



# **Investigating the Identification and Spatial Distribution Characteristics of** *Camellia oleifera* **Plantations Using High-Resolution Imagery**

**Yajing Li 1,2,3 [,](https://orcid.org/0009-0004-0061-6937) Enping Yan 1,2,3, Jiawei Jiang 1,2,3 [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8454-5440) Dan Cao 1,2,3 and Dengkui Mo 1,2,3,\***

- <sup>1</sup> Research Center of Forestry Remote Sensing & Information Engineering, Central South University of Forestry & Technology, Changsha 410004, China; yajingli@csuft.edu.cn (Y.L.); enpingyan@csuft.edu.cn (E.Y.); jiaweijiang@csuft.edu.cn (J.J.); t20071062@csuft.edu.cn (D.C.)
- <sup>2</sup> Hunan Provincial Key Laboratory of Forestry Remote Sensing Based Big Data & Ecological Security, Changsha 410004, China
- <sup>3</sup> Key Laboratory of National Forestry and Grassland Administration on Forest Resources Management and Monitoring in Southern China, Changsha 410004, China
- **\*** Correspondence: dengkuimo@csuft.edu.cn

**Abstract:** *Camellia oleifera* is a vital economic crop of southern China. Accurate mapping and monitoring of *Camellia oleifera* plantations are essential for promoting sustainable operations within the *Camellia oleifera* industry. However, traditional remote sensing interpretation methods are no longer feasible for the large-scale extraction of plantation areas. This study proposes a novel deep learning-based method that utilizes GF-2 remote sensing imagery to achieve precise mapping and efficient monitoring of *Camellia oleifera* plantations. First, we conducted a comparative analysis of the performance of various semantic segmentation models using a self-compiled dataset of *Camellia oleifera* plantations. Subsequently, we proceeded to validate the prediction results obtained from the most effective deep-learning network model for *Camellia oleifera* plantations in Hengyang City. Finally, we incorporated DEM data to analyze the spatial distribution patterns. The findings indicate that the U-Net++ network model outperforms other semantic segmentation methods when applied to our self-generated dataset of *Camellia oleifera* plantations. It achieves a recall rate of 0.89, a precision rate of 0.92, and an mIOU of 0.83, demonstrating the effectiveness of the proposed method in identifying and monitoring *Camellia oleifera* plantations. By combining the predicted results with the data from DEM, we discovered that these plantations are typically situated at elevations ranging from 50 to 200 m, with slopes below 25°, and facing south or southeast. Moreover, a significant positive spatial correlation and clustering phenomenon are observed among the townships in Hengyang City. The method proposed in this study facilitates rapid and precise identification and monitoring of *Camellia oleifera* plantations, offering significant theoretical support and a scientific foundation for the management and ecological conservation of *Camellia oleifera* plantations.

**Keywords:** *Camellia oleifera* plantation mapping; deep learning; high-resolution remote sensing; spatial feature analysis

### **1. Introduction**

*Camellia oleifera* is a group of oleaginous species within the Camellia genus of the Theaceae family. This plant species holds significant economic importance as a valuable crop in southern China [\[1\]](#page-18-0). The rapid and sustained development of China's economy and society has led to an increasing demand for edible vegetable oil. While the rapid expansion of *Camellia oleifera* production has generated economic benefits, it has simultaneously resulted in adverse impacts on plantation ecosystems and biodiversity. As a result, the precise mapping of *Camellia oleifera* plantations, obtaining comprehensive spatial information, and conducting precise monitoring have emerged as crucial tasks for government departments responsible for land planning and management. Understanding and



*Article*

**Citation:** Li, Y.; Yan, E.; Jiang, J.; Cao, D.; Mo, D. Investigating the Identification and Spatial Distribution Characteristics of *Camellia oleifera* Plantations Using High-Resolution Imagery. *Remote Sens.* **2023**, *15*, 5218. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15215218) [doi.org/10.3390/rs15215218](https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15215218)

Academic Editors: Javier J Cancela, Maria Lanfredi, Rosa Coluzzi, Vito Imbrenda and Tiziana Simoniello

Received: 4 August 2023 Revised: 12 October 2023 Accepted: 27 October 2023 Published: 2 November 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

developing the *Camellia oleifera* industry's planning and bolstering its competitiveness carry significant implications for these departments.

During the initial phases, the data acquisition for *Camellia oleifera* plantations primarily relied on field investigations. Scholars would directly engage in on-site assessments and surveys within the research domain to ascertain the geographical extent and boundaries of the *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas. While this approach often yields results of notable precision, it demands considerable commitments of time, manpower, and additional resources. Particularly when investigating vast territories characterized by intricate topographies or those situated in remote locales, it can pose formidable challenges. In certain instances, regional agriculturists or proprietors may convey details of their *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas to governmental entities or pertinent institutions, acting as an auxiliary avenue for data accumulation.

Conversely, the prowess of remote sensing technology, underscored by its broad reach and economic viability, facilitates the acquisition of imagery for vast territories, expediting the process of comprehensive data procurement and enabling real-time surveillance of terrestrial alterations [\[2\]](#page-18-1). Consequently, the interpretation of remote sensing data plays a crucial role in extracting information about large-scale planting areas. Current worldwide research on the identification of crops using remote sensing research primarily focuses on tea [\[3](#page-19-0)[–5\]](#page-19-1), corn [\[6\]](#page-19-2), oil palm [\[7\]](#page-19-3), and rice [\[8\]](#page-19-4), while studies on remote sensing identification of *Camellia oleifera* planting areas remain limited. Regarding the classification, identification, and extraction of crop areas from remote sensing images, earlier studies mainly used visual interpretation performed manually. This method involved inspectors evaluating forest land within remote sensing images, guided by field investigations and specialist knowledge. Despite its high accuracy, it experiences significant subjectivity and inefficiency [\[9\]](#page-19-5). The introduction of machine learning techniques [\[10,](#page-19-6)[11\]](#page-19-7) analyzes color, texture, and spectral features in remote sensing imagery to extract crop characteristics, thereby achieving crop identification and area extraction. To some extent, these methods offer feasible solutions for mapping *Camellia oleifera* plantations. In specific applications, Julien et al. conducted a classification analysis of the crops in the Barakas region of Spain. This research utilized the Normalized Vegetation Index, Landsat TM imagery, and surface temperature data, employing the maximum likelihood estimation method for classification [\[12\]](#page-19-8). Similarly, Adrià Descals and colleagues employed fused Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 data to extract oil palm features, concluding that Sentinel-1 co-polarization bands and Sentinel-2 spectral bands can detect oil palm trees [\[13\]](#page-19-9). Despite the notable accuracy of these methods, they confront a myriad of challenges, including distinguishing them from other plants or crops and contending with object occlusion complications. Moreover, these techniques are contingent upon handcrafted algorithms for feature extraction tailored to specific problems, suggesting that the potential of crop feature information remains underexploited.

