

Review



# Mesenchymal Stem/Stromal Cells Microencapsulation for Cell Therapy

Sharaf Eldeen M. Abbas <sup>1</sup>, Ghada Maged <sup>2</sup>, Hongjun Wang <sup>3,4</sup>,\*<sup>1</sup> and Ahmed Lotfy <sup>3,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University, Giza 12613, Egypt
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Alexandria 21526, Egypt
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Surgery, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC 29425, USA
- <sup>4</sup> Ralph H. Johnson Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Charleston, SC 29401, USA
- \* Correspondence: wangho@musc.edu (H.W.); lotfy@musc.edu or lotfy\_bio@hotmail.com (A.L.)

Abstract: Cell microencapsulation is one of the most studied strategies to overcome the challenges associated with the implementation of mesenchymal stem/stromal cells (MSCs) in vivo. This approach isolates/shields donor MSCs from the host immune system using a semipermeable membrane that allows for the diffusion of gases, nutrients, and therapeutics, but not host immune cells. As a result, microencapsulated MSCs survive and engraft better after infusion, and they can be delivered specifically to the targeted site. Additionally, microencapsulation enables the co-culture of MSCs with different types of cells in a three-dimensional (3D) environment, allowing for better cellular interaction. Alginate, collagen, and cellulose are the most popular materials, and air jet extrusion, microfluidics, and emulsion are the most used techniques for MSC cell encapsulation in the literature. These materials and techniques differ in the size range of the resultant microcapsules and their compatibility with the applied materials. This review discusses various materials and techniques used for the microencapsulation of MSCs. We also shed light on the recent findings in this field, the advantages and drawbacks of using encapsulated MSCs, and the in vivo translation of the microencapsulated MSCs in cell therapy.

Keywords: mesenchymal stem/stromal cells; MSCs; microencapsulation; cell therapy; biomaterial

# 1. Introduction

Mesenchymal stem/stromal cells (MSCs) are multipotent adult stem cells that can be derived from a variety of mammalian tissues, such as bone marrow, umbilical cord, and adipose tissue, among others [1–3]. Their importance originates from their ability to proliferate and differentiate into multiple types of cell lineages. Additionally, studies have shown that MSCs modulate host immunity by secreting immune modulators, including cytokines and growth factors, which could protect them from host immune rejection responses and apoptosis [4–6]. These unique characteristics make MSC therapy an attractive approach for cell therapy. However, many challenges still exist upon local or systemic infusion of MSCs, including rapid clearance from circulation, instant blood-mediated inflammatory reaction (IBMIR), and poor homing of exogenous MSC to the targeted sites, which can hamper their therapeutic efficacy [7].

Microencapsulation is a strategy of encapsulating implanted cells with a biomaterial fabricated from natural or synthetic polymers, which can act as a protective shield against the host immune response while allowing essential nutrients to pass to the cells. Hence, they provide a more favorable environment for the implanted cells to survive and grow while avoiding possible fibrosis [8,9]. In addition, microencapsulation is a means of holding



Academic Editor: Bruce A. Bunnell

Received: 6 December 2024 Revised: 11 January 2025 Accepted: 16 January 2025 Published: 21 January 2025

Citation: Abbas, S.E.M.; Maged, G.; Wang, H.; Lotfy, A. Mesenchymal Stem/Stromal Cells Microencapsulation for Cell Therapy. *Cells* 2025, *14*, 149. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/cells14030149

Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/). and transporting the encapsulated cells to the target bodily site [10]. Major encapsulating materials that have been tested include alginate [11], collagen [12], and cellulose [13], among others.

Recently, investigators have tested the use of encapsulated MSCs as an alternative to traditionally used tissue regenerative medicine [14,15]. In some studies, MSCs were co-cultured with other cell types, including pancreatic islet-derived insulin-producing cells [16] and hepatocytes [17,18] to enhance the growth rate of the cultured cells and to provide higher regeneration capacity. Notably, microencapsulated MSCs hold great promise for tissue regeneration medicine, offering potential treatment for various chronic diseases, including cardiovascular [19] and liver failure [20,21], cancer [22], diabetes [16,23,24], and neurological disorders [25]. In this review, we discuss different materials and techniques used for their microencapsulation and preclinical applications of microencapsulated MSCs in cell therapy.

