



# *Article* **Aeolian Sand Test with True Triaxial Stress Path Achieved by Pseudo-Triaxial Apparatus**

**Zhigang Ma 1,2 and Xuefeng Li 1,2,\***

- <sup>1</sup> School of Physics and Electronic-Electrical Engineering, Ningxia University, Yinchuan 750021, China; mazhigangl@163.com
- <sup>2</sup> Solid Mechanics Institute, Ningxia University, Yinchuan 750021, China
- **\*** Correspondence: lixuefeng1928@163.com

**Abstract:** Aeolian sand is a special roadbed filler, but its three-dimensional mechanical properties are rarely studied. To obtain the characteristic of its deformation, strength on the deviatoric plane, and failure in three dimensions, a series of triaxial drained tests on aeolian sand in the Tengger Desert, under the condition of the constant average principal stress, *p*, were conducted by an equivalent alternative method to achieve a true triaxial stress path by a pseudo-triaxial apparatus. The results show that the method can better determine the strength. The peak shear stress decreases gradually with the increase of the intermediate principal stress coefficient, *b*, at the same *p*. Compared with the SMP and Mohr–Coulomb criteria, the peak shear stress is near the strength lines predicted by both criteria. At a lower *p*, the specimen exhibited strain-softening behaviours, but at a higher *p*, it showed hardening behaviours. Under the conditions of a higher *p* and lower *b*, the specimen exhibited contraction first and then dilatancy. The specimen deformation is greatly affected by anisotropy, and as the *p-*value increases, the effect of the initial anisotropy on the specimen begins to weaken. The *ε*s (generalized shear strain)/*η* (stress ratio)-*ε*s curves, can be expressed by a linear equation, of which the slope is affected by the *b-*value. The experiment verifies the feasibility and rationality of the equivalent method. The test data provide support for the maintenance of desert roadbeds and the sustainable development of the economy and society in ecologically fragile areas.

**Keywords:** aeolian sand; stress path; deviatoric plane; shear strength; deformation behaviour

# **1. Introduction**

About 36 million square kilometres, or 24 percent of the Earth's total land area, is desertified globally. With human activities, many projects are constructed in the desert, such as the construction of the desert highway. However, the special properties of aeolian sand make it easy for subgrades to produce sustainable settlements, which leads to embankment and foundation construction failures, which then seriously affects the sustainability of highway operations. Aeolian sand is a special roadbed filler that is widely distributed in desert areas; however, there are only a few basic experiments. In particular, its threedimensional mechanical characteristics have received little attention. For studying the three-dimensional mechanical behavior of soil, the true triaxial apparatus (TTA) is a popular device that controls three orthogonal directions for loading independently and provides a software and hardware basis for determining the soil's mechanical behaviour in three dimensions. The pseudo-triaxial specimen (PTA) is loaded in an axisymmetric stress state, which can only control the stress in the axial and radial directions independently. Therefore, the PTA cannot measure the influence of the intermediate principal stress on the deformation and strength, which severely limits its measurement. However, the development of the TTA overcomes the crucial defect of the PTA, theoretically, and becomes an ideal device for element testing.

Since Kjellman [\[1\]](#page-13-0) first designed a TTA, it has opened a new era in the study of the three-dimensional mechanical behaviours of soil, as shown by Bishop [\[2\]](#page-13-1), who tested it on



**Citation:** Ma, Z.; Li, X. Aeolian Sand Test with True Triaxial Stress Path Achieved by Pseudo-Triaxial Apparatus. *Sustainability* **2023**, *15*, 8328. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/su15108328) [su15108328](https://doi.org/10.3390/su15108328)

Academic Editors: Yutao Pan, Qiujing Pan and Hui Xu

Received: 20 April 2023 Revised: 11 May 2023 Accepted: 17 May 2023 Published: 19 May 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

dry sand, Ko et al. [\[3\]](#page-13-2) and Alshibli et al. [\[4\]](#page-13-3), who tested it on Ottawa sand, Lade et al. [\[5\]](#page-13-4), who tested it on Monterey sand, Gao et al. [\[6\]](#page-13-5), who tested it on Toyoura sand, Zhang et al. [\[7\]](#page-13-6), who tested it on standard sand, and Li et al.  $[8,9]$  $[8,9]$ , who tested it on aeolian sand at different confining pressures and different intermediate principal stress coefficients. These test results show that the peak of the internal friction angle first increases and then decreases with the increase of *b*. The peak internal friction angle of triaxial tension is significantly higher than that of triaxial compression. Moreover, sand dilatation is also significantly affected by the intermediate principal stress and the anisotropy characteristics. The results obtained by Nakai et al. [\[10\]](#page-13-9), Reddy et al. [\[11\]](#page-13-10), Matsuoka et al. [\[12\]](#page-13-11), and Choi et al. [\[13\]](#page-14-0) for different soils with a constant *p* show that soil deformations are directly bound up with the stress path. In addition, Shao et al. [\[14\]](#page-14-1) studied the lateral deformation characteristics of soil in the shearing process at different stress states. Suits et al. [\[15\]](#page-14-2) and Lade et al. [\[16](#page-14-3)[–19\]](#page-14-4) conducted a true triaxial test on dense sand to study the deformation and failure characteristics of transverse isotropic sand. Lu et al. [\[20\]](#page-14-5) simulated the true triaxial tests of Ochiai and Lade [\[21\]](#page-14-6) on Cambria sand to study the influences of its inherent cross-anisotropy and bifurcation characteristics. Furthermore, the TTA is also adopted to carry out plane strain tests [\[22](#page-14-7)[,23\]](#page-14-8). Some apply it to study the small strain behaviour of granular soil [\[24\]](#page-14-9). The TTA has been widely used in complex stress path tests to obtain soil mechanics; the constitutive theory based on the test results has also been developed by leaps and bounds. The application of the TTA has solved many complicated challenges, but unfortunately, it has not been widely popular because of its inherent problems, such as the collision of rigid loading [\[25\]](#page-14-10), boundary friction [\[26](#page-14-11)[,27\]](#page-14-12), and measurement accuracy [\[28](#page-14-13)[,29\]](#page-14-14). To avoid those problems, the deformation gaps are reserved [\[30\]](#page-14-15), which will lead to uneven stress and strain [\[31\]](#page-14-16). The above all affect the accurate determination of soil behaviour. In contrast, the pseudo-triaxial test, which originated earlier, is simple to conduct, and most of the existing engineering applications and model validations are still based on pseudo-triaxial test data [\[32](#page-14-17)[–35\]](#page-14-18). Based on the above analysis, Li and Ma [\[36\]](#page-14-19) proposed a method to equivalently achieve the true triaxial stress path with the PTA, which makes it possible to study soil's mechanical behaviour in three-dimensional spaces.