In contrast to traditional machine learning methodologies, deep learning aims to directly extract high-level features from data, demonstrating enhanced performance as data volume expands and handling large amounts of data efficiently and accurately [\[14](#page-19-10)[–16\]](#page-19-11). In 2014, Long et al. [\[17\]](#page-19-12) introduced the fully convolutional neural network (FCN) as a novel approach, substituting the fully connected layer at the terminal stage of the convolutional neural network with a convolutional layer to facilitate end-to-end pixel-level segmentation of images. Utilizing convolutional neural networks, Zhou [\[18\]](#page-19-13) employed Landsat-8 multispectral remote sensing images to identify peanut plantation areas in remote sensing images, displaying superior efficacy compared to traditional methods according to experimental outcomes. Subsequently, in 2015, Ronneberger et al. [\[19\]](#page-19-14) introduced the U-Net network, a model equipped with symmetrical encoders and decoders, supplemented with skip connections for accurate pixel-level localization. The U-Net model continues to evolve and has been implemented extensively for terrestrial information extraction from remote sensing images. Illustratively, Sisi Wei et al. [\[20\]](#page-19-15) amalgamated multi-temporal, dual-polarization SAR data with the U-Net neural network model. The researchers used this combination to facilitate large-scale crop mapping in Fuyu City, situated in Jilin Province, reaching an accuracy rate of 85%. Zhou et al. [\[21,](#page-19-16)[22\]](#page-19-17) innovated the U-net++ network, an enhancement of the original U-net, introducing redesigned skip paths, dense skip connections, and profound supervision to bridge the semantic gap between the encoder and decoder feature maps. While extensive research has been undertaken in the domain of crop extraction employing deep learning and high-resolution imagery, the application of such methodologies to the extraction of *Camellia oleifera* plantations is comparatively nascent. Insights can be gleaned from sophisticated deep learning methodologies prevalent in diverse crop identification domains, facilitating the exploration of the feasibility of extracting of *Camellia oleifera* plantations utilizing GF-2 satellite data and advanced deep learning techniques.

Consequently, this study introduces an innovative methodology, amalgamating highresolution remote sensing imagery with deep learning, to proffer groundbreaking solutions for the meticulous extraction of *Camellia oleifera* plantations. Furthermore, this study leverages DEM data to perform a comprehensive analysis of the spatial distribution characteristics of *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas, with the aspiration of furnishing invaluable references for the precise identification of diverse crops.

#### **2. Materials and Methods**

#### *2.1. Study Area*

The research area is situated in Hengyang City, in the south-central part of Hunan Province, near the middle reaches of the Xiangjiang River. Its location lies between  $110°32'$  $110°32'$ – $113°16'E$  and latitudes  $26°07'$ – $27°28'N$ . Figure 1 presents a schematic diagram illustrating the study area's location. Characterized by a subtropical monsoon climate, the region boasts a long growing season, abundant sunshine, and annual precipitation ranging from 800 to 2000 mm. Renowned for its *Camellia oleifera* production, the area has consistently ranked top among national prefecture-level cities in terms of planted area, tea oil output, and annual production value. The topography of the study area features a high southern and low northern landscape*,* with mountains and hills dominating the region and gentle slopes fostering optimal photosynthesis conditions for *Camellia oleifera*.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 1. Overview of the study area in Hengyang, Hunan Province, China; (a–d) are **Figure 1.** Overview of the study area in Hengyang, Hunan Province, China; (**a–d**) are enlarged views of four typical *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas.

#### *2.2. Data and Preprocessing*

#### 2.2.1. Data Sources

(1) Remote Sensing Image Data: As shown in Table [1,](#page-3-0) in this study, we utilized highresolution remote sensing imagery derived from a fusion of multiple GF-2 images captured in 2020. These images underwent a series of preprocessing steps, including orthorectification, radiometric calibration, image fusion, mosaicking, and color balancing, to enhance the accuracy and clarity of the remote sensing data. The preprocessed GF-2 images encompass multispectral information across four bands: red, green, blue, and near-infrared (sRGB). However, for the purposes of this study, only the red, green, and blue bands were employed. Initially, the spatial resolution of these highresolution images was 3.2 m, but post resampling, it was refined to 2 m. All preprocessing tasks were executed using scripts developed in Pycharm. The predilection for high-resolution imagery stems from the potential ambiguity between *Camellia oleifera* plantations and other vegetative or terrestrial features in lower-resolution images. Such high-resolution captures substantially mitigate these ambiguities, fortifying the precision in extracting *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas. Additionally, the 30 m spatial resolution DEM data from NASA's Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) were gathered for a thorough analysis of the spatial distribution characteristics of the *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>



(2) Auxiliary Data: The research employs high-resolution, 18-level Google Earth images (with a spatial resolution of 0.54 m) and "Woodland Resources Map" data as additional resources. Access to the Google Maps platform and the use of pertinent tools facilitated the acquisition of these Google Earth images. These images provide a reliable basis for deep-learning label generation in *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas. Furthermore, the "Woodland Resources Map" is constructed by distinctly categorizing forested and non-forested areas. This implies that non-forested regions are excluded, thereby minimizing interference factors associated with them. Such an approach enhances the precision of subsequent analyses concerning the spatial distribution characteristics of *Camellia oleifera* plantations.

### 2.2.2. Dataset

This research is dedicated to the identification and spatial distribution of newly established *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas within Hengyang City, as depicted in Figure [2.](#page-4-0) *Camellia oleifera* is planted on lands with sparse vegetation or those that are unused and established through the systematic planting of saplings followed by diligent management. The maturation period for these plantations spans approximately 3 to 5 years. Post this cultivation phase, the saplings develop an initial canopy structure characterized by a sparse planting density. Predominantly, this species thrives in hilly and mountainous terrains [\[23\]](#page-19-18). Notably, these plantations manifest a distinct "spiral-shaped" pattern in high-resolution satellite imagery, facilitating their identification.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Figure 2.** GF-2 imagery of *Camellia oleifera* plantations. **Figure 2.** GF-2 imagery of *Camellia oleifera* plantations.

The present study employs 2020 GF-2 data for sample annotation within three counties of Hengyang City, namely Changning City, Leiyang City, and Hengyang County. These regions are notably characterized by their affluent resources of *Camellia oleifera* plantations and exhibit a widespread distribution, thereby furnishing us with a rich and diverse array of sample selection opportunities. Within each of these three counties, we created a  $1 \text{ km } \times 1 \text{ km}$  grid using ArcMap 10.7. A fifth of all grid samples were randomly chosen for annotation of the *Camellia oleifera* plantations. Both the *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas and non-plantation areas were annotated. We generated vector files required for label samples and produced annotated images that were identical in size to the original images, with a pixel value of 255. To process the image samples and corresponding label samples, we employed a sliding window technique to divide them into 256  $\times$  256 pixels TIFF images. This approach facilitated the creation of image sample sets and label sample sets, sets, utilizing the Python programming language. To enhance the accuracy and efficiency utilizing the Python programming language. To enhance the accuracy and efficiency of the training process, we removed images from the dataset that were not from *Camellia oleifera* plantations, resulting in two effective categories in the dataset. Using the random splitting strategy in the Python programming language, we divided the filtered image samples and their corresponding labels into training, validation, and test sets with a ratio of 8:1:1. As illustrated in Table [2,](#page-4-1) specifically, the training set contains 6111 images dedicated to model training, while both the test set and validation set each contain 764 images intended for model evaluation.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Table 2.** Samples in the dataset.



#### *2.3. Semantic Segmentation*

This section delineates the utilization of semantic segmentation models for the identification of *Camellia oleifera* plantations. Throughout the model training and validation phases, we assessed the efficacy of U-Net++ [\[22](#page-19-17)[,24\]](#page-19-19), U-Net [\[19\]](#page-19-14), DeepLabV3+ [\[25\]](#page-19-20), and LinkNet [\[26\]](#page-19-21) using a self-made dataset. This assessment was grounded in a variety of evaluation metrics and juxtaposed the model's outcomes with manually annotated benchmarks. Our objective was to pinpoint the optimal model tailored for the extraction of *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas. Based on the evaluation results, the U-Net++ network model, which exhibited superior performance in both training and testing, was selected and further enhanced to predict *Camellia oleifera* plantations in Hengyang City. A comprehensive flowchart of this process is depicted in Figure [3.](#page-5-0)