# 2. MSCs

MSCs are extensively employed in cell therapy due to their many beneficial attributes, such as their potential for self-renewal and differentiation into several lineages without ethical concerns. In addition, MSC therapy is safe, as the risk of teratoma formation and immunogenicity are modest to low [2,3]. MSCs can be isolated from various tissues, including bone marrow, adipose tissue, cord blood, placenta, lung, liver, and skin [4,26]. Consequently, MSCs can differentiate into several tissue forms, including bone, cartilage, muscle, fat, tendon, ligament, and other connective tissues [5]. MSCs must be treated with certain stimuli introduced in a particular sequence for this process to work. In vitro, MSC differentiation is also impacted by the cellular environment, such as hypoxia and inflammatory signals, in addition to the substrate characteristics. For example, it has been demonstrated that rigid culture surfaces promote osteogenesis, while soft gels enhance adipogenesis [27]. In addition, MSCs secrete numerous cytokines and growth factors, including interleukin-2 (IL-2), interleukin-8 (IL-8), monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1), stromal-derived factor-1 (SDF-1), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and transforming growth factor-beta (TGF- $\beta$ ), which regulate the immune system along with numerous intercellular signaling pathways [28,29]. These secreted bioactive compounds can inhibit fibrosis and apoptosis and stimulate organotypic cells, hence boosting their activity [6]. MSCs can, therefore, influence diverse bodily processes and signaling pathways in addition to their differentiation capability.

### 3. Microencapsulation

Various types of biomaterials fabricated from natural or synthetic polymers have been extensively applied to fabricate artificial 3D scaffolds capable of holding, transporting, and protecting cells from the external environment [10,30]. These scaffolds should provide a supportive microenvironment for encapsulated cells that promotes cell survival, proliferation, and the controlled release of therapeutic substances. This has resulted in the development of numerous cell therapy strategies for drug and cell delivery, which are now employed in organ replacement, tissue engineering, and regenerative medicine [8,31,32]. One of these strategies is cell microencapsulation, which aims to overcome the obstacles associated with whole-organ graft rejection and the side-effects of immunomodulatory protocols or immunosuppressive drugs. Cell microencapsulation permits the implantation of both allogeneic and xenogeneic cells while isolating them from the host immune response using a semipermeable membrane that allows the diffusion of gases, nutrients, and therapeutics, but not host immune cells [31]. The scientific community is becoming increasingly interested in this cell-based technology because of its therapeutic potential in many disciplines other than organ replacement. The microencapsulation of cells can be used to release proteins and morphogens over an extended period [9], making it a promising platform for drug delivery. In addition, research has progressed toward designing and constructing active 3D scaffolds that may be used to monitor encapsulated cells [33] or to build biomimetic scaffolds by including peptides in the matrix that influence the destiny of enclosed cells [34]. Cell microencapsulation is considered a cost-effective approach for studying and promoting stem cell growth and differentiation in a 3D environment [14], and an efficient platform for cell retention and delivery in various anatomical sites [15,35]. Here, we discuss various materials and techniques used to microencapsulate MSCs.

# 4. Materials Used for MSC Microencapsulation

To deliver MSCs and preserve their viability and differentiation potential in damaged tissues, it is essential to replicate the in vivo microenvironment using 3D scaffolds. This approach maintains the diverse functions of cells within this 3D environment, including their phenotype, adhesion, metabolism, and response to soluble factors [36]. Furthermore, MSCs interact with other cells more effectively in a 3D environment than a 2D monolayer, enhancing co-culture outcomes and promoting cell growth and tissue regeneration. Microencapsulation is one of the primary techniques for stem cell tissue engineering, which attempts to preserve the survival, phenotype, and differentiation potential of MSCs [15,37]. Furthermore, microcapsules shield MSCs from the host immune response by controlling the exchange of chemical substances between the cells and their environment [38]. In addition, microencapsulation allows MSCs to be co-cultured with other cell types, such as islet cells [16] and hepatocytes [17,18].

Several polymers have been investigated for MSC microencapsulation in research studies. Some of these biomaterials have been tested in clinical settings (see reviews by Trucillo P et al. [39] and Li H et al. [40]); this section discusses the characteristics of these polymers and factors that influence their encapsulation efficiency (Table 1).

