



# *Article* **Biodegradable Food Packaging Films Using a Combination of Hemicellulose and Cellulose Derivatives †**

**Syed Ammar Hussain[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7035-9380) Madhav P. Yadav D, Brajendra K. Sharma D, Phoebe X. Qi and Tony Z. Jin**  $*$ 

Eastern Regional Research Center, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 600 E. Mermaid Lane, Wyndmoor, PA 19038, USA; syed.a.hussain@usda.gov (S.A.H.); madhav.yadav@usda.gov (M.P.Y.); brajendra.sharma@usda.gov (B.K.S.); phoebe.qi@gmail.com (P.X.Q.) **\*** Correspondence: tony.jin@usda.gov; Tel.: +1-215-836-6904; Fax: +1-215-233-6406

† Mention of trade names or commercial products in this publication is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). The USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

**Abstract:** This study aims to develop biodegradable films by combining hemicellulose B (HB) with methylcellulose (MC) and carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) at two mass ratios, HB/MC 90/10 and HB/CMC 60/40. The effect of plasticizers, glycerol (GLY) and polyethylene glycol (PEG), on these films' mechanical and physicochemical properties was also investigated. Results showed that the film thickness increased with the addition of GLY and PEG. Moisture content was lower in plasticized films, possibly contributing to better storage. Plasticizers also induced more pronounced color changes, intensifying the lightness and yellowness. Physical attributes such as peel ability, foldability, and transparency were also noticeably improved, particularly in films with higher GLY and PEG concentrations. Additionally, plasticizers enhanced the mechanical properties more significantly in the HB/CMC films, as evidenced by improved tensile stress, elongation at break, elastic modulus, and toughness. However, oxygen and water vapor permeabilities, two of the most critical factors in food packaging, were reduced in the HB/MC films with plasticizers compared to the HB/CMC counterparts. The findings of this study bear significant implications for developing sustainable packaging solutions using hemicellulose B isolated from agricultural material processing waste. These biopolymer-based films, in conjunction with biobased plasticizers, such as glycerol biopolymer, can help curtail our reliance on conventional plastics and alleviate the environmental impact of plastic waste.

**Keywords:** biobased films; hemicellulose; methyl cellulose; plasticizers; physiochemical properties; sustainable packaging

# **1. Introduction**

Polymers play a crucial role in modern life due to their ease of production and wide range of functional properties. In 2017, global plastic production, including thermoplastics, thermosets, elastomers, adhesives, coatings, sealants, and PP-fibers, was around 348 million tons, increasing to 359 million tons in 2018. Asia, particularly China, is a principal contributor to this production, with Europe, the Middle East, and Africa also playing significant roles [\[1\]](#page-10-0). India is a leading producer and consumer of plastics, especially polyethylene (PE), commonly used to manufacture packaging materials, including films and sheets. In just two years, 2018 and 2019, India produced over 15 million tons of plastic and is projected to reach 24 million tons by 2020 [\[2\]](#page-10-1).

Most plastics (95–99%) are derived from non-renewable petrochemical sources [\[3\]](#page-10-2). These synthetic plastics are extensively used in the medical, packaging, and construction sectors. In India, 43% of synthetic polymers produced annually are used in packaging. However, synthetic plastics do not degrade physically, chemically, or biologically, leading to significant environmental  $[3-10]$  $[3-10]$  and health issues  $[11]$ . They eventually turn into



**Citation:** Hussain, S.A.; Yadav, M.P.; Sharma, B.K.; Qi, P.X.; Jin, T.Z. Biodegradable Food Packaging Films Using a Combination of Hemicellulose and Cellulose Derivatives. *Polymers* **2024**, *16*, 3171. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym16223171) [polym16223171](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym16223171)

Academic Editors: Andrea Sorrentino and Alexey L. Iordanskii

Received: 11 September 2024 Revised: 8 November 2024 Accepted: 12 November 2024 Published: 14 November 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

microplastics that have been detected nearly everywhere, including underground water resources, fishes, animals, and humans. Accumulated waste clogs drainage systems and harms aquatic life, and incineration releases harmful gases, contributing to air pollution and global warming [\[12\]](#page-10-5). The persistence of synthetic polymers has raised growing global concerns and is driving the search for eco-friendly alternatives [\[13\]](#page-10-6).

Biodegradable polymers have emerged as a promising solution for a variety of industrial applications and hold the prospect of mitigating the environmental risks associated with non-biodegradable plastics  $[14–20]$  $[14–20]$ . They have also demonstrated significant potential in food packaging applications, providing desirable barrier properties and mechanical strength [\[21–](#page-11-0)[26\]](#page-11-1). Among biodegradable polymers, hemicellulose, a polysaccharide derived from plant cell walls, has gained attention due to its abundance and favorable properties. Xylan, a major component of hemicellulose, is particularly noteworthy, as it constitutes a significant portion (40–45% of dry weight) of plant biomass and possesses flexible properties that mimic petroleum-based plastics. This makes them a viable candidate for developing eco-friendly packaging materials [\[27](#page-11-2)[,28\]](#page-11-3).

Despite the immense potential, the application of hemicellulose-based films in packaging is limited by certain drawbacks, such as hygroscopicity, brittleness, and inferior mechanical properties [\[29](#page-11-4)[,30\]](#page-11-5). To overcome these challenges, the scientific research community has focused on enhancing the properties of hemicellulose films by incorporating additional hardeners like methyl cellulose (MC) and carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC). MC and CMC are cellulose derivatives known for their excellent film-forming capabilities and compatibility with other materials [\[30–](#page-11-5)[35\]](#page-11-6). Combining hemicellulose with these derivatives can produce films possessing enhanced barrier properties and mechanical strength, making them ideal for food packaging. Plasticizers can further reinforce hemicellulose-based films, leading to better outcomes in developing biodegradable food packaging alternatives.

The agricultural processing by-products (lignocellulosic materials) mainly comprise cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin [\[36](#page-11-7)[,37\]](#page-11-8). Hemicellulose, which comes second to cellulose in its abundance, has shown a broad application prospect due to its extensive sources, renewability, and biodegradability. Unlike cellulose, a homogeneous glycan structure composed entirely of  $β-(1\rightarrow 4)$ -glucan connecting to the dextran chain, hemicellulose from agricultural material is composed of  $\beta$ -(1→4) linked xylan backbone with arabinose and a small amount of galactose, glucose, glucuronic acid, and galacturonic acid in the side, making its highly branched structure. The most abundant hemicelluloses are arabinoxylan, xylan (annual plants and hardwoods), and mannans (softwoods). Hemicelluloses are concentrated in the agricultural by-products, which offer an opportunity to develop valueadded products. Although hemicelluloses constitute about 40–45% of the dry weight of annual plants (crops), they have thus far not been exploited industrially. Corn brans are by-products of the corn-milling industry for ethanol [\[38\]](#page-11-9). It has little economic value and frequently becomes a waste disposal problem [\[39\]](#page-11-10). Large quantities of this agricultural processing by-product are a low-cost feedstock that can be processed into a value-added product like arabinoxylan (HB).

Our group has successfully developed a patented method for separating high-value arabinoxylan and cellulose-rich fractions from many grains and agricultural processing byproducts, energy crops, and agricultural residues [\[40–](#page-11-11)[42\]](#page-11-12). We have studied the applications of corn arabinoxylans as emulsifiers, healthy dietary fiber with antioxidant activity, binder for briquette  $[40,43]$  $[40,43]$ , and viscosity modifier  $[44]$ . Now, we are exploring the potential of this material to fabricate high-quality biodegradable packaging films. Arabinoxylans separated from other feedstocks, such as sorghum bran, bagasse, and biomass, have been studied in making films and shown to have sensitivity to moisture adsorption and favorable strength when using glycerol as a plasticizer [\[45\]](#page-11-15).

