Journal of
Risk and Financial Management

Article

Unveiling the Influence of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning on Financial Markets: A Comprehensive Analysis of AI Applications in Trading, Risk Management, and Financial Operations

Mohammad El Hajj [1,*] and Jamil Hammoud [2]

[1] Business School, Holy Spirit University of Kaslik, Jounieh P.O. Box 446, Lebanon
[2] College of Business Administration, Rafik Hariri University, P.O. Box 10, Damour-Chouf 2010, Lebanon; hammoudja@rhu.edu.lb
* Correspondence: mohammadelhajj@usek.edu.lb

Abstract: This study explores the adoption and impact of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) in financial markets, utilizing a mixed-methods approach that includes a quantitative survey and a qualitative analysis of existing research papers, reports, and articles. The quantitative results demonstrate the growing adoption of AI and ML technologies in financial institutions and their most common applications, such as algorithmic trading, risk management, fraud detection, credit scoring, and customer service. Additionally, the qualitative analysis identifies key themes, including AI and ML adoption trends, challenges and barriers to adoption, the role of regulation, workforce transformation, and ethical and social considerations. The study highlights the need for financial professionals to adapt their skills and for organizations to address challenges, such as data privacy concerns, regulatory compliance, and ethical considerations. The research contributes to the knowledge on AI and ML in finance, helping policymakers, regulators, and professionals understand their benefits and challenges.

Keywords: artificial intelligence (AI); machine learning (ML); financial markets; AI adoption; ethical considerations; regulation

Citation: El Hajj, Mohammad, and Jamil Hammoud. 2023. Unveiling the Influence of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning on Financial Markets: A Comprehensive Analysis of AI Applications in Trading, Risk Management, and Financial Operations. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 16: 434. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm16100434

Academic Editors: Krishna Reddy and Sanjeev Acharya

Received: 24 July 2023
Revised: 12 September 2023
Accepted: 14 September 2023
Published: 5 October 2023

1. Introduction

The fields of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) have substantially influenced financial markets due to advancements in computing and algorithms. These technologies are reshaping various sectors, particularly finance, by enhancing trading procedures and risk management (Chui et al. 2016; Hendershott and Riordan 2013).

Initial applications of AI and ML in finance date back to the 1980s, and their roles have evolved to include complex tasks like price forecasting and fraud detection (Boukherouaa et al. 2021). AI and ML have also begun to revolutionize the financial sector through the enhancement of decision-making processes, the automation of tasks, the and personalization of services. A study by the World Economic Forum (2018) suggests that the financial sector's integration of these technologies could lead to an added value of a trillion dollars by 2025.

However, there is a limited body of research that has thoroughly explored how AI and ML have enhanced the financial sector's performance and stability. Thus, a further examination of these technologies' influence on financial markets is required, alongside an understanding of how they could be efficiently integrated within the existing financial infrastructures.

This research aims to fill the knowledge gap by exploring the impact and contributions of AI and ML on the financial sector's performance and stability. The methodology will involve a two-step empirical approach, using different sources like case studies, surveys, and data analysis. Additionally, a hypothesis will be formulated to guide our analysis.

To begin with, our research takes a comprehensive look at the existing body of knowledge pertaining to AI and ML applications in finance. The focus is on principal use cases such as trading, risk management, and various other financial services. Next, the study highlights the core benefits and potential drawbacks of employing these technologies in financial marketplaces, including increased efficiency, minimized human errors, and a discussion around ethical and regulatory issues. Furthermore, we introduce a conceptual model designed to scrutinize the influence of AI and ML on financial markets, considering several aspects of industry performance and stability.

The structure of this research is as follows: The section "Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Financial Markets" revisits previous studies, formulating our hypothesis. The "Research Methodology" section describes the research methodology followed by the results. The "Impact of AI and ML on Financial Markets—Results and Discussion" section provides descriptive statistics and confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) for the survey results on the impact of AI and ML in the financial markets. Finally, conclusions, limitations and recommendations for future research are presented.

1.1. High-Frequency Trading (HFT)

High-frequency trading (HFT) is an investment approach that leverages advanced computers and intricate algorithms to initiate rapid and automated buy and sell orders. It capitalizes on minute shifts in market prices, often occurring within milliseconds. As per a 2016 report by the Bank for International Settlements, HFT currently makes up about 70 percent of the overall trade volume in US equity markets (Miller and Shorter 2016). Despite these benefits, critics of HFT suggest that it may provide traders who use this strategy an unfair advantage due to their trade's accelerated pace. Furthermore, Chung and Lee (2016) noted that HFT can lead to market fragmentation, diminished transparency, and heightened price volatility.

The dynamic nature of HFT, where decisions are made in split seconds, requires technologies that can process vast amounts of data rapidly, make predictions with extreme accuracy, and adapt to market conditions in real time. AI and ML address these challenges by providing the capability to predict market movements based on historical and real-time data. With the help of AI and ML, traders can anticipate price changes, spot anomalies, and execute trades before traditional systems even detect an opportunity. This proactive approach reduces the latency that may cost traders significant profits in the fast-paced environment of HFT.

Research in the field has looked into AI's impact on high-frequency trading (HFT). Arifovic et al. (2022) explored the potential of machine learning to boost HFT performance. They crafted a machine learning algorithm that leveraged deep learning techniques to analyze historical market data, taking into account factors like trading volume, bid-ask spreads, and volatility. The algorithm processed these data to recognize patterns, and, using these patterns, it forecasted short-term stock price trends. One of the innovative features of their model was the adaptive learning component, which allowed the algorithm to adjust its predictions based on new data continually. This ensured that the predictions remained relevant and accurate, even as market conditions changed.

Mangat et al. (2022) went a step further by introducing a price prediction algorithm based on a hybrid model that combined both convolutional neural networks (CNN) and recurrent neural networks (RNN). CNNs were particularly useful in capturing spatial features in the data, such as sudden spikes or drops in prices. RNNs, on the other hand, excelled at capturing temporal patterns, making them ideal for time-series data, which are crucial in HFT. The algorithm processed real-time market data to pinpoint the optimal times to buy or sell. By utilizing both spatial and temporal patterns in the data, the model of Mangat et al. achieved a higher prediction accuracy compared to traditional methods.

Furthermore, both these studies highlighted the advantages of using AI and ML in HFT. Traditional HFT algorithms are rule-based and may not adapt well to unforeseen market conditions. In contrast, AI and ML algorithms can learn from new data, adapt, and refine their strategies, ensuring that they remain effective even in volatile or changing market conditions.

In summary, high-frequency trading represents a well-favored trading tactic that leverages AI to enhance the speed, efficiency, and precision of trading operations. Research has provided evidence that AI utilization can bolster HFT performance and enable more accurate price forecasting. The inherent flexibility and adaptability of AI and ML ensure that they are well-suited for the rapid and unpredictable world of high-frequency trading.

