

Review **Animal Models for Henipavirus Research**

Declan D. Pigeaud 1,2 [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7130-6144) Thomas W. Geisbert 1,2,[3](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0858-1877) and Courtney Woolsey 1,3,[*](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3389-0137)

- ¹ Galveston National Laboratory, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX 77555, USA; ddpigeau@utmb.edu (D.D.P.); twgeisbe@utmb.edu (T.W.G.)
- ² Department of Pathology, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX 77555, USA
³ Department of Misrabialesy and Immunology, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston
- ³ Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX 77555, USA
- ***** Correspondence: cbwillia@utmb.edu

Abstract: Hendra virus (HeV) and Nipah virus (NiV) are zoonotic paramyxoviruses in the genus *Henipavirus* (HNV) that emerged nearly thirty years ago. Outbreaks of HeV and NiV have led to severe respiratory disease and encephalitis in humans and animals characterized by a high mortality rate. Despite the grave threat HNVs pose to public health and global biosecurity, no approved medical countermeasures for human use currently exist against HeV or NiV. To develop candidate vaccines and therapeutics and advance the field's understanding of HNV pathogenesis, animal models of HeV and NiV have been instrumental and remain indispensable. Various species, including rodents, ferrets, and nonhuman primates (NHPs), have been employed for HNV investigations. Among these, NHPs have demonstrated the closest resemblance to human HNV disease, although other animal models replicate some key disease features. Here, we provide a comprehensive review of the currently available animal models (mice, hamsters, guinea pigs, ferrets, cats, dogs, nonhuman primates, horses, and swine) to support HNV research. We also discuss the strengths and limitations of each model for conducting pathogenesis and transmission studies on HeV and NiV and for the evaluation of medical countermeasures.

Keywords: henipaviruses; animal models; Nipah virus; Hendra virus; zoonosis; vaccines; antivirals; monoclonal antibodies; pathogenesis; medical countermeasures

1. Introduction

Hendra virus (HeV) and Nipah virus (NiV) are zoonotic pathogens found within the *Henipavirus* (HNV) genus (family *Paramyxoviridae*) that emerged in the 1990s [\[1](#page-30-0)[–3\]](#page-30-1). Following the identification of HeV and NiV, other HNV members were later discovered, including Cedar virus [\[4\]](#page-30-2), Mojiang virus [\[5\]](#page-30-3), Ghana virus [\[6,](#page-30-4)[7\]](#page-30-5), and Langya virus [\[8\]](#page-30-6). Both HeV and NiV cause severe respiratory and neurological diseases in humans and certain livestock [\[1,](#page-30-0)[2\]](#page-30-7). Fruit bats of the *Pteropus* genus are the natural reservoirs of NiV and HeV and replicating virus has been isolated in several bat species local to endemic regions of outbreaks [\[9–](#page-30-8)[11\]](#page-30-9). The suspected natural hosts of Mojiang and Langya viruses are rats and shrews, respectively [\[5,](#page-30-3)[8\]](#page-30-6).

HeV first emerged in September of 1994, after several horses at a stable in Brisbane, Australia, developed a severe respiratory illness that was lethal in 65% of animals infected [\[12\]](#page-30-10). Two humans who came into direct contact with the fatally infected horses developed a severe influenza-like illness, which was lethal in one individual [\[1,](#page-30-0)[12](#page-30-10)[–14\]](#page-30-11). In 2004, 2008, and 2009, subsequent human cases occurred in veterinary or husbandry staff who came into contact with infected horses [\[15](#page-30-12)[–17\]](#page-30-13). Additional equine outbreaks have occurred since then, but no other human cases have been reported. Since HeV's emergence, there have been at least 63 spillover events in horses with an overall fatality rate of ~75% [\[18\]](#page-30-14). Only seven human cases of HeV have been recorded, 4 of which were fatal, and all occurred after direct contact with infected, symptomatic horses [\[19\]](#page-30-15). A second genotype of HeV (HeV-g2) was discovered in flying foxes [\[20\]](#page-30-16) and horses [\[21\]](#page-30-17). HeV-g2

Citation: Pigeaud, D.D.; Geisbert, T.W.; Woolsey, C. Animal Models for Henipavirus Research. *Viruses* **2023**, *15*, 1980. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/v15101980) [v15101980](https://doi.org/10.3390/v15101980)

Academic Editors: Marc Jamin and Viktor Volchkov

Received: 1 September 2023 Revised: 19 September 2023 Accepted: 21 September 2023 Published: 22 September 2023

Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) $4.0/$).

shares ~83% sequence homology to the prototype HeV. This genotype is lethal in horses, yet its pathogenicity in humans and nonhuman primates is presently unknown.

NiV was identified following an outbreak among pig farmers, first in Malaysia and then in Singapore during 1998 and 1999, resulting in 265 total cases and 105 deaths, corresponding to a mortality rate of 39% [\[2,](#page-30-7)[3,](#page-30-1)[22,](#page-30-18)[23\]](#page-30-19). Epidemiological investigations early in these outbreaks found that many NiV-infected patients had direct contact with blood, tissues, or secretions of pigs suspected of NiV infection [\[2](#page-30-7)[,3\]](#page-30-1). In Malaysia, as many as 93% of patients reported direct contact with swine in the two weeks prior to developing symptoms of NiV encephalitis [\[2\]](#page-30-7). A case-control study identified that close contact with pigs was the primary source of the NiV outbreak in Malaysia [\[24\]](#page-31-0). Furthermore, this initial outbreak of NiV in Malaysia and Singapore was quelled by the culling of an estimated 1 million pigs in Malaysia and the cessation of pig exports from Malaysia to Singapore [\[22\]](#page-30-18). Overall, the total number of NiV cases recorded exceeds 650, and the continual emergence of the virus in Bangladesh and India increases these numbers almost annually [\[25\]](#page-31-1).

The ominous potential of NiV was swiftly realized as it manifested as febrile encephalitis and severe respiratory disease in humans, with case fatality rates (CFR) of up to 100% in certain outbreaks [\[26–](#page-31-2)[28\]](#page-31-3). Genetic analysis has identified at least two strains of NiV responsible for outbreaks in different geographical areas: NiV-Malaysia (NiV-M) and NiV-Bangladesh (NiV-B) [\[29](#page-31-4)[,30\]](#page-31-5). NiV-M and NiV-B share ~92% nucleotide sequence homology [\[30\]](#page-31-5) and may exhibit divergent pathologies in humans [\[23,](#page-30-19)[31\]](#page-31-6). The Malaysia strain caused the initial outbreak of NiV from 1998–1999 in Malaysia and Singapore and resulted in a high percentage of patients with neurological disease, with most encephalitic cases correlating with lethality in these outbreaks [\[26](#page-31-2)[–28\]](#page-31-3). An additional outbreak of the Malaysia strain in the Philippines in 2014 was associated with a ~82% CFR in patients presenting with acute encephalitis syndrome [\[32\]](#page-31-7). The Bangladesh strain of NiV has caused repeated and near-annual outbreaks in Bangladesh and India between 2001 and 2023 [\[27](#page-31-8)[,28,](#page-31-3)[33,](#page-31-9)[34\]](#page-31-10). The outbreaks caused by NiV-B have had higher CFRs, averaging about 75% [\[31\]](#page-31-6), with human-to-human transmission also observed [\[35,](#page-31-11)[36\]](#page-31-12). A 2018 outbreak of NiV-B in India resulted in 21 deaths among 23 total cases (91% CFR) [\[33\]](#page-31-9), and a current outbreak of NiV-B in Bangladesh has resulted in 11 cases with eight deaths [\[34\]](#page-31-10). Subsequent outbreaks of NiV-B that have occurred in Bangladesh and/or India have reported a higher proportion of respiratory disease observed than in Malaysia [\[31,](#page-31-6)[37\]](#page-31-13). Although imprecise characterizations have distinguished the Malaysia strain for causing neurological disease and the Bangladesh strain for causing pulmonary disease, it is important to note that both strains inflict pulmonary and neurological disease in both humans and animal models.

Viral entry of HNVs is initiated following binding of the attachment (G) glycoprotein to host-cell Ephrin-B2 or Ephrin-B3 ligands, after which the fusion (F) glycoprotein mediates membrane fusion between the viral and host-cell membranes, enabling viral entry to the cell [\[38,](#page-31-14)[39\]](#page-31-15). Ephrin B2 is present in various cell types, including neurons, endothelial cells, smooth muscle surrounding arteries, placental tissue, spleen, and the lining of lymph nodes' sinuses. In contrast, ephrin B3 is predominantly expressed in the central nervous system (CNS), particularly the spinal cord midline, and functions to prevent corticospinal tract axons from recrossing the midline [\[40\]](#page-31-16). Ephrin B3 is also expressed on lymphoid cells, which could potentially explain the occurrence of acute lymphoid necrosis induced by NiV infection; while lymphocytes are not directly permissive to NiV, the virus can bind these cells as a vehicle for spread into tissues [\[38](#page-31-14)[,41\]](#page-31-17). The extensive species tropism of HNVs is primarily attributed to the conserved protein sequence of ephrin B2 and B3 across numerous species [\[42\]](#page-31-18). Despite this high conservation, HNVs do not cause disease in immunocompetent mice after intraperitoneal challenge [\[43\]](#page-31-19). Hamsters and ferrets have been the most valuable small in vivo models, particularly for triaging candidate vaccines and treatments, but these fail to reflect some major aspects of NiV disease in humans [\[44\]](#page-31-20). The animal model that appears to reproduce human NiV infection most faithfully is African green monkeys (AGMs) [\[44,](#page-31-20)[45\]](#page-31-21), which we will discuss in detail below.

This review comprehensively evaluates the suitability of current animal models for This review comprehensively evaluates the suitability of current animal models for conducting studies on the pathogenesis and transmission of \rm{HeV} and \rm{NiV} and assessment of experimental countermeasures. A detailed examination of the strengths and limitations of experimental countermeasures. A detailed examination of the strengths and limitations of each model is offered to facilitate an informed selection of the optimal model for the of each model is offered to facilitate an informed selection of the optimal model for the intended research purpose. Figure 1 describes the general suitability of several animal intended research purpose. Figure [1](#page-2-0) describes the general suitability of several animal models for specific research objectives. models for specific research objectives.

Figure 1. General suitability of AGMs, Ferrets, SGH, and Mouse models by study type. **Figure 1.** General suitability of AGMs, Ferrets, SGH, and Mouse models by study type.

2. Henipavirus Infection in Humans 2. Henipavirus Infection in Humans

2.1. Hendra Virus 2.1. Hendra Virus

HeV infection in humans produces an acute respiratory and encephalitic disease. At HeV infection in humans produces an acute respiratory and encephalitic disease. At present, seven human patients are confirmed to have been infected with HeV, five of present, seven human patients are confirmed to have been infected with HeV, five of whom died. The incubation period ranges from as few as four days to two weeks following exposure. All cases initially exhibit non-specific, flu-like symptoms of fever, cough, myalmyalgia, nausea, and lethargy, which subsequently progress to acute respiratory distress gia, nausea, and lethargy, which subsequently progress to acute respiratory distress and encephalitis in fatal cases [\[13](#page-30-20)[,15](#page-30-12)[,16\]](#page-30-21). Findings in human cases of HeV infection included pneumonitis, respiratory failure, renal failure, and arterial thrombosis [\[15\]](#page-30-12). Neurological signs and symptoms are presented as headache and confusion, and, in fatal cases, tonictonic-clonic seizure and ataxia [13]. Notably, a single case of fatal relapsing encephalitis clonic seizure and ataxia [\[13\]](#page-30-20). Notably, a single case of fatal relapsing encephalitis occurred approximately 13 months after acute signs of HeV disease [res](#page-31-22)olved [46]. Importantly, no human-to-human transmission of HeV has been observed.

2.2. Nipah Virus 2.2. Nipah Virus

Clinically, the incubation period for NiV infection ranges from a few days to two Clinically, the incubation period for NiV infection ranges from a few days to two week[s \[2](#page-30-19)[3,31](#page-31-6)]. NiV can cause atypical pneumonia [[23\] o](#page-30-19)r necrotizing alveolitis with hem-weeks [23,31]. NiV can cause atypical pneumonia [23] or necrotizing alveolitis with hemorrhage, pulmonary edema, and aspiration pneumonia [4[7\],](#page-31-23) leading to acute respiratory orrhage, pulmonary edema, and aspiration pneumonia [47], leading to acute respiratory distress syndrome. The pulmonary syndrome is presented as an influenza-like illness, distress syndrome. The pulmonary syndrome is presented as an influenza-like illness, with hypoxemia and diffuse alveolar shadowing in chest X-rays. NiV also causes severe en-cephalitis with cognitive, sensory, and motor neurological signs and symptoms [\[22,](#page-30-18)[23,](#page-30-19)[31](#page-31-6)[,48\]](#page-31-24). Clinical signs reported in NiV encephalitic syndrome include areflexia, hypotonia, abnormal pupillary and doll's eye reflex, tachycardia, hypertension, myoclonus, meningism,

convulsions, and seizures. Brain MRI scans in acute NiV encephalitis show disseminated, small, discrete hyperintense lesions in both grey and white matter. Targeting endothelial cells by NiV leads to systemic vasculitis and infection of multiple organ systems, including the kidneys, liver, heart, and brain [\[49](#page-31-25)[,50\]](#page-31-26). Pulmonary or encephalitic disease can be lethal, and many survivors of encephalitic infection face long-term neurological sequelae [\[51\]](#page-32-0). Late-onset and relapsing encephalitis due to prior NiV infection has been reported months to years after initial infection, with the longest time to relapse described so far being 11 years [\[52–](#page-32-1)[54\]](#page-32-2).

3. Animal Models of HNV Infection—Initial Studies

The first animal challenge studies with HeV (previously equine morbillivirus) were performed in healthy adult horses to reproduce the severe respiratory disease observed during the initial outbreak [\[1,](#page-30-0)[12,](#page-30-10)[13\]](#page-30-20). The experiments successfully recapitulated clinical signs of disease seen in natural infection, including anorexia, fever, and respiratory distress, with the primary accompanying pathology being pulmonary edema and histological evidence of syncytial cell formation of vascular endothelium across lung, brain, spleen, heart, and kidneys [\[1\]](#page-30-0). Shortly after the initial equine experiments, a pilot study was performed to identify the susceptibility of several common laboratory species, including mice, guinea pigs, rats, chickens, rabbits, cats, and dogs with 5×10^4 TCID₅₀ of HeV administered intraperitoneally (i.p.) [\[55\]](#page-32-3). Only in guinea pigs and cats were clinical signs of disease observed. A wide range of species were then investigated for suitability as models of HNV disease.