In this preliminary study, we combined our lab-produced HB from corn bran, also termed "corn bio-fiber gum" (corn BFG), with the commercial carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) and methyl cellulose (MC) to form the biopolymer bases and evaluated their filmforming ability. In future studies, we plan to prepare the cellulose-rich fractions (CRFs)

from agricultural biomass and derivatize CRFs to produce carboxymethyl and methyl derivatives in the lab. We expect that in such a polymer blend, the CRF derivatives will be evenly distributed into the hemicellulose matrix, changing the microstructure and composition of hemicellulose film through the action of non-chemical bonds and thus improving its mechanical properties. Including cellulose derivatives in HB films will improve the mechanical and barrier properties and transparency and help pave the way to utilize the CRF by-product generated in the process to maximize carbon utilization efficiency.

Biodiesel production has been growing steadily worldwide [\[46\]](#page-11-16), and the demand for renewable fuel is increasing to lower the GHG potential of this manufacturing. This has resulted in a large surplus of glycerol, a by-product of the biodiesel industry. Valorizing this by-product is attractive and presents the biodiesel industry as a viable and competitive option. In addition to glycerol, we studied another biobased plasticizer, sorbitol, and two polyethylene glycols (PEGs) of different molecular weights (300 and 1000). Of these, we selected the best-performing ones, glycerol (GLY) and two types of polyethylene glycol (PEG 300 and PEG 1000), for further study. Therefore, this study aimed to develop biobased packaging films by combining hemicellulose and cellulose derivatives with acceptable physiochemical and mechanical properties and investigate how these two plasticizers could enhance the properties of the films.

#### **2. Materials and Methods**

#### *2.1. Materials*

Carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC), methylcellulose (MC), polyethylene glycol (PEG 300 and PEG 1000), and glycerol were purchased from Millipore Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). Hemicellulose B (HB) was prepared in our lab (see detail below). Deionized water was obtained from the Milli-Q Advantage A10 ultrapure water purification system. All chemicals were reagent grade.

#### *2.2. Preparation of Hemicellulose B (HB)*

Hemicellulose B (HB) was extracted from corn bran using a modified version of the previously published methods [\[43](#page-11-13)[,47](#page-11-17)[,48\]](#page-11-18). Initially, ground and de-oiled corn bran was suspended in water and boiled at 85 °C with a pH of 6.80 in the presence of  $\alpha$ -amylase for one hour. The pH was then adjusted to 11.5 by adding 50% NaOH, and the hot reaction mixture was stirred for another half an hour. The hot slurry of the deconstructed corn bran was sheared at 10,000 rpm for 30 min and then cooled to room temperature. The mixture was centrifuged at  $14,000 \times g$  for 10 min, and the supernatant was collected. The pH of the supernatant was adjusted to about 4 to precipitate HA, which was collected by centrifugation. The supernatant obtained from the HA collection was used for HB preparation. To precipitate the hemicellulose B fraction, two volumes of ethanol were gradually added to the supernatant obtained after HA separation, with continuous stirring. The precipitated HB was filtered, washed with 100% ethanol three times to obtain pure HB, and dried in a vacuum oven at 50  $°C$ . The purity of HB was confirmed by a highperformance size exclusion chromatography (HPSEC) system, which was connected to a multi-angle laser light scattering photometer (MALLS) (Wyatt Technology, Santa Barbara, CA, USA) and RI detectors [\[40\]](#page-11-11).

#### *2.3. Preparation of HB/MC 90/10 and HB/CMC 60/40 Films*

To fabricate the films, the HB, MC, and CMC solutions were prepared separately in deionized water by adding their calculated amount (3.33%) and mixing overnight until a fully homogeneous solution was obtained. The solutions were combined in the 90:10, 80:20, 70:30, and 60:40 (HB: MC/CMC) ratios, stirred, and degassed overnight under a house vacuum (0.1 atm, 25 ◦C). The resulting mixtures were poured into 100 mm Teflon Petri dishes (30.0 g each) (Welch Fluorocarbon, Inc., Dover, NH, USA) and allowed to dry in an environmental chamber (Model 7900-33, Caron Scientific, Marietta, OH, USA); they

were dried (at (20  $\degree$ C and 50% RH)) for about a week until a consistent weight of 1.11 g was achieved. The dried films were peeled off and evaluated for strength and flexibility. An initial screening (based on these physical attributes) revealed that 90:10 (HB/MC) and 60:40 (HB/CMC) mass ratios performed the best. For plasticized films, the respective HB/MC or HB/CMC solutions were divided into ten parts in ten different flasks, with eight containing plasticizers (GLY or PEG) at 15% and 20% (*w*/*w*) levels and two without plasticizer serving as a control. Each solution was homogenized by stirring, degassed, poured into Teflon Petri dishes, dried, and assessed similarly. The dried films were stored in Ziplock bags in desiccators for further testing.

#### *2.4. Film Characterization*

#### 2.4.1. Physical Attributes

A scoring system from −2 to 2 was used to quantify the results of peel ability, foldability, transparency, and the appearance of air bubbles. Each film was scored based on the ease of peeling from a Petri dish. A score of −2 was given if the film could not peel from the Petri dish or it broke as the test was completed. Each film was folded softly at a bilateral angle to determine the foldability of the film. Complete breakage resulted in a −2, and no visible breakage resulted in a 2. Each film was placed up against a sign with black letters. The unclear appearance of the sign resulted in a score of −2. Complete visual transparency was assigned a score of 2. Each film was examined for the presence of air bubbles. A score of −2 was given if the film had many air bubbles present. A score of 2 was given if the film had no presence of air bubbles. The total score of all attributes was 8.

#### 2.4.2. Colorimetry

The digital colorimeter (PCE-CSM 1, PCE Americas Inc., Jupiter, FL, USA) was used to measure the hunter LAB properties of the film: L\* representing the whiteness, a\* representing the redness/greenness, and b\* representing the yellowness/blueness of each film. Films were placed on a sheet of standard white paper with L reference = 94.48, a reference = 0.41, and b reference = 0.03, and data were collected at three random spots. The collected data were used to calculate the whiteness index (WI), yellow index (YI), and total color difference (TCD), as described previously [\[49\]](#page-12-0).

#### 2.4.3. Film Thickness and Moisture

Film thickness ( $\mu$ m) was calculated using a 0–1"/0–25 mm Xtra-Value II Electronic micrometer (Fowler High Precision, Canton, MA, USA). Each sample was taken in triplicate for all films, where average values were calculated and reported. For the moisture content measurement, each film was placed in a Moisture Analyzer (Torbal ATS 133, Scientific Industries, Inc., Bohemia, NY, USA) at 120 ◦C until the end of the process, notified by the machine. The initial and end weights were recorded. The moisture percentage was calculated using the instrument's software.

#### *2.5. Physiochemical Properties of Films*

## 2.5.1. Oxygen Transmission

The oxygen transmission rate (OTR) of the films was measured using the OX-TRAN Model 1/50 (MOCON, Minneapolis, MN, USA), following the standard method ASTM D3985. The film samples were conditioned and mounted as a sealed barrier between two chambers. One chamber was purged with nitrogen (carrier gas), while the other contained oxygen (test gas). Oxygen permeated through the film into the nitrogen stream then was transported to a coulometric detector. The detector measured the amount of oxygen passing through the film per unit time. The OTR results were expressed in cubic centimeters per square meter per day (cc/m<sup>2</sup>/day) at 23 °C and 0% relative humidity (RH).

#### 2.5.2. Water Vapor Permeability

The water vapor transmission rate (WVTR) of the films was measured using the PERMATRAN-W Model (MOCON, Minneapolis, MN, USA), following the standard method ASTM E96/E96M. The film samples were mounted in a test cell. One side of the film was exposed to a humidity-controlled environment, while the other side was exposed to a dry condition. The amount of water vapor passing through the film was measured over time. The WVTR results were expressed in grams per square meter per day  $(g/m^2/day)$  at 23 °C and 50% RH.