#### 4.1. Alginate

Alginate is a popular polymer for MSC microencapsulation [11,41–43]. This block copolymer comprises subunits of mannuronic acid (M) and guluronic acid (G) [44]. A variety of alginate forms are currently available on the market. Several factors are critical in defining the characteristics and functionality of the polymer, including the M/G ratio, viscosity, purity, and permeability of alginate [42]. For instance, the swelling behavior and stability of microcapsules in the form of core-and-shell structure are highly related to the M/G content. Alginates with a higher G content (lower viscosity) exhibit higher stability and swell at lower rates when compared with high-M-content alginates. Meanwhile, there is no significant difference regarding cell viability or protection against immune cells between both types of alginates [11,41]. On the other hand, it has been reported that high-G-content alginate is less cell-biocompatible than high-M-content alginate, which has a higher swelling tendency that contributes to better cell biocompatibility [42]. Furthermore, the modification of alginate microcapsules by extracellular matrix proteins or their derivatives, such as RGD (Arg-Gly-Asp), can improve the potency of encapsulated cells because these adhesion ligands mimic the natural microenvironment of the engrafted cells [45].

		•		-				
Material	Biocompatibility	Mechanical Strength	Permeability	Degradation	Immunomodulation	Clinical Challenges	Advantages	Disadvantages
Alginate	High	Moderate	Good	Degrades variably based on cross-linking	Low/moderate	Poor reproducibility and purity standards	Biocompatible, easy to modify	Immunogenic impurities; limited mechanical strength
Gelatin	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Enzymatically degradable	Moderate	Rapid degradation in vivo	Biodegradable, good cell adhesion	Weak mechanical properties
Chitosan	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Slow enzymatic degradation	Moderate	Low solubility at neutral pH	Antimicrobial, supports cell attachment	Solubility issues; moderate biocompatibility
Polyethylene Glycol (PEG)	High	High	Adjustable	Non-degradable or slow (depending on formulation)	Low	Synthetic nature raises regulatory hurdles	Tunable properties, high mechanical strength	Expensive, non-biodegradable
Hyaluronic Acid	High	Low	High	Enzymatically degradable	Low/moderate	Rapid degradation unless chemically modified	Excellent biocompatibility	Poor mechanical strength
Collagen	High	Low	Moderate	Enzymatically degradable	Low	Batch variability and weak mechanical properties	Excellent biocompatibility, natural ECM mimic	Limited stability
PLGA (Poly (lactic-co- glycolic acid))	High	High	Adjustable	Degrades via hydrolysis into lactic and glycolic acid	Low	Potential acid accumulation causing inflammation	Tunable degradation rate	Expensive, inflammatory degradation products
Agarose	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Non-degradable	Low	Limited mechanical tunability	Easy to handle, good thermal stability	Non-biodegradable, limited cell adhesion
Cellulose	High	Moderate	Moderate	Non-degradable or slowly enzymatic	Low	Limited modification options for specific applications	Abundant, biocompatible, supports cell adhesion	Poor biodegradability, difficult to process
Dextran	High	Low	High	Rapid enzymatic degradation	Low	Rapid degradation unless chemically modified	Excellent biocompatibility, easy to functionalize	Weak mechanical properties, short in vivo stability

Table 1. Polymers have been used in MSC microencapsulation and their characteristics.

The purity of alginate is also critical for its function as the innate immune system can detect pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) in alginate preparations via pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) [46,47], resulting in proinflammatory cytokine production and detrimental anti-capsular immune responses [48]. Toll-like receptors (TLRs) on the cell surface or inside the cells are a type of PRR that recognize PAMPs. Despite rigorous purification, alginate polymers can still contain impurities such as lipopolysaccharides, which are recognized by TLR4 [49], peptidoglycan, and lipoteichoic acid, detected by TLR2 [46], and small molecular poly-M residues, which can be identified by both TLR2 and TLR4 [50]. Therefore, achieving high-quality alginate purification is crucial for ensuring the long-term survival of encapsulated cells.

