

Article **Dual First and Second Surface Solar Mirrors of Polished WS² and Silver by Dynamical Chemical Plating Technique on Polycarbonate**

Coraquetzali Magdaleno López ^{1[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9756-5766)2,}[*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7775-405X)®, José de Jesús Pérez Bueno ^{2,}*®, Alejandra Xochitl Maldonado Pérez ², Yunny Meas Vong ²®[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8892-128X) Jorge Morales Hernández ²®, José Emanuel Ambrosio Juárez ^{[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9498-5506)}, Iván Toledo Manuel ¹®, **José Antonio Cabello Mendez [3](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0748-6712) and David Meneses Rodríguez [4](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3265-5792)**

- 1 Instituto Tecnológico Superior de Abasolo. Blvd. Cuitzeo de los Naranjos #401, Col. Cuitzeo de los Naranjos, Abasolo C.P. 36976, Guanajuato, Mexico
- ² Centro de Investigación y Desarrollo Tecnológico en Electroquímica, S.C., Pedro Escobedo, Querétaro C.P. 76703, Querétaro, Mexico; amaldonado@cideteq.mx (A.X.M.P.); yunnymeas@cideteq.mx (Y.M.V.); jmorales@cideteq.mx (J.M.H.)
- 3 Instituto Mexicano del Transporte, Carretera El Colorado—Galindo Km. 12 Col. Sanfandila, Pedro Escobedo, Querétaro C.P. 76703, Querétaro, Mexico; antonio.cabello@imt.mx
- ⁴ Cátedras—Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados Unidad Mérida, Km. 6 Antigua Carretera a Progreso Apdo. Postal 73, Cordemex, Mérida C.P. 97310, Yucatán, Mexico
- ***** Correspondence: coraquetzali.ml@abasolo.tecnm.mx (C.M.L.); jperez@cideteq.mx (J.d.J.P.B.)

Abstract: This work proposes for the first time protecting–reflecting on both sides of plated mirrors and a solution to polycarbonate surface vulnerability to weathering and scratching using tungsten disulfide (WS²) by mechanical polishing. The ability of the dynamic chemical plating (DCP) technique to deposit Ag films at the nanometer scale on a polycarbonate (PC) substrate and its characteristics to be metallized is also shown. These deposits hold significant promise for concentrated solar power (CSP) applications. Complementarily, the application of WS₂ as a reflective film for CSP by mechanical polishing on smooth polycarbonate surfaces is both novel and practical. This technique is innovative and scalable without needing reactants or electrical potential, making it highly applicable in realworld scenarios, including, potentially, on-site maintenance. The effects of surface morphology and adhesion, and the reflectivity parameters of the silver metallic surfaces were investigated. Wettability was investigated because it is important for polymeric surfaces in the activation and metal deposition immediately after redox reactions. The flame technique improved wettability by modifying the surface with carbonyl and carboxyl functional groups, with PC among the few industrial polymers that resisted such a part of the process. The change in the chemical composition, roughness, and wettability of the surfaces effectively improved the adhesion between the Ag film and the PC substrate. However, it did not significantly affect the adhesion between PC and WS_2 and showed its possible implementation as a first surface mirror. Overall, this work provides a scalable, innovative method for improving the durability and reflectivity of polycarbonate-based mirrors, with significant implications for CSP applications.

Keywords: dynamic chemical plating (DCP); tungsten disulfide (WS₂); flame treatment; mechanical exfoliation method

1. Introduction

Among the various renewable energy generation methods, concentrated solar power (CSP) has gained significant interest due to its features, such as improved energy efficiency and the ability to collect solar thermal energy at low temperatures [\[1](#page-13-0)[–3\]](#page-13-1). However, solar power plants are only profitable if the service life of their components, particularly reflective mirrors, exceeds 20 years [\[4,](#page-13-2)[5\]](#page-13-3).

Solar reflectors are one of the main components of CSP devices [\[6\]](#page-13-4). Any imperfections in these reflectors impact their optical performance, affecting the efficiency of the plant.

Citation: Magdaleno López, C.; Pérez Bueno, J.d.J.; Maldonado Pérez, A.X.; Meas Vong, Y.; Morales Hernández, J.; Ambrosio Juárez, J.E.; Toledo Manuel, I.; Cabello Mendez, J.A.; Meneses Rodríguez, D. Dual First and Second Surface Solar Mirrors of Polished WS₂ and Silver by Dynamical Chemical Plating Technique on Polycarbonate. *Polymers* **2024**, *16*, 1951. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym16131951) doi.org/10.3390/polym16131951

Academic Editors: Jorge Luis Almaral-Sanchez and Efraín Rubio Rosas

Received: 30 May 2024 Revised: 26 June 2024 Accepted: 30 June 2024 Published: 8 July 2024

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) $4.0/$).

This issue has prompted recent research on manufacturing processes [\[1\]](#page-13-0), durability [\[7\]](#page-13-5), and the degradation of reflective and protective layers [\[8\]](#page-13-6).

Silver glass reflectors are commonly used in CSP systems [\[9\]](#page-13-7) among the different types of solar mirrors. They are classified as second-surface reflectors based on a thin layer of reflective silver protected by a 1 to 4 mm glass substrate on the front and, typically, several layers of protective paint on the back [\[10](#page-13-8)[,11\]](#page-13-9). In contrast, there are first-surface mirrors, such as those used in telescopes [\[12,](#page-13-10)[13\]](#page-13-11). These systems have gained popularity because they do not require high-purity glass as a substrate [\[7,](#page-13-5)[14,](#page-13-12)[15\]](#page-13-13).

The performance of mirrors depends primarily on the films deposited on the substrates [\[16](#page-13-14)[,17\]](#page-13-15). Silver (Ag), which has a hemispherical reflectivity of 95–99% in the visible region and 90–95% in the near-infrared, is known to be one of the best solar reflectors [\[18](#page-13-16)[,19\]](#page-13-17), along with aluminum at 85–90% [\[20](#page-13-18)[–22\]](#page-13-19). The spectral hemispherical reflectance calculated with the Fresnel equations depends on the complex refractive index, wavelength, incidence angle, roughness, absorption coefficient, and electrical conductivity. Various methods have been used to deposit silver films on substrates, including magnetron sputtering [\[23,](#page-13-20)[24\]](#page-13-21), thermal evaporation [\[25\]](#page-13-22), electrodeposition [\[26\]](#page-13-23), and non-electrolytic coating [\[27](#page-13-24)[,28\]](#page-14-0).

A suitable way to apply reflective coatings on non-conductive substrates, such as glass or plastics, is through an electroless plating process [\[29](#page-14-1)[,30\]](#page-14-2). This process consists of the spontaneous reaction of different solutions without applying an electric current. However, the surfaces are immersed in a bath, requiring large amounts of solution.