#### *2.6. Mechanical Properties*

The mechanical properties of the films were determined according to the standard method ASTM D882. Films were cut into strips (20 mm  $\times$  40 mm) and placed in a desiccator for 48 h at 22 °C and 50% relative humidity (RH) by using saturated potassium chloride (KCl) solution. Tests were performed in Texture analyzer TA. XT+ (Stable Micro Systems, Godalming, Surrey, UK). The film strip's initial length was set to 21 mm then stretched at a constant velocity of 2 mm/min until reaching a breaking point. The stress–strain curves were computer-recorded by the software Exponent (version 2.64), and other mechanical properties were calculated based on these curves [\[22](#page-11-19)[,47\]](#page-11-17).

#### *2.7. Statistical Analysis*

All experiments were replicated three times, and data were reported as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. One-way ANOVA with post hoc Turkey's test was conducted using the Graph-Pad software (GraphPad Prism 7.0 USA).

#### **3. Results and Discussion**

Our initial testing found that the glycerol level affects the mechanical properties of the films. Therefore, we chose two plasticizer concentrations, 15% and 20%. Furthermore, we analyzed and assessed 36 biofilms incorporated with three different plasticizers, glycerol, sorbitol, and polyethylene glycols (PEG 300 and PEG 1000), based on their physicochemical properties. Subsequently, we narrowed down to 10 best-performing films, i.e., HB/MC 90/10, HB/MC + 15% glycerol, HB/MC + 20% glycerol, HB/MC + 15% PEG 1000, HB/MC + 20% PEG 1000, HB/CMC 60/40, HB/CMC + 15% glycerol, HB/CMC + 20% glycerol, HB/CMC + 15% PEG 300, and HB/CMC + 20% PEG 300, for further investigation, as documented in this paper.

#### *3.1. Physical Attributes*

Figure [1](#page-5-0) shows the physical attributes of the films scored as described above. For the HB/MC 90/10 films, adding plasticizers improved peel ability, foldability, and transparency. Notably, the film containing 20% glycerol achieved the highest total score of 7 (Figure [1\)](#page-5-0). In the case of HB/CMC 60/40 films, incorporating glycerol and PEG 300 at varying percentages positively impacted all physical attributes, particularly enhancing foldability compared to the control. Films with PEG 300 showed a maximum total score of 8 (Figure [1\)](#page-5-0). These findings highlighted the importance of plasticizers in optimizing film properties for potential applications.

The physical attributes of the films, including peel ability, foldability, and transparency, were significantly improved with the addition of plasticizers. Notably, the HB/MC 90/ 10 films containing 20% glycerol achieved the highest total score for these attributes. The HB/CMC 60/40 films with varying percentages of glycerol and PEG 300 also showed enhanced foldability and overall usability.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

**Figure 1.** Physical attributes of HB/MC 90/10 and HB/CMC 60/40 films. Data are mean ± standard **Figure 1.** Physical attributes of HB/MC 90/10 and HB/CMC 60/40 films. Data are mean ± standard deviation ( $n = 3$ ). Data sharing the same letter are not statistically significantly different ( $p > 0.05$ ).

# *3.2. Film Color 3.2. Film Color*

Tabl[e 1](#page-5-1) shows the color analysis of the films studied. For the HB/MC 90/10 films, the Table 1 shows the color analysis of the films studied. For the HB/MC 90/10 films, the control film (HB/MC) exhibited moderate lightness ( $L = 86.29$ ) and a slightly yellowish hue  $h_{\text{a}} = 2.34$ , b = 15.60). When 15% glycerol was added, the film became more yellow (yellow index: 28.96) and showed an overall color difference of 112.35 (TCD). The 20% glycerol film maintained similar lightness but showed a more pronounced yellow tint (b = 17.99). Films  $\frac{1}{2}$ with 15% PEG 1000 had lower lightness (L = 84.73) and higher yellowness (yellow index:<br>20.000 N L LL LL 2000 PEG 1000 6U 30.98). Notably, the 20% PEG 1000 film presented the highest color difference (TCD was  $132.93$ ). 132.9[1\)](#page-5-1), indicating significant color alteration compared to the control film (Table 1).

<span id="page-5-1"></span>Table 1. Color analysis for HB/MC 90/10 and HB/CMC 60/40 films at various levels of plasticizers.

<b>Film Composition</b>	L*	$a^*$	$h^*$	Whiteness Index	<b>Yellowness</b> Index	<b>Total Color</b> Difference (TCD)
HB/MC 90/10	$86.29 \pm 0.16$	$2.34 + 0.01$	$15.60 + 0.02$	$79.10 + 0.91$	$25.82 + 1.01$	$92.70 \pm 1.02$
$HB/MC + 15\%$ GLY	$85.36 + 0.39$ <sup>a</sup>	$2.59 + 0.02$ <sup>a</sup>	$17.30 + 0.04$ <sup>a</sup>	$77.19 + 0.02$ <sup>a</sup>	$28.96 + 0.92$ <sup>a</sup>	$112.35 + 1.07^{\text{a}}$
$HB/MC + 20\%$ GLY	$85.67 + 0.21$ <sup>a</sup>	$2.65 + 0.01$ <sup>a</sup>	$17.99 + 0.16$ <sup>a</sup>	$76.85 + 0.44$ ba	$30.00 + 0.23$ <sup>a</sup>	$107.55 \pm 1.04$ <sup>a</sup>
HB/MC + 15% PEG 1000	$84.73 + 0.32$ <sup>b</sup>	$2.96 \pm 0.01$	$18.37 \pm 0.05$	75.92 $\pm$ 0.43 <sup>a</sup>	$30.98 \pm 0.34$ <sup>a</sup>	$127.67 + 1.11$
HB/MC + 20% PEG 1000	$84.38 + 0.42^{\mathrm{b}}$	$2.65 + 0.02$ <sup>a</sup>	$17.99 + 0.11$ <sup>a</sup>	$76.03 \pm 0.33$ <sup>a</sup>	$30.46 + 0.11$ <sup>a</sup>	$132.91 + 1.21$
HB/CMC 60/40	$86.09 + 0.09$	$1.181 + 0.05$	$11.65 \pm 0.19$ A	$81.77 + 1.08$ <sup>A</sup>	$19.33 \pm 1.15$ <sup>A</sup>	$14.99 + 0.07$
$HB/CMC + 15\%$ GLY	$87.68 + 0.17$ <sup>A</sup>	$1.49 \pm 0.021$	$11.65 + 0.15$ <sup>A</sup>	$82.98 + 1.12^{\text{A}}$	$18.98 + 1.2^{\text{A}}$	$64.10 + 1.32$ <sup>A</sup>
$HB/CMC + 20\%$ GLY	$88.00 \pm 0.18$	$1.56 \pm 0.031$ <sup>A</sup>	$11.72 \pm 0.18$ <sup>A</sup>	$83.15 + 1.22$ <sup>A</sup>	$19.03 + 1.18$ <sup>A</sup>	$59.91 + 0.92$
HB/CMC + 15% PEG 300	$87.66 \pm 0.20$ <sup>A</sup>	$1.51\pm0.032$ A	$11.75 \pm 0.11$ A	$82.89 \pm 1.31$ <sup>A</sup>	$19.15 \pm 1.22$ A	64.59 $\pm$ 0.33 <sup>A</sup>
HB/CMC + 20% PEG 300	$87.41 + 0.26$ <sup>A</sup>	$1.53 + 0.032$ <sup>A</sup>	$11.95 + 0.12$	$82.57 + 1.11^{\text{A}}$	$19.54 + 1.31$ <sup>A</sup>	$68.58 + 0.81$

\* Data are represented as means ( $n = 3$ )  $\pm$  standard deviations. Values sharing the same letter within the same film series (and in the same column) indicate that the differences are statistically insignificant ( $p > 0.05$ ).

