

Dissecting the genotype × environment interaction for potato tuber yield and components

Table S1. *F* values of main effects and their interactions resulting from three-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) of potato yield and yield-related traits.

| Source | df | MY | UT | NMTP | AMTW | TSG | CT < 40 mm | CT 40–60 mm | CT > 60 mm |
|-----------------|----|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Blocks | 2 | 1.03 ^{ns} | 1.03 ^{ns} | 2.70 ^{ns} | 1.04 ^{ns} | 1.82 ^{ns} | 1.16 ^{ns} | 4.23 [*] | 2.75 ^{ns} |
| Location (L) | 2 | 5.06 ^{**} | 22.78 ^{***} | 16.38 ^{***} | 55.00 ^{***} | 19.30 ^{***} | 43.97 ^{***} | 35.16 ^{***} | 21.75 ^{***} |
| Year (Y) | 3 | 189.03 ^{***} | 5.39 ^{**} | 204.42 ^{***} | 117.93 ^{***} | 46.87 ^{***} | 121.83 ^{***} | 82.81 ^{***} | 42.56 ^{**} |
| Genotype (G) | 4 | 41.08 ^{***} | 9.97 ^{**} | 5.09 ^{**} | 49.35 ^{***} | 85.11 ^{***} | 57.45 ^{***} | 5.84 ^{**} | 70.41 ^{***} |
| (L) × (Y) | 6 | 32.05 ^{***} | 8.42 ^{**} | 23.77 ^{***} | 52.16 ^{***} | 2.49 [*] | 28.04 ^{**} | 11.98 ^{**} | 34.57 ^{***} |
| (L) × (G) | 8 | 23.09 ^{***} | 5.50 ^{**} | 4.43 ^{**} | 8.23 ^{**} | 5.44 ^{***} | 3.00 ^{**} | 10.78 ^{**} | 8.67 ^{***} |
| (Y) × (G) | 12 | 21.41 ^{***} | 4.10 ^{**} | 4.87 ^{**} | 5.89 ^{**} | 3.84 ^{***} | 11.10 ^{**} | 3.50 ^{***} | 6.34 ^{***} |
| (L) × (Y) × (G) | 23 | 14.89 ^{***} | 6.16 ^{***} | 3.50 ^{**} | 8.03 ^{**} | 4.90 ^{***} | 4.92 ^{**} | 6.02 ^{***} | 9.55 ^{***} |
| CV (%) | | 9.37 | 45.19 | 14.39 | 10.20 | 0.37 | 22.45 | 6.91 | 24.32 |

Values are given as *F* of Fisher; df = degrees of freedom; ***, ** and * indicate significant at $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.01$ and $p < 0.05$, respectively, and ^{ns}, not significant.

MY: marketable yield; UT: unmarketable tubers; NMTP: number of marketable tubers plant⁻¹; AMTW: average marketable tuber weight; TSG: tuber specific gravity; CT: calibre tubers.

Table S2. IPC1 and IPC2 scores derived from AMMI analysis of the 5 potato genotypes and 12 environments under study.

