

Article **Effects of Deposition Pressure on the Microstructural and Tribological Properties of CrAgCeN Coatings Prepared by Magnetron Sputtering**

Wei-hang Chang 1,2,3, Hai-chao Cai 1,4, Yu-jun Xue 1,2,4,5,*, Xian-qing Lei ¹ and Hang Li 1,2

- ¹ School of Mechatronics Engineering, Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang 471003, China
² H₁ K₁ H₁ (C₁ M₁ H₁ C₁ H₁ H₁ C₁ H₁ H₁ C₁ H₁ H₁ (C₁ H₁ H₁ H₁ H₁ H₁ H₁
- ² Henan Key Laboratory for Machinery Design and Transmission System, Luoyang 471003, China
³ Naryang Institute of Technology Naryang 472004, China
- ³ Nanyang Institute of Technology, Nanyang 473004, China
- ⁴ Longmen Laboratory, Luoyang 471000, China
 $\frac{5}{2}$ State Key Laboratory of Aviation Procision Bo
- ⁵ State Key Laboratory of Aviation Precision Bearing, Luoyang LYC Bearing Corporation, Luoyang 471023, China
- ***** Correspondence: xue_yujun@163.com

Abstract: This study investigates the effect of deposition pressure on the microstructure and tribological properties of CrAgCeN coatings synthesized via unbalanced magnetron sputtering. The CrAgCeN coatings presented a face-centered cubic structure. As the deposition pressure increased, the surface grain topography of the CrAgCeN coatings transformed from a looser pyramidal structure to a denser structure, while their hardness *H* and elastic modulus *E* first increased and then decreased. The strengthening effect was mainly attributable to Ag and Ce elements. Conversely, the coefficient of friction (COF) and wear rates of the coatings reduced and then increased. Under 0.6-Pa deposition pressure, the COF and wear rate of the CrAgCeN coating were minimized (0.391 and 3.2 × 10−⁷ mm3/(N·m), respectively) while the *H* and *E* were maximized (14.2 and 206.2 GPa, respectively). The values of hardness, wear resistance, resistance of elastic strain to failure (*H*/*E*) and resistance to plastic deformation (H^3/E^2) were improved for the coatings by Ce. The wear mechanisms were adhesion and delamination. The wear mechanisms were adhesion and delamination. Selecting the appropriate deposition pressure can improve the tribological properties of the CrAgCeN coatings. The received results of research in this study allow us to establish a rational coating composition for deposition on tools providing an increase in machining efficiency of the materials used in engineering. CrAgCeN coating with excellent properties may be applied to steel substrate through the combined action of corrosion, high temperature and mechanics.

Keywords: deposition pressure; magnetron sputtering; rare earth; CrAgCeN coating; microstructure; mechanical properties; friction coefficient; wear-resistant

1. Introduction

The performances of tools, dies and molds in various applications are commonly improved by depositing a several-micron-thick hard coating [\[1,](#page-11-0)[2\]](#page-11-1). Transition metal nitride coatings, mainly based on titanium and chromium, have become essential in modern wear and corrosion technologies [\[3](#page-11-2)[,4\]](#page-11-3). As a coating, CrN exhibits stronger wear-resistance properties than TiN because its friction coefficient is slightly lower than that of TiN [\[5,](#page-11-4)[6\]](#page-11-5). CrN films have been widely used in the industry owing to their high hardness and toughness [\[7–](#page-11-6)[9\]](#page-11-7), good oxidation [\[10\]](#page-11-8) and corrosion [\[11\]](#page-12-0) resistance, prominent tribological properties [\[12](#page-12-1)[,13\]](#page-12-2), and excellent adhesion to substrates [\[14\]](#page-12-3). However, most of the transition metal nitride coatings have a high friction coefficient (0.6–0.8) and their tribological effectiveness [\[15\]](#page-12-4) is insufficient under severe tribological conditions such as high temperature, high-speed operation and high-applied pressure. To meet these demands, researchers have developed extended systems based on these coatings. For instance, a third element, such as Ti, Al, Nb,

Citation: Chang, W.-h.; Cai, H.-c.; Xue, Y.-j.; Lei, X.-q.; Li, H. Effects of Deposition Pressure on the Microstructural and Tribological Properties of CrAgCeN Coatings Prepared by Magnetron Sputtering. *Materials* **2023**, *16*, 1141. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma16031141) doi.org/10.3390/ma16031141

Academic Editors: Gueorgui Gueorguiev and Carles Corbella Roca

Received: 10 December 2022 Revised: 17 January 2023 Accepted: 19 January 2023 Published: 29 January 2023

Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) $4.0/$).

Hf or Ag, has been added to the traditional binary nitride film to improve its mechanical and tribological properties [\[16](#page-12-5)[–18\]](#page-12-6).

To reduce friction, a CrN surface can be deposited with noble metal atoms. For example, Ag has been introduced as a lubrication phase into a hard CrN matrix. Ag is a soft metal that reduces the friction of hard surfaces over a wide temperature range (from room temperature to 700 °C) [\[6](#page-11-5)[,19\]](#page-12-7). Therefore, when added as a solid lubricant, it improves the tribological properties of the coating [\[20](#page-12-8)[–23\]](#page-12-9). However, as a solid lubricating phase, the Ag element will reduce the hardness of the coating, and the rapid depletion of the Ag phase will cause a lower wear life of the CrN/Ag coating, which is not conducive to ensuring the service performance and life of the workpiece. Rare earth (RE) elements have been called the 'vitamins' of metals, meaning that a trace amount of RE can greatly enhance a metal's mechanical properties [\[24–](#page-12-10)[26\]](#page-12-11). RE additives have been widely used in surface technology. Doping with small amounts of Ce can improve the microstructure, surface hardness, wear resistance, bonding strength, high-temperature oxidation resistance and corrosion resistance of a coating [\[27,](#page-12-12)[28\]](#page-12-13). Rare earth elements have special physical and chemical properties and have been widely used in surface engineering. Nevertheless, there are few studies on CrN introducing Ce by magnetron sputtering. Thus far, coupling the deposition of noble metal atoms and the doping of RE elements to co-modify CrN has been rarely reported.