#### 2.3.1. Model Selection

This research employs an array of neural network models to heighten the identification accuracy of *Camellia oleifera* plantations, optimizing these models through hyperparameter tuning. The goal is to pinpoint the neural network most adept at identifying such plantations. In terms of model selection, we employed an encoder-decoder network to accomplish the task of recognizing *Camellia oleifera* plantations. During the evaluation process, various network models such as U-Net, LinkNet, and Deeplabv3+ were compared

through a series of comprehensive experiments. Following meticulous deliberation, as shown in Figure 4, the U-Net++ encoder-decoder structure emerged as the mos[t](#page-5-1) suitable, with Efficientnet-b0 serving as the encoder [\[27\]](#page-19-22). To ensure accurate feature extraction, we utilized the Sigmoid as the activation function [\[28\]](#page-19-23), which is pivotal for binary classification, ensuring model outputs between 0 and 1—a crucial aspect for interpreting probability<br>*2.3. September* 2.3. September distributions. The U-Net++ model, building upon the U-Net architecture, introduces innovative design elements that significantly improve semantic segmentation tasks. It exhibits refined structural modifications, especially in its skip pathways. For instance, while U-Net connects node  $X^{0,4}$  only to node  $X^{0,0}$ , U-Net + establishes connections with nodes  $X^{0,0}$ and  $X^{0,3}$  within the same layer, enhancing information exchange. U-Net++ also features a dense skip connection strategy and a multi-layered feature fusion system, merging feature maps across levels for robust representations. Through convolution and up-sampling, the model effectively captures multi-scale semantic nuances, bolstering segmentation precision. It offers an encoder and decoder component along with skip connections, making it more adaptable for expansion in comparison to rudimentary semantic segmentation models such as FCN and Segnet [29].

intended for model evaluation.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 3. Flow chart of Camellia oleifera plantation identification and spatial distribution analysis.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>

**Figure 4.** Schematic diagram of the U-net++ network model structure. **Figure 4.** Schematic diagram of the U-net++ network model structure.

Utilizing the PyTorch framework and the Python language, we configured an experimental environment on the Windows 10 system, using PyTorch version 1.9.0. The training process utilized the NVIDIA RTX3060ti GPU with 12 GB memory and CUDA version 11.0. The deep learning server was equipped with an Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-10700KF CPU, operating at a maximum frequency of 3.79 GHz, 32 GB of RAM, and 4 TB of storage space.

#### 2.3.2. Network Parameter Selection

In our training process, we utilized the BCE Loss function, specifically designed for binary classification tasks. The loss function was applied to the model's output layer to quantify the disparity between the predicted results and the true labels. Our objective in employing the BCE Loss function was to optimize the model's performance and enhance its accuracy in binary classification tasks. The initial learning rate significantly affects the network's convergence speed. A large learning rate obstructs convergence, while an exceedingly small one decelerates convergence speed. Consequently, we implemented a strategy that progressively diminishes the learning rate from an initial value of 0.001 until it reaches a minimum, pausing further adjustments after 100 iteration cycles. This approach enhances the deep learning model's performance by incrementally reducing the learning rate and fine-tuning model parameters, thus allowing for a better adaptation to changes in the dataset.

#### 2.3.3. Model Evaluation Metrics

To quantitatively assess the effectiveness of the proposed identification method for *Camellia oleifera* plantations, we selected four metrics—recall, precision, F1Score, and mean Intersection over Union (mIOU)—to provide a comprehensive evaluation of the identification accuracy. When analyzing the results, we used manual visual interpretation as the ground truth and the predictions from the fully convolutional neural network model as the predicted values, ultimately computing accuracy based on pixel count. The expressions are as follows:

$$
R = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \tag{1}
$$

$$
P = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}
$$
 (2)

$$
F1 - Score = \frac{2PR}{P + R}
$$
 (3)

$$
mIOU = \frac{1}{K+1} \sum_{i=0}^{K} \frac{TP}{FN+FP+TP}
$$
\n<sup>(4)</sup>

In these equations, *TP* represents the count of correctly predicted pixels, *FP* denotes the count of incorrectly predicted pixels, and *FN* signifies the count of missed detections. For further assessment of the results, we employed a comprehensive evaluation index, the *F*1*Score* (*F*1) [\[30\]](#page-19-25). This quantitative metric is the harmonic mean of precision (*P*) and recall (*R*).

#### 2.3.4. Accuracy Validation

In this study, we evaluated the accuracy of extraction results from 2020. Utilizing Google Earth's remote sensing imagery in Hengyang City, Hunan Province, we randomly selected 441 distinct points, encompassing both *Camellia oleifera* plantations and other land classifications. Intentionally, these points were not included in the model's training phase to ensure unbiased validation. Our analysis led us to compute the producer's accuracy (*PA*), user's accuracy (*UA*), and overall accuracy (*OA*) as metrics to gauge the efficacy of our adopted methodology in delineating *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas.

$$
PA = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} * 100\% \tag{5}
$$

$$
UA = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} * 100\% \tag{6}
$$

In these equations, *TP* (True Positives) quantify instances where the model accurately categorized samples, *FN* (False Negatives) denote misclassifications to alternative categories, and *FP* (False Positives) indicate erroneous categorizations to the intended category. The *OA* metric, representing the proportion of accurately classified samples to the total, offers an overarching evaluation of the model's proficiency.

#### *2.4. Analysis of Spatial Distribution for Camellia oleifera Plantations*

Factors such as altitude, slope, and aspect can indirectly affect the growth and development of *Camellia oleifera* by modulating light conditions and soil nutrient availability [\[31\]](#page-20-0).

In the current study, we utilized ArcMap 10.7 software to extract the altitude values at the centroid of each *Camellia oleifera* plantation area. Based on the altitude range provided by the DEM data for Hengyang City (56–514 m), we categorized the data into five tiers: 50–100 m, 100–150 m, 150–200 m, 200–250 m, and ≥250 m. We conducted an aspect analysis using the default grading system of the ArcMap 10.7 software. The classifications included flat (0°), north (0–22.5° and 337.5–360°), northeast (22.5–67.5°), east (67.5–112.5°), southeast (112.5–157.5°), south (157.5–202.5°), southwest (202.5–247.5°), west (247.5–292.5°), and northwest (292.5–337.5<sup>°</sup>) slopes. Furthermore, following the "Soil Erosion Classification and Grading Standards" set by China's Ministry of Water Resources, we divided the slopes of Hengyang City into six levels: flat (<5°), slight (5–8°), gentle (8–15°), moderate (15–25°), steep (25–35°), and very steep (>35°).

#### *2.5. Evaluation of Spatial Aggregation Characteristics in Camellia oleifera Plantation Areas*

In this study, we utilized the spatial clustering capabilities of ArcMap 10.7 to examine the spatial aggregation characteristics of *Camellia oleifera* plantations in diverse townships within Hengyang City. The primary methods employed comprise the Global Moran's Index and the Local Moran's Index [\[32\]](#page-20-1).

#### 2.5.1. Global Moran's Index

The Global Moran's I is employed to quantify the extent of spatial interrelation. Utilizing the Global Moran's I, the autonomy, similarity, or disparity of the research object within the spatial context can be discerned.

$$
I = \frac{n}{S_0} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i,j} z_i z_j}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i^2}
$$
 (7)

The deviation of attribute *i* from its mean is denoted as *z<sup>i</sup>* , while the spatial weight between attribute *i* and *j* is symbolized as *wi*,*<sup>j</sup>* . In this context, *n* is equivalent to the total number of attributes, while the summation of all spatial weights is represented as *wi*,*<sup>j</sup>* . The Global Moran's I value ranges between −1 and 1. A Global Moran's I value greater than 0 implies a positive correlation, nearing 1 when attributes with similar properties are collectively clustered. Conversely, a Global Moran's I value lesser than 0 signifies a negative correlation, nearing −1 when attributes with different properties cluster together. A Global Moran's I value of 0 denotes either a random distribution of attributes or an absence of correlation. The Z statistics can verify the significance of Global Moran's I under the premise of normal distribution.