Another process for applying thin metallic films to non-conductive substrates is dynamic chemical plating (DCP). It is a wet chemical deposition technique [\[31–](#page-14-3)[33\]](#page-14-4). The novelty of DCP is based on a highly controlled sequential supply of materials (metal ions, such as Ni $^{2+}$, Cu $^{2+}$, Co $^{2+}$, or Ag⁺) and an electron source (reducing agent) on the substrate.

DCP is a modified non-electrolytic deposition process in which a chemical reaction occurs without applied current and can coat irregular or non-conductive surfaces. A double-nozzle gun simultaneously sprays two different solutions containing the precursors onto the surface of the substrate [\[34,](#page-14-5)[35\]](#page-14-6). After the projection and mixing of the two independent liquid jets on the surface, at a pressure of about 500 kPa (5 bar), the precursors react spontaneously through redox reactions, forming a thin, thermodynamically unstable liquid film on the substrate surface. DCP has enabled the production of compact, dense, and adherent metal coatings, e.g., with Ag and Cu or Ni–B [\[34\]](#page-14-5), on substrates at room temperature, with deposition rates around 10 m/h.

On the other hand, tungsten disulfide (WS₂) has been widely used for different applications, such as sensors [\[36\]](#page-14-7), catalysts for hydrocracking oil [\[37\]](#page-14-8), protecting polymers [\[38\]](#page-14-9), and textiles for oil absorption or oil/water separation [\[39\]](#page-14-10).

 $WS₂$ has been used for saturable absorber mirrors with high-damage-resistant characteristics for solid-state lasers such as Q-switched fiber lasers [\[40,](#page-14-11)[41\]](#page-14-12). Han et al. [\[42\]](#page-14-13) made a plasmonic nanocavity with a nanoparticle on a mirror system composed of Ag nanocubes on an Ag film separated from an Al_2O_3 spacer. This was coupled with a monolayer of WS₂ for studying a second-harmonic generator. On the other hand, Huang et al. [\[43\]](#page-14-14) studied a hybrid nanocavity composed of a silicon nanoparticle and a gold film. Again, this was coupled with a monolayer of WS_2 for studying a second-harmonic generator.

WS₂ has another important application as a low-friction dry lubricant coating, competing with or complementing $MoS₂$ [\[38\]](#page-14-9). Thus, it can reduce friction and noise. Products such as Dicronite[®] and DL-5[®], in accordance with the standards AMS2530 [\[44\]](#page-14-15), provide the lubricity effect on surfaces by applying a coating.

This work aims to develop a dynamic solar reflector of Ag and WS_2 on a highly reflective polycarbonate substrate, which has a potential application as a concentrating solar technology. The mirrors are a key element that can contribute to solve the main problem that CSP systems are addressing, which is collecting solar energy, but the costs of construction, operation, and maintenance of the facilities severely restrict them. Here, WS2/PC/Ag/epoxy paint is a mirror protecting–reflecting on both sides, directed to a collecting point. The WS_2 acts as a thin reflective film, complementing the reflective

characteristics of silver surfaces while also protecting the front side of the PC, which can be directly restored on-site. Here, the morphological and optical characteristics of the deposits are presented. **2. Materials and Methods**

teristics of silver surfaces while also protecting the front side of the front side of the $P(\mathcal{C})$

2. Materials and Methods *2.1. Silver Metallization of PC Samples*

2.1. Silver Metallization of PC Samples
2.1. Silver Metallization of PC Samples α , Saver Industries Corporation by α ∞ Samples

Metallic silver was deposited by DCP on solid polycarbonate sheets (LEXANTM 9043, SABIC (Saudi Basic Industries Corporation), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia) of 1.22 \times 1.22 cm and 1.5 mm thick. Tests were conducted with different thicknesses of the metal coating, from about 45 to 245 nm. This electroless technique allowed the mirror finish to be produced under ambient conditions by sequential spraying, as the aerosols were projected onto the surface of the substrate. \blacksquare

The DCP technique consists of the controlled reduction of a metal cation [\[31,](#page-14-3)[35\]](#page-14-6). Two solutions were prepared, the first containing the salts of the metal to be deposited and the second the electron reserve in the form of a reducing chemical compound. With the help of a device (aerosol), the aqueous solutions were projected simultaneously and in the same proportion on the substrate in the form of tiny droplets (Figure [1\)](#page-2-0). Mixing the two aqueous solutions causes a redox reaction on the surface of the substrate (Equation (1)).

$$
M_{solution}^{n+} + Red_{solution} \rightarrow M_{surface} + Ox_{solution}^{n+} \tag{1}
$$

Figure 1. Schematic representation of dynamic chemical plating (DCP) apparatus.

exposed to the projection, obtaining a homogeneous and continuous metallic deposit. The DCP method consists of five stages: cleaning, surface treatment, activation and The coalescence of the droplets forms a liquid film that covers and wets the surface rinsing, spraying of the solutions, and rinsing–drying (Figure [2\)](#page-2-1).

Figure 2. Diagram of the DCP metallization process. **Figure 2.** Diagram of the DCP metallization process.

2.2. Surface Treatment of PC Samples

Improved coating adhesion behavior is necessary due to the poor adhesion properties inherent to polycarbonate, attributed to its low surface free energy and lack of polar groups. The purpose of the surface treatment is to insert functional groups to improve wettability and adhesion to the metal film, so a flame treatment was applied to the surface. The flame treatment was conducted with a Ripack 3000 heat gun (Ripack, IPS Group; Muret, France). The parameters used for the treatment technique are shown in Table [1.](#page-3-0) The flame was applied manually on the PC plates, first with horizontal movements and later with vertical displacement. The time took about 2.5 s, from one side to the other, for the 1.23 m wide PC plates. The procedure was repeated three times to ensure complete wettability of the entire surface with a pause between them to avoid overheating of the PC.

Table 1. Parameters used for flame surface treatment.

2.3. Coating of WS² by Polishing

The WS₂ coatings were applied using a mechanical polishing technique with Truper[®] 15399 LIRO-5N and 102633 PULA-6AM polishers (Truper®; Jilotepec, State of Mexico, Mexico). Tests include samples of WS_2 coatings on PCs with and without flame treatment. The WS_2 particles were spread on the PC without its protective film. The powder was spread for about 15 min using the polishing machine. Then, it was washed with neutral soap, rinsed with water to remove the excess, and dried.

Figure [3a](#page-3-1) shows the proposed mirror configuration with nanometric reflective silver surfaces on the back of the PC plates, complemented with WS_2 on the front side. The 1.3 mm thick PC plates are proposed as substrates, and an acrylic coating was deposited on the silver to protect it from the weather conditions.