In the present paper, an Ag and Ce (Ce-Ag) co-modified CrN coating was prepared using the closed-field unbalanced magnetron sputtering method and its tribological properties were investigated. The advantages of magnetron sputtering are high deposition rate, uniformity of the thin film, low operation temperature and flexibility of the process parameters (substrate temperature, working pressure, target-to-substrate distance, substrate bias, target power and deposition time) [\[7,](#page-11-6)[8\]](#page-11-9). The CrN coating performance is strongly affected by the deposition parameters such as applied target power, N2-gas partial pressure and substrate temperature $[9-11,29]$ $[9-11,29]$ $[9-11,29]$. A change in these parameters alters the structural and mechanical properties and, hence, the friction resistance of the coating [\[30\]](#page-12-15). However, few systematic studies have investigated the effect of pressure on the microstructure and characteristics of CrAgCeN coatings. Moreover, binary doping of RE elements has rarely been reported in the preparation of CrN thin coatings by magnetron sputtering. Therefore, studying the effect of pressure on the structure and composition of coatings is expected to significantly advance the field. In this paper, a CrAgCeN coating was prepared by magnetron sputtering under different pressures. The aim was to elucidate the effect of deposition pressure on the microstructures and tribological properties of the formed coatings.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Coating Deposition

CrAgCeN coatings were prepared by a magnetron sputtering system. The system is composed of a vacuum system, a magnetron sputtering target and a power supply. Three permanent magnetic targets are evenly distributed in the bottom of the vacuum chamber (φ 450 \times 350 mm) according to 120 $^{\circ}$, and folded to the center of the sample above. The pre-plated parts are installed on the rotatable workbench above the chamber, and the distance between the parts and the electrode is 85 mm. The power supply has DC cathodes and one RF cathode. The sputtering targets (provided by Beijing commercial company) were Cr (99.95%) and the CeAg alloy (5:5 Ce: Ag at%) (Φ 50.8 mm \times 3 mm). Ar and N_2 were used as the working gas and reaction gas, respectively, and 304 stainless steel (Φ 30 mm \times 2 mm) was selected as the substrate. After evaluating the micromorphologies and wear properties of the CrAgCeN coatings, the hardness *H* and elastic modulus *E* were determined using a single silicon crystal (10 mm \times 10 mm \times 50 μ m). The 304 stainless steel substrates were ground with sandpaper and grinding paste and then polished. Next, the substrates were cleaned with acetone and ethanol for 15 min and placed in a vacuum chamber. A Cr transition layer was deposited for 20 min to improve the adhesion of the coating to the substrate. During a deposition process, both sputtering targets were treated

at the same time and the workpiece table was rotated at 20 r/min. The main process parameters were set as follows: vacuum pressure = 5×10^{-4} Pa, Ar flow rate = 40 sccm, N_2 flow rate = 20 sccm, Cr target power = 200 W, CeAg target Power = 60 W, deposition temperature = 200 °C, total coating-deposition time = 120 min, deposition pressure = 0.4 , 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8 Pa.

2.2. Coating Characteristics

The surface morphologies, crystal structures, phases, chemical bonding states, average thicknesses, and surface hardness values of the deposited CrAgCeN coatings were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Jeol JSM-7900F, Tokyo, Japan), Xray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) (Thermo Scientific K-Alpha, Waltham, MA, USA), X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis (Smartlab Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan), and a nanoindentation instrument (iNano, Milpitas, CA, USA).

The tribological performances of the coatings on 304 stainless steel were evaluated in dry friction and sliding wear tests using a reciprocating sliding ball-on-disk tribometer (HT-1000, Lanzhou, China) in ambient air. Counter-friction was provided by a GCr15 ball (Φ6 mm, hardness 63–65 HRC). Tribo-mechanical tests are performed with humidity of 50% \pm 5% and temperature of 20 \pm 2 °C. The parameters of the ball-on-disk machine were set as follows: speed = 336 r/min , normal load = 3 N , total number of cycles = 3360 , rotating radius = 2 mm. The friction coefficient was automatically recorded during a sliding duration of 10 min. The wear-track morphologies on the samples were observed by a white-light interference three-dimensional profiler. The wear area (obtained by integrating the profile) was multiplied by the total length of the wear track to obtain the wear volume.

The wear rate *W* [1 in $mm^3/(N \cdot m)$] was calculated as

$$
W = \frac{V}{F \times L} \tag{1}
$$

where *V* is the worn volume (mm³), *F* is the applied normal load (N), and *L* is the total friction stroke (m). The wear rates of three tests were averaged to reduce the error and were used to evaluate the wear performances of the coatings.

3. Results

3.1. Structural Characterization

Figure [1](#page-3-0) shows the surface morphologies of the CrAgCeN coatings. As the deposition pressure increased, the surface topography changed from loose small pyramidal or striplike structures to denser bars or triangular cones and finally to pyramidal structures. When the sputtering gas was applied at 0.4 Pa, the coating topography was loose and columnar structures were porous (Figure [1a](#page-3-0),f). At a higher gas pressure of 0.5 Pa, the porosity of the CrAgCeN coating reduced (Figure [1b](#page-3-0),g). The coating grown at 0.6 Pa exhibited a dense topography with compact columnar structures (Figure [1c](#page-3-0),h). However, the morphology reverted to loose and lacunose pyramidal structures at deposition pressures of 0.7 and 0.8 Pa (Figure [1d](#page-3-0),e) and the columnar structures gradually become less marked (Figure [1i](#page-3-0),j). This observation indicates that at appropriate deposition pressures of the chamber, the CrAgCeN coatings became dense and developed a compact columnar structure.

Figure 1. Surface and cross-sectional morphologies of the CrAgCeN coatings formed under different **Figure 1.** Surface and cross-sectional morphologies of the CrAgCeN coatings formed under different pressures of the sputtering gas: (a,f) 0.4 Pa, (b,g) 0.5 Pa, (c,h) 0.6 Pa, (d,i) 0.7 Pa and (e,j) 0.8 Pa.

