

Article

Socio-Economic Value and Availability of Plant-Based Non-Timber Forest Products (NTFPs) within the Charcoal Production Basin of the City of Lubumbashi (DR Congo)

Dieu-donné N'tambwe Nghonda ^{1,2,*} , Héritier Khoji Muteya ^{1,2} , Apollinaire Biloso Moyene ³, François Malaisse ², Yannick Useni Sikuzani ¹ , Wilfried Masengo Kalenga ¹ and Jan Bogaert ^{2,*} 

- ¹ Ecology, Ecological Restoration and Landscape Unit, Faculty of Agronomic Sciences, University of Lubumbashi, Lubumbashi 1825, Democratic Republic of the Congo; khoji.muteya@unilu.ac.cd (H.K.M.); sikuzaniu@unilu.ac.cd (Y.U.S.); wmasengo@gmail.com (W.M.K.)
- ² Biodiversity and Landscape Unit, University of Liège—Gembloux Agro-Bio. Tech., 5030 Gembloux, Belgium; malaisse1234@gmail.com
- ³ Department of Agricultural Economics, Faculty of Agronomic Sciences, University of Kinshasa, Kinshasa 01015, Democratic Republic of the Congo; apollo_biloso@yahoo.fr
- * Correspondence: nghondan@unilu.ac.cd (D.-d.N.N.); j.bogaert@uliege.be (J.B.)

Abstract: The overexploitation of forest resources in the charcoal production basin of the city of Lubumbashi (DR Congo) is reducing the resilience of *miombo* woodlands and threatening the survival of the riparian as well as urban human populations that depend on it. We assessed the socio-economic value and availability of plant-based non-timber forest products NTFPs in the rural area of Lubumbashi through ethnobotanical (100 respondents) and socio-economic (90 respondents) interviews, supplemented with floristic inventories, in two village areas selected on the basis of the level of forest degradation. The results show that 60 woody species, including 46 in the degraded forest (Maksem) and 53 in the intact forest (Mwawa), belonging to 22 families are used as sources of NTFPs in both villages. Among these species, 25 are considered priority species. NTFPs are collected for various purposes, including handcrafting, hut building, and traditional medicine. Moreover, the ethnobotanical lists reveal a similarity of almost 75%, indicating that both local communities surveyed use the same species for collecting plant-based NTFPs despite differences in the level of degradation of the *miombo* woodlands in the two corresponding study areas. However, the plant-based NTFPs that are collected from *miombo* woodlands and traded in the urban markets have significant economic value, which ranges from USD 0.5 to USD 14.58 per kg depending on the species and uses. NTFPs used for handicraft purposes have a higher economic value than those used for other purposes. However, the sustainability of this activity is threatened due to unsustainable harvesting practices that include stem slashing, root digging, and bark peeling of woody species. Consequently, there is a low availability of plant-based NTFPs, particularly in the village area, where forest degradation is more advanced. It is imperative that policies for monitoring and regulating harvesting and promoting the sustainable management of communities' plant-based NTFPs as a priority, be undertaken to maintain their resilience.

Keywords: traditional knowledge; forest degradation; economic and cultural value; secondary forest products; *miombo* woodlands



Citation: Nghonda, D.-d.N.; Muteya, H.K.; Moyene, A.B.; Malaisse, F.; Sikuzani, Y.U.; Kalenga, W.M.; Bogaert, J. Socio-Economic Value and Availability of Plant-Based Non-Timber Forest Products (NTFPs) within the Charcoal Production Basin of the City of Lubumbashi (DR Congo). *Sustainability* **2023**, *15*, 14943. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su152014943>

Academic Editor: Ali Ayoub

Received: 5 September 2023

Revised: 2 October 2023

Accepted: 11 October 2023

Published: 17 October 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Forests are ecosystems that provide numerous services to the human population, including the supply of timber, fuelwood, and various non-timber forest products (NTFPs) [1]. NTFPs are goods of biological origin other than timber that are derived from forests, other wooded lands, and trees outside forests and that directly or indirectly support people's livelihoods [2,3]. These products include fodder, materials for hut building and crafts,

traditional medicines, and agricultural equipment [3–6]. NTFPs play a crucial role in ensuring food security and contributing to the survival of riparian and urban human populations [7,8]. In fact, studies by ref. [3,9,10] revealed that more than one-third of the world's population and over 75% of the rural and even urban populations in developing countries rely on NTFPs for their nutritional and medicinal needs. It has been established that NTFPs are especially important in reducing the vulnerability of poor populations by providing food during times of scarcity as well as raw materials for nutritional supplements [9]. One of the distinctive features of NTFPs is their accessibility even to people without arable land and/or sufficient income [11].

However, the overexploitation of forest resources, supported by the poverty of an ever-growing human population coupled with rapid urbanization, is leading to an increase in deforestation and forest degradation [12,13]. As a result, there has been a decline in the abundance of numerous plant species that are a source of NTFPs in sub-Saharan Africa [14]. Recent studies have pointed out the threats posed by human activity on forest ecosystems and on the availability of NTFPs in *miombo* woodlands [15–17]. These threats should be taken seriously in regions where there is limited forest law enforcement and where poor communities rely heavily on forest resources for their survival. The living conditions of the poor are closely linked with the state of the environment, but poverty can also be the root cause of environmental degradation. This creates a vicious cycle where poverty and environmental degradation reinforce each other [18], as seen in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC).

Several studies have been conducted on ethnobotany and the utilization of plant-based NTFPs by local communities in the DRC [19–21] and particularly in the Katangese Copperbelt Area in the southeastern part of the country [22,23], where *miombo* woodlands predominate and account for almost 70% of the former Katanga Province [24] and 12% of the Lubumbashi Plain [25]. Notably, in the Haut-Katanga Province, over 80% of the population lives predominantly in rural areas and relies heavily on forests for survival [21]. However, human activity in the form of agriculture and charcoal production has caused significant regression of *miombo* woodlands in the Lubumbashi region [16]. As a result, an estimated deforestation rate of 1.41% of *miombo* woodlands was reported in the Haut-Katanga Province between 2000 and 2010 [24].

On the one hand, the basic features of slash-and-burn agriculture, such as limited levels of agricultural investment, low productivity, and poor quality of inputs (fertilizers, seeds, etc.); low levels of supervision in the agricultural sector [26,27]; and high levels of pollution (air, soil, and water) due to mining activity have contributed to a decline in the abundance of *miombo* woodland patches [28,29]. On the other hand, the widespread use of charcoal as the primary source of cooking fuel among households in the city of Lubumbashi is contributing to deforestation and degradation of *miombo* woodlands [30]. This depletion of wood resources is worsening the already-existing poverty of rural populations, which rely on NTFPs for their subsistence and to improve their standard of living [14]. In fact, in the rural areas around Lubumbashi, people depend heavily on the forest for their protein, medication, energy, construction materials, and income [22]. As rural populations seek to secure their livelihood in a weak economy by any means necessary, they often pay little heed to the sustainability of resources while resorting to collection practices that could lead to the complete disappearance of *miombo* woodlands around the city of Lubumbashi.

Moreover, the increasing deforestation of *miombo* woodlands is undermining the resilience of forests and their ability to provide ecosystem services, especially NTFPs, to local populations [31]. For instance, it negatively impacts the availability and distribution of NTFP source species around villages [32]. Currently, the future of these forest resources is cause for concern in the Lubumbashi region [33], as *miombo* woodlands are among the most threatened woodland ecosystems and should be prioritized for conservation efforts [34].

However, only a few studies have investigated the availability of plant-based NTFPs in the face of increasing human pressure on forest resources [23]. Consequently, there is limited information on the sustainability of harvesting practices for plant-based NTFPs in the

Lubumbashi region. This information gap could hinder efforts to conserve forest resources sustainably [35] and the *miombo* woodlands as a whole. Yet, the conservation of forest resources, which remains a major challenge for scientific communities [36], can be achieved through the integration of social, cultural, and economic values that local communities associate with these forest resources [37]. Indeed, assessing the socio-economic and cultural value of NTFPs and their impact on the livelihoods of rural and urban populations in a region is crucial for promoting policies that support their sustainable management, monitoring, and regulation [5]. Such measures can help identify priority NTFPs—namely those with high commercial, ethnobotanical, and frequency-of-use value; multiple uses; and those that are rare or vulnerable—and develop focused conservation or sustainable exploitation measures [38].

Thus, the present study was initiated to investigate the availability and socio-economic value of plant-based NTFPs in the rural area of Lubumbashi city, which is known as a “charcoal production basin”. It tested the following hypotheses: (i) the floristic diversity of the woody species that are sources of NTFPs used by riparian populations is low in degraded *miombo* woodlands due to the scarcity of such species; (ii) regardless of the village area, local communities’ NTFP-harvesting practices are less sustainable and contribute to the degradation and low availability of forest resources, including NTFPs; and (iii) plant-based NTFPs harvested in the rural area of Lubumbashi have significant socio-economic importance, as evidenced by their high value on the urban market.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

This study was carried out in the rural area of Lubumbashi (Figure 1), the main city of Haut-Katanga Province, DRC.




Figure 1. Lubumbashi city (gray polygon) and its rural area (the white area around Lubumbashi city). The gray dot refers to the villages covered by this study. The geographical coordinates used for this cartography were taken from the royal courts of the respective villages. Ethnobotanical surveys, including floristic inventories, were conducted in the two villages (Maksem and Mwawa), while commercial surveys were organized in Lubumbashi city’s markets.

The study area belongs to the CW climate type as classified by the Koppen system [39], characterized by the alternation of a rainy season (November to March) and a dry season (May to September) separated by two transitional months (April and October). The average

annual temperature is approximately 20 °C [22], and the total annual rainfall is nearly 1270 mm. However, there has been a decline in the number of rainy days since the early 2000s [40]. Situated at an altitude between 1200 m and 1300 m and at 11°40' S latitude–27°29' E longitude, *miombo* woodlands established on ferralsol [41] are the pristine vegetation of the rural area of Lubumbashi [22]. This pristine vegetation is currently undergoing regression due to human activities [42]. Indeed, in the Haut-Katanga province, the human population has been estimated at 5,378,000 people, with a density fluctuating around 41 inhabitants/km² [43]. However, it should be noted that reliable statistics are lacking in the DRC and particularly in the Lubumbashi region due to the lack of population censuses for more than five decades. In DRC as well as in the Lubumbashi rural area, the population of the Lubumbashi rural area is largely poor, living on less than USD 1.25 per day [44], and engaged in natural resource exploitation activities such as shifting agriculture, charcoal production, illegal timber exploitation, (artisanal) mining, and small (informal) trade. In addition to these activities, the harvesting of NTFPs remains a subsidiary activity and serves as a means of generating income or providing self-consumption for the local communities [22].

