

Reply



Reply to Yigit, S.; Akinci, B. Comment on "Vázquez-Gandullo et al. Inspiratory Muscle Training in Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) as Part of a Respiratory Rehabilitation Program Implementation of Mechanical Devices: A Systematic Review. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2022, 19, 5564"

Eva Vázquez-Gandullo^{1,*}, Antonio Hidalgo-Molina¹, Francisca Montoro-Ballesteros¹, María Morales-González², Isabel Muñoz-Ramírez¹ and Aurelio Arnedillo-Muñoz^{1,*}

- ¹ Pneumology, Allergology and Thoracic Surgery Department, University Hospital Puerta del Mar, 11009 Cádiz, Spain
- ² Pneumology Department, Hospital Punta Europa, 11207 Cádiz, Spain
- * Correspondence: evavgandullo@gmail.com (E.V.-G.); aurelioarnedillo@neumosur.net (A.A.-M.)



Citation: Vázquez-Gandullo, E.; Hidalgo-Molina, A.; Montoro-Ballesteros, F.; Morales-González. M .: Muñoz-Ramírez, I.; Arnedillo-Muñoz, A. Reply to Yigit, S.; Akinci, B. Comment on "Vázquez-Gandullo et al. Inspiratory Muscle Training in Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) as Part of a Respiratory Rehabilitation Program Implementation of Mechanical Devices: A Systematic Review. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2022, 19, 5564". Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2023, 20, 4801. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph20064801

Academic Editor: Shyamali Dharmage

Received: 16 February 2023 Accepted: 2 March 2023 Published: 9 March 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). We would like to thank you for your interest [1] in our systematic review article about inspiratory muscle training (IMT) in COPD patients [2] and for sharing your point of view on it.

We have been carefully evaluating all the considerations that you have presented to us, and we intend to answer them below in order to resolve any doubts that may have arisen in our article.

First of all, we have been assessing both the quality of the studies and the way of evaluating the quality in our systematic review.

In our review article, we carried out a systematic review of articles following the PRISMA recommendations [3], in which we used different databases independently. To determine the suitability of the studies, we established PICO questions that included: (a) participation of COPD patients, (b) avoidance of duplicity of studies, and (c) implementation of an inspiratory muscle training program, as reflected in the figure number 1 included in the article [2].

The assessment of the quality of the studies was defined according to the following criteria set out in our article [2], mainly the inclusion of original articles, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses, which were less than 10 years old.

Secondly, you emphasized the need to establish an IMT protocol in respiratory rehabilitation programs for COPD patients.

At present, updates to the guidelines for the management of COPD show the growing need for these patients to participate in a respiratory rehabilitation program, which is why we believe it would be ideal to establish a personalized protocol for each COPD patient based on their needs.

However, the implementation of respiratory rehabilitation programs in COPD patients is not recommended by scientific societies such as the British Thoracic Society (BTS) or the Spanish Society of Pneumology and Thoracic Surgery (SEPAR), although the latter recommends the addition of inspiratory muscle training in patients with inspiratory muscle weakness, defined as a maximum inspiratory pressure of less than 60 cm H2O, as reflected in our article [2].

There is also no standardized IMT protocol due to the great heterogeneity in the published studies, with differences in program duration, exercise intensity, number and type of patients included, etc., as shown in Table 1 of our article [2].

Therefore, establishing an IMT protocol would facilitate its application in real life and its incorporation into clinical practice guidelines.

As for the ideal IMT protocol, we consider that it should be of short duration (8–12 weeks) to avoid losing patients and with high intensity (70–80% of maximum work-load) exercises so that patients notice the beneficial effect of this training, which would reinforce compliance on their part [4–6].

Finally, you comment on the importance of evaluating the effect on the quality of life of COPD patients studied in the articles presented in our systematic review.

First, we must mention that the aim of the review was focused on explaining IMT, which devices can be used for its implementation and which of them have shown benefit in patients with COPD.

Considering this and with the limitations mentioned in the article, we have been able to gather the devices, what role they played, and the objectives obtained with each of them.