4. Mice

The mouse model for HNV disease has not been widely used in the field for studies of pathogenesis or medical countermeasures due to initial challenge experiments failing to produce clinical disease in immunocompetent mice (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). Recent studies employing transgenic mice with modifications aimed to dampen the host antiviral immune response have yielded positive findings, suggesting that the model may be of utility if further refined (Table [2\)](#page-6-0). A mouse model for HNV disease would be highly useful due to plentiful reagent availability, ease of handling, space requirements in Biosafety Level (BSL)-4 containment, and low cost.

Table 1. Experimental findings in immunocompetent mouse models of HNV Disease.

Table 1. *Cont.*

Species (Age)	Virus (Isolate)	Dose	Route	% Lethality	Clinical Disease	Reference
BALB/c (13-week-old)	$rHeV-F_{NiV}$		1.n.	None		[60]
	rHeV-G _{NiV}	5×10^4 $TCID_{50}$		None	None	
	$rHeV-MNiV$			None		

Table 1. *Cont.*

 $^{\text{a}}$ A range of 9–13 animals per group. $^{\text{b}}$ Comprehensive numerical clinical scores were described in this study, with individual signs not specified for subjects.

4.1. Immunocompetent Mice

Initial challenge studies employing immunocompetent, juvenile mice (Table [1\)](#page-3-0) did not result in clinical disease or seroconversion when inoculated i.p. with 5×10^3 TCID₅₀ of HeV-prototype or 1×10^7 PFU of NiV-M [\[43](#page-31-19)[,55\]](#page-32-3). Mice surviving infection with NiV were re-challenged and developed an antibody response but still did not show clinical signs of disease [\[43\]](#page-31-19). A later study demonstrated that intracerebral (i.c.) NiV-M infections with 1×10^5 PFU were 100% lethal by day 5 post-infection in C57BL/6 mice, whereas i.p. inoculation with a 1×10^6 PFU dose in this model did not result in disease [\[58\]](#page-32-6). A subsequent study examining the susceptibility of juvenile (8-week-old) and aged (12-month-old) BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice to HeV found that a 5×10^4 TCID₅₀ dose administered intranasally (i.n.) was lethal in aged mice of both genetic backgrounds [\[56\]](#page-32-4). This study also examined subcutaneous (s.c.) HeV challenge (5×10^4 TCID₅₀), but mice did not exhibit clinical signs, and only a small minority developed a neutralizing antibody response. In contrast, juvenile BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice did not develop clinical signs of disease; however, histological assessment of asymptomatic mice did uncover encephalitic lesions and viral antigen deposition. Clinical signs of disease observed in aged mice challenged with HeV in this study were largely neurological, with depression, ataxia, hypersensitivity, and tremors reported, with mice succumbing to disease or meeting euthanasia criteria between 11- and 21-days post-infection. Encephalitis characterized by neuronal degradation, perivascular cuffing, and non-suppurative meningitis was identified in all C57BL/6 mice showing clinical signs of disease [\[56\]](#page-32-4). Antigen deposition was detected in the lungs of animals, and live virus was re-isolated in BALB/c mice; however, clinical signs of respiratory distress were not observed, and no histological lesions were present in major target organs of HeV infection [\[56\]](#page-32-4).

4.2. Immunodeficient Mice

The susceptibility of immunocompromised mice has also been evaluated, with type I interferon receptor knockout (IFNAR-KO) mice demonstrating uniform lethality for both NiV and HeV (Table [2\)](#page-6-0) [\[58\]](#page-32-6). I.p. infection with 1×10^6 PFU in 3– (HeV) and 9–10-week-old (NiV) IFNAR-KO mice achieved uniform lethality [\[58\]](#page-32-6). Groups of 3- and 12-week-old IFNAR-KO mice were next i.n. challenged with 1×10^6 PFU of HeV, but neither group developed clinical disease. Nevertheless, HeV genomic RNA was detected in the brain at levels lower than groups challenged i.p. [\[58\]](#page-32-6). Interestingly, immunocompetent mice supported higher levels of HeV RNA in the brain following i.n. infection as compared to those inoculated via the s.c. route at an identical challenge dose [\[56\]](#page-32-4). Among many potential reasons, this disparity may be attributed to the i.n. route delivering more infectious particles in close anatomical proximity to the olfactory bulb. Not only was i.n. challenge lethal in aged immunocompetent mice, but the challenge dose was also lower than that used in the IFNAR-KO mice [\[56](#page-32-4)[,58\]](#page-32-6). Taken together, these findings indicate that lethality is both dose- and route-dependent. It is unclear how lethality was higher in immunocompetent mice inoculated with a lower dose than that used in the IFNAR-KO mouse model. These discrepancies warrant further investigation.

IFNAR-KO mice infected i.p. with NiV-M showed clinical signs consistent with neurological disease, including head tilt and locomotor disabilities that progressed to paralysis in the late stages of infection. Breathing difficulty was seen only in some i.n. challenged

animal. Other clinical signs included hunched posture, decreased grooming, and weight loss. The LD_{50} for NiV-M in IFNAR-KO mice inoculated via the i.p. route was determined to be 8×10^3 PFU. Transgenic mice deficient in multiple innate pattern recognition signaling adaptor proteins including myeloid differentiation primary response 88 (MyD88), TIRdomain-containing adapter-inducing interferon-b (TRIF), mitochondrial antiviral-signaling protein (MAVS), and stimulator of interferon genes (STING) (MyD88/TRIF/MAVS/STING-KO) were also assessed for susceptibility to NiV infection. These adaptor molecules are implicated in the antiviral sensing activities of toll-like receptors (TLRs) and RIG-I-like receptors (RLRs), which detect DNA and RNA typically associated with viruses and their replication cycle. MyD88/TRIF/MAVS/STING-KO mice developed lethal disease following i.p. challenge with 1×10^6 PFU of NiV-M, and clinical signs were consistent with those observed in IFNAR-KO studies [\[61\]](#page-32-9). Principal clinical signs aligned with a neurologicalskewed disease course as hunched posture, prostration, and paralysis were observed, with all (MyD88/TRIF/MAVS/STING-KO) subjects succumbing to disease by 11 days post-infection [\[62\]](#page-32-10). Gross or histopathologic lesions were not described by the authors of this study; however, antigen was detected in the brain.

Table 2. Experimental findings in immunodeficient mouse models of HNV Disease.

Table 2. *Cont.*

^a Recombinant NiV containing a luciferase reporter gene. $\frac{b}{c}$ Comprehensive numerical clinical scores were described in this study, with individual signs not specified for subjects.

Currently, no published studies employ mice as a model to study antiviral countermeasures to HNV infection. The use of immunocompromised mouse strains clouds interpretations of the immune response to HNV infection or medical countermeasures. Additional challenge studies should be performed to refine the challenge route and dose across multiple genotypes. Since intranasal inoculation of HeV is uniformly lethal in immunocompetent mice, determination of LD_{50} should be conducted. Because of the relevancy to NiV pathogenesis and transmission, aerosol challenge studies should also be carried out. Lastly, to detect pathogenicity differences, challenge studies should be performed with multiple strains, including NiV-B, the strain responsible for the most frequent, recent, and lethal outbreaks in India and Bangladesh [\[33](#page-31-9)[,34\]](#page-31-10).

5. Syrian Golden Hamster

The Syrian golden hamster (SGH; *Mesocricetus auratus*) model is the most common rodent model employed in HNV pathogenesis, prophylaxis, and treatment studies. Both HeV and NiV infections produce disease in SGHs that is highly similar to that observed in human cases. The SGH model was first developed for NiV in 2003 [\[43\]](#page-31-19) and HeV in 2009 [\[65\]](#page-32-13). Following these initial studies, continued investigation of challenge route, dosing, and HNV strains has enabled refinement of the model (Table [3\)](#page-7-0). The SGH model has been used to evaluate the in vivo efficacy of antivirals, including favipiravir [\[66\]](#page-32-14), ribavirin [\[67\]](#page-32-15), and chloroquine [\[68\]](#page-32-16) against lethal NiV challenge. Experimental vaccine countermeasures to HNVs spanning a variety of platforms, including ChAdOx1 [\[69\]](#page-32-17), virus-like particle [\[70\]](#page-32-18), mRNA [\[71\]](#page-32-19), and recombinant vesicular stomatitis virus [\[72\]](#page-32-20) based vaccines, have been described. Monoclonal antibody [\[73\]](#page-32-21) and passive immune sera treatment studies [\[74\]](#page-32-22) have also been studied in this model.

Table 3. Experimental findings in Syrian golden hamster models of HNV Disease.

Table 3. *Cont.*

 \overline{a}

 \overline{a}

 \overline{a}

L,

(4/5)

animal)

Table 3. *Cont.*

 TCID_{50}

Table 3. *Cont.*

Table 3. *Cont.*

^a Age at the time of challenge. $\frac{b}{c}$ Received a nonspecific vaccinia vaccine. $\frac{c}{c}$ Animals from two control groups combined. ^d Received a nonspecific AAV-GFP vaccine. ^e Recombinant NiV with W accessory protein knockout. ^f Study specifies 5 or 6 animals per group. ^g Recombinant NiV mutants lacking C protein expression. ^h Includes historical data. ⁱ Comprehensive numerical clinical scores were described in this study, with individual signs not specified for subjects.

SGHs are highly susceptible to lethal HeV infection, with an LD_{50} calculated to be 12 PFU in seven-week-old animals challenged via the i.p. route [\[65\]](#page-32-13). Inoculation with doses as low as 1×10^3 PFU i.p. resulted in death within six days of challenge [\[65\]](#page-32-13). Unlike humans and AGMs, the Bangladesh strain is less pathogenic than the Malaysia strain in

hamsters [\[79\]](#page-33-4). HeV infection of SGHs resulted in acute respiratory disease marked by labored breathing and diffuse pulmonary infiltrates on thoracic radiography alongside neurological signs, including paralysis, limb trembling, and seizure [\[65](#page-32-13)[,78\]](#page-33-3). Antigen was detected in the brain, lungs, heart, kidney, liver, and spleen as well as within blood vessel walls within 2–3 days of i.p. infection with 1×10^3 PFU of HeV [\[65\]](#page-32-13). A higher challenge dose (1×10^5 PFU) of HeV produced more severe lesions in the same organs. The challenge dose and route of HeV in the SGH model results in varying clinical signs and disease courses, with high-dose i.n. challenge producing a more severe respiratory disease state and rapid death, and low-dose producing a more neurological skewed disease course [\[78\]](#page-33-3). The lethality of HeV infection in SGH does decrease in response to the age of the hamster, with a dose of 100 LD₅₀ being completely lethal in seven-week-old hamsters but only ~84% lethal in 11-week-old hamsters [\[65\]](#page-32-13). Furthermore, while seven-week-old hamsters all died by day 5 post-infection, fatally infected SGHs in the 11-week-old group died by day 12 post-infection. The potentially decreased sensitivity to lethal HeV infection with increased age must be accounted for in vaccine studies, as prime-boost dose regiments may require higher challenge doses to achieve uniform lethality in control animals.

NiV infection of SGHs results in respiratory and neurological signs of disease consistent with human infection, including acute interstitial pneumonia, systemic vasculitis, encephalitis, and death. Uniform lethality is achieved through multiple challenge routes, including i.n., i.p., and small particle aerosol. A number of experiments have been performed to elucidate the LD₅₀ of NiV-M and NiV-B delivered i.p. and i.n., and there is some variation in these values [\[79,](#page-33-4)[83\]](#page-33-8). As was seen with the HeV challenge, the disease severity of NiV-infected SGH is route and dose-dependent, and there are differences in pathogenicity observed between NiV-M and NiV-B. Initial studies determined the LD_{50} of NiV-M in SGH to be 2.7 \times 10² PFU and 4.7 \times 10⁴ PFU via the i.p. and i.n. routes, respectively [\[43\]](#page-31-19). Doses of 1×10^4 PFU or 1×10^3 TCID₅₀ are uniformly lethal when delivered via the i.p. route. Lethality is more reliably achieved with lower doses via the i.p. route. Time-to-death also varied depending on the challenge route, with i.p. challenged animals succumbing to the disease within 5–9 days post-infection and 9–15 days for i.n. [\[43\]](#page-31-19). A recent study sought to robustly determine whether differences in lethality, disease course, and time to death varied between i.n. and i.p. challenge routes. This report found that the i.p. challenge disseminated the virus more rapidly to tissues and that there was no difference in survival when the same dose was delivered [\[83\]](#page-33-8). Initial dose susceptibility employing serial dilutions of NiV-M ranging from 1×10^4 to 1×10^6 TCID₅₀ i.n. and 1×10^3 to 1×10^5 TCID₅₀ i.p. were uniformly lethal; however, a dose of 1×10^2 TCID₅₀ i.p. resulted in 1 of 4 animals surviving, which is consistent with other studies [\[79,](#page-33-4)[83\]](#page-33-8). The challenge of SGHs with 1×10^2 TCID₅₀ of NiV-B delivered i.p. resulted in 100% survival in a separate study by a different group [\[79\]](#page-33-4). Challenge of SGH via the i.n. route produces a respiratoryskewed disease, and i.p. challenge results in more neurological signs. Lesion severity in the lungs diverges at the early stages of the disease. Severe broncho-interstitial pneumonia and thickening of alveolar walls occurred at days 2 and 4 post-infection, whereas i.p. challenged animals showed only mild interstitial pneumonia [\[83\]](#page-33-8). Viral RNA was detected in high abundance starting at day 2 post-infection in i.n. challenged subjects; however, no RNA was observed at this time point in i.p. challenged animals. This is likely because the i.n. delivery ensures large amounts of virus travel deep into the lungs, producing acute and severe respiratory disease. Meningitis was observed only in i.p. challenged animals, and no viral RNA was found in the brain of i.n. challenged animals [\[83\]](#page-33-8).