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Village Selection and Population Sampling

Two village areas (Maksem and Mwawa) within an 80 km radius of Lubumbashi, in the charcoal production basin, were chosen for this survey. These village areas were identified as significant charcoal production sites by charcoal sellers during pre-surveys on urban markets. Moreover, despite being identified as areas of intense human activity, these village areas were selected based on the extent of forest degradation and their proximity to main roads (Table 1). The level of *miombo* woodland degradation was established based on the community's perception of the differences in deforestation and forest degradation levels between both village areas [18]. The accessibility of a village area was determined by the condition of the road leading to it. For example, a village area with degraded forests is more accessible with a macadamized road than a village area with intact forests, where the road is almost impassable and prone to flooding during the rainy season. As there was a lack of statistical data on the number of people engaged in NTFP harvesting, which is a subsidiary activity, the snowball method was utilized for this study, as recommended by ref. [45]. At the end of each interview, respondents were asked to provide the name and contact information of another person involved in NTFP harvesting [46]. Using this method, 100 individuals (50 per village area) were selected in the two village areas. The survey was conducted between 3 August and 26 September 2022.

Table 1. Forest status, accessibility of the village area, and sample size of local community members regarding NTFPs harvesting in *miombo* woodlands within selected villages in southeastern DRC. M: Male; F: Female.

Village Areas	Forest Status	Accessibility	Sample Size (<i>n</i>)	Gender (%)		Total of Frequencies
				M	F	
Maksem	Degraded	Close/very good	50	66.00	34.00	100
Mwawa	Nearly intact	far/bad	50	70.00	30.00	100

2.2.2. Ethnobotanical Data Collection

The semi-directive individual interview method, as described by ref. [12], involved the use of both open-ended and closed-ended questionnaires (Supplementary Materials). This approach allowed the interviewees' discourses to be partly oriented around different themes previously defined and recorded in the questionnaire [47]. The data collected during these surveys included information on the source species of NTFPs and their uses, useful organs, and harvesting practices. Through this information, the importance and social value of these species were determined as well as the sustainability of the harvesting

practices employed [5]. The identification of plant species cited in vernacular names by the respondents was made possible through the utilization of existing flora (Flora of Zambia, Flora of Zimbabwe, and Worldflora) as well as specialist books, manuals, and various identification guides [22,48–50]. Moreover, field trips with some of the respondents allowed for the identification of certain species cited and described in the local language by the communities. However, herbaceous species were excluded from the study due to the chosen period of floristic inventories corresponding with the dry season in the Lubumbashi rural area, during which many herbaceous species disappear due to water stress. Before participating in these ethnobotanical surveys, each member of the local community was informed about the essence of the present study, its objectives, the procedure to follow, potential benefits, and their rights before giving their consent to participate. Protection of their privacy and personal data was guaranteed and observed [51,52].

2.2.3. Economic Value of Commonly Used Miombo Woodland NTFPs

To assess the economic value of commonly harvested plant-based NTFPs in *miombo* woodlands [53], research was conducted into all NTFP sellers in 14 markets in Lubumbashi city (Supplementary Materials). Finally, sellers of plant-based NTFPs not harvested from *miombo* woodlands and animal-based NTFP sellers were excluded from the database. Therefore, this study focused on 90 sellers of plant-based NTFPs harvested in the *miombo* woodlands around the city of Lubumbashi.

2.2.4. Floristic Inventories of Plant-Based NTFP Species

The impact of forest degradation on the frequency and, consequently, the availability of plant species used for NTFPs was investigated by installing 24 inventory plots in both village areas, with half of the plots (12) in Maksem degraded forest and the other half (12) in Mwawa intact forest, as suggested by ref. [54]. Plot dimensions were determined based on previous studies [55,56] that indicated that 50 m × 20 m, i.e., 1000 m², is adequate for conducting floristic studies in *miombo* woodlands. In these plots, all woody individuals with a diameter at breast height (dbh) ≥ 5 cm were inventoried and measured using forest tape [55]. Unknown woody species were collected, pressed, and preserved as herbarium specimens for later identification using flora, specialist books, manuals, and identification guides, which were used to identify species found on ethnobotanical lists.

2.2.5. Data Analysis

To determine the most commonly used plant-based NTFPs by population, the citation frequency (C_f) was calculated using the ethnobotany R package in R software version 4.2.1. This was based on data collected from ethnobotanical surveys. This approach was supported by the assumption that the species of NTFPs that are most frequently cited by respondents are the most used [14]. The equation used to determine citation frequency is as follows (Equation (1)):

$$C_f = \frac{s}{N} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

where s represents the number of people citing the species, and N represents the total number of people surveyed. As C_f approaches 0, the species can be considered weakly utilized, while an C_f approaching 100 indicates that the species is heavily utilized.

In addition, diversity indices (Shannon's and Simpson's indices; Pielou equitability) were calculated and a diversity t -test conducted to compare the floristic diversity [31] between both village areas using the database from the floristic inventories. The software used for this analysis was Past version 4.11. Simpson's index describes the probability that a second individual encountered in a forest is the same species as the previous one, while Shannon's index indicates the species heterogeneity of a plant community and the distribution patterns of individuals within those species [55]. Pielou's equitability is the ratio of the observed diversity to the maximum possible diversity and can be affected by the

total number of species inventoried [31]. To compare the diversity indices for both village areas, a diversity *t*-test was conducted on Shannon's and Simpson's indices to determine if there was a statistical difference between them [31].

Furthermore, an assessment was conducted on the availability of plant-based NTFPs in both village areas using dendrometry parameters such as the diameter structure of individuals, species density (N; Equation (2)), relative density (RD; Equation (3)), frequency (F; Equation (4)), and relative frequency (RF; Equation (5)) of the different source plant species of NTFPs [55,56]. The relative density and relative frequency were calculated using the entire floristic inventory database, while other dendrometry parameters (diameter structure, density, and frequency) were based only on the inventoried NTFP source species. Density is a measure of the number of individuals per unit area (hectare), while relative density shows the proportion of individuals of a specific species in relation to the total number of individuals in a forest. Frequency expresses the probability of a woody species appearing in each floristic inventory plot, while relative frequency is the proportion of a species out of all plant species [55,56]. The dendrometry package for R was used for these calculations. When dendrometry parameters approach 0, the species can be considered less available.

$$N = \frac{\text{Number of the species' stems by plot}}{\text{plot area (by hectare)}} \quad (2)$$

$$RD = \frac{\text{Number of the species' stems}}{\text{Number of all stems}} \times 100 \quad (3)$$

$$F = \frac{\text{Number of plots in which the species is present}}{\text{Number of all plots}} \quad (4)$$

$$RF = \frac{\text{The species frequency}}{\text{Sum of all frequencies}} \times 100 \quad (5)$$

Finally, Jaccard's similarity index (Equation (6)) [57] was calculated to compare plant species lists derived from the ethnobotanical surveys with the lists obtained from the floristic inventories conducted in each village:

$$J = a/a + b + c \quad (6)$$

where J is Jaccard's index; in the case of this study, a is the total number of species listed or inventoried in both village areas; and b and c are the number of species listed or inventoried, respectively, in one of the two village areas.

3. Results

3.1. Ethnobotanical Knowledge and Floristic Diversity of Woody Plant Species That Serve as NTFP Sources in Miombo Woodlands, as Cited by Respondents

Ethnobotanical surveys of *miombo* woodlands were conducted in both riparian villages, revealing that 60 woody species served as sources of NTFPs, including 46 in the degraded forest (Maksem) and 53 in the intact forest (Mwawa). These species belong to 44 genera (Maksem: 36 and Mwawa: 40) and 22 families (Maksem: 18 and Mwawa: 20), of which the Fabaceae were most widely used as a source of NTFPs. Of these species, 22 versus 27 were used in hut building, 9 versus 16 for food, 32 versus 29 for traditional medicine, 19 versus 19 for handicrafts, and 12 versus 3 for traditional rituals in Maksem and Mwawa, respectively. Overall, *Acacia sieberiana*, *Albizia adianthifolia*, *Albizia antunesiana*, *Bobgunnia madagascariensis*, *Cassia abbreviata*, *Entandrophragma deveoyi*, *Isobertinia* spp., *Lannea discolor*, *Sterculia quinqueloba*, *Strychnos cocculoides*, *Terminalia mollis*, and *Uapaca kirkiana* were the species most in demand for harvesting of plant-based NTFPs. Specifically, *S. cocculoides* and *U. kirkiana* were the species mainly used for food purposes in Maksem and Mwawa villages, respectively. Almost one-fifth of the Maksem local community used *A. antunesiana*,

while the same fraction of the Mwawa local community used not only the aforementioned species but also *B. madagascariensis*. In addition, the Maksem and Mwawa communities used *Isoberlinia* spp. and *U. kirkiana*, respectively, as the most common species for hut building. *Sterculia quinqueloba* was the most widely used species in traditional rituals in Maksem, while in Mwawa, *A. sieberiana*, *E. delevoyi*, and *L. discolor* were used for this purpose. Finally, *C. abbreviata* and *T. mollis* were the most widely used species in traditional medicine in Maksem and Mwawa, respectively. Some species such as *A. adianthifolia* and *Annona senegalensis* served multiple purposes for the local communities of both villages. All parts of plants were harvested for traditional medicine (barks, roots), food (fruits), and hut building (stems or cord) or handicraft creation (stems). Further details are summarized in Table 2 below.

The Simpson's diversity index, showing the diversity for the ethnobotanical lists of the two villages, was 0.9588 and 0.9562, while the Shannon's index was 3.42 and 3.44 for Maksem and Mwawa, respectively. Pielou's equitability was 0.8931 and 0.867 for Maksem and Mwawa villages, respectively. The fact that these values are similar indicates that the floristic diversity of these two ethnobotanical lists is similar, as confirmed by the diversity *t*-test carried out on these indices ($p > 0.05$). Jaccard's similarity index showed that the ethnobotanical lists of both areas had a similarity of almost 65.38%, indicating that the local communities of both villages used the same species for collecting plant-based NTFPs (Table 2).

3.2. NTFP-Harvesting Practices and Specific Organs Collected by Riparians Local Communities

More than three-fourths and more than one-half of the local community in Maksem village and Mwawa village, respectively, are increasingly collecting the stems, roots, and bark of woody species. In addition, fruits were only collected by almost 20% and over 25% of the community in Maksem and Mwawa, respectively. When it comes to harvesting NTFPs, more than three-fourths of both local communities used slashing and plucking as the harvesting practices (Table 2). Specifically, half of the members of the Maksem community use slashing as the main NTFPs-harvesting practice, while in Mwawa, nearly the same proportion of members use plucking (Figure 2).




