



# *Review* **Metal Oxide Heterostructures for Improving Gas Sensing Properties: A Review**

**Fan-Jian Meng 1,†, Rui-Feng Xin 1,\* ,[†](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7091-9958) and Shan-Xin Li <sup>2</sup>**

- <sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory of Advanced Metallurgy, School of Metallurgical and Ecological Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, China
- <sup>2</sup> School of Materials, Sun Yat-sen University, Shenzhen 518107, China
- **\*** Correspondence: xinrf@hotmail.com
- † These authors contributed equally to this work.

**Abstract:** Metal oxide semiconductor gas sensors are widely used to detect toxic and inflammable gases in industrial production and daily life. The main research hotspot in this field is the synthesis of gas sensing materials. Previous studies have shown that incorporating two or more metal oxides to form a heterojunction interface can exhibit superior gas sensing performance in response and selectivity compared with single phase. This review focuses on mainly the synthesis methods and gas sensing mechanisms of metal oxide heterostructures. A significant number of heterostructures with different morphologies and shapes have been fabricated, which exhibit specific sensing performance toward a specific target gas. Among these synthesis methods, the hydrothermal method is noteworthy due to the fabrication of diverse structures, such as nanorod-like, nanoflower-like, and hollow sphere structures with enhanced sensing properties. In addition, it should be noted that the combination of different synthesis methods is also an efficient way to obtain metal oxide heterostructures with novel morphologies. Despite advanced methods in the metal oxide semiconductors and nanotechnology field, there are still some new issues which deserve further investigation, such as long-term chemical stability of sensing materials, reproducibility of the fabrication process, and selectivity toward homogeneous gases. Moreover, the gas sensing mechanism of metal oxide heterostructures is controversial. It should be clarified so as to further integrate laboratory theory research with practical exploitation.

**Keywords:** metal oxide semiconductor; sensing materials; heterostructures; synthesis methods; gas sensing mechanism

### **1. Introduction**

As people pay increasingly more attention to the environmental protection, the detection of toxic, inflammable, and explosive gases is of vital significance [\[1–](#page-16-0)[3\]](#page-16-1). Gas sensors are devices which are capable of achieving this requirement. Among these, resistive-type gas sensors based on metal-oxide-semiconductor (MOS) configurations are more attractive and widely used. The electrical resistance of the MOS gas sensing material is correspondingly changed with various types and concentrations of gases, which makes it convenient for gas testing. Sensitivity, selectivity, response and recovery times, and stability are the critical parameters of gas sensors. Considerable studies have been adopted to improve these gas sensing parameters by the modification of the nanostructures of the sensing materials, adding catalyst as well as synthesizing nanocomposites [\[4\]](#page-16-2). Because of easy fabrication, high sensitivity, and stability of MOS sensors under ambient atmosphere compared with other electronic devices, the correlative research on MOS-based gas sensors has become a hotspot in this area.

According to the different conductive behaviors, MOSs are classified mainly into two types, which are referred to as n-type and p-type. SnO<sub>2</sub> [\[5\]](#page-16-3) and ZnO [\[6\]](#page-16-4) are the most representative MOS, and they both exhibit n-type oxide conductivity feature. Other n-type



**Citation:** Meng, F.-J.; Xin, R.-F.; Li, S.-X. Metal Oxide Heterostructures for Improving Gas Sensing Properties: A Review. *Materials* **2023**, *16*, 263. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma16010263) [ma16010263](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma16010263)

Academic Editor: Marina N. Rumyantseva

Received: 7 September 2022 Revised: 11 October 2022 Accepted: 13 October 2022 Published: 27 December 2022



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

MOSs such as TiO<sub>2</sub> [\[7\]](#page-16-5), Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [\[8\]](#page-16-6), and In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [\[9\]](#page-16-7) are also widely studied by researchers for investigating their gas sensing behaviors. In contrast, p-type MOSs such as NiO, CuO,  $Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ , and  $Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  have received relatively less attention because of their lower response to target gases compared with n-type MOS. Some scholars such as M. Hübner et al. [\[10\]](#page-16-8) suggested that with the identical morphological structures, the response of an n-type MOSbased gas sensor to target gases is equal to the square of that of a p-type MOS-based gas sensor. This indicates that the responses of p-type MOS-based sensors should be enhanced so as to ameliorate their gas sensing properties.

Nevertheless, regardless of whether MOS perform n-type or p-type conductive behavior, there exists the intractable problem of poor selectivity among some reduction gases due to their cross-sensitivity property, which makes it difficult to simultaneously quantify the concentration of target gases in the presence of interfering gases [\[11–](#page-17-0)[13\]](#page-17-1). More attention should be paid that most p-type MOS exhibit excellent catalytic performance to promote selective oxidation of some volatile organic compounds (VOCs) [\[14,](#page-17-2)[15\]](#page-17-3). Additionally, by compounding p-type and n-type MOS materials, p–n heterojunctions are created at the interface between these materials, whereby the transport behavior of their respective charge carriers will be changed. The combination of these two or more dissimilar components allows the integration of their different properties; therefore, it will enhance their comprehensive sensing performances and weaken their respective intrinsic sensing defects, so that the sensing parameters such as selectivity and sensitivity can be significantly improved.

The material structure incorporating two dissimilar components is often referred to as a heterostructure. The nanomaterials which constitute a heterostructure always have different Fermi levels. By creating electrical contact at the interface when contacting dissimilar semiconducting materials, the Fermi levels can equilibrate to the same energy, which results in a carrier transfer and the formation of a charge depletion layer [\[11,](#page-17-0)[16\]](#page-17-4). This is the basic gas sensing enhancement mechanism of these heterostructures and will be discussed later.

In recent years, there are several review articles which have been published about MOS nanomaterials applied in the gas sensing field. However, this review article specifically focuses on the MOS-heterostructured gas sensing materials [\[17,](#page-17-5)[18\]](#page-17-6). Many researchers have found that the selectivity and other sensing parameters of resistive-type MOS gas sensors can be improved by synthesizing nanocomposites [\[19](#page-17-7)[–24\]](#page-17-8). Attracted by the superior performances of gas sensors with heterostructures, researchers still maintain a high interest in nanostructured MOS which are used as based blocks to fabricate the heterostructures. Analyzing the data of the search from the Web of Science since the year 2000 (Figure [1\)](#page-2-0), it can clearly be seen that the number of published papers on this topic is continually increasing. In addition, the number of times papers corresponding to heterojunctions or heterostructures gas sensors are cited is also gradually increasing.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

**Figure 1.** Records of the number of metal-oxide-heterojunctions-related published papers (**a**) or **Figure 1.** Records of the number of metal-oxide-heterojunctions-related published papers (**a**) or metal-oxide-heterostructures-related published papers (**b**) and the number of their respective times metal-oxide-heterostructures-related published papers (**b**) and the number of their respective times cited (c,d) since the year 2000. The search string: TITLE-ABS-KEY (metal and oxide and heterojunctions/heterostructures and gas and sensors) (internet search of Web of Science on 28 June 2019). tions/heterostructures and gas and sensors) (internet search of Web of Science on 28 June 2019).

### **2. Gas sensing Mechanisms of Metal Oxide Semiconductors 2. Gas Sensing Mechanisms of Metal Oxide Semiconductors**

Electrons and holes are the main charge carriers of MOS for conductivity. According Electrons and holes are the main charge carriers of MOS for conductivity. According to the differences of their relative contents, n-type MOS are materials which carry more to the differences of their relative contents, n-type MOS are materials which carry more electrons than holes, while the relative content of internal carriers of p-type MOS is the electrons than holes, while the relative content of internal carriers of p-type MOS is the opposite. When the electronic affinity of gas molecules is greater than the work function opposite. When the electronic affinity of gas molecules is greater than the work function of MOS surface, some oxidizing gases (e.g.,  $O_2$ ) are able to capture electrons from the MOS surface; thus, ionized oxygen anions (i.e.,  $O_2^-$ ,  $O^-$ , and  $O^{2-}$ ) will form with the difference of temperature range. Furthermore, if the electronic affinity of gas molecules is less than the work function of MOS surface, electrons can release to the surface from the gas molecular, forming cations adsorption, such as reducing gases (CO and  $\rm H_{2})$  substituting for oxidizing gases absorbed. Therefore, for an n-type MOS, when exposed to an oxidizing gas, electrons are captured and combined with the gas molecular, thus constructing an electron depletion layer on the surface of the material to establish electrical core–shell structure (Figure 2a), whic[h](#page-3-0) exhibits a high resistance characteristic. When an n-type MOS is exposed to a reducing gas, adsorbed oxygen ions are able to be oxidized, the trapped electrons will re-inject into the MOS surface, thereby increasing the charge carrier concentration and obviously decreasing the sensor resistance. It is widely noted that there is a certain functional relationship between the response of MOS gas sensor and the gas  $\alpha$  concentration [\[12](#page-17-9)[,25–](#page-17-10)[30\]](#page-17-11). Thus, it will be helpful for gas quantitative analysis.

In contrast, by adsorbing oxygen, the charge carriers of p-type MOS are mainly holes which assemble on the surface of MOS to form a hole accumulation layer with low resistance; in contrast, the MOS performs a high resistance characteristic, which also establishes the core–shell configuration (Figure [2b](#page-3-0)). Under this configuration, when adsorbing reducing gases, the electrons are released to the MOS through the reaction between the reducing gases and the ionized oxygen anions on the surface of the materials,  $\frac{1}{2}$ which, in turn, decreases the concentration of holes in the shell layer and increases the material resistance. Table [1](#page-3-1) summarizes the converse gas sensing behaviors of n-type and p-type and p-type and MOS materials. p-type MOS materials.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Figure 2. Formation of core-shell structures of charge carriers in (a) n-type and (b) p-type oxide semiconductors. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [25]. semiconductors. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [\[25\]](#page-17-10).

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Table 1.** Gas sensing behaviors of n-type and p-type materials to reducing and oxidizing gases. **Table 1.** Gas sensing behaviors of n-type and p-type materials to reducing and oxidizing gases.

<b>Material Type</b>	Dominant Charge Carrier	<b>Reducing Gas</b>	<b>Oxidizing Gas</b>
n-type	electrons $(e^-)$	resistance decreases	resistance increases
p-type	holes $(h^+)$	resistance increases	resistance decreases

On the basis of the aforementioned MOS gas sensing mechanisms, Yamazoe firstly proposed the grain-size effect which is illustrated as follows [\[31\]](#page-17-12). First, we introduce two concepts. One is 'D' which represents the grain size of the particles; the other is the Debye length (L<sub>D</sub>), which is defined as the furthest distance to which a fixed charge can provide a force to the surrounding charges. Additionally,  $L_D$  is approximately equal to the thickness of electron depletion layer or hole accumulation layer. The grain size mainly determines the response value of sensing materials if the grain size is greater than twice of the Debye length  $\frac{1}{10}$   $\approx$  2L<sub>D</sub>), whereas it will bring some influence to the gas response of p-type MOS in which the conduction mostly occurs along the shell layer. Under this condition, the slight change are constitution moon, occurs along the orien myer. Onder this constituent, the eight enangeled of the concentration of holes in the shell layer because of the gain or loss of the electrons simple mixture of SnO2 and Co3O4, which are not controlled and  $\alpha$  will not bring notable variation of the sensor resistance. This is the main reason why the<br>were sensored we for the sensitive ductor is began then the for the sensitive ductor. response value of p-type semiconductor is lower than that of n-type semiconductor.