Based on the proposed method, the pseudo-triaxial stress path and the true triaxial stress path are maintained to be consistent in a *p-q* space, and the true triaxial stress path can be equivalently achieved by the PTA. For a detailed description of the method, please refer to our patent [\[36\]](#page-14-19). According to this method, the PTA was used to conduct the stress path tests on aeolian sand in the Tengger Desert under drained conditions, with a *p* of 100 kPa, 300 kPa, 600 kPa, and 900 kPa, respectively. The purpose was to achieve the stress path at different stress states while meanwhile verifying the feasibility and rationality of the method. Furthermore, we will discuss the mechanical parameters on the deviatoric plane and the deformation characteristics of aeolian sand. The description of these features is conducive to improving the sustainability of buildings such as roadbeds.

#### **2. Experimental Procedures**

#### *2.1. Pseudo-Triaxial Apparatus*

The apparatus can carry out constant stress and constant strain control, which can achieve various static tests of soil mechanics. The schematic diagram is shown in Figure [1.](#page-2-0) Each part of the apparatus is controlled by a single-chip microcomputer, which can cooperate with computer work independently. The data acquisition apparatus can exchange data with the computer and collect and process data in real time. The constant strain control rate range is  $0.002 \sim 4$  mm/min, and the accuracy is  $\pm 1\%$ . The measuring range of the axial pressure sensor is  $0 \sim 30$  kN, and the accuracy is  $\pm 1\%$ . The measuring range of the confining pressure controller is  $0 \sim 1.99$  MPa, the measuring range of the back-pressure controller is 0~0.99 MPa, and the accuracy is  $\pm$ 0.5% FS (Full Scale).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

**Figure 1.** Schematic diagram of triaxial apparatus. **Figure 1.** Schematic diagram of triaxial apparatus. *2.2. Material Parameters of Aeolian Sand* 

# 2.2. Material Parameters of Aeolian Sand was sampled from the hinterland of the hinterland of the Tengger Deser

The aeolian sand was sampled from the hinterland of the Tengger Desert, China, which is widely representative. The natural moisture content was  $0.14\%$ , the maximum density was  $1.68 \text{ g/cm}^3$ , the minimum density was  $1.40 \text{ g/cm}^3$ , and the specific gravity was 2.67. Figure 2 shows t[he](#page-2-1) particle size distribution curve measured by a laser particle size curve, and the differential distribution (blue curve) is the percentage of a particle size's mass in the total mass. From Figure [2,](#page-2-1) it can be concluded that the coarse end particle size,  $d_{97}$ , is 0.5, the constrained grain size,  $d_{60}$ , is 0.35, the median diameter,  $d_{30}$ , is 0.30, the effective grain diameter,  $d_{10}$ , is 0.26, the fine end grain size,  $d_3$ , is 0.23, the specific surface area,  $S/V$ , is 2.1 cm<sup>2</sup>/cm<sup>3</sup>, and the coefficient of nonuniformity,  $C_{\text{u}}$ , is 1.42. The coefficient of the curvature,  $C_c$  is 0.97, the fine grain content is less than 5%, and the classified aeolian sand is poorly graded sand. The particle mass with grain size larger than 0.075 mm exceeds 85% of the total mass, and the aeolian sand is classified as fine sand. analyser. The integral distribution (red curve) is the cumulative particle size distribution

<span id="page-2-1"></span>

**Figure 2.** Particle size distribution curve of aeolian sand. **Figure 2.** Particle size distribution curve of aeolian sand.