Figure 3. (a) Solar mirror configuration on polycarbonate showing the mirror layers: WS₂, 1.3 mm coating. (**b**) Automated facilities for silver DCP mirrors. (**c**) Silver mirror piece. (**d**) Heliostat model. thick PC plate, nanometric reflective silver coating deposited by DCP, and acrylic paint protective *2.4. Characterization* (**e**) Heliostat constructed and installed with twelve silver mirrors of polycarbonate. $T_{\rm c}$ surface was determined by digital light microscopy using a lig coating. (**b**) Automated facilities for silver DCP mirrors. (**c**) Silver mirror piece. (**d**) Heliostat model.

Figure [3b](#page-3-1) shows a finished silver mirror, and Figure [3c](#page-3-1) shows an individual mirror of silver on PC with an aluminum frame. Figure [3d](#page-3-1) shows the designed heliostat structure to hold twelve framed mirrors, and Figure [3e](#page-3-1) shows the constructed and installed structure, which wears the twelve silver mirrors on PC pieces.

2.4. Characterization

The morphology of the surface was determined by digital light microscopy using a Keyence VHX-5000 microscope (Keyence; Osaka, Japan) with a 5000× optical objective, model VH-Z500R/Z500T (Keyence; Osaka, Japan), with a numerical aperture $NA = N$ sin θ = 0.82 (N refractive index around the objective/N~1 for air).

The chemical state of silver was analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) using a Thermo Scientific™ K-Alpha XPS spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific; Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) using internal pressure in the analysis chamber of 6.5×10^{-9} mbar and a hemispherical analyzer with dual 180° focus and a 128-channel detector. The spectrometer used a monochromatic Al K α X-ray source (h ν = 1486.68 eV) and an analysis radius of 400 µm and an ion gun with an energy range of 100 to 4000 eV. The acquired high-resolution Ag 3d spectrum was processed with Avantage software (Thermo Fisher Scientific. (2024). version 6.7. Waltham, MA, USA), referencing the C1s bond of adventitious carbon at 284.8 eV [\[29\]](#page-14-1).

Adequate adhesion between metallic or protective coatings and substrates was successfully obtained. The adhesion properties of the surfaces were evaluated using Scotch tape adhesion tests. Adhesion classification was performed using the cross-cut tape test method (Precision Gage & Tool Co.; Dayton, OH, USA) in accordance with ASTM D 3359-23 [\[45\]](#page-14-16). The tests were conducted at room temperature. Three repetitions were performed and averaged to obtain adhesion values.

The contact angle test measured the surface wettability behavior. Contact angle and surface energy measurements were made using the sessile drop technique with a KRÜSS device (Hamburg, Germany), model DSA30, at a room temperature of 20–25 ◦C. A drop of deionized water (10 μ L droplets) was applied to the surface, the range of the sessile drop was from 0 to 180°, and the hydrophobic or hydrophilic character of the analyzed surface was determined. The model used for the measurement was the Young–Laplace.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effect of Flame Activation on PC Surface Behavior

A pre-treatment was performed on the surface of the polycarbonate to improve the adhesion between the silver layers. The corresponding chemical and topographic changes on the surface of the flame-treated samples were characterized and linked to adhesion properties. It is also important to note that, despite the relatively high temperatures, the short duration of the treatment allows the activation of polymers without damaging the surface visually.

The topography of the surface strongly influences adhesion properties. The decisive factors are the size of the contact area and the size of the voids between the adherents. Figure 4 shows the topographic images of the silver and WS₂ coatings. The silver coatings, made of nucleation of atoms by reducing silver ions, were more homogeneous and flat than the overlapping lamellar particles of WS_2 (Figure [4a](#page-5-0),b). The scales show a surface with more waviness for the WS_2 , with some irregularities on and within the layer attributed to the polishing process, which, despite being mechanically applied, was homogeneous and reflected light specularly instead of diffusely.

These surface topography changes did not result from thermal effects or chemical reactions of the flame species with the surface, such as carbonyl and carboxyl groups [\[46\]](#page-14-17). Physically, these changes are not significant (there is no wear or degradation), but the chemical changes were responsible for surface energy, contact angle, and adhesion variations.

Figure [4c](#page-5-0) of EDS mapping for Ag and WS_2 shows a marked difference on the surfaces. The WS_2 surface has different particles contrasting with the green carbon signal from the substrate underneath (polycarbonate) but which is not directly exposed. In the center of SEM figures for WS_2 , there is a tiny flat particle of about 5 mm that is semitransparent

for electrons. Figure [4d](#page-5-0)–g show the individual mapping for each element in, both cases Ag and $WS₂$. Figure [4h](#page-5-0),i show the micrographs of high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) for WS_2 with scale bars of 100 nm and 10 nm. The lamellar particles were highly crystalline and Moiré patterns were common because of the displacement between consecutive lamellas.

The wettability of a substrate's surface is usually related to the quality of adhesion that can be achieved after coating it with another material [\[47\]](#page-14-18). Figure [5](#page-6-0) shows the variation of contact angle values and surface free energy measured on polycarbonate surfaces before and after flame treatment using a deionized water droplet, where the contact angle values of the non-flame-treated surface are higher than those of the treated surface.

Figure 4. Topographic and chemical characterization of silver (1st column) and WS₂ (2nd column) coatings on polycarbonate. (**a**) Optical micrograph; (**b**) SEM micrograph at 5000×; (**c**) EDS mapping showing the global distribution of elements; (**d**) shows Ag or W; (**e**) shows Sn or S; (**f**) shows C; (**g**) shows O; (**h**) show HR-TEM micrographs of WS² with a scale bar of 100 nm and 10 nm, respectively.

(b) surfaces with flame treatment, and (c) a comparison between silver and WS_2 surfaces without and $\frac{d}{dt}$ surfaces we treatment. Financially separate trade treatment for the expression of (4) silver (c) flame treatment with flame treatment. Examples of contact angle images for the surfaces of (**d**) silver, (**e**) flame-treated silver, (f) WS₂, and (g) flame-treated WS₂. **Figure 5.** Contact angle and surface free energy measurements of (**a**) surfaces without flame treatment,

The decompares are unterent coatings and the encers of the name treatment. The untreated surface had low wettability in the different analysis times, i.e., the surface of the CP is hydrophobic, with an initial value of $98.3° \pm 0.27°$. However, after heat treatment, the contact angle values tended to decrease, so it was observed that the surface
expression bedseakilized to the secretaristic relative things are seen 21.4% ± 0.4%. The was more hydrophilic, where the contact angle value at time zero was $21.4° \pm 0.4°$. The The obtained contact angle values demonstrated contact angle values of the treated values of the treated contact α causing increased wetting, which led to a decrease in the contact angle and an increase in the free anarow of the surface. Figure [5c](#page-6-0) compares the different coatings and the effects of the flame treatment. The flame treatment significantly influenced the hydrophilic behavior of all the tested surfaces, the free energy of the surface.

