

Article

Bioactivity and Antibacterial Analysis of Plasticized PLA Electrospun Fibers Reinforced with MgO and Mg(OH)² Nanoparticles

Adrián Leonés ¹®[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0210-1246) Valentina Salaris ¹®, Laura Peponi ^{1,}[*](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6542-091X)®, Marcela Lieblich ²®, Alexandra Muñoz-Bonilla ¹®, **Marta Fernández-García [1](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2061-0351) and Daniel López 1,[*](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6386-5798)**

- 1 Instituto de Ciencia y Tecnología de Polímeros (ICTP-CSIC), C/Juan de la Cierva 3, 28006 Madrid, Spain; aleones@ictp.csic.es (A.L.); v.salaris@ictp.csic.es (V.S.)
- ² Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Metalúrgicas (CENIM-CSIC), 28040 Madrid, Spain
- ***** Correspondence: lpeponi@ictp.csic.es (L.P.); daniel.l.g@csic.es (D.L.)

Abstract: In this work, we focused on the bioactivity and antibacterial behavior of PLA-based electrospun fibers, efibers, reinforced with both MgO and Mg(OH)₂ nanoparticles, NPs. The evolution of PLA-based efibers was followed in terms of morphology, FTIR, XRD, and visual appearance. The bioactivity was discussed in terms of hydroxyapatite growth after 28 days, considered as T28, of immersion in simulated body fluid, SBF. In particular, the biomineralization process evidenced after immersion in SBF started at T14 in both systems. The number of precipitated crystals increased by increasing the amount of both NPs. The chemical composition of the precipitated crystals was also characterized in terms of the Ca/P molar ratio after T28 of immersion in SBF, indicating the presence of hydroxyapatite on the surface of both reinforced efibers. Moreover, a reduction in the average diameter of the PLA-based efibers was observed, reaching a maximum reduction of 46 and 60% in the average diameter of neat PLA and PLA:OLA efibers, respectively, after 28 days of immersion in SBF. The antibacterial behavior of the MgO and $Mg(OH)_2$ NPs in the PLA-based electrospun fibers was tested against *Escherichia coli*, *E. coli*, as the Gram-negative bacteria, and *Staphylococcus aureus*, *S. aureus*, as the Gram-positive bacteria, obtaining the best antibacterial activity against the Gram-negative bacteria *E. coli* of 21 \pm 2% and 34 \pm 6% for the highest concentration of MgO and $Mg(OH)₂$ NPs, respectively.

Keywords: electrospinning; polylactic acid; antibacterial properties; bioactivity; MgO NPs; Mg(OH)₂ NPs

1. Introduction

For the regeneration of tissues, biomaterials are required to treat damaged body areas. Ideally, biomaterials for tissue regeneration should display three main properties. The first is the ability to degrade under physiological conditions while remaining safe for human bodies [\[1\]](#page-16-0). The second is to resemble the mechanical properties associated with human tissue in terms of elastic modulus, tensile strength, and elongation at break [\[2\]](#page-16-1). Finally, biomaterials should display bioactivity, i.e., the ability to properly adapt to the biological environment, designed to stimulate appropriate cellular and tissue responses [\[3\]](#page-16-2). This could be achieved by the development of materials based on a polymeric nanocomposite [\[4\]](#page-16-3). In particular, combinations of biodegradable polymers and functional nanoparticles, NPs, offer numerous opportunities for improving mechanical properties and bioactivity.

Nanocomposite biomaterials can be processed by numerous techniques, such as solvent casting [\[5\]](#page-16-4), 3D printing [\[6\]](#page-16-5), or electrospinning [\[7\]](#page-16-6). In particular, the electrospinning technique obtains electrospun fibers, efibers, with a high surface area ratio, which properly recreates the extracellular matrix, enhancing the bioactivity of biomaterials. Among the biodegradable polymers used in electrospinning, poly(lactic acid), PLA, has been widely investigated for tissue engineering due to its biodegradability into non-toxic products

Citation: Leonés, A.; Salaris, V.; Peponi, L.; Lieblich, M.; Muñoz-Bonilla, A.; Fernández-García, M.; López, D. Bioactivity and Antibacterial Analysis of Plasticized PLA Electrospun Fibers Reinforced with MgO and Mg(OH)² Nanoparticles. *Polymers* **2024**, *16*, 1727. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym16121727) [polym16121727](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym16121727)

Academic Editor: Alexey L. Iordanskii

Received: 19 April 2024 Revised: 7 June 2024 Accepted: 12 June 2024 Published: 18 June 2024

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) $4.0/$).

under physiological conditions [\[8\]](#page-16-7). Additionally, the mechanical properties of PLA efibers can be enhanced by the addition of both organic and inorganic NPs, improving their elastic modulus, tensile strength, or elongation at break [\[9](#page-16-8)[,10\]](#page-16-9). However, among the inorganic NPs, few studies have investigated the use of Mg-based NPs to enhance the bioactivity of electrospun biomaterials [\[1\]](#page-16-0).

Magnesium, Mg, is a biocompatible metal that is already present in the human body in a concentration of 0.4 g of Mg·kg $^{-1}$ [\[11\]](#page-16-10). Beyond their cooperative role with hydroxyapatite, HA, in maintaining bone health [\[12\]](#page-16-11), Mg ions play an important role in mediating the functions of all cells in the body. In particular, Mg ions participate in cell functions such as attachment, proliferation, and migration [\[11,](#page-16-10)[12\]](#page-16-11). Thus, the use of Mg in tissue engineering could potentially improve the bioactivity of nanocomposite biomaterials.

Among the Mg-based NPs available, magnesium oxide, MgO, and magnesium hydroxide, Mg(OH)2, NPs were used in our work because of their biocompatibility [\[13,](#page-16-12)[14\]](#page-16-13). Furthermore, both MgO and $Mg(OH)_2$ NPs show antimicrobial activity against bacteria, which can potentially reduce infections [\[15,](#page-16-14)[16\]](#page-16-15). Many inorganic oxide NPs such as zinc oxide, ZnO, or titanium oxide, $TiO₂$, NPs have shown antimicrobial properties against a broad spectrum of microorganisms [\[17\]](#page-16-16). However, these NPs cause significant concerns considering their toxicity due to the risks associated with heavy metal elements and their accumulation in the body [\[18\]](#page-16-17).