The challenge of SGHs with whole-body aerosols containing NiV-M at doses of 1×10^2 to 1×10^5 PFU resulted in uniform lethality at the highest dose, with an estimated LD₅₀ of less than 1×10^2 PFU [\[80\]](#page-33-5). Animals exhibited clinical signs of disease starting five days post-infection, including weight loss and decreased grooming, which progressed to severe respiratory and neurological signs of disease. The mean time-to-death occurred around seven days post-infection for animals receiving a 1×10^5 PFU dose [\[80\]](#page-33-5). Prominent pathological findings included vasculitis of medium and large blood vessels, edema, broncho-interstitial pneumonia, and mild meningitis. As seen with other routes of challenge, lower challenge doses resulted in incomplete lethality and extended disease courses. Notably, there was no correlation between dose and whether the infection produced a neurological or respiratory-focused disease, as animals in all dose groups demonstrated a mix of respiratory and neurological disease. These studies suggest that the aerosol challenge of NiV in the SGH model is useful for evaluating medical countermeasures against potential natural routes of exposure.

There is no evidence of HeV transmission between SGHs through direct contact or aerosols. Naïve animals co-housed with HeV-infected subjects did not show clinical signs of disease or seroconvert by study endpoint [\[65\]](#page-32-13). Similar studies evaluated viral shedding and transmission of NiV via direct contact, aerosol, and fomites. Virus shedding detected by titration was identified in oral swabs with doses as low as 1×10^3 TCID₅₀ i.n.; however, the virus was detected in oral, nasal, rectal, and urogenital swabs from animals inoculated with a higher dose of 1×10^{7} TCID₅₀ of NiV-M [\[77\]](#page-33-2). Naïve SGHs exposed to aerosols from NiV-M infected subjects did not seroconvert nor exhibit clinical signs of disease. However, viral shedding was detected by qRT-PCR in naïve SGHs co-housed with NiV-M infected subjects or those exposed to bedding, food, and water from infected animals [\[77\]](#page-33-2). None of the naïve animals in the fomite or aerosol transmission group seroconverted by study endpoint; however, several animals from the direct contract transmission group did, which is consistent with other direct-contact NiV transmission experiments in the SGH model [\[43\]](#page-31-19). Foodborne transmission of NiV has also been investigated; ~63% of SGHs drinking simulated date palm sap contaminated with 5×10^8 TCID₅₀ of NiV-B developed neurological disease [\[85\]](#page-33-10).

6. Guinea Pig

The guinea pig (*Cavia porcellus*) was among the first rodents to be experimentally infected with Hendra virus (Table [4\)](#page-14-0) [\[55\]](#page-32-3). Four out of five guinea pigs challenged via the s.c. route with 5×10^4 TCID₅₀ of HeV succumbed to disease 8–13 days post-infection after clinical signs of acute respiratory distress were observed [\[55,](#page-32-3)[86\]](#page-33-11). Only one of twelve guinea pigs challenged i.n. with 3×10^4 TCID₅₀ of HeV showed clinical signs of dyspnea and was euthanized; however, all asymptomatic animals seroconverted and remained clinically well through the study endpoint [\[87\]](#page-33-12). Intradermal inoculation also failed to produce clinical disease, and animals did not seroconvert [\[87\]](#page-33-12). The guinea pig model recapitulated the systemic vasculitis seen in human HeV infection, with principal histological findings including syncytial cell formation in vascular endothelium, fibrinoid degeneration of arteries and veins, and thrombi [\[86](#page-33-11)[,87\]](#page-33-12). Vasculitis in the lungs, heart, kidney, urinary bladder, and brain was marked by infiltration of mononuclear cells, eosinophils and fibroblasts into vessel walls and surrounding structures. In contrast to the disease seen in humans and horses, signs of severe pulmonary edema or acute encephalitis were absent. Pregnant guinea pigs challenged i.p. with 5×10^4 TCID₅₀ of HeV showed clinical signs consistent with previous studies, yet infection was abortive in one subject, and HeV was re-isolated from fetal tissues [\[88\]](#page-33-13). Placental tissue also showed multi-focal necrosis and vascular degeneration.

Limited studies have been performed to establish guinea pigs as a model for NiV disease. An initial study showed guinea pigs i.n. infected with 6×10^5 PFU of NiV-M did not result in clinical disease or seroconversion by the study endpoint, and challenge with 1×10^7 PFU i.p. resulted in only low fever and weight loss [\[43\]](#page-31-19). The lack of clinical disease in guinea pigs challenged i.n. with NiV or HeV indicates that this challenge route is not conducive to productive infection. Guinea pigs challenged with a lower i.p. dose of 5×10^4 TCID₅₀ produced similarly mild clinical signs, including ruffled fur and slight ataxia 7–9 days post-infection [\[90\]](#page-33-14). A subsequent study in guinea pigs exposed to 6×10^4 PFU of NiV-M resulted in severe clinical signs of disease and 92% lethality within 4–8 days post-infection [\[91\]](#page-33-15). Histopathological lesions were present in guinea pig lung, spleen, brain, bladder, uterus, kidney and lymph nodes, and consisted of epithelial syncytial

cell formation and fibrinoid necrosis. It is unclear why 1×10^7 PFU i.p. did not result in clinical signs, but 6×10^4 PFU caused nearly uniformly lethal disease. Except for the ataxia reported in one guinea pig challenged with 5×10^4 TCID₅₀, clinical neurological signs are not reported for NiV challenge in guinea pigs. However, histopathological lesions can include viral and eosinophilic inclusions, meningeal vasculitis, and lymphocytic meningitis [\[91\]](#page-33-15). The most severe lesions are found in the urogenital tract. Further studies are needed in this model to determine appropriate infective doses and whether NiV-B produces similar disease.

Table 4. Experimental findings in guinea pig models of HNV Disease.

7. Ferret

The domesticated ferret (*Mustela putorius furo*) is a well-characterized model that effectively recapitulates human NiV and HeV disease (Table [5\)](#page-14-1). In ferrets, infection with HNVs through the combined intranasal/intratracheal (i.t./i.n.) or oronasal (o.n.) route leads to the onset of fever between days 4 and 7 post-challenge [\[92](#page-33-17)[,93\]](#page-33-18). This fever progresses rapidly, resulting in severe respiratory distress and neurological signs appearing between days 6 and 10, depending on the challenge dose. Clinical manifestations in ferrets include coughing, nasal discharge, dyspnea, cerebral edema, tremors, and partial or complete limb paralysis. Unlike hamsters, there is no observable connection between the challenge dose and the clinical outcome in ferrets.

Table 5. Experimental findings in ferret models of HNV Disease.

^a mAb m102.4, 50 mg per animal, given 24 h prior to infection with NiV-M. ^b HeVsG is an adjuvanted, soluble HeV (G) glycoprotein vaccine containing a dose indicated in micrograms with a CpG adjuvant. ^c Ferrets received 150 µg CPG ODN 2007 dinucleotide adjuvant. ^d Vaccinated 20 days prior to challenge. ^e Vaccinated 434 days prior to challenge. ^f Received mAb h5B3.1, 20 mg/kg intraperitoneally on days 1 and 3 post-challenge. ^g Received mAb h5B3.1, 20 mg/kg intraperitoneally on days 3 and 5 post-challenge. ^h Ferrets were infected through cohabitation with directly exposed ferrets and inoculation with oronasal secretions of directly exposed ferrets at two time points. ⁱ Excluded one animal from the group due to euthanasia for reasons unrelated to NiV-M infection. ^j 20% sucrose. ^k Treated with chloroquine 24 h before challenge. ¹ Treated with chloroquine 10 h after challenge.

> Gross pathological changes mainly manifest within the respiratory tract and include Gross pathological changes mainly manifest within the respiratory tract and include scattered pinpoint hemorrhagic lesions throughout the lung surface (Figure 2)[. T](#page-18-0)hese lesions stand out as they are notably different from the extensive hemorrhagic lesions found in hamsters and AGMs [78,103,[104](#page-33-3)[\]. H](#page-34-5)[istop](#page-34-6)athological alterations are primarily concentrated in the lungs, spleen, and kidneys [\[92\]](#page-33-17) and include acute focal necrotizing alveolitis and pulmonary vasculitis, as well as necrosis in the spleen and kidneys. While vasculitis and encephalitis are typically absent, occasional instances of nonsuppurative meningitis can be observed. The presence of viral antigen is detected in syncytial cells of small blood vessels and alveolar walls in the lungs, along with necrotic glomerular and tubular epithelium in the kidneys. Despite minimal histopathological changes in the brain, HNV antigen is identified in meningeal blood vessels, choroid plexus endothelium, and neurons. Antigen detection is noted in various tissues, including the respiratory tract, brain, Antigen detection is noted in various tissues, including the respiratory tract, brain, liver, liver, spleen, and kidneys [\[92,](#page-33-17)[98\]](#page-34-0). spleen, and kidneys [92,98].

Figure 2. Representative gross pathology of lung, spleen, and urinary bladder from ferrets challenged with rNiV-M. Adapted from [\[101\]](#page-34-3). Representative gross pathology of the lung (a), spleen (b) and urinary bladders (c) taken from ferrets infected with NiV-M (a-c). Inserts show magnified regions of each specimen. Findings included multifocal to coalescing hemorrhage and necrosis of all lung all lung lobes (**a**), splenomegaly and multifocal necrosis in the spleen (**b**), and large hemorrhagic lobes (**a**), splenomegaly and multifocal necrosis in the spleen (**b**), and large hemorrhagic lesions in
class in the child of the child of the child of the spleen (**b**), and large hemorrhagic lesions in the urinary bladder (**c**).

The ferret model has been utilized to compare potential distinctions in transmission The ferret model has been utilized to compare potential distinctions in transmission and pathogenesis between the Malaysia and Bangladesh strains of NiV [98]. Throughout and pathogenesis between the Malaysia and Bangladesh strains of NiV [\[98\]](#page-34-0). Throughout $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ infection course in ferrets, notably higher virus levels are retrieved from oral second f

the infection course in ferrets, notably higher virus levels are retrieved from oral secretions in animals infected with NiV-B compared to NiV-M. Nevertheless, no endeavors have been undertaken to study inter-animal transmission in this model. Beyond transmission and pathogenesis investigations, ferret models have also proven effective in evaluating vaccines and therapeutics [\[92–](#page-33-17)[94\]](#page-33-19). The main disadvantage of the ferret model is that limited reagents exist to conduct advanced immunological analyses.

8. Nonhuman Primates

Experimental challenge studies of NiV and HeV have been performed in a diverse array of nonhuman primates species, AGMs (*Chlorocebus aethiops*) [\[103,](#page-34-5)[104\]](#page-34-6), cynomolgus macaques (*Macaca fascicularis*) [\[105\]](#page-34-7), and the common marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus*) [\[106\]](#page-34-8). Lethal infection is reliably achieved in AGMs across multiple routes that simulate natural routes of exposure, including inhaled small particle aerosol and i.t./i.n. inoculation. Conversely, infection of cynomolgus macaques results in mild to no disease or inconsistent lethality [\[105\]](#page-34-7). AGMs are ideal for evaluating promising medical countermeasures to NiV and HeV as they faithfully recapitulate the respiratory and neurological disease observed in human cases.

8.1. African Green Monkey

As mentioned, the AGM model is the gold standard for both NiV and HeV infection, as it accurately recapitulates human pathogenesis and is amenable to multiple challenge routes, including aerosol. Moreover, a wide range of immunological reagents are available for detailed characterization of the host response [\[105,](#page-34-7)[107\]](#page-34-9).

The challenge of AGMs i.t. with 4×10^5 TCID₅₀ of HeV resulted in a uniformly lethal disease course highly similar to that observed in humans with animals succumbing to disease or meeting euthanasia criteria 7–9 days following the challenge (Table [6\)](#page-19-0) [\[104\]](#page-34-6). Clinical signs of disease became apparent 5–6 days after infection and included frothy nasal discharge, labored breathing, mild depression, seizures, muscle fasciculations, and radiology depicting pulmonary congestion and consolidation by days 7 and 8, indicative of interstitial pneumonia [\[104\]](#page-34-6).

Table 6. Experimental findings in AGM models of HNV Disease.

Table 6. *Cont.*

Table 6. *Cont.*

^a All animals treated with ribavirin pre- or post-infection. ^b Approximate average exposure dose across animals in each group. ^c Infused with IV saline as the control for mAb treatment. ^d Received adjuvant as the control for vaccine study. ^e Received nonspecific VSV vaccine.

Lungs of HeV-infected AGMs were discolored, wet and heavy upon necropsy with areas of multifocal congestion and hemorrhage. Pathological findings were similar in NiV-infected AGMs (Figure [3\)](#page-22-0). Infection of AGMs i.t. with NiV-M with doses ranging from 2.5×10^3 –1.3 × 10⁶ PFU resulted in mostly lethal disease with death occurring between 9- and 12-days following challenge [\[103\]](#page-34-5). Subjects demonstrated clinical signs of disease consistent with human NiV infection (acute respiratory distress, inappetence, lethargy, depression, fever, neurological signs) and NiV RNA was detectable in plasma samples as early as 7 dpi and in nasal swabs 4 dpi [\[103\]](#page-34-5). Notably, AGM models for both Malaysia

and Bangladesh strains of NiV have been established [\[103\]](#page-34-5) that are consistent with human Bangladesh strains of NiV have been established [103] that are consistent with human disease [\[116,](#page-34-18)[120\]](#page-35-2). In line with the high mortality rates in humans reported in outbreaks disease [116,120]. In line with the high mortality rates in humans reported in outbreaks caused by the latter strain, NiV-B appears more pathogenic than NiV-M in the AGM model caused by the latter strain, NiV-B appears more pathogenic than NiV-M in the AGM under identical experimental conditions, resulting in uniform lethality (NiV-B) as opposed to partial lethality (NiV-M) [\[116\]](#page-34-18).

Figure 3. Representative pathogenesis of experimental NiV infection in the African Green Mon-key, adapted from [103]. [Pane](#page-34-5)ls (A,B,D,E); AGM euthanized nine days post-challenge with 1.3×10^6 PFU of NiV i.t./o.n. Panel (C); AGM succumbed to infection 11 days post challenge with 8.1×10^4 PFU of NiV i.t./o.n. (A) Serosanguineous, frothy oronasal exudate. (**B**) Wet, heavy, congested, and hemorrhagic lungs. (**C**) Lung, right diaphragmatic lobe by immunohistochemical (IHC) stain depicting fibrin deposition in and around alveolar spaces (arrows); $40\times$ magnification. (**D**) Lung, p_{max} and p_{max} connective time state $\frac{p_{\text{max}}}{p_{\text{max}}}$ is the polymerization $\left(\frac{p}{p}\right)$ being in right diaphragmatic lobe by PTAH connective tissue stain showing an abundance of polymerized
The Localization of Niv and Localization of Niv and Localization of Niv and Localization of Niv and Localization fibrin in and around alveolar spaces (arrows); $100\times$ magnification. (**E**) Localization of NiV antigen by $\rm IHC$ stain within a lung blood vessel with endothelial syncytia (arrow) and immunopositive cells (brown) abundant in alveolar septae; $400 \times$ magnification.