Figure 2. NTFPs-harvesting practices used by local communities in Maksem and Mwawa village areas ($n = 50$ individuals per village area).

Table 2. Ethnobotanical list of woody species sources of NTFPs collected from *miombo* woodlands in the rural area of the city of Lubumbashi, DRC. This list of species follows alphabetic criteria. C_f , frequency of citations; Mak, Maksem village; Mwa, Mwawa village; Ba, bark; Ro, root; St, stem; Le, leaf; Fr, fruit; Pe, peeling; Sl, slashing; Pl, plucking; Di, digging; Fo, food; Ha, handicraft; Bu, building; Tr, traditional rituals; Tm, traditional medicine. -, species was not mentioned by the respondents in the corresponding village area.

Species	Families	C_f (%) $n = 50$		Organs		Harvesting Practices		Mains Uses	
		Mak	Mwa	Mak	Mwa	Mak	Mwa	Mak	Mwa
<i>Acacia polyacantha</i> Wild.	Fabaceae	2.00		Ba, Ro	-	Pe, Di	-	Tm	-
<i>Acacia sieberiana</i> DC	Fabaceae	2.00	2.00	Ro	Ro	Di	Di	Tm	Tr
<i>Azelia quanzensis</i> Welw.	Fabaceae	14.00	4.00	St, Le	St	Sl, Pl	Sl	Ha, Bu, Tr	Ha
<i>Albizia adianthifolia</i> (Schumach.) W. Wight	Fabaceae	10.00	40.00	Ba, Ro, St	Ba, Ro, St	Pe, Di, Sl	Pe, Di, Sl	Ha, Tm	Ha, Bu, Tm
<i>Albizia antunesiana</i> Harms	Fabaceae	50.00	22.00	Ro, St	Ba, Ro, St	Di, Sl	Pe, Di, Sl	Ha, Bu, Tm	Ha, Bu, Tm
<i>Albizia versicolor</i> Welw. ex Oliv.	Fabaceae	18.00	-	Ro, St	-	Di, Sl	-	Ha, Tm	-
<i>Anisophyllea boehmii</i> Engl.	Anisophylleaceae	46.00	54.00	Ba, Fr	Ba, Fr, St	Di, Pl, Sl	Pe, Pl, Sl	Fo, Tm	Fo, Bu, Tm
<i>Annona senegalensis</i> Pers.	Annonaceae	10.00	16.00	St	Ba, Fr, Ro, St	Sl	Pe, Pl, Di, Sl	Ha, Bu, Tm	Fo, Ha, Bu, Tm
<i>Bobgunnia madagascariensis</i> (Desv.) J.H. Kirkbr. & Wiersama	Fabaceae	16.00	42.00	Ba, Ro, St	Ba, Ro, St	Pe, Di, Sl	Pe, Di, Sl	Bu, Tr, Tm	Ha, Bu, Tm
<i>Brachystegia boehmii</i> Taub.	Fabaceae	10.00	24.00	Ba, St	Ba, St	Pe, Sl	Pe, Sl	Bu	Ha, Bu, Tm
<i>Brachystegia floribunda</i> Benth.	Fabaceae	-	2.00	-	Ba	-	Pe	-	Bu
<i>Brachystegia spiciformis</i> Benth.	Fabaceae	20.00	16.00	Ro, St	Ba, St	Di, Sl	Pe, Sl	Ha, Bu, Tm	Bu, Tm
<i>Cassia abbreviata</i> Oliv.	Fabaceae	28.00	4.00	Ba, Ro	Ba, Ro	Pe, Di	Pe, Di	Tm	Tm
<i>Combretum molle</i> Engl. & Diels	Combretaceae	8.00	8.00	Ba, Ro, St	Ba, Ro, St	Pe, Di, Sl	Pe, Di, Sl	Ha, Bu, Tm	Ha, Bu, Tm
<i>Diospyros mespiliformis</i> Hochst. ex A. DC.	Ebenaceae	-	2	-	Ba, Ro	-	Pe, Di	-	Tm
<i>Diplorhynchus condylocarpon</i> (Müll. Arg.) Pichon	Apocynaceae	20.00	6.00	Ba, Ro, St	Ba, Ro	Pe, Di, Sl	Pe, Di	Ha, Bu, Tm	Tm
<i>Entandrophragma delevoyi</i> De Wild.	Meliaceae	-	2	-	Ba, Ro	-	Pe, Di	-	Tr
<i>Erythrina abyssinica</i> Lam. ex DC.	Fabaceae	-	14	-	Ba, Ro, St	-	Pe, Di, Sl	-	Ha, Tm
<i>Erythrophleum africanum</i> (Welw. ex Benth.) Harms	Fabaceae	2.00	10.00	Ba	St	Pe	Sl	Bu	Ha, Bu
<i>Faurea saligna</i> Harv.	Proteaceae	4.00	2.00	St	St	Sl	Sl	Bu	Bu
<i>Ficus stuhlmannii</i> Warb.	Moraceae	2.00	1.00	Le	St	Pl	Sl	Tr	Tr
<i>Ficus sycomorus</i> L.	Moraceae	10.00	2.00	Ba, St	St	Pe, Sl	Sl	Ha, Tr, Tm	Ha
<i>Ficus</i> sp.	Moraceae	-	2.00	-	St	-	Sl	-	Bu
<i>Garcinia huillensis</i> Welw.	Clusiaceae	4.00	18.00	Fr	Ba, Ro	Pl	Pe, Di	Fo	Fo, Tm
<i>Hexalobus monopetalus</i> (A. Rich.) Engl. & Diels	Annonaceae	2.00	-	Fr	-	Pl	-	Fo	-
<i>Hymenocardia acida</i> Tul.	Phyllanthaceae	10.00	4.00	Ba, Ro, St	Ro, St	Pe, Di, Sl	Di, Sl	Ha, Tr, Tm	Bu, Tm
<i>Isoberlinia</i> spp.	Fabaceae	56.00	20.00	Ba, St	Ba, Ro, St	Pe, Sl	Pe, Di, Sl	Ha, Bu, Tm	Ha, Bu, Tm
<i>Julbernardia globiflora</i> (Benth.) Troupin	Fabaceae	8.00	-	Ba, St	-	Pe, Sl	-	Bu	-
<i>Julbernardia paniculata</i> (Benth.) Troupin	Fabaceae	30.00	22.00	Ba, St	Ba, Ro, St	Pe, Sl	Pe, Di, Sl	Ha, Bu, Tm	Ha, Bu, Tm
<i>Landolphia kirkii</i> Dyer ex Hook. F.	Apocynaceae	2.00	12.00	Ro	Fr	Di	Pl	Tm	Fo
<i>Lannea discolor</i> (Sond.) Angl.	Anacardiaceae	6.00	4.00	Le, St	Ro, St	Pl, Sl	Di, Sl	Ha, Tr, Tm	Ha, Tr, Tm

Table 2. Cont.

Species	Families	C _f (%) n = 50		Organs		Harvesting Practices		Mains Uses	
		Mak	Mwa	Mak	Mwa	Mak	Mwa	Mak	Mwa
<i>Marquesia macroura</i> Gilg	Dipterocarpaceae	4.00	6.00	St	St	Sl	Sl	Ha, Bu	Ha, Bu
<i>Monotes katangensis</i> (De Wild.) De Wild.	Dipterocarpaceae	-	2.00	-	St	-	Sl	-	Bu
<i>Ochna schweinfurthiana</i> F. Hoffm.	Ochnaceae	2.00	-	Ba	-	Pe	-	Tm	-
<i>Olax obtusifolia</i> De Wild.	Olacaceae	-	2.00	-	St	-	Sl	-	Bu
<i>Parinari curatellifolia</i> Planch. ex Benth.	Chrysobalanaceae	26.00	52.00	Ba, Fr, Ro, St	Ba, Fr, Ro, St	Pe, Pl, Di, Sl	Pe, Pl, Di, Sl	Fo, Bu, Tm	Fo, Bu, Tm
<i>Pericopsis angolensis</i> (Boulanger) Meeuwen	Fabaceae	22.00	30.00	Ro, St	Ro, St	Di, Sl	Di, Sl	Ha, Bu, Tr, Tm	Ha, Bu, Tm
<i>Piliostigma thonningii</i> (Schumach.) Milne-Redh.	Fabaceae	12.00	2.00	Ba, Ro	Ba, Ro	Pe, Sl	Pe, Di	Bu, Tr, Tm	Bu, Tm
<i>Pseudolachnostylis maprouneifolia</i> Pax	Phyllanthaceae	-	4.00	-	Ba, St	-	Pe, Sl	-	Bu, Tm
<i>Psorospermum febrifugum</i> Spach	Hypericaceae	-	2.00	-	Ro	-	Di	-	Tm
<i>Pterocarpus angolensis</i> DC.	Fabaceae	46.00	18.00	Ba, Ro, St	St	Pe, Di, Sl	Sl	Ha, Bu, Cu, Tm	Ha, Bu
<i>Pterocarpus tinctorius</i> Welw.	Fabaceae	60.00	4.00	Ba, Ro, St	St	Pe, Di, Sl	Sl	Ha, Bu, Tm	Ha, Bu
<i>Rothmannia engleriana</i> (K. Schum.) Keay	Rubiaceae	2.00	-	Le	-	Pl	-	Tr	-
<i>Securidaca longepedunculata</i> Fresen.	Polygalaceae	16.00	6.00	Le, Ro	Ro	Pl, Di	Di	Tr, Tm	Tm
<i>Sterculia quinqueloba</i> (Garcke) K. Schum.	Malvaceae	26.00	2.00	Ba, Le, Ro, St	Ba, Le	Pe, Pl, Di, Sl	Pe, Pl	Bu, Tr, Tm	Bu
<i>Strychnos innocua</i> Delile	Loganiaceae	-	6.00	-	Fr	-	Pl	-	Fo
<i>Strychnos pungens</i> Soler.	Loganiaceae	-	2.00	-	Ro	-	Di	-	Tm
<i>Strychnos spinosa</i> Lam.	Loganiaceae	12.00	6.00	Fr, Ro	Fr, Ro	Pl, Di	Pl, Di	Fo, Tm	Fo, Tm
<i>Strychnos cocculoides</i> Baker	Loganiaceae	48.00	60.00	Fr, Ro	Fr, Ro	Pl, Di	Pl, Di	Fo, Tm	Fo, Tm
<i>Strychnos</i> sp.	Loganiaceae	2.00	4.00	Ro	Ro	Di	Di	Tm	Tm
<i>Syzygium cordatum</i> Hochst. ex C. Krauss	Myrtaceae	-	2.00	-	Fr	-	Pl	-	Fo
<i>Syzygium guineense</i> DC.	Myrtaceae	-	6.00	-	Fr	-	Pl, Sl	-	Tm
<i>Terminalia mollis</i> M.A. Lawson	Combretaceae	24.00	32.00	Ba, Ro, St	Ba, Ro	Pe, Di, Sl	Pe, Di	Ha, Bu, Tm	Tm
<i>Thespesia garckeana</i> F. Hoffm.	Malvaceae	8.00	22.00	Fr	Fr, St	Pl	Pl, Sl	Fo	Fo, Ha
<i>Uapaca kirkiana</i> Müll.Arg.	Phyllanthaceae	46.00	68.00	Fr, Ro, St	Fr, St	Pl, Di, Sl	Pl, Sl	Fo, Bu, Tm	Fo, Ha, Bu
<i>Uapaca nitida</i> Müll.Arg.	Phyllanthaceae	2.00	8.00	Fr, St	Fr, St	Pl, Sl	Pl, Sl	Fo, Bu	Fo, Bu
<i>Uapaca pilosa</i> Hutch.	Phyllanthaceae	-	6.00	-	Fr	-	Pl	-	Fo
<i>Ximenia</i> sp.	Olacaceae	2.00	2.00	St	St	Sl	Sl	Ha	Bu
<i>Zanha africana</i> (Radlk.) Exell	Fabaceae	2.00	-	Ro	-	Di	-	Tm	-
<i>Zanthoxylum chalybeum</i> Engl.	Fabaceae	22.00	28.00	Ro	Ba, Ro, St	Di	Pe, Di, Sl	Tm	Ha, Tm