#### 2.5.2. Local Moran's Index

The Local Moran's I examines spatial autocorrelation, focusing on the aggregation within the vicinity of a specific research area. This index differs from the Global Moran's Index, which inquires about the presence of aggregation across the entire region. Instead, the Local Moran's Index investigates specific aggregation points within the study area.

$$
I_i = \frac{(x_i - \overline{x})}{S^2} \sum_{j=1}^n w_{i,j} (x_j - \overline{x})
$$
 (8)

Here,  $S^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})$  and  $w_{i,j}$  denote the spatial weights between elements *i* and *j*, while n signifies the total number of elements. The Local Moran's I range from −1 to 1. A score greater than 0 signals a positive correlation. Approaching 1 suggests that the high (or low) values of the area I are surrounded by similar high (or low) values. Conversely, a score less than 0 indicates a negative correlation. Near  $-1$ , it implies that the high (or low) values of the area *i* are enclosed by contrasting low (or high) values. If the score equals 0, this signifies either a random distribution of attributes or a lack of spatial correlation.

#### *2.6. Analysis of Camellia oleifera Plantation Patch Fragmentation*

The average patch size and patch number fragmentation index [\[33,](#page-20-2)[34\]](#page-20-3) serve as crucial indicators for evaluating fragmentation levels in *Camellia oleifera* plantations. This study employs these two landscape pattern indices to assess the extent and spatial distribution of fragmentation in *Camellia oleifera* plantations in Hengyang City.

#### 2.6.1. Average Patch Size

The average patch size, denoted as *MPS* (mean patch size), provides a direct measure of fragmentation in *Camellia oleifera* plantations. A smaller average patch size signifies a higher degree of fragmentation within the area. The calculation formula is as follows:

$$
MPS = A/N \tag{9}
$$

*A* represents the total area of *Camellia oleifera* plantation patches in the study area, and *N* represents the number of patches present.

#### 2.6.2. Index of Patch Number Fragmentation

The Index of Patch Number Fragmentation (*PNI*) is an essential metric used to assess the fragmentation of *Camellia oleifera* plantation patches within the study area. The calculation formula is as follows:

$$
PNI = (N-1) * a_{\min}/A \tag{10}
$$

In the formula, *a*min represents the minimum patch area of the plantation within the study area (hm<sup>2</sup>). The *PNI* value varies between 0 and 1, where 0 signifies no fragmentation and 1 indicates total fragmentation.

#### **3. Results**

#### *3.1. Analysis of Model Training and Validation Efficiency*

As illustrated in Figure [5,](#page-9-0) we present the loss and validation set mIOU curves for four distinct network segmentation models—U-Net++, U-Net, Deeplabv3+, and Linknet—over the course of training with an identical sample set. It is evident from the training set that the convergence speed and ultimate loss level of all four models closely align. However, a contrasting scenario emerges in the validation set mIOU, where U-Net++ consistently outperforms the other three models at both the onset and the completion of training. The U-Net++ model also exhibits a smoother validation set mIOU curve with minimal fluctuations, highlighting its superior stability during the learning process and enhanced generalization capability relative to the other models. Therefore, based on our analysis, we conclude that the U-Net++ model is optimally suited for identifying *Camellia oleifera* plantations in this research.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

**Figure 5. Figure 1. Figure 1. Comparison** models. **Figure 5.** Comparison of efficiency in training and validating network models.

#### *3.2. Performance Evaluation of the Train Model*

The evaluation results of the performance for U-Net++ and other models on the test dataset are depicted in Figure 6. A comparison was conducted among U-Net++, U-Net, LinkNet, and DeepLabv3+ models, and the comprehensive evaluation metrics indicated that U-Net++ achieved the best performance. Specifically, U-Net++ exhibited the highest recall rate, precision, F1 score, and mIOU values, with scores of 0.89, 0.92, 0.9, and  $0.83$ , respectively. Conversely, the DeepLabv3+ model demonstrated the least satisfactory performance, while the differences between the U-Net and LinkNet models were minimal, with the U-Net model marginally outperforming the LinkNet model.

<span id="page-9-1"></span>

**Figure 6.** Accuracy metric of each model on the test dataset. **Figure 6.** Accuracy metric of each model on the test dataset.

Figure [7](#page-10-0) illustrates examples of different network segmentation models employed to identify *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas in images. The U-Net model demonstrates commendable overall recognition of the *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas, with its ability to identify some surrounding planting areas. However, it lacks precision when defining the boundaries of the *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas. The Deeplabv3+ and Linknet models produce similar results, with both missing some *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas and failing to distinguish the surrounding non-planting areas. In contrast, U-Net++ provides results that closely match manually annotated maps, offering superior recognition of *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas and better distinction between *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas and non-*Camellia oleifera* plantation areas.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

**Figure 7.** Model extraction results. **Figure 7.** Model extraction results.

## *3.3. Accuracy Validation 3.3. Accuracy Validation*

Figure [8](#page-11-0) illustrates the spatial distribution of the validation points in 2020. Based on a<br>Figure 8 illustrates the spatial distribution of the validation points in 2020. Based on a a comprehensive analysis of randomly selected points and the extracted results of *Camellia oleifera* plantations, detailed statistical information regarding classification accuracy has *oleifera* plantations, detailed statistical information regarding classification accuracy has been obtained, and the specific data are presented in Table 3. Within the *Camellia oleifera* category of Hengnan County, Qidong County, and Hengdong County, the producer's accuracy (PA) values obtained were 89.50%, 83.09%, and 90.32%, respectively. Furthermore, curacy (PA) values obtained were 89.50%, 83.09%, and 90.32%, respectively. Furthermore, the user's accuracy (UA) values for these *Camellia oleifera* plantations were 90.50%, 91.87%, and 93.33%, respectively, highlighting the model's ability to accurately differentiate *Camellia* and 93.33%, respectively, highlighting the model's ability to accurately differentiate *Camellia* and 93.33%, respectively, highlighting the model's ability to accurately differentiate *Ca-oleifera* plantations from other land classes. Overall, the achieved overall accuracy rates were 89.92%, 87.98%, and 93.40%. These findings offer robust support for the monitoring and management of *Camellia oleifera* plantations. toring and management of *Camellia oleifera* plantations. comprehensive analysis of randomly selected points and the extracted results of *Camellia* been obtained, and the specific data are presented in Table [3.](#page-11-1) Within the *Camellia oleifera*

**Qidong County**

**Hengdong County**

Validation Data

Validation Data

 $63.09\%$ 

Accuracy 87.98%

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

**Figure 8.** Spatial distribution map of validation points. **Figure 8.** Spatial distribution map of validation points.

|                 |                       | Map Data           |                   |                  |                            |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
|                 |                       | <b>Other Crops</b> | Camellia oleifera | Total            | <b>Producer's Accuracy</b> |
|                 | <b>Hengnan County</b> |                    |                   |                  |                            |
| Validation Data | Other Crops           | 159                | 17                | 176              | 90.34%                     |
|                 | Camellia oleifera     | 19                 | 162               | 181              | 89.50%                     |
|                 | Total                 | 178                | 179               | 357              |                            |
|                 | User's Accuracy       | 89.33%             | 90.50%            |                  |                            |
|                 |                       |                    |                   | Overall Accuracy | 89.92%                     |

<span id="page-11-1"></span>**Table 3.** Validation points confusion matrix of the *Camellia oleifera* plantation map. **Table 3.** Validation points confusion matrix of the *Camellia oleifera* plantation map.