In contrast, MgO and $Mg(OH)_2$ NPs are considered an alternative to heavy metal oxide NPs because they can be efficiently degraded and metabolized inside the body, with $\rm Mg^{2+}$ and OH $\bar{\ }$ ions as final degradation products [\[13\]](#page-16-12). In addition, MgO and $\rm Mg(OH)_2$ NPs can enhance the mechanical properties of polymer efibers in terms of elastic modulus, tensile strength, or elongation at break [\[19\]](#page-17-0). Thus, some studies have recently been conducted investigating the addition of Mg-based NPs into polymer efibers for use in different fields such as environmental applications [\[20\]](#page-17-1), energetic devices [\[21\]](#page-17-2), or biomedical appli-cations [\[22\]](#page-17-3). However, very few works involve the use of both MgO and $Mg(OH)_2$ NPs in PLA efibers. In particular, on the one hand, Canales et al. [\[23\]](#page-17-4). added MgO nanoparticles at 10 and 20 wt%, comparing them with bioglass nanoparticles and a mix of both NPs, resulting in a 10 and 20 wt% of their final concentration with respect to the neat PLA matrix. They focused their attention on bone tissue regeneration, also studying cell viability for biomedical applications. They did not use plasticizer, and they did not take into account $Mg(OH)_2$ nanoparticles. On the other hand, Salaris et al. [\[24\]](#page-17-5) reported a comparative study of both NPs but in another matrix, such as Poly(*ϵ*-caprolactone) PCL, electrospun-fiber mats, observing the presence of monocalcium phosphate, dicalcium phosphate, and tricalcium phosphate, obtaining very low Ca/P values.

In this work, we focused on the bioactivity and antibacterial behavior of PLA-based efibers with both MgO and $Mg(OH)_2$ NPs, comparing the results. The bioactivity will be discussed in terms of HA growth after 28 days of immersion in simulated body fluid, SBF, by analyzing the Ca/P ratio, SEM, and XRD results [\[25\]](#page-17-6). The antibacterial behavior of MgO and Mg(OH)² NPs in PLA-based efibers was tested against *Escherichia coli*, *E. coli*, as Gram-negative bacteria, and *Staphylococcus aureus*, *S. aureus*, as Gram-positive bacteria, considering potential biomedical applications.

2. Materials and Methods

Poly(lactic acid) (PLA3051D, 3% of D-lactic acid monomer, molecular weight of 14.2×10^4 g·mol⁻¹, density of 1.24 g·cm⁻³) was supplied by NatureWorks®, Minneapolis, MN, USA. Lactic acid oligomer (Glyplast OLA8, ester content > 99%, density of 1.11 g·cm⁻³, viscosity of 22.5 mPa·s, molecular weight of 1100 g·mol⁻¹) was kindly supplied by Condensia Quimica SA, Barcelona, Spain. Magnesium oxide nanoparticles (MgO NPs, average particle size of 20 nm, 99.9% purity, molecular weight of 40.30 g·mol⁻¹) and magnesium hydroxide nanoparticles (Mg(OH)₂ NPs, average particle size of 10 nm, 99.9% purity, density of 2.34 g·cm−³ , molecular weight of 58.32 g·mol−¹) were supplied by Nanoshel LLC, Willmington, IL, USA.

Each solution was prepared following the process described in our previous work [\[26\]](#page-17-7). In particular, PLA pellets were previously dried in an oven overnight at 60 ◦C. The polymer solutions were used at a final concentration of 10 wt% in CHCl₃:DMF (4:1). Both NPs were added at different concentrations with respect to the PLA matrix, such as 0.5, 1, 5, 10, 15, and 20 wt%. The different amounts of NPs were dispersed using a tip sonicator (Sonic Vibra-Cell VCX 750, Sonics & Materials, Newton, CT, USA), operating at 750 watts and an amplitude of 20% for 3 h. Once the different solutions were obtained, electrospun fiber mats were prepared in an Electrospinner Y-flow 2.2.D-XXX (Nanotechnology Solutions), following our previously described method [\[27\]](#page-17-8).

The bioactivity of PLA-based electrospun fiber mats was studied by immersing a square of 1 cm² of each PLA-based electrospun fiber system in simulated body fluid (SBF) for 28 days at 37 \pm 1 °C to study the bioactivity at different immersion times, named T0, indicating the sample prior to immersion; T14, indicating the samples after 14 days of immersion; and T28, indicating the samples after 28 days of immersion in SBF. The SBF solution was prepared by following the protocol described by Kokubo et al. [\[25\]](#page-17-6). The extraction days are named T_x , where x indicates the number of the corresponding day. The as-obtained electrospun mats are considered as time 0, T0, and are used as references. The samples were carefully washed with water and dried under vacuum for two weeks before characterization.

The antibacterial behavior of PLA-based electrospun fibers was studied against American Type Culture Collection (ATCC): *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*, ATCC 25922), as Gram-negative bacteria, and *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*, ATCC 29213), as Gram-positive bacteria, obtained from OxoidTM. Microorganisms were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C. The optical density of the microorganism suspensions was measured in McFarland units, proportional to microorganism concentration, using a DEN-1B densitometer (Biosan, Madrid, Spain). The bacteria suspensions of about 10^8 colony-forming units (CFU) were prepared by adjusting the concentration with saline solution to a McFarland turbidity standard of ca. 0.5. A suspension of ca. 5×10^3 CFU·mL⁻¹ for each bacteria was finally obtained by further dilution with PBS. The antibacterial behavior of PLA-based electrospun fibers was determined following the E2149-20 standard method of the American Society for Testing and Materials [\[28\]](#page-17-9). Each sample was placed in a sterile falcon tube, and then the bacterial suspension was added. Falcon tubes containing only the inoculum were prepared as control experiments. The samples were shaken at 37 ◦C at 150 rpm for 24 h. Bacterial concentrations at time 0 and after 24 h were calculated using the counting method. Each sample was measured and counted at least twice.

Scanning electron microscopy, SEM, (PHILIPS XL30 Scanning Electron Microscope, Phillips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) was used to study the morphology and the diameters of the effbers. The images are shown at $\times 8000$ magnification, with a scale of 2 μ m, 25.0 kV, and a spot size 3.0. All the samples were previously gold-coated $(\sim 5 \text{ nm}$ thickness) in a Polaron SC7640 Auto/Manual Sputter Coater (Polaron, Newhaven, East Sussex, UK). The diameters were calculated as the average value of 30 random measurements for each sample using ImageJ 1.51k software. Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy, EDX, analyses were carried out in a FE-SEM Hitachi SU 8000 (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) device with a Bruker XFlash Detector 5030 operating at 15 Kv.

Attenuated total reflectance-Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR) measurements were conducted using a Spectrum One FTIR spectrometer (Perkin Elmer instruments, Shelton CT, USA). Spectra were obtained in the $4000-400$ cm $^{-1}$ region at room temperature in transmission mode, with a resolution of 4 cm^{-1} and an accumulation of 16 scans.