3.3. Socio-Economic Values of the Main NTFPs Collected in Each Village Area

In the different markets of Lubumbashi city, the economic value of the NTFPs collected mostly from the *miombo* woodlands varied according to their different uses, ranging from USD 0.5 to USD 14.58 per kg. NTFPs used for handicraft purposes had a higher economic value than those used for other purposes. A considerable proportion of these NTFPs did not have economic value and were mainly consumed for personal use. Of the NTFPs that did have economic value, the value of those used as food was low, ranging between USD 0.5 and USD 2.5 per kg of fruit. For those used in handicraft, their economic value varied depending on the type of handicraft (pestles, mortars, handles, mixers, tam-tams, and sieves), and ranged from USD 0.84 to USD 14.58 per kg. NTFPs collected for hut building had a similar price regardless of the species collected, amounting to approximately USD 0.83 per kg of stem. Finally, the price of NTFPs collected for use in traditional medicine was determined by the size of the root or bark, with an average value of USD 9.25 per kg of root or bark (Table 3).

Table 3. Socio-economic values of the top five most-harvested NTFPs in *miombo* woodlands according to their different uses in the rural area of Lubumbashi, DRC. NTFPs collected for self-consumption are removed from this table, and in the event of a tie, species are counted as one. C_f , frequency of quotation; -, species was not mentioned by the respondents in the corresponding village area. Average weight of a mixer = 0.24 kg; handle = 0.80 kg; pestle = 1.78 kg; mortar = 8.78 kg; and juvenile stem = 3 kg.

Species	Village Areas (C_f %); $n = 50$		Economic Value (USD)
	Maksem	Mwawa	
Food use			
<i>A. boehmii</i>	21.00	27.00	2.5/kg
<i>S. cocculoides</i>	23.00	28.00	0.5/kg
<i>U. kirkiana</i>	22.00	31.00	0.57/kg
Handicraft use			
<i>A. adianthifolia</i>	-	12.00	1.88/kg of handle or 0.84/kg of pestle
<i>A. antunesiana</i>	16.00	8.00	1.88/kg of handle or 0.84/kg of pestle
<i>A. versicolor</i>	9.00	-	1.88/handle
<i>A. senegalensis</i>	-	5.00	1.88/handle
<i>B. madagascariensis</i>	-	12.00	1.88/kg (handle) or 0.84/kg (pestle)
<i>Isobertinia</i> spp.	13.00	4.00	1.43–14.58/kg of art
<i>P. angolensis</i>	-	5.00	1.43–14.58/kg of art
<i>P. angolensis</i>	8.00	7.00	1.43–14.58/kg of art
<i>P. tinctorius</i>	12.00	-	1.43–14.58/kg of art
Use in hut building			
<i>A. antunesiana</i>	12.00	-	0.83/kg of stem
<i>B. madagascariensis</i>	-	9.00	0.83/kg of stem
<i>B. boehmii</i>	-	11.00	0.83/kg of stem
<i>B. spiciformis</i>	-	7.00	0.83/kg of stem
<i>E. africanum</i>	-	4.00	0.83/kg of stem
<i>Isobertinia</i> spp.	26.00	4.00	0.83/kg of stem
<i>J. paniculata</i>	10.00	7.00	0.83/kg of stem
<i>P. angolensis</i>	-	11.00	0.83/kg of stem
<i>P. angolensis</i>	20.00	-	0.83/kg of stem
<i>P. tinctorius</i>	24.00	-	0.83/kg of stem
<i>U. kirkiana</i>	-	12.00	0.83/kg of stem
<i>U. nitida</i>	-	4.00	0.83/kg of stem

Table 3. Cont.

Species	Village Areas (C _f %); n = 50		Economic Value (USD)
	Maksem	Mwawa	
	Use in traditional medicine		
<i>B. madagascariensis</i>	-	12.00	9.25/kg of root or bark
<i>C. abbreviata</i>	14.00	-	9.25/kg of root
<i>S. longepedunculata</i>	7.00	-	9.25/kg of root
<i>T. mollis</i>	10.00	16.00	9.25/kg of root or bark
<i>Z. chalybeum</i>	11.00	12.00	9.25/kg of root

3.4. Availability of Woody Plant Species NTFP Sources in Both Forest Habitats

Almost all woody-species NTFP source individuals inventoried in both ecosystems (degraded forest: Maksem and intact forest: Mwawa) had a diameter at breast height (dhp) that varied between 5 cm and 45 cm. In Maksem, almost all individuals were found in the low-diameter class (5–15 cm), whereas in Mwawa, the inventoried individuals were distributed in all diameter classes with an acceptable number of individuals. The diametric structure of the Mwawa forest presents an inverted J-curve, indicating a multispecies, ecologically stable habitat with high regeneration potential (Figure 3).




Figure 3. Diameter structure (cm) of NTFP source species inventoried in the *miombo* woodlands around two villages, i.e., Maksem (degraded forest) and Mwawa (intact forest), in the rural area of Lubumbashi, DRC.

A total of 1059 individuals (Maksem: 444; Mwawa: 615) were inventoried, belonging to 57 species (Maksem: 34; Mwawa: 47), 39 genera (Maksem: 26; Mwawa: 35), and 21 families (Maksem: 15; Mwawa: 21). Of the total, 785 (Maksem: 397; Mwawa: 388) individuals were sources of NTFPs. These individuals belonged to 40 woody species (Maksem: 27; Mwawa: 33), 28 genera (Maksem: 21; Mwawa: 25), and 13 families (Maksem: 11; Mwawa: 13). The NTFP source individuals represented 74.13% (Maksem: 89.41%; Mwawa: 63.09%) of the total forest, while the NTFP source species accounted for 70.41% (Maksem: 79.41%; Mwawa: 70.21%) of all inventoried woody species.

The density of species per hectare varied between 0.83 and 107.5 individuals. It ranged from 0.83 to 92.5 individuals (mean: 13.19 ± 22.85) and from 0.83 to 107.5 (mean: 10.86 ± 19.2) individuals in the Maksem and Mwawa forests, respectively. Relative density varied between 0.21 and 24.34% and from 0.16 to 20.98% in Maksem and Mwawa forests, respectively. *Isobertinia* spp. and *B. spiciformis* had high density in both forests, with

individuals of these species representing nearly one-quarter of the total individuals in each forest.

The probability of species being found in all floristic inventory plots (or the frequency species) varied between 0.08 to 1. The frequency of species in the Maksem forest ranged from 0.08 to 0.83 (mean: 0.31 ± 0.21) and from 0.08 to 1 (mean: 0.32 ± 0.26) in the Mwawa forest. In addition, *A. adianthifolia*, *Ficus sycomorus*, *Julbernardia paniculata*, and *Parinari curatellifolia* had a high probability of being found in all floristic inventory plots. Notably, *F. sycomorus*, *J. paniculata*, and *P. curatellifolia* had a near-100% probability of being inventoried in all floristic inventory plots in Maksem's degraded forest, while *A. adianthifolia* had a similarly high probability in the Mwawa's intact forest. The relative frequencies of species varied between 0.55 and 7.75%, ranging from 0.78 to 7.75% (mean: 2.86 ± 1.92) and from 0.55 to 6.59% (mean: 2.13 ± 1.70) in Maksem and Mwawa forests, respectively. *Julbernardia paniculata* and *B. spiciformis* represented around 10% of all species in each forest (Table 4).

Table 4. Woody plant species sources of NTFPs inventoried in the *miombo* woodlands around the two villages crossed with ethnobotanical lists given by interviewed members of local communities. The list of species follows alphabetic criteria. Maksem (Mak): degraded forest; Mwawa (Mwa): intact forest in the rural area of Lubumbashi, DRC. -, species was cited by the local community but not inventoried during floristic inventories in the corresponding village's forest.