# 2.3. Specimen Preparation *2.3. Specimen Preparation 2.3. Specimen Preparation*

Figure 3. **Figure 3.** Particle size was a diameter, *Φ*, of 39.1 mm  $\times$  height 80 mm. In the process of specimen preparation, the relative density was controlled to be  $D_r = 0.37$ , the initial Particle size (mm) of specimen preparation, the relative density was controlled to be  $D_r = 0.37$ , the initial The specimen's size was a diameter, *Φ*, of 39.1 mm × height 80 mm. In the process

void ratio was  $e_0 = 0.79$ , and the medium-density specimen with a density of 1.49 g/cm<sup>3</sup> was prepared. To reduce the influence of the rubber membrane, a vacuum pump was  $\frac{1}{2}$ . adopted to pump the air in the confined space (the space between the split mould and the rubber membrane) to make the rubber membrane close to the inner wall of the split mould. The specimens were pr[ep](#page-3-0)ared by the layered falling sand method (Figure 3). The aeolian sand was evenly dropped into the specified height so that the density of each layer of the specimen was consistent. Moreover, to reduce the error of manual specimen preparation and ensure its stability, it was necessary to control the height error of the specimen to be less than 1 mm, i.e., less than 1.5% of the specimen's height. After the specimen was prepared, the vacuum pump was transferred to the back-pressure valve, and the other valves connected to the specimen were closed. A negative pressure of 20 kPa was maintained by the vacuum pump to fix the specimen's shape. Finally, by opening the split mould, installing the triaxial cell, filling it with water, and pre-applying the confining pressure of 20 kPa, the specimen installation was completed. It should be noted that the specimen's size should be strictly controlled in the specimen preparation process. The specimen preparation method should be consistent to ensure stability and repeatability and reduce the error of manual specimen preparation.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Figure 3.** Specimen preparation process. **Figure 3.** Specimen preparation process.

ration were carried out. Because the air in the specimen was compressible, it increased the difficulty of parametric measurement and reduced the reliability of the results. Therefore, the hydrostatic head saturation was carried out first, and the air in the specimen was displaced by de-aired water so that the three-phase body composed of solid, liquid, and gas became a two-phase body composed of solid and liquid. After that, back-pressure saturation was performed to eliminate the influence. When the saturability reached more than 98%, the specimen was saturated. After the saturation procedure, the specimen was  $\frac{1}{10}$ the subjected to isotropic consomation, and the consomation was considered complete when the volume of water discharged from the specimen was less than 1% within 30 min. After the specimen was installed, hydrostatic head saturation and back-pressure satusubjected to isotropic consolidation, and the consolidation was considered complete when

# **3. Test Method and Strength Criterion**

#### *3.1. Test Method Introduction*

Testing and describing the mechanical behaviour of soil in three-dimensional space is the focus of geotechnical scholars. The TTA is an ideal device for element testing. However, it has not been widely popular because of its inherent problems. Thus, the author proposed an equivalent alternative method to measure the three-dimensional mechanical behaviour of soil. The method can determine the spatial mechanical behaviour by a pseudo-triaxial apparatus, which significantly reduces the testing cost. An introduction to the method is as follows.

The TTA can achieve any stress path on the deviatoric plane by controlling the average principal stress, *p*, the generalized shear stress, *q*, and the Lode angle,  $\theta_{\sigma}$ . Although the PTA cannot achieve the stress path directly, the stress path in the generalized stress space (the *p-q* space) can be kept consistent by two apparatuses simultaneously. Therefore, the PTA can equivalently achieve the same stress path as the TTA. The method proposed by the author et al. [\[36\]](#page-14-19) adopts a PTA to keep the stress path consistent with the true triaxial stress path in the *p-q* space to achieve the stress path test at a different *p* and *b*, which is an equivalent alternative method, not a true triaxial test in the real sense.

The average principal stress increment, d*p*, and generalized shear stress increments, d*q* and *b*, at true triaxial conditions are as follows.

$$
\begin{cases}\n\mathrm{d}p = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_1 + \mathrm{d}\sigma_2 + \mathrm{d}\sigma_3}{3} \\
\mathrm{d}q = \frac{(2\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - \sigma_3)\mathrm{d}\sigma_1 - (\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_2 + \sigma_3)\mathrm{d}\sigma_2 - (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - 2\sigma_3)\mathrm{d}\sigma_3}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)^2 + (\sigma_2 - \sigma_3)^2 + (\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)^2}} \\
\mathrm{d}\sigma_2 = b \mathrm{d}\sigma_1 + (1 - b) \mathrm{d}\sigma_3\n\end{cases} (1)
$$

where  $\sigma_1$  is the major principal stress;  $\sigma_2$  is the intermediate principal stress;  $\sigma_3$  is the minor principal stress;  $d\sigma_1$  is the major principal stress increment;  $d\sigma_2$  is the intermediate principal stress increment;  $d\sigma_3$  is the minor principal stress increment.

Here, we represent  $\sigma_2$  in terms of  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_3$  to the equivalent of the influence of  $\sigma_2$ . Therefore, Equation (2) is obtained from Equation (1) and reads:

$$
\mathrm{d}p = \frac{(1+b)\mathrm{d}\sigma_1 + (2-b)\mathrm{d}\sigma_3}{3} \tag{2}
$$

According to Equation (2), if it is required to achieve a constant *p* loading at a different *b*, that is,  $dp = 0$ , then:

$$
d\sigma_3 = \frac{b+1}{b-2} d\sigma_1 \tag{3}
$$

If *b* is regarded as a proportional coefficient, and  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_3$  are controlled using the PTA, it can be drawn from Equation (3) that the stress paths consistent with true triaxial stress paths can be achieved equivalently by controlling  $\sigma_1$  increases and  $\sigma_3$  decreases proportionally.

#### *3.2. Strength Criterion*

To reveal the peak strength of aeolian sand on the deviatoric plane and verify the feasibility and rationality of the method, two widely popular Mohr–Coulomb criteria were adopted to compare and analyse the peak points in this paper.