91.87%

Other Crops 130 10 140 92.86% 130 10 140 92.86% 130 93.09% *Camellia oleifera* 23 23 113 136 83.09%<br>Total 153 123 276

 $\frac{112}{120}$ 

Other Crops 117 8 125 93.60%<br>
Camellia oleifera 12 112 124 90.32%

## **Overall Accuracy** 93.40% **Hengdong County**  *3.4. Analysis of Camellia oleifera Plantation Prediction Results*

Total 153 123 276

Total 129 120 249

117

User's Accuracy 90.70% 93.33%

User's Accuracy 84.97%

Camellia oleifera

in both training and testing stages, to provide a comprehensive analysis of the prediction outcomes across four distinct *Camellia oleifera* plantation scenarios (a-d). Importantly, In this study, we utilized the U-Net++ model, which showcased superior performance these scenarios encompass not only *Camellia oleifera* plantations but also a variety of land tnese scenarios encompass not only C*umellul olegera* plantations but also a variety or land<br>features, including farmland, wasteland, and buildings. Our objective was to rigorously assess the U-Net++ network's capability to differentiate between land features that exhibit assess the O-INETT HERWOR'S Capability to differentiate between faild reatures that exhibit<br>significant similarities and potential ambiguities. A visual representation of this comparison is available in Figure [9.](#page-12-0)

 $\frac{10}{3}$  90.500

 $\frac{120}{93.33\%}$  249

 $\frac{113}{122}$   $\frac{136}{276}$  83.09%

 $\frac{6}{123}$  123  $\frac{93.007}{0}$ 

**Overall Accuracy** 87.98%

<span id="page-12-0"></span> $(a)$  $(b)$  $(c)$  $(d)$ Enlarged Detail of image Ground truth **Prediction Result** Enlarged Detail of Ground Truth **Prediction Result** 

Figure 9. Visualization of U-Net++ model predictions for *Camellia oleifera* plantations in areas (a-d).

Image

Image

*oleifera* plantations, whereas the third column depicts the predictions made by the model. To elucidate the variances between the model's predictions and the manually annotated data, we have included a detailed comparison between the ground truth and the predicted results in the fourth and fifth columns. Within this figure, the second column delineates the ground truth of the *Camellia*

Upon comparing the predicted results with the ground truth for all four scenarios, it becomes evident that the U-Net++ model is adept at accurately identifying *Camellia oleifera* plantations of diverse dimensions. Notably, in terms of demarcating the boundaries of the *Camellia oleifera* plantations, the predictions rendered by the model align closely with the manual annotations. This underscores the robust capability of the U-Net++ model in intricate *Camellia oleifera* plantation settings. Nonetheless, certain instances of omissions and misclassifications were observed. These could be ascribed to abrupt shifts in texture luminosity, causing inconsistencies in the "spiral-shaped" characteristics of the *Camellia oleifera* plantations, or similarities in land feature attributes. Future enhancements should address these challenges to bolster the model's precision.

## *3.5. Analysis of Spatial Distribution Characteristics in Camellia oleifera Plantations*  3.5.1. Characteristics of Area Distribution *3.5. Analysis of Spatial Distribution Characteristics in Camellia oleifera Plantations*

Figure 10 illustrates the distribution map of *Camellia oleifera* plantations in Hengyang City predicted using the enhanced U-Net++ network model. To further investigate the distribution of *Camellia oleifera* plantations across diverse scales, this study analyzed the plantation areas in Hengyang City based on three area gradients using the prediction results. In the range of 0–5 hectares, *Camellia oleifera* plantations exhibit a wide spatial distribution, covering various regions of Hengyang City. These smaller plantations can be found in urban, suburban, and rural areas, demonstrating the participation of local farmers and agricultural operators in *Camellia oleifera* cultivation. Within the 5–50 hectare range, these medium-sized *Camellia oleifera* plantations are primarily concentrated in regions characterized by intensive agricultural development and suitable conditions for *Camellia oleifera* cultivation. Conversely, within the range exceeding 50 hectares, the distribution of *Camellia oleifera* plantations is less prevalent. These large-scale *Camellia oleifera*

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

plantations are primarily concentrated in key agricultural regions or within the scope of large enterprises.

**Figure 10.** Predicted results map of *Camellia oleifera* plantations. **Figure 10.** Predicted results map of *Camellia oleifera* plantations.

Camellia oleifera plantations, with Hengnan County and Leiyang City following closely, representing approximately 23.82%, 17.90%, and 17.52% of the total plantation area in Hengyang City, respectively. Conversely, in Zengxiang District, Yanfeng District, and Nanyue District, the distribution is significantly less dense, possibly influenced by factors like environmental conditions, land use, and population density. Figure [11](#page-13-1) reveals that Changning City exhibits the most extensive distribution of

<span id="page-13-1"></span>

**Figure 11.** Area and proportion of *Camellia oleifera* plantations. **Figure 11.** Area and proportion of *Camellia oleifera* plantations.

3.5.2. Topographical Distribution Characteristics 3.5.2. Topographical Distribution Characteristics

As shown in Figure 12, utilizing the ArcMap10.7 software's statistical analysis tool, As shown in Figure [12,](#page-14-0) utilizing the ArcMap10.7 software's statistical analysis tool, we derived the area measurements of the *Camellia oleifera* plantations across various altitudes, orientations, and slopes. Fig[ure](#page-14-0) 12a illustrates the spatial distribution of these plantations across altitude gradients. The majority of the *Camellia oleifera* plantations, approximately imately 89.7%, are found within altitudes of 50–200 m, followed by the 200–250 m and 89.7%, are found within altitudes of 50–200 m, followed by the 200–250 m and above 250 m zones, which constitute 5.4% and 4.9% of the total plantation area, respectively.

tively. Predominantly hilly and flat, these low-altitude areas (below 200 m) server as  $\mathcal{L}$ 



<span id="page-14-0"></span>Predominantly hilly and flat, these low-altitude areas (below 200 m) serve as residential areas and agricultural fields, thereby proving conducive to *Camellia oleifera* cultivation. dential areas and agricultural fields, thereby proving conducive to *Camellia oleifera* culti-

imately 89.7%, are found with altitudes of 50–200 m, followed by the 200–250 m, followed by the 200–250 m and

Figure 12. Spatial distribution characteristics of Camellia oleifera plantations: (a) The spatial distribution of *Camellia oleifera* plantations at different elevation zones in GF-2 images; (b) The spatial bution of *Camellia oleifera* plantations in different aspect zones in GF-2 images; (**c**) The spatial distridistribution of *Camellia oleifera* plantations in different aspect zones in GF-2 images; (**c**) The spatial distribution of *Camellia oleifera* plantations in different slope zones in GF-2 images.

Figure [12b](#page-14-0) presents the spatial distribution of *Camellia oleifera* plantations across different slope orientations. The plantations are primarily located on the southeast and south slopes, comprising 17.6% and 60.7% of the total area, respectively. Given that orientation substantially influences sunlight radiation exposure, the south slope typically receives 1.6 to 2.3 times more light than the north slope, making the former, along with the southeast slope, more favorable for *Camellia oleifera* growth. slope, more favorable for *Camellia oleifera* growth.

> Finally, Figure [12c](#page-14-0) demonstrates the spatial distribution of these plantations across Finally, Figure 12c demonstrates the spatial distribution of these plantations across various slope categories. The majority of the plantations, about 92%, lie within the 5–15◦ various slope categories. The majority of the plantations, about 92%, lie within the 5–15° slope category, succeeded by the  $0-5^{\circ}$  and  $5-25^{\circ}$  slope categories, which respectively constitute 2.13% and 5.83% of the *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas. For slopes exceeding stitute 2.13% and 5.83% of the *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas. For slopes exceeding 25°, 25◦ , the plantation density of the *Camellia oleifera* plantations noticeably decreases. the plantation density of the *Camellia oleifera* plantations noticeably decreases.

