

Supplementary Materials:

Table S1. Coefficients resulting from models for monospecific stands without considering mixing effects (Equation 1), with standard error in parenthesis.

| | Ps | Pp | Ph | Pn | Pt |
|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| a_0 | 2.0019 (0.1276) | 1.9399 (0.2236) | 1.1954 (0.0646) | 1.7704 (0.1406) | 2.1193 (0.1105) |
| $\ln(dg_{sp})$ | -0.3716 (0.0305) | -0.2332 (0.0422) | -0.2247 (0.0179) | -0.3342 (0.0314) | -0.3679 (0.0263) |
| $\ln(Ho)$ | 0.2194 (0.0365) | 0.1277 (0.0553) | 0.2900 (0.0226) | 0.2264 (0.0388) | 0.2187 (0.0341) |
| $\ln(RD)$ | 0.2384 (0.0189) | 0.2020 (0.0281) | 0.0983 (0.0117) | 0.2484 (0.0204) | 0.1805 (0.0161) |
| $\ln(M)$ | 0.2533 (0.0295) | 0.1990 (0.0633) | 0.2452 (0.0193) | 0.2614 (0.0353) | 0.3191 (0.0270) |
| Origin | 0.1640 (0.0190) | ns | 0.0655 (0.0118) | 0.1643 (0.0243) | 0.1048 (0.0155) |

dg_{sp} , is the quadratic mean diameter; Ho , the dominant height; RD , the relative density; and M , Martonne aridity index. The species are: Ps, *Pinus sylvestris*; Pp, *Pinus pinea*; Ph, *Pinus halepensis*; Pn, *Pinus nigra*; Pt, *Pinus pinaster*.

Table S2. Comparison between models with and without mixing effect for all pine species. Equation 1 corresponds to the model which does not consider mixtures and Equation 2 considers the mixing effect.

| | R2marg | | R2cond | | AIC | |
|----|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| | Eq.1 | Eq.2 | Eq.1 | Eq.2 | Eq.1 | Eq.2 |
| Ps | 0.2908 | 0.2976 | 0.4105 | 0.4117 | 538.9 | 535.7 |
| Pp | 0.1952 | 0.2196 | 0.2854 | 0.2962 | -34.0 | -41.7 |
| Ph | 0.3371 | 0.3515 | 0.3714 | 0.3891 | -1127.3 | -1180.1 |
| Pn | 0.2810 | 0.2861 | 0.4874 | 0.4941 | 382.0 | 368.5 |
| Pt | 0.3735 | 0.4027 | 0.5102 | 0.5220 | -312.1 | -343.9 |

AIC is the Akaike Information Criteria. The species are: Ps, *Pinus sylvestris*; Pp, *Pinus pinea*; Ph, *Pinus halepensis*; Pn, *Pinus nigra*; Pt, *Pinus pinaster*.

Table S3. Variables needed to correct the bias induced by logarithmic transformation (sigma model) and the negative increment of volume (min (VGE_{sp})).

| Sp | Min(VGE _{sp}) | Sigma Model |
|----|-------------------------|-------------|
| Ps | -3.46 | 0.2731 |
| Pp | -3.52 | 0.2247 |
| Ph | -3.40 | 0.1798 |
| Pn | -2.89 | 0.2658 |
| Pt | -6.04 | 0.2073 |

The species sp are: Ps, *Pinus sylvestris*; Pp, *Pinus pinea*; Ph, *Pinus halepensis*; Pn, *Pinus nigra*; Pt, *Pinus pinaster*.

Table S4. Summary of correlations between the principal variables which are used in the models.

| Species | Variables | Ho | dg_{sp} | M | RD |
|---------|-----------|------|-----------|------|------|
| Ps | Ho | 1.00 | 0.72 | 0.17 | 0.43 |
| | dg_{sp} | 0.72 | 1.00 | 0.19 | 0.11 |
| | M | 0.17 | 0.19 | 1.00 | 0.04 |
| | RD | 0.43 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 1.00 |
| Pp | Ho | 1.00 | 0.59 | 0.03 | 0.35 |

| Species | Variables | Ho | dg _{sp} | M | RD |
|---------|------------------|------|------------------|-------|-------|
| Ph | dg _{sp} | 0.59 | 1.00 | -0.08 | 0.01 |
| | M | 0.03 | -0.08 | 1.00 | -0.02 |
| | RD | 0.35 | 0.01 | -0.02 | 1.00 |
| | Ho | 1.00 | 0.51 | 0.40 | 0.32 |
| | dg _{sp} | 0.51 | 1.00 | 0.25 | -0.05 |
| | M | 0.40 | 0.25 | 1.00 | -0.04 |
| | RD | 0.32 | -0.05 | -0.04 | 1.00 |
| | Ho | 1.00 | 0.61 | 0.31 | 0.34 |
| | dg _{sp} | 0.61 | 1.00 | 0.36 | -0.03 |
| Pn | M | 0.31 | 0.36 | 1.00 | 0.04 |
| | RD | 0.34 | -0.03 | 0.04 | 1.00 |
| | Ho | 1.00 | 0.60 | 0.30 | 0.34 |
| Pt | dg _{sp} | 0.60 | 1.00 | -0.07 | 0.07 |
| | M | 0.30 | -0.07 | 1.00 | 0.01 |
| | RD | 0.34 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 1.00 |

The species sp are: Ps, *Pinus sylvestris*; Pp, *Pinus pinea*; Ph, *Pinus halepensis*; Pn, *Pinus nigra*; Pt, *Pinus pinaster*. The variables are: Ho, dominant height; dg_{sp}, quadratic mean diameter of the target species; M, Martonne aridity index; and RD, the relative density.