## **3. Heterostructure Classification**

- A forward slash between constituents' names such as ZnO/NiO represents a clear as ZnO/NiO represents a clear<br>' names such a clear a Before introducing the heterostructure synthesis methods and elucidating the sensing mechanisms of different heterostructural materials, it is worth describing the major classifications of heterostructural compound materials. The combination of these materials fabricates diverse heterojunctions, such as p–n, n–n, and p–p nanojunctions according to the semiconducting properties of sensing materials. The sensing mechanisms of these different heterojunctions will be separately described in the following parts.

The relationship between the structure and distribution state of the constituents can effectively influence the sensing performance of materials. Thus, it is worth describing three structure–architecture types of heterostructure by the following nomenclature.

- A dash between the names of two or more constituents such as  $SnO<sub>2</sub>-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  represents a simple mixture of  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ , which are not controlled and randomly distributed.
- An " $@$ " sign between two or more constituents such as  $SnO<sub>2</sub>@CuO$  represents the base material  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$  with a second material CuO adding on it in some ways. For example, CuO is coated on  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$  in the ways such as sputtering, dipping, etc.

- A forward slash between constituents' names such as ZnO/NiO represents a clear partition or a well-defined interface between these two materials. For example, ZnO/NiO could represent a bi-layer structure or core–shell ZnO/NiO nanorods.

#### *3.1. Simple Mixed Compound Structures*

This synthetic method is an easy way to obtain the mixed compound structure by mixing the existing oxide powders. B. Lyson-Sypien et al. [\[32\]](#page-17-13) detected that by mechanical mixing with different contents (0%, 2%, 10%, 50%, and 80%) of TiO<sub>2</sub> and SnO<sub>2</sub>, the sensing response to  $H_2$  gradually increases with the increase of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  content and reaches the maximum with  $50\%$  TiO<sub>2</sub>, which is attributed to the presence of most heterojunctions in this composition. It should be noted that even though the nominal component of two heterostructural materials is identical, the gas sensing behavior can be quite different. The dispersion state of heterostructures, which depends on the processing routes, has a significant influence on the behavior of the sensor material. D. Shaposhnik et al. [\[33\]](#page-17-14) studied the gas sensing behavior of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ -doped  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$  by comparing co-precipitation with mechanical mixing method. The results showed that the optimum composition which performed the best sensitivity to  $H_2$  was 10 mol% TiO<sub>2</sub> for co-precipitation and 20 mol%  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  for mechanical mixing.

#### *3.2. Layered Structures*

Heterostructures based on bi-layers or multi-layers exhibit well-defined interfaces, which are suitable for characterizing and modeling because of their simple stacked 2D structure. Thanks to this structure, it becomes easier to characterize their electronic properties at the interface. In addition, it is easy to study the thermal stability which includes the possible growth of mixed phases and the diffusion across the interface [\[34\]](#page-17-15). However, these structures are less popular for some applications due to the lower specific surface area ratio, which brings less gas-accessibility to the heterojunction interface, thus influencing the gas sensor response. Dandeneau et al. [\[35\]](#page-17-16) optimized the porosity and crystallinity of the top CuO film by changing the pyrolysis temperature through the sol-gel process of n-ZnO/p-CuO heterojunctions so as to rapidly analyze the gas diffusion rate to the interface of heterojunctions.

#### *3.3. Structures Decorated with Second-Phase Particles*

Another common class of heterostructures is the decoration of the host oxide material with nanoparticles of a second phase, which includes oxide materials [\[36](#page-17-17)[–38\]](#page-17-18), metals [\[39,](#page-17-19)[40\]](#page-18-0) or carbon-based materials [\[41](#page-18-1)[,42\]](#page-18-2). These structures are usually used in photocatalysis [\[43\]](#page-18-3) and photovoltaics, of which the secondary particle phases can perform as catalysts or sensitizers so as to enhance the sensing performance. Moreover, noble metal nanoparticles such as Au, Ag, Pt, and Pd are also used as the second-phase particles which are added into host oxides [\[44](#page-18-4)[–47\]](#page-18-5) and which act as catalysts and activators to increase the dissociation and reaction rate of gas molecular by reducing the activation energy of the reactions [\[48\]](#page-18-6). However, these noble metal nanoparticles can increase the cost and instability issues, such as catalytic poisoning effect because of the activity decrease and phenomena of coarsening or clustering at high temperatures [\[49\]](#page-18-7).

#### *3.4. One-Dimensional Structures*

These nanostructures include mainly nanowires, nanorods, and nanofibers, which usually possess large specific surface areas. The selectivity and gas sensing properties can be significantly improved by modifying the secondary-phase material on one-dimensional nanostructures, such as nanowires modified by nanocrystals [\[50](#page-18-8)[–52\]](#page-18-9), core–shell nanowires [\[53](#page-18-10)[,54\]](#page-18-11), core–shell nanotubes [\[55](#page-18-12)[,56\]](#page-18-13), and composite nanofibers [\[57–](#page-18-14)[61\]](#page-18-15). Generally speaking, the gas sensing enhancement mechanisms attribute mainly to three aspects including onedimensional structure model, heterojunction effect, and catalytic effect. One-dimensional heterostructure usually has a high length–diameter ratio, which means that more surface

atoms can participate in the gas–solid reaction compared with other heterostructures [\[62\]](#page-18-16). The actual effective heterojunction area of these one-dimensional composites varies with the process types and synthetic methods, which will be introduced later.

#### *3.5. Core–Shell Structures*

The last morphology to which we often pay attention is core–shell structures. Among *3.5. Core–Shell Structures* all types of heterostructures for gas sensing applications, core-shell structures are the most promising types and will attract future researchers. This morphology can provide a maximized interfacial area with the help of completely covering the host material with a secondary phase while minimizing the amount of the material as bulk. Because of their unique structural features, core-shell structures integrate the properties of both internal and external materials and also compensate for their respective shortcomings. Scholars have conducted much research on core–shell structures recently. Wu et al. [\[63\]](#page-18-17) found that the zeolitic imidazolate framework-8 (ZIF-8) shell, which is a stable metal–organic that the zeolitic imidazolate framework-8 (ZIF-8) shell, which is a stable metal–organic framework (MOF) porous material, had fine grains and was completely coated on the intact<br> $\vec{r}$ ZnO nanorod core, as shown in Figure [3a](#page-5-0). The coating of the ZIF-8 shell was uniform and continuous, and the interfacial area between ZIF-8 shell and ZnO core was totally maximized, as shown in Figure  $3b$ , $c$ . It can be seen in Figure  $3d$ –f that the core–shell structure of  $\text{ZnO@ZIF-8}$  was particularly clear and the successful transition of  $\text{ZnO}$  core to ZIF-8 shell was found by EDS element scanning. The cross-section image and EDXS mappings of Figure 3g showed th[at](#page-5-0) ZIF-8 shell fully covered the ZnO nanorods, and the porous ZIF-8 shell could control access of the gas species to the ZnO core so as to improve the gas selectivity of the sensing materials.  $t_{\text{max}}$  and  $\Delta t_{\text{QED}}$  is a stable metal–organic framework-8 ( $\Delta t_{\text{QED}}$  and  $\Delta t_{\text{QED}}$ ) shell,  $\Delta t_{\text{QED}}$ 

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

**Figure 3.** Microstructure of ZnO@ZIF-8 core–shell nanorod film: (**a**) SEM image; (**b**,**c**) TEM images; **Figure 3.** Microstructure of ZnO@ZIF-8 core–shell nanorod film: (a) SEM image; (b,c) TEM images;<br>(d) HAADF-STEM image; (e,f) EDS element scanning of area-1 and area-2 in image (d); (g) crosssection image and EDXS mappings (reprinted with permission from Ref. [\[63\]](#page-18-17)).

# **4. Overview of Synthesis Methods 4. Overview of Synthesis Methods**

With the development of the research on metal-oxide-based heterostructures, different technologies have been employed to fabricate these materials. The preparation of these heterostructures demands various factors such as structural affection and chemical homogeneity, which lead to the rapid development of synthesis methods. This investigation geneity, which lead to the rapid development of synthesis methods. This investigation will introduce some common fabrication techniques, making it possible to develop various with introduce some common natriculor techniques, maturig it possible to develop heterostructural nanomaterials by combining different types of MOS materials. heterologies have been employed to habitate these materials. The preparation of the model

## *4.1. Sol-Gel Method 4.1. Sol-Gel Method*

The sol-gel method has become one of the most preferable methods for fabricating The sol-gel method has become one of the most preferable methods for fabricating MOS-based heterostructures. The compounds with high chemical activity act as precursors, which are evenly mixed in a liquid environment by adding surface active agent, forming a stable and transparent sol system by internal chemical reactions. The stagnant gel subsequently forms by slow polymerization. Finally, the nanostructured materials can be synthesized by drying and sintering methods. Jiang et al. studied the effect of polyethylene glycol on the microstructures of TiO<sub>2</sub> thin films using the sol-gel method [\[64\]](#page-18-18). They reported that porous and fine-grained TiO<sub>2</sub> films can form when adding more or with a high molecular weight of polyethylene glycol. They drew the conclusion that the sol-gel method could control the shape and size of fabrication materials by changing the solution composition and the synthesized conditions. In this aspect, many research groups synthesized different shapes of MOS heterostructures. Hernández et al. fabricated heterostructural materials based on TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles and ZnO nanowires by the sol-gel method [\[65\]](#page-18-19). Referring to our previous work, we successfully prepared pristine Sn[O](#page-6-0)<sub>2</sub> (Figure 4a–d), SnO<sub>2</sub>-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> heterostructure (Figure 4e–h), a[nd](#page-6-0)  $\text{In}^{3+}$ -doped SnO<sub>2</sub>-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> heterostructure (Figure 4i–l) via the sol-gel method [\[66\]](#page-18-20). The structure morphology of the SnO<sub>2</sub>-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanocomposite was not affected after  $\text{In}^{3+}$  doping modification. The particle size of  $\text{SnO}_2$  was changed by doping with  $In^{3+}$ , which could improve sensing performance towards CO gas.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Figure 4. FESEM and TEM images of (a-d) pristine SnO<sub>2</sub>, (e-h) 20 mol%In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SnO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite and (**i**–**l**) 20 mol%In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-Sn<sub>0.92</sub>In<sub>0.08</sub>O<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite (reprinted with permission from Ref. [\[66\]](#page-18-20)).