The permeability of alginate capsules is reported to vary widely in the literature. Some studies indicate that proteins up to 250 kDa and polysaccharides up to 50 kDa can diffuse through alginate capsules [51]. In contrast, other studies suggest that their capsules are impermeable to proteins as small as 25 kDa [52]. This discrepancy may originate from differences in capsule production processes and cell encapsulation techniques, resulting in variations in the capsule's biochemical properties and pore size. These variations have crucial implications as they affect the effectiveness of the technique, as encapsulated cells can produce immune mediators and respond to host-derived signals [38].

### 4.2. Collagen

Collagens are the most abundant form of animal protein and encompass a family of 28 distinct types. Each collagen type has at least one triple-helical domain. In vertebrates, these collagens are classified using Roman numbers (I–XXVIII). Most collagens are organized into supra-molecular assemblies within the extracellular matrix. Collagens are the most critical component of tissue structures and influence the mechanical characteristics, organization, and shape of the tissue. They regulate cellular processes, including cell proliferation, migration, and differentiation, by interacting with various receptor families [53]. Type 1 collagen is the most widely used collagen in cell microencapsulation due to its exceptional biological qualities to control the development of stem cells. They have been extensively utilized in different applications associated with bone [54–56], cartilage [13,57,58], liver [59], and skin regeneration [60].

On the other hand, collagen, like the other polysaccharide hydrogels, has notable drawbacks. For instance, it needs more robust mechanical qualities, and some may be difficult to manage owing to batch-to-batch variance. Polysaccharide hydrogels are frequently mixed with protein-based polymers to create composite or co-polymer hydrogels [15]. Collagen has been introduced into alginate microcapsules as it is a component of the extracellular matrix (ECM) that provides binding sites for cell adhesion to enhance cell proliferation or differentiation [59,60]. The combination of collagen with other polysaccharides, such as agarose [61] and chitosan [54], has also been reported.

# 4.3. Cellulose

Cellulose, a polysaccharide composed of several hundred to several thousand linked D-glucose units in a linear chain, has been explored for MSC microencapsulation [62,63]. Cellulose has many advantages in MSC encapsulation, including its superior biocompatibility, biodegradability, tunable properties, low cost, and renewability [63]. Carboxymethyl cellulose has shown promise in the microencapsulation of bone marrow-derived MSCs (BM-MSCs), with promising outcomes for controlling their osteogenic differentiation [62].

Silanized hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose (Si-HPMC) hydrogel has been used to microencapsulate adipose tissue-derived MSCs (ASCs). The findings demonstrated that Si-HPMC facilitates the nutrient exchange required for the survival of the encapsulated cells [64].

#### 4.4. Agarose

In MSC therapy, agarose hydrogels have emerged as versatile materials for delivery, offering a promising platform for creating microenvironments that enhance MSC viability and function owing to their biocompatibility and adjustable mechanical properties. When combined with collagen, agarose has been shown to develop three-dimensional microenvironments that increase MSC survival and retention, and regulate cell development through regulated cell–matrix interactions [61]. Agarose-based hydrogels have also been modified to mitigate host immunological responses by incorporating immunosuppressive substances like Fas ligand (FasL). This strategy has been shown to increase MSC survival in traumatic brain injury models, promoting the expression of neurotrophic factors at the site of injury [65]. Additionally, optimizing agarose–alginate hydrogel formulations has enhanced encapsulation stability and made it easier to transfer viable MSCs, opening up possibilities for broader therapeutic applications [66]. Nevertheless, challenges remain, including limited bioactivity and the need for better degradation profiles. Further investigation has focused on incorporating bioactive components into agarose, which may improve its therapeutic effectiveness in regenerative medicine.

#### 4.5. Chitosan

Chitosan-based hydrogels have gained significant interest in regenerative medicine due to their ability to facilitate MSC encapsulation, along with their biocompatibility and biodegradability. These hydrogels provide a biomimetic environment that improves MSC survival and paracrine activity, as well as their therapeutic potential. Injectable chitosan hydrogels, for example, have been used to support MSCs in spinal cord injury treatment. In addition to preserving MSC viability, these hydrogels facilitated the release of MSC-derived vesicles. They maintained their anti-inflammatory and antioxidant qualities, essential for preventing glial scarring and encouraging regeneration in spinal cord injury [67]. Furthermore, adding carboxymethyl chitosan to hydrogels improves MSC osteodifferentiation, enabling early osteogenesis and maturation without external differentiation factors [68]. Additionally, MSCs have been seeded onto macroporous calcium phosphate cement scaffolds using chitosan and  $\beta$ -glycerophosphate (C/GP) hydrogel, which protects the cells during scaffold creation and improves osteoconductivity [69]. These findings demonstrate the versatility of chitosan-based hydrogels as effective MSC delivery vehicles, providing viable options for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine.