For the HB/CMC  $60/40$  series (Table 1), the control film (HB/CMC) exhibited moderate lightness ( $L = 86.09$ ) and a slightly yellowish hue (a = 1.181, b = 11.65). When 15% glycerol was used, the film became more yellow (yellow index: 18.98) and showed a reduced overall color difference (TCD) of 64.10. The 20% glycerol film retained similar light-300 had lower lightness ( $L = 87.66$ ) and slightly higher yellowness (yellow index: 19.15). Inness but displayed a more pronounced yellow tint ( $b = 11.72$ ). Films containing 15% PEG

terestingly, the 20% PEG 300 film displayed a higher color difference of 68.58 (TCD). These results highlighted the impact of plasticizers on the films' color and whiteness, providing valuable insights for further analysis.

Results from the color analysis revealed that plasticizers significantly changed the Results from the color analysis revealed that plasticizers significantly changed the films' lightness and yellowness. For instance, the HB/MC 90/10 films with 15% and 20% glycerol exhibited more pronounced yellow tints and higher total color differences than the glycerol exhibited more pronounced yellow tints and higher total color differences than control. Similarly, the HB/CMC 60/40 films showed variations in lightness and yellowness, with plasticizers leading to noticeable color alterations. These color changes are notable considera[tion](#page-12-0)s for the films' aesthetic appeal in consumer applications [49].

# *3.3. Film Thickness and Moisture Content 3.3. Film Thickness and Moisture Content*

Figure 2 shows the films' thickness and moisture content measurements. The plasti-Figure [2](#page-6-0) shows the films' thickness and moisture content measurements. The plasticizer increased the film thickness in the HB/MC 90/10 films, ranging from 211 to 268 µm, cizer increased the film thickness in the HB/MC 90/10 films, ranging from 211 to 268 µm, approximately 13% to 44%, compared to the control film thickness of 186 µm, shown in approximately 13% to 44%, compared to the control film thickness of 186 µm, shown in Figure 2. Similarly, including plasticizers impacted the thickness of the HB/CMC 60/ Figure [2.](#page-6-0) Similarly, including plasticizers impacted the thickness of the HB/CMC 60/40 40 films. The thickness of these films ranged from 165 µm to 199 µm, with a percentage films. The thickness of these films ranged from 165 µm to 199 µm, with a percentage inincrease from the control film thickness (165  $\mu$ m) ranging from 0.01% to 20%.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

**Figure 2.** Thickness and moisture content (%) of HB/MC 90/10 and HB/CMC 60/40 films. Data are **Figure 2.** Thickness and moisture content (%) of HB/MC 90/10 and HB/CMC 60/40 films. Data are mean  $\pm$  standard deviation ( $n = 3$ ). Data sharing the same letter are not statistically significantly different (*p* > 0.05). different (*p* > 0.05).

The results showed a marked increase in film thickness with higher plasticizer concentrations, regardless of the type of plasticizer used. This effect is likely due to plasticizers disrupting and reorganizing the intermolecular polymer chain networks, resulting in more disrupting and reorganizing the intermolecular polymer chain networks, resulting in more<br>free volume and, thus, thicker films. Previous investigations reported similar observations on the impact of plasticizer concentration on film thickness [\[50–](#page-12-1)[56\]](#page-12-2).

Regarding the moisture content, the HB/MC 90/10 films containing 15% glycerol and 15% PEG 1000 exhibited lower moisture levels than the control. For the HB/CMC  $60/$ films, those containing PEG 300 (at 15% and 20%) also showed reduced moisture content 40 films, those containing PEG 300 (at 15% and 20%) also showed reduced moisture content than the control film (Figu[re](#page-6-0) 2). PEG-plasticized films showed lower moisture content than the control film (Figure 2). PEG-plasticized films showed lower moisture content than than glycerol-plasticized films, which is due to the lowered molecular weight of glycerol glycerol-plasticized films, which is due to the lowered molecular weight of glycerol and is more hygroscopic than PEGs. Incorporating GLY and PEG into the biopolymer films produced a more flexible and less dense polymer matrix. This structural modification diminishes the film's ability to absorb and retain moisture, as the free hydroxyl groups that typically attract water molecules are either occupied by hydrogen bonds with the

plasticizers or are less accessible due to the increased free volume. Consequently, the reduced moisture content in the films lowers the risk of microbial growth and spoilage, reduced moisture content in the films lowers the risk of microbial growth and spoilage, thereby enhancing the protective qualities of the films [\[57\]](#page-12-3). thereby enhancing the protective qualities of the films [57].

# *3.4. Physiochemical Properties of Films 3.4. Physiochemical Properties of Films*

# 3.4.1. Oxygen Transmission 3.4.1. Oxygen Transmission

Oxygen permeability is a crucial parameter in evaluating the effectiveness of food Oxygen permeability is a crucial parameter in evaluating the effectiveness of food packaging materials. When environmental oxygen penetrates the packaging material, it packaging materials. When environmental oxygen penetrates the packaging material, it can cause fatty acid oxidation in the packaged food, leading to quality deterioration and a can cause fatty acid oxidation in the packaged food, leading to quality deterioration and shorter [s](#page-7-0)helf life. Figure 3 provides detailed oxygen transmission profiles for the film samples. Notably, films containing HB/MC with varying plasticizer types and concentrations exhibit higher oxygen permeability than the control. Likewise, plasticizer types and levels also elevated the oxygen permeability of HB/CMC 60/40 films compared to the control samples (Figure [3\)](#page-7-0).  $e_3$ ).

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

**Figure 3.** Oxygen and water vapor permeability of HB/MC 90/10 and HB/CMC 60/40 films. Data are **Figure 3.** Oxygen and water vapor permeability of HB/MC 90/10 and HB/CMC 60/40 films. Data are mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (*n* = 3). Data sharing the same letter are not statistically significantly different (*p* > 0.05). different (*p* > 0.05).

Although water-soluble plasticizers improve the mechanical properties, they affect Although water-soluble plasticizers improve the mechanical properties, they affect the barrier properties, as seen in our films. An earlier study [28] showed that the plasticizer the barrier properties, as seen in our films. An earlier study [\[28\]](#page-11-3) showed that the plasticizer concentration below 10% improves the water vapor permeability but negatively affects it at a higher than 10% concentration. Higher plasticizer concentrations mean excess plasticizers, generating more affinity to water. More affinitive water molecules break chain-to-chain interactions, introducing more free volume and causing higher water vapor permeability. Figure [3](#page-7-0) also suggests that plasticizers negatively influenced the oxygen permeability of both HB/MC and HB/CMC films compared to the control films studied in this work. This change is attributed to the addition of plasticizers, which reduces hydrogen bonding between polymer chains, making the structure less dense and more flexible. This increases free volume by disrupting the tight packing of polymer chains, allowing oxygen molecules to move more freely.

Additionally, plasticizers enhance the mobility of polymer chains, facilitating the diffusion of oxygen molecules. These changes collectively increased oxygen permeability when plasticizers were added to polymers [\[58\]](#page-12-4). Nevertheless, glycerol-containing films showed exceptional oxygen permeability values, consistent with previous studies on MC and MC/beeswax composite films [\[59](#page-12-5)[,60\]](#page-12-6).

Even though the oxygen permeability of our HB/MC and HB/CMC films was higher due to the inclusion of plasticizers, it still fell within an acceptable range for some food packaging applications. Compared to commercial biodegradable films [\[18](#page-10-9)[,61](#page-12-7)[,62\]](#page-12-8), our films exhibit comparable or slightly higher permeability values, which can be mitigated using additional barriers or coatings, such as nitrocellulose lacquer, to improve cellophane films' permeability. Despite this, the films offer significant environmental benefits by utilizing agricultural by-products, contributing to waste reduction, and promoting sustainability. A trade-off between slightly higher permeability and environmental advantages makes these films a viable option for eco-friendly packaging solutions. Furthermore, the cost-effectiveness and availability of the raw materials used in our films enhance their practicality for real-world applications, aligning with circular economy principles and supporting the transition to more sustainable packaging practices.