# *4.2. Hydrothermal–Solvothermal Synthesis Method 4.2. Hydrothermal–Solvothermal Synthesis Method*

Because of the morphology and structure of MOS-based heterostructures that play Because of the morphology and structure of MOS-based heterostructures that play an important role in improving their gas sensing properties, many researchers have making effort to fabricate heterostructures using novel methods. Among these, the been making effort to fabricate heterostructures using novel methods. Among these, the thermal synthesis method is considered to be a powerful and efficient hydrothermal–solvothermal synthesis method is considered to be a powerful and efficient route for the fabrication of diverse kinds of heterostructural semiconductor nanomaterials, for the fabrication of diverse kinds of heterostructural semiconductor nanomaterials, which can precisely control the structure and morphology of MOS nanomaterials, achieving the goals of fabricating a wide spectrum of metal oxide heterojunctions. This method is performed in an autoclave, where the solution concentration, reaction time , and temperature can be automatically controlled [\[67\]](#page-18-21). Therefore, phases with diverse morphology and properties are fabricated by controlling the reaction process and crystal growth. Due to allowing the fabrication of a wide spectrum of metal oxide heterostructures, this method is widely studied by most researchers. Recently, Liu et al. fabricated a flower-like structure com-posed of NiO-decorated ZnO nanostructures via a one-step hydrothermal procedure [\[68\]](#page-19-0) (Figure [5\)](#page-7-0). In addition, by varying the synthesis temperature and the solution concentration, a two-step hydrothermal method can construct heterostructures of the same materials with diverse shapes. Wang et al. studied the effect of hydrothermal temperature on the morphology of metal oxide heterostructures and successfully synthesized a nanobelt-like structure of SnO<sub>2</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub> at relatively hi[gh](#page-19-1) temperatures [69]. Liu et al. controlled the reaction time and temperature by means of solvothermal method and then obtained NiO/ZnO hollow [sphe](#page-19-2)res materials [70]. The aforementioned introductions illustrate that a precise control over the hydrothermal synthetic conditions is the key factor for the construction of high-quality metal oxide heterostructures with diverse shapes.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

**Figure 5.** (**a**) FESEM image of pure ZnO nanoflowers; (**b**) FESEM image of NiO-decorated ZnO **Figure 5.** (**a**) FESEM image of pure ZnO nanoflowers; (**b**) FESEM image of NiO-decorated ZnO nanostructures (reprinted with permission from Ref. [68]). nanostructures (reprinted with permission from Ref. [\[68\]](#page-19-0)).

### *4.3. Vapor Deposition Method 4.3. Vapor Deposition Method*

This method mainly consists of chemical vapor deposition (CVD) or physical vapor This method mainly consists of chemical vapor deposition (CVD) or physical vapor deposition (PVD). CVD technology uses mainly one or several gaseous compounds or deposition (PVD). CVD technology uses mainly one or several gaseous compounds or elementary substances containing film elements to produce films by chemical reaction on elementary substances containing film elements to produce films by chemical reaction on the substrate surface. CVD method can be used to purify materials, fabricate new crystals, the substrate surface. CVD method can be used to purify materials, fabricate new crystals, as well as deposit monocrystal, polycrystal, glassy inorganic films, etc. The physical properties of materials can be precisely controlled by the process of vapor deposition. Additionally, high purity samples with different structure and morphology can be obtained CVD method through precise control of vapor deposition process, such as the operating by CVD method through precise control of vapor deposition process, such as the operating temperature, the pressure in the reactor, the template material, as well as the composition of the gas-phase [\[71\]](#page-19-3). Recently, by preparing  $SnO<sub>2</sub>/ZnO$  superlattice nanowires, Jiang et al. found that Au loading is helpful for the adsorption of  $\text{Zn}/\text{Sn}$  vapor and the formation of SnO<sub>2</sub> superlattice on the ZnO lattice surface [\[72\]](#page-19-4).

Another well-developed technique to synthesize novel heterostructures is PVD. Different catalyst layers could be deposited on the substrates to improve the nucleation of

oxide materials. The catalyst type, the patterned template, the temperature and pressure inside the furnace, and the carrier gas composition and its flow affect the morphology of materials prepared by PVD method [\[73\]](#page-19-5). The PVD method is often carried out at high temperatures in a high-vacuum or inert-gas environment, which obtains low dimensional metal oxide heterostructures. Choi et al. deposited a thin Au layer on the surface of ZnO nanofiber stems to promote the growth of SnO<sub>2</sub> nanowires by PVD method and successfully  $\frac{1}{2}$  fabricated the ZnO-SnO<sub>2</sub> nanofiber–nanowire stem–branch heterostructure [\[74\]](#page-19-6). fully fabricated the ZnO-SnO2 nanofiber–nanowire stem–branch heterostructure [74].

#### *4.4. Electrospinning Method*

Electrospinning is a technique which utilizes high voltage electrostatic field force to fabricate nanofibers. In this way, the polymer and related materials can be prepared into one-dimensional nanofibers with high specific surface area, controllable composition and shape, and porous structure after calcination. The working mechanism of this technology  $\frac{1}{2}$  is that the polymer or solution is electrified with the help of a high-voltage electrostatic field, and the liquid drop at the tip of the nozzle will form a suspended liquid drop (i.e., means and the inputs drop at the tip of the nozzle will form a suspended liquid drop (i.e.,<br>"Taylor cone") and extend from the tip of the cone to obtain the fiber filament. Meanwhile, the liquid drops are subject to surface tension and surface charge repulsion force caused by<br>the liquid drops are subject to surface tension and surface charge repulsion force caused by the electrostatic field. When the surface charge repulsion force is greater than the surface<br> tension, the micro flow of polymer will be ejected from the solution surface. The liquid flow<br>in the micro flow of polymer will be ejected from the solution surface. The liquid is stretched and dragged by electric field force, then the solvent volatilizes and solidifies, and finally the sample is deposited on the prepared substrate to form polymer fiber [\[75\]](#page-19-7). Nanopolymer filaments can be fabricated by electrospinning. Feng et al. [\[76\]](#page-19-8) prepared TiO<sub>2</sub>-SnO<sub>2</sub> composite heterojunction materials with core–shell nanofiber structure by the electrospinning method (Figure [6\)](#page-8-0). Taylor cone") and extend from the tip of the cone to obtain the fiber filament. Meanwhile, the filament of the filament of the cone to obtain the filament. Meanwhile, the filament of the filament of the filament of the fil

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

**Figure 6.** Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) images of (a) TiO<sub>2</sub>-SnO<sub>2</sub> core–shell nanofibers (NFs), (**b**) SnO<sup>2</sup> NFs, and (**c**) TiO<sup>2</sup> core–shell nanofibers, transmission electron microsnanofibers (NFs), (b) SnO<sub>2</sub> NFs, and (c) TiO<sub>2</sub> core-shell nanofibers, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of (**d**) TiO<sub>2</sub>-SnO<sub>2</sub> core–shell NFs, (**e**) SnO<sub>2</sub> NFs, and (**f**) TiO<sub>2</sub> core–shell NFs (reprinted with permission from Ref. [\[76\]](#page-19-8)).

# **5. Mechanisms of Gas Sensing Enhancement with Heterostructures 5. Mechanisms of Gas Sensing Enhancement with Heterostructures**

## *5.1. Working Mechanisms of Gas Sensing Materials 5.1. Working Mechanisms of Gas Sensing Materials*

The sensing mechanism of MOS materials consists mainly in the interactions of the The sensing mechanism of MOS materials consists mainly in the interactions of the target gas molecules with the pre-absorbed oxygen species on the surface of gas sensing target gas molecules with the pre-absorbed oxygen species on the surface of gas sensing materials [77,78]. Referring to a resistive-type metal oxide gas sensor, the signal transmis-materials [\[77,](#page-19-9)[78\]](#page-19-10). Referring to a resistive-type metal oxide gas sensor, the signal transmission mechanism is dependent on the change of the electrical resistance or conductance of sion mechanism is dependent on the change of the electrical resistance or conductance of sensitive materials when interacting with the analytic gas. The resistance of MOS gas sensors may increase or decrease on exposure to the gas depending on the type of metal oxide and gas analyte [\[79–](#page-19-11)[81\]](#page-19-12). Different semiconducting materials can form various heterojunctions, which, in turn, integrate their respective conduction properties. Compared with single gas sensing materials, the construction of heterostructured compound materials can improve the sensing performance of gas sensors. Therefore, to understand the gas sensing mechanisms of materials composed of heterojunctions, exploring the junction sensing behavior is of vital importance.

#### *5.2. Role of Heterojunction at the Interface*

Two different solid-state materials can construct an electronic junction at the interface between them, which is always called a heterojunction. The study on heterojunction interface is significant when analyzing the mechanism of composite semiconducting materials. The p–n junctions are the most common heterojunctions used to modulate gas sensing properties.

Referring to the heterostructures gas sensing literature, n-type MOS gas sensors are more attractive to researchers in comparison with p-type MOS gas sensors because of several considerations such as better stability and higher sensitivity [\[82,](#page-19-13)[83\]](#page-19-14). The combination of these two types of sensing materials opens a novel way to better improve the comprehensive sensing performance of gas sensors. In addition, these materials can be constructed into different structure–architecture types of heterostructure [\[84–](#page-19-15)[87\]](#page-19-16). For reference, some sensing materials with their respective conduction types are listed in Table [2.](#page-9-0)



<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Table 2.** Conduction types of MOS and semiconductors (adapted from Ref. [\[88\]](#page-19-17)).

Because of the difference in the Fermi energy  $(E_F)$  between two different sensing materials—for example, the  $E_F$  of n-type semiconductor material is always higher than that of the p-type semiconductor material when forming heterostructure—if they connect to form a heterostructure, the electrons at the higher energies will flow across the interface to unoccupied lower energies states until  $E_F$  reach equilibrium, which results in the recombination between electron and hole in the vicinity of a p–n junction. This decreases the concentration of charge carriers and leads to the creation of a charge carrier depleted zone at the interface called the depletion region. This phenomenon is often called "Fermi level-mediated charge transfer". Because of the band bending, a potential energy barrier will develop at the interface, which is caused by the difference in the original  $E_F$  of the materials. Therefore, in order to pass through the interface, charge carriers must overcome this potential energy barrier. According to the aforementioned conduction types of sensing materials, the mechanisms of p–n, n–n, and p–p heterojunctions on gas sensing will be discussed in the following sections.

#### 5.2.1. p–n Nanojunctions

Considering a flower-like n-type ZnO decorated with p-type NiO nanoparticles (as shown in Figure [7\)](#page-10-0) [\[89\]](#page-19-18), the normal ambient resistance of NiO-decorated ZnO flower-like heterojunctions in air is higher than that of single pure ZnO microflowers. However, when acetone is introduced, a large decrease of the resistance  $(R_g)$  may occur, resulting in a large change of gas response for sensing materials; this is because the initial value of Ra is exceedingly high, as the gas response is defined as  $R_a/R_g$  for reducing gas [\[90\]](#page-19-19). Therefore, the response to target gas is obviously increased. The likely reasons can be interpreted as follows. First, increasing the concentration of initial absorbed oxygen ions helps to improve the gas sensitivity. NiO generally exhibits better oxygen-adsorption ability. With the help of the catalytic function of NiO, oxygen ions can be easily absorbed on the surface of NiO, and  $Ni^{2+}$  can be oxidized to a higher oxidation state ( $Ni^{3+}$ ), which significantly enhances

the concentration of surface adsorbed oxygen. Secondly, the conduction of charge carriers across the heterojunction interface can contribute to the gas sensing performance. Due to the formation of an energy barrier potential at the interface between n-type ZnO and p-type NiO, the conduction capability of electrons and holes across the p–n interface will be weakened. When absorbing acetone, the height of energy barrier potential efficiently decreases, contributing to the conduction of charge carriers and increasing the sensing response. riers and increasing the sensing response.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

**Figure 7.** (**a**,**b**) TEM image and corresponding HRTEM image of a single pure ZnO microflower; **Figure 7.** (**a**,**b**) TEM image and corresponding HRTEM image of a single pure ZnO microflower; (**c**,**d**) TEM image and corresponding HRTEM image of NiO-decorated ZnO to form NiO-ZnO com-(**c**,**d**) TEM image and corresponding HRTEM image of NiO-decorated ZnO to form NiO-ZnO composite microflower; and (**e**–**h**) The corresponding EDS elemental mapping images. (Reprinted with posite microflower; and (e–h) The corresponding EDS elemental mapping images. (Reprinted with permission from Ref. [\[89\]](#page-19-18)).