Severe neurological signs of disease, including seizure, headache, dizziness, myoclonus, and dysphasia, were reported in human NiV-M infections, with over 50% of patients presenting with reduced levels of consciousness and brain-stem dysfunction [\[23\]](#page-30-19). Notably, 78% of patients in the outbreak received either oral or intravenous ribavirin. Interestingly, treatment of HeV infection AGMs with ribavirin increased the propensity of animals to exhibit neurological signs (muscle fasciculations, seizure) and a delayed disease course (by 1–2 days) but ultimately did not improve survival [\[104\]](#page-34-6). Human patients infected with HeV have also received ribavirin therapy, and consistent with what was observed in AGMs, this treatment does not appear to benefit outcomes, although the small number of HeV patients prohibits definitive conclusions from being reached. One of the more promising treatments tested in NiV and HeV-infected AGMs is monoclonal antibodies targeting the surface glycoproteins of HNVs, including m102.4 [\[108](#page-34-10)[,116\]](#page-34-18). Remdesivir (GS-5734) was also found to be protective [\[121\]](#page-35-3). A variety of vaccine countermeasures have been evaluated in the AGM model against NiV and HeV, including rVSV displaying NiV-B (G) glycoprotein [\[107](#page-34-9)[,122\]](#page-35-4), soluble HeV (G) glycoprotein [\[109,](#page-34-11)[117\]](#page-34-19), and a chimpanzee adenovirus platform (ChAdOx1) displaying the NiV-M (G) glycoprotein [\[123\]](#page-35-5). Thus, the AGM model is versatile and can be used to explore HNV pathogenesis (including neuropathologies) and to develop medical countermeasures.

8.2. Cynomolgus Macaque

Infection of cynomolgus macaques with 5×10^5 PFU of HeV via the i.t./i.n. route caused very mild clinical disease in some animals with signs of agitation, increased aggressiveness, and abdominal breathing, accompanied by decreased white blood cell counts and increased levels of liver enzymes ALT and AST (Table [7\)](#page-24-0) [\[105\]](#page-34-7). HeV RNA is detectable throughout the course of infection in cynomolgus macaques starting three days post-challenge in blood samples and oral, nasal, and rectal swabs, with levels similar to that of HeV-infected AGMs. No evidence of pathological lesions was observed at necropsy, nor was viral antigen detected. HeV-infected macaques seroconverted and achieved neutralizing antibody titers approximately equal to those seen to protect AGMs from lethal HNV challenge successfully. Assessment of global transcriptional changes related to immune signaling revealed that surviving HeV-infected macaques showed upregulated levels of transcripts mapped to adaptive immunity signaling pathways, including B-cell antigen presentation and differentiation into plasma cells. Instead, downregulated transcripts were implicated in complement activation, apoptosis, and cytotoxic granule release [\[105\]](#page-34-7). Additionally, macaques displayed lower levels of MCP-1, a chemoattractant involved in the trans-endothelial migration of monocytes, likely reducing the systemic spread of the virus following infection. Infection with 5×10^5 PFU i.t./i.n. of NiV-M or NiV-B produced limited signs of clinical illness similar to what was observed following the HeV challenge. The lack of lethal infection, clinical disease, or obvious pathological lesions following challenge with HNVs indicates that the model is of little utility for the study of medical countermeasure efficacy against HNV infection; however, further study of the immune response could inform the development of immunomodulatory drugs.

8.3. Common Marmoset

The marmoset model is the most recent nonhuman primate model of HNV disease to be developed and recapitulates the respiratory features of human NiV infection (Table [7\)](#page-24-0). Seven-year-old marmosets challenged via the i.t./i.n. route with 6.33×10^4 PFU of NiV-B succumbed to disease 8–11 days after infection and showed clinical signs of anorexia, hyperventilation, hunched posture, and hind-limb tremors within eight days following challenge [\[106\]](#page-34-8). X-ray of the chest revealed opacities starting at day seven post exposure. Principal gross pathological findings included pulmonary edema and multifocal hemorrhage, with diffuse, macroscopic necrosis of the liver. Histopathological lesions included syncytial cells in the heart, kidney, and pulmonary vessels. Viral antigen was identified in the heart, pulmonary vessels, liver, kidney, and spleen; however, no lesions or antigens were found in the brain [\[106\]](#page-34-8). The marmoset model appears to replicate the respiratory pathology and systemic vasculitis caused by NiV infection in humans and AGMs, but the model lacks lesions in the brain typical of NiV encephalitis. One key advantage of the marmoset species as a model for HNV disease is their small size, which permits greater

numbers of animals to be housed and included in studies, thereby increasing statistical power. However, the availability of immunological reagents may prohibit some studies from being performed, and the pathogenesis of HeV in this model has not been studied.

8.4. Squirrel Monkey

In a single study, squirrel monkeys were exposed to a NiV-M isolate using either intravenous (i.v.) or i.n. routes (Table [7\)](#page-24-0) [\[124\]](#page-35-6). Both infection routes used viral doses of either 1×10^3 or 1×10^7 PFU. While clinical signs were observed in monkeys infected through both i.n. and i.v. routes, the duration of the disease was longer in i.n. infected animals (lasting seven days) compared to monkeys infected i.v. (lasting 2–3 days). Among i.v. infected monkeys, 3 out of 4 animals succumbed to the disease. Monkeys infected i.n. exhibited milder states of disease, with only 2 out of 4 animals showing signs before recovering after 3–7 days of illness [\[124\]](#page-35-6). The observed clinical indicators in these monkeys closely resembled those documented in human infections, with notable involvement of neurological and respiratory systems. NiV-specific RNA was detectable in various organs exclusively in monkeys infected i.v., accompanied by mild histologic lesions, primarily characterized by lung parenchymal inflammation. Unlike human infections, significant vasculitis and brain abnormalities were less pronounced. Nonetheless, immunohistochemistry demonstrated viral antigen localization in the brain, lungs, spleen, and kidney extravascular parenchyma, thus confirming viral presence in these organs [\[124\]](#page-35-6).

9. Other Models of Note

HNVs emerged via zoonosis in livestock amplifying reservoirs horses (HeV) and pigs (NiV). Initial studies of HeV in horses were necessary to determine the etiology and characterize the causative agent of the outbreaks. Since horses and pigs can transmit HNVs to humans, the development of vaccine countermeasures to break transmission has been evaluated in these models. Other species, including cats and dogs, have been evaluated for HNV permissiveness [\[55\]](#page-32-3). The cat model was briefly pursued and used to evaluate an experimental vaccine for NiV infection [\[125\]](#page-35-7), which proved effective. No further countermeasure testing was reported in this model. Similarly, only a single experimental challenge study in dogs has been performed to investigate the pathogenesis and transmission of HeV in this model. Dogs and cats are not consistently used as animal models for HNV disease for a variety of reasons, including their status as companion animals, their inability to fully recapitulate the HNV disease observed in humans, and the existence of better-suited species for biomedical research. Epidemiologic surveillance and observational studies of peridomestic animals, including cats and dogs, may be of use in outbreaks due to the potential for livestock to transmit HNVs to these species, which may then be capable of

9.1. Horses

subsequent transmission to humans.

Natural HeV infection of horses leads to a severe and often fatal disease characterized by a sudden onset of influenza-like signs, including fever, inappetence, lethargy, respiratory signs of frothy nasal discharge, coughing, tachypnea, and neurological signs of aggression, muscle tremors, unsteadiness, head-tilt, and altered behavior [\[1\]](#page-30-0). Disease progression can be rapid, with horses deteriorating within a matter of days. Naturally, infected animals die or are typically humanely euthanized 5–15 days after showing clinical signs. Due to the severity of the disease and the potential for transmission to humans, HeV infection of horses is of significant concern for both equine and public health. Experimental infection of horses (Table [8\)](#page-25-0) via i.v., i.n., or o.n. routes with HeV-containing tissue homogenate from fatal equine cases or cell culture isolates of HeV resulted in rapid and fatal disease characterized by severe pulmonary edema, congestion, focal hemorrhagic lesions and cyanosis of the lungs, and enlarged spleen [\[126\]](#page-35-8). Histopathological findings included the presence of syncytial cells in pulmonary vessels and the kidney, alveolar edema, and systemic vasculitis found in the brain, lungs, lymph nodes, kidney, spleen, uterus, and intestine [\[126](#page-35-8)[,127\]](#page-35-9). Natural infection of horses with NiV has been reported [\[32\]](#page-31-7). A veterinary vaccine formulated with the soluble HeV-G glycoprotein is currently approved for use in Australia to protect horses from HeV infection and has demonstrated efficacy in preventing outbreaks [\[128\]](#page-35-10).

Table 8. Experimental findings in equine models of henipavirus disease.

^a Animals challenged with a homogenate of blood, spleen, and lung from horses infected naturally in an HeV outbreak.

9.2. Pigs

Experimental infection of pigs with NiV or HeV produces clinical disease with both respiratory and neurological features but typically does not result in fatal outcomes (Table [9\)](#page-26-0). NiV infection of humans in Malaysia and Singapore in 1999 was preceded by widespread infection of pigs on farms, with animals typically displaying a characteristic barking cough and occasionally muscle spasms, myoclonus, trembling, and fever [\[129\]](#page-35-11). Although experimental infection often produces a mild, self-limiting disease with clinical signs evident by day 7 post-infection, NiV is shed in nasal secretions in pigs [\[130\]](#page-35-12). Gross macroscopic lesions are present in the lungs and include reddish-purple consolidation and hemorrhage. Histopathological lesions include syncytial cell formation, moderate to severe

meningitis, and fibrinoid necrosis of pulmonary vessels [\[130,](#page-35-12)[131\]](#page-35-13). Landrace pigs challenged with 6.6×10^{7} PFU of HeV via the o.n. route resulted in the development of clinical signs, including depression, inappetence, and weight loss as soon as four days post-infection [\[89\]](#page-33-16). Gottingen minipigs challenged with 2×10^7 PFU i.n. developed clinical signs of the disease 3–7 days post-infection, and at necropsy, had evident petechial hemorrhage of the lungs and lymph nodes, with histological lesions including pulmonary edema and syncytial cells. Landrace pigs developed more severe interstitial pneumonia and extensive syncytial cell formation in the alveoli and bronchiolar epithelium; infiltration of inflammatory cells was observed in the bronchial lymph nodes [\[89\]](#page-33-16). A variety of veterinary vaccines have been experimentally evaluated in this model [\[131–](#page-35-13)[133\]](#page-35-14).

Table 9. Experimental findings in swine models of henipavirus disease.

^a Animals were sacrificed at specific time points but did show clinical signs prior to euthanasia. ^b Human isolate passaged through experimentally infected pigs. ^c Approximate age at the time of challenge. ^d Animals euthanized due to the severity of the disease; however, animals were sacrificed at scheduled time points per study design. ^e Recombinant NiV-B recovered from reverse genetics system.

9.3. Dogs

Dogs were among the first species to be experimentally infected with HeV [\[55\]](#page-32-3). Several dogs have been observed to be directly infected with HeV or NiV, and serosurveillance suggests infections of dogs during NiV outbreaks are frequent. Livestock-to-dog and dogto-human transmission events pose a potential transmission risk during outbreaks. Dogs are not a natural host of HeV or NiV but potentially serve as amplifying reservoirs during epidemics. Infection of dogs with Hendra virus has been described in two experimental challenge studies (Table [10\)](#page-27-0) and a case report of natural HeV infection [\[138\]](#page-35-20), which generally describe subclinical illness with limited evidence of pathologies in the respiratory or central nervous system.

Table 10. Experimental findings in canine models of henipavirus disease.

HeV is not highly pathogenic in canines, but viremia and oral shedding suggest dogs are capable of transmitting the virus to humans. The challenge of dogs with 5×10^3 TCID₅₀ of the prototype HeV strain via the s.c. route produced no clinical signs of disease, animals did not seroconvert, and gross or histopathological lesions were absent upon necropsy [\[55\]](#page-32-3). In 2013, a dog was found to be naturally infected with HeV following contact with an HeV-infected horse during an outbreak and was subsequently euthanized following confirmation of infection [\[138\]](#page-35-20). The dog did not show clinical signs of illness, but gross- and histopathological lesions were discovered at necropsy. Primary gross lesions included reddening of the lungs, frothy edematous fluid in the trachea and bronchi, and hyperplasia of mandibular, tonsillar, bronchial, and mediastinal lymph nodes. Significant vasculitis and fibrinoid necrosis were identified in the lymph nodes, kidneys, and brain, in addition to the spleen, lungs, intestines, and liver [\[138\]](#page-35-20). Further histopathological lesions were identified throughout the brain, including the brain stem and meninges. Although the virus was not isolated from tissues, the dog was seropositive and viral antigen was present in the kidney [\[138\]](#page-35-20).

Experimental challenge of beagles with HeV dosed at 2×10^6 TCID₅₀ via the o.n. route was not lethal and produced only mild clinical signs of disease, including inappetence and low fever at days 6 and 10 post-infection [\[139\]](#page-35-21). The virus was re-isolated from oral swabs at days 2 and 4 post-infection and from respiratory tissues at days 2, 4, 6, and 8; however, it was not recovered from the blood at any point [\[139\]](#page-35-21). Gross pathological lesions at necropsy were generally consistent with those observed in natural infection and included mild consolidation of the lungs, hyperplasia of the tonsils and tracheobronchial lymph nodes, and areas of focal hemorrhage and congestion of the mediastinal and retropharyngeal lymph nodes [\[138](#page-35-20)[,139\]](#page-35-21). Histopathological lesions of the lungs included bronchointerstitial pneumonia, vasculitis, and antigen deposition in the bronchiolar epithelium and vascular endothelium. Limited syncytial cell formation was observed in the bronchiole lymph nodes. Notably, no evidence of neuroinfection from clinical signs or histopathological lesions was observed in experimentally infected dogs [\[138,](#page-35-20)[139\]](#page-35-21). These findings suggest that experimental and natural HNV infections produce mild disease in dogs. Oral swabs collected from HeV-infected dogs at days 2 and 4 following the challenge were used to inoculate naïve ferrets. Ferrets developed fever, depression, and paralysis and were euthanized 7–9 days after infection, demonstrating that virus shedding from HeV-infected dogs was infective to naïve, susceptible animals [\[139\]](#page-35-21). This finding suggests that dogs may potentially pose a risk to humans, horses, and other peridomestic animals during HeV outbreaks.