The general expression for the Mohr–Coulomb is as follows.

$$
q - M_f g(\theta_\sigma) p = 0 \tag{4}
$$

where  $M_f$  is the peak stress ratio of the triaxial test (*b* = 0,  $\theta_{\sigma}$  = −30°); *g*( $\theta_{\sigma}$ ) is the shape function. The expressions for *M<sup>f</sup>* are as follows.

$$
\begin{cases}\nM_f = \frac{6 \sin \varphi_f}{3 - \sin \varphi_f} (b = 0, \ \theta_{\sigma} = -30^{\circ}) \\
M_f = \frac{6 \sin \varphi_f}{3 + \sin \varphi_f} (b = 1, \ \theta_{\sigma} = 30^{\circ})\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(5)

where *ϕ<sup>f</sup>* is the peak internal friction angle.

The first is the spatially mobilized plane (SMP) obtained by Matsuoka and Nakai [\[37\]](#page-14-20), and its shape function,  $g(\theta_{\sigma})$ , is as follows.

$$
g(\theta_{\sigma}) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\beta^2 - \beta + 1}} \frac{1}{\cos \alpha}
$$
 (6)

where

$$
\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6} \cos^{-1}(-1 + \frac{27}{2} \frac{\beta^2 (1-\beta)^2}{(\beta^2 - \beta + 1)^3} \sin^2 3\theta_{\sigma}) & , \theta_{\sigma} \le 0 \\ \frac{\pi}{3} - \frac{1}{6} \cos^{-1}(-1 + \frac{27}{2} \frac{\beta^2 (1-\beta)^2}{(\beta^2 - \beta + 1)^3} \sin^2 3\theta_{\sigma}) & , \theta_{\sigma} > 0 \end{cases}
$$

where  $\beta$  is the ratio of the peak stress while  $\theta_{\sigma}$  is 30 $^{\circ}$  and  $-30^{\circ}$ , respectively, and reads.

$$
\beta = \frac{\left(M_f\right)_{\theta_{\sigma} = 30^{\circ}}}{\left(M_f\right)_{\theta_{\sigma} = -30^{\circ}}}
$$
\n(7)

The second is the Mohr–Coulomb criterion obtained by Bardet [\[38\]](#page-14-21) using a linear interpolation function, and its expression is as follows.

$$
g(\theta_{\sigma}) = \frac{\sqrt{3}\beta}{(\beta+1)\cos\theta_{\sigma} + \sqrt{3}(\beta-1)\sin\theta_{\sigma}}
$$
(8)

#### **4. Test Scheme and Results**

*4.1. Stress Path Test Scheme*

To study the strength and deformation characteristics of aeolian sand on the deviatoric plane, a PTA was adopted to conduct a stress path test at the drained condition when *p* was 100, 300, 600, and 900 kPa, and *b* was 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, and 1, respectively. The test scheme is shown in Table [1.](#page-5-0)

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 1.** Test scheme at constants *p* and *b*.

p(kPa)	h	<b>Loading Method</b>
100 300 600 900	$\theta$ 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8	The test adopts stress-controlled loading, and the constant $p$ loading is achieved by controlling the increase of $\sigma_1$ and the decrease of $\sigma_3$ ; by controlling the increase rate of $\sigma_1$ and the decrease rate of $\sigma_3$ , loading schemes of different <i>b</i> are achieved, i.e., $\Delta \sigma_3 = (b+1)/(b-2) \cdot \Delta \sigma_1$ ; the control ratios of $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_3$ are shown in Figure 4.

In the test, it is necessary to control the increasing rate of  $\sigma_1$  and the decrease rate of  $\sigma_3$  to load according to the set ratio (Equation (3)) so that the stress path's loading scheme of different *b* at the condition of a constant *p* can be achieved. Figure [5](#page-6-1) is a schematic diagram of the stress path after being processed by the proposed method in the deviatoric plane. Figure [6](#page-6-2) is a schematic diagram of the actual stress path's loading by PTA in the *p-q* space.

There are many influencing factors in the actual test process. To accurately verify the method and reveal the strength and deformation of aeolian sand, it is necessary to keep all of the controllable influence parameters completely consistent, such as the specimen preparation method and the specimen's compactness, saturation, consolidation conditions, loading rate, etc. The influence of the uncontrollable influence parameters should be minimized as much as possible.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>400



Figure 4. Schematic diagram of stress loading path.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>

**Figure 5.** The stress path after being processed. **Figure 5.** The stress path after being processed.

<span id="page-6-2"></span>

**Figure 6.** The designed stress path's loading by pseudo-triaxial. **Figure 6.** The designed stress path's loading by pseudo-triaxial.

−400

#### *4.2. Stress Path Test Results*

Figure [7](#page-7-0) shows the effective stress paths measured by a PTA at different loading Figure 7 shows the effective stress paths measured by a PTA at different loading conditions. Figure [8](#page-8-0) shows the stress path with a constant  $p$ , processed by the proposed method in the same test conditions. The information in Figure [7](#page-7-0) shows that the peak stress ratio of aeolian sand varies from 1.44 to 1.47 as *b* increases, with a change rate of about 2% and an average peak stress ratio of about 1.46, which is almost consistent. The results show *q*that aeolian sand has a unified critical state. n<br>et<br>i

*Sustainability* **2023**, *15*, x FOR PEER REVIEW 8 of 17

At the same *p*, the slope of the measured stress path gradually increases as *b* increases, but the slope of the critical state line gradually decreases (Figure [7\)](#page-7-0). The peak stress ratio *b*=0 *b*=0.2 is significantly affected by the *b*-value, i.e., as the *b*-value increases, the peak stress ratio decreases. Figure [8](#page-8-0) shows that all the stress paths of different *b* processed by the proposed *b*=0.8 *b*=1 method always remain plumb lines perpendicular to the *p*-axis. The stress path is always loaded along the design path, which shows that the method is feasible and controllable. Based on the above analysis, it is concluded that the equivalent stress paths can be achieved accurately by a PTA. When comparing the slope of the critical state line, the difference is more than 10%.