#### 3.4.2. Water Vapor Permeability (WVP)

The films' water vapor permeability (WVP) varied between 73 and 210 gm/mˆ2/day (Figure [3\)](#page-7-0), depending on the film composition, plasticizer type, and concentration. Methylcellulose (MC)-based films are more hydrophilic than carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC), causing the lowered WVP in the CMC-based films. This difference might be attributed to the three-dimensional dense structures of the CMC-based films. The incorporation of plasticizer increased the WVP of all films. Our results are consistent with previous studies speculating that incorporating plasticizers can create hydrogen bonds with hydrophilic parts of the polymers, reducing the associated free volume. As a result, plasticizer helps increase the mobility of polymer chains and allows for greater diffusion of water molecules through the film matrix [\[63–](#page-12-9)[65\]](#page-12-10).

#### 3.4.3. Mechanical Properties

The films exhibited improved mechanical properties when plasticizers (GLY and PEG) were used, including tensile stress, elongation, elastic modulus, and toughness. These plasticizer molecules likely inserted themselves between the HB and MC/CMC chains, disrupting the existing hydrogen bonds and creating new interactions with the hydroxyl groups of the plasticizers. This disruption increased the free volume within the polymer matrix, making the films more flexible and less brittle. However, the interaction between HB and MC was relatively weak due to MC's limited hydrogen bonding capacity, which may explain the moderate improvements seen in the HB/MC films' mechanical properties [\[66](#page-12-11)[,67\]](#page-12-12).

In comparison, the incorporation of plasticizers into HB/CMC films led to significant structural changes in the film matrix. The plasticizers disrupted the hydrogen bonds between HB and CMC, but the carboxymethyl groups in CMC facilitated the formation of new hydrogen bonds with the plasticizers. This resulted in a more flexible and cohesive polymer network, enhancing mechanical properties such as tensile strength, elongation, and toughness. The higher compatibility between HB and CMC due to these strong intermolecular hydrogen bonds was evident in the superior mechanical performance of the HB/CMC films. The HB/CMC films exhibited more pronounced improvements in mechanical properties than the HB/MC films (Figure [4\)](#page-9-0). In our study, the highest elongation at break was 137.17% for film HB/CMC (with 20% PEG), which exceeded previous investigations such as 64% for sugarcane bagasse [\[68](#page-12-13)[–73\]](#page-12-14). However, the maximum tensile strength in our aforesaid blend films was 10.45 MP, which was much higher than curaura fiber-based films (2.22 MP) [\[71\]](#page-12-15) and comparable to wheat straw-based films (12.00 MP) [\[72\]](#page-12-16). Overall, our blend films showed a 10–65% increase in tensile strength when compared to the control films. This trend can be attributed to the stronger intermolecular hydrogen bonding between HB and CMC and cross-linking potential, further stabilized by the plasticizers [\[66](#page-12-11)[,67\]](#page-12-12) and underscoring the importance of the chemical compatibility between the biopolymers and

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

the plasticizers [\[74,](#page-13-0)[75\]](#page-13-1). Increasing the plasticizer concentration also showed a consistent increase in elongation for all cases. also showed a consistent increase in elongation for all cases. the plasticizers [66,67] and underscoring the importance of the chemical compatibility be- $[74,75]$ . Increasing the plasticizer concentration also showed a consistent

**Figure 4.** Mechanical strength for HB/MC 90/10 and HB/CMC 60/40 films. Data are mean ± standard **Figure 4.** Mechanical strength for HB/MC 90/10 and HB/CMC 60/40 films. Data are mean ± standard deviation ( $n = 3$ ). Data sharing the same letter are not statistically significantly different ( $p > 0.05$ ).

Moreover, a p[revi](#page-13-0)ous study [74] indicated that in biomacromolecules, mechanical Moreover, a previous study [74] indicated that in biomacromolecules, mechanical strength depends primarily on the formation and stability of hydrogen bonds within their strength depends primarily on the formation and stability of hydrogen bonds within their structures. In sum, our results were consistent with previous investigations, which have structures. In sum, our results were consistent with previous investigations, which have shown that plasticizers can decrease tensile stress and Young's modulus while increasing shown that plasticizers can decrease tensile stress and Young's modulus while increasing percent elongation, toughness, and resistance to cracking, thereby improving the overall percent elongation, toughness, and resistance to cracking, thereby improving the overall mechanical performance of the polymer [76,77]. mechanical performance of the polymer [\[76](#page-13-2)[,77\]](#page-13-3).

Despite these promising results, this study has some limitations. The films' water vapor permeability (WVP) suggests that additional hydrophobic functionality is needed to enhance this property. While high plasticizer concentrations reduce film brittleness, targeting a lower plasticizer concentration (≈10%) could help decrease processing costs, provided that acceptable mechanical strength is maintained. Moreover, the long-term  $\frac{1}{2}$ references the plasticized films were not assessed. Future studies stability and biodegradability of the plasticized films were not assessed. Future studies should investigate these films' environmental impact and degradation behavior over 89]. The plasticized films' inferior WVP and ambient enhanced physical and mechanical time [\[17,](#page-10-10)[77–](#page-13-3)[89\]](#page-13-4). The plasticized films' inferior WVP and ambient enhanced physical and mechanical properties indicate much improvement needs to be considered and researched.

#### **4. Conclusions**

 $T_{\rm{F}}$  study demonstrated that combining hemicellulose (HB), an agricultural by-This study demonstrated that combining hemicellulose (HB), an agricultural by-<br>ust from the serre milling are seen and sellulose derivatives (MC and CMC) with propriate process, and centure derivatives (the and experimental with  $\frac{1}{2}$ appropriate plasticizers created food packaging films with excellent physical attributes product from the corn-milling process, and cellulose derivatives (MC and CMC) with and mechanical properties, paving the way for their potential application in sustainable packaging solutions. Despite their less-than-optimal water and oxygen permeability performance, these films hold immense economic and environmental promise. This research will continue to explore the use of other natural additives from agricultural processes to improve and refine these critical qualities. Additionally, further comprehensive testing of these films' long-term stability, cost-effectiveness, and production scalability is necessary to validate these findings fully for broader applications.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, S.A.H., M.P.Y., B.K.S. and T.Z.J.; Methodology, S.A.H. and B.K.S.; Validation, P.X.Q.; Formal analysis, P.X.Q. and T.Z.J.; Investigation, S.A.H.; Resources, M.P.Y., B.K.S. and T.Z.J.; Data curation, P.X.Q.; Writing—original draft, S.A.H.; Writing—review & editing, M.P.Y., B.K.S., P.X.Q. and T.Z.J.; Visualization, P.X.Q.; Supervision, T.Z.J.; Funding acquisition, T.Z.J. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** No external funding and no other information to be added.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data is contained within the article.