During the 1998–1999 outbreak of NiV in Malaysia, it was reported that domestic dogs were also infected [\[140\]](#page-35-22). Serosurveillance in April of 1999 identified that 57% of 63 dogs sampled were positive for NiV-reactive antibodies, and additional measurements from the same area in May of 1999 saw a non-significant decrease in percent positivity to 26%, suggesting that dog-to-dog transmission was not taking place [\[141\]](#page-35-23). Limited data is available for dogs confirmed to have been actively infected with NiV, and no studies involving experimental infection of dogs with NiV have been published to date. During the 1999 outbreak, one moribund and one deceased dog were necropsied, with clinical signs observed in the moribund dog being respiratory distress, fever, nasal discharge, and conjunctivitis [\[142\]](#page-36-0). Gross findings at necropsy were consistent with human respiratory pathologies: heavy lungs with visible congestion, mottling, and consolidation of all lobes in addition to reddening of the renal capsules and cortices [\[142\]](#page-36-0). Histological lesions were reported in the lungs (severe pulmonary edema, interstitial pneumonia), kidneys (glomerular atrophy and syncytia), and brain (nonsuppurative meningitis). Virus isolation or antigen deposition in tissues was not described [\[142\]](#page-36-0). This report and evidence of seroprevalence during active NiV outbreaks indicate that close attention should be paid to dogs during outbreaks. Furthermore, disease control practices should be enforced to reduce and prevent contact between dogs and known amplifying reservoirs of NiV. Further epidemiological surveillance and investigation of apparent NiV- or HeV-infected animals during outbreaks should be a priority to understand modes of HNV transmission further.

9.4. Cat

Experimental challenge of cats revealed high susceptibility to both HeV and NiV by a variety of challenge routes and doses (Table [11\)](#page-28-0). The challenge of cats with \sim 1 \times 10³– 5×10^4 TCID₅₀ of HeV s.c., o.n., or oral (o.r.) routes produces influenza-like clinical signs characterized by fever and respiratory distress [\[55,](#page-32-3)[143\]](#page-36-1). Gross pathology in HeV-infected felines was characteristic of acute HeV disease with focal hemorrhagic lesions of the lungs, pulmonary edema and hydrothorax, and the enlargement of the spleen and mesenteric lymph nodes [\[86\]](#page-33-11). Histopathologic lesions were consistent with those observed in horses, including systemic vasculitis with syncytial cells, fibrinoid necrosis, and mononuclear inflammatory cell infiltration in the lungs. The virus was isolated from the respiratory tract, liver, spleen, kidney, lymph node, bladder, pleural fluid, urine, and brain. Neurological signs were not reported [\[86](#page-33-11)[,143\]](#page-36-1).

Species (Age)	Virus (Isolate)	Dose	Route	% Lethality	Clinical Disease	Reference
Domestic shorthair cat $(12-24$ -month- old)	$NiV-M$	5×10^3 $TCID_{50}$	s.c.	100% (2/2)	Fever, increased respiratory rate, inappetence, depression	$[125]$
		5×10^2 TCID ₅₀		100% (4/4)		
Domestic shorthair cat	$NiV-M$	5×10^3 $TCID_{50}$	o.n.	50% (1/2)	Fever, depression, increased respiratory rates, vomiting, decreased grooming, dyspnea, open mouth breathing	$[130]$
Unknown	HeV (prototype)	5×10^3 $TCID_{50}$	S.C.	100% (2/2)	Inappetence, increased respiratory rate	$[55]$
Unknown	HeV (prototype)	5×10^4 TCID ₅₀	o.r.	100% (2/2)	Open mouth breathing, dyspnea	$[144]$
Unknown (8-month-old)	HeV (prototype)	$1 \times 10^{3.6}$ $TCID_{50}$	o.r.	100% (2/2)	Depression, fever, increased respiratory rate	$[143]$

Table 11. Experimental findings in feline models of henipavirus disease.

Table 11. *Cont.*

^a Naïve cat housed with an animal infected via the s.c. route.

Infection of domestic shorthair cats with $5 \times 10^2 - 5 \times 10^3$ TCID₅₀ of NiV-M via the s.c. or o.n. route resulted in clinical disease characterized by fever, depression, and respiratory distress. NiV infection produced lesions highly similar to HeV-infected cats, with focal hemorrhagic necrosis of the pleura and wet and heavy lungs with edema and hydrothorax. Histopathological lesions of the lungs included bronchitis and alveolar hemorrhage. Vasculitis with syncytial cell formation and inflammatory cell infiltrates was observed in large arterial vessels of the lungs and endothelial cells of the lungs, spleen, lymph nodes, and brain [\[125](#page-35-7)[,130\]](#page-35-12). Meningitis was also found. NiV antigen was detected in the lungs, spleen, bladder, and lymph nodes, where the infectious virus was recoverable, in addition to the tonsil [\[125,](#page-35-7)[130\]](#page-35-12). Transmission of NiV to or from cats has not been documented during outbreaks; however, vertical transmission of NiV was observed in a cat discovered to be pregnant at necropsy [\[145\]](#page-36-3), with infectious virus found in the placenta, fetus and uterine fluid.

10. Future Directions

Well-characterized animal models for both NiV and HeV are currently required for investigating the efficacy of medical countermeasures against these pathogens. Here, we describe several highly reliable models for recapitulating human infection by HNVs. AGMs closely mimic the pathogenesis and clinical signs of NiV and HeV disease in humans and are the gold standard for evaluating medical countermeasures, including vaccines, antivirals, and monoclonal antibodies. Hamsters and ferrets are the most well-characterized smalland mid-sized animal models for HNV disease, and continued optimization and refinement of these models has allowed for pathogenesis and countermeasure efficacy studies for HeV and NiV. Continued development of these models should be pursued to allow for better harmonization of challenge dosing and clinical scoring. The field should also prioritize the development and validation of species-specific reagents for hamsters and ferrets, as the lack of commercial availability fetters opportunities for more precise characterization of HNV pathogenesis. Models consistently recapitulating the CNS pathologies of HNV infection would also prove useful, especially for studying the recrudescence and chronic encephalitis seen in human NiV infection. This would allow for the evaluation of CNSbased therapeutics for HNV disease.