The above results clarify that varying the deposition pressure changes the structure The above results clarify that varying the deposition pressure changes the structure and topography of the CrAgCeN coating. At the initial stage of coating growth, small and topography of the CrAgCeN coating. At the initial stage of coating growth, small islands or clusters were formed that served as nucleation sites for subsequent incoming islands or clusters were formed that served as nucleation sites for subsequent incoming atoms. As these islands or clusters grow, they either coalesce to cover the substrate surface atoms. As these islands or clusters grow, they either coalesce to cover the substrate surface or form individual columns, depending on the mobility of the atoms arriving at the surf[ace](#page-12-16) [31]. Porous columnar crystals form when the self-shadowing effect of the initial nuclei combines with low lateral diffusion of the adatoms on the substrate surface. According to the kinetic theory of gas molecules, the mean free path (λ) of gas molecules is mainly determined by the temperature (T) and pressure (P) of the gas molecules; specifically, $\lambda = 2.331 \times 10^{-20} (T/P \tilde{\delta}^2)$, where δ is [th](#page-12-17)e radius of th[e g](#page-12-18)as molecules [32,33]. During the deposition procedure, the average energies of the sputtered CrAgCeN particles (atoms and ions) ejected from the target surface are well controlled so the temperature is constant. Therefore, the mean free path of the sputtered CrAgCeN particles is determined only by the pressure of the sputtering gas. Under low-sputtering gas pressures, the mean free path of the sputtered CrAgCeN particles increases and the scattering effect between the background Ar gas and the sputtered CrAgCeN particles is low. The sputtered fluxes can then be considered linear motion. An arriving atom has sufficient energy to move across the surface before coming to rest, so the CrAgCeN coating becomes dense. When the pressure of the sputtering gas reduces further, the mean free path of the sputtered CrAgCeN particles increases but fewer particles are ejected from the target and the particles reaching the surface are sparse [\[34\]](#page-12-19); thus, the CrAgCeN coating is loose. In contrast, at high pressures of the sputtering gas, the mean free path decreases and the atoms will likely collide with each other before arriving at the substrate surface. Such collisions reduce the energy of the sputtered atoms at the surface. The lower surface mobility of the atoms results in growth with a porous columnar structure [\[31,](#page-12-16)[33\]](#page-12-18). When the pressure of the sputtering gas further increases, the scattering effect between the background Ar gas and the sputtered CrAgCeN particles becomes very high. The average energies of the sputtered atoms are significantly reduced at the surface, greatly lowering the lateral diffusion mobility. Therefore, the columnar structure of the porous CrAgCeN coatings becomes less marked at both high and low pressures, owing to the combined effects of self-shadowing and low lateral diffusion mobility of the adatoms of ejected CrAgCeN particles. As the pressure increases, the energy of Cr, Ce and Ag atoms incorporated with N in the nitride structure decreases because the mean free path in the deposition chamber is reduced and fewer Cr, Ce and Ag atoms form the CrCeAgN structure on the substrate [\[33\]](#page-12-18). Additionally, co-doping with Ce and Ag elements significantly reduces the surface energy of the nuclei, increases the number of nucleations, and suppresses the growth of coarse grains [\[35–](#page-12-20)[37\]](#page-12-21).

Table [1](#page-4-0) summarizes the chemical compositions of the coatings deposited at different pressures. The Cr/N atomic ratio was approximately 1.2 and maximized at 1.21 under 0.6 Pa pressure.

Table 1. Chemical compositions of the CrAgCeN coatings deposited under different deposition pressure.

Deposition Pressure (Pa)	at.-% Cr	at.-% N	at.-% Ce	at.-% Ag	Cr/N	Ce/Ag
0.4	51.1	44.2	2.5	2.2	1.16	1.14
0.5	51.4	44.5	2.2	1.9	1.16	1.15
0.6	52.6	43.6	2.3	1.5	1.21	1.53
0.7	51.5	44.1	2.2	2.2	1.17	$1.00\,$
$0.8\,$	50.6	45.1	2.1	2.1	1.12	$1.00\,$

Figure [2](#page-5-0) presents the XRD spectra of the CrAgCeN coatings deposited at different pressures. All spectra show the characteristic peaks of CrN, Cr_2N , Ag, AgN₃ and Ce. The CrN diffraction peaks correspond to the (111), (020) and (200) planes of the face-centered cubic (FCC) phase. These orientations are consistent with ICDD JCPDS card 65-6914 for CrN and the structure reported by Alexander et al. [\[13\]](#page-12-2). The Cr and Cr_2N diffraction peaks correspond to the (111), (211), (100) and (113) planes of the FCC phase, in agreement with ICDD JCPDS cards 35-0803 and 37-1230 for CrN and Cr_2N , respectively, and with the structure reported by Bílek et al. [\[38\]](#page-12-22). The Ag peaks correspond to the (111) and (211) planes of the FCC phase. These orientations agree with ICDD JCPDS card 04-7831 for Ag and the structure reported by Kutschej et al. [\[15\]](#page-12-4). The XRD peaks of Ce indicate preferred orientations of (101) and (211). The low intensities of the Ce peaks indicate amorphousphase Ce, which is beneficial for improving the material properties. As the deposition pressure increased, the intensities of the CrN peaks first decreased and then increased. At a deposition pressure of 0.6 Pa, the (111) and (200) peaks were weakest while the (111) diffraction peak of Cr_2N remained obvious. Bílek et al. similarly reported only the presence of (111) and (200) FCC orientations in CrN thin coatings [\[38\]](#page-12-22). We attribute this effect to the preferential incorporation of Ag on the rough (111) surfaces rather than on the smoother (002) surfaces, which suppresses the continued growth of the 111-oriented column. This inference is consistent with the structure reported by Mulligan et al. [\[20\]](#page-12-8). Ce is known to induce the preferred orientation of the TiN phase along a densely packed plane [\[39\]](#page-12-23). The AgN₃ peak was confirmed by XPS but has almost never been reported in previous studies of

CrN/Ag coatings. Zhou et al. reported that growth kinetics play an important role in silver enty *n*g countgo. Enour et al. reported that growth kineties pay an important fore in structure and nitride formation by nitrogen implantation [\[40\]](#page-13-0). Ce has a 4f-orbital electronic structure and strong chemical activity; therefore, it provides strong growth kinetics that might explain the AgN3 peak in the XRD spectrum of CrAgCeN. See The XRD spectrum of CrAgCeN. See The XRD spectrum of CrAgCeN. See The XRD spectru divide the ARD spectrum of CrAgCeN. Scherrer formula [\[41\]](#page-13-1) was used to calculate AgN3 peak in the XRD spectrum of CrAgCeN. Scherrer formula [41] was used to calculate the average crystallite size (D)of the samples as represented in Equation (2): represented in Equation (2):

$$
D = 0.9\lambda / \beta \cos \theta_B \tag{2}
$$

where $λ$, $θ$ _{*B*} and $β$ are the X-ray wavelength (1.54056 Å). The crystallite size as calculated by the Scherrer formula increases from 19 nm to 37 nm with varying deposition pressure. After introducing Ce and Ag, the metal grains were refined, the AgN₃ peak was enhanced, and introducing Ce and Ag, the metal grains were refined, the AgN₃ peak was enhanced, and the crystallinity increased. The coating deposited at 0.6 Pa contained CrN, Cr₂N, AgN₃, Ce and \overrightarrow{Ag} with a tighter grain arrangement and more outstanding comprehensive properties than the coatings deposited at other pressures.

Figure 2. XRD patterns of the CrAgCeN coatings deposited at different pressures (in Pa, listed along **Figure 2.** XRD patterns of the CrAgCeN coatings deposited at different pressures (in Pa, listed along the left axis). the left axis).