The decay rate (x) is calculated between the minimum and maximum contact angles $\int C A$, and $C A$, room $(CA_{min}$ and CA_{max} , respectively) [\[48\]](#page-14-19), as shown in Equation (2).

$$
x = 100 \left(1 - \frac{CA_{min}}{CA_{max}} \right) \tag{2}
$$

The obtained contact angle values demonstrate excellent wettability of the treated surface, which induces adequate adhesion between the substrate and the coating. This improvement in wetting behavior is caused by the formation of functional groups on the surface of the polymer.

The decrease in the contact angle with the activation of the flame treatment implies an increase in the surface free energy of the polycarbonate and, therefore, an increase in the adhesion between the surface and the water droplet, i.e., a higher amount of energy per unit area is required to separate them [\[49\]](#page-14-20).

Contrary to the expected effect, coated surfaces that were previously flame-treated had higher contact angles than untreated surfaces. Usually, flame treatment and corona electrical discharges or plasma improve wettability by lowering the contact angle [\[48\]](#page-14-19). This result was the case for preparing the PC surfaces before wetting, activating, and silver deposition.

On the other hand, comparing the silver and WS_2 surfaces revealed a higher surface energy for the silver coatings, which can be attributed to the hydrophobic properties of WS₂ [\[50\]](#page-14-21). Higher surface energy is typically associated with higher adherence because it promotes interaction with other substances or materials. On the contrary, lower surface energy has a lower affinity with other substances or materials, which repels them and reduces adhesion.

According to the Gibbs free energy equation, increasing the surface free energy of polymers promotes condensation and wettability. It is necessary to increase the Gibbs free energy to improve the wettability area of the liquid droplet on a solid surface since the surface tension is constant and this is an intrinsic property of the material [\[51](#page-14-22)[,52\]](#page-14-23). Therefore, the increase in PC free energy observed by decreasing the contact angle with water can promote higher homogeneity of the silver film on the PC substrate.

The polymer base of solar concentrators are substrates with a large surface area. Conventional plating techniques can be very expensive or impractical for large areas. That is why a method is required that allows a metal deposit to be made for large areas practically and efficiently in a short time. The DCP technique makes it possible to make silver deposits with the physicochemical characteristics desired for solar concentrators.

For this metallization stage, two solutions are designed: an oxidizer and reducer. The oxidizing solution consists of silver nitrate complexed by ammonia [\[53\]](#page-14-24). As for the reducing solution, it is made only from sugar (glucose) [\[54\]](#page-15-0). The reaction takes place as follows [\[53\]](#page-14-24):

$$
2Ag^{+} + C_{6}H_{12}O_{6} + H_{2}O \rightarrow 2Ag + C_{6}H_{11}O_{7}^{-} + 3H^{+}
$$
\n(3)

It is necessary to meet some basic requirements to achieve an excellent metallic layer: the one-to-one stoichiometry between the reducing and oxidizing solution and the thickness of the mixture film (metal salt and reducing solution) on the surface of the substrate. Tests were conducted with different thicknesses to study the influence of the thickness of the metal layer on optimizing the ideal parameters.

Figure [6](#page-8-0) shows the deposition thickness of the metallic silver film on the flame-treated polycarbonate substrate. The kinetic growth of the film is linear, corresponding to the increase in the number of layers. Table [2](#page-8-1) shows the values of the number of layers placed and the thickness obtained in each, which is increasing.

However, too much thickness caused too much stress, which negatively influenced the adhesion of the film between layers, which is negative for reflectance. Therefore, sixteen spray sequences were chosen as the optimal ones for studying silver films. After sixteen spray sequences, the metal film behaved uniformly and continuously throughout the substrate.

For not exceeding the thickness of the film, the projection was kept in motion throughout the sample, i.e., from left to right and from top to bottom of the substrate. This condition allowed the reaction to occur while projecting onto different points on the polymer's surface.

According to the results obtained for the continuous projection mode, a silver deposit can be obtained under this condition since the reaction is fast enough to eliminate the relaxation time. A continuous mode can be used to design solutions for an industrial application.

One of the most relevant properties of these surfaces is their optical behavior. Once the deposit was made, the percentage of transmittance was analyzed, and the optimal conditions for the required objectives were determined.

Figure 6. Thickness curve of the silver metallic film using the DCP technique. **Figure 6.** Thickness curve of the silver metallic film using the DCP technique.

Table 2. Different thicknesses for each treatment done. **Table 2.** Different thicknesses for each treatment done.

Layers Plating	Thickness (nm)
8	45
16	100
24	150
40	200
48	245

the adhesion of the film between layers, which is negative for reflectance. The reflectance. Therefore, six-Figure [7](#page-8-2) shows the spectra of the transmittance percentage compared to the thickness of the metal layer. There is a loss of 1% of transmittance in the visible region with a thickness of 100 nm. However, in the 200–400 nm region, an absorption signal is not possible because the PC substrate absorbs at this wavelength.

metallic layer on the surface of the polycarbonate. $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ Figure 7. Vis-NIR spectra of the percentage of transmittance concerning the thickness of the silver metallic layer on the surface of the polycarbonate.

A percentage reflectivity analysis was performed on the approximately 100 nm silver A percentage reflectivity analysis was performed on the approximately 100 nm silver film. The total reflectivity curve is shown in Figure 8. The silver film has high reflectivity film. The total reflectivity curve is shown in Figure [8.](#page-9-0) The silver film has high reflectivity behavior in the visible region, absorbing more radiation from the ultraviolet region. The behavior in the visible region, absorbing more radiation from the ultraviolet region. The reflectivity in the visible range is about 95%. reflectivity in the visible range is about 95%.

metallic layer on the surface of the polycarbonate.

 surface and WS_2 . Figure 8. (a) Transmittance of the silver and WS₂ surfaces. (b) Reflectance curves of the silver metal

Figure [8](#page-9-0) compares the transmittance (Figure [8a](#page-9-0)) and reflectance (Figure [8b](#page-9-0)) of the surfaces. Considering 100% transmittance without coating, a higher transmittance percentage can be observed in the near-UV region for the silver coating than for the WS_2 surfaces. Figure [8a](#page-9-0) shows that the effect of the flame treatment on both types of coatings was a slight reduction in intensity, maintaining a similar spectrum shape.

Silver surface reflectance shows a significant increase in the visible region with flame treatment, attributed to eliminating residues of silver compounds that could be photoactive, darken the surface, or even cause uneven areas.

On the other hand, comparing the WS₂ surface reflectance with and without flame treatment revealed a slight reduction in the Vis-NIR regions, which was attributed to surface lamellae orientation changes.