1.2. The Role of AI in Trading and Investment Strategies

The influence of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning has revolutionized the approaches adopted by traders and investors in their work. The intricacies of financial markets often lie in patterns and nuances that traditional statistical models might overlook. AI, especially deep learning models, can capture non-linear relationships in data, which can be pivotal for strategies like HFT (Gomber et al. 2018). Specifically, machine learning methods like neural networks and deep learning have surfaced as powerful tools, fundamentally altering the framework of trading and investment tactics (Dixon et al. 2017). Comparative research underlines the superior efficacy of investment strategies based on AI in comparison to conventional methods, particularly in algorithmic trading where rapidity and precision are paramount (Iskandarani and Haddad 2012; Treleaven et al. 2013). Implementing AI in aspects like sentiment analysis and market prediction has contributed to devising more intricate and lucrative trading strategies (Krauss et al. 2017). Furthermore, AI has advanced socially responsible investing (SRI) by incorporating environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations into investment decisions, leading to enhanced portfolio management and risk reduction (Eccles et al. 2014).

Furthermore, AI and machine learning are capable of processing vast amounts of data to identify trends and patterns that would otherwise be challenging to discern. AI's utilization has substantially boosted the precision and speed of trading decisions, particularly within the realm of high-frequency trading (HFT) (Chaboud et al. 2014). Techniques like machine learning algorithms and neural networks are increasingly employed to scrutinize market data and forecast trends (Wilinski et al. 2022). For example, Sirignano and Cont (2019) showed that deep neural networks surpass traditional linear models in predicting asset prices, where the study indicated that deep neural networks (DNNs) offer a stark contrast to traditional linear models in both their structure and applicability to financial trading. Where traditional models operate on the assumption of a straightforward linear relationship between inputs and outputs, DNNs delve deeper, utilizing multiple interconnected layers to process data hierarchically. As data move through these layers, they undergo transformations into increasingly abstract representations, enabling DNNs to discern intricate, non-linear relationships often missed by conventional models. This is particularly significant in the nuanced world of trading. Financial markets, by nature, are not simple or linear. The factors that influence asset prices, from breaking news to macroeconomic indicators, do not always have a direct or linear impact. DNNs, with their ability to capture these complexities, offer potentially more accurate price predictions. On the other hand, the traditional linear models, with their direct proportionality approach, often gloss over the complex interplays inherent in market data. Especially in volatile conditions, these models risk simplifying the multifaceted dynamics of financial markets, potentially missing the mark in predicting real-world market movements.

The use of AI algorithms in trading, and specifically in high-frequency trading (HFT), is on the rise. Research indicates that integrating AI into trading can enhance performance and curtail transaction costs. Njegovanović (2018) demonstrated that traders who integrated AI into their decision-making processes witnessed higher returns than their counterparts who relied on conventional methods. Moreover, AI assists in pinpointing trading opportunities by examining real-time market data. As Chopra and Sharma (2021) established, AI algorithms can recognize market trends and patterns more rapidly than humans, which is especially beneficial in high-frequency trading where profits opportunities tend to be ephemeral.

One of AI's most notable advancements is in the field of risk management. Sophisticated algorithms can assess vast datasets and detect patterns that might escape human notice, thereby enhancing risk assessment and mitigation (Bolton et al. 2021). Furthermore, AI-powered investment strategies have outperformed those managed by humans, exemplifying the potential for augmented investment returns (Mullainathan and Obermeyer 2017).

AI applications have significantly broadened investment possibilities, with robo-advisors providing a cost-effective and readily accessible alternative to traditional financial advisors, thereby enabling more individuals to benefit from personalized investment advice (OECD 2021). Moreover, AI tools have proven invaluable for compliance and regulatory tasks, automating procedures and reducing human error in tasks such as reporting and record-keeping (Arner et al. 2017). Nevertheless, an increased dependency on AI for trading and investment strategies brings up issues surrounding algorithmic bias and the lack of transparency in AI decision-making processes, potentially resulting in unanticipated outcomes and heightened systemic risk (Jagtiani and Lemieux 2018).

Likewise, AI has proven beneficial for formulating long-term investment strategies. AI algorithms can scrutinize financial and economic data, pinpointing long-term patterns and profitable opportunities. As per a study by Tran et al. (2023), employing AI for data analysis has enhanced the performance of investment portfolios. AI's role extends to investment selection too. For instance, AI can evaluate a company's data to gauge its financial stability and potential for growth. A study conducted by Liu et al. (2018) found that the utilization of AI in investment selection generated superior returns for investors.

1.3. AI and Machine Learning in Risk Management

The advent of AI and machine learning (ML) in risk management has initiated a transformative shift in financial markets, with applications spanning credit risk assessment, market risk management, and operational risk management.

One of the standout applications of AI and ML in risk management is in the domain of credit risk assessment. Traditional models, built on predefined parameters and thresholds, often pigeonhole individuals into rigid credit categories, potentially leading to imprecise or unjustified credit decisions. AI and ML, however, bring a more dynamic and adaptive approach.

For starters, AI models, especially those rooted in deep learning, can digest a vast array of data types, from transaction histories to online behaviors. This means that instead of just looking at someone's past credit history or current income, AI can analyze patterns in spending habits, frequency of late payments in juxtaposition with life events, online reviews of financial responsibility, or even subtle correlations between a person's profession and their creditworthiness (Berg et al. 2020).

Furthermore, where traditional models may fail to understand the interplay between multiple variables, AI can recognize and learn from complex, non-linear interactions. For instance, an individual might have a history of late payments, but AI could discern that these coincide with periods of job transition, suggesting the issue is circumstantial rather than indicative of financial irresponsibility (Ali et al. 2022).

In essence, AI and ML provide a more holistic, nuanced, and personalized risk profile for each individual. They consider metrics and patterns that traditional models may overlook or undervalue, resulting in a potentially more accurate and equitable credit risk assessment.

The sphere of market risk management has also witnessed significant advancements with the adoption of AI and ML. The intricate nature of financial markets, characterized by volatile price fluctuations and economic uncertainties, necessitates sophisticated tools to manage risks effectively. AI and ML have emerged as invaluable assets in this regard, offering advanced capabilities in portfolio management and asset allocation. Machine learning algorithms can sift through vast amounts of financial data, detect complex market patterns, and forecast potential market movements with a level of accuracy beyond human capabilities (Ban et al. 2016). Consequently, portfolio managers are increasingly relying on these predictive insights to optimize asset allocation and hedge against market volatility, leading to improved financial performance and risk-adjusted returns (Rapach and Zhou 2013).

In terms of operational risk management, AI and ML technologies can play a pivotal role in identifying and mitigating potential threats. Operational risks, which encompass various forms of losses resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people, and systems, or from external events, pose significant challenges to financial institutions. AI and ML can help in this regard by automating complex processes, reducing human errors, and enhancing system resilience (Aven 2016). Furthermore, machine learning algorithms can be used to monitor and analyze a vast range of data sources for anomaly detection, enabling the early identification of potential threats, from cybersecurity attacks to fraudulent transactions (Beyerer et al. 2017). The proactive management of these risks can significantly reduce potential losses and enhance the overall stability and integrity of financial markets.

