*n* = 18)			Female (*n* = 45)		
	Pre-Pandemic	Pandemic		Pre-Pandemic	Pandemic		Pre-Pandemic	Pandemic	
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	*p*	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	*p*	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	*p*
Physical function	51.223 ± 6.72	47.930 ± 8.17	0.002 [b]	48.762 ± 9.29	46.942 ± 8.78	0.457 [b]	52.208 ± 5.18	48.325 ± 7.99	<0.001 [b]
Role limitations due to physical problems	47.494 ± 6.71	44.009 ± 7.67	0.007 [a]	49.090 ± 6.47	44.056 ± 7.75	0.005 [b]	50.672 ± 4.79	45.206 ± 7.02	<0.001 [b]
Bodily pain	44.682 ± 8.56	38.543 ± 9.96	<0.001 [a]	46.254 ± 9.97	42.061 ± 10.34	0.224 [a]	44.053 ± 7.96	37.135 ± 9.56	<0.001 [a]
General health perceptions	47.997 ± 9.51	43.236 ± 10.37	<0.001 [b]	49.178 ± 8.79	41.603 ± 10.70	0.026 [a]	47.525 ± 9.83	43.889 ± 10.29	0.004 [b]
Vitality	46.795 ± 7.73	40.187 ± 8.21	<0.001 [a]	46.533 ± 7.55	43.704 ± 7.89	0.114 [a]	45.967 ± 9.69	38.779 ± 7.98	<0.001 [a]
Social functioning	41.99 ± 11.43	35.251 ± 12.82	0.003 [a]	43.675 ± 10.36	32.812 ± 14.61	0.015 [a]	41.316 ± 11.83	36.226 ± 12.08	0.047 [a]
Role limitations due to emotional problems	47.494 ± 6.72	44.009 ± 7.67	0.008 [a]	47.253 ± 7.69	44.449 ± 8.66	0.311 [a]	47.589 ± 6.38	43.832 ± 7.33	0.011 [a]
Mental health	45.769 ± 8.86	36.868 ± 10.78	<0.001 [a]	46.386 ± 9.66	38.520 ± 11.47	0.033 [a]	44.350 ± 11.48	36.207 ± 10.55	<0.001 [a]
Physical component summary	47.33 ± 6.23	43.414 ± 6.94	0.001 [b]	46.573 ± 7.17	43.086 ± 7.74	0.170 [a]	47.633 ± 5.87	43.545 ± 6.67	0.002 [a]
Mental component summary	42.074 ± 9.68	34.959 ± 10.30	<0.001 [a]	43.194 ± 9.96	36.137 ± 11.04	0.052 [a]	41.626 ± 9.65	34.489 ± 10.07	<0.001 [a]

p < 0.05 [a] *t*-test, [b] Wilcoxon's text.

Table 2 also shows a comparison of the scores on each of the eight scales and the two summary measurements on the SF-36 survey in the pre-pandemic and pandemic timeframes by gender. The results show differences between the two periods for men and women. In men, there were statistically significant differences in the dimensions of role limitations due to physical problems, general health perceptions, social functioning, and mental health ($p < 0.05$). However, among women, every dimension and summary measurement presented significant differences (all $p < 0.05$).

Table 3 shows the differences in pre-pandemic versus pandemic scores on all QoL dimensions for each teacher age category (≤44 and ≥45). Teachers aged ≤44 years showed a significant decrease ($p < 0.05$) between pre-pandemic and pandemic timeframes in all the measured variables, except for role limitations due to emotional problems ($p = 0.190$). The second age category (≥45 years) only showed a significant decrease in QoL for role limitations due to physical problems, vitality, role limitations due to emotional problems, mental health dimensions, and the mental component summary ($p < 0.05$).

Table 3. Comparison of 8 SF-36 QoL scales and two summary measurements between pre-pandemic and pandemic timeframes for each age group ≤44 and ≥45 years ($n = 63$).