XRD measurements were performed using a Bruker D8 Advance instrument (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) with a CuK as the source (0.154 nm) and a Detector Vantec1 detector. The scanning range was 5° and 60°, and the step size and count time per step were 0.023851° and 0.5 s, respectively.

The results were statistically analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's test, with a 95% confidence level, using the statistical computer package Statgraphics Centurion XVII v16.01 (Statpoint Technologies, Inc., Warrenton, VA, USA) [\[9\]](#page-16-8).

3. Results $3.$ Results

First of all, neat PLA electrospun fiber mats, as well as plasticized PLA:OLA electrospun fiber mats, were successfully obtained. Moreover, different concentrations of MgO and Mg(OH) $_2$ NPs, such as 0,5, 1, 5, 10, 15, and 20 wt%, were added to the polymeric solution, obtaining reinforced electrospun systems based on plasticized PLA. In this work, we focus on their bioactivity and antimicrobial response, comparing both systems. Therefore, in order to study the bioactivity of PLA-based efibers in terms of hydroxyapatite growth, SEM images of neat PLA, PLA:OLA, and PLA-based efibers with MgO and Mg(OH)₂ NPs at T0, and after 14 and 28 days of immersion in SBF, are shown in Figure [1,](#page-3-0) Figure [2,](#page-4-0) and Figure [3,](#page-5-0) respectively.

Figure 1. SEM images of PLA and PLA:OLA efibers in SBF at T0, T14, and T28. **Figure 1.** SEM images of PLA and PLA:OLA efibers in SBF at T0, T14, and T28.

a 3D scaffold structure, with highly interconnected porosity. Both neat PLA and PLA:OLA efibers, our polymeric matrices at T0, showed smooth and regular surfaces, without the presence of beads. On the other hand, PLA:OLA-MgO and PLA:OLA-Mg(OH)₂ efibers showed some beads as the amount of NPs increased from 5 wt%, due to the presence of
NP acclemention As can be seen, the different efibers obtained by the electrospinning technique showed NP agglomeration.

In order to better visualize the fiber size distribution, in Figure [4,](#page-6-0) the diameter distribution of PLA and PLA:OLA efibers, as well as the different MgO and Mg(OH)₂ electrospun nanocomposites at T0, is reported, while the evolution of the average diameter at the different immersion times is reported in Figure 5.

Figure 2. SEM images of PLA:OLA-MgO efibers in SBF at T0, T14, and T28.

Figure 3. SEM images of PLA:OLA-Mg(OH)₂ efibers in SBF at T0, T14 and T28.

Figure 4. Diameter size distributions at T0 for the different electrospun fibers studied.

Figure 5. Average diameter evolution of PLA-based efibers in SBF at T0, T14, and T28 of (**a**) **Figure 5.** Average diameter evolution of PLA-based efibers in SBF at T0, T14, and T28 of (**a**) PLA:OLA-MgO and (**b**) PLA:OLA-Mg(OH)₂ efibers.

The biomineralization process, indicated by the presence of precipitated crystals on
the average diⁿ A larged of the average diam-14 draw of increasing in GPE in all PLA larged efibers, and continued until 28 days. In addition, it is worth noting that the immersion effibers, and continued until 28 days. In addition, it is worth noting that the immersion in SBF media affected the surface and morphologies of PLA-based efibers. Moreover, the amount of precipitated crystals increased by increasing the amount of both NPs, as can be observed in Figures 2 and 3. In particular, for the PLA:OLA-MgO e[fib](#page-4-0)ers, the presence of precipitated crystals started after 14 days of immersion in SBF, being more abundant after 28 days for PLA:OLA-MgO efibers, with NPs in the range of 5–20 wt%. On the other hand, in the PLA:OLA-Mg(OH)₂ efibers, the precipitated crystals are clearly observed after 28 days in all the ranges of NP concentrations studied. The addition of both types of NPs, as
reported in the ranges of NP concentrations studied. The addition of both types of NPs, as of PLA-based efibers, as can be observed in Figure [5](#page-7-0) and as summarized in Table [1.](#page-8-0) the surface of PLA-based efibers, started after 14 days of immersion in SBF in all PLA-based well as the biomineralization process after immersion in SBF, affect the diameter evolution

Table 1. Percentage of average diameter reduction (%D Reduction) for PLA, PLA-OLA, PLA:OLA-MgO, and PLA:OLA-Mg(OH)2 efibers after immersion in SBF.

Simultaneously with the biomineralization process, a reduction in the average diameter of PLA-based efibers was observed, reported in Figure [5](#page-7-0) and summarized in Table [1](#page-8-0) in term of percentage of average diameter reduction (%D Reduction). In particular, after 28 days of immersion in SBF, a reduction of 46 and 60% in the average diameter of neat PLA and PLA:OLA efibers, respectively, was calculated. For PLA:OLA-MgO 0.5, 1, 5, 10, 15, and 20 wt% efibers, this reduction was noted as 37, 12, 19, 17, 18 and 25%, and for PLA:OLA-Mg(OH)₂ 0.5, 1, 5, 10, 15, and 20 wt% efibers, it was 23, 52, 29, 42, 30, and 26%, respectively. This average diameter reduction indicated the simultaneous degradation of efibers under SBF conditions. This degradation in aqueous media is widely reported in the literature, indicating the breaking of polymeric chains by hydrolytic degradation [\[8\]](#page-16-7).

The precipitated crystals on the surface of PLA-based efibers were characterized by EDX analysis. The chemical composition was analyzed, and the Ca/P molar ratio was calculated after 28 days of immersion in SBF for all efibers, as summarized in Table [2.](#page-8-1) In addition, for a better comparison, the Ca/P ratios of HA from different natural sources and different calcium phosphates are summarized in Tables [3](#page-9-0) and [4,](#page-9-1) respectively.

Table 2. Ca/P ratios of precipitated crystals in neat PLA, PLA-OLA, PLA:OLA-MgO, and PLA: $OLA-Mg(OH)$ ₂ efibers.

The precipitated crystals on the surface of neat PLA and PLA:OLA showed a Ca/P ratio of 3.33 and 2.83, respectively, which is not consistent with any calcium phosphates summarized in Tables [2](#page-8-1) and [3.](#page-9-0) However, this Ca/P ratio is described in the literature as carbonate apatite, if the hydroxyapatite is not carbonate-containing hydroxyapatite but carbonate apatite (according to the definition, carbonate ions occupy more than half the phosphoric acid positions), its Ca/P ratio should be much higher than the Ca/P ratio of 1.67 for the hydroxyapatite [\[29\]](#page-17-10).