| | MY | | UT | | NMTP | |
|-----|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|
| | IPC1 | IPC2 | IPC1 | IPC2 | IPC1 | IPC2 |
| G1 | -0.4780 | -1.8771 | -0.3716 | 1.1494 | -1.0524 | 0.3942 |
| G2 | 4.3870 | 1.9072 | 0.0391 | 1.5943 | -0.8683 | 1.0011 |
| G3 | -0.0022 | -0.1790 | -2.3028 | 0.3360 | 0.0944 | -0.5809 |
| G4 | 0.7121 | 0.7217 | -0.9948 | -2.6634 | -0.4689 | -0.2096 |
| G5 | -4.6191 | 2.4274 | 3.6301 | -0.4163 | 1.9952 | 0.5552 |
| E1 | -0.8515 | -1.2301 | -2.0402 | -0.5796 | -0.3454 | -0.5601 |
| E2 | -0.7875 | -0.9922 | 0.5613 | -2.0243 | 0.3387 | 0.4272 |
| E3 | 1.5780 | -2.3283 | 3.2907 | 0.7669 | -1.4834 | 0.9154 |
| E4 | 0.2657 | 1.3447 | -0.1681 | -0.7129 | 0.3290 | 0.2166 |
| E5 | 1.1335 | -0.8468 | 0.3256 | 0.4354 | -0.6399 | -1.3203 |
| E6 | -0.8034 | 1.3255 | -1.1681 | 0.7743 | -0.0720 | -0.4538 |
| E7 | -1.3200 | 1.1051 | -0.4188 | 0.5951 | 1.2394 | 0.3908 |
| E8 | -3.6066 | 1.2364 | -1.3530 | 1.6824 | 1.0435 | -0.2571 |
| E9 | -0.6702 | -2.7106 | 0.6673 | 0.7352 | -0.2617 | 0.1715 |
| E10 | -0.1037 | 0.6180 | 0.4549 | -0.1645 | 0.1313 | 0.0781 |
| E11 | 2.9198 | -0.7755 | -0.3355 | -0.8855 | -0.4336 | 0.2904 |
| E12 | 3.2461 | 3.2538 | 0.1839 | -0.6225 | 0.1540 | 0.1014 |
| | AMTW | | TSG | | CT 40–60 mm | |
| | IPC1 | IPC2 | IPC1 | IPC2 | IPC1 | IPC2 |
| G1 | -7.6064 | -1.8699 | -1.7956 | 0.3657 | -2.2319 | 2.0865 |
| G2 | 4.4574 | -4.6008 | 1.3904 | 2.0834 | -0.1853 | -2.2758 |
| G3 | -4.1127 | -1.4650 | -0.8259 | -0.4305 | -2.2694 | 0.7675 |
| G4 | 2.3599 | -0.1390 | -2.7113 | 0.8936 | 0.6642 | -2.4724 |
| G5 | -1.4595 | 0.1447 | 3.0906 | 0.0879 | 4.0224 | 1.8941 |
| E1 | -2.6053 | 1.2478 | -1.0723 | 0.3680 | 2.3816 | -1.6799 |
| E2 | -4.3718 | -3.1247 | -1.6652 | -0.0292 | -1.5437 | 0.5578 |
| E3 | -0.5414 | 1.6677 | -0.7539 | 1.7744 | -0.3113 | -0.0715 |
| E4 | 0.4721 | -0.5693 | -0.5761 | -0.4247 | -1.5473 | -0.3606 |
| E5 | 0.0657 | 1.2118 | -0.0794 | 0.9660 | -2.2331 | 0.4616 |
| E6 | 3.1530 | 4.4031 | -0.3617 | 0.0455 | -0.6351 | 0.3492 |
| E7 | 0.6642 | -1.7859 | 3.0090 | 0.0210 | 0.0706 | -1.5149 |
| E8 | -1.0601 | 2.4596 | -0.2645 | 0.2605 | -1.4326 | -1.0055 |
| E9 | -2.0298 | 1.1686 | -0.9572 | -1.2068 | 0.6052 | 0.0441 |
| E10 | 0.5895 | 0.1389 | 1.9425 | -2.1399 | 2.4266 | -0.1106 |
| E11 | -0.8171 | -3.7089 | -0.8322 | -1.6172 | 0.9148 | -0.2522 |
| E12 | 6.4809 | -3.1088 | 1.6109 | 1.9823 | 1.3044 | 3.5826 |

Notes: MY: marketable yield; UT: unmarketable tubers; NMTP: number of marketable tubers plant⁻¹; AMTW: average marketable tuber weight; TSG: tuber specific gravity; CT: calibre tubers.