# 4.6. Dextran

Hydrogels based on dextran are biomaterials that have attracted attention in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine due to their adaptability. A study used UC-MSCs and oxidized dextran combined with gelatin methacrylate to form injectable hydrogels with excellent biocompatibility and cell delivery capabilities in myocardial infarction treatment. This hydrogel promoted UC-MSC survival, proliferation, and differentiation into cardiac-like cells, exhibiting electrical conductivity similar to that of native heart tissue, which was further enhanced by reduced graphene oxide [70]. Similarly, dextran/gelatin hydrogels loaded with TGF- $\beta$ 3- nanoparticles have shown promise for intervertebral disc degeneration treatment by facilitating MSC differentiation into nucleus pulposuslike cells [71]. Furthermore, dextran-based hydrogels synthesized through thiol–Michael addition reactions, such as Dex-I-DTT, offer adjustable mechanical characteristics and the best 3D microenvironment for encapsulating stem cells while preserving their viability and capacity for differentiation [72]. These diverse applications highlight how dextran can improve cell treatment results, support controlled release mechanisms, and mimic the natural cellular environment, making it an important component in the development of cell-based regenerative therapies.

## 4.7. Gelatin

Gelatin-based hydrogels have become a viable biomaterial in regenerative medicine because they are biocompatible, tunable, and can form MSC-supporting microenvironments. In cardiac repair, gelatin–hydroxyphenyl propionic acid hydrogels have been developed as injectable, in situ cross-linkable carriers for MSCs, significantly improving the survival and retention of encapsulated MSCs [73]. These hydrogels have been shown to reduce fibrosis, enhance myocardial wall thickness, and improve cardiac functions, as evidenced by the improvement in ejection fraction and end-systolic volume. The versatility of gelatin microparticles also allowed them to be integrated into thermally and chemically gelling hydrogels, providing a three-dimensional environment that preserves MSC viability, promotes osteogenic differentiation, and facilitates hydrogel mineralization [74]. Together, these findings highlight the potential of gelatin-based hydrogels in advancing tissue regeneration and cell-based therapies.

### 4.8. Hyaluronic Acid

Hyaluronic acid (HA), a natural glycosaminoglycan, has emerged as a pivotal biomaterial in regenerative medicine and tissue engineering, particularly for MSC applications. Its capacity to mimic natural extracellular matrix enables the creation of sD microenvironments that support MSC survival and development. Compared with conventional 2D cultures, studies have revealed that MSCs grown in HA-based hydrogels exhibit improved proliferation and stemness. For example, alginate-hyaluronic acid (AL-HA) hydrogels upregulate the expression of genes essential for tissue growth and stemness, such as OCT-4, NANOG, and SOX2, while maintaining high survival rates and promoting the formation of cellular spheroids [75]. Additionally, HA hydrogels modified with tyramine and dopamine have demonstrated improved MSC survival under oxidative stress, providing a viable platform for cell encapsulation in harsh microenvironments [76]. The cartilage-mimicking capabilities of HA have also been used for chondrogenesis; MSCs encapsulated in HA hydrogels have outperformed inert materials, like polyethylene glycol, in terms of the significant elevation of cartilage-specific markers, including type-II collagen and aggrecan [77]. These findings underscore HA's potential as a flexible biomaterial for promoting tailored differentiation, preserving stemness, and advancing MSC administration in various therapeutic applications.

### 4.9. Polyethylene Glycol

Polyethylene glycol (PEG) is a widely used biomaterial in regenerative medicine, often used alone or in combination with other biomaterials to encapsulate MSCs for therapeutic applications. For example, MSCs encapsulated in PEG-collagen hydrogels have been used to deliver their secretome in treating alkali-burn-induced corneal damage. This approach reduces fibrotic repair and restores corneal transparency, suggesting that PEG-based encapsulation may enhance MSC-based therapies for ocular inflammation [78]. In another study, the role of PEG in cell encapsulation was further explored using microfluidic devices to fabricate PEG norbornene (PEGNB) microgels. These microgels, which encapsulated equine MSCs, maintained cell viability for more than 14 days and promoted the secretion of growth factors, such as FGF-2 and TGF- $\beta$ . Thus, research highlights PEG's potential to create uniform, supportive environments for MSCs, offering a versatile platform for high-throughput, precise cell therapies in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine [79].