The seasonal nature of NiV and HeV virus outbreaks in their respective endemic areas continues to pose a risk to public health. The frequency and severity of NiV outbreaks in Bangladesh and India appear to be increasing, with a recent outbreak resulting in 11 cases and eight deaths earlier this year. The wide geographic distribution of natural reservoirs of HNVs and the emergence of novel HNVs, including Cedar, Mojiang and Langya, suggest continued efforts are needed to understand these viruses. Animal models have been pivotal in our study of HeV and NiV disease pathogenesis and for evaluating medical countermeasures to potentially protect against future outbreaks of these deadly viruses.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.D.P. and C.W.; writing—original draft preparation, D.D.P. and C.W.; writing—review and editing, T.W.G.; resources, T.W.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: No new data were created or analyzed in this study. Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Murray, K.; Selleck, P.; Hooper, P.; Hyatt, A.; Gould, A.; Gleeson, L.; Westbury, H.; Hiley, L.; Selvey, L.; Rodwell, B.; et al. A Morbillivirus That Caused Fatal Disease in Horses and Humans. *Science* **1995**, *268*, 94–97. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7701348) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7701348)
- 2. Chua, K.B.; Goh, K.J.; Wong, K.T.; Kamarulzaman, A.; Tan, P.S.; Ksiazek, T.G.; Zaki, S.R.; Paul, G.; Lam, S.K.; Tan, C.T. Fatal encephalitis due to Nipah virus among pig-farmers in Malaysia. *Lancet* **1999**, *354*, 1257–1259. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(99)04299-3) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10520635)
- 3. Paton, N.I.; Leo, Y.S.; Zaki, S.R.; Auchus, A.P.; Lee, K.E.; Ling, A.E.; Chew, S.K.; Ang, B.; Rollin, P.E.; Umapathi, T.; et al. Outbreak of Nipah-virus infection among abattoir workers in Singapore. *Lancet* **1999**, *354*, 1253–1256. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(99)04379-2) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10520634)
- 4. Marsh, G.A.; De Jong, C.; Barr, J.A.; Tachedjian, M.; Smith, C.; Middleton, D.; Yu, M.; Todd, S.; Foord, A.J.; Haring, V.; et al. Cedar Virus: A Novel Henipavirus Isolated from Australian Bats. *PLoS Pathog.* **2012**, *8*, e1002836. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002836) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22879820)
- 5. Wu, Z.; Yang, L.; Yang, F.; Ren, X.; Jiang, J.; Dong, J.; Sun, L.; Zhu, Y.; Zhou, H.; Jin, Q. Novel Henipa-like Virus, Mojiang Paramyxovirus, in Rats, China, 2012. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2014**, *20*, 1064–1066. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2006.131022)
- 6. Drexler, J.F.; Corman, V.M.; Müller, M.A.; Maganga, G.D.; Vallo, P.; Binger, T.; Gloza-Rausch, F.; Cottontail, V.M.; Rasche, A.; Yordanov, S.; et al. Bats host major mammalian paramyxoviruses. *Nat. Commun.* **2012**, *3*, 796. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1796)
- 7. Hayman, D.T.S.; Suu-Ire, R.; Breed, A.C.; McEachern, J.A.; Wang, L.; Wood, J.L.N.; Cunningham, A.A. Evidence of Henipavirus Infection in West African Fruit Bats. *PLoS ONE* **2008**, *3*, e2739. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0002739)
- 8. Zhang, X.-A.; Li, H.; Jiang, F.-C.; Zhu, F.; Zhang, Y.-F.; Chen, J.-J.; Tan, C.-W.; Anderson, D.E.; Fan, H.; Dong, L.-Y.; et al. A Zoonotic Henipavirus in Febrile Patients in China. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2022**, *387*, 470–472. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2202705)
- 9. Halpin, K.; Young, P.L.; Field, H.E.; Mackenzie, J.S. Isolation of Hendra virus from pteropid bats: A natural reservoir of Hendra virus. *J. Gen. Virol.* **2000**, *81*, 1927–1932. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-81-8-1927)
- 10. Anderson, D.E.; Islam, A.; Crameri, G.; Todd, S.; Islam, A.; Khan, S.U.; Foord, A.; Rahman, M.Z.; Mendenhall, I.H.; Luby, S.P.; et al. Isolation and Full-Genome Characterization of Nipah Viruses from Bats, Bangladesh. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2019**, *25*, 166–170. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2501.180267)
- 11. Chua, K.B.; Koh, C.L.; Hooi, P.S.; Wee, K.F.; Khong, J.H.; Chua, B.H.; Chan, Y.P.; Lim, M.E.; Lam, S.K. Isolation of Nipah virus from Malaysian Island flying-foxes. *Microbes Infect.* **2002**, *4*, 145–151. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1286-4579(01)01522-2) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11880045)
- 12. Murray, K.; Rogers, R.; Selvey, L.; Selleck, P.; Hyatt, A.; Gould, A.; Gleeson, L.; Hooper, P.; Westbury, H. A novel morbillivirus pneumonia of horses and its transmission to humans. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **1995**, *1*, 31–33. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0101.950107) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8903153)
- 13. Selvey, L.A.; Wells, R.M.; McCormack, J.G.; Ansford, A.J.; Murray, K.; Rogers, R.J.; Lavercombe, P.S.; Selleck, P.; Sheridan, J.W. Infection of humans and horses by a newly described morbillivirus. *Med. J. Aust.* **1995**, *162*, 642–645. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.5694/j.1326-5377.1995.tb126050.x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7603375)
- 14. Rogers, R.J.; Douglas, I.C.; Baldock, F.C.; Glanville, R.J.; Seppanen, K.T.; Gleeson, L.J.; Selleck, P.N.; Dunn, K.J. Investigation of a second focus of equine morbillivirus infection in coastal Queensland. *Aust. Vet. J.* **1996**, *74*, 243–244. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-0813.1996.tb15413.x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8894043)
- 15. Playford, E.G.; McCall, B.; Smith, G.; Slinko, V.; Allen, G.; Smith, I.; Moore, F.; Taylor, C.; Kung, Y.H.; Field, H. Human Hendra virus encephalitis associated with equine outbreak, Australia, 2008. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2010**, *16*, 219–223. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1602.090552)
- 16. Hanna, J.N.; McBride, W.J.; Brookes, D.L.; Shield, J.; Taylor, C.T.; Smith, I.L.; Craig, S.B.; Smith, G.A. Hendra virus infection in a veterinarian. *Med. J. Aust.* **2006**, *185*, 562–564. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.5694/j.1326-5377.2006.tb00692.x)
- 17. Field, H.; Schaaf, K.; Kung, N.; Simon, C.; Waltisbuhl, D.; Hobert, H.; Moore, F.; Middleton, D.; Crook, A.; Smith, G.; et al. Hendra virus outbreak with novel clinical features, Australia. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2010**, *16*, 338–340. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1602.090780)
- 18. Eby, P.; Peel, A.J.; Hoegh, A.; Madden, W.; Giles, J.R.; Hudson, P.J.; Plowright, R.K. Pathogen spillover driven by rapid changes in bat ecology. *Nature* **2023**, *613*, 340–344. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05506-2)
- 19. Halpin, K.; Rota, P. *A Review of Hendra Virus and Nipah Virus Infections in Man and Other Animals*; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2015; pp. 997–1012.
- 20. Wang, J.; Anderson, D.E.; Halpin, K.; Hong, X.; Chen, H.; Walker, S.; Valdeter, S.; Van Der Heide, B.; Neave, M.J.; Bingham, J.; et al. A new Hendra virus genotype found in Australian flying foxes. *Virol. J.* **2021**, *18*, 197. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12985-021-01652-7)
- 21. Annand, E.J.; Horsburgh, B.A.; Xu, K.; Reid, P.A.; Poole, B.; De Kantzow, M.C.; Brown, N.; Tweedie, A.; Michie, M.; Grewar, J.D.; et al. Novel Hendra Virus Variant Detected by Sentinel Surveillance of Horses in Australia. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2022**, *28*, 693–704. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2803.211245)
- 22. Chua, K.B.; Bellini, W.J.; Rota, P.A.; Harcourt, B.H.; Tamin, A.; Lam, S.K.; Ksiazek, T.G.; Rollin, P.E.; Zaki, S.R.; Shieh, W.; et al. Nipah virus: A recently emergent deadly paramyxovirus. *Science* **2000**, *288*, 1432–1435. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.288.5470.1432) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10827955)
- 23. Goh, K.J.; Tan, C.T.; Chew, N.K.; Tan, P.S.; Kamarulzaman, A.; Sarji, S.A.; Wong, K.T.; Abdullah, B.J.; Chua, K.B.; Lam, S.K. Clinical features of Nipah virus encephalitis among pig farmers in Malaysia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2000**, *342*, 1229–1235. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM200004273421701) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10781618)
- 24. Parashar, U.D.; Sunn, L.M.; Ong, F.; Mounts, A.W.; Arif, M.T.; Ksiazek, T.G.; Kamaluddin, M.A.; Mustafa, A.N.; Kaur, H.; Ding, L.M.; et al. Case-Control Study of Risk Factors for Human Infection with a New Zoonotic Paramyxovirus, Nipah Virus, during a 1998–1999 Outbreak of Severe Encephalitis in Malaysia. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2000**, *181*, 1755–1759. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1086/315457)
- 25. Sharma, V.; Kaushik, S.; Kumar, R.; Yadav, J.P.; Kaushik, S. Emerging trends of Nipah virus: A review. *Rev. Med. Virol.* **2019**, *29*, e2010. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/rmv.2010) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30251294)
- 26. Ang, B.S.P.; Lim, T.C.C.; Wang, L. Nipah Virus Infection. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2018**, *56*. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01875-17) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29643201)
- 27. Gómez Román, R.; Wang, L.F.; Lee, B.; Halpin, K.; de Wit, E.; Broder, C.C.; Rahman, M.; Kristiansen, P.; Saville, M. Nipah@20: Lessons Learned from Another Virus with Pandemic Potential. *mSphere* **2020**, *5*. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00602-20)
- 28. Soman Pillai, V.; Krishna, G.; Valiya Veettil, M. Nipah Virus: Past Outbreaks and Future Containment. *Viruses* **2020**, *12*, 465. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/v12040465)
- 29. Harcourt, B.H.; Tamin, A.; Ksiazek, T.G.; Rollin, P.E.; Anderson, L.J.; Bellini, W.J.; Rota, P.A. Molecular characterization of Nipah virus, a newly emergent paramyxovirus. *Virology* **2000**, *271*, 334–349. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1006/viro.2000.0340)
- 30. Harcourt, B.H.; Lowe, L.; Tamin, A.; Liu, X.; Bankamp, B.; Bowden, N.; Rollin, P.E.; Comer, J.A.; Ksiazek, T.G.; Hossain, M.J.; et al. Genetic characterization of Nipah virus, Bangladesh, 2004. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2005**, *11*, 1594–1597. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1110.050513)
- 31. Hossain, M.J.; Gurley, E.S.; Montgomery, J.M.; Bell, M.; Carroll, D.S.; Hsu, V.P.; Formenty, P.; Croisier, A.; Bertherat, E.; Faiz, M.A.; et al. Clinical presentation of nipah virus infection in Bangladesh. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2008**, *46*, 977–984. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1086/529147)
- 32. Ching, P.K.; de los Reyes, V.C.; Sucaldito, M.N.; Tayag, E.; Columna-Vingno, A.B.; Malbas, F.F., Jr.; Bolo, G.C., Jr.; Sejvar, J.J.; Eagles, D.; Playford, G.; et al. Outbreak of henipavirus infection, Philippines, 2014. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2015**, *21*, 328–331. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2102.141433) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25626011)
- 33. Arunkumar, G.; Chandni, R.; Mourya, D.T.; Singh, S.K.; Sadanandan, R.; Sudan, P.; Bhargava, B. Outbreak Investigation of Nipah Virus Disease in Kerala, India, 2018. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2019**, *219*, 1867–1878. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiy612) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30364984)
- 34. World Health Organization. Nipah Virus Infection—Bangladesh. Available online: [https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease](https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbreak-news/item/2023-DON442)[outbreak-news/item/2023-DON442](https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbreak-news/item/2023-DON442) (accessed on 3 August 2023).
- 35. Gurley, E.S.; Montgomery, J.M.; Hossain, M.J.; Bell, M.; Azad, A.K.; Islam, M.R.; Molla, M.A.; Carroll, D.S.; Ksiazek, T.G.; Rota, P.A.; et al. Person-to-person transmission of Nipah virus in a Bangladeshi community. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2007**, *13*, 1031–1037. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1307.061128)
- 36. Homaira, N.; Rahman, M.; Hossain, M.J.; Epstein, J.H.; Sultana, R.; Khan, M.S.; Podder, G.; Nahar, K.; Ahmed, B.; Gurley, E.S.; et al. Nipah virus outbreak with person-to-person transmission in a district of Bangladesh, 2007. *Epidemiol. Infect.* **2010**, *138*, 1630–1636. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268810000695)
- 37. Chong, V.; Hossain, M.; Chong, H. Differences in epidemiologic and clinical features of Nipah virus encephalitis between the Malaysian and Bangladesh outbreaks. *Neurol. Asia* **2008**, *13*, 23–26.
- 38. Bonaparte, M.I.; Dimitrov, A.S.; Bossart, K.N.; Crameri, G.; Mungall, B.A.; Bishop, K.A.; Choudhry, V.; Dimitrov, D.S.; Wang, L.-F.; Eaton, B.T.; et al. Ephrin-B2 ligand is a functional receptor for Hendra virus and Nipah virus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2005**, *102*, 10652–10657. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0504887102) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15998730)
- 39. Xu, K.; Broder, C.C.; Nikolov, D.B. Ephrin-B2 and ephrin-B3 as functional henipavirus receptors. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2012**, *23*, 116–123. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2011.12.005)
- 40. Negrete, O.A.; Wolf, M.C.; Aguilar, H.C.; Enterlein, S.; Wang, W.; Mühlberger, E.; Su, S.V.; Bertolotti-Ciarlet, A.; Flick, R.; Lee, B. Two Key Residues in EphrinB3 Are Critical for Its Use as an Alternative Receptor for Nipah Virus. *PLoS Pathog.* **2006**, *2*, e7. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.0020007)
- 41. Lee, B. Envelope–Receptor Interactions in Nipah Virus Pathobiology. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **2007**, *1102*, 51–65. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1408.004)
- 42. Eaton, T.B.; Broder, C.C.; Wang, L.-F. Hendra and Nipah Viruses: Pathogenesis and Therapeutics. *Curr. Mol. Med.* **2005**, *5*, 805–816. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2174/156652405774962308)
- 43. Wong, K.T.; Grosjean, I.; Brisson, C.; Blanquier, B.; Fevre-Montange, M.; Bernard, A.; Loth, P.; Georges-Courbot, M.-C.; Chevallier, M.; Akaoka, H.; et al. A Golden Hamster Model for Human Acute Nipah Virus Infection. *Am. J. Pathol.* **2003**, *163*, 2127–2137. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9440(10)63569-9) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14578210)
- 44. Geisbert, T.W.; Feldmann, H.; Broder, C.C. Animal challenge models of henipavirus infection and pathogenesis. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* **2012**, *359*, 153–177. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/82_2012_208) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22476556)
- 45. de Wit, E.; Munster, V.J. Animal models of disease shed light on Nipah virus pathogenesis and transmission. *J. Pathol.* **2015**, *235*, 196–205. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/path.4444) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25229234)
- 46. Wong, K.T.; Robertson, T.; Ong, B.B.; Chong, J.W.; Yaiw, K.C.; Wang, L.F.; Ansford, A.J.; Tannenberg, A. Human Hendra virus infection causes acute and relapsing encephalitis. *Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol.* **2009**, *35*, 296–305. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2990.2008.00991.x)
- 47. Wong, K.T.; Shieh, W.J.; Kumar, S.; Norain, K.; Abdullah, W.; Guarner, J.; Goldsmith, C.S.; Chua, K.B.; Lam, S.K.; Tan, C.T.; et al. Nipah virus infection: Pathology and pathogenesis of an emerging paramyxoviral zoonosis. *Am. J. Pathol.* **2002**, *161*, 2153–2167. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9440(10)64493-8)
- 48. Ong, K.C.; Wong, K.T. Henipavirus Encephalitis: Recent Developments and Advances. *Brain Pathol.* **2015**, *25*, 605–613. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/bpa.12278)
- 49. Maisner, A.; Neufeld, J.; Weingartl, H. Organ- and endotheliotropism of Nipah virus infections in vivo and in vitro. *Thromb. Haemost.* **2009**, *102*, 1014–1023. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1160/th09-05-0310)
- 50. Wong, K.T.; Ong, K.C. Pathology of acute henipavirus infection in humans and animals. *Pathol. Res. Int.* **2011**, *2011*, 567248. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4061/2011/567248)