	≤44 ($n = 41$)			≥45 ($n = 22$)		
	Pre-Pandemic	Pandemic		Pre-Pandemic	Pandemic	
QoL	**Mean $\pm$ SD**	**Mean $\pm$ SD**	***p***	**Mean $\pm$ SD**	**Mean $\pm$ SD**	***p***
Physical function	52.828 $\pm$ 4.45	48.993 $\pm$ 7.49	0.007 [b]	48.232 $\pm$ 9.01	46.949 $\pm$ 9.17	0.134 [b]
Role limitations due to physical problems	50.150 $\pm$ 5.47	44.918 $\pm$ 7.09	<0.001 [b]	50.351 $\pm$ 5.16	44.803 $\pm$ 7.54	0.007 [a]
Bodily pain	44.298 $\pm$ 8.85	38.431 $\pm$ 10.74	0.009 [a]	45.397 $\pm$ 8.06	38.750 $\pm$ 8.57	0.011 [a]
General health perceptions	47.995 $\pm$ 9.82	42.795 $\pm$ 11.05	0.002 [b]	48.001 $\pm$ 9.13	44.057 $\pm$ 9.19	0.161 [a]
Vitality	45.579 $\pm$ 7.78	39.078 $\pm$ 8.09	<0.001 [a]	49.059 $\pm$ 7.26	42.252 $\pm$ 8.19	0.006 [a]
Social functioning	41.525 $\pm$ 10.81	35.394 $\pm$ 13.01	0.022 [b]	42.856 $\pm$ 12.63	34.985 $\pm$ 12.78	0.046 [a]
Role limitations due to emotional problems	46.186 $\pm$ 6.97	44.094 $\pm$ 7.37	0.190 [a]	49.929 $\pm$ 5.57	43.850 $\pm$ 8.36	0.007 [a]
Mental health	44.738 $\pm$ 8.83	35.868 $\pm$ 10.50	<0.001 [a]	47.690 $\pm$ 8.79	38.731 $\pm$ 11.29	0.005 [a]
Physical component summary	48.311 $\pm$ 5.86	43.905 $\pm$ 7.26	0.003 [a]	45.502 $\pm$ 6.61	42.499 $\pm$ 6.34	0.030 [b]
Mental component summary	40.227 $\pm$ 9.78	34.056 $\pm$ 10.11	0.006 [a]	45.432 $\pm$ 8.77	36.641 $\pm$ 10.67	0.005 [a]

≤44 and ≥45, age categories (years) $p < 0.05$, [a] *t*-test, [b] Wilcoxon test.

4. Discussion

The purpose of the present study was to compare Chilean teachers' QoL in a longitudinal study between pre-pandemic and pandemic timeframes. The principal results indicate that Chilean teachers presented decreased scores on their health-related QoL perception before the pandemic. This background has also been observed in other regions of the world [22], as well as among Chilean teachers, who reported a significant association between low QoL perception and the mental component summary dimension among younger teachers (≤44 years vs. ≥45 years) [15]. Additionally, during the pandemic, the scores dropped significantly; this may be due to the impact of teleworking on teachers' health, as reported in other groups of workers, who indicated that it was a principal factor impacting psychosocial health and physical burnout due to stress and work exhaustion among employees [2–4]. However, more studies are required to evaluate the effect of telework on teachers during the COVID-19 pandemic, as these results could also be due to other factors not explored in the present study, such as a decrease in social relations, domestic confinement, and a reduction in physical activity levels [31].