#### 4.10. Poly (Lactic-Co-Glycolic Acid)

Poly (lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) is a versatile biomaterial that has garnered significant attention in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine, primarily due to its ability to encapsulate growth factors and protect therapeutic cells. One study combined PLGA microspheres containing bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) with MSCs to reconstruct critical-sized mandibular defects in pigs. The results demonstrated enhanced defect healing, with the PLGA microspheres facilitating the controlled release of growth factors, promoting improved tissue mineralization and bone remodeling [80]. Similarly, PLGA nanoparticles were used to modify MSCs by encapsulating silibinin, a cytoprotective compound. This modification enhanced MSCs' resistance to oxidative stress, improving their survival and therapeutic efficacy in treating cutaneous wounds [81]. Together, these studies underscore the potential of PLGA as an effective tool in controlled delivery systems for growth factors and cytoprotective agents, significantly enhancing the regenerative capacity of MSCs in challenging clinical applications, like bone repair and wound healing.

# 5. Microencapsulation Techniques

#### 5.1. Extrusion

Extrusion is one of the most widely used techniques for cell microencapsulation in regenerative medicine. It includes methods such as electrospray droplet extrusion, air jet extrusion, syringe droplet extrusion, centrifugal extrusion, electrostatic extrusion, and vibrational extrusion. Notably, electrospray droplet extrusion has been extensively applied in stem cell microencapsulation research [36]. This technique, which uses an organic solvent, enables the production of hydrogel beads of approximately 50 µm in diameter without compromising cell viability. The procedure involves gravity dripping, where a hydrogel precursor and cell suspension are extruded through a needle into a hardening solution [63]. The diameter of microspheres is determined by several parameters, including the density of the solution, the diameter of the extrusion needle/nozzle, and the surface tension of the droplets [63]. A homogeneous cell solution and rinsing the nozzle with sodium citrate help to minimize clogging. Additionally, using appropriate settings and a blunt-tip nozzle can help to prevent cell injury [82].

#### 5.2. Emulsion

Emulsion-based cell encapsulation typically involves distributing hydrogel precursor in a non-miscible solution, notably a water-in-oil emulsion. At equilibrium, internal gelation occurs, followed by centrifugation to collect the emulsified hydrogels [83]. This method offers various benefits, including lower production costs and scalability. However, the vast size distribution and cell disruptions at the oil–water interface have prompted some concerns. In addition, prolonged exposure to oil and surfactants results in a cytotoxic environment that disrupts cells and adversely affects cell viability [12].

# 5.3. Microfluidics

Microfluidics is a technique for manipulating fluids in microenvironments, enabling the development of microgels. Droplet-based microfluidics represents a potent and versatile tool for reconstructing microenvironments, offering exceptionally high throughput and tight control over cells, biomolecules, and extracellular matrix [84]. There are two major categories of droplet-based microfluidics techniques: active and passive. Passive techniques, such as flow-focusing, co-flow, and T-junction design, are prevalent in cell microencapsulation [85]. In droplet-based microfluidics, droplets are typically produced using flow-focusing and co-flow microfluidic devices, where the shear stress exerted by a continuous phase on a dispersed phase generates the droplets. Typically, both phases are composed of immiscible liquids. In T-junction devices, droplets are formed when two channels meet at the 90° angle and exit by a perpendicular stem. The dimensions of the microchannel and flow rates of the two phases affect the size and shape of the droplets in microfluidics-based synthesis. Owing to the simplicity of droplets and homogeneous size distribution of microbeads, T-junctions are frequently used in microfluidics for cell microencapsulation [86].