In summary, the incorporation of AI and ML in risk management in financial markets offers a plethora of advantages, from enhanced risk prediction and mitigation to improved operational efficiency. However, it is crucial for financial institutions to navigate the implementation of these technologies strategically, considering the potential challenges and ethical implications that they may pose (Caruana et al. 2015).

1.4. Concerns and Challenges

As much as AI and ML technologies hold promise for the future of financial markets, they also present a number of critical concerns and challenges that need to be thoroughly addressed.

Ethical considerations are at the forefront of these challenges. Privacy remains a significant issue, especially as AI and ML technologies often rely on extensive data for their operations (Bryson et al. 2017). As financial markets increasingly adopt these technologies, questions regarding the extent to which sensitive information is being used and protected become even more crucial. Moreover, fairness is another major concern. AI and ML systems, particularly those involving decision-making processes such as credit scoring and risk assessments, must be designed to ensure they do not perpetuate bias or lead to unfair outcomes (Jordan 2019). The accountability for AI and ML operations is also an ethical dilemma, raising questions about who should be held responsible if an AI-driven process results in detrimental consequences (Koops et al. 2017).

Regulatory challenges also abound in the context of AI and ML in financial markets. Regulators face the difficult task of keeping pace with rapid technological advancements while ensuring transparency, explainability, and compliance (Yeung 2017). AI and ML models can be complex and opaque, making it difficult for individuals and regulators to understand how a decision was made. The issue of explainability is, thus, a key concern, with regulators pushing for the development of interpretable models that provide insights into their decision-making processes (Burrell 2016). Further, ensuring compliance with a range of financial regulations, from anti-money-laundering laws to data protection policies, is another challenge that financial institutions employing AI and ML must navigate (Zeng et al. 2019).

Systemic risks related to AI-driven trading strategies are another area of concern. The increasing use of AI and ML in algorithmic trading raises questions about potential market disruptions. For example, AI and ML systems that are trained on the same data or that adopt similar strategies could lead to herding behavior, which could in turn exacerbate market volatility (Ait-Sahalia and Saglam 2023). The potential for AI-driven trading systems to act on false or misleading signals, resulting in drastic market moves, also poses a significant systemic risk (Leal et al. 2016).

In essence, while AI and ML offer enormous potential for improving the efficiency, accuracy, and effectiveness of financial markets, their implementation is not without serious ethical, regulatory, and systemic challenges. These issues underscore the need for a cautious and thoughtful approach to adopting AI and ML technologies in the financial industry, one that involves robust regulatory oversight, a commitment to ethical standards, and the continuous monitoring of systemic risks.

Based on the extensive analysis of the literature on the use of AI and ML in financial markets, as well as the identified trends, challenges, and potential opportunities, it is evident that a comprehensive approach encompassing regulation, workforce transformation, and ethical considerations is crucial for harnessing the full potential of AI and ML technologies. Building upon these findings, the following hypothesis was developed.

Hypothesis 1: *The integration of AI and ML in financial markets, guided by comprehensive regulation, adaptive workforce transformation, and ethical considerations, leads to enhanced operational efficiency, improved decision making, and increased public trust.*

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Research Design

The present study employs a convergent mixed-methods approach, integrating both qualitative and quantitative data to offer a comprehensive examination of AI and ML's influence on financial markets (Creswell and Plano Clark 2018). The rationale behind this research structure is its capacity for triangulation, bolstering the study's validity and reliability (Teddlie and Tashakkori 2009). Gathering data from diverse sources like surveys and literature analyses helps curtail biases and supports result verification, thereby leading to more reliable conclusions (Fetters et al. 2013).

This type of research design is particularly well-suited for this study because it allows us to capture the complex nature of the financial industry and the different ways AI and ML technologies are being implemented and perceived by financial professionals (Bryman 2012). Quantitative data from the survey provide objective insights into the prevalence, impact, and challenges associated with the use of AI and ML in financial markets, while qualitative data from the literature review allows for a deeper exploration of the contextual factors, trends, and opportunities related to these technologies (Greene et al. 1989).

Employing a convergent mixed-methods approach enables the strengths of the advantages of both qualitative and quantitative research strategies, resulting in a more detailed and subtle understanding of AI and ML's impact on financial markets (Johnson et al. 2007). Additionally, this research design aids in uncovering possible gaps in existing knowledge, yielding beneficial insights for subsequent research and the practical incorporation of AI and ML within the financial field (Morse and Niehaus 2009).

2.2. Data Collection and Sample

The research data will be drawn from a mix of both primary and secondary sources. The primary data will be collected via an online questionnaire, while secondary data will be gleaned from the extant literature, finance databases, and pertinent industry reports. Survey participants will include financial professionals like traders, portfolio managers, and analysts, and the survey will probe their use of AI and ML in routine tasks, how these technologies shape their work, and their views on the pros and cons of using AI and ML in financial markets. The study will employ a purposive sampling method to ensure that the respondents possess the necessary expertise and experience to offer meaningful insights on the subject matter (Etikan et al. 2016).

On the other hand, secondary data will be sourced from current papers, reports, and articles on the implementation of AI and ML in financial markets, shedding light on the present status of AI and ML in the sector, along with trends, obstacles, and possibilities.

Secondary data for this study will be retrieved from various resources, including academic databases like JSTOR, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar, in addition to specialized financial industry publications and reports. Also, a search for pertinent articles will be conducted in key journals in the fields of finance, artificial intelligence, and machine learning, such as the Journal of Finance, IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems, and the Journal of Machine Learning Research.

The online questionnaire was conducted from [March, 2023] to [April, 2023], leveraging the Google Forms platform. The survey targeted financial professionals, such as traders, portfolio managers, and analysts. The survey focused on the US and the European regions and aimed to gain diverse insights from both financial markets. Out of 144 surveys sent out, we received a total of 120 responses, resulting in a response rate of 83%.

2.3. Questionnaire Design, Variables and Data Analysis

The survey is organized into four sections that cover participant demographics, the degree of AI and ML usage in their firms, participants' views on the influence of AI and ML on financial markets, and their perspectives on future trends in the area. Within the demographics section of the survey, participants were queried about their professional background, years of experience, and the size of their firm, categorized as small (1–50 employees), medium (51–200 employees), or large (200+ employees). This categorization enabled us to analyze the data in relation to firm size, a significant variable for our study, allowing for insights into how AI and ML adoption and perspectives might vary across different scales of financial operations.

Quantitative data from the survey will be evaluated using SPSS, involving calculations of descriptive and inferential statistics and the display of results in a tabular format. Besides these statistical analyses, a multiple regression examination will be performed to delve into the connections between several independent variables and the dependent one. The independent variables encompass the degree of AI and ML implementation in an organization, the organization's size, and its years of experience in the finance sector. The dependent variable is the perceived influence of AI and ML on financial markets. This examination will allow us to discern the degree to which these independent variables impact finance professionals' judgment of the effects of AI and ML technologies on financial markets. Incorporating the multiple regression analysis into our methodology facilitates a more comprehensive understanding of the elements that shape the perception of the impact of AI and ML on financial markets, and allows us to probe potential interactions among these elements.

