

Table S1. Sublethal effects evaluated in the bioassay with *Lemna minor* exposed (for 168 h) to different dilutions of tannery effluent.

Tannery wastewater (%)	Number of fronds	Wet weight (mg)	Dry weight (mg)
0.0% (Control)	48 ± 3 a	54.5 ± 0.7 a	5.0 ± 0.3 a
1.5%	36 ± 5 b	43.7 ± 3.1 b	3.7 ± 0.3 b
3.0%	17 ± 2 c	21.3 ± 1.7 c	2.3 ± 0.4 c
4.5%	14 ± 2 c	17.4 ± 1.9 c	2.1 ± 0.1 c
LOEC	1.5	1.5	1.5
LC₅₀	ND	ND	ND
SW	0.85	0.83	0.87
Significance	0.01	0.006	0.03
Levene	2.23	3.93	1.74
Significance	0.14	0.03	0.21
KW	13.41	13.8	12.9
Significance	0.004	0.003	0.005
F	97.5	311	98.6
Significance	0	0	0

The letters that appear in lowercase for each column represent the variation in significance between the variables of the treatments (Tukey's test $p < 0.05$). LOEC: lowest concentration at which an effect was observed; LC₅₀: median lethal concentration; ND: value not determined. The values of the variables analyzed correspond to the average of the four replicates: SW: Shapiro-Wilk test to assess normality; Levene statistic: test to evaluate homoscedasticity; F: Fisher statistic of the Anova; and KW Kruskal–Wallis test.

Table S2. Mortality evaluated in the bioassay with *Daphnia magna* exposed (24 and 48 hours) to different dilutions of tannery effluent.

Tannery wastewater (%)	24 h	48 h
0.0% (Control)	2.5 ± 5.0 a	2.5 ± 5.0 a
1.5%	32.5 ± 9.6 b	65.0 ± 12.9 b
3.0%	50.0 ± 8.2 bc	95.0 ± 5.8 c
4.5%	75.0 ± 23.8 c	97.5 ± 5.0 c
LOEC	1.5	1.5
LC₅₀	2.5	1.1
SW	0.92	0.78
Significance	0.2	0.002
Levene	2.9	3.1
Significance	0.08	0.06
KW	ND	13.3
Significance	ND	0.004
F	19.8	91.2
Significance	0.00006	0

The letters that appear in lower case for each column represent the variation in significance between the variables of the treatments (Tukey's test $p < 0.05$). LOEC: lowest concentration at which an effect was observed; LC₅₀: median lethal concentration ; ND: value not determined. The values of the variables analyzed correspond to the average of the four replicates: SW: Shapiro-Wilk test to assess normality; Levene statistic: test to evaluate homoscedasticity; F: Fisher statistic of the Anova; and KW Kruskal–Wallis test.

Table S3. Effects on the hatching percentage of *Physa venustula* eggs exposed to different dilutions of tannery effluent after a 216 h incubation.

Tannery wastewater (%)	Hatching rate (%)
0.0% (Control)	86.3 ± 15.5 a
1.50%	39.6 ± 9.7 b
3%	0.0 ± 0.0 c
4.50%	0.0 ± 0.0 c
LOEC	1.5
LC₅₀	ND
SW	0.78
Significance	0.003
Levene	4.2
Significance	0.029
KW	14.5
Significance	0.002
F	80.9
Significance	0

Table S4. Effects of different dilutions of tannery effluent (for 96 h) on the mortality of *Xenopus laevis* embryos and larvae.

Tannery wastewater (%)	Embryo mortality (%)	Larvae mortality (%)
0.0% (Control)	0.0 ± 0.0 a	0.0 ± 0.0 a
1.50%	50.0 ± 12.0 b	45.0 ± 10.0 b
3.00%	70.0 ± 20.0 bc	80.0 ± 0.0 c
4.50%	90.0 ± 20.0 c	95.0 ± 10.0 d
LOEC	1.5	1.5
LC₅₀	1.6	1.8
SW	0.88	0.85
Significance	0.043	0.01
Levene	4	6
Significance	0.035	0.01
KW	12.1	14.3
Significance	0.007	0.003
F	25.7	142.8
Significance	0.00002	0

The letters that appear in lowercase for each column represent the variation in significance between the variables of the treatments (Tukey's test $p < 0.05$). LOEC: lowest concentration at which an effect was observed; LC₅₀: median lethal concentration; ND: value not determined. The values of the variables analyzed correspond to the average of the four replicates: SW: Shapiro-Wilk test to assess normality; Levene statistic: test to evaluate homoscedasticity; F: Fisher statistic of the Anova; and KW Kruskal–Wallis test.