### 5.4. Micromolding

Micro-molding is a recently introduced bio-fabrication method for hydrogels with regulated size and shape. Photolithography technology creates these micro-molds with predetermined patterns into which intended polymers are poured and then gelled to create three-dimensional hydrogel constructs [87]. Micro-molding offers several benefits for cell microencapsulation compared with other techniques, including eliminating shear stress from the cell suspension passing through a nozzle and reducing the impact of oils and surfactants that might affect cell viability or behavior [36]. Polydimethylsiloxane was used to create micro-mold chips using photolithography [87] to mitigate osteoarthritis severity. Then, a mixture of adipose-derived stem cells and alginate was poured and gelled inside the micro-mold to form microcapsules of 150  $\mu$ m size. These micro-encapsulated cells were used for intra-articular injection in a rabbit model. The same research group reported the use of the micro-molding technique to fabricate cross-linked alginate microcapsules with 170  $\mu$ m size to encapsulate MSCs for better hydrogel stability in the synovial fluid upon its use in intra-articular injection [87].

# 6. Pre-Clinical Studies Using Microencapsulated MSCs

Pre-clinical studies have demonstrated that microencapsulation of MSCs enhances their therapeutic potential in various disease models. This approach improves cell viability, functionality, and immune evasion, making it a promising strategy for regenerative medicine applications across musculoskeletal, cardiovascular, neurological, and other conditions in preclinical settings (Table 2).

#### 6.1. Musculoskeletal Diseases

Microencapsulation has been shown to enhance the viability and functionality of MSCs for musculoskeletal tissue regeneration. MSC encapsulation provides a biocompatible 3D microenvironment that facilitates the differentiation capacity of the immobilized stem cells and modulates the inflammatory reaction upon implementation [88–93]. For example, when a composite of alginate-microencapsulated rabbit BM-MSCs with  $\beta$ -tricalcium phosphate/calcium phosphate cement was implanted into rabbits with critical size defects, the composite group showed more new bone formation in the bone defects when compared with the control group [94]. Similarly, synchronized 3D vehicle delivery of encapsulated BM-MSCs and the bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2) showed better efficacy for large bone defects, as evidenced by enhanced osteogenic differentiation and accelerated maturity of newly formed bone tissue [90,95,96].

Additionally, the immobilization of periodontal ligament stem cells (PDLSCs) and gingival MSCs (GMSCs) in alginate microcapsules has been demonstrated as a therapeutically potent strategy in bone tissue engineering [89,97]. Alginate hydrogel microbeads allow the influx of nutrients and oxygen to the encapsulated cells, as well as the efflux of metabolites. This results in prolonged stem cell viability, lasting up to 28 days after encapsulation. The osteogenic differentiation capacity of GMSCs was enhanced by encapsulation, although to a lesser extent in GMSCs. Additionally, ectopic mineralization significantly increases due to both in vitro and in vivo encapsulation, demonstrating their ability to repair calvaria defects [55]. The intra-articular injection of alginate-microencapsulated ASCs was also investigated to manage anterior cruciate ligament transection in a rabbit model. Microencapsulated cells showed a significant chondroprotective effect, which could be a promising strategy for treating osteoarthritis [98].

#### 6.2. Cardiovascular Diseases

The use of MSCs as an alternative therapy for cardiovascular diseases is challenging, primarily due to the low retention rate of the introduced stem cells. Studies have reported that less than 10% of cells remain in the heart after one hour of intracoronary infusion [99]. The short retention period may result from various factors, including cell removal through lymphatic or vascular channels or clearance by the immune system.

Encapsulating MSCs before their in vivo application may prolong their initial retention period because the size and physical structure of the capsules protect them from being washed out through lymphatic or venous channels and provide a barrier against the immune system [46]. Bioluminescence imaging has shown that encapsulated MSCs exhibit prolonged retention throughout the myocardium. As a result, encapsulated MSCs reduced scarring after myocardial infarction (MI), significantly enhancing the microvasculature around the area of infarction [99].