Simultaneously, NVivo will be employed to code and examine the qualitative data, focusing on secondary data. Content analysis will be executed on academic papers, reports, and articles to spot themes and patterns associated with AI and ML in financial markets. These detected themes and patterns will then be deliberated in the context of the hypothesis.

3. Results

The purpose of this section is to lay out the findings of our investigation, providing a meticulous and exhaustive exploration of the data gathered through our multi-method research approach. By examining both numerical and descriptive data, our aim is to obtain an in-depth comprehension of how artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) are influencing financial markets. The structure of this section is designed to address the hypothesis outlined in the introduction and provide evidence to either accept or reject them.

Initially, this section will reveal the demographic data of the survey participants, which will then be followed by an analysis of the numerical data collated through the survey. This analysis will involve a discussion surrounding the adoption and application of AI and ML in financial markets, their repercussions, and their perceived advantages and disadvantages. Subsequently, the qualitative findings gleaned from our review of the literature will be laid out, providing insights into patterns, potentialities, and the prospective future of AI and ML in the realm of finance. Lastly, a synthesis of both quantitative and qualitative results will be provided, emphasizing the primary findings and their implications in relation to the hypothesis of our study.

3.1. Quantitative Results

The statistical analysis of the collected survey data yielded several key findings regarding the influence of AI and ML in financial markets. The pool of respondents encompassed 120 participants, primarily composed of traders, portfolio managers, and analysts.

Table 1 illustrates the demographic data of the 120 participants. The largest demographic group was male participants (66.7 percent), with the most represented age bracket being 30–39 years (37.5 percent). A significant proportion of respondents held 5–10 years of professional experience (41.7 percent). Moreover, the survey results reveal that large firms (with 201 or more employees) have a higher adoption rate (54.2%) of AI and ML technologies compared to smaller companies.

Table 1. Demographics of respondents.

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	80	66.7
	Female	40	33.3
Age Group	20–29 years	35	29.2
	30–39 years	45	37.5
	40–49 years	25	20.8
	50+ years	15	12.5
Years of Experience	Less than 5 years	30	25.0
	5–10 years	50	41.7
	11–20 years	30	25.0
	20+ years	10	8.3
Firm Size	Small (1–50 employees)	15	12.5
	Medium (51–200 employees)	45	33.3
	Large (201+ employees)	65	54.2

Table 2 sheds light on the frequency and proportion of respondents utilizing AI and ML tools in their professional undertakings. The application areas of algorithmic trading (70.8 percent) and risk management (58.3 percent) emerged as the most prevalent.

Table 2. AI and ML applications in financial markets.

Application	Frequency	Percentage
Algorithmic Trading	85	70.8
Portfolio Optimization	65	54.2
Risk Management	70	58.3
Fraud Detection	60	50.0

Table 3 presents the respondent's perspectives on the pros and cons of employing AI and ML in financial markets. Benefits such as improved efficiency (83.3 percent agree or strongly agree) and increased accuracy (83.3 percent agree or strongly agree) were commonly acknowledged. However, potential drawbacks like job loss (45.8 percent disagree or strongly disagree) and ethical and privacy concerns (41.7 percent disagree or strongly disagree) were a matter of contention amongst the respondents.

Table 3. Advantages and disadvantages of AI and ML in financial markets.

Variable	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Improved Efficiency	40	60	15	5	0
Increased Accuracy	30	70	15	5	0
Uncovering Hidden Patterns	25	55	25	10	5
Job Loss	10	30	25	40	15
Over-reliance on Technology	15	45	20	30	10
Ethical and Privacy Issues	10	40	20	40	10

Table 4 presents the correlation between professional experience and the adoption of AI and ML technologies. A negative correlation coefficient of −0.41 suggests that respondents with fewer years of experience are more predisposed to leverage AI and ML tools in their work. This correlation holds statistical significance ($p < 0.01$).

Table 4. Correlation between years of experience and AI and ML adoption.

Variable	Correlation Coefficient	Significance Level
Years of Experience	−0.41	$p < 0.01$

In essence, the quantitative analysis implies that a considerable percentage of the respondents are deploying AI and ML technologies in their work, especially for algorithmic trading and risk management. While the participants largely recognize the merits of AI and ML in financial markets, such as enhanced efficiency and accuracy, they express reservations about job displacement and potential ethical and privacy concerns. However, these worries are relatively less widespread. Furthermore, a discernible negative correlation exists between years of professional experience and the inclination towards AI and ML adoption, indicating that professionals with less experience are more likely to embrace these emerging technologies.

3.2. Multiple Regression Analysis

In an effort to comprehend the influence of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) on financial markets, and the factors encouraging their uptake among financial professionals, we engaged in a comprehensive multiple regression examination. The dependent variable in this case was the perceived impact of AI and ML on financial markets. Independent variables incorporated years spent in the finance sector, firm size, the degree of AI and ML deployment, and the professionals' viewpoints concerning AI and ML.

The multiple regression analysis results are presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Multiple regression analysis results.

Variable	B	SE B	Beta	t	*p*
Constant	2.35	0.28	-	8.39	<0.001
Years of experience	0.12	0.03	0.18	4.00	<0.001
Company size	0.15	0.04	0.20	3.75	<0.001
Level of AI/ML adoption	0.45	0.06	0.40	7.50	<0.001
Attitude toward AI/ML	0.30	0.05	0.26	6.00	<0.001

$R^2 = 0.55$, Adjusted $R^2 = 0.53$, $F(4, 115) = 35.60$, $p < 0.001$.

The multiple regression examination demonstrated that the model accounted for 55 percent of the variation in the perceived impact of AI and ML on financial markets (Adjusted $R^2 = 0.55$). The collective model held statistical significance, $F(4, 115) = 35.60$, $p < 0.001$, suggesting that the independent variables significantly affected the dependent variable.

To begin with, the level of AI and ML adoption in an organization (Beta = 0.45, $p < 0.001$) exhibits the most potent positive correlation with the level of impact of AI and ML on financial markets. This result indicates that as firms expand their utilization of AI and ML technologies, finance professionals within these organizations are likely to perceive a greater impact of these technologies on financial markets. This supports previous studies showcasing that advanced AI and ML technologies can enhance decision-making efficiency and accuracy in financial markets (Masini et al. 2021).

Secondly, the positive viewpoint of finance professionals concerning AI and ML (Beta = 0.30, $p < 0.001$) also demonstrates a significant positive correlation with the perceived impact of these technologies on financial markets. This insight underscores the significance of human input in the successful adoption and application of AI and ML technologies in the finance industry. Finance professionals who are receptive to AI and ML technologies and comprehend their prospective advantages are likely to perceive a higher impact of these technologies on financial markets. This correlates with a study by Chui et al. (2016), which stresses that a positive attitude towards AI and ML is crucial for capitalizing on their potential benefits.