**Acknowledgments:** This work was supported by the USDA-ARS Postdoctoral Research Associate Program. The authors acknowledge Claire Bartz for contributing to the initial film formulation experiments and Stefanie Simon for her technical assistance.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-10-0"></span>1. Plastics Europe. Plastics—The Facts 2019. *European Association of Plastics Recycling & Recovery Oranganisations (EPRO)*. Available online: <https://plasticseurope.org/knowledge-hub/plastics-the-facts-2019> (accessed on 17 October 2019).
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>2. Aryan, Y.; Yadav, P.; Samadder, S.R. Life Cycle Assessment of the existing and proposed plastic waste management options in India: A case study. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2019**, *211*, 1268–1283. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.11.236)
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>3. Mangaraj, S.; Yadav, A.; Bal, L.M.; Dash, S.K.; Mahanti, N.K. Application of biodegradable polymers in food packaging industry: A comprehensive review. *J. Packag. Technol. Res.* **2019**, *3*, 77–96. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41783-018-0049-y)
- 4. Astner, A.F.; Hayes, D.G.; O'Neill, H.; Evans, B.R.; Pingali, S.V.; Urban, V.S.; Young, T.M. Mechanical formation of micro and nano-plastic materials for environmental studies in agricultural ecosystems. *Sci. Total. Environ.* **2019**, *685*, 1097–1106. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.241)
- 5. Allen, D.; Allen, S.; Abbasi, S.; Baker, A.; Bergmann, M.; Brahney, J.; Butler, T.; Duce, R.A.; Eckhardt, S.; Evangeliou, N.; et al. Microplastics and nanoplastics in the marine-atmosphere environment. *Nat. Rev. Earth. Environ.* **2022**, *3*, 393–405. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00292-x)
- 6. Li, W.; Wufuer, R.; Duo, J.; Wang, S.; Luo, Y.; Zhang, D.; Pan, X. Microplastics in agricultural soils: Extraction and characterization after different periods of polythene film mulching in an arid region. *Sci. Total. Environ.* **2020**, *749*, 141420. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141420)
- 7. Zhu, K.; Jia, H.; Sun, Y.; Dai, Y.; Zhang, C.; Guo, X.; Wang, T.; Zhu, L. Long-term phototransformation of microplastics under simulated sunlight irradiation in aquatic environments: Roles of reactive oxygen species. *Water Res.* **2020**, *173*, 115564. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.115564)
- 8. Liu, Q.; Chen, Z.; Chen, Y.; Yang, F.; Yao, W.; Xie, Y. Microplastics and nanoplastics: Emergingcontaminants in food. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2021**, *69*, 10450–10468. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.1c04199) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34473500)
- 9. Huang, Y.; Liu, Q.; Jia, W.; Yan, C.; Wang, J. Agricultural plastic mulching as a source of microplastics in the terrestrial environment. *Environ. Pollut.* **2020**, *260*, 114096. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114096)
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>10. Chamas, A.; Moon, H.; Zheng, J.; Qiu, Y.; Tabassum, T.; Jang, J.H.; Abu-Omar, M.; Scott, S.L.; Suh, S. Degradation Rates of Plastics in the Environment. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2020**, *8*, 3494–3511. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b06635)
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>11. Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce & Industry (FICCI). Knowledge Paper on New Horizons for Indian Plastics Processing Industry. Available online: <https://ficci.in/public/storage/SPDocument/20512/Kowledge-charu.pdf> (accessed on 8 December 2014).
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>12. Foolmaun, R.K.; Ramjeeawon, T. Disposal of post-consumer polyethylene terephthalate (PET) bottles: Comparison of five disposal alternatives in the small island state of Mauritius using a life cycle assessment tool. *Environ. Technol.* **2012**, *33*, 563–572. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2011.586055)
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>13. Luckachan, G.E.; Pillai, C.K.S. Biodegradable polymers—A review on recent trends and emerging perspectives. *J. Polym. Environ.* **2011**, *19*, 637–676. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-011-0317-1)
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>14. Ivonkovic, A.; Zeljko, K.; Talic, S.; Lasic, M. Biodegradable packaging in the food industry. *J. Food Saf. Food Qual.* **2017**, *68*, 23–38. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2376/0003-925X-68-26)
- 15. van den Oever, M.; Molenveld, K.; van der Zee, M.; Bos, H. *Bio-Based and Biodegradable Plastics—Facts and Figures: Focus on Food Packaging in the Netherlands*; Report No. 1722; Wageningen Food & Biobased Research: Wageningen, The Netherlands, 2017; p. 65. Available online: <https://edepot.wur.nl/408350> (accessed on 1 April 2017).
- 16. Ahvenainen, R. *Novel Food Packaging Techniques*; Ahvenainen, R., Ed.; CRC Press LLC: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2003.
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>17. Shah, Y.A.; Bhatia, S.; Al-Harrasi, A.; Khan, T.S. Advancements in the biopolymer films for food packaging applications: A short review. *Biotechnol. Sustain. Mater.* **2024**, *1*, 2. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s44316-024-00002-1)
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>18. Jahangiri, F.; Mohanty, A.K.; Misra, M. Sustainable biodegradable coatings for food packaging: Challenges and opportunities. *Green Chem.* **2024**, *26*, 4934–4974. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/D3GC02647G)
- 19. Wanjuu, C.W. Biodegradable Cellulose Films as Alternatives to Plastics. Master's Thesis, South Dekota State University, Brookings, SD, USA, 2020.
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>20. Bhattarai, S. Preparation and Characterization of Cellulose-Based Biodegradable Films from Switchgrass and Spent Coffee Grounds. Master's Thesis, South Dakota State University, Brookings, SD, USA, 2022.
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>21. Jin, T.; Gurtler, J.B. Inactivation of *Salmonella* on tomato stem scars by edible chitosan and organic acid coatings. *J. Food Prot.* **2012**, *75*, 1368–1372. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-12-054)
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>22. Jin, T.Z.; Liu, L.S. Roles of green polymer materials in active packaging. In *Innovative Uses of Agricultural Products and Byproducts*; ACS Symposium Series; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, USA, 2020; Volume 1347, pp. 83–107.
- 23. Paudel, S.; Regmi, S.; Janaswamy, S. Effect of glycerol and sorbitol on cellulose-based biodegradable films. *Food Packag. Shelf Life* **2023**, *37*, 101090. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fpsl.2023.101090)
- 24. Ahmed, S.; Janaswamy, S. Strong and biodegradable films from avocado peel fiber. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2023**, *201*, 116926. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2023.116926)
- 25. Scaffaro, R.; Maio, A.; Gammino, M. Hybrid biocomposites based on polylactic acid and natural fillers from *Chamaerops humilis* dwarf palm and *Posidonia oceanica* leaves. *Adv. Compos. Hybrid Mater.* **2022**, *5*, 1988–2001. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42114-022-00534-y)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>26. Xiong, J.; Hu, Q.; Wu, J.; Jia, Z.; Ge, S.; Cao, Y.; Zhou, J.; Wang, Y.; Yan, J.; Xie, L.; et al. Structurally stable electrospun nanofibrous cellulose acetate/chitosan biocomposite membranes for the removal of chromium ions from the polluted water. *Adv. Compos. Hybrid Mater.* **2023**, *6*, 99. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42114-023-00680-x)
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>27. Pang, J.; Wu, M.; Zhang, Q.; Tan, X.; Xu, F.; Zhang, X.; Sun, R. Comparison of physical properties of regenerated cellulose films fabricated with different cellulose feedstocks in ionic liquid. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2015**, *121*, 71–78. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.11.067)
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>28. Zhang, P.; Whistler, R.L. Mechanical properties and water vapor permeability of thin film from corn hull arabinoxylan. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2004**, *93*, 2896–2902. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/app.20910)
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>29. Ahmad, N.; Tayyeb, D.; Ali, I.; Alruwaili, N.K.; Ahmad, W.; ur Rehman, A.; Khan, A.H.; Iqbal, M.S. Development and Characterization of Hemicellulose-Based Films for Antibacterial Wound-Dressing Application. *Polymers* **2020**, *12*, 548. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12030548) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32138203)
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>30. Zhao, Y.; Sun, H.; Yang, B.; Weng, Y. Hemicellulose-based film: Potential green films for food packaging. *Polymers* **2020**, *12*, 1775. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12081775) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32784786)