On the contrary, the presence of HA was confirmed by EDX on both PLA:OLA-MgO and PLA:OLA-Mg(OH)₂ efiber systems, showing Ca/P ratios in the range of 1.25-1.73 [\[30\]](#page-17-11). It is important to note that Ca/P ratios range from 1.20 for amorphous calcium phosphate to 1.67 for HA compound. In fact, compared to the synthetic HAs summarized in Table [2,](#page-8-1) natural HAs data, collected in Table [3,](#page-9-0) are non-stoichiometric, since they contain traces of elements such as Na⁺, Zn²⁺, Mg²⁺, K⁺, Si²⁺, Ba²⁺, F⁻, and CO₃²⁻, which make them similar to the chemical composition of human bone [\[31\]](#page-17-12). In our systems, the Ca/P ratios of HA extracted from different mammalian sources, presented in Table [3,](#page-9-0) are in the same range as those calculated for the PLA:OLA-MgO and PLA:OLA-Mg(OH)₂ efibers, suggesting the appearance of HA on the surface of the PLA-based nanocomposites.

Table 3. Main Ca/P ratios of different calcium phosphates.

Table 4. Main Ca/P ratios of HA from different natural sources.

The precipitated crystals observed on the surface of the PLA-based efibers were characterized by XRD and FTIR analysis in order to determine their chemical structure. Specifically, XRD patterns of PLA-based efibers at T0 and T28 are reported in Figure [6.](#page-10-0)

At T0, previous to immersion in SBF, both neat PLA and PLA:OLA efibers show amorphous structures, whereas in the nanocomposites, the presence of the main peaks related to the crystallographic plane of MgO and $Mg(OH)_2$ NPs can be clearly observed.

For PLA:OLA-MgO efibers, the MgO XRD pattern shows peaks at $2\theta = 36.9^{\circ}$, 42.9°, 62.3°, 74.6°, and 78.6°, which are attributed to the [111], [200], [220], [311], and [222] crystallographic planes; all diffraction peaks can be indexed to the cubic crystalline system for MgO [\[38\]](#page-17-19). In particular, the peaks located at 42.9° and 62.3° increase by increasing the amount of MgO NPs in the efibers, and these were used to corroborate the presence of MgO NP in the efibers.

The Mg(OH)₂ XRD pattern shows peaks at $2\theta = 18.6^{\circ}$, 38.0 $^{\circ}$, 51.0 $^{\circ}$, and 58.6 $^{\circ}$, which are attributed to the [011], [101], [102], and [110] crystallographic planes and corresponded to the hexagonal structure of Mg(OH)₂ [\[39\]](#page-17-20). Moreover, it is important to note the effect of both MgO and Mg(OH)₂ NPs at 10 wt%. For MgO NPs, the crystallographic peak at $2\theta = 18.7^\circ$, attributed to the [203] crystallographic plane of the α crystal of PLA [\[8\]](#page-16-7), increased by increasing the amount of MgO NPs from 10 to 20 wt%, evidencing a higher crystallinity of the PLA:OLA-MgO efibers. However, this effect is not observed for $Mg(OH)_2$ NPs; in this case, the crystallographic peak at $2\theta = 18.7^\circ$ decreased for the PLA:OLA-Mg(OH)₂ efibers once it surpassed the 10 wt% of NPs.

the electrospun fibers reinforced with both MgO and Mg(OH)2.

Figure 6. XRD patterns of (a) PLA and PLA:OLA, (b) PLA:OLA-MgO, and (c) PLA:OLA-Mg(OH)₂ efibers in SBF at T0 (**left**) and T28 (**right**). efibers in SBF at T0 (**left**) and T28 (**right**).

The presence of peaks related to the crystallographic planes of the mineralization products is observed with both MgO and $Mg(OH)_2$ NPs. To properly study those peaks related to HA, the main XRD peaks of PLA, MgO NPs, Mg(OH)² NPs, and HA, as reported in the literature, are summarized in Table [5.](#page-11-0)

After 28 days of immersion in SBF, the PLA and PLA:OLA efibers showed crystallographic peaks at $2\theta = 15.1$, 16.6, 18.7, 22.1, 27.5, and 29.0° , which can be attributed to the [010], [200/110], [203], [015], [207], and [216] crystallographic planes of the α crystal conformation of PLA [\[8,](#page-16-7)[40\]](#page-17-21). Comparing the XRD results before and after 28 days in SBF, we can conclude that immersion in SBF increases the degree of crystallinity in both the PLA and PLA:OLA efibers. An aqueous-based degradation media, such as SBF, provokes a hydrolytic reaction in the PLA chains, yielding a higher degree of crystallinity in PLA-based efibers [\[8\]](#page-16-7). This behavior was also observed in PLA: $OLA-MgO$ and PLA: $OLA-Mg(OH)$ ₂ efibers, and in addition, new crystallographic peaks appear after 28 days in SBF, which

can be related to the presence of mineralization products. Firstly, it is important to note that the mineralization of carbonate apatite in the PLA and PLA:OLA samples is in good agreement with the Ca/P ratio previously described. The XRD patterns of HA and carbonated apatite are very similar; however, in carbonate apatite, the crystallographic peaks at $2\theta = 26^\circ$, attributed to the [002] crystallographic plane of the hexagonal structure of HA, shifts to a lower value of $2\theta = 25.5^{\circ}$ [\[41\]](#page-17-22). In our PLA and PLA:OLA electrospun fibers, this crystallographic peak showed a higher intensity in comparison with those obtained for the electrospun fibers reinforced with both MgO and Mg(OH) $_2$.

In particular, crystallographic peaks at $2\theta = 22.0$, 23.0, and 26.0° can be observed in both the PLA:OLA-MgO and PLA:OLA-Mg(OH)₂ efibers, which are attributed to the [200], [111], and [002] crystallographic planes of the hexagonal structure of HA [\[42–](#page-17-23)[44\]](#page-17-24). Moreover, a high peak at $2\theta = 32.3^\circ$ is observed, attributed to the presence of phosphate crystals $(PO₄^{3–})$ from HA [\[44\]](#page-17-24). Additionally, crystallographic peaks related to other mineralization products can be observed, such as $2\theta = 45.0^\circ$, attributed to the [220] crystallographic plane of NaCl [\[45\]](#page-18-0).