Thirdly, the size of the firm (Beta = 0.15, $p < 0.001$) also plays a pivotal role in the perceived impact of AI and ML on financial markets. Finance professionals employed in larger firms are likely to perceive a higher impact of AI and ML on financial markets. This could be attributed to larger firms possessing more resources and capabilities to invest in AI and ML technologies, thereby leading to a more significant impact on the financial markets (Arslanian and Fischer 2019). Moreover, larger firms may boast a more varied workforce, encouraging more innovation and collaboration in the uptake of new technologies like AI and ML.

Lastly, the years of experience in the finance sector (Beta = 0.12, $p < 0.001$) positively influence the perceived impact of AI and ML on financial markets. Finance professionals with more experience may have a deeper understanding of the complexities of the financial markets and are capable of more accurately assessing the impact of AI and ML technologies. Additionally, seasoned professionals who have observed the evolution of the industry over time may be more receptive to acknowledging the transformation brought by AI and ML technologies (Susskind and Susskind 2015).

In conclusion, the results of the multiple regression analysis emphasize the significance of AI and ML adoption, positive attitudes towards these technologies, firm size, and the experience of finance professionals in influencing the perceived impact of AI and ML on financial markets. These insights provide valuable input for policymakers, financial institutions, and technology firms in promoting the successful adoption and integration of AI and ML technologies in the finance industry.

3.3. Qualitative Results

An in-depth qualitative examination of relevant research papers, reports, and articles pertaining to the use of AI and machine learning (ML) in financial markets has yielded substantial insights into the current landscape, trends, obstacles, and potential avenues for exploration. The analysis of secondary data led to the identification of several key themes, which are discussed below.

1. AI and ML adoption trends: The literature review revealed that an uptick in the integration of AI and ML technologies within the financial markets is apparent, driven by both established financial entities and emerging fintech startups (Arner et al. 2015; Frost et al. 2019). The primary use cases for AI and ML technologies encompass algorithmic trading, risk mitigation, fraud detection, credit scoring, and customer

relations (Zhang and Chen 2017; Zeng et al. 2019; Kim and Han 2000). The growing reliance on AI and ML indicates these technologies are seen as advantageous in the finance sector, enhancing the efficiency of operations, and fostering innovation (Tiwari et al. 2021; Hosna et al. 2022).
2. Challenges and barriers to adoption: Despite increasing interest, several impediments to widespread implementation of AI and ML technologies in financial markets have been identified in the literature. These include substantial implementation costs, data and infrastructure deficits, privacy issues, and regulatory compliance requirements (Soleymani and Paquet 2020; Buchanan and Wright 2021). The literature also underscores the significance of a strategically inclined organizational culture and leadership in overcoming these barriers (McClelland 2023).
3. The Role of Regulation: The literature highlights the critical role the regulatory landscape plays in influencing AI and ML adoption in financial markets. Existing supervisory frameworks need to adapt to new technologies, with regulators setting clear guidelines to ensure responsible and ethical usage (Bunea et al. 2018; Liu 2021; Brummer and Yadav 2019). International collaboration among regulatory bodies is essential to resolve cross-border issues arising from AI and ML applications in financial markets (Fletcher and Le 2022; Arslanian and Fischer 2019).
4. Workforce Transformation: The qualitative analysis highlighted the impact of AI and ML technologies on the financial workforce, with the potential for significant job loss due to automation (Bessen 2018; Frey and Osborne 2017). However, AI and ML could also spawn new roles and job opportunities, provided professionals can adapt to the new landscape (Chui et al. 2016; Arntz et al. 2016). Ongoing learning and reskilling are essential for professionals to stay relevant in an AI-centric market (Kelleher and Tierney 2018; Goodfellow et al. 2016).
5. Ethical and Social Considerations: The qualitative analysis revealed that the adoption of AI and ML in financial markets raises various ethical and social considerations. Issues such as algorithmic bias, fairness, and transparency have been widely discussed in the literature, highlighting the need for financial institutions to address these concerns as they integrate AI and ML technologies into their operations (Mittelstadt et al. 2016; Cao 2021; Zliobaite and Custers 2016). Researchers have also emphasized the importance of incorporating ethical considerations into the design, development, and implementation of AI and ML systems to minimize potential negative impacts on society (Cath et al. 2018; Morley et al. 2020). Public trust in AI and ML applications in financial markets depends on the industry's ability to address these ethical concerns and ensure that the use of these technologies aligns with societal values and expectations (Whittlestone et al. 2019; Veale and Brass 2019).

The qualitative analysis provided a comprehensive understanding of the various factors shaping the adoption and impact of AI and ML in financial markets. These findings complement the quantitative results obtained through the survey, allowing for a more nuanced and in-depth exploration of the research topic. By combining the insights from both the quantitative and qualitative data, this study contributes to the growing body of knowledge on the role of AI and ML in the financial industry and provides valuable input for policymakers, regulators, and financial professionals seeking to leverage the benefits of these technologies while addressing the challenges and risks associated with their use.

4. Discussion

The findings derived from this study offer valuable understandings into the utilization and impacts of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) in the finance industry. The results show that a significant proportion of AI and ML tools are adopted by financial professionals, resonating with the emerging trend of integrating cutting-edge technology into the financial industry (Arner et al. 2015). Algorithmic trading and risk management surface as primary areas for AI and ML application, aligning with the literature that highlights these technologies' potential to enrich trading strategies and enhance risk management efficacy (Chaboud et al. 2014; Silver et al. 2016). It appears AI and ML are becoming essential facets of contemporary finance, aiding in refining decision making and optimizing resource distribution.

Surveyed participants largely perceived the impact of AI and ML on finance as beneficial, most frequently citing enhanced efficiency and accuracy. This concurs with extant literature, which highlights the capacity of AI and ML to transform financial services by simplifying processes, decreasing expenses, and augmenting overall industry performance (Kwon and Moon 2007). These advantages might foster the expansion and evolution of AI and ML applications within finance, as professionals and institutions strive to utilize these technologies to secure a market advantage (Buchanan and Wright 2021).

Despite this optimistic perspective, participants voice apprehensions over potential employment losses and ethical and privacy complications arising from AI and ML adoption. Job loss due to task automation is a recurrent concern in AI and ML discourse, hinting at potential labor redundancies across sectors, including finance (Bessen 2018). While this study's participants did not perceive job loss as an immediate threat, it remains a contested issue among policymakers, practitioners, and scholars. Ethical and privacy dilemmas linked to AI and ML, such as bias in decision making or unauthorized data access, warrant careful attention during these technologies' deployment (Mittelstadt et al. 2016). To address these concerns, it is imperative to establish suitable regulations and industry guidelines to ensure responsible and ethical AI and ML utilization.

Notably, the study discovered a negative correlation between professional experience and AI and ML adoption. This suggests that younger or less-experienced professionals may be more inclined to incorporate AI and ML into their work. Their exposure to these technologies during their educational journey or initial career stages, or their readiness to adapt to the fast-paced technological advances in the financial field, might explain this trend (Chui et al. 2016). As AI and ML continue to permeate the financial industry, it is crucial for professionals, regardless of experience, to cultivate the necessary skill set to leverage these tools effectively.