- 51. Sejvar, J.J.; Hossain, J.; Saha, S.K.; Gurley, E.S.; Banu, S.; Hamadani, J.D.; Faiz, M.A.; Siddiqui, F.M.; Mohammad, Q.D.; Mollah, A.H.; et al. Long-term neurological and functional outcome in Nipah virus infection. *Ann. Neurol.* **2007**, *62*, 235–242. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.21178)
- 52. Tan, C.T.; Goh, K.J.; Wong, K.T.; Sarji, S.A.; Chua, K.B.; Chew, N.K.; Murugasu, P.; Loh, Y.L.; Chong, H.T.; Tan, K.S.; et al. Relapsed and late-onset Nipah encephalitis. *Ann. Neurol.* **2002**, *51*, 703–708. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.10212)
- 53. Chong, H.; Tan, C. Relapsed and late-onset Nipah encephalitis, a report of three cases. *Neurol. J. Southeast. Asia* **2003**, *8*, 109–112.
- 54. Abdullah, S.; Chang, L.; Rahmat, K.; Goh, K.; Tan, C. Late-onset Nipah virus encephalitis 11 years after the initial outbreak: A case report. *Neurol. Asia* **2012**, *17*, 71–74.
- 55. Westbury, H.; Hooper, P.; Selleck, P.; Murray, P. Equine morbillivirus pneumonia: Susceptibility of laboratory animals to the virus. *Aust. Vet. J.* **1995**, *72*, 278–279. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-0813.1995.tb03549.x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8534238)
- 56. Dups, J.; Middleton, D.; Yamada, M.; Monaghan, P.; Long, F.; Robinson, R.; Marsh, G.A.; Wang, L.F. A new model for Hendra virus encephalitis in the mouse. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e40308. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0040308) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22808132)
- 57. Dups, J.; Middleton, D.; Long, F.; Arkinstall, R.; Marsh, G.A.; Wang, L.-F. Subclinical infection without encephalitis in mice following intranasal exposure to Nipah virus-Malaysia and Nipah virus-Bangladesh. *Virol. J.* **2014**, *11*, 102. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-11-102)
- 58. Dhondt, K.P.; Mathieu, C.; Chalons, M.; Reynaud, J.M.; Vallve, A.; Raoul, H.; Horvat, B. Type I interferon signaling protects mice from lethal henipavirus infection. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2013**, *207*, 142–151. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jis653)
- 59. Welch, S.R.; Spengler, J.R.; Genzer, S.C.; Coleman-McCray, J.D.; Harmon, J.R.; Sorvillo, T.E.; Scholte, F.E.M.; Rodriguez, S.E.; O'Neal, T.J.; Ritter, J.M.; et al. Single-dose mucosal replicon-particle vaccine protects against lethal Nipah virus infection up to 3 days after vaccination. *Sci. Adv.* **2023**, *9*, eadh4057. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adh4057)
- 60. Edwards, S.J.; Rowe, B.; Reid, T.; Tachedjian, M.; Caruso, S.; Blasdell, K.; Watanabe, S.; Bergfeld, J.; Marsh, G.A. Henipavirusinduced neuropathogenesis in mice. *Virology* **2023**, *587*, 109856. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2023.109856)
- 61. Iampietro, M.; Aurine, N.; Dhondt, K.P.; Dumont, C.; Pelissier, R.; Spanier, J.; Vallve, A.; Raoul, H.; Kalinke, U.; Horvat, B. Control of Nipah Virus Infection in Mice by the Host Adaptors Mitochondrial Antiviral Signaling Protein (MAVS) and Myeloid Differentiation Primary Response 88 (MyD88). *J. Infect. Dis.* **2020**, *221*, S401–S406. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiz602)
- 62. Iampietro, M.; Dumont, C.; Mathieu, C.; Spanier, J.; Robert, J.; Charpenay, A.; Dupichaud, S.; Dhondt, K.P.; Aurine, N.; Pelissier, R.; et al. Activation of cGAS/STING pathway upon paramyxovirus infection. *iScience* **2021**, *24*, 102519. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2021.102519)
- 63. Valbuena, G.; Halliday, H.; Borisevich, V.; Goez, Y.; Rockx, B. A Human Lung Xenograft Mouse Model of Nipah Virus Infection. *PLoS Pathog.* **2014**, *10*, e1004063. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004063) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24699832)
- 64. Yun, T.; Park, A.; Hill, T.E.; Pernet, O.; Beaty, S.M.; Juelich, T.L.; Smith, J.K.; Zhang, L.; Wang, Y.E.; Vigant, F.; et al. Efficient Reverse Genetics Reveals Genetic Determinants of Budding and Fusogenic Differences between Nipah and Hendra Viruses and Enables Real-Time Monitoring of Viral Spread in Small Animal Models of Henipavirus Infection. *J. Virol.* **2015**, *89*, 1242–1253. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02583-14) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25392218)
- 65. Guillaume, V.; Wong, K.T.; Looi, R.Y.; Georges-Courbot, M.C.; Barrot, L.; Buckland, R.; Wild, T.F.; Horvat, B. Acute Hendra virus infection: Analysis of the pathogenesis and passive antibody protection in the hamster model. *Virology* **2009**, *387*, 459–465. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2009.03.001) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19328514)
- 66. Dawes, B.E.; Kalveram, B.; Ikegami, T.; Juelich, T.; Smith, J.K.; Zhang, L.; Park, A.; Lee, B.; Komeno, T.; Furuta, Y.; et al. Favipiravir (T-705) protects against Nipah virus infection in the hamster model. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 7604. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-25780-3)
- 67. Georges-Courbot, M.C.; Contamin, H.; Faure, C.; Loth, P.; Baize, S.; Leyssen, P.; Neyts, J.; Deubel, V. Poly(I)-Poly(C₁₂U) but Not Ribavirin Prevents Death in a Hamster Model of Nipah Virus Infection. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2006**, *50*, 1768–1772. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.50.5.1768-1772.2006)
- 68. Freiberg, A.N.; Worthy, M.N.; Lee, B.; Holbrook, M.R. Combined chloroquine and ribavirin treatment does not prevent death in a hamster model of Nipah and Hendra virus infection. *J. Gen. Virol.* **2010**, *91*, 765–772. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.017269-0)
- 69. Van Doremalen, N.; Lambe, T.; Sebastian, S.; Bushmaker, T.; Fischer, R.; Feldmann, F.; Haddock, E.; Letko, M.; Avanzato, V.A.; Rissanen, I.; et al. A single-dose ChAdOx1-vectored vaccine provides complete protection against Nipah Bangladesh and Malaysia in Syrian golden hamsters. *PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis.* **2019**, *13*, e0007462. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007462)
- 70. Walpita, P.; Cong, Y.; Jahrling, P.B.; Rojas, O.; Postnikova, E.; Yu, S.; Johns, L.; Holbrook, M.R. A VLP-based vaccine provides complete protection against Nipah virus challenge following multiple-dose or single-dose vaccination schedules in a hamster model. *NPJ Vaccines* **2017**, *2*, 21. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41541-017-0023-7)
- 71. Lo, M.K.; Spengler, J.R.; Welch, S.R.; Harmon, J.R.; Coleman-McCray, J.D.; Scholte, F.E.M.; Shrivastava-Ranjan, P.; Montgomery, J.M.; Nichol, S.T.; Weissman, D.; et al. Evaluation of a Single-Dose Nucleoside-Modified Messenger RNA Vaccine Encoding Hendra Virus-Soluble Glycoprotein Against Lethal Nipah virus Challenge in Syrian Hamsters. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2019**, *221*, S493–S498. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiz553)
- 72. Monath, T.P.; Nichols, R.; Tussey, L.; Scappaticci, K.; Pullano, T.G.; Whiteman, M.D.; Vasilakis, N.; Rossi, S.L.; Campos, R.K.; Azar, S.R.; et al. Recombinant vesicular stomatitis vaccine against Nipah virus has a favorable safety profile: Model for assessment of live vaccines with neurotropic potential. *PLoS Pathog.* **2022**, *18*, e1010658. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010658)
- 73. Guillaume, V.; Contamin, H.; Loth, P.; Grosjean, I.; Courbot, M.C.G.; Deubel, V.; Buckland, R.; Wild, T.F. Antibody Prophylaxis and Therapy against Nipah Virus Infection in Hamsters. *J. Virol.* **2006**, *80*, 1972–1978. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.80.4.1972-1978.2006) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16439553)
- 74. Guillaume, V.; Contamin, H.; Loth, P.; Georges-Courbot, M.C.; Lefeuvre, A.; Marianneau, P.; Chua, K.B.; Lam, S.K.; Buckland, R.; Deubel, V.; et al. Nipah virus: Vaccination and passive protection studies in a hamster model. *J. Virol.* **2004**, *78*, 834–840. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.78.2.834-840.2004)
- 75. Lo, M.K.; Bird, B.H.; Chattopadhyay, A.; Drew, C.P.; Martin, B.E.; Coleman, J.D.; Rose, J.K.; Nichol, S.T.; Spiropoulou, C.F. Single-dose replication-defective VSV-based Nipah virus vaccines provide protection from lethal challenge in Syrian hamsters. *Antivir. Res.* **2014**, *101*, 26–29. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2013.10.012) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24184127)
- 76. Yoneda, M.; Georges-Courbot, M.C.; Ikeda, F.; Ishii, M.; Nagata, N.; Jacquot, F.; Raoul, H.; Sato, H.; Kai, C. Recombinant measles virus vaccine expressing the Nipah virus glycoprotein protects against lethal Nipah virus challenge. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e58414. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0058414) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23516477)
- 77. De Wit, E.; Bushmaker, T.; Scott, D.; Feldmann, H.; Munster, V.J. Nipah Virus Transmission in a Hamster Model. *PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis.* **2011**, *5*, e1432. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001432)
- 78. Rockx, B.; Brining, D.; Kramer, J.; Callison, J.; Ebihara, H.; Mansfield, K.; Feldmann, H. Clinical outcome of henipavirus infection in hamsters is determined by the route and dose of infection. *J. Virol.* **2011**, *85*, 7658–7671. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00473-11)
- 79. DeBuysscher, B.L.; de Wit, E.; Munster, V.J.; Scott, D.; Feldmann, H.; Prescott, J. Comparison of the Pathogenicity of Nipah Virus Isolates from Bangladesh and Malaysia in the Syrian Hamster. *PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis.* **2013**, *7*, e2024. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002024)
- 80. Escaffre, O.; Hill, T.; Ikegami, T.; Juelich, T.L.; Smith, J.K.; Zhang, L.; Perez, D.E.; Atkins, C.; Park, A.; Lawrence, W.S.; et al. Experimental Infection of Syrian Hamsters With Aerosolized Nipah Virus. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2018**, *218*, 1602–1610. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiy357)
- 81. Ploquin, A.; Szécsi, J.; Mathieu, C.; Guillaume, V.; Barateau, V.; Ong, K.C.; Wong, K.T.; Cosset, F.-L.; Horvat, B.; Salvetti, A. Protection Against Henipavirus Infection by Use of Recombinant Adeno-Associated Virus–Vector Vaccines. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2012**, *207*, 469–478. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jis699)
- 82. Yoneda, M.; Guillaume, V.; Sato, H.; Fujita, K.; Georges-Courbot, M.-C.; Ikeda, F.; Omi, M.; Muto-Terao, Y.; Wild, T.F.; Kai, C. The Nonstructural Proteins of Nipah Virus Play a Key Role in Pathogenicity in Experimentally Infected Animals. *PLoS ONE* **2010**, *5*, e12709. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0012709)
- 83. Findlay-Wilson, S.; Flett, L.; Salguero, F.J.; Ruedas-Torres, I.; Fotheringham, S.; Easterbrook, L.; Graham, V.; Dowall, S. Establishment of a Nipah Virus Disease Model in Hamsters, including a Comparison of Intranasal and Intraperitoneal Routes of Challenge. *Pathogens* **2023**, *12*, 976. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens12080976)
- 84. Mathieu, C.; Guillaume, V.; Volchkova, V.A.; Pohl, C.; Jacquot, F.; Looi, R.Y.; Wong, K.T.; Legras-Lachuer, C.; Volchkov, V.E.; Lachuer, J.; et al. Nonstructural Nipah Virus C Protein Regulates both the Early Host Proinflammatory Response and Viral Virulence. *J. Virol.* **2012**, *86*, 10766–10775. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01203-12) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22837207)
- 85. De Wit, E.; Prescott, J.; Falzarano, D.; Bushmaker, T.; Scott, D.; Feldmann, H.; Munster, V.J. Foodborne Transmission of Nipah Virus in Syrian Hamsters. *PLoS Pathog.* **2014**, *10*, e1004001. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004001) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24626480)
- 86. Hooper, P.T.; Westbury, H.A.; Russell, G.M. The Lesions of Experimental Equine Morbillivirus Disease in Cats and Guinea Pigs. *Vet. Pathol.* **1997**, *34*, 323–329. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1177/030098589703400408) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9240841)
- 87. Williamson, M.M.; Hooper, P.T.; Selleck, P.W.; Westbury, H.A.; Slocombe, R.F. A guinea-pig model of Hendra virus encephalitis. *J. Comp. Pathol.* **2001**, *124*, 273–279. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1053/jcpa.2001.0464)
- 88. Williamson, M.M.; Hooper, P.T.; Selleck, P.W.; Westbury, H.A.; Slocombe, R.F. Experimental hendra virus infection in pregnant guinea-pigs and fruit Bats (*Pteropus poliocephalus*). *J. Comp. Pathol.* **2000**, *122*, 201–207. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1053/jcpa.1999.0364) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10684689)
- 89. Li, M.; Embury-Hyatt, C.; Weingartl, H.M. Experimental inoculation study indicates swine as a potential host for Hendra virus. *Vet. Res.* **2010**, *41*, 33. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1051/vetres/2010005) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20167195)
- 90. Middleton, D.J.; Morrissy, C.J.; van der Heide, B.M.; Russell, G.M.; Braun, M.A.; Westbury, H.A.; Halpin, K.; Daniels, P.W. Experimental Nipah virus infection in pteropid bats (*Pteropus poliocephalus*). *J. Comp. Pathol.* **2007**, *136*, 266–272. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcpa.2007.03.002) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17498518)
- 91. Torres-Velez, F.J.; Shieh, W.-J.; Rollin, P.E.; Morken, T.; Brown, C.; Ksiazek, T.G.; Zaki, S.R. Histopathologic and Immunohistochemical Characterization of Nipah Virus Infection in the Guinea Pig. *Vet. Pathol.* **2008**, *45*, 576–585. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1354/vp.45-4-576)
- 92. Bossart, K.N.; Zhu, Z.; Middleton, D.; Klippel, J.; Crameri, G.; Bingham, J.; McEachern, J.A.; Green, D.; Hancock, T.J.; Chan, Y.-P. A neutralizing human monoclonal antibody protects against lethal disease in a new ferret model of acute nipah virus infection. *PLoS Pathog.* **2009**, *5*, e1000642. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000642)
- 93. Pallister, J.; Middleton, D.; Wang, L.-F.; Klein, R.; Haining, J.; Robinson, R.; Yamada, M.; White, J.; Payne, J.; Feng, Y.-R. A recombinant Hendra virus G glycoprotein-based subunit vaccine protects ferrets from lethal Hendra virus challenge. *Vaccine* **2011**, *29*, 5623–5630. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.06.015) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21689706)
- 94. Pallister, J.A.; Klein, R.; Arkinstall, R.; Haining, J.; Long, F.; White, J.R.; Payne, J.; Feng, Y.-R.; Wang, L.-F.; Broder, C.C. Vaccination of ferrets with a recombinant G glycoprotein subunit vaccine provides protection against Nipah virus disease for over 12 months. *Virol. J.* **2013**, *10*, 237. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-10-237) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23867060)
- 95. Leon, A.J.; Borisevich, V.; Boroumand, N.; Seymour, R.; Nusbaum, R.; Escaffre, O.; Xu, L.; Kelvin, D.J.; Rockx, B. Host gene expression profiles in ferrets infected with genetically distinct henipavirus strains. *PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis.* **2018**, *12*, e0006343. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006343) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29538374)
- 96. Mire, C.E.; Chan, Y.-P.; Borisevich, V.; Cross, R.W.; Yan, L.; Agans, K.N.; Dang, H.V.; Veesler, D.; Fenton, K.A.; Geisbert, T.W.; et al. A Cross-Reactive Humanized Monoclonal Antibody Targeting Fusion Glycoprotein Function Protects Ferrets Against Lethal Nipah Virus and Hendra Virus Infection. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2020**, *221*, S471–S479. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiz515) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31686101)
- 97. Clayton, B.A.; Middleton, D.; Arkinstall, R.; Frazer, L.; Wang, L.-F.; Marsh, G.A. The Nature of Exposure Drives Transmission of Nipah Viruses from Malaysia and Bangladesh in Ferrets. *PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis.* **2016**, *10*, e0004775. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004775) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27341030)
- 98. Clayton, B.A.; Middleton, D.; Bergfeld, J.; Haining, J.; Arkinstall, R.; Wang, L.; Marsh, G.A. Transmission Routes for Nipah Virus from Malaysia and Bangladesh. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2012**, *18*, 1983–1993. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1812.120875)
- 99. Pallister, J.; Middleton, D.; Crameri, G.; Yamada, M.; Klein, R.; Hancock, T.J.; Foord, A.; Shiell, B.; Michalski, W.; Broder, C.C.; et al. Chloroquine Administration Does Not Prevent Nipah Virus Infection and Disease in Ferrets. *J. Virol.* **2009**, *83*, 11979–11982. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01847-09)