Irina et al. reported the synthesis of p-type CuO nanoparticles decorating  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$ nanowires, constructing  $SnO_2@CuO$  nanowires by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) for enhancing the detection of  $H_2S$  [\[91\]](#page-19-20). Figure [8a](#page-11-0),b show the successful synthesis of  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$ nanowires decorated with CuO nanoparticles. It is also shown in Figure [8c](#page-11-0) that the formation of  $C_2$  of  $C_2$  heterostructure by STEM and EDS element and  $\frac{1}{2}$ successful formation of CuO-SnO<sub>2</sub> heterostructure by STEM and EDS element analysis.<br>The conduction of CuO-SnO<sub>2</sub> heterostructure by STEM and EDS element analysis. ing CuO-SnO<sup>2</sup> heterostructures, increasing the initial resistance of sensors in air. Quite This research has certified that the CuO decorating narrows the conduction channel of  $\text{SnO}_2$  when forming CuO-SnO<sub>2</sub> heterostructures, increasing the initial resistance of sensors in air. Quite interestingly, when absorbing H<sub>2</sub>S, p-type CuO will react with H<sub>2</sub>S and be sulfuretted into CuS, destroying the potential energy barrier at the interface. Additionally, the hydrogen ions will transfer on the surface of the host material, reacting with the adsorbed oxygen ions and, thus, also decreasing the electrical resistance [\[92\]](#page-19-21). These are all attributed to the strong chemical interaction between H2S and CuO, as shown in Figure [9b](#page-11-1). Irina et al. also performed a gas sensitivity test. It can be seen in Figure [9a](#page-11-1) that the response of CuO-SnO<sub>2</sub> heterostructure is obviously higher than that of pristine  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$  nanowires, certifying the sensing enhancement in heterostructures. The same phenomenon was also

reported in the form of CuO-ZnO heterostructures for  $H_2S$  testing. The potential energy barrier height of heterojunctions was efficiently reduced because of the chemical interaction between H<sub>2</sub>S and CuO [93].

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

**Figure 8. (a,b)** SEM micrographs of CuO particle-decorated SnO<sub>2</sub> nanowires deposited on  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ substrate; (c) STEM image of SnO<sub>2</sub>@CuO heterostructures (left) and EDS elemental maps (right) (reprinted with permission from Ref. [\[91\]](#page-19-20)).

<span id="page-11-1"></span>

junctions. (b) Sensing mechanism of CuO sensitivity to  $H_2S$  is explained. At the interface between SnO<sub>2</sub> and CuO, a depletion zone forms in air. After  $H_2S$  joins in, p-CuO particles react with it and  $\epsilon$  and  $\epsilon$  of the properties in decreasing the depletion region. (Reprinted with permission from  $C_1$  resulting in decreasing the dependence region. (Reprinted with permission  $C_2$  of  $R$  in  $C_1$ **Figure 9. (a)** A significant improvement in sensor response to H<sub>2</sub>S is observed when forming p–n transforms to CuS, resulting in decreasing the depletion region. (Reprinted with permission from Ref. [\[92\]](#page-19-21)).

It is worth noting that Mashock et al. reported an opposite heterostructure configuration of  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles coating CuO nanowires [\[94\]](#page-19-23). Similarly, an energy barrier potential forms at the interface between n-type SnO<sub>2</sub> and p-type CuO. Since p-type CuO is dominated by surface conduction because of the accumulation layer formed in air, the

effect of  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$  deposition is worth considering. The study results showed that with the deposition of SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles coating, a significant increase in the electrical resistance occurred when forming CuO-SnO<sub>2</sub> heterojunctions. Furthermore, by doubling the deposition time of SnO<sub>2</sub>, they could create a continuous coating and a core-shell structure was successfully constructed. Prolonging the deposition times contributed to bringing an even higher resistance, which also produced a smaller increase in response to NH<sub>3</sub> compared with the nanowires of shorter deposition time. The authors came up with two possible mechanisms to explain this phenomenon:  $(1)$  NH<sub>3</sub> lowers the hole concentration of CuO nanowire by electron transfer, which increases the resistance; and/or  $(2)$  the increase of electron concentration in  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticle due to removing absorbed oxygen enhances the p-n junction. This strong nanojunction is able to block the hole transport and increase the resistance.

at the interface between  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$  and p-type CuO is dominated by sur-type CuO is dominated by sur-

#### 5.2.2. n–n and p–p Nanojunctions 5.2.2. n–n and p–p Nanojunctions Just like p–n heterojunctions, band bending of energy levels can also appear in n–n

Just like p–n heterojunctions, band bending of energy levels can also appear in n–n and p–p heterojunctions [\[95](#page-20-0)[–100\]](#page-20-1). Among these reports, n–n heterostructures are widely studied by researchers for gas sensing. Different from a p–n junction with fewer electrons at studied by researchers for gas sensing. Different from a p–n junction with fewer electrons the interface due to electron-hole recombination that increases the resistance, electrons are not exhausted in an n-n junction, and electrons from the material with a high Fermi level can easily transfer to the one with a low Fermi level, which then forms an accumulation layer rather than a depletion layer. While this accumulation layer will be depleted by the subsequent oxygen adsorption on the surface, further increasing the potential energy barrier at the interface to enhance the sensing performance. and p–p heterojunctions [95–100]. Among these reports, n–n heterostructures are widely

Considering small n-type  $W_{18}O_{49}$  nanowires grown on larger n-type SnO<sub>2</sub> nanowires (Figure [10\)](#page-12-0) [\[95\]](#page-20-0), the energy conduction band of SnO<sub>2</sub> is higher than that of  $W_{18}O_{49}$ . When they reach an equilibrium state with each other, electrons will transfer from the high energy conduction band of SnO<sub>2</sub> to W<sub>18</sub>O<sub>49</sub> until their Fermi energy levels achieve equilibrium, leading to the band bending at the interface between them. When exposed to the air, oxygen species can adsorb on the surface of  $SnO_2/W_{18}O_{49}$  nano-heterostructures. By capturing free electrons on the surface of heterostructures, these oxygen species can be ionized into oxygen ions, leading to the formation of an electron depletion layer, increasing the energy barrier height at the interface, which improves the  $H_2S$  sensing performance.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

Figure 10. The energy band diagram of the gas sensing mechanism for (a)  $W_{18}O_{49}$  and SnO<sub>2</sub> materials and (b) the  $SnO<sub>2</sub>/W<sub>18</sub>O<sub>49</sub>$  nanostructures. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [\[95\]](#page-20-0).

On the other hand, when referring to p-p heterostructure gas sensors, there are rare On the other hand, when referring to p-p heterostructure gas sensors, there are rare reports in the literature since the year 2000. Quite interestingly, recently in 2017, a paper was reports in the literature since the year 2000. Quite interestingly, recently in 2017, a paper published on highly sensitive  $\overline{NO_2}$  sensors based on NiO@CuO nanocomposite worked at room temperature [\[98\]](#page-20-2). The increased gas sensing property towards the detection of NO<sub>2</sub> may be caused by two factors. Firstly, mesoporous hierarchical flower-like NiO nanosheet owns a large surface area, which performs as the catalyst and allows  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  to adsorb and desorb effectively on the surface of the pores. Secondly, p-type CuO and p-type NiO are able to form the p-p heterojunction which plays a vital role in improving the sensing performance (Figure [11a](#page-13-0)-c). It helps to efficiently transfer the electrons from NiO to CuO. When the Fermi energy arrives at equilibrium state, the hole accumulation NiO to CuO. When the Fermi energy arrives at equilibrium state, the hole accumulation layer will form at the interface between NiO and CuO.  $O_2$  molecules will act as electron acceptors and capture electrons from the conduction band of the sensing materials by being absorbed on the surface of the sensing materials in air, which increases the holes concentration and decreases the resistance of the sensors. If these sensors are exposed to  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , the  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  molecules could interact with the chemisorbed oxygen ions on the surface of the materials and directly be adsorbed on the surface, which can decrease the resistance under  $\rm NO_2$  atmosphere (Figure 11d). [It m](#page-13-0)ay provide a novel method to detect  $\rm NO_2$  gas at room temperature by constructing NiO@CuO heterostructure gas sensors. temperature by constructing NiO@CuO heterostructure gas sensors.

and (**b**) the SnO2/W18O<sup>49</sup> nanostructures. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [95].

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

Figure 11. (a) TEM image of mesoporous NiO@CuO nanosheets; (b,c) heterojunction between NiO nanosheets and CuO nanoparticles at the interface; (**d**) sensing mechanism of NiO@CuO gas sensors nanosheets and CuO nanoparticles at the interface; (**d**) sensing mechanism of NiO@CuO gas sensors exposed to air and NO2. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [98]. exposed to air and NO<sup>2</sup> . Reprinted with permission from Ref. [\[98\]](#page-20-2).

### *5.3. Synergistic Effect 5.3. Synergistic Effect*

An additional mechanism that should be considered to enhance gas sensing perfor-An additional mechanism that should be considered to enhance gas sensing performance is synergistic behavior which occurs in the heterostructured composite materials. mance is synergistic behavior which occurs in the heterostructured composite materials. These materials synthesize the advantages of each component, which results in a special These materials synthesize the advantages of each component, which results in a special synergistic effect between each components to improve the gas sensing performance of the materials. Generally speaking, it is when two different components of a material respectively contact with the gas phase and each provides a different purpose which is plementary to the other [11]. Ivanovskaya et al. found that composite oxide materials complementary to the other [\[11\]](#page-17-0). Ivanovskaya et al. found that composite oxide materials which simultaneously have acidic and alkaline active sites could decompose the organic which simultaneously have acidic and alkaline active sites could decompose the organic gas gas molecules more completely due to the diverse redox properties of these composite molecules more completely due to the diverse redox properties of these composite oxide materials [\[101\]](#page-20-3). Costello et al. found that SnO<sub>2</sub> could completely oxidize butanol to butyral and that ZnO had no effect on butanol decomposition while it could easily decompose butyral; moreover, ZnO-SnO<sub>2</sub> composite oxides could synergistically decompose butanol and performed the highest sensing response [\[102\]](#page-20-4). Recently, Kamble et al. proposed that the synergism of Cr and noble metal Pt-activated SnO<sub>2</sub> gas sensors could exhibit enhanced sensitivity and improved selectivity toward CO gas, which, respectively, synthesize the vantages of Cr for improving selectivity and Pt for enhancing sensitivity [103]. advantages of Cr for improving selectivity and Pt for enhancing sensitivity [\[103\]](#page-20-5).