The $Ag + WS_2$ is darker than Ag or other cases in the 400–800 nm region, but we are highlighting the specularity of the WS₂ coating and the beneficial contribution of such a layer as protection for PC. It can keep the surface clean after rain and is a solid lubricant, like $MoS₂$ or graphite, that can avoid scratches, which is a main issue for PC use as a mirror substrate.

3.2. Adhesion Testing

The effectiveness of coatings is primarily based on the interfacial adhesion strength between the coatings and the substrate surface. Since adhesion is one of the most important factors in the coating application, pretreatment of the substrate is needed to improve its adhesion to the film [\[31\]](#page-14-3). Such pretreatment involves decontamination, sensitization, and activation of the substrate to aid the subsequent formation of the metal layer [\[33\]](#page-14-4).

The adhesion between the silver film and the substrate was tested using Scotch tape, a suitable method for laboratory-scale preparation. A 5×5 mm grid pattern was made on the metal film by cross-section with a distance of 1 mm between lines. A 2.5 inch piece

of tape (3M Scotch[®] tape) was applied over the cut and manually removed as quickly as possible. Adherence was assessed semi-quantitatively by comparison and description in the same set. Table [3](#page-10-0) shows the results obtained from the metallization adhesion test for each layer placed on the substrate. The codes in this table show the percentage of the area removed from a substrate according to ASTM D-3359-23 [\[45\]](#page-14-16). The adhesion scale ranges from 5B to 0B.

Table 3. Scotch test results.

The tests showed a higher percentage of the detached area after depositing 24 layers, increasing the percentage with 48 layers to more than 65%.

Table [3](#page-10-0) data show that as the layers added to the substrate increased, the percentage of the removed area increased. This condition is attributed to the fact that, as the thickness increases, the coating becomes brittle and begins to fracture.

Figure [9](#page-10-1) shows a proposed method for measuring the detached area using a quantitative analysis of the detached area by optical digital microscopy [\[48\]](#page-14-19). In Figure [9a](#page-10-1), the surface without heat treatment had a larger detached area due to poor adhesion to the substrate (70.6% detached area) compared to Figure [9b](#page-10-1), the surface with the flame treatment (59.99% detached area). According to ASTM D 3359-23 [\[45\]](#page-14-16), it could be classified as 1B with >65% detachment, and with the flame treatment it could be classified as 5B [\[48\]](#page-14-19).

Figure 9. Quantitative analysis of the area detached for coatings using the adhesive tape method **Figure 9.** Quantitative analysis of the area detached for coatings using the adhesive tape method and digital microscopy: (a) untreated silver coating, (b) silver coating with flame treatment, (c) untreated W_{2}^{\sim} coating, and (**d**) W_{2}^{\sim} coating with flame treatment.

Figure $9c$,d compare the WS_2 surfaces, showing no significant effect from the flame treatment on the coating. According to ASTM D 3359-23 [\[45\]](#page-14-16), they could be classified as 5B with 0% detachment. Therefore, in the case of WS_2 , the treatment could be dispensed. According to the regulations (ASTM D-3359-23 [\[45\]](#page-14-16)), a detachment of less than 5% could be considered, which could be classified as 4B.

3.3. XPS Analysis of Silver Metallic Film

Figure [10](#page-11-0) shows the results of the XPS analyses for Ag and WS_2 reflective surface samples. Figure [10b](#page-11-0),c show the W4f/W5p and S2p of WS₂, respectively. The high-resolution XPS spectrum of $Ag3d_{3/2}$ and $Ag3d_{5/2}$ was obtained (Figure [10d](#page-11-0)). Taking as a reference the main peak of metallic silver (368.2 eV), Ag $3d_{5/2}$, located at 368.2 eV, corresponds to the binding energy of metallic silver [\[55\]](#page-15-1). Particularly relevant was a perceptible signal for the Sn used as a surface activator on PC for subsequently obtaining the Ag coating (Figure [10e](#page-11-0)).

Figure 10. XPS spectra of Ag and WS2 reflective coatings. (**a**) Survey, (**b**) W4f and W5p of WS2, (**c**) Figure 10. XPS spectra of Ag and WS₂ reflective coatings. (**a**) Survey, (**b**) W4f and W5p of WS₂, (**c**) S2p of WS² , (**d**) Ag3d of Ag, and (**e**) Sn3d of surface activator.

4. Conclusions 4. Conclusions

Metallic electroless silver deposition was accomplished on polycarbonate substrates Metallic electroless silver deposition was accomplished on polycarbonate substrates using the dynamic chemical plating (DCP) technique. The thin films were nanometers in thickness and produced over an area of about 1.5 m² for individual mirror pieces for centrated solar power facilities. concentrated solar power facilities.

- DCP is a suitable process for depositing metal layers of Ag on non-conductive poly-• DCP is a suitable process for depositing metal layers of Ag on non-conductive polycarbonate surfaces, using double-nozzle spray guns at room temperature. The layers carbonate surfaces, using double-nozzle spray guns at room temperature. The layers obtained were deposited homogeneously on the substrate and had a uniform thick-obtained were deposited homogeneously on the substrate and had a uniform thickness ness and excellent adhesion properties as the deposits obtained on the polycarbonate and excellent adhesion properties as the deposits obtained on the polycarbonate were were uniform. Therefore, DCP is a feasible method that does not require plating uniform. Therefore, DCP is a feasible method that does not require plating tanks, tanks, racks, drums, or external electric currents. racks, drums, or external electric currents.
- The surface treatment by flame changed the wettability of the surface as the static The surface treatment by flame changed the wettability of the surface as the static contact angle with the water decreased. Generally, adequate adhesion was found be-contact angle with the water decreased. Generally, adequate adhesion was found between the coating and the substrate, quantified accordingly with ASTM D 3359-23 obtained from the shear test. 40, obtained from the shear test.
- Analysis of the chemical composition of the DCP deposit on the PC surface showed metallic silver. metallic silver.
- Most solar mirrors used in commercial concentrating solar plants are made with a thin the continuum reflective layer of silver (200 nm) between glass and paints to ensure high reflective reflective layer of silver (<200 nm) between glass and paints to ensure high reflectance

across the solar spectrum, with high durability for decades. In this work, the polycarbonate/Ag system showed an excellent reflectivity value of >90%, comparable to commercial rigid reflectors used in concentrated solar power. The flame treatment improved this reflectivity, which was attributed to the elimination of residues of silver compounds that could be photoactive, darken the surface, or even cause different types of dark spots.

