

Supplementary Table S1. Overview investigated genes with conclusions.

| Name gene | Specification of Genetic Alteration Location | References | Conclusions Formulated by the Authors | Significantly Associated with PM? | Overall Conclusion Formulated by the Reviewers Based on Included Studies |
|---|--|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| <i>Androgen receptor (AR)</i> | Not specified | Lee et al. [30] | <i>AR</i> mutation was detected more frequently in patients with PM. | Yes | <i>AR</i> mutation can be associated with PM, but there is not enough evidence to formulate this conclusion. More research is necessary. |
| <i>ASXL Transcriptional Regulator 1 (ASXL1)</i> | Not specified | Lee et al. [30] | <i>ASXL1</i> mutation was detected more frequently in patients with PM. | Yes | <i>ASXL1</i> mutation can be associated with PM, but there is not enough evidence to formulate this conclusion. More research is necessary. |
| <i>AT-Rich Interaction Domain 1A (ARID1A)</i> | Not specified | Lan et al. [29] | No conclusion formulated. | N/A | <i>ARID1A</i> mutation can be associated with PM, but there is not enough evidence to formulate this conclusion. More research is necessary. |
| | | Lee et al. [30] | <i>ARID1A</i> mutation was detected more frequently in patients with PM. | Yes | |
| <i>Proto-oncogene B-Raf (BRAF)</i> | Not specified | Atreya et al. [43] | <i>BRAF</i> mutant tumors have more common PM, not significant. | No | <i>BRAF</i> mutant tumors might be more likely to have PM, and mutations in <i>BRAF</i> might be higher for patients with PM compared to without. |
| | | Lan et al. [29] | No conclusion formulated. | N/A | |
| | | Prasanna et al. [45] | <i>BRAF</i> mutant CRC showed higher incidence of PM. | Yes | |
| | | Roberto et al. [46] | <i>BRAF</i> mutant right-sided CRC was more likely to occur with PM. | Yes | |
| | | Tran et al. [48] | <i>BRAF</i> mutant tumors had higher rates of PM. | Yes | |
| | Codon 600 | Christensen et al. [44] | <i>BRAF</i> mutations were not associated with the presence of PM. | No | |
| | | Kawazoe et al. [27] | <i>BRAF</i> mutated tumors were more likely to develop PM. | Yes | |

| Name gene | Specification of Genetic Alteration Location | References | Conclusions Formulated by the Authors | Significantly Associated with PM? | Overall Conclusion Formulated by the Reviewers Based on Included Studies |
|---|--|-------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| | | Sasaki et al. [33] | The PM group had a higher incidence of <i>BRAF</i> mutations. | Yes | |
| | | Shelygin et al. [35] | No differences were observed between PM and no PM group. | No | |
| | | Taniguchi et al. [39] | Mutations in <i>BRAF</i> were higher for patients with PM. | Yes | |
| | | Yokota et al. [40] | <i>BRAF</i> -mutated tumors disseminate more often to the peritoneum. | Yes | |
| | Codon 600, exon 11 and 15 | Bruzzi et al. [21] | Trend for a higher rate of PM in <i>BRAF</i> mutant patients, not significant. | No | |
| | Codon 600, exon 15 | Cheng et al. [22] | Patients with <i>BRAF</i> V600E mutation had a higher frequency of PM. | Yes | |
| | | Sayagués et al. [34] | <i>BRAF</i> mutated tumors were associated with PM. | Yes | |
| | | Yaeger et al. [49] | PM was more common in <i>BRAF</i> mutant cases. | Yes | |
| | Codon 600 and 594 | Smith et al. [37] | A significant association between <i>BRAF</i> status and PM was found, although this association did not withstand correction for multiple testing. | No | |
| | All exons | He et al. [23] | <i>BRAF</i> mutated tumors were more likely to develop PM (trend), not significant. | No | |
| <i>Kinesin Family Member 18A (Kif18A)</i> | Not specified | Nagahara et al. [31] | <i>Kif18A</i> overexpression in CRC correlates with PM. | Yes | <i>Kif18A</i> overexpression can be associated with PM, but there is not enough evidence to formulate this conclusion. More research is necessary |
| <i>RAS KRAS/NRAS</i> | Not specified | Christensen et al. [44] | No association. | No | |

| Name gene | Specification of Genetic Alteration Location | References | Conclusions Formulated by the Authors | Significantly Associated with PM? | Overall Conclusion Formulated by the Reviewers Based on Included Studies |
|-------------|--|-------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| | Exon 2, 3 and 4 | Lan et al. [28] | The proportion of PM was higher in patients whose tumors carried a RAS pathway mutation. Tumors with a <i>KRAS</i> mutation had a trend toward a higher proportion of PM. | Yes | There is not enough evidence that <i>KRAS/NRAS</i> mutant tumors are associated with a higher rate of PM. PM is not more seen in RAS pathway-mutated tumors. |
| | | Lan et al. [29] | No conclusion formulated. | N/A | |
| | | Bruzzi et al. [21] | No higher rate of PM in RAS mutant tumors. | No | |
| | | Sayagués et al. [34] | No association between <i>KRAS/NRAS</i> mutation status and PM. | No | |
| | | Kawazoe et al. [27] | No differences for PM according to RAS mutation. | No | |
| | | Smith et al. [37] | No association between <i>KRAS/NRAS</i> mutation status and PM. | No | |
| | | Christensen et al. [44] | No association. | No | |
| | | Lan et al. [28] | The proportion of PM was higher in patients whose tumors carried a RAS pathway mutation. Tumors with a <i>KRAS</i> mutation had a trend toward a higher proportion of PM. | Yes | |
| <i>KRAS</i> | <i>Not specified</i> | Lan et al. [29] | No conclusion formulated. | N/A | |
| | | Sasaki et al. [33] | No association between <i>KRAS</i> mutation status and PM. | No | |
| | | Yokota et al. [40] | No association. | No | |
| | Codon 12, 13 | | | | |
| | Codon 12, 13 and 61 | | | | |