Salaris et al. [\[24\]](#page-17-5) studied both MgO and Mg(OH)₂ nanoparticles dispersed in PCL electrospun fiber mats. They observed the presence of monocalcium phosphate, dicalcium phosphate, and tricalcium phosphate, obtaining very low Ca/P values, varying from 0.18 at 0.5 wt% of MgO to 1.17 at 10 wt% of MgO and varying from 0.27 at 0.5 wt% of Mg(OH)₂ to 0.43 at 10 wt% of Mg(OH)₂. Only in the electrospun nanofiber mats reinforced with 20 wt% of NPs Ca/P obtained values of 1.76 and 1.33 for MgO and Mg(OH)₂, respectively. This marks a significant difference from the results of our work, where we demonstrated that in plasticized PLA electrospun fiber mats, low concentrations of both MgO and Mg(OH)₂ nanoparticles allow HA growth on the surface of the electrospun fiber mats. This fact is very important because it confirms that every system is different, and a deep study is required for each one in order to determine the correct response.

Table 5. Main XRD peaks of PLA, MgO NPs, Mg(OH)² NPs, and HA reported in the literature.

Table 5. *Cont.*

Once the XRD patterns of the PLA-based efibers were characterized, the functional groups and chemical interactions were studied by FTIR analysis, Table [6,](#page-12-0) and their spectra are shown in Figure [7.](#page-13-0) All PLA-based efibers showed the main characteristic FTIR bands of PLA at T0, in particular, the C=O stretching band at 1749 cm⁻¹ attributed to the carbonyl group, the CH₃ bond asymmetric vibration at 1452 cm $^{-1}$, and the CH₃ bond symmetric motion at 1182 cm⁻¹, as well as both the C-O asymmetric stretching band and the C-O symmetric stretching band at 1128 cm⁻¹ and 1084 cm⁻¹, respectively [\[8](#page-16-7)[,46\]](#page-18-1). Moreover, the presence of MgO and Mg(OH)₂ NPs at high concentrations, i.e., 10, 15, and 20 wt%, increased the 2882–3000 cm−¹ bands related to the C-H stretching (asymmetric, symmetric vibrations of $-CH_3$ and CH modes) [\[47\]](#page-18-2).

Table 6. Most significant infrared bands related to HA and PLA.

After 28 days of immersion in SBF, a series of strong peaks can be seen in the spectra of both series, as reported in Figure [8,](#page-14-0) which could be related to HA, specifically, a new band at 575 cm⁻¹ that can be associated with the antisymmetric bending of the $PO₄$ ^{3–} groups [\[42](#page-17-23)[,48\]](#page-18-3). In addition, the band at 650 cm⁻¹ is well associated with the bending mode in the PO_4^{3-} groups [\[42,](#page-17-23)[48\]](#page-18-3). On the other hand, other mineralization products, such as carbonate, CO_3^{-2-} , which showed a band at 1550 cm⁻¹ associated with stretching mode in the $CO₃^{2–}$ groups [\[42,](#page-17-23)[48\]](#page-18-3), can be observed on the surface of the PLA-based efibers. These signals confirm the bioactivity of both the MgO and $Mg(OH)_2$ efibers after immersion in SBF. Moreover, considering that SBF is an aqueous media, the hydrolytic degradation of PLA-based electrospun fibers after 28 days can be observed. Based in our previous results [\[8\]](#page-16-7), the hydrolytic degradation through the PLA matrix provokes the breakage of

the ester group, and new bands at 1650 cm⁻¹, attributed to the carboxylate groups, can be observed for both the MgO and $Mg(OH)_2$ NPs.

Figure 7. FTIR spectra of (a) PLA:OLA-MgO and (b) PLA:OLA-Mg(OH) $_2$ effibers after immersion in SBF at T0 and T28. Spectra of PLA and PLA:OLA are also included in the graphs. SBF at T0 and T28. Spectra of PLA and PLA:OLA are also included in the graphs.

The antibacterial activity of the PLA-based electrospun nanocomposites was tested against *E. coli* (Gram-negative) and *S. aureus* (Gram-positive), and the relative antibacterial activity was calculated, as summarized in Tables 7 and [8,](#page-14-1) respectively.

 Table 7 . Polative, antiboatevial astivity for DLA $\text{O}(\text{A} \text{ MoO})$ effects Table 7. Relative antibacterial activity for PLA:OLA-MgO efibers.

Different letters in the column indicate significant differences, according to Tukey's test (*p* < 0.05).

Figure 8. FTIR spectra 1800–400 cm⁻¹ of (a) PLA:OLA-MgO and (b) PLA:OLA-Mg(OH)₂ effbers after immersion in SBF at T28. Spectra of PLA and PLA:OLA are also included in the graphs. immersion in SBF at T28. Spectra of PLA and PLA:OLA are also included in the graphs.

Table 8. Relative antibacterial activity for PLA:OLA-Mg(OH)₂ efibers.

Sample	E. coli	S. aureus
PLA	0 ^a	0 ^a
PLA:OLA	0 ^a	0 ^a
$Mg(OH)_2$ 0.5 wt%	$1 + 1^a$	0 ^a
$Mg(OH)_2$ 1 wt%	$2 + 1^a$	0 ^a
$Mg(OH)_2$ 5 wt%	$2 + 2^a$	0 ^a
$Mg(OH)_2 10 wt\%$	16 ± 2^{b}	$2 + 1^{b}$
$Mg(OH)_2$ 15 wt%	17 ± 3 ^b	$2 \pm 1^{\mathrm{b}}$
$Mg(OH)_2 20 wt\%$	$34 + 6^{\circ}$	$2 \pm 1^{\mathrm{b}}$
Different letters in the column indicate significant differences, according to Tukey's test ($p < 0.05$).		

As expected, the results indicated that the neat PLA and PLA:OLA efibers did not show antibacterial activity against either *E. coli* or *S. aureus*, in agreement with previous As expected, the results indicated that the neat PLA and PLA:OLA efibers did not results reported in the literature [49]. From the MgO and $Mg(OH)_2$ NPs point of view, some considerations can be made. No statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$) were
sharped in the matrice antibacterial activity of filame with McQ-NPs at law are sententions $\frac{1}{2}$ observed in the relative antibacterial activity of fibers with MgO NPs at low concentrations efibers. However, for the PLA:OLA-MgO 15 and 20 wt% efibers, a slight antibacterial activity percentage of 15 ± 2 and 21 ± 2 was obtained, respectively. The antibacterial activity of MgO NPs has been recently studied by some authors, with similar results. antibacterial activity against *E. coli* [\[50\]](#page-18-5). MgO NPs showed different antibacterial activity of 0.5–5 wt%, but from this concentration on, the antibacterial activity starts to increase slightly. Thus, the PLA:OLA-MgO efibers were able to fight against Gram-negative bacteria more effectively than against Gram-positive bacteria. such as 0.5, 1, 5, and 10 wt% against *E. coli* in comparison with neat PLA and PLA:OLA In particular, Swarrop et al. studied PLLA/MgO nanocomposite films, reporting 46% against *S. aureus* (Gram-positive). In general, no inhibitory effect was observed in the range

