

Correction

Correction: Wolswijk et al. Can Mangrove Silviculture Be Carbon Neutral? *Remote Sens.* 2022, 14, 2920

Giovanna Wolswijk ^{1,2,*}, Africa Barrios Trullols ^{1,†}, Jean Hugé ^{1,3,4,5}, Viviana Otero ¹, Behara Satyanarayana ^{1,2}, Richard Lucas ⁶ and Farid Dahdouh-Guebas ^{1,3,7,8}

- ¹ Systems Ecology and Resource Management, Department of Organism Biology, Faculté des Sciences, Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Avenue F.D. Roosevelt 50, CPi 264/1, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium; africabatru@hotmail.com (A.B.T.); jean.huge@ou.nl (J.H.); viviotero1@gmail.com (V.O.); satyam@umt.edu.my (B.S.); farid.dahdouh-guebas@ulb.be (F.D.-G.)
 - ² Mangrove Research Unit (MARU), Institute of Oceanography and Environment (INOS), Universiti Malaysia Terengganu (UMT), Kuala Nerus 21030, Terengganu, Malaysia
 - ³ Laboratory of General Botany and Nature Management, Biocomplexity Research Focus, Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences and Bio-Engineering Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB), VUB-APNA-WE Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium
 - ⁴ Centre for Environmental Science, Hasselt University, Martelarenlaan 42, 3500 Hasselt, Belgium
 - ⁵ Department of Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Science, Open University of The Netherlands, Valkenburgerweg 177, 6419 AT Heerlen, The Netherlands
 - ⁶ Department of Geography and Earth Sciences, Aberystwyth University, Aberystwyth SY23 2EJ, Ceredigion, UK; rml2@aber.ac.uk
 - ⁷ Mangrove Specialist Group (MSG), Species Survival Commission (SSC), International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN), 1196 Gland, Switzerland
 - ⁸ Interfaculty Institute of Social-Ecological Transitions—IITSE, Université Libre de Bruxelles—ULB, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium
- * Correspondence: giovanna.wolswijk@ulb.be
 † These authors contributed equally to this work.

Error in Figure/Table

In the original publication [1], there was a mistake in Table 2 as published. In the last row of the table, a mistake was made in the calculation of the total for carbon BGB and soil. The corrected Table 2 appears below.

Table 2. Total carbon stock (C) in AGB, BGB, and top 1 m of soil for the productive, restrictive productive, and protective forest zones in the MMFR. For the protective forest the biomass and carbon per ha was considered at least equal to a 30-year-old stand, as these forest areas are in many cases older than that; hence, the values reported for the protective forest are an underestimation of the real carbon stock.

| | Area (ha) | Total C AGB (Mg) | Total C BGB (Mg) | Total C Soil (Mg) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Productive forest | | | | |
| Age 0–15 | 15,170.2 | 552,151.6 | 193,253.1 | 6,624,517.1 |
| Age 15–20 | 4435.2 | 384,578.1 | 134,602.3 | 2,158,387.3 |
| Age 20–30 | 8786.5 | 465,915.5 | 163,070.4 | 3,900,523.9 |
| TOTAL | 28,391.9 | 1,402,645.3 | 490,925.8 | 12,683,428.4 |
| Restrictive productive forest | | | | |
| | 2068.0 | 93,147.4 | 32,601.6 | 910,401.2 |
| Protective forest | | | | |
| | 11,661.8 | 1,202,539.0 | 420,888.7 | 5,801,745.5 |
| TOTAL MMFR | 42,121.7 | 2,698,331.7 | 944,416.1 | 19,395,575.1 |



Citation: Wolswijk, G.; Trullols, A.B.; Hugé, J.; Otero, V.; Satyanarayana, B.; Lucas, R.; Dahdouh-Guebas, F. Correction: Wolswijk et al. Can Mangrove Silviculture Be Carbon Neutral? *Remote Sens.* 2022, 14, 2920. *Remote Sens.* 2024, 16, 4335. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs16224335>

Received: 19 August 2024
 Accepted: 13 September 2024
 Published: 20 November 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Another error was present in Figure 5. In the legend inside the figure, the carbon emission and carbon stock colours were reversed. The corrected Figure 5 appears below.




Figure 5. Comparison of AGB carbon stock and emissions from both pole and charcoal production for the productive forest zones and the total MMFR.

Text Correction

In relation to the error in Table 2, the same values reported in the text were also affected. A correction has been made to the Abstract, Section 3.1, and Section 4.1.

Abstract:

The total soil carbon of ca. 19 TgC shows the potential of the MMFR as a carbon sink.

Section 3.1:

Considering the total areas occupied by different forest zones (productive, restrictive productive, and protective), the total C stock for the MMFR (AGB, BGB, and soil combined) would be equal to 23,038,322.9 Mg C.

Section 4.1:

By extrapolating soil carbon values for all forest ages and linking them to the areal extent of each forest zone, we estimated that the total carbon storage in the soil can reach 19,395,575.1 Mg C. Hence the carbon storage in the soil seems to be much greater than the carbon stock in the vegetation biomass, with this estimated to be 2,698,331.7 Mg C.

The authors state that the scientific conclusions are unaffected. This correction was approved by the Academic Editor. The original publication has also been updated.

Reference

1. Wolswijk, G.; Barrios Trullols, A.; Hugé, J.; Otero, V.; Satyanarayana, B.; Lucas, R.; Dahdouh-Guebas, F. Can Mangrove Silviculture Be Carbon Neutral? *Remote Sens.* **2022**, *14*, 2920. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.