To explore the diffraction pattern of CeO_x , the bonding state of CeO_x was examined by XPS. The N 1s, Cr 2p, Ce 3d and Ag 3d XPS spectra of the coating deposited at 0.6 Pa by XPS. The N 1s, Cr 2p, Ce 3d and Ag 3d XPS spectra of the coating deposited at 0.6 Pa are shown in Fig[ur](#page-6-0)e 3. The Cr 2p peaks of the CrAgCeN coating represent two phases, $Cr₂N$ (577.2 eV) and CrN³⁺ (584.8 eV). Similarly, the Cr 2p peaks of CrN coatings represent three phases, and two phases, Cr_2N (574.1 eV) and CrN (575.0 eV), were analyzed using the XPS results and reference studies [5,17]. The Cr₂N spectra in [Fi](#page-6-0)gure 3 confirm the XRD results ([Fig](#page-5-0)ure 2 at 0.6 Pa). The Ag 3d spectrum of $CrAgCeN$ shows a characteristic peak at a bonding energy of 368.3 eV, consistent with literature reports (368.2 eV). Another character-istic peak at 367.5 eV in the Ag 3d spectrum [\[42\]](#page-13-2) corresponds to $AgN³⁺$ in CrAgCeN. The AgN³⁺ spectra of CrN/Ag coatings are almost absent in the literature, possibly because when the Ce element is lacking, the kinetics are insufficient for AgN₃ formation. The N 1s spectra of the CrAgCeN coating are described by two peaks with different intensities, one centered at 396.7 eV, the other at 399.5 eV. These peaks are consistent with the nitride peaks in the Cr 2p and Ag 3d spect[ra.](#page-6-0) The Ce 3d XPS patterns (Figure 3 show three distinct peaks corresponding to Ce^{3+} and Ce^{4+} states, indicating the presence of mixed oxide groups $(Ce₂O₃ + CeO₂)$ on the surface. Ce oxide phases can effectively prevent rapid crystal growth of anatase in Ce-doped TiO₂ coatings, thus maintaining their ordered structures of CrAgCeN coating due to a full octet of electrons in the $5s^2p^6$ outer shell [\[43\]](#page-13-3).

Figure 3. XPS spectra of the CrAgCeN coating deposited at 0.6 Pa (a) N1s, (b) Cr2p, (c) Ce3d, Ag3d. (**d**) Ag3d.

3.2. Mechanical Properties 3.2. Mechanical Properties

Figure [4](#page-6-1) plots the *H*, *E*, *H*/*E* and *H*³/*E*² values of the CrAgCeN coatings as functions of deposition pressure. The H/E and H^3/E^2 values are related to the resistance of elastic strain to failure and plastic deformation, respectively. At a deposition pressure of 0.4 Pa, the H, E, H/E and H^3/E^2 values were 10.1, 185.3, 0.055 and 0.03 GPa, respectively. At 0.6 Pa, these values increased to 14.2, 206.2, 0.069 and 0.067 GPa, respectively. These values decreased to 11.7, 186.8, 0.063 and 0.046 GPa, respectively at 0.8 Pa. The hardness of the coatings was enhanced by the fine-grain strengthening of the RE element Ce and the soft Ag phase at 0.6 Pa. The Ce additive increased the mechanical properties of the CrAgCeN coatings. As mentioned in Section [3.1,](#page-2-0) Ce effectively refined the microstructure, thereby increasing its average hardness [\[44\]](#page-13-4). Increasing the amount of soft Ag phase in the coating counteracts the effect of fine-grain strengthening, causing a gradual decline in hardness. At a deposition pressure of 0.6 Pa, the hardness of the coating was maximally improved, At a deposition pressure of 0.6 Pa, the hardness of the coating was maximally improved, indicating that Ce and Ag together improved the hardness. indicating that Ce and Ag together improved the hardness. Figure 4 plots the *H₁ E*, H/E and H^3/E^2 values of the CrAgCeN coatings as functions coaings. As memoried in occupit x_i , ce encenvery tenned the interostructure, thereby

Figure 4. (**Left**) Nanohardness (*H*) and elastic modulus(*E*) and (**right**) *H*/*E* and *H*3/*E*2 ratios of the CrAgCeN coatings as functions of pressure. CrAgCeN coatings as functions of pressure. **Figure 4.** (**Left**) Nanohardness (*H*) and elastic modulus(*E*) and (**right**) *H*/*E* and *H*3/*E*2 ratios of the **Figure 4.** (Left) Nanohardness (*H*) and elastic modulus (*E*) and (**right**) *H*/*E* and *H*³/*E*² ratios of the

ings. The hardness and toughness of coatings are closely correlated with friction and wear properties [4,13,45]. T[he](#page-11-3) H/E and H^3/E^2 ratios predict the wear resistance of [h](#page-12-2)[ard](#page-13-5) coatings; specifically, higher values denot[e](#page-11-6) stronger [we](#page-13-6)ar resistances [4,7,46]. Under a deposition The H, E, H/E and H^3/E^2 values are typically used for evaluating hard and tough coatpressure of 0.6 Pa, the content of Ce and Ag was 3.8% (Table [1\)](#page-4-0) and the H/E and H^3/E^2 ratios were higher (0.069 and 0.067 GPa, respectively) than at other pressures. Therefore, the coating deposited at 0.6 Pa is expected to show excellent friction and wear properties. *3.3. Friction and Wear*

deposition pressure of 0.6 Pa, the content of Ce and Ag was 3.8% (Table 1) and the *H*/*E*

3.3. Friction and Wear coefficient of the samples of the samples. In an and wear rate samples. In an analyzed of the samples of th

Figure 5 shows the coefficient of frictions (COFs) and [w](#page-7-0)ear rates of the samples. In a dry-sliding wear test, the COFs of the CrAgCeN coatings were calculated as 0.391-0.609. As the deposition pressure increased, the COF of the films first decreased and then increased. A similar trend was observed for the wear rates of the coatings. The COF and wear rate were maximized (0.609 and 18.9×10^{-7} mm³/(N·m), respectively) at a deposition pressure of 0.4 Pa because the microstructure of the coating was loose under this pressure. Consequently, the hardness and elastic modulus were small and the wear rate was increased. The COF and wear rate were 0.514 and 6.7 \times 10⁻⁷ mm³/(N·m), respectively, at 0.5 Pa. The reduction in COF and wear rate of the coating at 0.5 Pa might be explained by the stronger ion bombardment at higher deposition pressures. When the deposition pressure was increased to 0.6 Pa, the COF and wear rate were reduced to 0.391 and 3.2×10^{-7} mm³/(N·m), creased to 0.6 Pa, the COF and wear rate were reduced to 0.391 and 3.2 \times 10^{-7} mm³/(N·m), respectively). As the microhardness increases, debris particulates are more easily removed from the contact surface under contact stress and the surface becomes smoother $[47]$. When the deposition pressure increased to 0.7 Pa, the COF and wear rate increased to 0.429 and 7.8×10^{-7} mm³/(N·m), respectively. Finally, at 0.8 Pa, the COF and wear rate were 0.463 and 9.9×10^{-7} mm³/(N·m), respectively. Note that the wear rate was three times higher at 0.8 Pa than at 0.6 Pa. Similar results in CrN were reported by Ren et al. [\[45\]](#page-13-5). Reducing the disposition pressure significantly decreased the COF and the wear rate, in other words, improving the wear resistance of the coating [\[20,](#page-12-8)[48\]](#page-13-8).