Our results in the pandemic context indicate that QoL impact occurred specifically among women in the ≤44 age category. These findings coincide with recent studies in an Italian population, which showed a significantly lower psychological wellbeing among women, people under 50, and individuals with health risk factors [32]. Additionally, similar results were reported from Austria, where women and young adults (<35 years),

along with the unemployed and poor, presented mental health problems all related to an increase in depression and decrease in QoL [33]. The same group of researchers saw similar problems emerge in the population of the United Kingdom: adults under 35, women, and unemployed people were the most affected by confinement in terms of mental health [34]. These results also align with those reported from Iran, where women and young adults had the most anxiety about COVID-19 [35]. In China, during the initial COVID-19 outbreak, women were also seen to have greater psychological impacts and higher levels of stress, anxiety, and depression [36]. In Greece, female teachers had greater feelings of fear and depression at the beginning of the pandemic [37], and in China, female teachers reported higher anxiety than male teachers [38]. In this regard, Riecher-Rössler (2020) stated that in spite of important evidence that shows differences in mental disorders by sex and gender, there is still little real comprehension of these differences' causes [39]. One explanation for the greater QoL impact among women during the pandemic could be the heavy load of self-assumed or socially imposed home responsibilities, even among women professionals [40]. Recently, in Chile, it was reported that female teachers experienced significant work exhaustion and lower engagement compared to their male work peers, regardless of whether there were children in their home, results that can be complemented with other pre-pandemic studies in Chile, which reported that working-age women had a higher probability of suffering stress than men [41]. On top of these results, various researchers have reported that female Latin American teachers do more hours of housework than male teachers [15,20]. This study, because it is longitudinal, could indicate that the COVID-19 confinement phenomenon is what primarily impacts QoL perception, especially among female teachers in the physical and mental component summaries. There are similar reports from studies in other populations, such as Greek university students, where confinement caused QoL deterioration, tripled depression cases, and increased suicidal ideation eightfold [42]; studies in Russia maintained that fear and loneliness from confinement could have negative consequences for the mental and physical health of people [43], and results obtained in Spanish teachers during the pandemic revealed that they have experienced higher levels of distress due to the workload generated during the lockdown [44].

Longitudinal studies on COVID-19 among teachers are scarce. However, Sokal et al. (2020) conducted a study surveying Canadian teachers at two points at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic. Their results indicated increasing burnout and cynicism, along with teachers' emotional and cognitive attitudes towards change becoming increasingly negative. Recently, in Chile, a survey on teacher burnout was conducted, which indicated decreased engagement and increased work exhaustion in individuals. These results can be complemented by the results of this study based on the impact on teachers' mental and physical health [45]. One relevant aspect of the study on engagement and work burnout among Chilean teachers is that it compared its results with workers in various occupations and professions before and during the pandemic, revealing that Chilean teachers had less engagement and more work exhaustion than other labor groups [45]. The observation proposed by Foundation Chile (2020) about pre-pandemic work exhaustion among Chilean teachers can be confirmed through the present results, which show that physical function and role limitation (due to emotional problems) dimensions alone are over 50 points, corresponding to a welfare cutoff point. These results suggest that pre-pandemic teachers were already suffering from mental and physical wellbeing levels below those of other professionals, and that since the pandemic, these figures have dropped significantly. These results support those described in the present article: when asked about the possible worsening of the pandemic, teachers' mental and physical deterioration is ever higher. Recent observations indicate that teachers show a high prevalence of anxiety, depression, and stress in places where face-to-face classes have returned [46].

The presented study results suggest a substantial impact on QoL due to working conditions during the COVID-19 pandemic, with a significant effect on women and the youngest age group. Furthermore, these results suggest that the mental and physical

recovery of Chilean teachers will be challenging. Future strategies should be focused on reducing the physical and psychological impacts generated by COVID-19 confinement.