Species	Families	Density/ha		Relative Density (%)		Frequency		Relative Frequency (%)	
		Mak	Mwa	Mak	Mwa	Mak	Mwa	Mak	Mwa
<i>A. polyacantha</i>	Fabaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>A. sieberiana</i>	Fabaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>A. quanzensis</i>	Fabaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>A. adianthifolia</i>	Fabaceae	4.17	17.50	1.10	3.41	0.25	0.83	2.33	5.49
<i>A. antunesiana</i>	Fabaceae	10.83	11.67	2.85	2.28	0.50	0.58	4.65	3.85
<i>A. versicolor</i>	Fabaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>A. boehmii</i>	Anisophylleaceae	2.50	6.67	0.66	1.30	0.08	0.42	0.78	2.75
<i>A. senegalensis</i>	Annonaceae	1.70	-	0.43	-	0.17	-	1.55	-
<i>B. madagascariensis</i>	Fabaceae	1.70	5.83	0.43	1.14	0.17	0.42	1.55	2.75
<i>B. boehmii</i>	Fabaceae	2.50	-	0.65	-	0.17	-	1.55	-
<i>B. floribunda</i>	Fabaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>B. spiciformis</i>	Fabaceae	15.83	107.5	4.17	20.98	0.5	1.00	4.65	6.59
<i>C. abbreviata</i>	Fabaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. molle</i>	Combetaceae	0.83	3.33	0.22	0.65	0.08	0.25	0.77	1.65
<i>D. mespiliformis</i>	Ebenaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>D. condylocarpon</i>	Apocynaceae	13.33	15.83	3.51	3.09	0.58	0.58	5.43	3.85
<i>E. delevoyi</i>	Fabaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>E. abyssinica</i>	Fabaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>E. africanum</i>	Fabaceae	-	5.83	-	1.13	-	0.33	-	2.20
<i>F. saligna</i>	Proteaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>F. stuhlmannii</i>	Moraceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>F. sycomorus</i>	Moraceae	0.83	-	0.21	-	0.83	-	0.78	-
<i>Ficus</i> sp.	Moraceae	-	0.83	-	0.16	-	0.08	-	0.55
<i>G. huillensis</i>	Clusiaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>H. monopetalus</i>	Annonaceae	0.83	-	0.22	-	0.08	-	0.78	-
<i>H. acida</i>	Phyllanthaceae	-	0.83	-	0.16	-	0.08	-	0.55
<i>Isoblerlinia</i> spp.	Fabaceae	92.50	15.83	24.34	3.09	0.67	0.75	6.20	4.94
<i>J. globiflora</i>	Fabaceae	24.17	-	6.35	-	0.42	-	3.88	-
<i>J. paniculata</i>	Fabaceae	75.00	4.17	19.74	0.81	0.83	0.17	7.75	1.10
<i>L. kirkii</i>	Apocynaceae	-	1.67	-	0.32	-	0.08	-	0.55
<i>L. discolor</i>	Anacardiaceae	-	5.00	-	0.98	-	0.33	-	2.20
<i>M. macrourea</i>	Dipterocarpaceae	-	17.50	-	3.41	-	0.25	-	1.65
<i>M. katanensis</i>	Dipterocarpaceae	-	7.50	-	1.46	-	0.41	-	2.75
<i>O. schweinfurthiana</i>	Ochnaceae	5.83	-	1.54	-	0.42	-	3.88	-

Table 4. Cont.

Species	Families	Density/ha		Relative Density (%)		Frequency		Relative Frequency (%)	
		Mak	Mwa	Mak	Mwa	Mak	Mwa	Mak	Mwa
<i>O. obtusifolia</i>	Olacaceae	-	2.50	-	0.48	-	0.17	-	0.55
<i>P. curatellifolia</i>	Chrysobalanaceae	0.83	6.67	0.21	1.30	0.83	0.41	0.78	2.75
<i>P. angolensis</i>	Fabaceae	1.70	10.83	0.44	2.11	0.17	0.58	1.55	3.85
<i>P. thonningii</i>	Fabaceae	-	0.83	-	0.16	-	0.08	-	0.55
<i>P. maprouneifolia</i>	Phyllanthaceae	-	4.16	-	0.81	-	0.17	-	1.10
<i>P. febrifugum</i>	Hypericaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>P. angolensis</i>	Fabaceae	10.00	20.83	2.63	4.07	0.58	0.58	5.42	3.85
<i>P. tinctorius</i>	Fabaceae	19.17	-	5.04	-	0.58	-	5.42	-
<i>R. engleriana</i>	Rubiaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>S. longepedunculata</i>	Polygalaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>S. quinqueloba</i>	Sterculiaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>S. innocua</i>	Loganiaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>S. pungens</i>	Loganiaceae	-	0.83	-	0.16	-	0.08	-	0.55
<i>S. spinosa</i>	Loganiaceae	3.33	5.00	0.88	0.33	0.25	0.17	2.33	1.10
<i>S. cocculoides</i>	Loganiaceae	-	3.33	-	0.65	-	0.33	-	2.20
<i>Strychnos</i> sp.	Loganiaceae	-	4.17	-	0.81	-	0.33	-	2.20
<i>S. cordatum</i>	Myrtaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>S. guineense</i>	Myrtaceae	-	0.83	-	0.16	-	0.08	-	0.55
<i>T. mollis</i>	Combretaceae	0.83	-	0.21	-	0.08	-	0.78	-
<i>T. garckeana</i>	Malvaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>U. kirkiana</i>	Phyllanthaceae	11.67	17.50	3.07	3.41	0.41	0.75	3.88	4.94
<i>U. nitida</i>	Phyllanthaceae	3.33	10.00	0.88	1.95	0.17	0.42	1.55	2.75
<i>U. pilosa</i>	Phyllanthaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Ximenia</i> sp.	Olacaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Z. africana</i>	Fabaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Z. chalybeum</i>	Rutaceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

When comparing the floristic diversity between both surveyed forests, the Simpson's diversity index was 0.8537 for the degraded forest (Maksem) and 0.8668 for the intact forest (Mwawa). In addition, Shannon's index was 2.452 for Maksem and 2.739 for Mwawa. The diversity *t*-test, applied to the Shannon diversity index, indicated a significant difference between both forests ($p < 0.01$). Finally, Jaccard's similarity index indicated that both floristic inventory lists have 50% similarity.

A Jaccard similarity index of more than 50% was observed between the lists of ethnobotanical surveys and floristic inventories conducted in both forests. This means that the woody species inventoried as NTFP sources represent almost half of the species listed in the ethnobotanical survey for both village areas. Specifically, in Maksem and Mwawa forests, the comparison between ethnobotanical and floristic inventories lists resulted in 53.84% versus 53.85% similarity, respectively.

4. Discussion

4.1. Ethnobotanical Knowledge and Floristic Diversity of Woody Species NTFP Sources Harvested from Miombo Woodlands and Used by Riparian Populations in Village Areas

The ethnobotanical surveys revealed a list of around sixty woody species, with nearly fifty species in each village. These results demonstrate that the riparian communities of the *miombo* woodlands have proven knowledge of species, as stipulated by ref. [58] for the riparian communities of *miombo* woodlands in Tanzania. The rich ethnobotanical lists found in this survey highlight the important role played by NTFPs in the daily lives of riparian communities [19], particularly in *miombo* woodlands [59]. However, the diversity of traditional knowledge and the floristic diversity of NTFP source species in the living environment will influence the number of NTFP source species reported by local community members [60]. It has been found that the diversity of NTFPs and

their varied uses by different communities is related to these communities' habits and customs, which can differ greatly from one community to another [60], as we revealed for two riparian communities in Lubumbashi (Table 2). The ethnobotanical lists have a similarity rate of roughly 75% even in the face of varying levels of degradation in the *miombo* woodlands between the two village areas. This can be attributed to the fact that local riparian communities usually belong to the same ethnic group and share similar customs and habits [59]. This result corroborates those of refs. [14,61], which suggested that increased interaction among ethnic groups in the same geographic area may impact their knowledge of woody species.

However, the similarity between the two ethnobotanical lists may also indicate that the local community in the village areas with degraded forests are continuing to harvest NTFPs as they would in intact forests, even by utilizing seedlings, to meet their NTFP needs. This practice may decrease the forest's ability to regenerate.

4.2. NTFP-Harvesting Practices Used in the Two Villages and the Organs Collected

The harvesting practices for plant-based NTFPs have low sustainability and may be having negative impacts on the *miombo* woodlands. Indeed, slashing the stems of woody individuals contributes to a direct reduction in the density of the forest, particularly for the populations of woody species that are sources of NTFPs [4]. Additionally, this harvesting practice contributes to forest degradation and reduces the capacity of forests such as the *miombo* woodlands to provide ecosystem services to dependent riparian and urban communities. This practice also contributes to a loss of plant biodiversity [62] in the *miombo* woodlands, which possess significant floristic diversity with a high rate of endemism [34]. Harvesting stems from seedlings (woody individuals with dhp < 10 cm) compromises the future of the forest, as these seedlings constitute the adult individuals of the future [6]. This may explain the high forest degradation and lower biodiversity of plant-based NTFPs observed in the Maksem village area, where the most common harvesting practice used is slashing. In contrast, the plucking of fruits remains the most common harvesting practice in the Mwawa village area (Table 2). This method is more sustainable and has a lower negative impact on the forest's future and the environment [4]. However, research conducted in South Africa has indicated that wild fruit resources have declined due to excessive harvesting [63]. Excessive harvesting and exportation of fruits from the forest will ultimately compromise its capacity for regenerative growth. In Cameroon, cases of unsustainable harvesting practices and their negative impacts on the plant populations of certain NTFP species such as *Gnetum* spp. and *Prunus africana* (Hook.f.) Kalkman have already been documented [64,65].

Moreover, the harvesting of the roots, stems, and bark of woody species may be considered harmful due to the fact that they play a crucial role in the survival of plant species [66] and the integrity of forest ecosystems [7], especially in the *miombo* woodland ecoregion, which is characterized mainly by the alternation of dry and rainy seasons [59]. Excessive harvesting of individual roots by digging negatively impacts their stability and ability to absorb water and minerals from the soil. Additionally, bark harvesting disrupts the circulation of sap and leaves the plant more vulnerable to aggressors and diseases that could lead to desiccation [4,67].

4.3. Socio-Economic Values of NTFPs Collected in Miombo Woodlands and Sold in Urban and Peri-Urban Markets

Most of the NTFPs harvested from *miombo* woodlands have high economic value, with prices in urban markets confirming the findings of other researchers [4,38,59] who have shown the importance of NTFPs in daily life. Rural and urban communities rely on these NTFPs for their livelihood, especially for those without arable land or sufficient income [68]. However, NTFPs used for food have a lower economic value, as urban populations in Lubumbashi are increasingly opting for manufactured food substitutes.

Furthermore, the exceptionally high economic value of NTFPs for artisanal use and hut building may motivate members of local communities, particularly those in poverty, to overharvest the forest resources for their own survival. This phenomenon can lead to forest degradation, deforestation, and the disappearance of several plant species [4]. Combined with the proximity of a village to a road and its accessibility (facilitating the transport of NTFPs, along with various other forest products, to urban markets)—as in the case of the Maksem village—this accentuates the overexploitation of forest resources and can lead to the rapid degradation of the forest.

4.4. Availability of Woody Species as Sources of NTFPs in the Areas around the Two Villages: Maksem (Degraded Forest) and Mwaawa (Intact Forest)

The availability of woody species as sources of NTFPs is low, particularly in the degraded Maksem forest. This low availability is due to factors such as low floristic diversity, low density, low frequency, and low dhp of the woody individuals that serve as sources of NTFPs. Excessive human activity and unsustainable harvesting practices may be the main causes of this situation [69]. These results corroborate those of previous studies, such as that conducted by ref. [54] in *miombo* woodlands in Mozambique, where less-disturbed habitats had higher diversity, density, and dhp of woody individuals. This demonstrates that overexploitation of *miombo* woodlands can lead to the depletion of forest resources, specifically those related to NTFPs. Human activity—essentially agriculture, wood-energy production, and particularly activity related to the harvesting of NTFPs—is one of the factors driving deforestation and degradation of *miombo* woodlands in the peri-urban and rural areas of Lubumbashi city [16,17]. In addition, the low availability of woody individuals (sources of NTFPs) in the Lubumbashi region could be attributed to deforestation and forest degradation as a result of urbanization [70], intensive mining activity, and soil pollution by trace metals, as demonstrated by previous studies [28,29]. Climate change in the region could also be a contributing factor to its forest degradation [18].