Figure 5. Friction coefficients and wear ratios of the coatings deposited at different pressures. **Figure 5.** Friction coefficients and wear ratios of the coatings deposited at different pressures.

3.4. Mechanism of the Wear Protection and Morphology of the Worn Surfaces 3.4. Mechanism of the Wear Protection and Morphology of the Worn Surfaces

When studying the tribological properties of coatings, characterizing the wear When studying the tribological properties of coatings, characterizing the wear mechmechanism is as important as determining the COF and wear volume. To this end, SEM anism is as important as determining the COF and wear volume. To this end, SEM micrographs of the worn surfaces were taken and are displayed in Figure 6. Deformation micrographs of the worn surfaces were taken and are displayed in Figure [6.](#page-8-0) Deformation and damage occurred on all surfaces. Large amounts of wear debris adhered to the worn $\frac{1}{2}$ surfaces during the back-and-forth transfer process. The track width and the *H*/*E* or *H*3/*E* 2 ratio have similar changes, which first decrease and then increase with the increase in sedimentary pressure. The samples deposited at 0.4 and 0.5 Pa were strongly deformed and widely damaged (Figure [6a](#page-8-0),b), with outstanding scratches and grooves in the wear

tracks. In contrast, the sample deposited at 0.6 Pa was only slightly damaged and the wear tracks. In contrast, the sample deposited at 0.6 Pa was only slightly damaged and the wear tracks were mild, indicating higher wear-resistance performance than at 0.4 and 0.5 Pa tracks were mild, indicating higher wear-resistance performance than at 0.4 and 0.5 Pa (Figure [6c](#page-8-0)). The samples deposited at 0.7 and 0.8 Pa presented mild scratches and grooves (Figure 6c). The samples deposited at 0.7 and 0.8 Pa presented mild scratches and grooves in the wear tracks (Figure [6d](#page-8-0),e) but their surfaces were severely deformed and damaged, in the wear tracks (Figure 6d,e) but their surfaces were severely deformed and damaged, indicating serious adhesive damage. indicating serious adhesive damage.

Figure 6. SEM images of worn CrAgCeN coatings deposited at different pressures: (a) 0.4 Pa, Pa, (**c**) 0.6 Pa, (**d**) 0.7 Pa and (**e**) 0.8 Pa. (**b**) 0.5 Pa, (**c**) 0.6 Pa, (**d**) 0.7 Pa and (**e**) 0.8 Pa.

As evidenced in Fig[ur](#page-8-0)e 6, the failure mechanism of the CrAgCeN coatings was As evidenced in Figure 6, the failure mechanism of the CrAgCeN coatings was spalling from the substrate, indicating that adhesive wear dominated the main wear mechanism. The worn particles resulting from the abrasion constitute a third body between the steel and coating surface. Some pitting corrosion areas were also observed on the worn surfaces, which are related to oxidation occurring during wear tests in the atmospheric environment. Recall that as the deposition pressure increased, the COF first decreased and then increased. From the wear results of the CrAgCeN surfaces, we can observe the effects of deposition pressure on the wear mechanism of the CrAgCeN coatings.

Archards' model predicts a negative correlation between the wear rate and hardness of a material. The Ce additive improves the hardness and strength of the base material, thereby weakening the wear [\[39,](#page-12-23)[43,](#page-13-3)[49\]](#page-13-9). The Ag lubricant can also weaken the wear of composite materials [\[20–](#page-12-8)[23\]](#page-12-9). Therefore, the Ce and Ag contents must be appropriately

selected to improve both the lubrication property and wear resistance of the basic material. Co-doping of Ce and Ag under a deposition pressure of 0.6 Pa facilitated the formation of the Cr_2N phase and improved the comprehensive properties of the coatings (as shown Figure [2\)](#page-5-0). The Cr_2N phase provides the coating with high hardness while the CrN phase confers excellent oxidation resistance and lowers the COF [\[50\]](#page-13-10). The hardness of AgN₃ is about 4.5 Gpa [\[51\]](#page-13-11). AgN₃ decomposes into Ag and N₂ as the friction time goes on [\[40\]](#page-13-0). Ag has low shear strength and acts as a lubricating particle at the interface between the coating and the ball, which can slow down the interaction between the friction pair and the wear scar surface, thus reducing the wear of the coating [\[21,](#page-12-25)[22\]](#page-12-26). On a surface with high microhardness, debris particulates are easily removed from the contact surface under contact stress and the surface remains smooth [\[47\]](#page-13-7).

To further investigate the worn surface and wear debris, the worn surfaces of the counterparts were observed by SEM. Under deposition conditions of 0.4 and 0.8 Pa, the surfaces were seriously damaged and unsuitable for a mechanism analysis. Meanwhile, the coatings deposited at 0.5, 0.6 and 0.7 Pa exhibited similar wear properties, which were convenient for analyzing their wear behavior. Figure [7](#page-10-0) shows the SEM images of the GCr15 counter-faces at 0.5–0.7 Pa. When the deposition pressure was 0.5 Pa, the surface of the ball was seriously worn and covered with adhesives. Many deep furrows appeared in the wear marks and a large amount of wear debris appeared on the edges. When the deposition pressure increased to 0.7 Pa, the surface of the pair was seriously worn but the adhesion was small. A large number of shallow furrows appeared in the wear marks and a small amount of debris had accumulated on the edges. When the coating was ground against GCr15, most of the wear occurred on the GCr15 member of the pair. At a deposition pressure of 0.6 Pa, the wear surface of the ball was only slightly worn. The slightly furrowed structure was mainly formed by the peeling of the hard particles from the coating during the wear process, leading to abrasive wear. A small amount of adhesive was also observed. Over time, the wear debris accumulated between the coating and friction pair. Eventually, a small amount of coating was transferred, which was caused by a decrease in the COF. This occurred especially when the Ce and Ag phases were reduced. Under contact stress for a certain time, the concave and convex surface peaks become detached from the coatings and are crushed into fine abrasive. Li and Lui showed that after adding a small amount of $Ce(0.1 wt%)$ to a novel aluminum bronze coating, the microstructure was refined with consequent improvement in the abrasive wear resistance [\[27\]](#page-12-12). In our study, the abrasive wear dominated the main wear mechanism at a deposition pressure of 0.6 Pa. Energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDS) confirmed the presence of coating elements on the GCr15 surface. The mass percentages of Ce were obviously lower on the 0.5-Pa and 0.7-Pa counter-faces than on the 0.6-Pa counter-face, implying that smaller quantities of materials were transferred from the 0.5-Pa and 0.7-Pa samples than from the 0.6-Pa sample. Meanwhile, the counter-faces of the 0.5- and 0.7-Pa samples presented low levels of Ce and Ag (Figure [7\)](#page-10-0). Residual Ce and Ag on a surface are known to weaken the friction and wear to some extent during sliding [\[21](#page-12-25)[,39](#page-12-23)[,49\]](#page-13-9). This reasoning explains the lower COF and wear rate of the 0.6-Pa sample than of the other samples.