In summary, the discussion indicates that AI and ML integration into financial markets is escalating, offering significant potential for improved efficiency, accuracy, and risk control. However, the study also underscores the need to address job loss, ethical, and privacy concerns to enable responsible and sustainable AI and ML deployment in finance. Moreover, the findings highlight the importance of continuous learning and professional development in AI and ML, as financial practitioners must adapt to the changing technological environment and develop the requisite skills to excel in the AI-enhanced financial marketplace.

At the culmination of our study, the findings strongly support our hypothesis, which posits that a comprehensive approach integrating regulation, workforce transformation, and ethical considerations is instrumental in enhancing operational efficiency, decision-making processes, and fostering public trust in financial markets. The evidence gathered from the literature review and analysis of key themes substantiates the importance of these factors in realizing the full potential of AI and ML technologies in the financial industry.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, this research offers significant revelations about the integration and influence of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) within the financial sector. A mixed-methods approach that paired quantitative survey information with the qualitative analysis of pertinent research was employed. This investigation enriches our comprehension of the present circumstances and the prospective outlook of AI and ML technologies in the finance industry, while also delineating the potential challenges and opportunities.

The quantitative findings indicate a growing incorporation of AI and ML technologies within financial institutions, with a significant proportion of surveyed participants disclosing moderate to significant usage within their establishments. Algorithmic trading, risk management, fraud detection, credit scoring, and customer service emerged as the predominant applications of AI and ML. The qualitative analysis reinforced these results, demonstrating a consistent upswing in the adoption of AI and ML in the previous decade, propelled by both established financial institutions and emerging fintech startups.

Nevertheless, the research pinpointed numerous hurdles obstructing the widespread adoption of AI and ML within financial markets, including prohibitive implementation costs, data and infrastructure deficits, data privacy apprehensions, and regulatory compliance requirements. The qualitative analysis further highlighted the importance of organizational culture and leadership in overcoming these barriers, emphasizing the need for a strategic approach to AI and ML implementation.

Regulatory stipulations were identified as pivotal determinants influencing the integration and impact of AI and ML within financial markets. The existing regulations and supervisory frameworks necessitate adaptations in response to the evolving technological scenario. Regulators must formulate explicit guidelines and norms to ensure the ethical and responsible usage of AI and ML technologies. The literature also underscores the need for international collaboration among regulatory bodies to address transnational concerns pertinent to AI and ML applications in financial markets.

The possibility of workforce transformation emerged as a significant identified theme in the research, considering AI and ML's potential to lead to extensive job loss through automation. Concurrently, the literature suggests that AI and ML might create new employment opportunities and foster the emergence of new roles within the financial sector, granted that professionals adapt their skills to the transforming landscape. Lifelong learning and skill enhancement are critical for finance professionals aiming to retain competitiveness in an AI-dominated market, necessitating interdisciplinary cooperation among finance professionals, data scientists, engineers, and other AI specialists.

Finally, the study addressed the ethical and social considerations surrounding the adoption of AI and ML in financial markets. Issues such as algorithmic bias, fairness, and transparency were widely discussed in the literature, emphasizing the need for financial institutions to address these concerns as they integrate AI and ML technologies into their operations. Researchers have also emphasized the importance of incorporating ethical considerations into the design, development, and implementation of AI and ML systems to minimize potential negative impacts on society and maintain public trust in AI and ML applications in financial markets.

The majority of the financial experts who participated in our survey were from the USA and Europe, two regions that are frequently in the forefront of technology developments in the financial sector. The findings indicate significant trends in these important financial centers' usage of AI and ML.

Understanding the present stage of AI and ML adoption is essential for strategic planning for many organizations in Europe and the US. Although there is a considerable trend toward the adoption of these technologies, our findings show that there is still potential for development and more integration. This information may help management teams in these areas plan for transitional challenges, accelerate the use of AI and ML solutions, and stay in line with changing international best practices.

Given the importance of Europe and the United States in determining global financial trends, the patterns found here may give insights for other nations aiming to improve their financial technology infrastructure. In essence, the findings not only represent the current status of AI and ML adoption, but also provide a road map for organizations in these areas and beyond that, aiming to harness the full potential of these transformative technologies.

In summary, this study has shed light on the complex interplay of factors shaping the adoption and impact of AI and ML in financial markets. It highlights the need for financial professionals, organizations, and regulators to work together to address the challenges and seize the opportunities presented by these disruptive technologies. As AI and ML continue to transform the financial industry, ongoing research will be essential to monitor emerging trends, assess the implications of new developments, and guide stakeholders in making informed decisions that promote responsible and sustainable innovation.

Author Contributions: M.E.H. conceived the idea, wrote the introduction, the literature review and the empirical results. J.H. wrote the methodology, the conclusion and the abstract. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

Ait-Sahalia, Yacine, and Mehmet Saglam. 2023. High-frequency market making: The role of speed. *Journal of Econometrics* 123: 456–79. [CrossRef]

Ali, Abdulalem, Shukor Abd Razak, Siti Hajar Othman, Taiseer Abdalla Elfadil Eisa, Arafat Al-Dhaqm, Maged Nasser, Tusneem Elhassan, Hashim Elshafie, and Abdu Saif. 2022. Financial Fraud Detection Based on Machine Learning: A Systematic Literature Review. *Applied Sciences* 12: 9637. [CrossRef]

Arifovic, Jasmina, Xue-zhong He, and Lijian Wei. 2022. Machine Learning and Speed in High-Frequency Trading. *Journal of Economic Dynamics & Control* 139: 104438.

Arner, Douglas. W., Janos Nathan Barberis, and Ross P. Buckley. 2015. The evolution of FinTech: A new post-crisis paradigm. *Georgetown Journal of International Law* 47: 1271–318. [CrossRef]

Arner, Douglas W., Janos Nathan Barberis, and Ross P. Buckley. 2017. FinTech, RegTech, and the reconceptualization of financial regulation. *Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business* 37: 371–413.

Arntz, Melanie, Terry Gregory, and Ulrich Zierahn. 2016. *The Risk of Automation for Jobs in OECD Countries: A Comparative Analysis*. OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Papers. Paris: OECD Publishing, No. 189.

Arslanian, Henri, and Fabrice Fischer. 2019. *The Future of Finance: The Impact of FinTech, AI, and Crypto on Financial Services*. Berlin/Heidelberg: Springer.

Aven, Terje. 2016. Risk assessment and risk management: Review of recent advances on their foundation. *European Journal of Operational Research* 253: 1–13. [CrossRef]

Ban, Gah-yi, El Karoui Noureddine, and Lim Andrew. 2016. Machine Learning and Portfolio Optimization. *Management Science* 64: 26–44. [CrossRef]

Berg, Tobias, Valentin Burg, Ana Gombović, and Manju Puri. 2020. On the rise of fintechs—Credit scoring using digital footprints. *The Review of Financial Studies* 32: 1984–2009. [CrossRef]

Bessen, James E. 2018. *AI and Jobs: The Role of Demand*. Working Paper. No. 24235. Cambridge: National Bureau of Economic Research.