On the other hand, $Mg(OH)_2$ NPs showed statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$) in the antibacterial activity against *E. coli* at concentrations in the range of 10–20 wt% in

comparison with neat PLA and PLA:OLA. In particular, the achieved relative antibacterial activity of 16 ± 2 , 17 ± 3 , and $34 \pm 6\%$ for PLA:OLA-Mg(OH)₂ 10, 15, and 20 wt% effibers, respectively, are slightly higher than those for PLA:OLA-MgO efibers in the same range of concentrations. In addition, poor antibacterial activity against *S. aureus* was observed for all PLA: $OLA-Mg(OH)₂$ efibers. In Figure [9,](#page-15-0) qualitative comparison of the antibacterial activity obtained for PLA-based electrospun fibers at the highest concentration of both nanoparticles, that is 20 wt%, is reported, compared with the control for better visualization of the qualitative antibacterial activity of our PLA-based electrospun fibers. As far as we know, almost no investigations regarding the antibacterial activity of $Mg(OH)_2$ NPs are found in the literature for comparison with our results. Only Meng et al. studied the antibacterial activity of Mg(OH)² NPs against oral bacteria (*Streptococcus mutans*) and concluded that $Mg(OH)$ ₂ NPs can be used to eradicate residual bacteria but with limited activity [\[15\]](#page-16-14). In our case, for a concentration at 20 wt% of both MgO and $Mg(OH)_2$

NPs, the plasticized PLA-based electrospun nanocomposites show antibacterial activity. Regarding its use in potential biomedical applications, this result suggests an optimal range of NPs concentration of about 20 wt% for improving the antibacterial activity of PLA-based systems.

Figure 9. **Figure 9.** H_0 and M_0 (OH). NPs against (a) F coli and (b) S gurgue centrations of MgO and Mg(OH)2 NPs against (**a**) *E. coli* and (**b**) *S. aureus*. concentrations of MgO and Mg(OH)² NPs against (**a**) *E. coli* and (**b**) *S. aureus*. **Figure 9.** Images of the control plate and the plasticized PLA electrospun fiber at the highest

4. Conclusions

In this work, we studied the bioactivity and antibacterial behavior of PLA-based electrospun fibers, efibers, reinforced with both MgO and Mg(OH) $_2$ nanoparticles, with different concentrations such as 0.5 , 1 , 5 , 10 , 15 , and 20 wt%. The bioactivity was discussed in terms of hydroxyapatite growth at T28 of immersion in simulated body fluid, SBF. In particular, at T14, the biomineralization process is evidenced in both reinforced systems, at concentrations higher than 5 wt% for MgO NPs, and at all the concentrations studied for $Mg(OH)_2$. However, the number of precipitated crystals increased by increasing the amount of both NPs. The chemical composition of the precipitated crystals was characterized in terms of Ca/P molar ratio after T28 of immersion in SBF, indicating the presence of hydroxyapatite on the surface of both reinforced efibers. Moreover, a reduction in the average diameter of PLA-based efibers was observed, reaching a maximum reduction of 46 and 60% in the average diameter of the neat PLA and PLA:OLA efibers, respectively, after 28 days of immersion in SBF, indicating their degradation process. The antibacterial behavior of MgO and Mg(OH)₂ NPs in PLA-based electrospun fibers was tested against Escherichia coli, *E. coli*, as the Gram-negative bacteria, and *Staphylococcus aureus*, *S. aureus*, as the Gram-positive bacteria, obtaining the best antibacterial activity against the Gramnegative bacteria *E. coli* of 21 \pm 2% and 34 \pm 6% for the highest concentration of MgO and $Mg(OH)_2$ NPs, respectively. This study suggests that these systems can potentially be used in biomedical applications.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, L.P.; methodology, L.P., M.L. and A.M.-B.; investigation, A.L. and V.S.; resources, M.L., D.L. and L.P.; data curation, A.L. and V.S.; writing—original draft preparation, A.L. and V.S.; writing—review and editing, M.L., M.F.-G., D.L. and L.P.; supervision, M.L. and L.P.; funding acquisition, M.L., D.L. and L.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This publication is part of the I+D+i project PID2021-123753NB-C31, funded by MCIN/AEI/ 10.13039/501100011033/, "ERDF—A Way of Making Europe".