- 31. Bourbon, A.I.; Costa, M.J.; Maciel, L.C.; Pastrana, L.; Vicente, A.A.; Cerqueira, M.A. Active carboxymethylcellulose-based edible films: Influence of free and encapsulated curcumin on films' properties. *Foods* **2021**, *10*, 1512. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10071512)
- 32. Yao, Y.; Sun, Z.; Li, X.; Tang, Z.; Li, X.; Morrell, J.J.; Liu, Y.; Li, C.; Luo, Z. Effects of raw material source on the properties of CMC composite films. *Polymers* **2021**, *14*, 32. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14010032)
- 33. Rahman, M.S.; Hasan, M.S.; Nitai, A.S.; Nam, S.; Karmakar, A.K.; Ahsan, M.S.; Shiddiky, M.J.A.; Ahmed, M.B. Recent developments of carboxymethyl cellulose. *Polymers* **2021**, *13*, 1345. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13081345)
- 34. Dürig, T.; Karan, K. Binders in Wet Granulation. In *Handbook of Pharmaceutical Wet Granulation: Theory and Practice in a Quality by Design Paradigm*; Narang, A.S., Badawy, S.I.F., Eds.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2018; Chapter 9; pp. 317–349.
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>35. Liu, G.; Shi, K.; Sun, H. Research progress in hemicellulose-based nanocomposite film as food packaging. *Polymers* **2023**, *15*, 979. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym15040979)
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>36. Sun, S.; Sun, S.; Cao, X.; Sun, R. The role of pretreatment in improving the enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulosic materials. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2016**, *199*, 49–58. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.08.061)
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>37. Saleem, M. Possibility of utilizing agriculture biomass as a renewable and sustainable future energy source. *Heliyon* **2022**, *8*, e08905. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e08905)
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>38. Doner, L.W.; Hicks, K.B. Isolation of hemicellulose from corn fiber by alkaline hydrogen peroxide extraction. *Cereal Chem.* **1997**, *74*, 176–181. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1094/CCHEM.1997.74.2.176)
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>39. Hicks, K.B.; Moreau, R.A.; Johnston, D.B.; Doner, L.W.; Fishman, M.L.; Dien, B.S. Nutraceuticals and novel food ingredients from corn milling by-products. In Proceedings of the 225th Annual Meeting of the American Chemical Society, New Orleans, LA, USA, 23–27 March 2003.
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>40. Yadav, M.P.; Hicks, K.B.; Johnston, D.B.; Hanah, K.A.; Shukla, T.P. Bio-Based Fiber Gums (BFGs) and Processes for Producing BFGs. US94,347,88 B2, 6 September 2016.
- 41. Yadav, M.P.; Kale, M.S.; Hicks, K.B.; Hanah, K. Isolation, characterization and the functional properties of cellulosic arabinoxylan fiber isolated from agricultural processing by-products, agricultural residues and energy crops. *Food Hydrocoll.* **2017**, *63*, 545–551. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2016.09.022)
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>42. Yadav, M.P.; Hicks, K.B.; Johnston, D.B.; Hanah, K.A.; Kale, M.S. Insoluble Biomass Gel (IBG) and Methods of Preparing. US10,595,551 B2, 24 March 2020.
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>43. Yadav, M.P.; Hicks, K.B. Isolation, characterization and functionalities of bio-fiber gums isolated from grain processing byproducts, agricultural residues and energy crops. *Food Hydrocoll.* **2018**, *78*, 120–127. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2017.04.009)
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>44. Yadav, M.P.; Hanah, K.A.; Kale, M.S. Methods of Decreasing the Viscosity of a Dietary Fiber. US10,226,063 B2, 12 March 2019.
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>45. Stoklosa, R.J.; Latona, R.J.; Bonnaillie, L.M.; Yadav, M.P. Evaluation of arabinoxylan isolated from sorghum bran, biomass, and bagasse for film formation. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2019**, *213*, 382–392. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2019.03.018) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30879682)
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>46. Amaral, P.F.F.; Ferreira, T.F.; Fontes, G.C.; Coelho, M.A.Z. Glycerol valorization: New biotechnological routes. *Food Bioprod. Process.* **2009**, *87*, 179–186. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbp.2009.03.008)
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>47. Guo, M.; Jin, T.Z.; Yadav, M.P.; Yang, R. Antimicrobial property and microstructure of micro-emulsion edible composite films against *Listeria*. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2015**, *208*, 58–64. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2015.03.018)
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>48. Yadav, M.P.; Strahan, G.D.; Mukhopadhyay, S.; Hotchkiss, A.T., Jr.; Hicks, K.B. Formation of corn fiber gum–milk protein conjugates and their molecular characterization. *Food Hydrocoll.* **2012**, *26*, 326–333. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2011.02.032)
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>49. Nunes, C.; Silva, M.; Farinha, D.; Sales, H.; Pontes, R.; Nunes, J. Edible coatings and future trends in active food packaging—fruits' and traditional sausages' shelf life increasing. *Foods* **2023**, *12*, 3308. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12173308) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37685240)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>50. Galdeano, M.C.; Mali, S.; Grossmann, M.V.E.; Yamashita, F.; García, M.A. Effects of plasticizers on the properties of oat starch films. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* **2009**, *29*, 532–538. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2008.09.034)
- 51. Mohammed, A.A.B.A.; Hasan, Z.; Omran, A.A.B.; Elfaghi, A.M.; Khattak, M.A.; Ilyas, R.A.; Sapuan, S.M. Effect of various plasticizers in different concentrations on physical, thermal, mechanical, and structural properties of wheat starch-based films. *Polymers* **2022**, *15*, 63. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym15010063)
- 52. Razavi, S.M.A.; Amini, A.M.; Zahedi, Y. Characterisation of a new biodegradable edible film based on sage seed gum: Influence of plasticiser type and concentration. *Food Hydrocoll.* **2015**, *43*, 290–298. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2014.05.028)
- 53. Jouki, M.; Khazaei, N.; Ghasemlou, M.; HadiNezhad, M. Effect of glycerol concentration on edible film production from cress seed carbohydrate gum. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2013**, *96*, 39–46. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.03.077) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23688452)
- 54. Ahmadi, R.; Kalbasi-Ashtari, A.; Oromiehie, A.; Yarmand, M.-S.; Jahandideh, F. Development and characterization of a novel biodegradable edible film obtained from psyllium seed (*Plantago ovata Forsk*). *J. Food Eng.* **2012**, *109*, 745–751. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2011.11.010)
- 55. Ghasemlou, M.; Khodaiyan, F.; Oromiehie, A. Physical, mechanical, barrier, and thermal properties of polyol-plasticized biodegradable edible film made from kefiran. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2011**, *84*, 477–483. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.12.010)
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>56. Imran, M.; El-Fahmy, S.; Revol-Junelles, A.-M.; Desobry, S. Cellulose derivative based active coatings: Effects of nisin and plasticizer on physico-chemical and antimicrobial properties of hydroxypropyl methylcellulose films. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2010**, *81*, 219–225. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.02.021)
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>57. Sanyang, M.L.; Sapuan, S.M.; Jawaid, M.; Ishak, M.R.; Sahari, J. Effect of plasticizer type and concentration on physical properties of biodegradable films based on sugar palm (*Arenga pinnata*) starch for food packaging. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *53*, 326–336. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-015-2009-7)
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>58. Sothornvit, R.; Krochta, J.M. Plasticizer effect on oxygen permeability of β-lactoglobulin films. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2000**, *48*, 6298–6302. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf000836l) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11312802)
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>59. Nguyen, T.L.; Nguyen, P.K.V.; Nguyen, H.T.; Nguyen, V.K.; Pham, T.T.H.; Nguyen, T.T. Effects of plasticizers on mechanical properties, oxygen permeability, and microstructural characteristics of HPMC/Beeswax composite film. *Nano Hybrids Compos.* **2021**, *32*, 25–34. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/NHC.32.25)
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>60. Donhowe, I.G.; Fennema, O. The effects of plasticizers on crystallinity, permeability, and mechanical properties of methylcellulose films. *J. Food Process. Preserv.* **1993**, *17*, 247–257. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4549.1993.tb00729.x)