#### *5.4. Catalyzed Spill-Over Effect*

The catalyzed spill-over effect is another important sensing behavior often mentioned in the literature [\[29,](#page-17-20)[104–](#page-20-6)[107\]](#page-20-7). In general, the target gas molecules firstly react with one of the heterostructure composite constituents, forming a secondary product

which remains adsorbed on the surface of the other constituent and directly affects the sensing properties. This phenomenon often occurs in CuO composite materials for H2S detection [\[91](#page-19-20)[,92](#page-19-21)[,108,](#page-20-8)[109\]](#page-20-9). As aforementioned, with regard to CuO/SnO<sup>2</sup> nanojunction composites,  $H<sub>2</sub>S$  can react with CuO nanoparticles and transform them into CuS. Then the left-over hydrogen spills over on the surface of the composite material, acting as a reducing agent and reacting with the other host material, thus decreasing the resistance [\[91\]](#page-19-20). Meanwhile, CuO plays a part in improving the sensitivity of host materials to  $H_2S$ . Moreover, the spill-over effect can improve the sensing response by removing the depletion layer. Shao et al. [\[91\]](#page-19-20) discovered that CuO was firstly combined with  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$  to form a p–n heterojunction, which increased the resistance in air. However, when  $H<sub>2</sub>S$  was introduced in this p–n junction, CuO was converted to CuS and this new product acted as a moderate conductor between CuO and  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$ , When the conversion was completed, an ohmic junction could form between CuS and  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$ , eliminating the depletion region barrier of CuO/SnO<sub>2</sub>, thus favoring the conduction across the interface.

In addition, the spill-over effect applies to noble metals which are used to catalyze MOS materials. For example, noble metal Pt performs a strong catalytic effect at the surface of SnO<sub>2</sub> [\[110\]](#page-20-10). It can catalyze the dissociation of O<sub>2</sub> and spill over the oxygen ions which adsorbed on the surface of  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$ . The main advantage of Pt is to absorb exceptionally large number of gas molecules and convert them into adsorbed ions, decreasing the activation energy of MOS needed for reaction, hence reducing the response and recovery time and lowering the operating temperature [\[88\]](#page-19-17).

#### *5.5. Response Inversion Effect*

The addition of a p-type material to an n-type material can form an n–p composite oxide material. It should be realized that under certain conditions, the p-type constituent can counteract the resistance change of the n-type constituent to target gases. Sometimes the p-type constituent can dominate the sensing properties over a certain range of constitution, making the composite oxide material present a p-type response. Several studies reported the n–p or n–p–n response inversion phenomena [\[111,](#page-20-11)[112\]](#page-20-12). Kosc et al. [\[111\]](#page-20-11) studied a bi-phase TiO<sub>2</sub>/NiO sputtered film which showed p-type conductivity. When absorbing a certain concentration of  $H_2$ , the holes in the NiO layer would be fully compensated by the added electrons from broken oxygen bonds, resulting in the response type inversion, then the sensor presented n-type behavior. Additionally, Huang et al. [\[112\]](#page-20-12) synthesized the ZnO-modified SnO<sub>2</sub> nanorods, which showed a typical n–p–n response inversion to  $H_2$ . Furthermore, it is worth noting that the response inversion phenomenon also occurs in p–n heterostructure nanocomposites when exposed to homogeneous gases by adjusting the metal oxide ratio. Yin's research group has overcome the drawback of poor selectivity of MOS-based gas sensors to homogeneous gases such as CO and  $H_2$  [\[113,](#page-20-13)[114\]](#page-20-14). As exhibited in Figure [12,](#page-15-0) due to the different adsorption tendency of CO and  $H_2$  on the surface of SnO<sub>2</sub> and Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, under the optimal heterojunction composition (Figure [12c](#page-15-0)), H<sub>2</sub> molecules prefer to adsorb on  $Cr_2O_3$ , causing the reduction of hole accumulation layer (HAL) on  $Cr_2O_3$ , which is greater than the decrease of electron depletion layer (EDL) on  $SnO_2$ and the increase of electron content is lower than the decrease of hole content. The hole content variation dominates the conductivity of  $n-SnO<sub>2</sub>-p-Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  heterostructure, while CO gas prefers to adsorb on  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$ , which brings about the opposite change tendency of conductivity; thus, sensing behavior under this proportion presents a p-type response and n-type response toward  $H_2$  and CO, respectively, successfully distinguishing these two homogeneous gases.

<span id="page-15-0"></span>

Figure 12. (a-d) Gas sensing response of  $SnO_2-Cr_2O_3$  heterostructure nanocomposite towards CO and  $H_2$  via changing Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [\[114\]](#page-20-14).

#### **6. Conclusions and Future Outlook 6. Conclusions and Future Outlook**

The present work reported here has started to reveal novel and innovative ways to The present work reported here has started to reveal novel and innovative ways to synthesize MOS heterostructural materials for improving chemical gas sensor performance. In this paper, a series of works by researchers in this field have been summarized. It is demonstrated throughout this review that it is possible to utilize different synthesis methods for preparing metal oxide heterostructures with different morphologies and shapes,  $\frac{1}{2}$ each of them exhibiting a specific sensing performance towards particular target gas.

This literature review summarizes four different techniques which have been widely adopted for fabricating metal oxide heterostructures, namely core–shell, bi-layer, hollow spheres, and branched ones. The aforementioned methods have some advantages, such as the obvious heterostructure interface between different phases, the selective growth on the substrates, and the fabrication of multi-layered structures or composites. In recent years, more attention has been paid to the hydrothermal method due to the development of MOS materials with diverse morphologies and structures, such as nanorod-like, nanoflower-like, nanobelt-like, or hollow spheres structures by precisely controlling the reaction process. In addition, it seems that the combination of different fabrication methods is a more efficient way to acquire metal oxide heterostructures with novel morphologies or dimensions (core–shell nanostructures, one-dimensional heterostructures, two-dimensional layered heterostructures, or three-dimensional hierarchical heterostructures).<br>Furthermore, or corespirational hierarchical heterostructures).

materials have been demonstrated, which will make it useful to fabricate the correspond-Furthermore, and the Costa mechanisms of Moster heterostructure and the Costal here is the cost-of position ing heterostructure toward a specific target gas. However, despite novel and advanced technologies in the MOS field, nanotechnology and these complex configurations are now facing new challenges and issues, such as long-term stability, reproducibility, gas selectivity, characterization of the key parameters, establishing the gas sensing mechanism, and functional integration, which should be overcome in time. Recent works have provided us with new ideas for fabricating metal oxide heterostructures as gas sensing materials. Going forward, the synthesis of novel materials and the design of heterostructures will require further study of the influencing factors and the gas sensing enhancement mechanisms. Further study on MOS heterostructural semiconductor gas sensors should focus mainly on (1) novel materials and new heterojunction interfaces, and (2) the mechanisms which contribute to the gas sensing performance. Furthermore, gas sensing enhancement mechanisms of MOS-based heterostructure

Although the present research focuses mostly on the combination of binary compounds, it should be realized that the interface of heterojunction is not limited to binary compounds, as there are many other configurations which could be used to prepare heterojunctions. In particular, composite materials obtained by the combination of metal oxides have proved to be extremely interesting for gas sensing applications. In addition, further research on heterojunction should not be limited to metal oxide, as organic and hybrid organic/inorganic composite materials also show great potential as gas sensors. Core–shell structure has much potential due to the maximization of the interfacial heterojunction area, where the electronic interaction is the most dominant. Self-assembly of 0D, 1D, and 2D structures can form unique morphologies and shapes. At present, it is still necessary to develop new synthesis methods to self-assemble these types of structures to fabricate special heterostructures.

