

## Supplementary Tables

**Supplementary Table S1** shows the heat map of various dosimetric indices of the target volume and the OARs comparing DIBH with the free breathing method for the three different radiotherapy delivery

		F-VMAT		B-VMAT		FF-IMRT	
		DIBH	FB	DIBH	FB	DIBH	FB
PTV	Coverage	95.62	95.71	95.44	95.59	95.67	95.68
LUNG Left	Mean (Gy)	5.64	6.73	5.57	7.01	5.33	6.53
	V5Gy[%]	41.17	46.94	37.98	46.97	33.09	40.81
	V10Gy[%]	22.16	28.14	22.77	30.64	22.43	27.54
	V25Gy[%]	1.53	2.20	1.57	2.36	1.49	1.91
LUNG Right	Mean (Gy)	5.74	7.01	5.59	7.11	5.40	6.78
	V5Gy[%]	42.76	52.20	38.08	48.67	34.23	43.01
	V10Gy[%]	21.71	27.21	22.49	30.19	22.86	29.10
	V25Gy[%]	1.36	2.08	1.43	2.11	1.20	1.66
LUNGS	Mean (Gy)	5.69	6.87	5.58	7.05	5.36	6.66
	V5Gy[%]	41.31	49.66	37.58	47.57	33.83	41.52
	V10Gy[%]	21.21	27.60	22.79	30.28	22.62	28.31
	V25Gy[%]	1.47	2.11	1.48	2.24	1.32	1.76
HEART	Mean (Gy)	4.96	7.07	5.07	7.61	5.74	8.11
	V5Gy[%]	27.96	37.09	26.39	39.25	28.97	41.88
	V10Gy[%]	19.13	26.51	18.52	30.09	22.54	32.78
	V15Gy[%]	14.14	20.40	14.21	23.70	17.54	25.93
BREAST Left	Mean (Gy)	5.15	5.89	4.52	5.38	4.44	4.70
	V4Gy[%]	48.70	52.90	38.23	44.02	27.14	29.02
	V10Gy[%]	13.16	19.65	14.97	22.89	20.42	22.24
BREAST Right	Mean (Gy)	4.23	4.94	3.63	4.47	3.26	3.57
	V4Gy[%]	42.38	47.60	33.71	38.73	21.59	23.41
	V10Gy[%]	8.19	13.62	12.13	16.88	15.78	17.04

F-VMAT: Full Arc Volumetric Arc Therapy; B-VMAT: Butterfly Volumetric Arc Therapy;  
FF-IMRT: Fixed field Intensity Modulated Radiotherapy

**Supplementary Table-S2A. Shows the dosimetric indices of the OARs comparing three different delivery techniques with DIBH**

Oorgan's At Risk	F-VMAT DIBH		B-VMAT DIBH		FF-IMRT DIBH		Between Groups P-value
Dosimetry	B-VMAT DIBH	FF-IMRT DIBH	F-VMAT DIBH	FF-IMRT DIBH	F-VMAT DIBH	B-VMAT DIBH	
Lung_L Mean Gy	1.000	0.887	1.000	0.699	0.887	0.699	0.428
Lung_L_V5Gy	0.694	0.010	0.694	0.205	0.010	0.205	0.012
Lung_L_V10Gy	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.966
Lung_L_V25Gy	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.938
Lung_R Mean Gy	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.732
Lung_R_V5Gy	0.433	0.027	0.433	0.683	0.027	0.683	0.032
Lung_R_V10Gy	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.867
Lung_R_V25Gy							0.705
Lungs Mean Gy	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.640
Lungs_V5Gy	0.504	0.020	0.504	0.493	0.020	0.493	0.025
Lungs_V10Gy	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.684
Lungs_V25 Gy	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.565
Heart_Mean Gy*							0.545
Heart_V5Gy*							0.898
Heart_V10Gy*							0.546
Heart_V15Gy*							0.400
Breast_L Mean Gy	1.000	0.991	1.000	1.000	0.991	1.000	0.561
Breast_L_V4Gy*							0.074
Breast_L_V10Gy*							0.108
Breast_R Mean Gy*							0.140
Breast_R_V4Gy	1.000	0.033	1.000	0.262	0.033	0.262	0.035
Breast_R_V10 Gy	0.671	0.026	0.671	0.483	0.026	0.483	0.032
Thyroid Mean Gy*							0.954
Monitor Units (MU)	1.000	<0.05	1.000	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Homogeneity Index (HI)	0.045	1.000	0.045	0.083	1.000	0.083	0.028
Conformity Index (CI)	0.374	<0.05	0.374	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05

Shapiro-Wilk's test was used to check the normality of the dataset. If the P value of Shapiro-Wilk's test was  $P > 0.05$ , the data was considered to be normally distributed and a parametric test i.e. one-way ANOVA test was used to compare all three different treatment techniques. If the P value of Shapiro-Wilk's test was  $P < 0.05$ , the distribution of the data was not considered to be normal and a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test was used.