Figure [9a](#page-8-1)–d show the variation of *q* with an axial strain,  $\varepsilon_1$ , and a radial strain,  $\varepsilon_3$ , at *p* = 100, 300, 600, and 900 kPa. In all the  $q \sim \epsilon_1$  curves, *q* gradually decreases after reaching the peak value, and the  $q \sim \varepsilon_1$  curves show a strain-softening, indicating that *p* has a great influence on the curve shapes. As  $p$  increases, the peak strength at the same  $b$  increases gradually, and the strain corresponding to the peak strength increases. For example, when  $p = 100$  kPa,  $b = 0$ , the shear stress peaks at a strain of about 2.5%, while when  $p = 300$ , 600, and 900 kPa,  $b = 0$ , and the shear stress peaks at a strain of about 7%, 10%, and 12%, respectively. Moreover, the  $q \sim \varepsilon_1$  curves at other *b* also have similar laws. At the same  $p$  (such as  $p = 600$  kPa, Figure 9c), the peak of  $q$  gradually decreases as  $b$  increases, and the corresponding *ε*<sub>1</sub> gradually decreases while the peak is reached. The curves at other  $p$  ( $p=100$ , 300, and 900 kPa) also have similar variation laws. This is consistent with the mechanical properties and with the peak strength measured by true triaxial tests.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

**Figure 7.** Effective stress path measured by pseudo-triaxial apparatus. **Figure 7.** Effective stress path measured by pseudo-triaxial apparatus.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Figure 8.** Effective stress path processed by the proposed method. **Figure 8.** Effective stress path processed by the proposed method.



**Figure 9.** Relationships between principal strain component and deviatoric stress at the same  $p$  and different *b.* (**a**) *p* = 100 kPa. (**b**) *p* = 300 kPa. (**c**) *p* = 600 kPa. (**d**) *p* = 900 kPa.

Figure [10a](#page-9-0)–d show the variation of volumetric strain,  $\varepsilon_v$  and  $\varepsilon_1$ , at  $p = 100$ , 300, 600, and 900 kPa. It can be concluded that *ε*<sup>v</sup> is greater than 0 at *p* = 100 kPa and 300 kPa, and the specimen only occurs at dilatation. The  $\varepsilon_v$ - $\varepsilon_1$  curves at different *b* have a crossover phenomenon (Figure [10a](#page-9-0),b). Only at  $p = 600$  kPa and  $p = 900$  kPa does the  $\varepsilon$ <sub>v</sub> decrease first, and then it increases with the  $\varepsilon_1$  at different *b*, i.e., the specimen contracts first and then dilates. The contraction is most remarkable at  $b = 0$  and 0.2 and weaker at other  $b$ , indicating that the deformation and failure modes are greatly influenced by the test conditions of the constants *p* and *b* (Figure [10c](#page-9-0),d). In conclusion, the deformation failure mode of aeolian sand is mainly dilatancy, and it also shows the contraction first and then dilatancy when *p* is larger and *b* is smaller.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

**Figure 10.** Relationships between  $\varepsilon_v$  and  $\varepsilon_1$  at the same p and different b. (**a**)  $p = 100$  kPa. (**b**)  $p = 300$  kPa. (**c**) *p* = 600 kPa. (**d**) *p* = 900 kPa.

Figure [11a](#page-10-0)–d show the relationships between *ε*<sub>3</sub> and *ε*<sub>1</sub> at different loading conditions. tions. The *ε*1-*ε*3 curves show a linear variation, and their slopes are different, indicating The *ε*1-*ε*<sup>3</sup> curves show a linear variation, and their slopes are different, indicating that the that the deformation degree of the axial and radial is significantly affected by *p* and *b*. In *p* and *b*. In *i* deformation degree of the axial and radial is significantly affected by *p* and *b*. In addition, there is no obvious proportional relationship between the values of  $\varepsilon_3$  and  $\varepsilon_1$  at the same *b,* which is caused by the initial anisotropy of aeolian sand during specimen preparation.

However, at  $p = 900$  kPa, the slopes of the  $\varepsilon_1$ - $\varepsilon_3$  curves are almost the same, and the value of  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_3$  satisfies the proportional relationship of  $\varepsilon_1 \approx -2\varepsilon_3$ , which indicates that the deformation of the specimen deforms uniformly at this condition and is almost in an isotropic state.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Figure 11. Relationships between  $\varepsilon_3$  and  $\varepsilon_1$  at the same  $p$  and different  $b$ . (a)  $p = 100$  kPa. (b)  $p = 300$  kPa. (c)  $p = 600 \text{ kPa}$ . (d)  $p = 900 \text{ kPa}$ .

Figure 12a–d show the generalized stress ratio, *η*~, and generalized shear strain, *ε*s, Figure [12a](#page-11-0)–d show the generalized stress ratio, *η*~, and generalized shear strain, *ε*s, relationships and the  $\varepsilon_s/\eta \sim \varepsilon_s$  relationships at  $p = 100, 300, 600,$  and 900 kPa. The expressions for *η* and *ε*s are as follows. for *η* and *ε*s are as follows.