Taking the above into account, devices such as Respifit STM [7], SpiroTigerR [8], or FeelBreatheR [9] presented positive results in terms of increased quality of life.

Systematic reviews such as the one by Beaumont et al. [10], whose objective is focused on verifying the effect of IMT in COPD patients in terms of quality of life, dyspnea, or exercise capacity, confirm the usefulness of the implementation of IMT. The interesting review by Ammous et al. [11] deserves a special mention, which addresses an essential aspect of this topic: Whether the benefit is greater when IMT is associated with a Respiratory Rehabilitation (RR) program or if it is greater when is implemented in isolation. To answer this, new studies will be necessary.

We appreciate all the considerations indicated, and we hope to have resolved all the doubts raised, since we agree on the importance of RR and the benefits that IMT can bring to a selected profile of patients.

Author Contributions: Writing—original draft preparation, E.V.-G., A.H.-M., F.M.-B., M.M.-G., I.M.-R. and A.A.-M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Data Availability Statement: All data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Yigit, S.; Akinci, B. Comment on Vázquez-Gandullo et al. Inspiratory Muscle Training in Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) as Part of a Respiratory Rehabilitation Program Implementation of Mechanical Devices: A Systematic Review. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2022, 19, 5564. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2023, 20, 4629. [CrossRef]
- Vázquez-Gandullo, E.; Hidalgo-Molina, A.; Montoro-Ballesteros, F.; Morales-González, M.; Muñoz-Ramírez, I.; Arnedillo-Muñoz, A. Inspiratory Muscle Training in Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) as Part of a Respiratory Rehabilitation Program Implementation of Mechanical Devices: A Systematic Review. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2022, 19, 5564. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Page, M.J.; McKenzie, J.E.; Bossuyt, P.M.; Boutron, I.; Hoffmann, T.C.; Mulrow, C.D.; Shamseer, L.; Tetzlaff, J.M.; Akl, E.A.; Brennan, S.E.; et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* **2021**, *109*, 89.
- Charususin, N.; Gosselink, R.; Decramer, M.; McConnell, A.; Saey, D.; Maltais, F.; Derom, E.; Vermeersch, S.; van Helvoort, H.; Heijdra, Y.; et al. Inspiratory muscle training protocol for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (IMTCO study): A multicentre randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Open* 2013, *3*, e003101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Nield, M.A. Inspiratory muscle training protocol using a pressure threshold device: Effect on dyspnea in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* **1999**, *80*, 100–102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Osterling, K.; MacFadyen, K.; Gilbert, R.; Dechman, G. The effects of high intensity exercise during pulmonary rehabilitation on ventilatory parameters in people with moderate to severe stable COPD: A systematic review. *J. Chronic Obstr. Pulm. Dis.* 2014, 9, 1069–1079. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Petrovic, M.; Reiter, M.; Zipko, H.; Pohl, W.; Wanke, T. Effects of inspiratory muscle training on dynamic hyperinflation in patients with COPD. Int. J. COPD 2012, 7, 797–805. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Włodarczyk, O.M.; Barinow-Wojewódzki, A. The impact of resistance respiratory muscle training with a SpiroTiger[®] device on lung function, exercise performance, and health-related quality of life in respiratory diseases. *Kardiochir. Torakochir. Pol.* 2015, 12, 386–390. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Arnedillo, A.; Gonzalez-Montesinos, J.L.; Fernandez-Santos, J.R.; Vaz-Pardal, C.; España-Domínguez, C.; Ponce-González, J.G.; Marin-Galindo, A.; Arnedillo, A. Effects of a rehabilitation programme with a nasal inspiratory restriction device on exercise capacity and quality of life in COPD. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2020, *17*, 3669. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Beaumont, M.; Forget, P.; Couturaud, F.; Reychler, G. Effects of inspiratory muscle training in COPD patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin. Respir. J.* 2018, 12, 2178–2188. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Ammous, O.; Feki, W.; Lotfi, T.; Khamis, A.M.; Gosselink, R.; Rebai, A.; Kammoun, S. Inspiratory muscle training, with or without concomitant pulmonary rehabilitation, for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2023, 1, CD013778. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.