Limitations

Participants' replies were self-reported, which is considered a limitation. However, by applying the same instrument on two widely separated occasions, comparisons were made in different contexts, strengthening the results. On the other hand, as a longitudinal study, this research also has the strength of understanding how the pandemic phenomenon impacts teachers. Some variables that could affect the main outcomes, such as physical activity levels or social relations, were not registered in the present study and could partially explain some of the findings. Finally, an important limitation is the sample size, so the results should be interpreted with caution, and further studies will be necessary with larger samples. However, the sample demographics are comparable to those in previous studies. The percentage of female teachers is very similar to other studies and the Chilean Ministry of Education's national report ($\geq$70%) [15,16,24,47]. Furthermore, age also maintains a similar proportion to that reported by the Chilean Ministry of Education. The majority of teachers (>60%) are 44 years of age or less [47]. Concerning the educational establishments where the teachers work, there was a representation from the northern, central, and southern regions of the country, representing Chile's macro-zones.

5. Conclusions

In the sample of teachers studied, low QoL scores were observed before the COVID-19 pandemic, and they decreased significantly during the pandemic, especially among women and individuals under 45 years old. These findings confirm the deterioration of teachers' QoL during the pandemic. This study reports some negative impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on teachers' mental and physical health. The present results should serve as a resource for future interventions among teachers to help improve their QoL.

Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health **2021**, *18*, 3764

9 of 11

Appendix A

Table A1. Factor analysis SF-36 for Chilean teachers. Rotated factor loadings and variance explained.

	Factor 1a MCS	Factor 2a PCS
Physical function	0.1078	**0.4363**
Role limitations due to physical problems	0.4573	**0.5451**
Bodily pain	0.1993	**0.5618**
General health perceptions	**0.5300**	**0.4785**
Vitality	**0.7813**	0.2193
Social functioning	**0.6807**	0.3027
Role limitations due to emotional problems	**0.7421**	0.2127
Mental health	**0.8719**	0.1026
Variance explained		
Total (%)	76.9	32.23
Reliable [b] (%)	90.19	37.92

Results of a factor analysis (two factors retained) using the principal factor method with a varimax rotation. MCS and PCS: Mental and Physical health summaries. Bold values indicate variables included in the factors: MCS: loading > 0.52; PCS: loading > 0.34. b Reliable variance = total variance explained divided by the internal reliability (Cronbach's a) of the scale.

Table A2. Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy.

	KMO
Physical function	0.7993
Role limitations due to physical problems	0.8600
Bodily pain	0.7399
General health perceptions	0.8944
Vitality	0.8472
Social functioning	0.9056
Role limitations due to emotional problems	0.8751
Mental health	0.7966
Overall	0.8487

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) takes values between 0 and 1, with small values meaning that overall the variables have too little in common to warrant a factor analysis (0.00 to 0.49 unacceptable; 0.50 to 0.59 miserable; 0.60 to 0.69 mediocre; 0.70 to 0.79 middling; 0.80 to 0.89 meritorious; 0.90 to 1.00 marvelous).

Table A3. The internal consistency for each of the eight SF-36 scales (Cronbach's alpha).

	Item-Test Correlation	Item-Rest Correlation	Average Interitem Correlation	Alpha
Physical function	0.4532	0.2948	0.4859	0.8687
Role limitations due to physical problems	0.7371	0.6373	0.4100	0.8295
Bodily pain	0.5622	0.4212	0.4567	0.8548
General health perceptions	0.7559	0.6616	0.4050	0.8265
Vitality	0.7859	0.7009	0.3970	0.8217
Social functioning	0.7659	0.6747	0.4023	0.8249
Role limitations due to emotional problems	0.7668	0.6758	0.4021	0.8248
Mental health	0.7850	0.6997	0.3972	0.8218
Test scale				0.8526

Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health **2021**, *18*, 3764

10 of 11

Table A4. Correlation between the summary measures of the SF-36 and each of the eight dimensions.

	MCS	PCS
Physical function	0.0007	**0.6924**
Role limitations due to physical problems	0.4372	**0.5729**
Bodily pain	0.1471	**0.7419**
General health perceptions	0.5199	**0.5837**
Vitality	**0.8020**	0.2134
Social functioning	**0.7826**	0.2555
Role limitations due to emotional problems	**0.8111**	0.1133
Mental health	**0.9179**	0.0512

MCS and PCS: Mental and Physical health summaries. Bold values indicate the highest correlations for each factor.