- A specular reflective coating type based on WS_2 lamellar powder was achieved using easy, dry, and one-step mechanical polishing. Results showed reflectance higher than 90% for the visible region and >99% for the NIR region. Also, it showed adequate adhesion with and without flame treatment, which caused a slight reflectance reduction attributed to lamellar orientation on the surface.
- The combination of a silver coating on the back side of the PC and WS_2 on the front reduced reflectance, as was expected. However, the change on the external surface could be more extensively studied, as desirable properties can be foreseen. Alternatively, the silver and WS_2 specular surfaces could also be used separately.
- This work, for the first time, proposes protecting–reflecting on both sides of mirrors and a solution to PC surface vulnerability to weathering and scratching. Even more, using mechanical polishing, WS_2 is used for reflection and surface protection and can be applied to polymers (PC) and metals (aluminum). The PC pieces were the industrially available substrates that resisted pretreatment with a flame for the silver electroless process.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, C.M.L., J.d.J.P.B. and Y.M.V.; methodology C.M.L., J.d.J.P.B., A.X.M.P., Y.M.V., J.M.H., J.E.A.J., I.T.M. and J.A.C.M.; validation, C.M.L. and J.d.J.P.B.; formal analysis, C.M.L. and J.d.J.P.B.; investigation, C.M.L., J.d.J.P.B., A.X.M.P., Y.M.V., J.M.H., J.E.A.J., I.T.M., J.A.C.M. and D.M.R.; resources, C.M.L. and J.d.J.P.B.; data curation, C.M.L., J.d.J.P.B., A.X.M.P. and D.M.R.; writing—original draft preparation, C.M.L. and J.d.J.P.B.; writing—review and editing, C.M.L., J.d.J.P.B., A.X.M.P., Y.M.V., J.M.H., J.E.A.J., I.T.M., J.A.C.M. and D.M.R.; visualization, C.M.L. and J.d.J.P.B.; supervision, C.M.L. and J.d.J.P.B.; project administration, J.d.J.P.B.; funding acquisition, C.M.L. and J.d.J.P.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was supported by the Institute of Innovation, Science, and Entrepreneurship for Competitiveness (IDEA GTO) and the National Council of Humanities Sciences and Technologies (CONAHCYT, Mexico) through the Basic and/or Frontier Science Grant No. 320114, the National Laboratory of Graphenic Materials, and the LANIAUTO. This work was funded by "Fondo Sectorial CONACYT-SENER Sustentabilidad Energetica" through Grant 207450, "Centro Mexicano de Innovación en Energía Solar (CeMIE-Sol)", within strategic project No. P62, "Prototype hybrid system of a supercritical CO₂ expander with flat polycarbonate mirrors on automated heliostats". The World Bank Group also provided funding (002/2017-PRODETES-PLATA).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the CIDETEQ's staff members who supported the processes necessary for the projects and laboratory activities. Thanks to José German Flores López for his technical assistance in the SEM characterization. Thanks to Ing. Rosendo Ruiz of Kinematics RRR, S.A. de C.V., and Ricardo Rodríguez Carvajal of Universidad de Guanajuato for their assistance in constructing the heliostats. Thanks to Josue Esaú Romero Ibarra and the Laboratorio Universitario de Microscopía Electrónica (LUME) of the IIM-UNAM for the analyses of HR-TEM.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- 1. Alder, F.A.; Charrault, E.; Zuber, K.; Fabretto, M.; Patil, A.; Murphy, P.; Llusca, M. Fabrication of Robust Solar Mirrors on Polymeric Substrates by Physical Vapor Deposition Technique. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2020**, *209*, 110476. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solmat.2020.110476)
- 2. Zhang, N.; Yu, Y.; Wu, J.; Du, E.; Zhang, S.; Xiao, J. Optimal Configuration of Concentrating Solar Power Generation in Power System with High Share of Renewable Energy Resources. *Renew. Energy* **2024**, *220*, 119535. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2023.119535)
- 3. Xu, R.; He, Z.; Yang, L.; Xu, S.; Wang, R.; Wang, H. Concentration Performance of Solar Collector Integrated Compound Parabolic Concentrator and Flat Microchannel Tube with Tracking System. *Renew. Energy* **2022**, *200*, 809–820. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2022.09.107)
- 4. Jamali, H. Investigation and Review of Mirrors Reflectance in Parabolic Trough Solar Collectors (PTSCs). *Energy Rep.* **2019**, *5*, 145–158. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2019.01.006)
- 5. Achkari, O.; El Fadar, A. Latest Developments on TES and CSP Technologies—Energy and Environmental Issues, Applications and Research Trends. *Appl. Therm. Eng.* **2020**, *167*, 114806. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2019.114806)
- 6. Islam, M.T.; Huda, N.; Abdullah, A.B.; Saidur, R. A Comprehensive Review of State-of-the-Art Concentrating Solar Power (CSP) Technologies: Current Status and Research Trends. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2018**, *91*, 987–1018. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.04.097)
- 7. García-Segura, A.; Fernández-García, A.; Ariza, M.J.; Sutter, F.; Valenzuela, L. Durability Studies of Solar Reflectors: A Review. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2016**, *62*, 453–467. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.04.060)
- 8. García-Segura, A.; Fernández-García, A.; Ariza, M.J.; Sutter, F.; Diamantino, T.C.; Martínez-Arcos, L.; Reche-Navarro, T.J.; Valenzuela, L. Influence of Gaseous Pollutants and Their Synergistic Effects on the Aging of Reflector Materials for Concentrating Solar Thermal Technologies. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2019**, *200*, 109955. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solmat.2019.109955)
- 9. Fernández, A.G.; Gomez-Vidal, J.; Oró, E.; Kruizenga, A.; Solé, A.; Cabeza, L.F. Mainstreaming Commercial CSP Systems: A Technology Review. *Renew. Energy* **2019**, *140*, 152–176. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.03.049)
- 10. García-Segura, A.; Fernández-García, A.; Ariza, M.J.; Sutter, F.; Valenzuela, L. Effects of Reduced Sulphur Atmospheres on Reflector Materials for Concentrating Solar Thermal Applications. *Corros. Sci.* **2018**, *133*, 78–93. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2018.01.021)
- 11. Múgica-Vidal, R.; Alba-Elías, F.; Sainz-García, E.; Pantoja-Ruiz, M. Atmospheric Pressure Air Plasma Treatment of Glass Substrates for Improved Silver/Glass Adhesion in Solar Mirrors. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2017**, *169*, 287–296. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solmat.2017.05.034)