Moreover, there are different opinions on the gas sensing mechanism, among which the most prominent ones are the grain boundary–barrier model, heterojunction structure, surface synergistic effect, catalyzed spill-over effect, response inversion effect, and separation of charge carriers effect. It is possible to design complex structures with the rapid development of new fabrication techniques. Therefore, it becomes essential to clarify the gas sensing mechanisms in order to properly select the morphology and heterostructure for a given application. On the basis of the first principles, the construction of gas adsorption model of metal oxide heterojunction is expected to clarify the gas sensing mechanism qualitatively.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, methodology, software, investigation, writing—original draft, and writing—review and editing were completed by F.-J.M.; conceptualization, methodology, validation, resources, supervision, and writing—review and editing were completed by R.-F.X.; validation, resources, supervision were completed by S.-X.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-16-0"></span>1. Somov, A.; Baranov, A.; Savkin, A.; Spirjakin, D.; Spirjakin, A.; Passerone, R. Development of Wireless Sensor Network for Combustible Gas Monitoring. *Sens. Actuators A Phys.* **2011**, *171*, 398–405. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2011.07.016)
- 2. Aliyu, F.; Sheltami, T. Development of an Energy-Harvesting Toxic and Combustible Gas Sensor for Oil and Gas Industries. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2016**, *231*, 265–275. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2016.03.037)
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>3. Kim, I.-D.; Rothschild, A.; Tuller, H.L. Advances and New Directions in Gas-Sensing Devices. *Acta Mater.* **2013**, *61*, 974–1000. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2012.10.041)
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>4. Lin, Z.; Li, N.; Chen, Z.; Fu, P. The Effect of Ni Doping Concentration on the Gas Sensing Properties of Ni Doped SnO<sub>2</sub>. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2017**, *239*, 501–510. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2016.08.053)
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>5. Kolmakov, A.; Zhang, Y.; Cheng, G.; Moskovits, M. Detection of CO and O<sub>2</sub> Using Tin Oxide Nanowire Sensors. *Adv. Mater.* **2003**, *15*, 997–1000. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/adma.200304889)
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>6. Jing, Z.; Zhan, J. Fabrication and Gas-Sensing Properties of Porous ZnO Nanoplates. *Adv. Mater.* **2008**, *20*, 4547–4551. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/adma.200800243)
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>7. Wilson, R.L.; Simion, C.E.; Blackman, C.S.; Carmalt, C.J.; Stanoiu, A.; Di Maggio, F.; Covington, J.A. The Effect of Film Thickness on the Gas Sensing Properties of Ultra-Thin TiO<sup>2</sup> Films Deposited by Atomic Layer Deposition. *Sensors* **2018**, *18*, 735. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/s18030735)
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>8. Wang, H.; Yan, L.; Li, S.; Li, Y.; Liu, L.; Du, L.; Duan, H.; Cheng, Y. Acetone Sensors Based on Microsheet-Assembled Hierarchical Fe2O3 with Different Fe3+ Concentrations. *Appl. Phys. A* **2018**, *124*, 212. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-018-1625-2)
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>9. Han, D.; Zhai, L.; Gu, F.; Wang, Z. Highly Sensitive NO<sub>2</sub> Gas Sensor of Ppb-Level Detection Based on In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Nanobricks at Low Temperature. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2018**, *262*, 655–663. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2018.02.052)
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>10. Hübner, M.; Simion, C.E.; Tomescu-Stănoiu, A.; Pokhrel, S.; Bârsan, N.; Weimar, U. Influence of Humidity on CO Sensing with P-Type CuO Thick Film Gas Sensors. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2011**, *153*, 347–353. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2010.10.046)
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>11. Miller, D.R.; Akbar, S.A.; Morris, P.A. Nanoscale Metal Oxide-Based Heterojunctions for Gas Sensing: A Review. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2014**, *204*, 250–272. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2014.07.074)
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>12. Guo, X.M.; Zhao, J.T.; Yin, X.T.; Huang, S.L. Sensitivity and Selectivity of SnO<sub>2</sub>-Based Sensor for CO and H<sub>2</sub> Detections: A Novel Method to Detect Simultaneously the CO and H<sup>2</sup> Concentrations. *Adv. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *99*, 40–47. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AST.99.40)
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>13. Huo, L.; Yang, X.; Liu, Z.; Tian, X.; Qi, T.; Wang, X.; Yu, K.; Sun, J.; Fan, M. Modulation of Potential Barrier Heights in Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/SnO<sub>2</sub> Heterojunctions for Highly H<sup>2</sup> -Selective Sensors. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2017**, *244*, 694–700. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2017.01.061)
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>14. Lupan, O.; Postica, V.; Cretu, V.; Wolff, N.; Duppel, V.; Kienle, L.; Adelung, R. Single and Networked CuO Nanowires for Highly Sensitive P-Type Semiconductor Gas Sensor Applications. *Phys. Status Solidi-Rapid Res. Lett.* **2016**, *10*, 260–266. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/pssr.201510414)
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>15. Liu, J.; Chen, T.; Jian, P.; Wang, L.; Yan, X. Hollow Urchin-like NiO/NiCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Heterostructures as Highly Efficient Catalysts for Selective Oxidation of Styrene. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2018**, *526*, 295–301. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2018.05.001) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29747041)
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>16. Zappa, D.; Galstyan, V.; Kaur, N.; Munasinghe Arachchige, H.M.M.; Sisman, O.; Comini, E. "Metal Oxide-Based Heterostructures for Gas Sensors"—A Review. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2018**, *1039*, 1–23. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2018.09.020)
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>17. Xue, S.; Cao, S.; Huang, Z.; Yang, D.; Zhang, G. Improving Gas-Sensing Performance Based on MOS Nanomaterials: A Review. *Materials* **2021**, *14*, 4263. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/ma14154263)
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>18. Joshi, N.; Braunger, M.L.; Shimizu, F.M.; Riul, A.R., Jr.; Oliveira, O.N. Insights into Nano-Heterostructured Materials for Gas Sensing: A Review. *Multifunct. Mater.* **2021**, *4*, 032002. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1088/2399-7532/ac1732)
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>19. Moon, W.J.; Yu, J.H.; Choi, G.M. The CO and H<sup>2</sup> Gas Selectivity of CuO-Doped SnO2–ZnO Composite Gas Sensor. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2002**, *87*, 464–470. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4005(02)00299-X)
- 20. Patil, D.R.; Patil, L.A. Cr2O<sup>3</sup> -Modified ZnO Thick Film Resistors as LPG Sensors. *Talanta* **2009**, *77*, 1409–1414. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2008.09.038)
- 21. Yin, M.; Yao, Y.; Fan, H.; Liu, S. WO<sub>3</sub>-SnO<sub>2</sub> Nanosheet Composites: Hydrothermal Synthesis and Gas Sensing Mechanism. *J*. *Alloys Compd.* **2018**, *736*, 322–331. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2017.11.185)
- 22. Drobek, M.; Kim, J.-H.; Bechelany, M.; Vallicari, C.; Julbe, A.; Kim, S.S. MOF-Based Membrane Encapsulated ZnO Nanowires for Enhanced Gas Sensor Selectivity. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 8323–8328. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.5b12062) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27003470)
- 23. Tian, H.; Fan, H.; Li, M.; Ma, L. Zeolitic Imidazolate Framework Coated ZnO Nanorods as Molecular Sieving to Improve Selectivity of Formaldehyde Gas Sensor. *ACS Sens.* **2016**, *1*, 243–250. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.5b00236)
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>24. Absalan, S.; Nasresfahani, S.; Sheikhi, M.H. High-Performance Carbon Monoxide Gas Sensor Based on Palladium/Tin Oxide/Porous Graphitic Carbon Nitride Nanocomposite. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2019**, *795*, 79–90. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2019.04.187)
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>25. Kim, H.-J.; Lee, J.-H. Highly Sensitive and Selective Gas Sensors Using P-Type Oxide Semiconductors: Overview. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2014**, *192*, 607–627. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2013.11.005)
- 26. Zhou, X.; Yang, L.; Bian, Y.; Ma, X.; Han, N.; Chen, Y. Coupling P+n Field-Effect Transistor Circuits for Low Concentration Methane Gas Detection. *Sensors* **2018**, *18*, 787. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/s18030787)
- 27. Zeng, Y.; Zhang, K.; Wang, X.; Sui, Y.; Zou, B.; Zheng, W.; Zou, G. Rapid and Selective H<sub>2</sub>S Detection of Hierarchical ZnSnO<sub>3</sub> Nanocages. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2011**, *159*, 245–250. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2011.06.080)
- 28. Xu, L.; Xing, R.; Song, J.; Xu, W.; Song, H. ZnO–SnO<sup>2</sup> Nanotubes Surface Engineered by Ag Nanoparticles: Synthesis, Characterization, and Highly Enhanced HCHO Gas Sensing Properties. *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2013**, *1*, 2174–2182. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1039/c3tc00689a)
- <span id="page-17-20"></span>29. Wang, Y.; Zhao, Z.; Sun, Y.; Li, P.; Ji, J.; Chen, Y.; Zhang, W.; Hu, J. Fabrication and Gas Sensing Properties of Au-Loaded SnO<sub>2</sub> Composite Nanoparticles for Highly Sensitive Hydrogen Detection. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2017**, *240*, 664–673. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2016.09.024)
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>30. Yin, X.-T.; Zhou, W.-D.; Li, J.; Wang, Q.; Wu, F.-Y.; Dastan, D.; Wang, D.; Garmestani, H.; Wang, X.-M.; Ţălu, Ş. A Highly Sensitivity and Selectivity Pt-SnO<sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles for Sensing Applications at Extremely Low Level Hydrogen Gas Detection. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2019**, *805*, 229–236. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2019.07.081)
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>31. Yamazoe, N.; Kurokawa, Y.; Seiyama, T. Effects of Additives on Semiconductor Gas Sensors. *Sens. Actuators* **1983**, *4*, 283–289. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/0250-6874(83)85034-3)
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>32. Lyson-Sypien, B.; Czapla, A.; Lubecka, M.; Kusior, E.; Zakrzewska, K.; Radecka, M.; Kusior, A.; Balogh, A.G.; Lauterbach, S.; Kleebe, H.-J. Gas Sensing Properties of TiO<sub>2</sub>–SnO<sub>2</sub> Nanomaterials. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2013**, 187, 445–454. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2013.01.047)
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>33. Shaposhnik, D.; Pavelko, R.; Llobet, E.; Gispert-Guirado, F.; Vilanova, X. Hydrogen Sensors on the Basis of SnO<sub>2</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub> Systems. *Procedia Eng.* **2011**, *25*, 1133–1136. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2011.12.279)
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>34. Vasiliev, R.B.; Rumyantseva, M.N.; Podguzova, S.E.; Ryzhikov, A.S.; Ryabova, L.I.; Gaskov, A.M. Effect of Interdiffusion on Electrical and Gas Sensor Properties of CuO/SnO<sup>2</sup> Heterostructure. *Mater. Sci. Eng. B* **1999**, *57*, 241–246. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-5107(98)00432-2)
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>35. Dandeneau, C.S.; Jeon, Y.-H.; Shelton, C.T.; Plant, T.K.; Cann, D.P.; Gibbons, B.J. Thin Film Chemical Sensors Based on P-CuO/n-ZnO Heterocontacts. *Thin Solid Films* **2009**, *517*, 4448–4454. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2009.01.054)
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>36. Zhu, Y.; Su, H.; Chen, Y.; Jin, Z.; Xu, J.; Zhang, D. A Facile Synthesis of PdO-Decorated SnO<sup>2</sup> Nanocomposites with Open Porous Hierarchical Architectures for Gas Sensors. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **2016**, *99*, 3770–3774. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/jace.14378)
- 37. Vuong, N.M.; Chinh, N.D.; Huy, B.T.; Lee, Y.-I. CuO-Decorated ZnO Hierarchical Nanostructures as Efficient and Established Sensing Materials for H2S Gas Sensors. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 26736. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/srep26736)