To further analyse the effects of Ce on the wear mechanism of the CrAgCeN coatings, the worn surface of the coating deposited at 0.6 Pa was analyzed by XPS (Figure [8\)](#page-10-1). The N 1s XPS spectrum of the CrAgCeN coating presented two peaks with different intensities, one is centered at 396.7 eV and the other at 399.5 eV. These peaks are consistent with the nitride peaks in the Cr 2p and Ag 3d spectra. The peaks at 583.50 eV (Cr $2p_{1/2}$) and 574.30 eV (Cr $2p_{3/2}$) were assigned to Cr [\[5,](#page-11-4)[17\]](#page-12-24) (Figure [8b](#page-10-1)). The Ag 3d XPS spectrum of the CrAgCeN coating displayed characteristic peaks at a bonding energy of 368.3 eV, which perfectly agrees with the literature value (368.2 eV) [\[42\]](#page-13-2) (Figure [8d](#page-10-1); recall also Section [3](#page-2-1) and Figure [3\)](#page-6-0). Meanwhile, a small amount of Ce was detected on the worn surfaces (Figure [8c](#page-10-1)). The Ce 3d XPS patterns (Figure [3c](#page-6-0)) also presented distinct peaks suggesting the formation of mixed oxide groups ($Ce₂O₃ + CeO₂$) on the surface.

Figure 7. SEM images of the counter-face material (GCr15) after wear testing of coatings deposited at different pressures (left to right: 0.5, 0.6 and 0.7 Pa) under a 3-N normal load.

Figure 8. XPS spectra of the worn surface of the CrAgCeN coating deposited at 0.6 Pa (a) N1s, Cr2p, (**c**) Ce3d, (**d**) Ag3d. (**b**) Cr2p, (**c**) Ce3d, (**d**) Ag3d.

of COF after the Ce incorporation. The introduction of $CeO₂$ has been shown to improve the oxidative wear resistance of Fe–Ni–Cr alloy coatings [\[48](#page-13-8)[,49\]](#page-13-9). The tribological properties of CrAgCeN coatings were enhanced by the addition of Ce, which reduced the COF. This suggestion is supported by the SEM–EDS mappings of worn surfaces on the coatings deposited at 0.5–0.7 Pa. Furthermore, as revealed in the Ce 3d spectrum of the worn coating deposited at 0.6 Pa (Figure [8c](#page-10-1)), all Ce atoms existed as Ce3d and Ce4d ions. These ions formed mixed oxide groups ($Ce₂O₃$ and $CeO₂$) on the worn surfaces. Ceria groups appearing on the worn surface may perform as a solid lubricant that reduces COF [\[52–](#page-13-12)[54\]](#page-13-13). The lubricant can decrease the interaction forces with the sliding counterpart and contribute to the lowering

Cr2p, (**c**) Ce3d, (**d**) Ag3d. **4. Conclusions**

Coatings consisting of a CrN matrix and an embedded CeAg were co-deposited via reactive magnetron sputtering. The mechanical and tribological properties of the CrAgCeN composite coatings could be improved at the appropriate deposition pressure. The main results are summarized below.