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors on request.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank MICIN for PID2021-123753NB-C31, Condensia Quimica S.A., for kindly supplying the plasticizers.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Leonés, A.; Lieblich, M.; Benavente, R.; Gonzalez, J.L.; Peponi, L. Potential applications of magnesium-based polymeric nanocomposites obtained by electrospinning technique. *Nanomaterials* **2020**, *10*, 1524. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/nano10081524) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32759696)
- 2. Hickey, D.J.; Ercan, B.; Sun, L.; Webster, T.J. Adding MgO Nanoparticles to Hydroxyapatite-PLLA Nanocomposites for Improved Bone Tissue Engineering Applications. *Acta Biomater.* **2015**, *14*, 175–184. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2014.12.004) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25523875)
- 3. Habibovic, P. In Vitro and In Vivo Bioactivity Assessment of a Polylactic Acid/Hydroxyapatite Composite for Bone Regeneration. *Biomatter* **2014**, *4*, e27664. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4161/biom.27664) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24441389)
- 4. Peponi, L.; Puglia, D.; Torre, L.; Valentini, L.; Kenny, J.M. Processing of nanostructured polymers and advanced polymeric based nanocomposites. *Mater. Sci. Eng. R Rep.* **2014**, *85*, 1–46. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mser.2014.08.002)
- 5. Prasad, A.; Sankar, M.R.; Katiyar, V. State of Art on Solvent Casting Particulate Leaching Method for Orthopedic Scaffolds Fabrication. *Mater. Today Proc.* **2017**, *4*, 898–907. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2017.01.101)
- 6. Kyle, S.; Jessop, Z.M.; Al-Sabah, A.; Whitaker, I.S. 'Printability' of Candidate Biomaterials for Extrusion Based 3D Printing: State-of-the-Art. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* **2017**, *6*, 1700264. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.201700264)
- 7. Salaris, V.; Leonés, A.; Lopez, D.; Kenny, J.M.; Peponi, L. Shape-Memory Materials via Electrospinning: A Review. *Polymers* **2022**, *14*, 995. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14050995) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35267818)
- 8. Leonés, A.; Peponi, L.; Lieblich, M.; Benavente, R.; Fiori, S. In vitro degradation of plasticized PLA electrospun fiber mats: Morphological, thermal and crystalline evolution. *Polymers* **2020**, *12*, 2975. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12122975)
- 9. Leonés, A.; Salaris, V.; Mujica-Garcia, A.; Arrieta, M.P.; Lopez, D.; Lieblich, M.; Kenny, J.M.; Peponi, L. Pla electrospun fibers reinforced with organic and inorganic nanoparticles: A comparative study. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 4925. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26164925)
- 10. Kareem, M.M.; Tanner, K.E. Optimising Micro-Hydroxyapatite Reinforced Poly(Lactide Acid) Electrospun Scaffolds for Bone Tissue Engineering. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med.* **2020**, *31*, 38. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10856-020-06376-8)
- 11. Maguire, M.E.; Cowan, J.A. Magnesium Chemistry and Biochemistry. *BioMetals* **2002**, *15*, 203–210. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016058229972) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12206387)
- 12. Esteban-Cubillo, A.; Pina-Zapardiel, R.; Moya, J.S.; Barba, M.F.; Pecharromán, C. The Role of Magnesium on the Stability of Crystalline Sepiolite Structure. *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* **2008**, *28*, 1763–1768. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2007.11.022)
- 13. Wetteland, C.L.; Jesus Sanchez, J.; Silken, C.A.; Nguyen, N.Y.T.; Mahmood, O.; Liu, H. Dissociation of Magnesium Oxide and Magnesium Hydroxide Nanoparticles in Physiologically Relevant Fluids. *J. Nanoparticle Res.* **2018**, *20*, 215. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11051-018-4314-3)
- 14. Gonzalez, J.; Hou, R.Q.; Nidadavolu, E.P.S.; Willumeit-Römer, R.; Feyerabend, F. Magnesium Degradation under Physiological Conditions—Best Practice. *Bioact. Mater.* **2018**, *3*, 174–185. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioactmat.2018.01.003) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29744455)
- 15. Meng, Y.; Zhang, D.; Jia, X.; Xiao, K.; Lin, X.; Yang, Y.; Xu, D.; Wang, Q. Antimicrobial Activity of Nano-Magnesium Hydroxide against Oral Bacteria and Application in Root Canal Sealer. *Med. Sci. Monit.* **2020**, *26*, e922920-1–e922920-10. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.12659/MSM.922920) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32503962)
- 16. Nguyen, N.Y.T.; Grelling, N.; Wetteland, C.L.; Rosario, R.; Liu, H. Antimicrobial Activities and Mechanisms of Magnesium Oxide Nanoparticles (NMgO) against Pathogenic Bacteria, Yeasts, and Biofilms. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 16260. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-34567-5) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30389984)
- 17. Ghozali, M.; Fahmiati, S.; Triwulandari, E.; Restu, W.K.; Farhan, D.; Wulansari, M.; Fatriasari, W. PLA/Metal Oxide Biocomposites for Antimicrobial Packaging Application. *Polym. Technol. Mater.* **2020**, *59*, 1332–1342. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/25740881.2020.1738475)
- 18. Witkowska, D.; Słowik, J.; Chilicka, K. Review Heavy Metals and Human Health: Possible Exposure Pathways and the Competition for Protein Binding Sites. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 6060. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26196060) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34641604)
- 19. Leonés, A.; Peponi, L.; García-Martínez, J.M.; Collar, E.P. Study on the Tensile Behavior of Woven Non-Woven PLA/OLA/MgO Electrospun Fibers. *Polymers* **2023**, *15*, 3973. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym15193973)
- 20. Dehghan, S.F.; Golbabaei, F.; Maddah, B.; Latifi, M.; Pezeshk, H.; Hasanzadeh, M.; Akbar-Khanzadeh, F. Optimization of Electrospinning Parameters for Polyacrylonitrile-MgO Nanofibers Applied in Air Filtration. *J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc.* **2016**, *66*, 912–921. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/10962247.2016.1162228)
- 21. Du, P.; Song, L.; Xiong, J.; Wang, L.; Li, N. A Photovoltaic Smart Textile and a Photocatalytic Functional Textile Based on Co-Electrospun TiO₂/MgO Core–Sheath Nanorods: Novel Textiles of Integrating Energy and Environmental Science with Textile Research. *Text. Res. J.* **2013**, *83*, 1690–1702. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1177/0040517513490062)
- 22. Rijal, N.P.; Adhikari, U.; Khanal, S.; Pai, D.; Sankar, J.; Bhattarai, N. Magnesium oxide-poly(ε-caprolactone)-chitosan-based composite nanofiber for tissue engineering applications. *Mater. Sci. Eng. B Solid-State Mater. Adv. Technol.* **2018**, *228*, 18–27. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mseb.2017.11.006)
- 23. Canales, D.A.; Reyes, F.; Saavedra, M.; Peponi, L.; Leonés, A.; Palza, H.; Boccaccini, A.R.; Grünewald, A.; Zapata, P.A. Electrospun fibers of poly (lactic acid) containing bioactive glass and magnesium oxide nanoparticles for bone tissue regeneration. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2022**, *210*, 324–336. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2022.05.047) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35545139)