Table S3. PC1 and PC2 scores derived from GGE analysis of the 5 potato genotypes and 12 environments under study.

| | MY | | UT | | NMTP | |
|-----|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|----------|
| | PC1 | PC2 | PC1 | PC2 | PC1 | PC2 |
| G1 | -6.5463 | 28.2662 | -2.6320 | 5.9194 | -2.8470 | 1.3854 |
| G2 | -33.9705 | -6.7596 | -1.2457 | 7.4698 | -2.0205 | 0.3176 |
| G3 | 13.8074 | -12.1439 | -8.7411 | -5.7860 | -0.0189 | 0.8505 |
| G4 | 0.2302 | -14.1337 | -4.5284 | -4.8294 | 0.0651 | -3.5560 |
| G5 | 26.4793 | 4.7710 | 17.1472 | -2.7737 | 4.8213 | 1.0026 |
| E1 | -0.0830 | 0.3419 | -0.3771 | -0.3940 | -0.2046 | 0.1700 |
| E2 | -0.0563 | 0.2822 | 0.2039 | -0.5816 | 0.0787 | 0.3326 |
| E3 | -0.3695 | 0.2946 | 0.7886 | 0.0448 | -0.6728 | 0.4540 |
| E4 | -0.2376 | 0.1031 | 0.0351 | -0.3312 | 0.0795 | 0.2435 |
| E5 | -0.1861 | -0.1690 | 0.1338 | -0.0937 | -0.2807 | -0.4528 |
| E6 | 0.0173 | -0.1511 | -0.1894 | -0.1609 | -0.0617 | -0.1488 |
| E7 | -0.0382 | 0.0234 | -0.0280 | -0.1024 | 0.4530 | 0.2658 |
| E8 | 0.3076 | 0.0233 | -0.2536 | 0.1646 | 0.3554 | 0.3316 |
| E9 | -0.0540 | 0.3639 | 0.2067 | -0.0124 | -0.1799 | 0.4045 |
| E10 | -0.0237 | 0.1264 | 0.1578 | -0.1289 | 0.0099 | 0.0906 |
| E11 | -0.6737 | 0.2701 | 0.0179 | -0.5530 | -0.2146 | 0.0471 |
| E12 | -0.4562 | -0.6141 | 0.0791 | 0.0004 | 0.0183 | 0.1154 |
| | AMTW | | TSG | | CT 40–60 mm | |
| | PC1 | PC2 | PC1 | PC2 | PC1 | PC2 |
| G1 | -1.4614 | -73.4821 | -17.2352 | 6.8425 | -10.6486 | 7.9367 |
| G2 | 80.3824 | 21.6201 | 8.8943 | -6.6518 | -1.5527 | -8.4690 |
| G3 | -78.4322 | 27.8449 | 34.4425 | 4.7227 | -13.5105 | 3.9061 |
| G4 | 25.6059 | 18.6728 | -14.9341 | 10.7365 | 5.2337 | -12.6752 |
| G5 | -26.0947 | 5.3444 | -11.1675 | -15.6499 | 20.4780 | 9.3015 |
| E1 | 0.1436 | -0.2826 | 0.2092 | 0.2218 | 0.4003 | -0.3326 |
| E2 | 0.2508 | -0.5580 | 0.2259 | 0.3713 | -0.3746 | 0.1872 |
| E3 | -0.0157 | 0.0180 | 0.0645 | 0.0744 | -0.1176 | 0.0160 |
| E4 | 0.3108 | -0.0164 | 0.3078 | 0.1666 | -0.3353 | -0.1175 |
| E5 | 0.1466 | 0.0204 | 0.1747 | -0.0165 | -0.4663 | 0.0843 |
| E6 | 0.1463 | 0.3999 | 0.2872 | 0.1021 | -0.1869 | 0.1320 |
| E7 | 0.2756 | 0.0201 | 0.2573 | -0.6438 | -0.0369 | -0.2910 |
| E8 | 0.1534 | -0.1022 | 0.2907 | 0.0837 | -0.3496 | -0.1522 |
| E9 | 0.0656 | -0.1813 | 0.3407 | 0.2753 | 0.0532 | 0.0682 |
| E10 | 0.4671 | -0.0518 | 0.4873 | -0.2876 | 0.3863 | 0.0641 |
| E11 | 0.4660 | -0.2451 | 0.3208 | 0.2482 | 0.1263 | -0.0419 |
| E12 | 0.4874 | 0.5843 | 0.2936 | -0.3568 | 0.1709 | 0.8351 |

Notes: MY: marketable yield; UT: unmarketable tubers; NMTP: number of marketable tubers plant⁻¹; AMTW: average marketable tuber weight; TSG: tuber specific gravity; CT: calibre tubers.