References

1. Guyot, K.; Sawhill, I. Telecommuting Will Likely Continue Long after the Pandemic. Brookings 2020, 1–8. Available online: https://www.brookings.edu/blog/up-front/2020/04/06/telecommuting-will-likely-continue-long-after-the-pandemic/ (accessed on 18 January 2021).
2. Thulin, E.; Vilhelmson, B.; Johansson, M. New telework, time pressure, and time use control in everyday life. *Sustainability* **2019**, *11*, 3067. [CrossRef]
3. Venegas, C.; Leyva, A. La Fatiga y la Carga Mental en los Teletrabajadores: A Propósito del Distanciamiento Social. *Rev. Esp. Salud Publica* **2020**, *94*, 1–17.
4. Palumbo, R. Let me go to the office! An investigation into the side effects of working from home on work-life balance. *Int. J. Public Sect. Manag.* **2020**, *33*, 771–790. [CrossRef]
5. Dragano, N.; Lunau, T. Technostress at work and mental health: Concepts and research results. *Curr. Opin. Psychiatry* **2020**, *33*, 407–413. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
6. Salanova, M.; Llorens, S.; Cifre, E.; Nogareda, C. *The Technostress: Concept, Measurement, and Psychosocial Intervention. Prevention Technical Note 730*; National Institute for Safety and Hygiene at Work: Madrid, Spain, 2007.
7. Al-Fudail, M.; Mellar, H. Investigating teacher stress when using technology. *Comput. Educ.* **2008**, *51*, 1103–1110. [CrossRef]
8. Estrada-Muñoz, C.; Castillo, D.; Vega-Muñoz, A.; Boada-Grau, J. Teacher technostress in the Chilean school system. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 5280. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
9. Riedl, R.; Kindermann, H.; Auinger, A.; Javor, A. Technostress from a neurobiological perspective: System breakdown increases the stress hormone cortisol in computer users. *Bus. Inf. Syst. Eng.* **2012**, *4*, 61–69. [CrossRef]
10. de Bruin, Y.B.; Lequarre, A.-S.; McCourt, J.; Clevestig, P.; Pigazzani, F.; Jeddi, M.Z.; Colosio, C.; Goulart, M. Initial impacts of global risk mitigation measures taken during the combatting of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Saf. Sci.* **2020**, *128*, 104773. [CrossRef]
11. Van Nuland, S.; Mandzuk, D.; Petrick, K.T.; Cooper, T. COVID-19 and its effects on teacher education in Ontario: A complex adaptive systems perspective. *J. Educ. Teach.* **2020**, *46*, 442–451. [CrossRef]
12. Ministerio de Salud (MINSAL). Ministerio de Salud Confirma Primer Caso de Coronavirus en Chile. Available online: https://www.minsal.cl/ministerio-de-salud-confirma-primer-caso-de-coronavirus-en-chile/ (accessed on 18 December 2020).
13. Dubey, S.; Biswas, P.; Ghosh, R.; Chatterjee, S.; Dubey, M.J.; Chatterjee, S.; Lahiri, D.; Lavie, C.J. Psychosocial impact of COVID-19. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr. Clin. Res. Rev.* **2020**, *14*, 779–788. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
14. Karakaya, İ.; Karakaya, M.; Tunç, E.; Kıhtır, M. Musculoskeletal problems and quality of life of elementary school teachers. *Int. J. Occup. Saf. Ergon.* **2015**, *21*, 344–350. [CrossRef]
15. Lizana, P.A.; Vega-Fernandez, G.; Lera, L. Association between chronic health conditions and quality of life in rural teachers. *Front. Psychol.* **2020**, *10*, 2898. [CrossRef]
16. Sokal, L.; Trudel, L.E.; Babb, J. Canadian teachers' attitudes toward change, efficacy, and burnout during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Int. J. Educ. Res. Open* **2020**, *1*, 100016. [CrossRef]
17. Smetackova, I.; Viktorova, I.; Martanova, V.P.; Pachova, A.; Francova, V.; Stech, S. Teachers between job satisfaction and burnout syndrome: What makes difference in czech elementary schools. *Front. Psychol.* **2019**, *10*, 2287. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
18. Lizana, P.A.; Aballay, J.; Vicente-Rodríguez, G.; Gómez-Bruton, A. Low interest in physical activity and higher rates of obesity among rural teachers. *Work* **2020**, *67*, 1015–1022. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
19. Chennoufi, L.; Ellouze, F.; Cherif, W.; Mersni, M.; M'Rad, M. Stress et épuisement professionnel des enseignants tunisiens. *L'Encéphale* **2012**, *38*, 480–487. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
20. Robalino, M.; Körner, A. *Condiciones de Trabajo y Salud Docente. Estudios de Casos en Argentina, Chile, Ecuador, México, Perú y Uruguay*; Oficina Regional de Educación de la UNESCO para América Latina y el Caribe OREALC/UNESCO: Santiago, Chile, 2005.
21. Erick, P.N.; Smith, D.R. A systematic review of musculoskeletal disorders among school teachers. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* **2011**, *12*, 260. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
22. Yang, X.; Ge, C.; Hu, B.; Chi, T.; Wang, L. Relationship between quality of life and occupational stress among teachers. *Public Health* **2009**, *123*, 750–755. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Bringi, S. Stress among the teachers (working women) with special reference to secondary school in Belgaum, Karnataka State: A study. *Indian J. Public Health Res. Dev.* **2016**, *7*, 36. [CrossRef]