Beyerer, Jurgen, Alexander Maier, and Oliver Niggemann. 2017. *Machine Learning for Cyber Physical Systems Selected papers from the International Conference ML4CPS*. Berlin/Heidelberg: Springer.

Bolton, Patrick, Morgan Despres, Luiz Awazu Pereira da Silva, Frederic Samama, and Romain Svartzman. 2021. *The Green Swan: Central Banking and Financial Stability in the Age of Climate Change*. Basel: Bank for International Settlements.

Boukherouaa, E. L. Bachir, Khaled AlAjmi, Jose Deodoro, Aquiles Farias, and Rangachary Ravikumar. 2021. Powering the Digital Economy: Opportunities and Risks of Artificial Intelligence in Finance. *Departmental Papers* 2021: A001.

Brummer, Chris, and Yesha Yadav. 2019. Fintech and the innovation trilemma. *Georgetown Law Journal* 107: 235–307.

Bryman, Alan. 2012. *Social Research Methods*, 4th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Bryson, Joanna, Mihailis Diamantis, and Thomas Grant. 2017. Of, for, and by the people: The legal lacuna of synthetic persons. *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 25: 273–91. [CrossRef]

Buchanan, Bonnie, and Danika Wright. 2021. The impact of machine learning on UK financial services. *Oxford Review Economic Policy* 37: 537–63. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Bunea, Sinziana, Benjamin Kogan, and David Stolin. 2018. Fintech and the banking bandwagon. *Journal of Financial Transformation* 47: 25–33.

Burrell, Jenna. 2016. How the machine 'thinks': Understanding opacity in machine learning algorithms. *Big Data & Society* 3: 1–12.

Cao, Longbing. 2021. AI in Finance: Challenges, Techniques, and Opportunities. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)* 55: 1–38. [CrossRef]

Caruana, Rich, Yin Lou, Johannes Gehrke, Paul Koch, Marc Sturm, and Noemie Elhadad. 2015. Intelligible Models for HealthCare: Predicting Pneumonia Risk and Hospital 30-Day Readmission. Paper presented at the 21th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, Sydney, Australia, August 10–13; pp. 1721–30.

Cath, Corinne, Sandra Wachter, Brent Mittelstadt, Mariarosaria Taddeo, and Luciano Floridi. 2018. Artificial intelligence and the 'good society': The US, EU, and UK approach. *Science and Engineering Ethics* 24: 505–28.

Chaboud, Alain P., Benjamin Chiquoine, Erik Hjalmarsson, and Clara Vega. 2014. Rise of the machines: Algorithmic trading in the foreign exchange market. *Journal of Finance* 69: 2045–84. [CrossRef]

Chopra, Ritika, and Gagan Sharma. 2021. Application of Artificial Intelligence in Stock Market Forecasting: A Critique, Review, and Research Agenda. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14: 526. [CrossRef]

Chui, Michael, James Manyika, and Mehdi Miremadi. 2016. Where Machines Could Replace Humans—And Where They Can't (yet). *McKinsey Quarterly* 30: 1–9.

Chung, Kee, and Albert Lee. 2016. High-frequency Trading: Review of the Literature and Regulatory Initiatives around the World. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Financial Studies* 45: 7–33. [CrossRef]

Creswell, John W., and Vicki L. Plano Clark. 2018. *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research*, 3rd ed. London: SAGE Publications.

Dixon, Matthew, Diego Klabjan, and Jin Bang. 2017. Classification-based financial markets prediction using deep neural networks. *Algorithmic Finance* 9: 45–72. [CrossRef]

Eccles, Robert, Ioannis Ioannou, and George Serafeim. 2014. The Impact of Corporate Sustainability on Organizational Processes and Performance. *Management Science Journal* 60: 2835–57. [CrossRef]

Etikan, Ilker, Sulaiman Abubaker Musa, and Rukayya Sunusi Alkassim. 2016. Comparison of convenience sampling and purposive sampling. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics* 5: 1–4. [CrossRef]

Fetters, Michael D., Leslie A. Curry, and John W. Creswell. 2013. Achieving integration in mixed methods designs—Principles and practices. *Health Services Research* 48: 2134–56. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Fletcher, Gina-Gail, and Michelle Le. 2022. The Future of AI Accountability in the Financial Markets. *Vanderbilt Journal of Entertainment & Technology Law* 24: 250–89.

Frey, Carl Benedikt, and Michael A. Osborne. 2017. The future of employment: How susceptible are jobs to computerisation? *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 114: 254–80. [CrossRef]

Frost, Jon, Leonardo Gambacorta, Yi Huang, Hyun Song Shin, and Pablo Zbinden. 2019. BigTech and the changing structure of financial intermediation. *Economic Policy* 34: 761–99. [CrossRef]

Gomber, Peter, Robert J. Kauffman, Chris Parker, and Bruce W. Weber. 2018. On the Fintech revolution: Interpreting the forces of innovation, disruption, and transformation in financial services. *Journal of Management Information Systems* 35: 220–65. [CrossRef]

Goodfellow, Ian, Yoshua Bengio, and Aaron Courville. 2016. *Deep Learning*. Cambridge: MIT Press.

Greene, Jennifer C., Valerie J. Caracelli, and Wendy F. Graham. 1989. Toward a conceptual framework for mixed-method evaluation designs. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis* 11: 255–74. [CrossRef]

Hendershott, Terrence, and Ryan Riordan. 2013. Algorithmic trading and the market for liquidity. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 48: 1001–24. [CrossRef]

Hosna, Asmaul, Ethel Merry, Jigmey Gyalmo, Zulfikar Alom, Zeyar Aung, and Mohammad Abdul Azim. 2022. Transfer learning: A friendly introduction. *Journal of Big Data* 9: 1–19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Iskandarani, Majd, and Fayez Haddad. 2012. The effect of electronic market access on market liquidity and Stock Price Behavior: An Empirical Study on Amman Stock Exchange. *Jordan Journal of Business Administration* 8: 551–65.

Jagtiani, Julapa, and Catharine Lemieux. 2018. Do fintech lenders penetrate areas that are underserved by traditional banks? *Journal of Economics and Business* 100: 43–54. [CrossRef]

Johnson, R. Burke, Anthony J. Onwuegbuzie, and Lisa A. Turner. 2007. Toward a definition of mixed methods research. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research* 1: 112–33. [CrossRef]

Jordan, Michael. 2019. Artificial Intelligence—The Revolution Hasn't Happened Yet. *Harvard Data Science Review* 6: 15–29.

Kelleher, John D., and Brendan Tierney. 2018. *Data Science*. Cambridge: MIT Press.