## *3.6. Aggregation Characteristics Analysis of Camellia oleifera Plantations 3.6. Aggregation Characteristics Analysis of Camellia oleifera Plantations*  3.6.1. Global Moran's Index 3.6.1. Global Moran's Index

#### As shown in Figure [13a](#page-14-1), the Global Moran's Index test results indicate a relatively As shown in Figure 13a, the Global Moran's Index test results indicate a relatively significant positive spatial correlation, with a Global Moran's Index of 0.35 for the area of the *Camellia oleifera* plantations across the townships of Hengyang City in 2020. The the *Camellia oleifera* plantations across the townships of Hengyang City in 2020. The *p*p-value is 0.000000, statistically significant at less than 1%, and the Z-score is 8.23. This  $\mu$  value indicates a notable aggregation trend among the plantations within the townships of Hengyang City. a hutable aggli

<span id="page-14-1"></span>

Figure 13. (a) Scatter plot of Moran's Index: The red line in Moran's scatterplot represents the trend of spatial autocorrelation;(b) Camellia oleifera plantation areas in Hengyang City: A Local Moran's I profile map.

#### 3.6.2. Local Moran's Index

The Local Moran's Index, as presented in Figure [13b](#page-14-1), reveals that the primary aggregation forms for the plantation areas within Hengyang City are high-high and low-low. Fifteen townships, including Yintian, Yanzhou, Xiling, and Baifang, manifest a high-high aggregation pattern of *Camellia oleifera* plantations. Conversely, in 28 townships, such as Baiguo, Lingpo, Guantang, and Jiangdong, a low-low aggregation pattern is observed. Within the highly aggregated areas, *Camellia oleifera* planting areas tend to be closely clustered, forming dense clusters of plantations. This clustering may be attributed to the uniformity in land use planning and agricultural policies among these townships, as well as shared factors such as terrain, soil, and climate. In the areas exhibiting low-low aggregation, factors such as terrain, soil, climate, urbanization, and population density contribute to the observed pattern. These regions may encounter challenges related to limited land resources, urban expansion, and economic development, resulting in a more dispersed distribution of *Camellia oleifera* planting areas.

#### *3.7. Examination of Camellia oleifera Plantation Patch Fragmentation*

This study presents an analysis of the patch structure within Camellia Oleifera plantations. The average patch area is found to be 56,562.7 square meters, accompanied by a fragmentation index of 0.177. These figures indicate a certain level of spatial fragmentation within the plantations, potentially leading to increased instances of fragmentation and separation, resulting in a dispersed and discontinuous spatial distribution. Factors such as urbanization, land development, and human activities may contribute to this fragmentation. Urbanization alters land use, potentially reducing the plantation area and causing further fragmentation. Human activities, like farmland cultivation and road construction, can also disrupt the spatial structure of the plantations, leading to patch isolation and fragmentation.

#### **4. Discussion**

This research endeavors to use GF-2 remote sensing imagery, utilizing deep learning models, to identify, analyze the spatial distribution of, and assess the clustering characteristics of newly established *Camellia oleifera* plantations. Over recent years, the expansion of *Camellia oleifera* plantations, while economically beneficial, has concurrently exerted specific impacts on local ecosystems and biodiversity. For example, extensive *Camellia oleifera* cultivation may modify land-use patterns and disrupt ecological equilibrium. Consequently, the accurate identification and surveillance of the distribution and proliferation of newly established *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas will enhance our understanding of the environmental and ecological impacts of this phenomenon, thereby furnishing scientific substantiation for prospective land-use planning and ecological preservation.

#### *4.1. Performance Evaluation of Models*

Figure [5](#page-9-0) illustrates that the U-Net++ model demonstrates a smoother mIOU curve in the validation set, indicating its superior learning stability and generalization ability compared to other models. Furthermore, the U-Net++ model attains the highest performance in precision, recall, and F1 score among all models (Figure [6\)](#page-9-1). Analyzing the segmentation maps of each model (Figure  $7$ ) reveals that the U-Net++ model produces recognition results for test samples that closely align with the manually labeled ground truth, especially in identifying the boundaries of *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas. This indicates its superior performance in accurately identifying *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas in high-resolution remote sensing imagery. Therefore, based on the test results using the self-developed dataset in this study, we conclude that the U-Net++ model is the optimal choice for identifying *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas. We acknowledge the significant challenge associated with the inability to generate a comprehensive and precise map detailing the distribution of *Camellia oleifera* plantations in Hengyang City. To address this issue, we employed a strategic methodology. We identified four representative plantation areas,

and a thorough comparison between the model's predictive outcomes and the manually labeled was conducted, as depicted in Figure [9.](#page-12-0) This evaluation objectively appraises the model's precision and efficacy. Evidently, the findings underscore the model's adeptness at identifying intricate patterns within complex *Camellia oleifera* plantation landscapes. Nevertheless, instances of missed and false detections continue to occur, potentially due to sudden changes in texture brightness or the high similarity of land features.

The uncertainty of the model is one of the primary factors leading to this issue. For instance, firstly, the design of the model's structure, such as the dense skip connections and nested skip connections adopted by U-Net++, enhances the model's performance but might also increase its complexity and the risk of overfitting. Secondly, the performance of U-Net++ largely depends on the quality and quantity of the training data. If there are errors in the annotations of the *Camellia oleifera* plantations in the training data or if the sample size is insufficient, the model might not accurately capture the true distribution characteristics of the *Camellia oleifera* plantations, thereby affecting its extraction results.

Moreover, exogenous information has a potential positive impact in several aspects, such as improving model performance, enhancing model generalization capabilities, and reducing model uncertainty. For instance, external data such as geographical data, soil types, and climate information can be combined with remote sensing image data, aiding in better identification of *Camellia oleifera* plantations. Additionally, external land-use data can assist the model in excluding areas known not to contain *Camellia oleifera* plantations, thereby reducing the likelihood of false detections. In future research, we will continue to explore the application of these methods to further enhance the efficiency and accuracy of *Camellia oleifera* plantation extraction tasks.

#### *4.2. The Distribution of Camellia oleifera Plantations in Hengyang City*

An analysis was conducted on the distribution of *Camellia oleifera* plantations at different scales (Figure [10\)](#page-13-0). Firstly, plantations with an area of less than five8 hectares typically result from individual farmers efficiently utilizing small land parcels. Due to the relatively abundant and cost-effective land resources available for such small plots, these small-scale plantations are widely distributed. Secondly, *Camellia oleifera* plantations ranging from 5 to 50 hectares are primarily managed and operated by large-scale entities specializing in this type of cultivation. These medium-sized plantations tend to be concentrated in areas that are more suitable for *Camellia oleifera* growth. Lastly, *Camellia oleifera* plantations exceeding 50 hectares are typically established by large enterprises or government institutions. These entities prioritize land quality and sustainability when selecting plantation areas, resulting in fewer large-scale plantations. This reflects the increased demand for land resources and capital investment associated with the large-scale cultivation of *Camellia oleifera.*

With respect to the overall distribution (Figure [11\)](#page-13-1), Changning City and Leiyang City host a relatively larger proportion of *Camellia oleifera* plantations, accounting for 23.82% and 17.90% of the total plantation area in Hengyang City, respectively. In contrast, the distribution of *Camellia oleifera* plantations in Zhengxiang District, Yanfeng District, and Nanyue District is relatively sparse. This distribution pattern may be influenced by factors such as natural environmental conditions, land utilization, government policies, and population density. For instance, Changning City and Leiyang City demonstrate a heightened focus on the *Camellia oleifera* industry, with substantial government and business resources dedicated to its cultivation. Additionally, the favorable climatic and topographical conditions in these regions are conducive to *Camellia oleifera* growth. Conversely, Zhengxiang District's mostly flat terrain supports the cultivation of other crops, such as rice and vegetables. Nanyue District and other areas with rugged topography may limit the extent of *Camellia oleifera* cultivation. Furthermore, high population density can lead to competition and constraints in land resource utilization.