 $η$ 

$$
q = \frac{q}{p} \tag{9}
$$

$$
\varepsilon_{\rm s} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \sqrt{(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)^2 + (\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3)^2 + (\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_1)^2}
$$
(10)

where  $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3$ . Compared with Figure [12\(](#page-11-0)a1–d1), it can be concluded that the peak value of *η* decreases with the increase of *b*, which is consistent with the variation law of the true *triaxial test in the same loading conditions.* 

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

Figure 12. Relationships between stress ratio and  $\varepsilon_s/\eta$  with generalized shear strain  $\varepsilon_s$ . (a)  $p = 100$  kPa.  $\vec{b}$  **(b)**  $p = 300 \text{ kPa}$ . (c)  $p = 600 \text{ kPa}$ . (d)  $p = 900 \text{ kPa}$ .

At the same *b* and different *p*, the peak values of  $\eta$  are almost consistent, indicating that the aeolian sand has a unified critical state. Comparing Figure [12\(](#page-11-0)a2-d2), it can be concluded that the curve of  $ε_s/η$ ~ $ε_s$  changes linearly, which can be expressed by the straight-line equation proposed by Sun et al. [\[39\]](#page-14-22) as follows.

$$
\frac{\varepsilon_{\rm s}}{\eta} = m + n\varepsilon_{\rm s} \tag{11}
$$

where *m* is the intercept of the straight-line equation on the *ε*<sub>s</sub>/*η*-axis; *n* is the slope of the straight-line equation. The test results in this paper are consistent with the description of the equation proposed by Sun et al. [\[39\]](#page-14-22). In fact, Equation (11) adopts the generalized stress ratio, *η*, to express the strain-softening characteristics of the test curve. It can be drawn from Figure [12](#page-11-0) that *m* is almost unchanged at all conditions, i.e., the value of *m* has nothing to do with *b*. However, the change of *n* is more remarkably affected by *b* and *p*, i.e., *n* increases with the increase of *b* at the same *p*, and *n* also increases with the increase of *p*, indicating that the strain-softening phenomenon is enhanced, and the stress–strain relationships are affected by both *p* and *b*.

Figure [13a](#page-12-0)–d show the test peak shear stress of aeolian sand at different loading conditions and the predicted strength lines on the deviatoric plane of two modified Mohr–Coulomb strength criteria determined according to the test parameters when  $b = 0$  and  $b = 1$ . By comparing the strength lines predicted by the two strength criteria with the strong trajectory of the test peak shear stress point on the deviatoric plane (Figure [13\)](#page-12-0), it can be drawn that the SMP criterion can better predict the changing trend, while Bardet's Mohr–Coulomb criterion has a more conservative prediction line, i.e., the predicted value is lower than the test peak stress point. The test results better reveal the strength of the deviatoric plane, which again proves the feasibility and rationality of the method, i.e., that pseudo-triaxial tests can equivalently achieve the same stress path as the true triaxial test in a generalized stress space.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

(**c**) (**d**)

 $F_1(x) = \frac{13}{2}$  Comparison of peak strength of agolian sand with Mohr–Coulomb griterion. (a)  $p = 1$ **Figure 13.** Comparison of peak strength of aeolian sand with Mohr–Coulomb criterion. (**a**)  $p = 100$  kPa. (**b**) *p* = 300 kPa. (**c**) *p* = 600 kPa. (**d**) *p* = 900 kPa.

# **5. Conclusions and Discussion 5. Conclusions and Discussion**

Based on one of the methods proposed by the author, the true triaxial stress path a generalized stress space was equivalently achieved by pseudo-triaxial tests, and the in a generalized stress space was equivalently achieved by pseudo-triaxial tests, and the strength on the deviation of a dependence of a graduate measured. The deformation  $\alpha$ strength on the deviatoric plane and deformation of aeolian sand were measured. The measurement of these parameters is of great benefit to the sustainability of roadbeds and other buildings in desert areas. The main conclusions are as follows.

- (1) The strength on the deviatoric plane in the equivalent study of the pseudo-triaxial test is similar to the characteristics measured by the true triaxial test, i.e., the peak shear stress decreases as *b* increases. It is shown that the PTA can equivalently achieve some stress paths of the TTA. The test results have verified the feasibility and rationality of the proposed method, indicating that the PTA can equivalently achieve some functions of the TTA.
- (2) The deformation failure mode of aeolian sand is mainly dilatancy at the lower  $p$ , and it also shows the contraction first and then dilatancy when *p* is larger and *b* is smaller. The deformation and failure modes are affected by *p*, *q*, and *b*, and the influence of the three factors needs to be considered comprehensively.
- (3) At the lower *p*, the linear relationship between  $\varepsilon_3$  and  $\varepsilon_1$  changes with *b*, and the deformation degree in different directions are different, which is significantly affected by the initial anisotropy. The *ε*s/*η*-*ε*s relationships can be described by a unified linear equation. At the same *p*, the slope of the *ε*s/*η*-*ε*s curve increases with the increase of *b*, and the strain-softening phenomenon is enhanced. The stress–strain relationships are affected by both *p* and *b*.
- (4) Compared with the predicted strength lines of the SMP and Mohr–Coulomb criterion, the peak shear stress points are evenly distributed near the predicted failure surface, which better represents the peak strength characteristics at the special stress paths. The test results, once again, demonstrate the feasibility and rationality of achieving a three-dimensional stress path on the deviatoric plane with the PTA.