| Name gene | Specification of Genetic Alteration Location | References | Conclusions Formulated by the Authors | Significantly Associated with PM? | Overall Conclusion Formulated by the Reviewers Based on Included Studies |
|---|--|-------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| | Codon 12/13 exon 2, Codon 59/61 exon 3, Codon 117/146 exon 4 | Zihui Yong et al. [51] | PM was associated with <i>KRAS</i> mutant tumors. | Yes | |
| | All exons | He t al. [23] | Mutant <i>KRAS</i> tumors have a relevance with PM. <i>KRAS</i> codon 12 mutation is associated with PM and patients with PM tent to carry a mutant <i>KRAS</i> G12D. | Yes | |
| <i>NIMA Related Kinase 2 (NEK2)</i> | Not specified | Takahashi et al. [38] | High <i>NEK2</i> expression shows greater PM. | Yes | <i>NEK2</i> expression can be associated with PM, but there is not enough evidence to formulate this conclusion. More research is necessary. |
| <i>MET Transcriptional Regulator MACC1 (MACC1)</i> | Not specified | Shirahata et al. [42] | High <i>MACC1</i> expression shows correlation with PM. | Yes | <i>MACC1</i> expression can be associated with PM, but there is not enough evidence to formulate this conclusion. More research is necessary. |
| <i>Paired Box 5 (PAX5)</i> | Not specified | Lee et al. [30] | <i>PAX5</i> mutation was detected more frequently in patients with PM. | Yes | <i>PAX5</i> mutation can be associated with PM, but there is not enough evidence to formulate this conclusion. More research is necessary. |
| <i>phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic</i> | Not specified | Christensen et al. [44] | <i>PIK3CA</i> mutation was associated with absence of PM and a decreased hazard of developing PM. | No | <i>PIK3CA</i> mutation is not associated with PM, and the presence of a mutation is |

| Name gene | Specification of Genetic Alteration Location | References | Conclusions Formulated by the Authors | Significantly Associated with PM? | Overall Conclusion Formulated by the Reviewers Based on Included Studies |
|---|--|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| <i>subunit alpha (PIK3CA)</i> | | Lan et al. [28] | No association between PM and presence of PI3K pathway mutation. | No | possibly associated with a decreased change of developing PM. |
| | | Lan et al. [29] | No conclusion formulated. | N/A | |
| | | Smith et al. [37] | No differences were observed between PM and no PM group. | No | |
| | | Sasaki et al. [33] | No differences were observed between PM and no PM group. | No | |
| | | Shelygin et al. [35] | No differences were observed between PM and no PM group. | No | |
| | | Taniguchi et al. [39] | No conclusion formulated. | N/A | |
| <i>PKHD1 Ciliary IPT Domain Containing Fibrocystin/Polyductin (PKHD1)</i> | Not specified | Lee et al. [30] | <i>PKHD1</i> mutation was detected more frequently in patients with PM. | Yes | <i>PKHD1</i> mutation can be associated with PM, but there is not enough evidence to formulate this conclusion. More research is necessary. |
| <i>Regenerating Family Member 1 Alpha (REG1A)</i> | Not specified | Astrosini et al. [20] | <i>REG1A</i> expression levels highly correlated with formation of PM. | Yes | <i>REG1A</i> expression can be associated with PM, but there is not enough evidence to formulate this conclusion. More research is necessary. |
| <i>Ret Proto-Oncogene (RET)</i> | Not specified | Yang et al. [50] | The presence of <i>RET</i> mutations was associated with PM. | Yes | <i>RET</i> mutation can be associated with PM, but there is not enough evidence to formulate this conclusion. More research is necessary. |
| <i>Histone acetyltransferase (Tip60)</i> | Not specified | Sakuraba et al. [32] | Downregulation of <i>Tip60</i> shows correlation with PM. | Yes | <i>Tip60</i> downregulation can be associated with PM, but there is not enough evidence |

| Name gene | Specification of Genetic Alteration Location | References | Conclusions Formulated by the Authors | Significantly Associated with PM? | Overall Conclusion Formulated by the Reviewers Based on Included Studies |
|--|--|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | to formulate this conclusion. More research is necessary. |
| <i>Tumor protein P53 (TP53)</i> | Not specified | Lan et al. [29] | For patients with PM, the frequency of mutations was the highest in <i>TP53</i> . | No | <i>TP53</i> mutations are possibly detected more frequently in patients with PM, but further research is necessary to identify the association |
| | | Lee et al. [30] | <i>TP53</i> mutation was detected more frequently in patients with PM. | Yes | |
| | | Sayagués et al. [34] | No associations were found between <i>TP53</i> mutation and PM. | No | |
| | | Sjo et al. [36] | PM was associated with mutations in <i>TP53</i> . | Yes | |
| <i>Ubiquitin Protein Ligase E3 Component N-Recognin 5 (UBR5)</i> | Not specified | Lee et al. [30] | <i>UBR5</i> mutation was detected more frequently in patients with PM. | Yes | <i>UBR5</i> mutation can be associated with PM, but there is not enough evidence to formulate this conclusion. More research is necessary. |
| Vimentin | Not specified | Shirahata et al. [41] | There is a trend toward developing PM and vimentin methylation, not significant. | No | Vimentin methylation can be possibly associated with PM, but further research is necessary. |

CRC, colorectal cancer; PM, peritoneal metastases.