- 24. Salaris, V.; Leonés, A.; López, D.; Kenny, J.M.; Peponi, L. A Comparative Study on the Addition of MgO and Mg(OH)₂ Nanoparticles into PCL Electrospun Fibers. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **2022**, *224*, 2200215. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/macp.202200215)
- 25. Kokubo, T.; Takadama, H. How useful is SBF in predicting in vivo bone bioactivity? *Biomaterials* **2006**, *27*, 2907–2915. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2006.01.017)
- 26. Leonés, A.; Peponi, L.; Fiori, S.; Lieblich, M. Effect of the Addition of MgO Nanoparticles on the Thermally-Activated Shape Memory Behavior of Plasticized PLA Electrospun Fibers. *Polymers* **2022**, *14*, 2657. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14132657) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35808702)
- 27. Leonés, A.; Sonseca, A.; López, D.; Fiori, S.; Peponi, L. Shape memory effect on electrospun PLA-based fibers tailoring their thermal response. *Eur. Polym. J.* **2019**, *117*, 217–226. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2019.05.014)
- 28. *ASTM E2149-01*; Standard Test Method for Determining the Antimicrobial Activity of Immobilized Antimicrobial Agents under Dynamic Contact Conditions (Withdrawn 2010). ASTM International: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2010.
- 29. Kono, T.; Sakae, T.; Nakada, H.; Kaneda, T.; Okada, H. Confusion between Carbonate Apatite and Biological Apatite (Carbonated Hydroxyapatite) in Bone and Teeth. *Minerals* **2022**, *12*, 170. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/min12020170)
- 30. Akram, M.; Ahmed, R.; Shakir, I.; Ibrahim, W.A.W.; Hussain, R. Extracting hydroxyapatite and its precursors from natural resources. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2014**, *49*, 1461–1475. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-013-7864-x)
- 31. Mohd Pu'ad, N.A.S.; Koshy, P.; Abdullah, H.Z.; Idris, M.I.; Lee, T.C. Syntheses of Hydroxyapatite from Natural Sources. *Heliyon* **2019**, *5*, e01588. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e01588)
- 32. Ayatollahi, M.R.; Yahya, M.Y.; Asgharzadeh Shirazi, H.; Hassan, S.A. Mechanical and Tribological Properties of Hydroxyapatite Nanoparticles Extracted from Natural Bovine Bone and the Bone Cement Developed by Nano-Sized Bovine Hydroxyapatite Filler. *Ceram. Int.* **2015**, *41*, 10818–10827. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2015.05.021)
- 33. Jaber, H.L.; Hammood, A.S.; Parvin, N. Synthesis and Characterization of Hydroxyapatite Powder from Natural Camelus Bone. *J. Aust. Ceram. Soc.* **2017**, *54*, 1–10. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41779-017-0120-0)
- 34. Esmaeilkhanian, A.; Sharifianjazi, F.; Abouchenari, A.; Rouhani, A.; Parvin, N.; Irani, M. Synthesis and Characterization of Natural Nano-Hydroxyapatite Derived from Turkey Femur-Bone Waste. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* **2019**, *189*, 919–932. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-019-03046-6) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31144255)
- 35. Vuong, B.X.; Linh, T.H. Extraction of Pure Hydroxyapatite from Porcine Bone by Thermal Process. *Metall. Mater. Eng.* **2019**, *25*, 47–58. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.30544/410) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/38866787)
- 36. Pon-On, W.; Suntornsaratoon, P.; Charoenphandhu, N.; Thongbunchoo, J.; Krishnamra, N.; Tang, I.M. Hydroxyapatite from Fish Scale for Potential Use as Bone Scaffold or Regenerative Material. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* **2016**, *62*, 183–189. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2016.01.051) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26952413)
- 37. Ramesh, S.; Loo, Z.Z.; Tan, C.Y.; Chew, W.J.K.; Ching, Y.C.; Tarlochan, F.; Chandran, H.; Krishnasamy, S.; Bang, L.T.; Sarhan, A.A.D. Characterization of Biogenic Hydroxyapatite Derived from Animal Bones for Biomedical Applications. *Ceram. Int.* **2018**, *44*, 10525–10530. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2018.03.072)
- 38. Singh, A.K.; Pramanik, K.; Biswas, A. MgO Enables Enhanced Bioactivity and Antimicrobial Activity of Nano Bioglass for Bone Tissue Engineering Application. *Mater. Technol.* **2019**, *34*, 818–826. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/10667857.2019.1638636)
- 39. Kumari, L.; Li, W.Z.; Vannoy, C.H.; Leblanc, R.M.; Wang, D.Z. Synthesis, Characterization and Optical Properties of Mg(OH)₂ Micro-/Nanostructure and Its Conversion to MgO. *Ceram. Int.* **2009**, *35*, 3355–3364. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2009.05.035)
- 40. Tashiro, K.; Kouno, N.; Wang, H.; Tsuji, H. Crystal Structure of Poly(Lactic Acid) Stereocomplex: Random Packing Model of PDLA and PLLA Chains As Studied by X-ray Diffraction Analysis. *Macromolecules* **2017**, *50*, 8048–8065. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.7b01468)
- 41. Midorikawa, K.; Hiromoto, S.; Yamamoto, T. Carbonate content control in carbonate apatite coatings of biodegradable magnesium. *Ceram. Int.* **2024**, *50*, 6784–6792. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2023.12.021)
- 42. Chavan, P.N.; Bahir, M.M.; Mene, R.U.; Mahabole, M.P.; Khairnar, R.S. Study of nanobiomaterial hydroxyapatite in simulated body fluid: Formation and growth of apatite. *Mater. Sci. Eng. B Solid-State Mater. Adv. Technol.* **2010**, *168*, 224–230. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mseb.2009.11.012)
- 43. Adam, M.; Ganz, C.; Xu, W.; Sarajian, H.R.; Götz, W.; Gerber, T. In Vivo and In Vitro Investigations of a Nanostructured Coating Material—A Preclinical Study. *Int. J. Nanomed.* **2014**, *9*, 975–984. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S48416) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24627631)
- 44. Spanos, N.; Misirlis, D.Y.; Kanellopoulou, D.G.; Koutsoukos, P.G. Seeded Growth of Hydroxyapatite in Simulated Body Fluid. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2006**, *41*, 1805–1812. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-006-2952-9)
- 45. Rodriguez-Navarro, C.; Linares-Fernandez, L.; Doehne, E.; Sebastian, E. Effects of Ferrocyanide Ions on NaCl Crystallization in Porous Stone. *J. Cryst. Growth* **2002**, *243*, 503–516. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0248(02)01499-9)
- 46. Chen, X.; Kalish, J.; Hsu, S.L. Structure evolution of α ′ -phase poly(lactic acid). *J. Polym. Sci. Part B Polym. Phys.* **2011**, *49*, 1446–1454. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/polb.22327)
- 47. Swaroop, C.; Shukla, M. Development of Blown Polylactic Acid-MgO Nanocomposite Films for Food Packaging. *Compos. Part A Appl. Sci. Manuf.* **2019**, *124*, 10548. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2019.105482)
- 48. Nahanmoghadam, A.; Asemani, M.; Goodarzi, V.; Ebrahimi-Barough, S. Design and Fabrication of Bone Tissue Scaffolds Based on PCL/PHBV Containing Hydroxyapatite Nanoparticles: Dual-Leaching Technique. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part A* **2020**, *109*, 981–993. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.a.37087)
- 49. Tawakkal, I.S.M.A.; Cran, M.J.; Miltz, J.; Bigger, S.W. A Review of Poly(Lactic Acid)-Based Materials for Antimicrobial Packaging. *J. Food Sci.* **2014**, *79*, R1477–R1490. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.12534)
- 50. Swaroop, C.; Shukla, M. Nano-Magnesium Oxide Reinforced Polylactic Acid Biofilms for Food Packaging Applications. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2018**, *113*, 729–736. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.02.156)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.