\*In the normality check, it was observed that Lung\_L Mean Gy, Lung\_L\_V5Gy, Lung\_L\_V10Gy, Lung\_L\_V25Gy, Lung\_R Mean Gy, Lung\_R\_V5Gy, Lung\_R\_V10Gy, Lungs\_Mean Gy, Lungs\_V5Gy, Lungs\_V10Gy, Lungs\_V25Gy Breast\_L\_MeanGy, Homogeneity Index were normally distributed variables, so for those variables ANOVA test was used and pairwise comparison was done by Bonferroni's Correction.

Significant P values were highlighted. Variables (Lung\_R\_V25Gy, Heart\_Mean Gy, Heart\_V5Gy, Heart\_V10Gy, Heart\_V15Gy, Breast\_L\_V4Gy, Breast\_L\_V10Gy, Breast\_R\_Mean Gy, Breast\_R\_V4Gy, Breast\_R\_V10Gy, Thyroid Mean Gy, Monitor Units, Conformity Index ) were not normally distributed variables, So for these variables, Kruskal Walli's test was used and pairwise comparison was done only for significant variables (Breast\_R\_V4Gy, Breast\_R\_V10Gy, Monitor Units, Conformity Index). Significant P values are highlighted. Kruskal Walli's test does not provide pairwise comparisons if the overall p-value is not significant, hence the table does not show the p-values.

**Supplementary Table-S2B. Shows the dosimetric indices of the OARs comparing three different delivery techniques with the Free Breathing method**

Oorgan's At Risk Dosimetry	F-VMAT FB		B-VMAT FB		FF-IMRT FB		Between Groups P value
	B-VMAT FB	FF-IMRT FB	F-VMAT FB	FF-IMRT FB	F-VMAT FB	B-VMAT FB	
Lungs_Mean Gy	1.000	0.093	1.000	0.020	0.093	0.020	0.017
Lung_L_V5Gy	1.000	0.125	1.000	0.123	0.125	0.123	0.063
Lung_L_V10Gy	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.957	1.000	0.957	0.325
Lung_L_V25Gy	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.725	1.000	0.725	0.492
Lung_R_Mean Gy*							0.738
Lung_R_V5Gy*							0.061
Lung_R_V10Gy*							0.620
Lung_R_V25Gy*							0.333
Lungs_Mean Gy*							0.594
Lungs_V5Gy	1.000	0.053	1.000	0.224	0.053	0.224	0.047
Lungs_V10Gy*							0.492
Lungs_V25Gy	1.000	0.880	1.000	0.444	0.880	0.444	0.325
Heart_Mean Gy	1.000	0.739	1.000	1.000	0.739	1.000	0.508
Heart_V5Gy	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.648

Heart_V10Gy	1.000	0.335	1.000	1.000	0.335	1.000	0.277
Heart_V15Gy	0.977	0.305	0.977	1.000	0.305	1.000	0.255
Breast_L_Mean* Gy							0.622
Breast_L_V4Gy	1.000	0.018	1.000	0.095	0.018	0.095	0.015
Breast_L_V10Gy*							0.308
Breast_R_Mean* Gy							0.115
Breast_R_V4Gy	0.950	0.003	0.950	0.061	0.003	0.061	0.003
Breast_R_V10Gy*							0.115
Thyroid Mean Gy*							0.995
Monitor Units (MU)	0.053	<0.05	0.053	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.000
Homogeneity Index (HI)	0.300	1.000	0.300	0.171	1.000	0.171	0.119
Conformity Index (CI)	0.002	<0.05	0.002	0.046	<0.05	0.046	0.000

Shapiro-Wilk's test was used to check the normality of the dataset. If the P value of Shapiro-Wilk's test was  $P > 0.05$ , the data was considered to be normally distributed and a parametric test i.e. one-way ANOVA test was used to compare all three different treatment techniques. If the P value of Shapiro-Wilk's test was  $P < 0.05$ , the distribution of the data was not considered to be normal and a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test was used.

\*In the normality check, it was observed that Lung\_L Mean Gy, Lung\_L\_V5Gy, Lung\_L\_V10Gy, Lung\_L\_V25Gy, Lungs\_V5Gy, Lungs\_V25Gy, HI, Heart\_Mean Gy, Heart\_V5Gy, Heart\_V10Gy, Heart\_V15Gy were normally distributed variables, so for those variables ANOVA test was used and pairwise comparison was done by Bonferroni's Correction. Significant p- p-values are highlighted. Variables (Lung\_R\_Mean Gy, Lung\_R\_V5Gy, Lung\_R\_V10Gy, Lung\_R\_V25Gy, Lungs\_Mean Gy, Lungs\_V10Gy, Breast\_L\_MeanGy, Breast\_L\_V4Gy, Breast\_L\_V10Gy, Breast\_R\_Mean Gy, Breast\_R\_V4Gy, Breast\_R\_V10Gy, Thyroid Mean Gy, Monitor Units) were not normally distributed, so for these variables Kruskal Walli's test was used and pairwise comparison was done only for significant variables. (Breast\_L\_V4Gy, Breast\_R\_V10Gy, Monitor Units,). Significant P values were highlighted. Kruskal Walli's test does not provide pairwise comparisons if the overall p-value is not significant, hence the table does not show the p-values.