24. Valdivia, G.; Avendaño, C.; Bastías, G.; Milicic, N.; Morales, A.; Scharager, J. Estudio de la Salud Laboral de Los Profesores en Chile: Informe Final de Proyecto. 2003. Available online: http://www.opech.cl/bibliografico/Doc_Docente/Estudio%20de%20 Salud%20Laboral%20de%20Profesores%20en%20Chile.%20MINEDUC-PUC.pdf (accessed on 18 October 2020).

25. Yang, X.; Wang, L.; Ge, C.; Hu, B.; Chi, T. Factors associated with occupational strain among Chinese teachers: A cross-sectional study. *Public Health* **2011**, *125*, 106–113. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

26. Ware, J.E., Jr.; Sherbourne, C.D. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. *Med. Care* **1992**, *30*, 473–483. [CrossRef]

27. Olivares-Tirado, P. Beneficiarios de Isapres: Informe Preliminar. Superintendencia de Isapres, Gobierno de Chile. Available online: www.supersalud.gob.cl/documentacion/666/articles-1062_recurso_1.pdf (accessed on 18 November 2020).

28. Ware, J.; Kosinski, M.; Keller, S. SF-36 Physical and Mental Health Summary Scales. New Engl. Med. Center 1994. Available online: www.researchgate.net/publication/292390260_SF-36_Physical_and_Mental_Health_Summary_Scales_a_User%27s_ Manual (accessed on 18 December 2020).

29. The World Medical Association. Declaration of Helsinki—Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects (declaration of Helsinki). *J. Indian Med. Assoc.* **2008**, *107*, 403–405.

30. Ministerio de Salud de Chile. II Encuesta Nacional de Salud (ENS) Chile 2009–2010. Gobierno de Chile. Available online: www.minsal.cl/portal/url/item/bcb03d7bc28b64dfe040010165012d23.pdf (accessed on 18 January 2021).