- (1) The CrAgCeN coatings presented a FCC structure and the characteristic diffraction peaks of CrN, Cr_2N , Ag, AgN₃ and Ce via the XRD analysis. The grain size of the coating first decreased and then increased with increasing deposition pressure.
- (2) The hardness of the CrAgCeN coating also increased and then decreased with increasing deposition pressure. The maximum hardness (14.2 GPa) was reached at a deposition pressure of 0.6 Pa. Meanwhile, the elastic modulus of the coating gradually decreased with increasing deposition pressure. The hardness of the coating was affected by the strengthening of Ce fine grains and the soft Ag phase. The decrease in the elastic modulus was mainly attributable to the Ag phase, which has an intrinsically low elastic modulus.
- (3) The average friction coefficient and wear rate of the thin CrAgCeN coatings first decreased and then increased with increasing deposition pressure. The average friction coefficient and wear rate were minimized at a deposition pressure of 0.6 Pa, reaching 0.391 and 3.2×10^{-7} mm³/(N·m), respectively.
- (4) The addition of rare earth Ce has better wear resistance than that of the coating with free-Ce. It provides a reference for the preparation of multi-element ceramic matrix coating containing rare earth elements with excellent comprehensive performance. By further adjusting the magnetron sputtering process parameters, the prepared CrAgCeN coating can potentially be used in industrial fields such as machining tools and aeroengine blades.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, X.-q.L.; Software, H.-c.C. and W.-h.C.; Validation, W.-h.C. and H.L.; Writing—original draft, W.-h.C.; Writing—review & editing, W.-h.C. and Y.-j.X. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by National key research and development program (2021YFB340- 0401) and Project of Science and Technology Development of Henan Province (202102210073).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Written informed consent has been obtained from the patient(s) to publish this paper.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Kovačević, L.; Terek, P.; Miletić, A.; Kukuruzović, D.; Škorić, B.; Panjan, P. Industrial evaluation of duplex PVD hard coatings for HPDC. *J. Braz. Soc. Mech. Sci.* **2018**, *40*, 271. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s40430-018-1190-9)
- 2. Erdemir, A.; Voevodin, A.A. Nanocomposite Coatings for Severe Applications. In *Handbook of Deposition Technologies for Films and Coatings*, 3rd ed.; William Andrew: Norwich, NY, USA, 2010; pp. 679–715.
- 3. Zhang, J.; Li, Z.; Wang, Y.; Zhou, S.; Wang, Y.; Zeng, Z.; Li, J. A new method to improve the tribological performance of metal nitride coating: A case study for CrN coating. *Vacuum* **2020**, *173*, 109158. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.vacuum.2019.109158)
- 4. Krella, A. Resistance of PVD Coatings to Erosive and Wear Processes: A Review. *Coatings* **2020**, *10*, 921. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/coatings10100921)
- 5. Mamoun Fellah, L.A.M.A.; Said Mechacheti, M.Z.T.A. Characterisation of R.F. magnetron sputtered Cr-N, CrZrN and ZrN coatings. *Trans. IMF* **2017**, *95*, 261–268. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1080/00794236.2017.1339464)
- 6. Yao, H.S.; Su, L.Y.; Kao, H.W. Performance of nanolayer CrN/Ag coated cutting tools. *Surf. Eng.* **2011**, *11*, 180–189. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1179/026708410X12593178265661)
- 7. Kuppusami, P.; Elangovan, T.; Murugesan, S.; Thirumurugesan, R.; Khan, S.; George, R.P.; Ramaseshan, R.; Divakar, R.; Mohandas, E.; Mangalaraj, D. Microstructural, nanomechanical and antibacterial properties of magnetron sputtered nanocomposite thin films of CrN/Cu. *Surf. Eng.* **2012**, *28*, 134–140. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1179/1743294411Y.0000000072)
- 8. Wo, P.C.; Munroe, P.R.; Jiang, Z.; Zhou, Z.; Li, K.Y.; Xie, Z. Enhancing toughness of CrN coatings by Ni addition for safety-critical applications. *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **2014**, *596*, 264–274. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2013.12.064)
- 9. Ou, Y.X.; Ouyang, X.P.; Liao, B.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, S. Hard yet tough CrN/Si3N4 multilayer coatings deposited by the combined deep oscillation magnetron sputtering and pulsed dc magnetron sputtering. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2020**, *502*, 144168. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2019.144168)
- 10. Trindade, B.; Li, W.Z.; Fernandes, F.; Cavaleiro, A. Effect of Nb target power on the structure, mechanical properties, thermal stability and oxidation resistance of Cr–Al–Nb–N coatings. *Surf. Coat. Technol.* **2016**, *285*, 270–277. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2015.12.002)
- 11. Jalaa, A.; Mohamad, S.; Bassam, A. Investigation of Pressure Effect on Structural, Mechanical Properties and Corrosion Performance of CrN Thin Films. *Silicon* **2019**, *10*, 2489–2495.
- 12. Vite, M.; Moreno-Ríos, M.; Hernández, E.A.G.; Laguna-Camacho, J.R. A study of the abrasive resistance of sputtered CrN coatings deposited on AISI 316 and AISI H13 steel substrates using steel particles. *Wear* **2011**, *271*, 1273–1279. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.wear.2011.01.072)
- 13. Alexander, R.; Elisabeth, R.; Federico, S. CrN coatings deposited by magnetron sputtering: Mechanical and tribological properties. *Dyna-Bilbao* **2015**, *82*, 147–155.
- 14. Zhang, X.; Tian, X.; Zhao, Z.; Gao, J.; Zhou, Y.; Gao, P.; Guo, Y.; Lv, Z. Evaluation of the adhesion and failure mechanism of the hard CrN coatings on different substrates. *Surf. Coat. Technol.* **2019**, *364*, 135–143. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2019.01.059)
- 15. Kutschej, K.; Mitterer, C.; Mulligan, C.P.; Gall, D. High-Temperature Tribological Behavior of CrN-Ag Self-lubricating Coatings. *Adv. Eng. Mater.* **2006**, *8*, 1125–1129. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/adem.200600131)
- 16. Zhou, F.; Ma, Q.; Zhang, M. Comparison of tribological properties of CrN, CrTiN and CrTiBN coatings sliding against SiC and SUS440C balls in water. *Appl. Physics. A Mater. Sci. Process.* **2020**, *126*, 796. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-020-03986-5)
- 17. Li, H.; Liu, Z.; Li, J.; Huang, J.; Kong, J.; Wu, Q.; Xiong, D. Effects of Hf addition on the structure, mechanical and tribological properties of CrN film. *Surf. Coat. Technol.* **2020**, *397*, 126067. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2020.126067)
- 18. Kong, J.; Hou, T.; Wang, Q.; Yin, L.; Zhou, F.; Zhou, Z.; Li, L.K. Influence of titanium or aluminum doping on the electrochemical properties of CrN coatings in artificial seawater. *Surf. Coat. Technol.* **2016**, *307*, 118–124. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2016.08.036)
- 19. Sliney, H.E. Solid lubricant materials for high temperatures a review. *Tribol. Int.* **1982**, *15*, 303–315. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/0301-679X(82)90089-5)
- 20. Mulligan, C.P.; Gall, D. CrN–Ag self-lubricating hard coatings. *Surf. Coat. Technol.* **2005**, *200*, 1495–1500. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2005.08.063)
- 21. Mulligan, C.P.; Papi, P.A.; Gall, D. Ag transport in CrN/Ag nanocomposite coatings. *Thin Solid Films* **2012**, *520*, 6774–6779. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2012.06.082)
- 22. Papi, P.A.; Mulligan, C.P.; Gall, D. CrN–Ag nanocomposite coatings: Control of lubricant transport by diffusion barriers. *Thin Solid Films* **2012**, *524*, 211–217. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2012.10.010)
- 23. Mulligan, C.P.; Blanchet, T.A.; Gall, D. CrN–Ag nanocomposite coatings Tribology at room temperature and during a temperature ramp. *Surf. Coat. Technol.* **2010**, *204*, 1388–1394. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2009.09.018)
- 24. Sahul, M.; Smyrnova, K.; Haršáni, M.; Čaplovič, L.; Pogrebnjak, A.; Sahul, M.; Kusý, M.; Babincová, P.; Vopát, T. Effect of lanthanum addition on the structure evolution and mechanical properties of the nanocomposite Ti-Si-N coatings. *Mater. Lett.* **2020**, *276*, 128180. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2020.128180)