#### *4.3. The Distribution Characteristics of Camellia oleifera Plantations on Different Terrains*

Statistical analysis tools in ArcMap 10.7 were employed in this study to systematically examine the distribution of *Camellia oleifera* plantations across divergent altitudes, slopes, and aspects. The findings revealed that *Camellia oleifera* plantations in Hengyang City predominantly localize in mountainous and hilly regions. These are situated at altitudes ranging from 50 to 200 m, with slopes less than 25°, and facing south or southeast. This observation aligns with the terrain conditions deemed favorable for *Camellia oleifera* cultivation, thereby augmenting the reliability and validity of our research outcomes.

In areas of lower altitude, the concentration of *Camellia oleifera* plantations is comparatively higher, while at altitudes above 250 m, minimal human intervention renders these zones unsuitable for *Camellia oleifera* cultivation. In southeastern and southern aspects, owing to their increased potential for sunlight exposure relative to other directions, the ambient temperature tends to be higher, creating a warmer environment that favors *Camellia oleifera* growth. Concerning slope, steeper gradients can intensify soil erosion and moisture loss, making these areas unfit for plantations. The complex terrain impedes human activity, further heightening the unsuitability of these regions for *Camellia oleifera* cultivation. Conversely, excessively flat slopes may cause waterlogging, which can trigger root rot disease in *Camellia oleifera* plants, thus hampering their growth. Overall, the insights from this research have substantial significance for the planning and management of *Camellia oleifera* plantations in Hengyang City.

#### *4.4. Spatial Aggregation Analysis of Camellia oleifera Plantations*

The Global Moran's index, at a positive value of 0.353678, coupled with a z-score of 8.23, indicates a significant spatial correlation among *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas. The Local Moran's index highlights the presence of high-high and low-low aggregations. This pattern is influenced by the city's terrain and land use types; hilly areas encourage denser planting, forming high-high aggregation areas, while towns and populous areas, where land is primarily used for urban development and habitation, limit agricultural space, leading to the dispersion of *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas and the formation of low-low aggregation phenomena. This spatial aggregation underscores the similarities and interactions among *Camellia oleifera* plantations across Hengyang's townships, providing a valuable framework for future planning and decision-making. The research acknowledges certain limitations in its spatial analysis. While the current methodology reveals the spatial clustering tendencies of *Camellia oleifera* plantations, it may not fully capture the intricacies and complexities of spatial variations and relationships at the micro level. To gain a more precise understanding of the spatial distribution of *Camellia oleifera* plantations, we intend to explore and adopt advanced spatial analysis techniques [\[35,](#page-20-4)[36\]](#page-20-5) in the future.

#### *4.5. Examination of Camellia oleifera Plantation Patch Fragmentation*

By analyzing the fragmentation degree within *Camellia oleifera* plantation patches, we identified a certain level of spatial fragmentation, likely influenced by urbanization, land development, and human activities. Future management and planning processes should consider measures to optimize the spatial pattern of these plantations. This could involve promoting connectivity between smaller patches, reducing dispersed patches, and enhancing spatial continuity and stability. Such initiatives would contribute to ecological preservation and promote the sustainable development of *Camellia oleifera* plantations.

#### *4.6. Outlook*

This study focuses on the identification and analysis of *Camellia oleifera* plantations for a specific year and has not yet addressed its dynamic changes over a longer time span. Considering the significance of *Camellia oleifera* cultivation in China, we anticipate a comprehensive optimization and adjustment of the model architecture and training strategies in subsequent research. By leveraging the efficient learning and recognition mechanisms of deep neural network models, we aim to precisely identify *Camellia oleifera* plantations from different periods and regions, thereby achieving dynamic monitoring of large-scale planting areas. Furthermore, we hope to extend this technology to the delineation of plantations for other economic crops, aiming for broader applications.

In future research endeavors, the incorporation of remote sensing datasets, meteorological insights, and geospatial information emerges as a promising approach to enrich and extend the analysis of the dynamic alterations in the distributions of *Camellia oleifera* plantations. It is crucial to recognize that, despite the inherent strengths of our research, several limitations persist. Elements such as data accessibility and integrity, obstructions in land features, and climatic conditions can introduce potential inaccuracies or ambiguities. A meticulous comparative analysis with existing methodologies is a crucial aspect of future studies, aiming to clarify the advantages and constraints inherent in our methodology. In upcoming endeavors, we aim to explore wider application domains, such as land-use strategizing and environmental surveillance, to maximize the inherent value of the distribution data of *Camellia oleifera* plantations.

#### **5. Conclusions**

This study integrated deep learning techniques with the GF-2 remote sensing data from 2020. Using the U-Net++ model, it accurately identified the newly established *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas in Hengyang city and conducted spatial analysis based on their distribution. This exploration holds substantial importance for land use and related fields, while also providing valuable references for the future planning and management of *Camellia oleifera* planting areas.

Firstly, various deep-learning models were compared and evaluated using a selfcreated dataset of *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas. The results demonstrated that the U-Net++ model performed well, accurately identifying *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas within high-resolution remote sensing images and displaying robust generalization capabilities. The optimized model was then applied to predict the distribution of *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas throughout Hengyang City, providing valuable insights into distribution patterns for different plantation scales. The distribution of *Camellia oleifera* plantation areas was influenced by factors such as elevation, slope, and slope orientation, with suitable topographical conditions contributing to their formation. Furthermore, an initial examination of the spatial attributes of these forecasted areas indicates a significant level of cultivation in the majority of townships. These plantations are predominantly marked by high and low agglomerations, with noticeable fragmentation. Such patterns have a strong correlation with local trends, soil conditions, population density, and agricultural strategies.