- 100. Satterfield, B.A.; Borisevich, V.; Foster, S.L.; Rodriguez, S.E.; Cross, R.W.; Fenton, K.A.; Agans, K.N.; Basler, C.F.; Geisbert, T.W.; Mire, C.E. Antagonism of STAT1 by Nipah virus P gene products modulates disease course but not lethal outcome in the ferret model. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 16710. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-53037-0)
- 101. Satterfield, B.A.; Cross, R.W.; Fenton, K.A.; Agans, K.N.; Basler, C.F.; Geisbert, T.W.; Mire, C.E. The immunomodulating V and W proteins of Nipah virus determine disease course. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 7483. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8483)
- 102. Satterfield, B.A.; Cross, R.W.; Fenton, K.A.; Borisevich, V.; Agans, K.N.; Deer, D.J.; Graber, J.; Basler, C.F.; Geisbert, T.W.; Mire, C.E. Nipah Virus C and W Proteins Contribute to Respiratory Disease in Ferrets. *J. Virol.* **2016**, *90*, 6326–6343. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00215-16)
- 103. Geisbert, T.W.; Daddario-DiCaprio, K.M.; Hickey, A.C.; Smith, M.A.; Chan, Y.P.; Wang, L.F.; Mattapallil, J.J.; Geisbert, J.B.; Bossart, K.N.; Broder, C.C. Development of an acute and highly pathogenic nonhuman primate model of Nipah virus infection. *PLoS ONE* **2010**, *5*, e10690. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010690) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20502528)
- 104. Rockx, B.; Bossart, K.N.; Feldmann, F.; Geisbert, J.B.; Hickey, A.C.; Brining, D.; Callison, J.; Safronetz, D.; Marzi, A.; Kercher, L.; et al. A novel model of lethal Hendra virus infection in African green monkeys and the effectiveness of ribavirin treatment. *J. Virol.* **2010**, *84*, 9831–9839. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01163-10) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20660198)
- 105. Prasad, A.N.; Woolsey, C.; Geisbert, J.B.; Agans, K.N.; Borisevich, V.; Deer, D.J.; Mire, C.E.; Cross, R.W.; Fenton, K.A.; Broder, C.C.; et al. Resistance of Cynomolgus Monkeys to Nipah and Hendra Virus Disease Is Associated with Cell-Mediated and Humoral Immunity. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2020**, *221*, S436–S447. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiz613) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32022850)
- 106. Stevens, C.S.; Lowry, J.; Juelich, T.; Atkins, C.; Johnson, K.; Smith, J.K.; Panis, M.; Ikegami, T.; tenOever, B.; Freiberg, A.N.; et al. Nipah Virus Bangladesh Infection Elicits Organ-Specific Innate and Inflammatory Responses in the Marmoset Model. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2023**, *228*, 604–614. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiad053) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36869692)
- 107. Woolsey, C.; Borisevich, V.; Fears, A.C.; Agans, K.N.; Deer, D.J.; Prasad, A.N.; O'Toole, R.; Foster, S.L.; Dobias, N.S.; Geisbert, J.B.; et al. Recombinant vesicular stomatitis virus-vectored vaccine induces long-lasting immunity against Nipah virus disease. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2023**, *133*, e164946. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI164946)
- 108. Bossart, K.N.; Geisbert, T.W.; Feldmann, H.; Zhu, Z.; Feldmann, F.; Geisbert, J.B.; Yan, L.; Feng, Y.R.; Brining, D.; Scott, D.; et al. A neutralizing human monoclonal antibody protects african green monkeys from hendra virus challenge. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2011**, *3*, 105ra103. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3002901)
- 109. Mire, C.E.; Geisbert, J.B.; Agans, K.N.; Feng, Y.R.; Fenton, K.A.; Bossart, K.N.; Yan, L.; Chan, Y.P.; Broder, C.C.; Geisbert, T.W. A recombinant Hendra virus G glycoprotein subunit vaccine protects nonhuman primates against Hendra virus challenge. *J. Virol.* **2014**, *88*, 4624–4631. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00005-14)
- 110. Prasad, A.N.; Agans, K.N.; Sivasubramani, S.K.; Geisbert, J.B.; Borisevich, V.; Mire, C.E.; Lawrence, W.S.; Fenton, K.A.; Geisbert, T.W. A Lethal Aerosol Exposure Model of Nipah Virus Strain Bangladesh in African Green Monkeys. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2020**, *221*, S431–S435. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiz469)
- 111. Lara, A.; Cong, Y.; Jahrling, P.B.; Mednikov, M.; Postnikova, E.; Yu, S.; Munster, V.; Holbrook, M.R. Peripheral immune response in the African green monkey model following Nipah-Malaysia virus exposure by intermediate-size particle aerosol. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2019**, *13*, e0007454. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007454)
- 112. Hammoud, D.A.; Lentz, M.R.; Lara, A.; Bohannon, J.K.; Feuerstein, I.; Huzella, L.; Jahrling, P.B.; Lackemeyer, M.; Laux, J.; Rojas, O.; et al. Aerosol exposure to intermediate size Nipah virus particles induces neurological disease in African green monkeys. *PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis.* **2018**, *12*, e0006978. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006978)
- 113. Cline, C.; Bell, T.M.; Facemire, P.; Zeng, X.; Briese, T.; Lipkin, W.I.; Shamblin, J.D.; Esham, H.L.; Donnelly, G.C.; Johnson, J.C.; et al. Detailed analysis of the pathologic hallmarks of Nipah virus (Malaysia) disease in the African green monkey infected by the intratracheal route. *PLoS ONE* **2022**, *17*, e0263834. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0263834) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35143571)
- 114. Johnston, S.C.; Briese, T.; Bell, T.M.; Pratt, W.D.; Shamblin, J.D.; Esham, H.L.; Donnelly, G.C.; Johnson, J.C.; Hensley, L.E.; Lipkin, W.I.; et al. Detailed Analysis of the African Green Monkey Model of Nipah Virus Disease. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0117817. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0117817) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25706617)
- 115. Cong, Y.; Lentz, M.R.; Lara, A.; Alexander, I.; Bartos, C.; Bohannon, J.K.; Hammoud, D.; Huzella, L.; Jahrling, P.B.; Janosko, K.; et al. Loss in lung volume and changes in the immune response demonstrate disease progression in African green monkeys infected by small-particle aerosol and intratracheal exposure to Nipah virus. *PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis.* **2017**, *11*, e0005532. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005532)
- 116. Mire, C.E.; Satterfield, B.A.; Geisbert, J.B.; Agans, K.N.; Borisevich, V.; Yan, L.; Chan, Y.P.; Cross, R.W.; Fenton, K.A.; Broder, C.C.; et al. Pathogenic Differences between Nipah Virus Bangladesh and Malaysia Strains in Primates: Implications for Antibody Therapy. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 30916. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep30916)
- 117. Bossart, K.N.; Rockx, B.; Feldmann, F.; Brining, D.; Scott, D.; LaCasse, R.; Geisbert, J.B.; Feng, Y.-R.; Chan, Y.-P.; Hickey, A.C.; et al. A Hendra Virus G Glycoprotein Subunit Vaccine Protects African Green Monkeys from Nipah Virus Challenge. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2012**, *4*, 146ra107. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3004241) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22875827)
- 118. Foster, S.L.; Woolsey, C.; Borisevich, V.; Agans, K.N.; Prasad, A.N.; Deer, D.J.; Geisbert, J.B.; Dobias, N.S.; Fenton, K.A.; Cross, R.W.; et al. A recombinant VSV-vectored vaccine rapidly protects nonhuman primates against lethal Nipah virus disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2022**, *119*, e2200065119. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2200065119) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35286211)
- 119. de Wit, E.; Feldmann, F.; Cronin, J.; Goldin, K.; Mercado-Hernandez, R.; Williamson, B.N.; Meade-White, K.; Okumura, A.; Callison, J.; Weatherman, S.; et al. Distinct VSV-based Nipah virus vaccines expressing either glycoprotein G or fusion protein F provide homologous and heterologous protection in a nonhuman primate model. *eBioMedicine* **2023**, *87*, 104405. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.104405) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36508878)
- 120. Geisbert, J.B.; Borisevich, V.; Prasad, A.N.; Agans, K.N.; Foster, S.L.; Deer, D.J.; Cross, R.W.; Mire, C.E.; Geisbert, T.W.; Fenton, K.A. An Intranasal Exposure Model of Lethal Nipah Virus Infection in African Green Monkeys. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2020**, *221*, S414–S418. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiz391)
- 121. Lo, M.K.; Feldmann, F.; Gary, J.M.; Jordan, R.; Bannister, R.; Cronin, J.; Patel, N.R.; Klena, J.D.; Nichol, S.T.; Cihlar, T.; et al. Remdesivir (GS-5734) protects African green monkeys from Nipah virus challenge. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2019**, *11*, eaau9242. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aau9242)
- 122. Mire, C.E.; Geisbert, J.B.; Agans, K.N.; Versteeg, K.M.; Deer, D.J.; Satterfield, B.A.; Fenton, K.A.; Geisbert, T.W. Use of Single-Injection Recombinant Vesicular Stomatitis Virus Vaccine to Protect Nonhuman Primates Against Lethal Nipah Virus Disease. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2019**, *25*, 1144–1152. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2506.181620)
- 123. Van Doremalen, N.; Avanzato, V.A.; Goldin, K.; Feldmann, F.; Schulz, J.E.; Haddock, E.; Okumura, A.; Lovaglio, J.; Hanley, P.W.; Cordova, K.; et al. ChAdOx1 NiV vaccination protects against lethal Nipah Bangladesh virus infection in African green monkeys. *NPJ Vaccines* **2022**, *7*, 171. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41541-022-00592-9) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36543806)
- 124. Marianneau, P.; Guillaume, V.; Wong, K.T.; Badmanathan, M.; Looi, R.Y.; Murri, S.; Loth, P.; Tordo, N.; Wild, T.F.; Horvat, B.; et al. Experimental Infection of Squirrel Monkeys with Nipah Virus. *Emerg. Infect. Dis. J.* **2010**, *16*, 507. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1603.091346) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20202432)
- 125. Mungall, B.A.; Middleton, D.; Crameri, G.; Bingham, J.; Halpin, K.; Russell, G.; Green, D.; McEachern, J.; Pritchard, L.I.; Eaton, B.T.; et al. Feline model of acute nipah virus infection and protection with a soluble glycoprotein-based subunit vaccine. *J. Virol.* **2006**, *80*, 12293–12302. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01619-06) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17005664)
- 126. Hooper, P.T.; Ketterer, P.J.; Hyatt, A.D.; Russell, G.M. Lesions of Experimental Equine Morbillivirus Pneumonia in Horses. *Vet. Pathol.* **1997**, *34*, 312–322. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1177/030098589703400407) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9240840)
- 127. Marsh, G.; Haining, J.; Hancock, T.; Robinson, R.; Foord, A.; Barr, J.; Riddell, S.; Heine, H.; White, J.; Crameri, G.; et al. Experimental Infection of Horses with Hendra Virus/Australia/Horse/2008/Redlands. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2011**, *17*, 2232–2238. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1712.111162)
- 128. Halpin, K.; Graham, K.; Durr, P.A. Sero-Monitoring of Horses Demonstrates the Equivac® HeV Hendra Virus Vaccine to Be Highly Effective in Inducing Neutralising Antibody Titres. *Vaccines* **2021**, *9*, 731. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9070731)
- 129. Chua, K.B. Nipah virus outbreak in Malaysia. *J. Clin. Virol.* **2003**, *26*, 265–275. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1386-6532(02)00268-8)
- 130. Middleton, D.J.; Westbury, H.A.; Morrissy, C.J.; van der Heide, B.M.; Russell, G.M.; Braun, M.A.; Hyatt, A.D. Experimental Nipah Virus Infection in Pigs and Cats. *J. Comp. Pathol.* **2002**, *126*, 124–136. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1053/jcpa.2001.0532)
- 131. Weingartl, H.M.; Berhane, Y.; Caswell, J.L.; Loosmore, S.; Audonnet, J.-C.; Roth, J.A.; Czub, M. Recombinant Nipah Virus Vaccines Protect Pigs against Challenge. *J. Virol.* **2006**, *80*, 7929–7938. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00263-06)
- 132. Pickering, B.S.; Hardham, J.M.; Smith, G.; Weingartl, E.T.; Dominowski, P.J.; Foss, D.L.; Mwangi, D.; Broder, C.C.; Roth, J.A.; Weingartl, H.M. Protection against henipaviruses in swine requires both, cell-mediated and humoral immune response. *Vaccine* **2016**, *34*, 4777–4786. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.08.028)
- 133. Pedrera, M.; Macchi, F.; McLean, R.K.; Franceschi, V.; Thakur, N.; Russo, L.; Medfai, L.; Todd, S.; Tchilian, E.Z.; Audonnet, J.-C.; et al. Bovine Herpesvirus-4-Vectored Delivery of Nipah Virus Glycoproteins Enhances T Cell Immunogenicity in Pigs. *Vaccines* **2020**, *8*, 115. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines8010115) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32131403)
- 134. Berhane, Y.; Weingartl, H.M.; Lopez, J.; Neufeld, J.; Czub, S.; Embury-Hyatt, C.; Goolia, M.; Copps, J.; Czub, M. Bacterial Infections in Pigs Experimentally Infected with Nipah Virus. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* **2008**, *55*, 165–174. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1865-1682.2008.01021.x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18405339)
- 135. Stachowiak, B.; Weingartl, H.M. Nipah Virus Infects Specific Subsets of Porcine Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e30855. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0030855) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22303463)
- 136. Weingartl, H.; Czub, S.; Copps, J.; Berhane, Y.; Middleton, D.; Marszal, P.; Gren, J.; Smith, G.; Ganske, S.; Manning, L.; et al. Invasion of the Central Nervous System in a Porcine Host by Nipah Virus. *J. Virol.* **2005**, *79*, 7528–7534. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.79.12.7528-7534.2005) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15919907)
- 137. Kasloff, S.B.; Leung, A.; Pickering, B.S.; Smith, G.; Moffat, E.; Collignon, B.; Embury-Hyatt, C.; Kobasa, D.; Weingartl, H.M. Pathogenicity of Nipah henipavirus Bangladesh in a swine host. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 5230. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-40476-y) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30914663)
- 138. Kirkland, P.D.; Gabor, M.; Poe, I.; Neale, K.; Chaffey, K.; Finlaison, D.S.; Gu, X.; Hick, P.M.; Read, A.J.; Wright, T.; et al. Hendra Virus Infection in Dog, Australia, 2013. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2015**, *21*, 2182–2185. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2112.151324)
- 139. Middleton, D.; Riddell, S.; Klein, R.; Arkinstall, R.; Haining, J.; Frazer, L.; Mottley, C.; Evans, R.; Johnson, D.; Pallister, J. Experimental Hendra virus infection of dogs: Virus replication, shedding and potential for transmission. *Aust. Vet. J.* **2017**, *95*, 10–18. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/avj.12552)
- 140. Field, H.; Young, P.; Yob, J.M.; Mills, J.; Hall, L.; Mackenzie, J. The natural history of Hendra and Nipah viruses. *Microbes Infect.* **2001**, *3*, 307–314. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1286-4579(01)01384-3)
- 141. Mills, J.N.; Alim, A.N.M.; Bunning, M.L.; Lee, O.B.; Wagoner, K.D.; Amman, B.R.; Stockton, P.C.; Ksiazek, T.G. Nipah Virus Infection in Dogs, Malaysia, 1999. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2009**, *15*, 950–952. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1506.080453)
- 142. Hooper, P.; Zaki, S.; Daniels, P.; Middleton, D. Comparative pathology of the diseases caused by Hendra and Nipah viruses. *Microbes Infect.* **2001**, *3*, 315–322. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1286-4579(01)01385-5)
- 143. Westbury, H.; Hooper, P.; Brouwer, S.; Selleck, P. Susceptibility of cats to equine morbillivirus. *Aust. Vet. J.* **1996**, *74*, 132–134. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-0813.1996.tb14813.x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8894019)
- 144. Williamson, M.; Hooper, P.; Selleck, P.; Gleeson, L.; Daniels, P.; Westbury, H.; Murray, P. Transmission studies of Hendra virus (equine morbilli-virus) in fruit bats, horses and cats. *Aust. Vet. J.* **1998**, *76*, 813–818. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-0813.1998.tb12335.x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9972433)
- 145. Mungall, B.A.; Middleton, D.; Crameri, G.; Halpin, K.; Bingham, J.; Eaton, B.T.; Broder, C.C. Vertical Transmission and Fetal Replication of Nipah Virus in an Experimentally Infected Cat. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2007**, *196*, 812–816. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1086/520818) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17703410)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.