

Correction

# Correction: Li et al. A Comparison of Various Bottom-Up Urban Energy Simulation Methods Using a Case Study in Hangzhou, China. *Energies* 2020, 13, 4781

Yanxia Li <sup>1,2</sup>, Chao Wang <sup>1,2</sup>, Sijie Zhu <sup>1,2</sup>, Junyan Yang <sup>1</sup>, Shen Wei <sup>3</sup>, Xinkai Zhang <sup>4,5</sup> and Xing Shi <sup>1,4,5,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Architecture, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China; liyanxia@seu.edu.cn (Y.L.); 230189011@seu.edu.cn (C.W.); zhushijie@seu.edu.cn (S.Z.); yangjy\_seu@163.com (J.Y.)

<sup>2</sup> Key Laboratory of Urban and Architectural Heritage Conservation, Ministry of Education, Nanjing 210096, China

<sup>3</sup> The Bartlett School of Construction and Project Management, University College London, London WC1E7HB, UK; shen.wei@ucl.ac.uk

<sup>4</sup> College of Architecture and Urban Planning, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China; zhangxinkai@tongji.edu.cn

<sup>5</sup> Key Laboratory of Ecology and Energy-Saving Study of Dense Habitat (Tongji University), Ministry of Education, Shanghai 200092, China

\* Correspondence: 20101@tongji.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-159-0519-1490

## Additional Affiliations

In the published publication [1], there was an error regarding the affiliations for Xing Shi. In addition to the affiliations:

4—College of Architecture and Urban Planning, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China.

5—Key Laboratory of Ecology and Energy-Saving Study of Dense Habitat (Tongji University), Ministry of Education, Shanghai 200092, China.

The updated affiliations should include:

1—School of Architecture, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China.

The authors state that the scientific conclusions are unaffected. This correction was made on the authors' request, and was approved by the Academic Editor. The original publication has also been updated.



**Citation:** Li, Y.; Wang, C.; Zhu, S.; Yang, J.; Wei, S.; Zhang, X.; Shi, X. Correction: Li et al. A Comparison of Various Bottom-Up Urban Energy Simulation Methods Using a Case Study in Hangzhou, China. *Energies* 2020, 13, 4781. *Energies* 2023, 16, 4752. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en16124752>

Received: 24 May 2023

Accepted: 7 June 2023

Published: 16 June 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## Reference

1. Li, Y.; Wang, C.; Zhu, S.; Yang, J.; Wei, S.; Zhang, X.; Shi, X. A Comparison of Various Bottom-Up Urban Energy Simulation Methods Using a Case Study in Hangzhou, China. *Energies* 2020, 13, 4781. [[CrossRef](#)]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.