- <span id="page-17-18"></span>38. Wang, Y.; Wang, S.; Zhang, H.; Gao, X.; Yang, J.; Wang, L. Brookite TiO<sub>2</sub> Decorated α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Nanoheterostructures with Rod Morphologies for Gas Sensor Application. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2014**, *2*, 7935–7943. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1039/c4ta00163j)
- <span id="page-17-19"></span>39. Asad, M.; Sheikhi, M.H. Surface Acoustic Wave Based H2S Gas Sensors Incorporating Sensitive Layers of Single Wall Carbon Nanotubes Decorated with Cu Nanoparticles. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2014**, *198*, 134–141. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2014.03.024)
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>40. Lü, R.; Zhou, W.; Shi, K.; Yang, Y.; Wang, L.; Pan, K.; Tian, C.; Ren, Z.; Fu, H. Alumina Decorated TiO<sup>2</sup> Nanotubes with Ordered Mesoporous Walls as High Sensitivity NOx Gas Sensors at Room Temperature. *Nanoscale* **2013**, *5*, 8569–8576. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1039/c3nr01903a)
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>41.  $\,$  Cao, J.; Qin, C.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, H.; Sun, G.; Zhang, Z. Solid-State Method Synthesis of SnO $_2$ -Decorated g-C $_3$ N $_4$  Nanocomposites with Enhanced Gas-Sensing Property to Ethanol. *Materials* **2017**, *10*, 604. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/ma10060604) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28772960)
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>42. Zhang, R.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Cao, J. Highly Sensitive Acetone Gas Sensor Based on G-C3N4 Decorated MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Porous Microspheres Composites. *Sensors* **2018**, *18*, 2211. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/s18072211) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29996480)
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>43. Li, X.-Q.; Fan, Q.-F.; Li, G.-L.; Huang, Y.-H.; Gao, Z.; Fan, X.-M.; Zhang, C.-L.; Zhou, Z.-W. Syntheses of ZnO Nano-Arrays and Spike-Shaped CuO/ZnO Heterostructure. *Acta Phys. Chim. Sin.* **2015**, *31*, 783–792. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3866/PKU.WHXB201502062)
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>44. Katoch, A.; Byun, J.-H.; Choi, S.-W.; Kim, S.S. One-Pot Synthesis of Au-Loaded SnO<sub>2</sub> Nanofibers and Their Gas Sensing Properties. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2014**, *202*, 38–45. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2014.05.050)
- 45. Yoon, J.-W.; Jun Hong, Y.; Kang, Y.C.; Lee, J.-H. High Performance Chemiresistive H2S Sensors Using Ag-Loaded SnO<sup>2</sup> Yolk–Shell Nanostructures. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 16067–16074. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1039/C4RA01364F)
- 46. Yin, X.-T.; Lv, P.; Li, J. Study on Simultaneous Detection of CO and H<sub>2</sub> with (Pd, Fe)-Modified SnO<sub>2</sub> and Pt-Loaded SnO<sub>2</sub> Sensors. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* **2018**, *29*, 18935–18940. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-018-0016-y)
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>47. Liewhiran, C.; Tamaekong, N.; Wisitsoraat, A.; Tuantranont, A.; Phanichphant, S. Ultra-Sensitive H<sub>2</sub> Sensors Based on Flame-Spray-Made Pd-Loaded SnO<sup>2</sup> Sensing Films. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2013**, *176*, 893–905. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2012.10.087)
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>48. Arafat, M.M.; Dinan, B.; Akbar, S.A.; Haseeb, A.S.M.A. Gas Sensors Based on One Dimensional Nanostructured Metal-Oxides: A Review. *Sensors* **2012**, *12*, 7207–7258. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/s120607207)
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>49. Korotcenkov, G. Gas Response Control through Structural and Chemical Modification of Metal Oxide Films: State of the Art and Approaches. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2005**, *107*, 209–232. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2004.10.006)
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>50. Wan, Q.; Li, Q.H.; Chen, Y.J.; Wang, T.H.; He, X.L.; Li, J.P.; Lin, C.L. Fabrication and Ethanol Sensing Characteristics of ZnO Nanowire Gas Sensors. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2004**, *84*, 3654–3656. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1063/1.1738932)
- 51. Hamidi, S.M.; Mosivand, A.; Mahboubi, M.; Arabi, H.; Azad, N.; Jamal, M.R. New Generation of α-MnO<sup>2</sup> Nanowires @PDMS Composite as a Hydrogen Gas Sensor. *Appl. Phys. A* **2018**, *124*, 253. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-018-1683-5)
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>52. Caicedo, N.; Leturcq, R.; Raskin, J.-P.; Flandre, D.; Lenoble, D. Detection Mechanism in Highly Sensitive ZnO Nanowires Network Gas Sensors. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2019**, *297*, 126602. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2019.05.079)
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>53. Kim, J.-H.; Mirzaei, A.; Kim, H.W.; Kim, S.S. Low Power-Consumption CO Gas Sensors Based on Au-Functionalized SnO<sub>2</sub>-ZnO Core-Shell Nanowires. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2018**, *267*, 597–607. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2018.04.079)
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>54. Hwang, I.-S.; Kim, S.-J.; Choi, J.-K.; Choi, J.; Ji, H.; Kim, G.-T.; Lee, J.-H. ZnO-SnO<sup>2</sup> Core-Shell Nanowire Networks and Their Gas Sensing Characteristics. In Proceedings of the 2011 IEEE Nanotechnology Materials and Devices Conference, Jeju, Korea, 18–21 October 2011; pp. 396–397.
- <span id="page-18-12"></span>55. Tian, X.; Wang, Q.; Chen, X.; Yang, W.; Wu, Z.; Xu, X.; Jiang, M.; Zhou, Z. Enhanced Performance of Core-Shell Structured Polyaniline at Helical Carbon Nanotube Hybrids for Ammonia Gas Sensor. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2014**, *105*, 203109. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1063/1.4902242)
- <span id="page-18-13"></span>56. Jin, W.; Chen, W.; Lu, Y.; Zhao, C.; Dai, Y. V2O5/Polypyrrole Core–Shell Nanotubes for Gas Sensor. *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.* **2011**, *11*, 10834–10838. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1166/jnn.2011.4042) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22409007)
- <span id="page-18-14"></span>57. Pang, Z.; Yang, Z.; Chen, Y.; Zhang, J.; Wang, Q.; Huang, F.; Wei, Q. A Room Temperature Ammonia Gas Sensor Based on Cellulose/TiO2/PANI Composite Nanofibers. *Colloids Surf. A* **2016**, *494*, 248–255. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2016.01.024)
- 58. Li, C.; Chartuprayoon, N.; Bosze, W.; Low, K.; Lee, K.H.; Nam, J.; Myung, N.V. Electrospun Polyaniline/Poly(Ethylene Oxide) Composite Nanofibers Based Gas Sensor. *Electroanalysis* **2014**, *26*, 711–722. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/elan.201300641)
- 59. TANG, W.; WANG, J.; YAO, P.-J.; DU, H.-Y.; SUN, Y.-H. Preparation, Characterization and Gas Sensing Mechanism of ZnO-Doped SnO<sup>2</sup> Nanofibers. *Acta Phys. Chim. Sin.* **2014**, *30*, 781–788. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3866/PKU.WHXB201402191)
- 60. Zhuang, H.J.; Wang, D.; Wang, X.Y.; Wang, P.P.; Zheng, X.J. Preparation and Gas Sensing Properties of Porous CuO/ZnO Composite Nanofibers. *J. Synth. Cryst.* **2015**, *206*, 161–166.
- <span id="page-18-15"></span>61. Guo, L.; Kou, X.; Ding, M.; Wang, C.; Dong, L.; Zhang, H.; Feng, C.; Sun, Y.; Gao, Y.; Sun, P.; et al. Reduced Graphene Oxide/α-Fe2O<sup>3</sup> Composite Nanofibers for Application in Gas Sensors. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2017**, *244*, 233–242. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2016.12.137)
- <span id="page-18-16"></span>62. Li, T.; Zeng, W.; Wang, Z. Quasi-One-Dimensional Metal-Oxide-Based Heterostructural Gas-Sensing Materials: A Review. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2015**, *221*, 1570–1585. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2015.08.003)
- <span id="page-18-17"></span>63. Wu, X.; Xiong, S.; Mao, Z.; Hu, S.; Long, X. A Designed ZnO@ZIF-8 Core–Shell Nanorod Film as a Gas Sensor with Excellent Selectivity for H<sup>2</sup> over CO. *Chemistry* **2017**, *23*, 7969–7975. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201700320) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28422336)
- <span id="page-18-18"></span>64. Jiang, W.; Bao, Z. Effect of Polyethylene Glycol on Microstructure and Photocatalysis of TiO<sub>2</sub> Thin Films by Non-Hydrolytic Sol-Gel Processing. *Chin. Ceram. Soc.* **2008**, *36*, 187–191.
- <span id="page-18-19"></span>65. Hernández, S.; Cauda, V.; Chiodoni, A.; Dallorto, S.; Sacco, A.; Hidalgo, D.; Celasco, E.; Pirri, C.F. Optimization of 1D ZnO@TiO<sub>2</sub> Core–Shell Nanostructures for Enhanced Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting under Solar Light Illumination. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2014**, *6*, 12153–12167. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1021/am501379m) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24983821)
- <span id="page-18-20"></span>66. Meng, F.-J.; Guo, X.-M. Tuning the Oxygen Defects and Fermi Levels via In<sup>3+</sup> Doping in SnO<sub>2</sub>-In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Nanocomposite for Efficient CO Detection. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2022**, *357*, 131412. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2022.131412)
- <span id="page-18-21"></span>67. Galstyan, V. Porous TiO<sup>2</sup> -Based Gas Sensors for Cyber Chemical Systems to Provide Security and Medical Diagnosis. *Sensors* **2017**, *17*, 2947. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/s17122947)
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>68. Liu, H.; Zhou, Q.; Zhang, Q.; Hong, C.; Xu, L.; Jin, L.; Chen, W. Synthesis, Characterization and Enhanced Sensing Properties of a NiO/ZnO p–n Junctions Sensor for the SF6 Decomposition Byproducts SO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>, and SOF<sub>2</sub>. *Sensors* **2017**, 17, 913. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/s17040913)
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>69. Chen, G.; Ji, S.; Li, H.; Kang, X.; Chang, S.; Wang, Y.; Yu, G.; Lu, J.; Claverie, J.; Sang, Y.; et al. High-Energy Faceted SnO2-Coated TiO<sup>2</sup> Nanobelt Heterostructure for Near-Ambient Temperature-Responsive Ethanol Sensor. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2015**, *7*, 24950–24956. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.5b08630)
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>70. Liu, C.; Zhao, L.; Wang, B.; Sun, P.; Wang, Q.; Gao, Y.; Liang, X.; Zhang, T.; Lu, G. Acetone Gas Sensor Based on NiO/ZnO Hollow Spheres: Fast Response and Recovery, and Low (Ppb) Detection Limit. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2017**, *495*, 207–215. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2017.01.106)
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>71. Gao, P.; Wang, Z.L. Self-Assembled Nanowire−Nanoribbon Junction Arrays of ZnO. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2002**, *106*, 12653–12658. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1021/jp0265485)
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>72. Jiang, S.; Ge, B.; Xu, B.; Wang, Q.; Cao, B. In Situ Growth of ZnO/SnO $_2$  (ZnO:Sn) m Binary/Superlattice Heterojunction Nanowire Arrays. *CrystEngComm* **2018**, *20*, 556–562. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1039/C7CE02014G)
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>73. Comini, E.; Baratto, C.; Concina, I.; Faglia, G.; Falasconi, M.; Ferroni, M.; Galstyan, V.; Gobbi, E.; Ponzoni, A.; Vomiero, A.; et al. Metal Oxide Nanoscience and Nanotechnology for Chemical Sensors. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2013**, *179*, 3–20. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2012.10.027)
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>74. Choi, S.-W.; Katoch, A.; Sun, G.-J.; Kim, S.S. Synthesis and Gas Sensing Performance of ZnO–SnO<sup>2</sup> Nanofiber–Nanowire Stem-Branch Heterostructure. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2013**, *181*, 787–794. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2013.02.010)
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>75. Reneker, D.H.; Yarin, A.L. Electrospinning Jets and Polymer Nanofibers. *Polymer* **2008**, *49*, 2387–2425. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2008.02.002)
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>76. Li, F.; Gao, X.; Wang, R.; Zhang, T.; Lu, G. Study on TiO<sup>2</sup> -SnO<sup>2</sup> Core-Shell Heterostructure Nanofibers with Different Work Function and Its Application in Gas Sensor. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2017**, *248*, 812–819. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2016.12.009)