- 12. James, R.A.; Stapleton, A.J.; Hughes, A.; Charrault, E.; Zuber, K.; Switalska, E.; Evans, D.; Murphy, P.; Llusca, M. Metallic Adhesive Layers for Ag-Based First Surface Mirrors. *Adv. Eng. Mater.* **2018**, *20*, 1800106. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/adem.201800106)
- 13. McElwain, M.W.; Feinberg, L.D.; Perrin, M.D.; Clampin, M.; Mountain, C.M.; Lallo, M.D.; Lajoie, C.-P.; Kimble, R.A.; Bowers, C.W.; Stark, C.C.; et al. The James Webb Space Telescope Mission: Optical Telescope Element Design, Development, and Performance. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac.* **2023**, *135*, 058001. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/acada0)
- 14. Sutter, F.; Wette, J.; Wiesinger, F.; Fernández-García, A.; Ziegler, S.; Dasbach, R. Lifetime Prediction of Aluminum Solar Mirrors by Correlating Accelerated Aging and Outdoor Exposure Experiments. *Solar Energy* **2018**, *174*, 149–163. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2018.09.006)
- 15. Arias, N.; Jaramillo, F. Highly Reflective Aluminum Films on Polycarbonate Substrates by Physical Vapor Deposition. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2020**, *505*, 144596. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2019.144596)
- 16. Palacios, A.; Barreneche, C.; Navarro, M.E.; Ding, Y. Thermal Energy Storage Technologies for Concentrated Solar Power —A Review from a Materials Perspective. *Renew. Energy* **2020**, *156*, 1244–1265. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.10.127)
- 17. Peinado Gonzalo, A.; Pliego Marugán, A.; García Márquez, F.P. A Review of the Application Performances of Concentrated Solar Power Systems. *Appl. Energy* **2019**, *255*, 113893. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.113893)
- 18. Grosjean, A.; Soum-Glaude, A.; Thomas, L. Replacing Silver by Aluminum in Solar Mirrors by Improving Solar Reflectance with Dielectric Top Layers. *Sustain. Mater. Technol.* **2021**, *29*, e00307. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susmat.2021.e00307)
- 19. ˙Ikizler, B.; Erden, S. Simple and Additive-Free Synthesis of Highly Reflective Thin Silver Films and Their Application on Large-Scale Surfaces. *Mater. Today Chem.* **2024**, *35*, 101859. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtchem.2023.101859)
- 20. Nakajima, D.; Kikuchi, T.; Natsui, S.; Suzuki, R.O. Mirror-Finished Superhydrophobic Aluminum Surfaces Modified by Anodic Alumina Nanofibers and Self-Assembled Monolayers. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2018**, *440*, 506–513. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2018.01.182)
- 21. Ulitschka, M.; Bauer, J.; Frost, F.; Arnold, T. Improvement of the Optical Properties after Surface Error Correction of Aluminium Mirror Surfaces. *J. Eur. Opt. Soc.-Rapid Publ.* **2021**, *17*, 1. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s41476-020-00143-6)
- 22. Abouelata, A.M.A.; Gaballah, S.A. Nano/Micro Smoothing and Reflectivity Enhancement for Preparation of Aluminum Surface Mirror. *ARPN J. Eng. Appl. Sci.* **2022**, *17*, 1026–1033.
- 23. Mao, L.; Geng, Y.; Cao, Y.; Yan, Y. Uniform High-Reflectivity Silver Film Deposited by Planar Magnetron Sputtering. *Vacuum* **2021**, *185*, 109999. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vacuum.2020.109999)
- 24. Ju, H.; Kong, F.; Xu, J.; Geng, Y.; Zhang, C.; Luan, J. Influence of Ag on the Microstructure, Mechanical and Tribological Properties of SiC–Ag Composite Film Deposited by the Industrial DC Magnetron Sputtering System. *Vacuum* **2023**, *218*, 112672. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vacuum.2023.112672)
- 25. Pan, Y.; Fan, Y.; Niu, J. Optical Properties of Ultra-Thin Silver Films Deposited by Thermal Evaporation and Its Application in Optical Filters. *Infrared Phys. Technol.* **2020**, *104*, 103123. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infrared.2019.103123)
- 26. Alves, M.S.; Melo, J.C.S.; Costa, C.V.; Ula, M.; de Freitas, J.D.; Tonholo, J.; Hillman, A.R.; de Assis, A.M.L.; Ribeiro, A.S. Latent Fingerprint Enhancement by Ag Nanoparticle Electrodeposition on Metal Surfaces. *Electrochim. Acta* **2024**, *484*, 143925. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2024.143925)
- 27. Bragaglia, M.; Pascale, V.; Rinaldi, M.; Nanni, F. Silver Electroless Plating on 3D Printed Resins via Stereolithography: A Sustainable Solution. *Thin Solid Films* **2022**, *757*, 139417. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2022.139417)
- 28. Riaz, K.; Imran Ali, F.; Arslan Wasim, A.; Rafique, F.; Naveed Javed, M.; Abid Ali, S.; Ali Hashmi, I. Ionic Liquid Based Electroless Silver Plating Bath for Printable Circuit Boards (PCBs) Finishing. *J. Mol. Liq.* **2024**, *394*, 123704. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2023.123704)
- 29. Chitvoranund, N.; Jiemsirilers, S.; Kashima, D.P. Effects of Surface Treatment on Adhesion of Silver Film on Glass Substrate Fabricated by Electroless Plating. *Adv. Mater. Res.* **2013**, *664*, 566–573. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.664.566)
- 30. Lien, W.-F.; Huang, P.-C.; Tseng, S.-C.; Cheng, C.-H.; Lai, S.-M.; Liaw, W.-C. Electroless Silver Plating on Tetraethoxy Silane-Bridged Fiber Glass. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2012**, *258*, 2246–2254. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2011.09.059)
- 31. Magallón Cacho, L.; Pérez Bueno, J.J.; Meas Vong, Y.; Stremsdoerfer, G.; Espinoza Beltrán, F.J.; Martínez Vega, J. Novel Green Process to Modify ABS Surface before Its Metallization: Optophysic Treatment. *J. Coat. Technol. Res.* **2015**, *12*, 313–323. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11998-014-9632-5)
- 32. Magallón-Cacho, L.; Pérez-Bueno, J.J.; Meas-Vong, Y.; Stremsdoerfer, G.; Espinoza-Beltrán, F.J. Surface Modification of Acrylonitrile-Butadiene-Styrene (ABS) with Heterogeneous Photocatalysis (TiO2) for the Substitution of the Etching Stage in the Electroless Process. *Surf. Coat. Technol.* **2011**, *206*, 1410–1415. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2011.09.005)
