



Supplementary material

Strategies Regarding High-Temperature Applications w.r.t Strength, Toughness, and Fatigue Life for SA508 Alloy

Muhammad Raies Abdullah ¹, Cai Hongneng ¹, and Fang Liang ^{1, 2, *}

- ¹ Key Laboratory for Mechanical Behavior of Materials, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China; hntsai@mail.xjtu.edu.cn (C.H.); raieskhan@stu.xjtu.edu.cn (M.R.A.)
- ² School of Mechanical & Electrical Engineering, Xiamen University Tan Kah Kee College, Zhangzhou 363105, China
- * Correspondence: fangl@xjtu.edu.cn; Tel.: +(86)-29-8266-5479

1. Simulation Conditions for Optimization

We use Jmat-Pro (version 7) and Thermo-Calc software (2019a) for simulations, so we some assumptions for these calculations. Thermocalc is working on Gibbs's free energy models [1] for equilibrium conditions. For mechanical calculations related to SA508 analysis, it was assumed at γ -FCC, grain size is 10–15 μ m, and calculations were performed at 650 K temperature. For stress-strain calculations, the strain rate was assumed to be 0.1 at 650 K temperature.





Creep is reflected to be a temperature-dependent process and satisfies the power-law equation [2].

$$\varepsilon = A(\frac{\sigma}{E})\exp\left(\frac{-Q}{RT}\right)$$
 (S1)

T is the temperature, σ is significant stress, E is the Modulus, and A is a material-dependent constant; however, R, Q, and n are gas constant, activation energy, and stress exponent. R, T, and A are constants or known, so only Q, n, E, and σ are responsible parameters that change the material's steady-state creep rate.



Figure S2. Ac₃(ferrite to Austenite transition temperature) and Ac₄(Austinite to Ferrite transition temperature) temperature calculations (**a**) FCC and BCC for MS1 samples; (**b**) FCC and BCC for MS2 samples; (**c**) FCC and BCC for MS3 samples.



Figure S3. Martensite temperature start (Ms), Ac₃ and Ac₄ temperatures w.r.t (**a**) MS1 (changing Carbon contents); (**b**) MS2 (changing Mangenese contents); (**c**) MS3 (changing Silicon contents).



Figure S4. Composition of phases w.r.t elements (a) MC_ETA; (b) M3C2;(c) M7C3; (d)Cementite; (e) M23C6; (f) G-phase.



Figure S5. Site fractions of phases w.r.t elements (a) MC_ETA, (b) M3C2, (c) M7C3, (d)Cementite, (e) M23C6, (f) G-phase.



Figure S6. (a) Volume fraction Austenite and pearlite regarding Carbon; (b) Volume fraction Austenite and pearlite regarding Mn; (c) Volume fraction Austenite and pearlite regarding Silicon.



Figure S7. Stress-strain calculation for SA508 (a); Silicon, (b); Manganese; (c) Carbon.

2. Fatigue Equations

Fatigue is an effect of permanent microplastic deformation when a material is exposed to cyclic loading. This often occurs together with thermal and/or corrosive attack owing to the presence of oxygen and hydrogen, causing a phenomenon known as stress corrosion cracking [3].

$$\frac{\Delta\epsilon}{2} = \frac{\Delta\epsilon_e}{2} + \frac{\Delta\epsilon_p}{2} = \frac{\sigma_f'}{E} (2N)^b + \epsilon_f' (2N)^c$$
(S2)

In this equation $\Delta \epsilon$, σ'_f , ϵ'_f , E, and 2N are strain amplitude, fracture stress, fracture strain, Young's Modulus and number of cycles respectively, where b(-0.1) and c(-0.9) are user defined constants [4].



Figure S8. Scheil solidification diagram for the SUS304 composition and their effect on solidifications.

References

- 1. Chen, H.L.; Mao, H.; Chen, Q. Database development and Calphad calculations for high entropy alloys: Challenges, strategies, and tips. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 2018, 210, 279–290. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2017.07.082.
- Miodownik, A.P.; Li, X.; Saunders, N.; Schille, J.-P. Modelling of Creep in Nickel Based Superalloys, 6th Int. Charles Parsons Turbine Conf. (2003). Available online: http://www.sentesoftware.co.uk/media/2555/creep.pdf.
- 3. Raabe, D.; Tasan, C.C.; Olivetti, E.A.Strategies for improving the sustainability of structural metals. *Nature* 2019, 575, 64–74. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1702-5.
- Guo, Z.; Saunders, N.; Miodownik, P.; Schillé, J.P. Modeling material properties of lead-free solder alloys. J. Electron. Mater. 2008, 37, 23–31. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11664-007-0218-1.