4.5. Implications of the Results for the Sustainability of Miombo Woodland Ecosystem Services

NTFPs play a crucial role in the survival of riparian and urban communities [20], as evidenced by the ethnobotanical lists provided by community members in this survey (Table 2). However, due to the low production capacity of the agricultural system and the effects of climate change, these communities rely heavily on forest resources for their survival, contributing to forest degradation [6,14], especially in *miombo* woodlands [71]. This rapid degradation of *miombo* woodlands is leading to a reduction in the availability of NTFPs in the rural area of Lubumbashi, and it endangers both the forest and the communities that depend on it. Domestication and the agroforestry use of woody species that are sources of NTFPs would represent an alternative solution [72]. Domestication as well as agroforestry use of NTFPs species can play a crucial role in maintaining biodiversity, particularly in the case of the NTFP source species found in natural environments [73,74]. With domestication and the establishment of nurseries for NTFP woody species, it is possible to reduce human pressure on forest resources [75] and allow woody species to naturally reconstitute forest stands. The strategy would require the identification of priority NTFP woody species [14,38,54]. For example, ref. [76] successfully domesticated *miombo* woodland woody species, namely *U. kirkiana*, *P. curatellifolia*, *S. cocculoides*, and *Sclerocarya birrea* (A. Rich.) Hochst., in South Africa to counteract the excessive collection of NTFPs in natural areas. Nonetheless, implementing a monitoring system can enable the identification of priority NTFP source species, which can serve as a foundation for conservation efforts [38,77,78].

In addition, to mitigate the low availability of NTFP woody species in the degraded *miombo* woodlands highlighted in the present study's findings (Table 4), one option is the enrichment of degraded forests. This could be achieved through reforestation using woody species native to *miombo* woodlands [79]. This approach would help maintain the forest's structure, composition (floristic diversity), and function in the region [80].

However, research conducted by ref. [31] indicated that native *miombo* woodland species regenerate poorly and grow slowly, slowing down the reforestation and enrichment of forest ecosystems. Therefore, an alternative solution would be to use exotic multipurpose species such as *Acacia auriculiformis* A. Cunn. ex Benth [81,82]. These exotic species have already been used elsewhere and have produced desirable outcomes on the Bateke Plateau [83] as well as in the Lubumbashi region (Mukoma and Kikonke) in the DRC.

NTFPs harvested from *miombo* woodlands hold economic value for both local and urban communities, as they serve as a source of income and generate economic benefits [14], as indicated by the results in this study (Table 3). However, this economic value could lead to their overexploitation, as harvesting regulation is weak, and monitoring systems are lacking [84]. Thus, implementing a certification system for NTFPs sold on the market is a necessary step, as noted by ref. [81]. This certification process plays a crucial role in enhancing the economic value and sustainability of forest resources, especially NTFPs [85]. This certification would enable the identification of sustainably harvested NTFPs on the market, encouraging harvesters, sellers, and consumers to adopt environmentally responsible practices [86].

The harvesting practices for NTFPs—particularly slashing—remain unsustainable and compromise the *miombo* woodlands' regeneration capacity. Unfortunately, many local community members continue to use unsustainable harvesting practices, as indicated by the results of this study (Table 2). These practices contribute to forest degradation and reduce the ecosystem services that these forests provide to rural communities [14]. To mitigate this, it is essential to regulate the exploitation of woody species by reforming forest resource exploitation legislation [83]. Tanzania has already attempted to regulate *miombo* woodland exploitation by reforming woody-species-harvesting legislation and setting a minimum cutting diameter below which individuals should not be harvested, thereby promoting the sustainable exploitation of woody forest resources [15]. However, the key to implementing these standards for forest resource exploitation lies in educating and sensitizing local communities to their adoption.

5. Conclusions

This study examined the socio-economic value and availability of plant-based NTFPs in the rural area of Lubumbashi by means of ethnobotanical and socio-economic interviews, supplemented with floristic inventories in two village areas, each characterized by contrasting forest conditions (degraded and intact). Results revealed that ethnobotanical lists of the tree species used by the riparian communities as sources of NTFPs were similar (almost 75%) despite the evident contrasts in the degradation of the *miombo* woodlands in both village areas. The study identified 60 tree species, including 46 in the degraded forest and 53 in the intact forest, as the sources of NTFPs within both village areas, highlighting 25 priority species. Furthermore, the study demonstrated that the practices utilized for harvesting plant-based NTFPs in the *miombo* woodlands were unsustainable. These practices, including slashing, digging, and peeling, accounted for nearly three-quarters of all survey citations and, as a result, are causing significant forest degradation. Finally, it was found that NTFPs harvested in the *miombo* woodlands had a non-negligible economic importance, playing an essential role in the life of rural and urban communities. Economic values ranged from USD 0.5 to USD 14.58 per kg depending on the type of NTFP (species and use), forming one of the primary sources of income for households in both study areas. Thus, the state's funding of an expanded monitoring scheme across the region is essential to comprehensively identify all plant species that serve as sources of NTFPs. Appropriate measures must be taken, including the prohibition of certain collection practices like tree slashing while promoting sustainable practices through legislative and enforcement mechanisms. Furthermore, promoting agroforestry systems and utilizing NTFP plant species within such systems is also crucial for their continued viability and use in the region, thereby maintaining the capacity of *miombo* woodlands to provide ecosystem services to local communities.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: <https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/su152014943/s1>.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Y.U.S., W.M.K. and J.B.; Methodology, D.-d.N.N., H.K.M. and A.B.M.; Software, D.-d.N.N. and H.K.M.; Validation, F.M., Y.U.S. and J.B.; Formal analysis, D.-d.N.N. and H.K.M.; Investigation, D.-d.N.N. and H.K.M.; Resources, W.M.K.; Writing—original draft, D.-d.N.N.; Supervision, A.B.M., F.M., W.M.K. and J.B.; Project administration, Y.U.S. and J.B.; Funding acquisition, Y.U.S. and J.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The research was funded by the project CHARLU (ARES-CCD, Belgium).

Data Availability Statement: The data related to the present study will be available upon request from the interested party.

Acknowledgments: Authors thank the Académie de Recherche et d’Enseignement Supérieur (ARES) for granting a doctoral scholarship to Dieu-donné N’tambwe Nghonda and Héritier Khoji Muteya through the Development Research Project: “Renforcement des capacités de gestion durable de la forêt claire de *miombo* par l’évaluation de l’impact environnemental de la production de charbon de bois et l’amélioration des pratiques vis-à-vis des ressources forestières, PRD CHARLU”. The authors would also like to express their gratitude to the members of the local communities and traditional authorities of the villages who participated in this study for their availability during the ethnobotanical surveys and floristic inventories.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- West, P.C.; Narisma, G.T.; Barford, C.C.; Kucharik, C.J.; Foley, J.A. An alternative approach for quantifying climate regulation by ecosystems. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* **2011**, *9*, 126–133. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Kouakou, K.A.; Barima, Y.S.S.; Kpangui, B.; Godron, M. Analyse des profils écologiques des produits forestiers non-ligneux dans la région du Haut-Sassandra (Centre-Ouest de la Côte d’Ivoire). *Tropicultura* **2018**, *36*, 435–446.
- Romabai, W.; Pamei, T.; Manikho, P.F.; Nevidita, L.; Panmei, R. Assessment of economically important tree-based NTFPs in Indo-Burma region, Manipur, India. *For. Trees Livelihoods* **2023**, *32*, 1–11. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Andel, T. *Les Produits Forestiers Autres que le Bois D’œuvre: La Valeur des Plantes Sauvages*; Fondation Agromisa et CTA: Wageningen, The Netherlands, 2006; 82p.
- Ingram, V.; Ndoye, O.; Iponga, D.M.; Tieguhong, J.C.; Nasi, R. Les produits forestiers non ligneux: Contribution aux économies nationales et stratégies pour une gestion durable. In *Les Forêts du Bassin du Congo—Etat des Forêts 2010*; De Wasseige, C., de Marcken, P., Bayol, N., Hiol Hiol, F., Mayaux, P., Desclée, B., Nasi, R., Billand, A., Defourny, P., et Eba’a Atyi, R., Eds.; Office des publications de l’Union Européenne: Luxembourg, 2012; pp. 137–154.
- Talukdar, N.R.; Choudhury, P.; Barbhuiya, R.A.; Singh, B. Importance of Non-Timber Forest Products (NTFPs) in rural livelihood: A study in Patharia Hills Reserve Forest, northeast India. *Trees For. People* **2021**, *3*, 100042. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Tchatat, M.; N’Doye, O. Etude des produits forestiers non ligneux d’Afrique Centrale: Réalités et perspectives. *Bois For. Trop.* **2006**, *289*, 27–39.
- Moupela, C.; Vermeulen, C.; Daïnou, K.; Doucet, J.-L. Le noisetier d’Afrique (*Coula edulis* Baill.). Un produit forestier non ligneux méconnu. *Biotechnol. Agron. Soc. Environ.* **2011**, *15*, 451–461.
- Mujawamariya, G.; Karimov, A.A. Importance of socio-economic factors in the collection of NTFPs: The case of gum Arabic in Kenya. *For. Policy Econ.* **2014**, *42*, 24–29. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Zima, G.G.; Mialoundama, F.; Yangakola, J.M.; Kossa, I. Importance des produits forestiers non ligneux médicinaux d’origine végétale et impacts des activités anthropiques sur leur durabilité dans le Sud-Ouest de la République Centrafricaine. *Eur. Sci. J.* **2018**, *14*, 202–220. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Soe, K.T.; Yeo-Chang, Y. Livelihood Dependency on Non-Timber Forest Products: Implications for REDD+. *Forests* **2019**, *10*, 427. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Traoré, L.; Hien, M.; Ouédraogo, I. Usages, disponibilité et stratégies endogènes de préservation de *Canarium schweinfurthii* (Engl.) (Burseraceae) dans la région des Cascades (Burkina Faso) Uses, availability and endogenous conservation strategies of *Canarium schweinfurthii* (Engl.) (Burseraceae) in the Cascades region (Burkina Faso). *Ethnobot. Res. Appl.* **2021**, *21*, 1–17. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Schneibel, A.; Stellmes, M.; Röder, A.; Finckh, M.; Revermann, R.; Frantz, D.; Hill, J. Evaluating the trade-off between food and timber resulting from the conversion of Miombo forests to agricultural land in Angola using multi-temporal Landsat data. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2016**, *548–549*, 390–401. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Badjaré, B.; Kokou, K.; Bigou-laré, N.; Koumantiga, D.; Akpakouma, A. Étude ethnobotanique d’espèces ligneuses des savanes sèches au Nord-Togo: Diversité, usages, importance et vulnérabilité. *Biotechnol. Agron. Soc. Environ.* **2018**, *22*, 152–171. [[CrossRef](#)]