- 33. Cacho, L.M.; de Jesús Pérez Bueno, J.; Vong, Y.M.; Stremsdoerfer, G. Effect of Swelling of Chemical Reagents and the Sulfuric-Chromic Acid Bath on Surface Texturizing of Poly(Acrylonitrile-Butadiene-Styrene). *Acta Chim. Slov.* **2019**, *66*, 638–647. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.17344/acsi.2019.5070)
- 34. López, J.R.; Méndez, P.F.; Pérez-Bueno, J.J.; Trejo, G.; Stremsdoerfer, G.; Meas, Y.; Stremsdoerfer, G.; López, J.R.; Pérez-Bueno, J.J. Hardness and Corrosion Resistance of Ni/NiB Bi-Layer and Ni/NiB/NiB-PTFE Tri-Layer Coatings Prepared by Electrodeposition and Dynamic Chemical Plating (DCP) Techniques. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2018**, *165*, D753–D760. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1149/2.1111814jes)
- 35. Magdaleno López, C.; Pérez Bueno, J.d.J.; Cabello Mendez, J.A.; Hernández Leos, R.; Mendoza López, M.L.; Sosa Domínguez, A.; Meas Vong, Y. Deterioration of Novel Silver Coated Mirrors on Polycarbonate Used for Concentrated Solar Power. *Sustainability* **2022**, *14*, 16360. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/su142416360)
- 36. Chen, D.; Li, Y.; Xiao, S.; Yang, C.; Zhou, J.; Xiao, B. Single Ni Atom Doped WS² Monolayer as Sensing Substrate for Dissolved Gases in Transformer Oil: A First-Principles Study. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2022**, *579*, 152141. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2021.152141)
- 37. Hur, Y.G.; Kim, M.-S.; Lee, D.-W.; Kim, S.; Eom, H.-J.; Jeong, G.; No, M.-H.; Nho, N.S.; Lee, K.-Y. Hydrocracking of Vacuum Residue into Lighter Fuel Oils Using Nanosheet-Structured WS 2 Catalyst. *Fuel* **2014**, *137*, 237–244. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2014.07.094)
- 38. Yan, Z.; Jiang, D.; Fu, Y.; Qiao, D.; Gao, X.; Feng, D.; Sun, J.; Weng, L.; Wang, H. Vacuum Tribological Performance of WS₂–MoS₂ Composite Film against Oil-Impregnated Porous Polyimide: Influence of Oil Viscosity. *Tribol. Lett.* **2019**, *67*, 2. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11249-018-1101-3)
- 39. Krasian, T.; Punyodom, W.; Worajittiphon, P. A Hybrid of 2D Materials (MoS₂ and WS₂) as an Effective Performance Enhancer for Poly(Lactic Acid) Fibrous Mats in Oil Adsorption and Oil/Water Separation. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2019**, *369*, 563–575. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.03.092)
- 40. Chen, H.; Chen, Y.; Yin, J.; Zhang, X.; Guo, T.; Yan, P. High-Damage-Resistant Tungsten Disulfide Saturable Absorber Mirror for Passively Q-Switched Fiber Laser. *Opt. Express* **2016**, *24*, 16287. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.24.016287)
- 41. Luo, Z.; Wu, D.; Xu, B.; Xu, H.; Cai, Z.; Peng, J.; Weng, J.; Xu, S.; Zhu, C.; Wang, F.; et al. Two-Dimensional Material-Based Saturable Absorbers: Towards Compact Visible-Wavelength All-Fiber Pulsed Lasers. *Nanoscale* **2016**, *8*, 1066–1072. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5NR06981E) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26658877)
- 42. Han, X.; Wang, K.; Persaud, P.D.; Xing, X.; Liu, W.; Long, H.; Li, F.; Wang, B.; Singh, M.R.; Lu, P. Harmonic Resonance Enhanced Second-Harmonic Generation in the Monolayer WS 2—Ag Nanocavity. *ACS Photonics* **2020**, *7*, 562–568. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsphotonics.9b01499)
- 43. Huang, H.; Deng, F.; Xiang, J.; Li, S.; Lan, S. Plasmon-Exciton Coupling in Dielectric-Metal Hybrid Nanocavities with an Embedded Two-Dimensional Material. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2021**, *542*, 148660. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2020.148660)
- 44. *AMS2530A*; Tungsten Disulfide Coating, Thin Lubricating Film, Binder-Less Impingement Applied. SAE International: Warrendale, PA, USA, 2014.
- 45. *ASTM D3359-23*; Standard Test Methods for Rating Adhesion by Tape Test. ASTM International: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2023.
- 46. Farris, S.; Pozzoli, S.; Biagioni, P.; Duó, L.; Mancinelli, S.; Piergiovanni, L. The Fundamentals of Flame Treatment for the Surface Activation of Polyolefin Polymers—A Review. *Polymer* **2010**, *51*, 3591–3605. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2010.05.036)
- 47. Homola, T.; Matoušek, J.; Kormunda, M.; Wu, L.Y.L.; Černák, M. Plasma Treatment of Glass Surfaces Using Diffuse Coplanar Surface Barrier Discharge in Ambient Air. *Plasma Chem. Plasma Process.* **2013**, *33*, 881–894. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11090-013-9467-3)
- 48. Magdaleno-López, C.; de Jesús Pérez-Bueno, J. Quantitative Evaluation for the ASTM D4541-17/D7234 and ASTM D3359 Adhesion Norms with Digital Optical Microscopy for Surface Modifications with Flame and APPJ. *Int. J. Adhes. Adhes.* **2020**, *98*, 102551. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijadhadh.2020.102551)
- 49. Sabbatini, L. 7.2 CA and Surface Energy. In *Polymer Surface Characterization*; De Gruyter Textbook: Berlin, Germany, 2014; p. 306. ISBN 311037692X/9783110376920.
- 50. Xavier, J.R.; Vinodhini, S.P.; Raja Beryl, J. Anti-Corrosion and Flame-Retardant Properties of Environmentally Benign Smart Functionalized WS2/RGO in Epoxy Coatings for Enhanced Steel Structural Protection in Natural Seawater. *Mater. Today Commun.* **2024**, *38*, 107842. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtcomm.2023.107842)
- 51. Zhang, S.; Xu, S.; Liu, Y.; Lei, R.; Guo, T.; Yao, Y.; Gao, S.; Ding, J.; Zhang, Z. The Effect of Surface-Free Energy and Microstructure on the Condensation Mechanism of Water Vapor. *Prog. Nat. Sci. Mater. Int.* **2023**, *33*, 37–46. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnsc.2023.02.002)
- 52. Hebbar, R.S.; Isloor, A.M.; Ismail, A.F. Contact Angle Measurements. In *Membrane Characterization*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2017; pp. 219–255.
- 53. Stremsdoerfer, S. Non-Electrolytic Method for Metallizing a Substrate by the Reduction of Metallic Salt(s) and the Spraying of Aerosol(S). US8507043B2, 24 November 2006.
- 54. Mielewczyk, L.; Liebscher, V.; Grothe, J.; Kaskel, S. Sugar-Based Electroless Copper Deposition on Pectin-Coated Alumina Microparticles. *Adv. Mater. Interfaces* **2023**, *10*, 2300496. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/admi.202300496)
- 55. Powell, C.J. Elemental Binding Energies for X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **1995**, *89*, 141–149. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-4332(95)00027-5)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.