- 25. Liu, X.; Wang, W.; Zhang, H.; Wang, Y.; Ren, Y.; Tan, X.; Sun, S.; Jia, H. La-doped diamond films prepared through microwave plasma chemical vapor deposition. *Thin Solid Films* **2019**, *692*, 137620. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2019.137620)
- 26. Aninat, R.; Valle, N.; Chemin, J.; Duday, D.; Michotte, C.; Penoy, M.; Bourgeois, L.; Choquet, P. Addition of Ta and Y in a hard Ti-Al-N PVD coating: Individual and conjugated effect on the oxidation and wear properties. *Corros. Sci.* **2019**, *156*, 171–180. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2019.04.042)
- 27. Li, W.S.; Liu, Y. Effect of Ce on wear behavior of plasma spray welded novel aluminum bronze coatings. *Adv. Mater. Res.* **2012**, *418–420*, 831–834. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.418-420.831)
- 28. He, Q.; Li, A.; Guo, Y.; Liu, S.; Zhang, Y.; Kong, L. Tribological properties of nanometer cerium oxide as additives in lithium grease. *J. Rare Earth.* **2018**, *36*, 209–214. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jre.2017.09.004)
- 29. Krella, A.; Czyzniewski, A. Cavitation resistance of Cr–N coatings deposited on austenitic stainless steel at various temperatures. ˙ *Wear* **2009**, *266*, 800–809. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.wear.2008.11.002)
- 30. Merie, V.V.; Negrea, G.; Modi, E. The influence of substrate temperature on the tribomechanical properties of chromium nitride thin films. *Mater. Sci. Eng.* **2016**, *147*, 12020.
- 31. Malyshev, O.B.; Valizadeh, R.; Colligon, J.S.; Hannah, A.; Middleman, K.J.; Patel, S.; Vishnyakov, V.M. Influence of deposition pressure and pulsed dc sputtering on pumping properties of Ti–Zr–V nonevaporable getter films. *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A Vac. Surf. Film.* **2009**, *27*, 521–530. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1116/1.3112623)
- 32. Li, C.; Huang, J.; Lin, R.; Lii, D.; Chen, C. Performance characterization of nonevaporable porous Ti getter films. *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A Vac. Surf. Film.* **2007**, *25*, 1373–1380. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1116/1.2757177)
- 33. Roth, A. Rarefied gas theory for vacuum technology. In *Vacuum Technology*, 3rd ed.; Elsevier Science: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1990; pp. 37–40.
- 34. Thornton, J.A. Influence of apparatus geometry and deposition conditions on the structure and topography of thick sputtered coatings. *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.* **1974**, *11*, 666–670. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1116/1.1312732)
- 35. Hamid, O.; Jiang, X.F.; Nemoto, Y.; Beitollahi, A.; Yusuke, Y. Cerium-doped mesoporous TiO₂ thin films: Controlled crystallization of anatase with retention of highly ordered mesostructur. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2011**, *139*, 38–44.
- 36. Hong, C.; He, P.; Tian, J.; Chang, F.; Wu, J.; Zhang, P.; Dai, P. On the Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of CrNx/Ag Multilayer Films Prepared by Magnetron Sputtering. *Materials* **2020**, *13*, 1316. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/ma13061316) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32183243)
- 37. Chen, Z.-s.; Liang, X.-p.; Zhu, S.-y.; Di, Z.; Yang, S.-m.; Xiao, Y.; Zhao, J.-q.; Wang, H.-y.; Li, J.-x. Preparation, characterization and catalytic performance of Ag/Ce/La co-modified TiO₂ photocatalysts. *Ferroelectrics* 2018, 522, 136-143. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1080/00150193.2018.1392769)
- 38. Bílek, P.; Jurˇci, P.; Podgornik, B.; Jenko, D.; Hudáková, M.; Kusy, M. Study of Ag transport in Cr2N0.61-7Ag nanocomposite thin film due to thermal exposition. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2015**, *357*, 317–327. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2015.09.064)
- 39. Huang, N.C.; HU, S.J.; Xie, G.R.; Zeng, P.; Qiang, R. Effect of Rare Earth Element Cerium on Mechanical Properties and Morphology of TiN coating prepared by Arc Ion Plating. *J. Rare Earth.* **2003**, *21*, 380–383.
- 40. Zhou, X.; LI, H.D.; Liu, B.X. Formation of silver trinitride by nitrogen implantation. *J. Phys. C Solid State Phys.* **1988**, *21*, L683–L687. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/21/19/001)
- 41. Chavdaa, M.R.; Daveb, D.P.; Chauhanb, K.V.; Rawalb, S.K. Tribological Characterization of TiN Coatings prepared by Sputtering. *Procedia Technol.* **2016**, *23*, 36–41. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.protcy.2016.03.070)
- 42. Jia, X.; Zhao, M.; Dong, L.; Han, X.; Dejun, L. Influence of AgCa ratio on the osteoblast growth and antibacterial activity of TiN coatings on Ti-6Al-4V by Ag and Ca ion implantation XPS. *Surf. Coat. Technol.* **2020**, *403*, 126415. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2020.126415)
- 43. Hao, J.; Zhang, K.; Ren, P.; Chen, J.; Wang, M.; Zhang, Y.; Wen, M. Tuning the wettability, mechanical and tribological properties of NbN films by doping rare earth cerium. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2020**, *814*, 152339. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2019.152339)
- 44. Li, W.; Zhai, H.; Wang, S.; Liu, S.; Wood, R. Dry sliding wear mechanisms of Ce in aluminum bronze coatings. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2019**, *55*, 3045–3055. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-019-04081-8)
- 45. Ren, X.; Huang, Z.; Liu, M.; Yang, J.; Chen, H. Comparison of Microstructure and Tribological Behaviors of CrAlN and CrN Film Deposited by DC Magnetron Sputtering. *Rare Met. Mat. Eng.* **2018**, *47*, 1100–1106.
- 46. Krella, A.; Marchewicz, A. Effect of mechanical properties of CrN/CrCN coatings and uncoated 1.402 stainless steel on the evolution of degradation and surface roughness in cavitation erosion. *Tribol. Int.* **2023**, *177*, 107991. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.triboint.2022.107991)
- 47. Kabir, M.S.; Munroe, P.; Zhou, Z.; Xie, Z. Scratch adhesion and tribological behaviour of graded Cr/CrN/CrTiN coatings synthesized by closed-field unbalanced magnetron sputtering. *Wear* **2017**, *380–381*, 163–175. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.wear.2017.03.020)
- 48. Ermolenko, M.V.; Zavadski, S.M.; Golosov, D.A.; Melnikov, S.N.; Zamburg, E.G. Tribological behavior of TiN films depositid by reactive magnetron sputtering under low pressure. *J. Frict. Wear* **2016**, *37*, 289–292. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3103/S1068366616030065)
- 49. Pang, Q.; Hu, Z.L.; Wang, G.R. Effect of Ce content on mechanical properties of CeCr coated open-cell Ni-Cr-Fe alloy foams. *Trans. Nonferrous Met. Soc. China* **2017**, *27*, 1052–1062. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S1003-6326(17)60123-7)
- 50. Kong, Q.H.; Ji, L.; Li, H.X.; LIu, X.H.; Jun, W.Y.; Chen, J.M.; Zhou, H.D. Composition, microstructure, and properties of CrNx films deposited using medium frequency magnetron sputtering. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2011**, *257*, 2269–2274. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2010.09.086)
- 51. Ju, X.H.; Ji, G.F.; Qiu, L.; Xiao, H.M. Periodc Abinitio Calulatios on Ag+ and Cu+ Azides. *Chem. J. Chin. Univ.* **2005**, *26*, 2125–2127.
- 52. Loschen, C.; Carrasco, J.; Neyman, K.M.; Illas, F. First-principles LDA+U and GGA+U study of cerium oxides: Dependence on the effective U parameter. *Phys. Rev. B* **2007**, *75*, 35115. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.035115)
- 53. Zhang, B.; Cheng, Z.; Zhang, G.; Lu, Z.; Ma, F.; Zhou, F. First-principles theory of atomic-scale friction explored by an intuitive charge density fluctuation surface. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. PCCP* **2019**, *21*, 24565–24571. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1039/C9CP04825A) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31663565)
- 54. Wang, L.; Xing, S.; Liu, H.; Jiang, C.; Ji, V. Improved wear properties of Ni Ti nanocomposite coating with tailored spatial microstructures by extra adding CeO² nanoparticles. *Surf. Coat. Technol.* **2020**, *399*, 126119. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2020.126119)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.