**Author Contributions:** Y.L., Methodology, Software, Validation, Data curation, Writing—original draft, Visualization; D.M., Conceptualization, Methodology, Data curation, Resources, Supervision, Funding acquisition; E.Y., Conceptualization, Methodology, Data curation, Investigation, Writing review & editing; J.J., Methodology, Software, Validation, Investigation; D.C., Investigation, Validation, Resources. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Project Technology Innovation Plan Project of Hunan Provincial Forestry Department under Grant XLK202108-8; in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 32071682 and Grant 31901311; in part by the Open Innovation Fund Project: A Study on Disturbance Range Identification in Production Construction Projects Utilizing Deep Learning under Grant 20K149.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-18-0"></span>1. Deng, Q.; Li, J.; Gao, C.; Cheng, J.; Deng, X.; Jiang, D.; Li, L.; Yan, P. New perspective for evaluating the main *Camellia oleifera* cultivars in China. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 20676. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-77609-7)
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>2. Rogan, J.; Chen, D. Remote sensing technology for mapping and monitoring land-cover and land-use change. *Prog. Plan.* **2004**, *61*, 301–325. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-9006(03)00066-7)
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>3. Prokop, P. Tea plantations as a driving force of long-term land use and population changes in the Eastern Himalayan piedmont. *Land Use Policy* **2018**, *77*, 51–62. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.05.035)
- 4. Wei, Y.; Tong, X.; Chen, G.; Liu, D.; Han, Z. Remote detection of large-area crop types: The role of plant phenology and topography. *Agriculture* **2019**, *9*, 150. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture9070150)
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>5. Xu, X.; Zhang, L.; Chen, L.; Wei, F. Does COVID-2019 have an impact on the purchase intention of commercial long-term care insurance among the elderly in China? *Healthcare* **2020**, *8*, 126. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare8020126)
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>6. Shanahan, J.F.; Schepers, J.S.; Francis, D.D.; Varvel, G.E.; Wilhelm, W.W.; Tringe, J.M.; Schlemmer, M.R.; Major, D.J. Use of remote-sensing imagery to estimate corn grain yield. *Agron. J.* **2001**, *93*, 583–589. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2001.933583x)
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>7. Danylo, O.; Pirker, J.; Lemoine, G.; Ceccherini, G.; See, L.; McCallum, I.; Hadi; Kraxner, F.; Achard, F.; Fritz, S. A map of the extent and year of detection of oil palm plantations in Indonesia, Malaysia and Thailand. *Sci. Data* **2021**, *8*, 96. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-021-00867-1)
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>8. Xiao, X.; Boles, S.; Frolking, S.; Li, C.; Babu, J.Y.; Salas, W.; Moore, B., III. Mapping paddy rice agriculture in South and Southeast Asia using multi-temporal MODIS images. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2006**, *100*, 95–113. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2005.10.004)
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>9. Zhao, C. Advances of research and application in remote sensing for agriculture. *Nongye Jixie Xuebao/Trans. Chin. Soc. Agric. Mach.* **2014**, *45*, 277–293.
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>10. Deng, L. The mnist database of handwritten digit images for machine learning research [best of the web]. *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.* **2012**, *29*, 141–142. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1109/MSP.2012.2211477)
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>11. Brown, J.C.; Kastens, J.H.; Coutinho, A.C.; de Castro Victoria, D.; Bishop, C.R. Classifying multiyear agricultural land use data from Mato Grosso using time-series MODIS vegetation index data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2013**, *130*, 39–50. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.11.009)
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>12. Julien, Y.; Sobrino, J.A.; Jiménez-Muñoz, J.-C. Land use classification from multitemporal Landsat imagery using the Yearly Land Cover Dynamics (YLCD) method. *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* **2011**, *13*, 711–720. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2011.05.008)
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>13. Descals, A.; Szantoi, Z.; Meijaard, E.; Sutikno, H.; Rindanata, G.; Wich, S. Oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) mapping with details: Smallholder versus industrial plantations and their extent in Riau, Sumatra. *Remote Sens.* **2019**, *11*, 2590. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11212590)
- <span id="page-19-10"></span>14. Guo, Y.; Liu, Y.; Georgiou, T.; Lew, M.S. A review of semantic segmentation using deep neural networks. *Int. J. Multimed. Inf. Retr.* **2018**, *7*, 87–93. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13735-017-0141-z)
- 15. Garcia-Garcia, A.; Orts-Escolano, S.; Oprea, S.; Villena-Martinez, V.; Garcia-Rodriguez, J. A review on deep learning techniques applied to semantic segmentation. *arXiv* **2017**, arXiv:1704.06857.
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>16. Gao, L.; Liu, H.; Yang, M.; Chen, L.; Wan, Y.; Xiao, Z.; Qian, Y. STransFuse: Fusing swin transformer and convolutional neural network for remote sensing image semantic segmentation. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens.* **2021**, *14*, 10990–11003. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2021.3119654)
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>17. Long, J.; Shelhamer, E.; Darrell, T. Fully convolutional networks for semantic segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, Boston, MA, USA, 7–12 June 2015; pp. 3431–3440.
- <span id="page-19-13"></span>18. Zhou, Z.; Li, S. Peanut planting area change monitoring from remote sensing images based on deep learning. In Proceedings of the 2017 4th International Conference on Systems and Informatics (ICSAI), Hangzhou, China, 11–13 November 2017; pp. 1358–1362.
- <span id="page-19-14"></span>19. Ronneberger, O.; Fischer, P.; Brox, T. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In Proceedings of the Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2015: 18th International Conference, Munich, Germany, 5–9 October 2015; pp. 234–241.
- <span id="page-19-15"></span>20. Wei, S.; Zhang, H.; Wang, C.; Wang, Y.; Xu, L. Multi-temporal SAR data large-scale crop mapping based on U-Net model. *Remote Sens.* **2019**, *11*, 68. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11010068)
- <span id="page-19-16"></span>21. Zhou, Z.; Siddiquee, M.M.R.; Tajbakhsh, N.; Liang, J. Unet++: Redesigning skip connections to exploit multiscale features in image segmentation. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* **2019**, *39*, 1856–1867. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2019.2959609)
- <span id="page-19-17"></span>22. Zhou, Z.; Siddiquee, M.; Tajbakhsh, N.; Liang, J.U. A nested U-Net architecture for medical image segmentation. *arXiv* **2018**, arXiv:1807.10165.
- <span id="page-19-18"></span>23. Tu, J.; Chen, J.; Zhou, J.; Ai, W.; Chen, L. Plantation quality assessment of *Camellia oleifera* in mid-subtropical China. *Soil Tillage Res.* **2019**, *186*, 249–258. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2018.10.023)
- <span id="page-19-19"></span>24. Hoorali, F.; Khosravi, H.; Moradi, B. Automatic Bacillus anthracis bacteria detection and segmentation in microscopic images using UNet++. *J. Microbiol. Methods* **2020**, *177*, 106056. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2020.106056) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32931840)
- <span id="page-19-20"></span>25. Chen, L.-C.; Zhu, Y.; Papandreou, G.; Schroff, F.; Adam, H. Encoder-decoder with atrous separable convolution for semantic image segmentation. In Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV), Munich, Germany, 8–14 September 2018; pp. 801–818.
- <span id="page-19-21"></span>26. Chaurasia, A.; Culurciello, E. Linknet: Exploiting encoder representations for efficient semantic segmentation. In Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE Visual Communications and Image Processing (VCIP), Petersburg, FL, USA, 10–13 December 2017; pp. 1–4.
- <span id="page-19-22"></span>27. Xiang, J.; Xing, Y.; Wei, W.; Yan, E.; Jiang, J.; Mo, D. Dynamic Detection of Forest Change in Hunan Province Based on Sentinel-2 Images and Deep Learning. *Remote Sens.* **2023**, *15*, 628. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15030628)
- <span id="page-19-23"></span>28. Langer, S. Approximating smooth functions by deep neural networks with sigmoid activation function. *J. Multivar. Anal.* **2021**, *182*, 104696. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmva.2020.104696)
- <span id="page-19-24"></span>29. Badrinarayanan, V.; Kendall, A.; Cipolla, R. Segnet: A deep convolutional encoder-decoder architecture for image segmentation. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* **2017**, *39*, 2481–2495. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2016.2644615)
- <span id="page-19-25"></span>30. Hand, D.; Christen, P. A note on using the F-measure for evaluating record linkage algorithms. *Stat. Comput.* **2018**, *28*, 539–547. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11222-017-9746-6)
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>31. Wu, D.; Wang, P.; Huo, Z.; Yuan, X.; Jiang, H.; Yang, J.; Tang, J.; Ma, Y. Changes in climate suitability for oil-tea (*C. oleifera* Abel) production in China under historical and future climate conditions. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **2022**, *316*, 108843. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2022.108843)
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>32. Fu, W.J.; Jiang, P.K.; Zhou, G.M.; Zhao, K.L. Using Moran's I and GIS to study the spatial pattern of forest litter carbon density in a subtropical region of southeastern China. *Biogeosciences* **2014**, *11*, 2401–2409. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-2401-2014)
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>33. Bryan-Brown, D.N.; Connolly, R.M.; Richards, D.R.; Adame, F.; Friess, D.A.; Brown, C.J. Global trends in mangrove forest fragmentation. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 7117. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-63880-1)
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>34. Ma, J.; Li, J.; Wu, W.; Liu, J. Global forest fragmentation change from 2000 to 2020. *Nat. Commun.* **2023**, *14*, 3752. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-39221-x)
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>35. Qi, J.; Lu, Y.; Han, F.; Ma, X.; Yang, Z. Spatial distribution characteristics of the rural tourism villages in the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau and its influencing factors. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, *19*, 9330. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19159330)
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>36. Toosi, N.B.; Soffianian, A.R.; Fakheran, S.; Waser, L.T. Mapping disturbance in mangrove ecosystems: Incorporating landscape metrics and PCA-based spatial analysis. *Ecol. Indic.* **2022**, *136*, 108718. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.108718)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.