# **6. Patents**

According to the method proposed by the author et al. in our patent, a series of validation tests were carried out for this paper. For details of the method, please refer to our patent "A method to achieve the three-dimensional space stress or strain path by pseudo-triaxial apparatus", No: CN202111429048.0; Authorized.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization: X.L. and Z.M.; Methodology: X.L. and Z.M.; Formal analysis and investigation: X.L. and Z.M.; Writing—original draft preparation: Z.M.; Writing—review and editing: Z.M. and X.L.; Funding acquisition: X.L.; Resources: X.L.; Supervision: X.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was financially supported by the Projects for Leading Talents of Science and Technology Innovation of Ningxia (No. KJT2019001), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 121602028), the innovation team for multi-scale mechanics and its engineering applications of the Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region (2021), and these supports are gratefully acknowledged.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# **References**

- <span id="page-13-0"></span>1. Kjellman, W. Report on an apparatus for consummate investigation of the mechanical properties of soils. In Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Cambridge, MA, USA, 22–26 June 1936; Volume 2, pp. 16–20.
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>2. Bishop, A.W. The strength of soils as engineering materials. *Geotechnique* **1966**, *16*, 91–130. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1680/geot.1966.16.2.91)
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>3. Ko, H.Y.; Scott, R.F. Deformation of sand at failure. *J. Soil Mech. Found. Div.* **1968**, *94*, 883–898. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1061/JSFEAQ.0001177)
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>4. Alshibli, K.A.; Williams, H.S. A true triaxial apparatus for soil testing with mixed boundary conditions. *Geotech. Test. J.* **2005**, *28*, 534–543.
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>5. Lade, P.V.; Duncan, J.M. Cubical triaxial tests on cohesionless soil. *J. Soil Mech. Found. Div.* **1973**, *99*, 793–812. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1061/JSFEAQ.0001934)
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>6. Gao, Y.; Wang, Y.H.; Su, J. Experimental characterization of the influence of fines on the stiffness of sand with inherent fabric anisotropy. *Soils Found.* **2015**, *55*, 1148–1157. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sandf.2015.09.015)
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>7. Zhang, M.; Xu, C.S.; Du, X.L.; Wang, G.S.; Lu, D.C. True triaxial experimental research on shear behaviors of sand under different intermediate principal stresses and different stress paths. *J. Hydraul. Eng.* **2015**, *46*, 1072–1079. (In Chinese)
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>8. Li, X.; Ma, Z.; Lu, W.; Wang, Y. True-triaxial drained test of Tengger Desert sand. Advances in Civil Engineering. *Adv. Civ. Eng.* **2020**, *2020*, 8851165. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8851165)
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>9. Li, K.F.; Li, X.F.; Chen, Q.S.; Nimbalkar, S. Laboratory Analyses of Non-coaxiality and Anisotropy of Spherical Granular Media under True Triaxial State. *Int. J. Geomech.* **2023**.
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>10. Nakai, T.; Matsuoka, H.; Okuno, N.; Tsuzuki, K. True triaxial tests on normally consolidated clay and analysis of the observed shear behavior using elastoplastic constitutive models. *Soils Found.* **1986**, *26*, 67–78. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3208/sandf1972.26.4_67)
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>11. Reddy, K.R.; Saxena, S.K.; Budiman, J.S. Development of a true triaxial testing apparatus. *Geotech. Test. J.* **1992**, *15*, 89–105.
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>12. Matsuoka, H.; Sun, D.A. Extension of spatially mobilized plane (SMP) to frictional and cohesive materials and its application to cemented sands. *Soils Found.* **1995**, *35*, 63–72. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3208/sandf.35.4_63) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37194013)
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>13. Choi, C.; Arduino, P.; Harney, M.D. Development of a true triaxial apparatus for sands and gravels. *Geotech. Test. J.* **2008**, *31*, 32–44.
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>14. Shao, S.J.; Xu, P.; Shao, S.; Chen, F. Improvement and strength testing of true tri-axial apparatus with one chamber and four cells and rigid-flexible-flexible loading boundary mechanism-True triaxial apparatus developed in Xi'an University of Technology. *Chin. J. Geotech. Eng.* **2017**, *39*, 1575–1582. (In Chinese)
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>15. Suits, L.D.; Sheahan, T.C.; Ibsen, L.B.; Prasstrup, U. The danish rigid boundary true triaxial apparatus for soil testing. *Geotech. Test. J.* **2002**, *25*, 254–265.
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>16. Lade, P.V. Failure criterion for cross-anisotropic soils. *J. Geotech. Geoenvironmental Eng.* **2008**, *134*, 117–124. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0241(2008)134:1(117))
- 17. Abelev, A.V.; Lade, P.V. Effects of cross anisotropy on three-dimensional behavior of sand. i: Stress–strain behavior and shear banding. *J. Eng. Mech.* **2003**, *129*, 160–166. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9399(2003)129:2(160))
- 18. Abelev, A.V.; Lade, P.V. Characterization of failure in cross-anisotropic soils. *J. Eng. Mech.* **2004**, *130*, 599–606. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9399(2004)130:5(599))
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>19. Rodriguez, N.M.; Lade, P.V. True triaxial tests on cross-anisotropic deposits of fine Nevada sand. *Int. J. Geomech.* **2013**, *13*, 779–793. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GM.1943-5622.0000282)