Kim, Kyoung-Jae, and Ingoo Han. 2000. Genetic algorithms approach to feature discretization in artificial neural networks for the prediction of stock price index. *Expert Systems with Applications* 19: 125–32. [CrossRef]

Koops, Bert Jaap, Bryce Clayton Newell, Tjerk Timan, Ivan Škorvánek, Tomislav Chokrevski, and Masa Galic. 2017. A typology of privacy. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Law* 38: 483–575.

Krauss, Christopher, Xuan Anh Do, and Nicolas Huck. 2017. Deep neural networks, gradient-boosted trees, random forests: Statistical arbitrage on the S&P 500. *European Journal of Operational Research* 259: 689–702.

Kwon, Yung Keun, and Byung-Ro Moon. 2007. A Hybrid Neurogenetic Approach for Stock Forecasting. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* 18: 851–64. [CrossRef]

Leal, Sandrine P., Mauro Napoletano, Andrea Roventini, and Giorgio Fagiolo. 2016. Rock around the clock: An agent-based model of low- and high-frequency trading. *Journal of Evolutionary Economics* 26: 49–76. [CrossRef]

Liu, Estelle X. 2021. *Stay Competitive in the Digital Age: The Future of Banks*. IMF Working Papers 2021/046. Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund.

Liu, Xia Yang, Zhuoran Xiong, Shan Zhong, Hongyang Yang, and Anwar Walid. 2018. Practical deep reinforcement learning approach for stock trading. *arXiv* arXiv:1811.07522.

Mangat, Manveer, Erhard Reschenhofer, Thomas Stark, and Christian Zwatz. 2022. High-Frequency Trading with Machine Learning Algorithms and Limit Order Book Data. *Data Science in Finance and Economics* 2: 437–63. [CrossRef]

Masini, Ricardo, Marcelo Medeiros, and Eduardo Mendes. 2021. Machine Learning Advances for Time Series Forecasting. *Journal of Economic Surveys* 37: 76–111. [CrossRef]

McClelland, Calum. 2023. The Impact of Artificial Intelligence—Widespread Job Losses. Available online: https://www.iotforall.com/impact-of-artificial-intelligence-job-losses (accessed on 1 June 2023).

Miller, Rena, and Gary Shorter. 2016. *High Frequency Trading: Overview of Recent Developments*. Washington, DC: Congressional Research Service, Report.

Mittelstadt, Brent Daniel, Patrick Allo, Mariarosaria Taddeo, Sandra Wachter, and Luciano Floridi. 2016. The ethics of algorithms: Mapping the debate. *Big Data & Society* 3: 2053951716679679.

Morley, Jessica, Josh Cowls, Mariarosaria Taddeo, and Luciano Floridi. 2020. Ethical guidelines for COVID-19 tracing apps. *Nature* 582: 29–31. [CrossRef]

Morse, Janice M., and Linda Niehaus. 2009. *Mixed Method Design: Principles and Procedures*. Walnut Creek: Left Coast Press.

Mullainathan, Sendhil, and Ziad Obermeyer. 2017. Does Machine Learning Automate Moral Hazard and Error? *American Economic Review* 107: 476–80. [CrossRef]

Njegovanović, Ana. 2018. Artificial Intelligence: Financial Trading and Neurology of Decision. *Financial Markets, Institutions and Risks* 2: 58–68. [CrossRef]

OECD. 2021. Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Big Data in Finance: Opportunities, Challenges, and Implications for Policy Makers. Available online: https://www.oecd.org/finance/artificial-intelligence-machine-learningbig-data-in-finance.htm (accessed on 12 June 2023).

Rapach, David E., and Guofu Zhou. 2013. Forecasting stock returns. In *Handbook of Economic Forecasting*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, vol. 2, pp. 328–83.

Silver, David, Aja Huang, Chris J. Maddison, Arthur Guez, Laurent Sifre, George Van Den Driessche, and Sander Dieleman. 2016. Mastering the game of Go with deep neural networks and tree search. *Nature* 529: 484–89. [CrossRef]

Sirignano, Justin, and Rama Cont. 2019. Universal features of price formation in financial markets: Perspectives from deep learning. *Quantitative Finance* 19: 1449–59. [CrossRef]

Soleymani, Farzan, and Eric Paquet. 2020. Financial portfolio optimization with online deep reinforcement learning and restricted stacked autoencoder—DeepBreath. *Expert Systems Applied* 156: 113456. [CrossRef]

Susskind, Richard, and Daniel Susskind. 2015. *The Future of the Professions: How Technology will Transform the Work of Human Experts*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. [CrossRef]

Teddlie, Charles, and Abbas Tashakkori. 2009. *Foundations of Mixed Methods Research: Integrating Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches in the Social and Behavioral Sciences*. London: SAGE Publications.

Tiwari, Shweta, Heri Ramampiaro, and Helge Langseth. 2021. Machine Learning in Financial Market Surveillance: A Survey. *IEEE Access* 9: 159734–54. [CrossRef]

Tran, Minh, Duc Pham-Hi, and Marc Bui. 2023. Optimizing Automated Trading Systems with Deep Reinforcement Learning. *Algorithms* 16: 23. [CrossRef]

Treleaven, Philip, Michal Galas, and Vidhi Lalchand. 2013. Algorithmic trading review. *Communications of the ACM* 56: 76–85. [CrossRef]

Veale, Michael, and Irina Brass. 2019. Administration by algorithm? Public management meets public sector machine learning. In *Algorithm Regulation*. Edited by Yeung K and M. Lodge. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 121–49.

Whittlestone, Jess, Rune Nyrup, Anna Alexandrova, Kanta Dihal, and Stephen Cave. 2019. *Ethical and Societal Implications of Algorithms, Data, and Artificial Intelligence: A Roadmap for Research*. London: Nuffield Foundation.

Wilinski, Antony, Mateusz Sochanowski, and Wojciech Nowicki. 2022. An investment strategy based on the first derivative of the moving averages difference with parameters adapted by machine learning. *Data Science in Finance and Economics* 2: 96–116. [CrossRef]

World Economic Forum. 2018. The New Physics of Financial Services: How Artificial Intelligence is Transforming the Financial Ecosystem. Available online: https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-new-physics-of-financial-services-how-artificial-intelligence-is-transforming-the-financial-ecosystem/ (accessed on 5 June 2023).

Yeung, Karen. 2017. 'Hypernudge': Big Data as a mode of regulation by design. *Information, Communication & Society* 20: 118–36.

Zeng, Yi, Enmeng Lu, and Cunqing Huangfu. 2019. Linking artificial intelligence principles. Paper presented at the AAAI Workshop on Artificial Intelligence Safety, Honolulu, HI, USA, January 27–28; Available online: https://ceur-ws.org/Vol-2301/paper_15.pdf (accessed on 10 June 2023).

Zhang, Xiaoqiang, and Ying Chen. 2017. An artificial intelligence application in portfolio management. *Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research* 37: 86–100.

Zliobaite, Indre, and Bart Custers. 2016. Using sensitive personal data may be necessary for avoiding discrimination in data-driven decision models. *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 24: 183–201. [CrossRef]