31. Castañeda-Babarro, A.; Arbillaga-Etxarri, A.; Gutiérrez-Santamaría, B.; Coca, A. Physical activity change during COVID-19 confinement. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 6878. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Favieri, F.; Forte, G.; Tambelli, R.; Casagrande, M. The Italians in the time of coronavirus: Psychosocial aspects of unexpected COVID-19 pandemic. *SSRN Electron. J.* **2020**. [CrossRef]

33. Pieh, C.; Budimir, S.; Probst, T. The effect of age, gender, income, work, and physical activity on mental health during coronavirus disease (COVID-19) lockdown in Austria. *J. Psychosom. Res.* **2020**, *136*, 110186. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

34. Pieh, C.; Budimir, S.; Delgadillo, J.; Barkham, M.; Fontaine, J.R.J.; Probst, T. Mental health during COVID-19 lockdown in the United Kingdom. *Psychosom. Med.* **2020**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

35. Moghanibashi-Mansourieh, A. Assessing the anxiety level of Iranian general population during COVID-19 outbreak. *Asian J. Psychiatry* **2020**, *51*, 102076. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

36. Wang, C.; Pan, R.; Wan, X.; Tan, Y.; Xu, L.; Ho, C.S.; Ho, R.C. Immediate psychological responses and associated factors during the initial stage of the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) epidemic among the general population in China. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 1729. [CrossRef]

37. Stachteas, P.; Stachteas, C. The psychological impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on secondary school teachers. *Psychiatriki* **2020**, *31*, 293–301. [CrossRef]

38. Li, Q.; Miao, Y.; Zeng, X.; Tarimo, C.S.; Wu, C.; Wu, J. Prevalence and factors for anxiety during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) epidemic among the teachers in China. *J. Affect. Disord.* **2020**, *277*, 153–158. [CrossRef]

39. Riecher-Rössler, A. Sex and gender differences in mental disorders. *Lancet Psychiatry* **2017**, *4*, 8–9. [CrossRef]

40. Lua, I.; De Araújo, T.M.; Santos, K.O.B.; De Almeida, M.M.G. Factors associated with common mental disorders among female nursing professionals in primary health care. *Psicol. Reflexão e Crítica* **2018**, *31*. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

41. Ansoleaga, E.; Díaz, X.; Mauro, A. Associação entre estresse, riscos psicossociais e qualidade do emprego de trabalhadores assalariados chilenos: Uma perspectiva de gênero. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública* **2016**, *32*, 1–13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

42. Kaparounaki, C.K.; Patsali, M.E.; Mousa, D.-P.V.; Papadopoulou, E.V.; Papadopoulou, K.K.; Fountoulakis, K.N. University students' mental health amidst the COVID-19 quarantine in Greece. *Psychiatry Res.* **2020**, *290*, 113111. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

43. Lipai, T.P. The COVID-19 pandemic: Depression, anxiety, stigma and impact on mental health. *Probl. Soc. Hyg. Public Health Hist. Med.* **2020**, *28*, 922–927. [CrossRef]

44. Aperribai, L.; Cortabarria, L.; Aguirre, T.; Verche, E.; Borges, Á. Teacher's physical activity and mental health during lockdown due to the COVID-2019 pandemic. *Front. Psychol.* **2020**, *11*, 577886. [CrossRef]

45. Fundación-Chile. Engagement y Agotamiento en Las y Los Docentes de Chile: Una Mirada a Partir de la Realidad Covid-19. Available online: https://fch.cl/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/final-estudio-engagement-docentes.pdf (accessed on 18 December 2020).

46. Ozamiz-Etxebarria, N.; Santxo, N.B.; Mondragon, N.I.; Santamaría, M.D. The psychological state of teachers during the COVID-19 crisis: The challenge of returning to face-to-face teaching. *Front. Psychol.* **2021**, *11*, 620718. [CrossRef]

47. Centro de Estudios del Ministerio de Educación de Chile. Minuta Docentes 2019. Available online: https://centroestudios. mineduc.cl/wp-content/uploads/sites/100/2019/12/MINUTA-10_Docentes_FD.pdf (accessed on 22 March 2021).