

Impact of the Deionized Water on Making High Aspect Ratio Holes in the Inconel 718 Alloy with the Use of Electrical Discharge Drilling

Magdalena Machno ^{1,*}, Rafał Bogucki ², Maciej Szkoda ¹ and Wojciech Bizon ³

¹ Institute of Rail Vehicles, Faculty of Mechanical, Cracow University of Technology, 31-155 Cracow, Poland; maciej.szkoda@pk.edu.pl.

² Institute of Materials Engineering, Faculty of Materials Engineering and Physics, Cracow University of Technology, 31-155 Cracow, Poland; rbogucki@mech.pk.edu.pl.

³ Institute of Production Engineering, Faculty of Mechanical, Cracow University of Technology, 31-155 Cracow, Poland; wojciech.bizon@pk.edu.pl.

* Correspondence: magdalena.machno@pk.edu.pl.; Tel.: +48-12-374-36-56

Received: 5 February 2020; Accepted: 20 March 2020; Published: date

Supplementary Section S1

The results of the ANOVA analysis for drilling speed v and linear tool wear TW are given in Table 1 (where: DF is degrees of freedom, $Adj SS$ is the adjusted sums of squares, and $Adj MS$ is the adjusted means squares). The drilling parameters effecting the process performance at the applied significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$ have the “*” sign. Additionally, for TW the selected relevant parameter is the discharge voltage U .

Table 1. ANOVA for drilling speed v and linear tool wear TW .

Source	v					TW				
	$Adj SS$	DF	$Adj MS$	$F - Value$	$p - Value$	$Adj SS$	DF	$Adj MS$	$F - Value$	$p - Value$
U	9.543	1	9.544	35.380	0.000216*	105.685	1	105.685	2.2171	0.170674*
U^2	0.260	1	0.260	0.962	0.352311	11.482	1	11.482	0.241	0.635325
t_i	6.627	1	6.627	24.568	0.000784*	762.634	1	762.634	15.999	0.003111*
t_i^2	0.213	1	0.213	0.790	0.397362	73.623	1	73.623	1.545	0.245357
I	28.486	1	28.486	105.604	0.000003*	1221.701	1	1221.701	25.629	0.000679*
I^2	2.908	1	2.907	10.778	0.009485*	57.490	1	57.490	1.206	0.300630
$U \cdot t_i$	2.075	1	2.075	7.693	0.021626*	303.887	1	303.887	6.375	0.032509*
$U \cdot I$	0.880	1	0.880	3.262	0.104366	45.149	1	45.149	0.947	0.355879
$t_i \cdot I$	0.305	1	0.305	1.132	0.315151	483.421	1	483.421	10.141	0.011104*
Residual Error	2.428	9	0.270	-	-	429.023	9	47.669	-	-
Total	79.145	18	-	-	-	3326.029	18	-	-	-

The results of the ANOVA analysis for the taper angle tap_α and the side gap thickness S_b are presented in Table 2. The process parameters which influence the taper angle and the side gap thickness at the applied significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$ have the “*” sign. Also, for the tap_α the discharge voltage is regarded as significant parameter, but for the S_b the discharge voltage and the current amplitude.

Table 2. ANOVA for the taper angle tap_α and the side gap thickness S_b .

Source	Tapa					Sb				
	Adj SS	DF	Adj MS	F-Value	p-Value	Adj SS	DF	Adj MS	F-Value	p-Value
U	0.000005	1	0.000005	2.786	0.129449*	116.705	1	116.705	1.632	0.233394*
U^2	0.000001	1	0.000001	0.393	0.546172	1264.706	1	1264.706	17.686	0.002288*
ti	0.000018	1	0.000018	10.436	0.010314*	653.773	1	653.773	9.142	0.014394*
ti^2	0.000012	1	0.000012	7.259	0.024627*	0.269	1	0.269	0.004	0.952431
I	0.000044	1	0.000044	25.709	0.000671*	9.980	1	9.980	0.140	0.717367*
I^2	0.000002	1	0.000002	1.138	0.313799	116.906	1	116.906	1.635	0.233023
$U \cdot ti$	0.000003	1	0.000003	1.644	0.231775	65.029	1	65.029	0.909	0.365193
$U \cdot I$	0.000000	1	0.000000	0.191	0.672401	19.105	1	19.105	0.267	0.617698
$ti \cdot I$	0.000018	1	0.000018	10.743	0.009566*	627.329	1	627.329	8.773	0.015912*
Residual Error	0.000015	9	0.000002	-	-	643.593	9	71.510	-	-
Total	0.000133	18	-	-	-	3510.287	18	-	-	-

The results of the ANOVA analysis for the aspect ratio hole AR is presented in Table 3. The process parameters which impact of the aspect ratio hole at the applied significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$ have the “*” sign. Additionally, the process parameters such as the discharge voltage, the pulse time and the current amplitude are regarded as a significant parameter.

Table 3. ANOVA for the aspect ratio hole AR .

Source	Adj SS	DF	Adj MS	F-Value	p-Value
U	10.074	1	10.074	3.020	0.116235*
U^2	18.874	1	18.875	5.659	0.041307*
ti	11.450	1	11.450	3.433	0.096916*
ti^2	18.104	1	18.104	5.428	0.044765*
I	10.486	1	10.486	3.144	0.109963*
I^2	0.353	1	0.353	0.106	0.752351
$U \cdot ti$	0.040	1	0.040	0.012	0.915292
$U \cdot I$	2.270	1	2.270	0.681	0.430691
$ti \cdot I$	0.914	1	0.914	0.274	0.613246
Residual Error	30.018	9	3.335	-	-
Total	99.938	18	-	-	-



© 2020 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).