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>61. Cinelli, P.; Schmid, M.; Bugnicourt, E.; Wildner, J.; Bazzichi, A.; Anguillesi, I.; Lazzeri, A. Whey protein layer applied on biodegradable packaging film to improve barrier properties while maintaining biodegradability. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2014**, *108*, 151–157. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2014.07.007)
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>62. Virgili, T.; Pasini, M.; Guizzardi, M.; Tizro, N.; Bollani, M. Natural dyes used as organic coatings UV protecting for food packages. *Coatings* **2022**, *12*, 417. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/coatings12030417)
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>63. Rezaei, M.; Motamedzadegan, A. The effect of plasticizers on mechanical properties and water vapor permeability of gelatin-based edible films containing clay nanoparticles. *World J. Nano Sci. Eng.* **2015**, *5*, 178–193. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4236/wjnse.2015.54019)
- 64. Sobral, P.J.A.; Menegalli, F.C.; Hubinger, M.D.; Roques, M.A. Mechanical, water vapor barrier and thermal properties of gelatin based edible films. *Food Hydrocoll.* **2001**, *15*, 423–432. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0268-005X(01)00061-3)
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>65. Vanin, F.M.; Sobral, P.J.A.; Menegalli, F.C.; Carvalho, R.A.; Habitante, A.M.Q.B. Effects of plasticizers and their concentrations on thermal and functional properties of gelatin-based films. *Food Hydrocoll.* **2005**, *19*, 899–907. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2004.12.003)
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>66. Khan, A.; Niazi, M.B.K.; Naqvi, S.R.; Farooq, W. Influence of plasticizers on mechanical and thermal properties of methyl cellulose-based edible films. *J. Polym. Environ.* **2018**, *26*, 291–300. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-017-0953-1)
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>Huang, F.; Tian, Z.; Ma, H.; Ding, Z.; Ji, X.; Si, C.; Wang, D. Combined alkali impregnation and poly dimethyl diallyl ammonium chloride-assisted cellulase absorption for high-efficiency pretreatment of wheat straw. *Adv. Compos. Hybrid Mater.* **2023**, *6*, 230. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42114-023-00789-z)
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>68. Fazlina, F.; Abu Hassan, N.A.; Nurul Fazita, M.R.; Leh, C.P.; Kosugi, A.; Arai, T.; Mohamad Haafiz, M.K. Exploring the Mechanical and Thermal Properties of Hemicelluloses Film Fabricated from Oil Palm Trunk. In Proceedings of the 19th Asian Workshop on Polymer Processing (AWPP 2022); Chow, W.S., Jaafar, M., Mohamad Ariff, Z., Shuib, R.K., Ahmad Zubir, S., Eds.; Springer Proceedings in Materials: Singapore, 2023; 24. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-2015-0_25)
- 69. Mokwena, K.K.; Tang, J. Ethylene Vinyl Alcohol: A Review of Barrier Properties for Packaging Shelf Stable Foods. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2012**, *52*, 640–650. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2010.504903) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22530715)
- 70. Xu, J.; Feng, E.; Song, J. Renaissance of Aliphatic Polycarbonates: New Techniques and Biomedical Applications. *J. Polym. Sci.* **2014**, *131*, 39822. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/app.39822) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24994939) [\[PubMed Central\]](https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4076343)
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>71. Roldi-Oliveira, M.; Diniz, L.M.; Elias, A.L.; Luz, S.M. Hemicellulose Films from Curaua Fibers (*Ananas erectifolius*): Extraction and Thermal and Mechanical Characterization. *Polymers* **2022**, *14*, 2999. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14152999)
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>72. Azeredo, H.M.C.; Kontou-Vrettou, C.; Moates, G.K.; Wellner, N.; Cross, K.; Pereira, P.H.F.; Waldron, K.W. Wheat straw hemicellulose films as affected by citric acid. *Food Hydrocoll.* **2015**, *50*, 1–6. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2015.04.005)
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>73. Liu, Y.; Sun, B.; Wang, Z.; Ni, Y. Mechanical and water vapor barrier properties of bagasse hemicellulose-based films. *BioResource* **2016**, *11*, 4226–4236. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.15376/biores.11.2.4226-4236)
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>74. Weerasooriya, P.R.D.; Nadhilah, R.; Owolabi, F.A.T.; Hashim, R.; Abdul Khalil, H.P.S.; Syahariza, Z.A.; Hussin, M.H.; Hiziroglu, S.; Haafiz, M.K.M. Exploring the properties of hemicellulose based carboxymethyl cellulose film as a potential green packaging. *Curr. Res. Green Sustain. Chem.* **2020**, *1–2*, 20–28. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crgsc.2020.05.001)
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>75. da Silva Braga, R.; Poletto, M. Preparation and characterization of hemicellulose films from sugarcane bagasse. *Materials* **2020**, *13*, 941. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma13040941) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32093209)
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>76. Wypych, G. *Handbook of Plasticizers*; ChemTec Publishing: Toronto, ON, Canada, 2004; p. 687.
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>77. Bhattarai, S.; Janaswamy, S. Biodegradable, UV-blocking, and antioxidant films from lignocellulosic fibers of spent coffee grounds. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2023**, *253*, 126798. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2023.126798)
- 78. Jin, T.Z.; Yadav, M.P.; Qi, P.X. Antimicrobial and physiochemical properties of films and coatings prepared from bio-fiber gum and whey protein isolate conjugates. *Food Control* **2023**, *148*, 109666. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2023.109666)
- 79. Gupta, V.; Biswas, D.; Roy, S. A comprehensive review of biodegradable polymer-based films and coatings and their food packaging applications. *Materials* **2022**, *15*, 5899. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15175899)
- 80. Gu, J.-D. Biodegradability of plastics: The issues, recent advances, and future perspectives. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2021**, *28*, 1278–1282. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-11501-9)
- 81. Dirpan, A.; Ainani, A.F.; Djalal, M. A review on biopolymer-based biodegradable film for food packaging: Trends over the last decade and future research. *Polymers* **2023**, *15*, 2781. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym15132781) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37447428)
- 82. Goel, P.; Arora, R.; Haleem, R.; Shukla, S.K. Advances in bio-degradable polymer composites-based packaging material. *Chem. Afr.* **2023**, *6*, 95–115. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42250-022-00404-6)
- 83. Kumari, S.; Debbarma, R.; Nasrin, N.; Khan, T.; Taj, S.; Bhuyan, T. Recent advances in packaging materials for food products. *Food Bioeng.* **2024**, *3*, 236–249. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/fbe2.12096)
- 84. Kaur, R.; Chauhan, I. Biodegradable plastics: Mechanisms of degradation and generated bio microplastic impact on soil health. *Biodegradation* **2024**, *35*, 863–892. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10532-024-10092-3)
- 85. Razza, F.; Cerutti, A.K. Life cycle and environmental cycle assessment of biodegradable plastics for agriculture. In *Soil Degradable Bioplastics for a Sustainable Modern Agriculture*; Malinconico, M., Ed.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2017; pp. 169–185.
- 86. de Sadeleer, I.; Woodhouse, A. Environmental impact of biodegradable and non-biodegradable agricultural mulch film: A case study for Nordic conditions. *Int. J. Life Cycle Assess.* **2024**, *29*, 275–290. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-023-02253-y)
- 87. Chen, T.; Pang, Z.; He, S.; Li, Y.; Shrestha, S.; Little, J.M.; Yang, H.; Chung, T.-C.; Sun, J.; Whitley, H.C.; et al. Machine intelligence-accelerated discovery of all-natural plastic substitutes. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2024**, *19*, 782–791. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-024-01635-z) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/38499859)
- 88. Watson, S.K. Popular Science Why Seaweed Is a Natural Fit for Replacing Certain Plastics. Available online: [https://www.popsci.](https://www.popsci.com/environment/seaweed-bioplastic) [com/environment/seaweed-bioplastic](https://www.popsci.com/environment/seaweed-bioplastic) (accessed on 20 March 2022).
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>89. Kumar, L.; Ramakanth, D.; Akhila, K.; Gaikwad, K.K. Edible films and coatings for food packaging applications: A review. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* **2022**, *20*, 875–900. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-021-01339-z)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.