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>77. Gao, S.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, W.; Zhao, J.; Wang, X. Au/CuO/Cu<sub>2</sub>O Heterostructures for Conductometric Triethylamine Gas Sensing. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2022**, *371*, 132515. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2022.132515)
- <span id="page-19-10"></span>78. Dey, A. Semiconductor Metal Oxide Gas Sensors: A Review. *Mater. Sci. Eng. B* **2018**, *229*, 206–217. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.mseb.2017.12.036)
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>79. Kaur, N.; Comini, E.; Poli, N.; Zappa, D.; Sberveglieri, G. NiO/ZnO Nanowire-Heterostructures by Vapor Phase Growth for Gas Sensing. *Procedia Eng.* **2016**, *168*, 1140–1143. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2016.11.376)
- 80. Kaur, N.; Comini, E.; Zappa, D.; Poli, N.; Sberveglieri, G. Nickel Oxide Nanowires: Vapor Liquid Solid Synthesis and Integration into a Gas Sensing Device. *Nanotechnology* **2016**, *27*, 205701. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1088/0957-4484/27/20/205701)
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>81. Zappa, D.; Comini, E.; Sberveglieri, G. Thermally Oxidized Zinc Oxide Nanowires for Use as Chemical Sensors. *Nanotechnology* **2013**, *24*, 444008. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1088/0957-4484/24/44/444008)
- <span id="page-19-13"></span>82. Kruefu, V.; Wisitsoraat, A.; Tuantranont, A.; Phanichphant, S. Ultra-Sensitive H<sub>2</sub>S Sensors Based on Hydrothermal/Impregnation-Made Ru-Functionalized WO<sup>3</sup> Nanorods. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2015**, *215*, 630–636. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2015.03.037)
- <span id="page-19-14"></span>83. Raksa, P.; Gardchareon, A.; Chairuangsri, T.; Mangkorntong, P.; Mangkorntong, N.; Choopun, S. Ethanol Sensing Properties of CuO Nanowires Prepared by an Oxidation Reaction. *Ceram. Int.* **2009**, *35*, 649–652. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2008.01.028)
- <span id="page-19-15"></span>84. Xu, Q.-H.; Xu, D.-M.; Guan, M.-Y.; Guo, Y.; Qi, Q.; Li, G.-D. ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/CeO<sub>2</sub> Composite with Enhanced Gas Sensing Performance. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2013**, *177*, 1134–1141. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2012.12.029)
- 85. Luo, Y.; Zhang, C. Pt-Activated TiO<sup>2</sup> -MoS<sup>2</sup> Nanocomposites for H<sup>2</sup> Detection at Low Temperature. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2018**, *747*, 550–557. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2018.03.068)
- 86. Song, L.; Yang, L.; Wang, Z.; Liu, D.; Luo, L.; Zhu, X.; Xi, Y.; Yang, Z.; Han, N.; Wang, F.; et al. One-Step Electrospun SnO<sub>2</sub>/MO<sub>x</sub> Heterostructured Nanomaterials for Highly Selective Gas Sensor Array Integration. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2019**, *283*, 793–801. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2018.12.097)
- <span id="page-19-16"></span>87. Liang, J.; Yang, R.; Zhu, K.; Hu, M. Room Temperature Acetone-Sensing Properties of Branch-like VO<sub>2</sub> (B)@ZnO Hierarchical Hetero-Nanostructures. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* **2018**, *29*, 3780–3789. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-017-8313-4)
- <span id="page-19-17"></span>88. Korotcenkov, G. Metal Oxides for Solid-State Gas Sensors: What Determines Our Choice? *Mater. Sci. Eng. B* **2007**, *139*, 1–23. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.mseb.2007.01.044)
- <span id="page-19-18"></span>89. Liu, C.; Wang, B.; Liu, T.; Sun, P.; Gao, Y.; Liu, F.; Lu, G. Facile Synthesis and Gas Sensing Properties of the Flower-like NiO-Decorated ZnO Microstructures. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2016**, *235*, 294–301. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2016.05.064)
- <span id="page-19-19"></span>90. San, X.; Wang, G.; Liang, B.; Song, Y.; Gao, S.; Zhang, J.; Meng, F. Catalyst-Free Growth of One-Dimensional ZnO Nanostructures on SiO<sup>2</sup> Substrate and in Situ Investigation of Their H<sup>2</sup> Sensing Properties. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2015**, *622*, 73–78. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2014.09.224)
- <span id="page-19-20"></span>91. Giebelhaus, I.; Varechkina, E.; Fischer, T.; Rumyantseva, M.; Ivanov, V.; Gaskov, A.; Ramon Morante, J.; Arbiol, J.; Tyrra, W.; Mathur, S. One-Dimensional CuO–Sn<sub>2</sub> p–n Heterojunctions for Enhanced Detection of H<sub>2</sub>S. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2013, 1, 11261–11268. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1039/c3ta11867c)
- <span id="page-19-21"></span>92. Shao, F.; Hoffmann, M.W.G.; Prades, J.D.; Zamani, R.; Arbiol, J.; Morante, J.R.; Varechkina, E.; Rumyantseva, M.; Gaskov, A.; Giebelhaus, I.; et al. Heterostructured P-CuO (Nanoparticle)/n-SnO<sup>2</sup> (Nanowire) Devices for Selective H2S Detection. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2013**, *181*, 130–135. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2013.01.067)
- <span id="page-19-22"></span>93. Liu, X.; Du, B.; Sun, Y.; Yu, M.; Yin, Y.; Tang, W.; Chen, C.; Sun, L.; Yang, B.; Cao, W.; et al. Sensitive Room Temperature Photoluminescence-Based Sensing of H2S with Novel CuO–ZnO Nanorods. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 16379–16385. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.6b02455) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27258907)
- <span id="page-19-23"></span>Mashock, M.; Yu, K.; Cui, S.; Mao, S.; Lu, G.; Chen, J. Modulating Gas Sensing Properties of CuO Nanowires through Creation of Discrete Nanosized p–n Junctions on Their Surfaces. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2012**, *4*, 4192–4199. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1021/am300911z) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22817670)
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>95. Sen, S.; Kanitkar, P.; Sharma, A.; Muthe, K.P.; Rath, A.; Deshpande, S.K.; Kaur, M.; Aiyer, R.C.; Gupta, S.K.; Yakhmi, J.V. Growth of SnO2/W18O<sup>49</sup> Nanowire Hierarchical Heterostructure and Their Application as Chemical Sensor. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2010**, *147*, 453–460. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2010.04.016)
- 96. Sonker, R.K.; Sharma, A.; Tomar, M.; Gupta, V.; Yadav, B.C. Low Temperature Operated NO<sub>2</sub> Gas Sensor Based on SnO<sub>2</sub>-ZnO Nanocomposite Thin Film. *Adv. Sci. Lett.* **2014**, *20*, 911–916. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1166/asl.2014.5461)
- 97. Manjula, N.; Selvan, G.; Rajan, S.T.; Ayeshamariam, A.; Muthuraja, S.; Jayachandran, M. Properties of SnO<sub>2</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub> Composite Films Deposited Using Jet Nebulizer Spray Pyrolysis for Gas Sensors. *Mater. Sci. Forum* **2015**, *832*, 94–101. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.832.94)
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>98. Xu, H.; Zhang, J.; Rehman, A.U.; Gong, L.; Kan, K.; Li, L.; Shi, K. Synthesis of NiO@CuO Nanocomposite as High-Performance Gas Sensing Material for NO<sup>2</sup> at Room Temperature. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2017**, *412*, 230–237. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2017.03.213)
- 99. Lou, Z.; Li, F.; Deng, J.; Wang, L.; Zhang, T. Branch-like Hierarchical Heterostructure (α-Fe $_2$ O $_3/$ TiO $_2$ ): A Novel Sensing Material for Trimethylamine Gas Sensor. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2013**, *5*, 12310–12316. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1021/am402532v)
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>100. Deng, J.; Yu, B.; Lou, Z.; Wang, L.; Wang, R.; Zhang, T. Facile Synthesis and Enhanced Ethanol Sensing Properties of the Brush-like ZnO–TiO<sup>2</sup> Heterojunctions Nanofibers. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2013**, *184*, 21–26. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2013.04.020)
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>101. Ivanovskaya, M.; Kotsikau, D.; Faglia, G.; Nelli, P.; Irkaev, S. Gas-Sensitive Properties of Thin Film Heterojunction Structures Based on Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Nanocomposites. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2003**, 93, 422–430. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4005(03)00175-8)
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>102. de Lacy Costello, B.P.J.; Ewen, R.J.; Jones, P.R.H.; Ratcliffe, N.M.; Wat, R.K.M. A Study of the Catalytic and Vapour-Sensing Properties of Zinc Oxide and Tin Dioxide in Relation to 1-Butanol and Dimethyldisulphide. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **1999**, *61*, 199–207. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4005(99)00309-3)
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>103. Kamble, V.B.; Umarji, A.M. Achieving Selectivity from the Synergistic Effect of Cr and Pt Activated SnO<sub>2</sub> Thin Film Gas Sensors. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2016**, *236*, 208–217. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2016.05.119)
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>104. Van Tong, P.; Hoa, N.D.; Van Duy, N.; Le, D.T.T.; Van Hieu, N. Enhancement of Gas-Sensing Characteristics of Hydrothermally Synthesized WO<sup>3</sup> Nanorods by Surface Decoration with Pd Nanoparticles. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2016**, *223*, 453–460. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2015.09.108)
- 105. Yin, X.-T.; Guo, X.-M. Sensitivity and Selectivity of (Au, Pt, Pd)-Loaded and (In, Fe)-Doped SnO<sub>2</sub> Sensors for H<sub>2</sub> and CO Detection. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* **2014**, *25*, 4960–4966. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-014-2258-7)
- 106. Yin, X.-T.; Guo, X.-M. Selectivity and Sensitivity of Pd-Loaded and Fe-Doped SnO<sub>2</sub> Sensor for CO Detection. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2014**, *200*, 213–218. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2014.04.026)
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>107. Lu, Z.; Zhou, Q.; Xu, L.; Gui, Y.; Zhao, Z.; Tang, C.; Chen, W. Synthesis and Characterization of Highly Sensitive Hydrogen (H2) Sensing Device Based on Ag Doped SnO<sup>2</sup> Nanospheres. *Materials* **2018**, *11*, 492. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/ma11040492)
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>108. Kim, S.-J.; Na, C.W.; Hwang, I.-S.; Lee, J.-H. One-Pot Hydrothermal Synthesis of CuO–ZnO Composite Hollow Spheres for Selective H2S Detection. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2012**, *168*, 83–89. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2012.01.045)
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>109. Kim, S.S.; Na, H.G.; Choi, S.-W.; Kwak, D.S.; Kim, H.W. Novel Growth of CuO-Functionalized, Branched SnO<sub>2</sub> Nanowires and Their Application to H2S Sensors. *J. Phys. D Appl. Phys.* **2012**, *45*, 205301. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3727/45/20/205301)
- <span id="page-20-10"></span>110. Zhou, Q.; Xu, L.; Umar, A.; Chen, W.; Kumar, R. Pt Nanoparticles Decorated SnO<sup>2</sup> Nanoneedles for Efficient CO Gas Sensing Applications. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2018**, *256*, 656–664. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2017.09.206)
- <span id="page-20-11"></span>111. Kosc, I.; Hotovy, I.; Rehacek, V.; Griesseler, R.; Predanocy, M.; Wilke, M.; Spiess, L. Sputtered TiO<sub>2</sub> Thin Films with NiO Additives for Hydrogen Detection. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2013**, *269*, 110–115. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2012.09.061)
- <span id="page-20-12"></span>112. Huang, H.; Gong, H.; Chow, C.L.; Guo, J.; White, T.J.; Tse, M.S.; Tan, O.K. Low-Temperature Growth of SnO<sup>2</sup> Nanorod Arrays and Tunable n–p–n Sensing Response of a ZnO/SnO<sup>2</sup> Heterojunction for Exclusive Hydrogen Sensors. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2011**, *21*, 2680–2686. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201002115)
- <span id="page-20-13"></span>113. Yin, X.-T.; Wu, S.-S.; Dastan, D.; Nie, S.; Liu, Y.; Li, Z.-G.; Zhou, Y.-W.; Li, J.; Faik, A.; Shan, K.; et al. Sensing Selectivity of SnO<sup>2</sup> -Mn3O<sup>4</sup> Nanocomposite Sensors for the Detection of H<sup>2</sup> and CO Gases. *Surf. Interfaces* **2021**, *25*, 101190. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfin.2021.101190)
- <span id="page-20-14"></span>114. Yin, X.-T.; Li, J.; Wang, Q.; Dastan, D.; Shi, Z.-C.; Alharbi, N.; Garmestani, H.; Tan, X.-M.; Liu, Y.; Ma, X.-G. Opposite Sensing Response of Heterojunction Gas Sensors Based on  $SnO_2-Cr_2O_3$  Nanocomposites to  $H_2$  against CO and Its Selectivity Mechanism. *Langmuir* **2021**, *37*, 13548–13558. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.1c01706) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34767722)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.