15. Chidumayo, E.N.; Gumbo, D.J. The environmental impacts of charcoal production in tropical ecosystems of the world: A synthesis. *Energy Sustain. Dev.* **2013**, *17*, 86–94. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Schumann, K.; Wittig, R.; Thiombiano, A.; Becker, U.; Hahn, K. Impact of land-use type and harvesting on population structure of a non-timber forest product-providing tree in a semi-arid savanna, West Africa. *Biol. Conserv.* **2011**, *144*, 2369–2376. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Vuyiya, E.; Konje, M.; Tsingalia, H.; Obiet, L.; Kigen, C.; Wamalwa, S.; Nyongesa, H. The impacts of human activities on tree species richness and diversity in Kakamega Forest, Western Kenya. *Int. J. Biodivers. Conserv.* **2014**, *6*, 428–435. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Liu, S.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, J.; Guo, Y. Simultaneously tackling ecological degradation and poverty challenges: Evidence from desertified areas in northern China. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2022**, *815*, 152927. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
19. Biloso, A.; Lejoly, J. Etude de l'exploitation et du marché des produits forestiers non ligneux à Kinshasa. *Tropicultura* **2006**, *24*, 183–188.
20. Ngbolua, K.N.; Ngemale, G.M.; Konzi, N.F.; Masengo, C.A.; Gbolo, Z.B.; Bangata, B.M.; Yangba, T.S.; Gbiangbada, N. Utilisation de produits forestiers non ligneux à Gbadolite (District du Nord-Ubangi, Province de l'Equateur, RD Congo): Cas de *Cola acuminata* (P. Beauv.) Schott & Endl. Malvaceae) et de *Piper guineense* Schumacher; Thonn. (Piperaceae). *Congo Sci.* **2014**, *2*, 61–66.
21. Mwengi, A.I.; Mpupu, B.; Kawanga, R.; Matondo, M.; Moyene, A.B. Analyse socioéconomique de la cueillette de *Gnetum africanum* L. dans le secteur de Mateko en République Démocratique du Congo. *RAFEA* **2019**, *2*, 27–33.
22. Malaisse, F. How to live and survive in Zambezian open forest (Miombo Ecoregion). *Plecevo* **2010**, *144*, 377–378. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Chuimika, M.M.; Tshomba, K.J.; Bakari, A.S.; Useni, S.Y.; Stefaan, W.; Mazinga, K.M. Causes de la disparition des plantes médicinales du Miombo Katangais (RD Congo): Cas du commerce non conventionnel de *Securidaca longepedunculata* Fresen (Polygalaceae). *Rev. Afr. D'Environ. D'agric.* **2023**, *6*, 98–107.
24. Potapov, P.V.; Turubanova, S.A.; Hansen, M.C.; Adusei, B.; Broich, M.; Altstatt, A.; Mane, L.; Justice, C.O. Quantifying forest cover loss in Democratic Republic of the Congo, 2000–2010, with Landsat ETM+ data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2012**, *122*, 106–116. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Munyemba, K.F. Quantification et Modélisation de la Dynamique Paysagère dans la Région de Lubumbashi: Évaluation de l'impact Ecologique des Dépôts Issues de la Pyrométallurgie. Ph.D. Thesis, Université de Lubumbashi, Lubumbashi, Democratic Republic of the Congo, 2010; 284p.
26. Chidumayo, E.N.; Kwibisa, L. Effects of deforestation on grass biomass and soil nutrient status in miombo woodland, Zambia. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **2003**, *96*, 97–105. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Kazungu, M.; Velasco, R.F.; Zhunusova, E.; Lippe, M.; Kabwe, G.; Gumbo, D.J.; Guenter, S. Effects of household-level attributes and agricultural land-use on deforestation patterns along a forest transition gradient in the Miombo landscapes, Zambia. *Ecol. Econ.* **2021**, *186*, 107070. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Mwitwa, J.; German, L.; Muimba-Kankolongo, A.; Puntondewo, A. Governance and sustainability challenges in landscape shaped by mining: Miningforestry linkages and impacts in the copper belt of Zambia and the DR Congo. *For. Policy Econ.* **2012**, *25*, 19–30. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Morley, J.; Buchanan, G.; Mitchard, E.T.; Keane, A. Quasi-experimental analysis of new mining developments as a driver of deforestation in Zambia. *Sci. Rep.* **2022**, *12*, 18252. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
30. Kabulu, D.J.-P.; Vranken, I.; Bastin, J.-F.; Malaisse, F.; Nyembwe, S.; Useni, S.Y.; Ngongo, L.M.; Bogaert, J. Approvisionnement en charbon de bois des ménages Lushois: Quantité, alternatives et conséquences. In *Anthropisation des Paysages Katangais*; Bogaert, J., Colinet, G., Mahy, G., Eds.; Les Presses Universitaires de Liège: Liège, Belgium, 2018; pp. 297–311.
31. Kalaba, F.K.; Quinn, C.H.; Dougill, A.J.; Vinya, R. Floristic composition, species diversity and carbon storage in charcoal and agriculture fallows and management implications in Miombo woodlands of Zambia. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2013**, *304*, 99–109. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Tieguhong, J.C.; Snook, L.; Taedoumg, H.; Maukonen, P.; Tchatat, M.; Loo, J.; Tchingsabé, O.; Noutcheu, R.; Iponga, D.M.; Kahindo, J.M.; et al. Beyond timber: Balancing demands for tree resources between concessionaires and villagers. *Int. For. Rev.* **2017**, *19*, 87–100. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Useni, S.Y.; Muteya, H.K.; Bogaert, J. Miombo woodland, an ecosystem at risk of disappearance in the Lufira Biosphere Reserve (Upper Katanga, DR Congo)? A 39-years analysis based on Landsat images. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* **2020**, *24*, e01333. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Mittermeier, R.A.; Mittermeier, C.G.; Brooks, T.M.; Pilgrim, J.D.; Konstant, W.R.; da Fonseca, G.A.B.; Kormos, C. Wilderness and biodiversity conservation. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **2003**, *100*, 10309–10313. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Assongba, Y.F.; Djégo, G.J.; Sinsin, B. Distribution des habitats de *Dialium guineense* (willd.) (Fabaceae: Caesalpinioideae) dans les phytodistricts Est du Sud-Bénin. *Bull. Sci. Inst. Natl. Environ. Conserv. Nat.* **2013**, *12*, 1–16.
36. Tchoumba, B. *Le Projet Pilote REED de Conservation International: Une Production Inédite de la Disney*; WRM et Réseau Cref.; République démocratique du Congo: Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo, 2011; 37p.
37. Dossou, M.E.; Houessou, G.L.; Lougbégnon, O.T.; Tenté, A.H.B.; Codjia, J.T.C. Etude ethnobotanique des ressources forestières ligneuses de la forêt marécageuse d'Agonvè et terroirs connexes au Bénin. *Tropicultura* **2012**, *30*, 41–48.
38. Kouakou, K. Disponibilité et Vulnérabilité des Espèces Sources de Produits Forestiers Non Ligneux D'origine Végétale de la forêt Classée du Haut-Sassandra et sa Périphérie Après la Décennie de Crise au Centre-Ouest de la Côte D'Ivoire. Ph.D. Thesis, Université de Jean Lorougnon Guède, Daloa, Ivory Coast, 2019; 188p.
39. Kalombo, K.D. *Évolution des éléments du Climat en RDC: Stratégies D'adaptation des Communautés de Base, Face aux Événements Climatiques de Plus en Plus Fréquents*; Éditions Universitaires Européennes: Sarrebruck, Germany, 2016; 220p.