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>20. Lu, X.; Huang, M.; Qian, J. The onset of strain localization in cross-anisotropic soils under true triaxial condition. *Soils Found.* **2011**, *51*, 693–700. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3208/sandf.51.693)
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>21. Ochiai, H.; Lade, P.V. Three-dimensional behaviour of sand with anisotropic fabric. *J. Geotech. Eng. ASCE* **1983**, *109*, 1313–1328. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9410(1983)109:10(1313))
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>22. Wang, H.; Li, L.; Li, J.; Sun, D. Drained expansion responses of a cylindrical cavity under biaxial in situ stresses: Numerical investigation with implementation of anisotropic S-CLAY1 model. *Can. Geotech. J.* **2022**, *60*, 198–212. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1139/cgj-2022-0278)
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>23. Zhang, Y.; Shao, S.J.; Chen, F.; Ding, X.; Zhang, S.J. Experimental study of strength characteristics and failure modes of Q\_3 intact loess under different stress paths. *Rock Soil Mech.* **2017**, *38*, 99–106. (In Chinese)
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>24. Anhdan, L.; Koseki, J. Small strain behaviour of dense granular soils by true triaxial tests. *Soils Found.* **2012**, *45*, 21–38. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3208/sandf.45.3_21) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37198655)
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>25. Suits, L.D.; Sheahan, T.C.; Yin, J.H.; Zhou, W.H.; Cheng, C.M. A rigid-flexible boundary true triaxial apparatus for testing soils in a three-dimensional stress state. *Geotech. Test. J.* **2011**, *34*, 102886. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1520/GTJ102886)
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>26. Shi, L.; Li, X.; Bai, B.; Li, Q.; Feng, X. Numerical analysis of loading boundary effects in Mogi-type true triaxial tests. *True Triaxial Test. Rocks* **2012**, *4*, 19–32.
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>27. Zhang, S.; Wu, S.; Zhang, G. Strength and deformability of a low-porosity sandstone under true triaxial compression conditions. *Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci.* **2020**, *127*, 104204. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmms.2019.104204)
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>28. Wang, C.; Liu, Z.; Zhou, H.; Wang, K.; Shen, W. A novel true triaxial test device with a high-temperature module for thermalmechanical property characterization of hard rocks. *Eur. J. Environ. Civ. Eng.* **2022**, *27*, 1697–1714. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/19648189.2022.2092214)
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>29. Feng, X.T.; Zhang, X.; Kong, R.; Wang, G. A novel Mogi type true triaxial testing apparatus and its use to obtain complete stress–strain curves of hard rocks. *Rock Mech. Rock Eng.* **2016**, *49*, 1649–1662. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00603-015-0875-y)
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>30. Green, G.E. Strength and deformation of sand measured in an independent stress control cell. In Proceedings of the of Roscoe Memorial Symposium on Stress-Strain Behaviour of Soils, Cambridge, UK, 29–31 March 1971.
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>31. Green, G.E. *Strength and Compressibility of Granular Materials under Generalised Strain Condition*; University of London: London, UK, 1969.
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>32. Zhou, H.; Hu, Q.; Yu, X.; Zheng, G.; Liu, X.; Xu, H.; Yang, S.; Liu, J.; Tian, K. Quantitative bearing capacity assessment of strip footings adjacent to two-layered slopes considering spatial soil variability. *Acta Geotech.* **2023**, 1–15. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11440-023-01875-8)
- 33. Li, X.; Du, C.; Wang, X.; Zhang, J. Quantitative Determination of High-Order Crack Fabric in Rock Plane. *Rock Mech. Rock Eng.* **2023**, 1–10. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00603-023-03319-x)
- 34. Lade, P.V.; Duncan, J.M. Elastoplastic stress-strain theory for cohesionless soil. *J. Geotech. Eng. Div.* **1975**, *101*, 1037–1053. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1061/AJGEB6.0000204)
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>35. Desai, C.S.; Faruque, M.O. Constitutive model for (geological) materials. *J. Eng. Mech.* **1984**, *110*, 1391–1408. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9399(1984)110:9(1391))
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>36. Li, X.; Ma, Z. Method for Achieving Stress or Strain Path in Three-Dimensional Space with Pseudo-Triaxial Apparatus. Chinese Patent CN 114235571 B, 9 May 2023. Available online: [https://pss-system.cponline.cnipa.gov.cn/documents/detail?prevPageTit=](https://pss-system.cponline.cnipa.gov.cn/documents/detail?prevPageTit=changgui) [changgui](https://pss-system.cponline.cnipa.gov.cn/documents/detail?prevPageTit=changgui) (accessed on 9 May 2023).
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>37. Matsuoka, H.; Nakai, T. Stress-deformation and Strength Characteristics of Soil Under Three Different Principal Stress. *Proc. Jpn. Soc. Civ. Eng.* **1974**, *232*, 59–70. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2208/jscej1969.1974.232_59) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37189073)
- <span id="page-14-21"></span>38. Warnke, K.W.E.; Warnke, E.P. Constitutive model for triaxial behaviour of concrete. In Proceedings of the International Association for Bridge and Structural Engineering, Zurich, Switzerland, 18–21 January 1975; pp. 1–30.
- <span id="page-14-22"></span>39. Sun, Y.; Pu, J.; Li, G. Effect of different stress paths on stress-strain relationship of sand. *Chin. J. Geotech. Eng.* **1987**, *6*, 78–88. (In Chinese)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.