40. Kalombo, K.D. *Caractérisation de la Répartition Temporelle des Précipitations à Lubumbashi (Sud-Est de la RDC) sur la Période 1970–2014*; XXIII Colloque de l'Association Internationale de Climatologie: Liege, Belgium, 2015; pp. 531–536.
41. Ngongo, M.L.; Van Ranst, E.; Baert, G.; Kasongo, E.L.; Verdoodt, A.; Mujinya, B.B.; Mukalay, J.M. *Guide Des sols en République Démocratique du Congo, Tome I: Étude et Gestion*; République Démocratique du Congo: Lubumbashi, Congo, 2009; 260p.
42. Munyemba, K.F.; Bogaert, J. Anthropisation et dynamique spatiotemporelle de l'occupation du sol dans la région de Lubumbashi entre 1956 et 2009. *E-Rev. Unilu* **2014**, *1*, 3–23.
43. Institut Nation de la Statistique. *Annuaire Statistique RDC 2020*; Ministère du Plan: Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo, 2021; 1404p.
44. Cadre Intégré de Classification de la sécurité Alimentaire (IPC). *Analyse de l'Insécurité Alimentaire Aiguë et de la Malnutrition Aiguë de l'IPC*; IPC: Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo, 2022.
45. Johnston, L.G.; Sabin, K. Échantillonnage déterminé selon les répondants pour les populations difficiles à joindre. *Methodol. Innov.* **2010**, *5*, 38–48. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Marpsat, M.; Razafindratsima, N. Les méthodes d'enquêtes auprès des populations difficiles à joindre: Introduction au numéro spécial. *Methodol. Innov.* **2010**, *5*, 3–16. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Dubois, É.; Michaux, E. Étalonnages à l'aide d'enquêtes de conjoncture: De nouveaux résultats. *Econ. Previs.* **2006**, *172*, 11–28. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Smith, P.; Allen, Q. *Field Guide to the Trees and Shrubs of the Miombo Woodlands*; Royal Botanic Gardens: Brussels, Belgium, 2004; 176p.
49. Meerts, P.J.; Hasson, M. *Arbres et Arbustes du Haut-Katanga*; Editions Jardin Botanique de Meise: Brussels, Belgium, 2016; 386p.
50. Vollesen, K.; Merrett, L. A Photo Rich Field Guide to the (Wetter) Zambian Miombo Woodland. In *Based on Plants from the Mutinondo Wilderness Area, Northern Zambia*; Lari Merret: Lusaka, Zambia, 2020; 1200p.
51. World Medical Association. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA* **2013**, *310*, 2191–2194. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
52. American Psychological Association. Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct. 2017. Available online: <https://www.apa.org/ethics/code/> (accessed on 6 October 2023).
53. Aparicio, H.; Hedberg, I.; Bandeira, S.; Ghorbani, A. Ethnobotanical study of medicinal and edible plants used in Nhamacoa area, Manica Province–Mozambique. *S. Afr. J. Bot.* **2021**, *139*, 318–328. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Bruschi, P.; Mancini, M.; Mattioli, E.; Morganti, M.; Signorini, M.A. Traditional uses of plants in a rural community of Mozambique and possible links with Miombo degradation and harvesting sustainability. *J. Ethnobiol. Ethnomed.* **2014**, *10*, 59. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Ameja, L.G.; Ribeiro, N.; Siteo, A.A.; Guillot, B. Regeneration and Restoration Status of Miombo Woodland Following Land Use Land Cover Changes at the Buffer Zone of Gile National Park, Central Mozambique. *Trees For. People* **2022**, *9*, 100290. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Thiombiano, A.; Glele kakai, R.; Bayen, P.; Boussim, J.I.; Mahamane, A. Méthodes et Dispositifs d'inventaires Forestiers en Afrique de l'Ouest: État des Lieux et Propositions Pour une Harmonisation. *Ann. Sci. Agron.* **2016**, *20*, 15–31.
57. Albatineh, A.N.; Niewiadomska-Bugaj, M. Correcting Jaccard and other similarity indices for chance agreement in cluster analysis. *Adv. Data Anal. Classif.* **2011**, *5*, 179–200. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. Kacholi, D.S.; Mvungi, H.A. Ethnomedicinal survey of antidiarrheal plants of the Nyamwezi people of Nsenda ward in Urambo District, central western Tanzania. *Ethnobot. Res. Appl.* **2022**, *24*, 21. Available online: <https://ethnobotanyjournal.org/index.php/era/article/view/3935> (accessed on 27 July 2023). [[CrossRef](#)]
59. Ribeiro, N.S.; Katerere, Y.; Chirwa, P.W.; Grundy, I.M. *Miombo Woodlands in a Changing Environment: Securing the Resilience and Sustainability of People and Woodlands*; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2020; 269p. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Adomou, A.C.; Yedomonhan, H.; Djossa, B.; Legba, S.I.; Oumorou, M.; Akoegninou, A. Étude ethnobotanique des plantes médicinales vendues dans le marché d'Abomey-Calavi au Bénin. *Int. J. Chem. Biol. Sci.* **2012**, *6*, 745–772. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Rakotoarimanana, V.; Gondard, H.; Ranaivoarivelo, N.; Carrière, S. Influence du pâturage sur la diversité floristique, la production et la qualité fourragères d'une savane des Hautes Terres malgaches (région de Fianarantsoa). *Sécheresse* **2008**, *19*, 39–46.
62. Thies, C.; Rosoman, G.; Cotter, J.; Madden, S. Intact Forest Landscapes, Why It Is Crucial to Protect Them from Industrial Exploitation. Rapport Technique, Greenpeace International. 2011. Available online: <http://www.greenpeace.org/international/en/publications> (accessed on 27 July 2023).
63. Shackleton, S.E.; Shackleton, C.M.; Cousins, B. *Re-Valuing the Communal Lands of Southern Africa: New Understandings of Rural Livelihoods*; Overseas Development Institute—Natural Perspective Resources: London, UK, 2000; 4p.
64. Ndam, N.; Nkefor, J.-P.; Blackmore, P. Domestication of *Gnetum africanum* and *G. buchholzianum* (Gnetaceae), Over-Exploited Wild Forest Vegetables of the Central African Region. *Syst. Geogr.* **2001**, *71*, 739. [[CrossRef](#)]
65. Mpouam, A.R.; Ndedy Bile, A.W.; Wete, E.; Betti, J.L.; Kouobegne, S.; Fouadjio, J.; Njimbam, O.F.; Ngueguim, J.R.; Brice, P.; Brice, M.O.P.; et al. Etat des lieux de l'exploitation de *Prunus africana* (Hook. f.) Kalkman (Rosaceae) dans la région de l'Adamaoua, Cameroun. *Int. J. Biol. Chem. Sci.* **2022**, *16*, 1507–1521. [[CrossRef](#)]
66. Lawin, I.F.; Laleye, O.A.F.; Agbani, O.P. Vulnérabilité et stratégies endogènes de conservation des plantes utilisées dans le traitement du diabète dans les communes de Glazoué et Savè au Centre-Bénin. *Int. J. Biol. Chem. Sci.* **2016**, *10*, 1069. [[CrossRef](#)]
67. Tonga, K.P.; Zapfack, L.; Kabelong, B.L.P.R.; Endamana, D. Disponibilité des produits forestiers non ligneux fondamentaux à la périphérie du Parc national de Lobeke. *Vertigo* **2017**, *17*, 18770. [[CrossRef](#)]

68. Ambrose-Oji, B. The contribution of NTFPs to the livelihoods of the “forest poor”: Evidence from the tropical forest zone of south-west Cameroon. *Int. For. Rev.* **2003**, *5*, 106–117. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. Tugume, P.; Buyinza, M.; Namaalwa, J.; Kakudidi, E.K.; Mucunguzi, P.; Kalema, J.; Kamatenesi, M. Socio-economic predictors of dependence on Non-timber forest products: Lessons from Mabira Central Forest Reserve Communities. *J. Agric. Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *4*, 195–214. [[CrossRef](#)]
70. Barbara, N.; Linda, N.; Ngonga, C. Drivers of Deforestation in the Miombo Woodlands and Their Impacts on the Environment. *Adv. Res.* **2016**, *6*, 1–7. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Vinya, R.; Syampungani, S.; Kasumu, E.C.; Monde, C.; Kasubika, R. *Preliminary Study on the Drivers of Deforestation and Potential for REDD+ in Zambia*; A consultancy report prepared for Forestry Department and FAO under the national UN-REDD+ Programme; Ministry of Lands & Natural Resources: Lusaka, Zambia, 2011; 65p.
72. Bikoue, C.; Essomba, H.; Tabuna, H.; Degrande, A.; Tchoundjeu, Z.; Walter, S. *Gestion des Ressources Naturelles Fournissant les Produits Forestiers Non Ligneux Alimentaires en Afrique Centrale. Produits Forestiers Non Ligneux*; Document de travail (FAO); FAO: Rome, Italy, 2007; 50p.
73. Michon, G.; De Foresta, H.; Levang, P.; Verdeaux, F. Domestic forests: A new paradigm for integrating local communities’ forestry into tropical forest science. *Ecol. Soc.* **2007**, *12*, 1. Available online: <http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol12/iss2/art1/> (accessed on 10 August 2023). [[CrossRef](#)]
74. Leakey, R.; Tientcheu Avana, M.-L.; Awazi, N.; Assogbadjo, A.; Mabhaudhi, T.; Hendre, P.; Degrande, A.; Hlahla, S.; Manda, L. The Future of Food: Domestication and Commercialization of Indigenous Food Crops in Africa over the Third Decade (2012–2021). *Sustainability* **2022**, *14*, 2355. [[CrossRef](#)]
75. Tchoundjeu, Z.; Duguma, B.; Tiencheu, M.L.; Ngo-Mpeck, M.L. Domestication des arbres indigènes agroforestiers: La stratégie du CIRAF dans les régions tropicales humides d’Afrique centrale et d’Afrique de l’Ouest. In *Les Produits Forestiers Non Ligneux De l’Afrique Centrale*; Sunderland, T., Clark, L.E., Vantomme, P., Eds.; FAO: Rome, Italy, 2000; pp. 171–180.
76. Akinnifesi, F.K.; Kwesiga, F.R.; Mhango, J.; Mkonda, A.; Chilanga, T.; Swai, R. Domesticating priority miombo indigenous fruit trees as a promising livelihood option for small-holder farmers in southern africa. 632. In *XXVI International Horticultural Congress: Citrus and Other Subtropical and Tropical Fruit Crops: Issues, Advances and Opportunities*; Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA): Toronto, ON, Canada, 2004. [[CrossRef](#)]
77. Pelletier, J.; Paquette, A.; Mbindo, K.; Zimba, N.; Siampale, A.; Chendauka, B.; Siangulube, F.; Roberts, J.W. Carbon sink despite large deforestation in African tropical dry forests (miombo woodlands). *Environ. Res. Lett.* **2018**, *13*, 094017. [[CrossRef](#)]
78. Pelletier, J.; Chidumayo, E.; Trainor, A.; Siampale, A.; Mbindo, K. Distribution of tree species with high economic and livelihood value for Zambia. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2019**, *441*, 280–292. [[CrossRef](#)]
79. Doggart, N.; Morgan-Brown, T.; Lyimo, E.; Mbilinyi, B.; Meshack, C.K.; Sallu, S.M.; Spracklen, D.V. Agriculture is the main driver of deforestation in Tanzania. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **2020**, *15*, 034028. [[CrossRef](#)]
80. Meng, J.; Lu, Y.; Lei, X.; Liu, G. Structure and floristics of tropical forests and their implications for restoration of degraded forests of China’s Hainan Island. *Trop. Ecol.* **2011**, *52*, 177–191.
81. Vermeulen, C.; Schippers, C.; Julve, C.; Ntoune, M.; Bracke, C.; Doucet, J.-L. Enjeux méthodologiques autour des produits forestiers non ligneux dans le cadre de la certification en Afrique Centrale. *Bois For. Trop.* **2009**, *300*, 69–78. [[CrossRef](#)]
82. Ramade, F. *Éléments d’écologie*. In *Ecologie Appliquée: Action de L’homme sur la Biosphère*, 7th ed.; Dunod: Paris, France, 2012; 791p.
83. Reyniers, C. Agroforesterie et déforestation en République démocratique du Congo. Miracle ou mirage environnemental? *Mondes Dév.* **2019**, *187*, 113–132. [[CrossRef](#)]
84. Luoga, E.J.; Witkowski, E.T.F.; Balkwill, K. Harvested and standing wood stocks in protected and communal miombo woodlands of eastern Tanzania. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2002**, *164*, 15–30. [[CrossRef](#)]
85. UICN-Burkina Faso. *Certification des PFNL au Burkina Faso: Manuel Simplifié à L’usage des Organisations Communautaires de Base (OCB)*; UICN: Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso, 2015; 32p.
86. Bodin, B.; Ravilious, C.; Mant, R.; Bastianelli, C. *Les Synergies Entre la REDD+ et les Objectifs d’Aichi de la Convention sur la Diversité Biologique en Afrique Centrale—L’apport de l’analyse Spatiale Pour la Planification Conjointe de Deux Engagements Internationaux sur les Forêts*; UNEP-WCMC: Cambridge, UK, 2014.

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.