Much work has been conducted to elucidate the efficacy and potential of encapsulated MSCs for cardiovascular diseases. Encapsulated MSCs are potent cell therapy approaches for the regeneration of myocardial infarction (MI), as they lead to improved cardiovascular functions and the formation of new blood vessels [99,100]. MSCs encapsulated by arginine-glycine-aspartate (RGD)-coupled alginate are potent in regenerating damaged heart tissue caused by myocardial infarction [101]. The microspheres efficiently enhance the behavior of MSCs and facilitate their transport to the site of damage. They likely serve as a scaffold to preserve the shape of the left ventricle and avoid its adverse remodeling after a myocardial infarction [102]. Furthermore, when MSCs were co-transplanted with Schwan cells, they enhanced angiogenesis inside the ischemic myocardium, resulting in improved cardiac function in rats [103]. Encapsulation in alginate/graphene oxide micro-gel has also been shown to promote MSC antioxidant activity, offering a protective environment against oxidative stress associated with acute myocardial infarction [19]. In comparative studies, encapsulating human MSCs has demonstrated notable superiority over non-encapsulated MSCs in promoting vascular regeneration in a hindlimb ischemia mouse model [104].

#### 6.3. Diabetes

The selective destruction of insulin-producing  $\beta$  cells within pancreatic islets is a hallmark of type 1 diabetes, which requires patients to take daily exogenous insulin to maintain normal blood glucose levels [105]. The transplantation of pancreatic islets encapsulated inside alginate microcapsules to avoid islet immune rejection has been considered a treatment option for patients with type 1 diabetes. However, immediate inflammatory reactions around the capsules still occur, leading to the formation of pericapsular fibrotic overgrowth (PFO) and engraftment failure of the islets. PFO occurs because of the host's inflammatory reaction to antigens released by encapsulated allogeneic or xenogeneic tissue. The formation of a physical barrier, mainly consisting of macrophages and fibroblasts, hinders the transportation of oxygen and other nutrients. Consequently, this results in a state of malnourishment and hypoxia, ultimately leading to the death of the islet. PFO persists despite the use of immunosuppressive therapy. To solve these issues, it is necessary to investigate the co-encapsulation of MSCs with islet cells to utilize their immunomodulating and revascularization potential [23].

The co-encapsulation of MSCs with pancreatic islets has effectively promoted insulin production and maintained normal blood glucose levels in diabetic mouse models [23]. The formation of PFO was dramatically reduced with enhancement in the islet viability, suggesting the immunosuppressive potential of MSCs and their role in improving the functionality of the co-encapsulated islet cells. For further improvements, Razavi and colleagues have developed a non-invasive method in which ultrasound activation is applied for the microcapsules to stimulate both islets and MSCs. This strategy has proven effective in inhibiting islet cell death and maintaining functionality. Furthermore, it has successfully improved the engraftment of islets by promoting their revascularization and mitigating inflammation [106].

#### 6.4. Neurological Disorders

MSC therapy has been used as an effective alternative therapy for neurological disorders, mainly based on its immunomodulatory properties via attenuating neuroinflammation and the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines [107]. MSCs have also proven potent in treating central nervous system (CNS) injuries and neurodegenerative disorders, as they can differentiate into neuronal phenotypes [108]. Microencapsulation has been suggested to improve the potency of MSC therapy. For example, encapsulated MSCs have exhibited higher efficacy in mitigating CNS injuries and their associated inflammation [109]. MSCs encapsulated by alginate microencapsulation have effectively attenuated neuroinflammation resulting from post-spinal-cord [110] injury and brain damage [109]. Encapsulated hMSCs not only retain their viability and their secretory activity, but also modulate the inflammatory response. As for the mechanism, encapsulated stem cells secrete anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-4, IL-13, IL-2, IL-1b, and IL-9, upon exposure to pro-inflammatory mediators, such as TNF- $\alpha$  and interferon-gamma (IFN- $\alpha$ ). In addition, they regulate the activity of inflammatory macrophages both in vitro and in vivo. This effect was seen even when there was no direct contact between human MSCs and macrophages, promoting the alternative M2 macrophage phenotype [109].

Glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) has a neuroprotective effect against cytotoxicity and neurodegeneration. Thus, the subcutaneous injection of GLP-1 analogs is an approved therapy for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). Alginate-encapsulated MSCs producing GLP-1 have demonstrated effectiveness as a cell therapy for ALS [25]. Encapsulated MSCs have been produced by transfection with a plasmid encoding a GLP-1 fusion gene before injection to improve their neuroprotective properties. Encapsulated GLP-1 MSCs have been injected into an ALS mouse model intracerebroventricularly before disease onset. Animal survival was prolonged by 13 days, the disease onset was delayed by 15 days, and the motor functions were improved; this was associated with reduced serum proinflammatory cytokine levels [25].

#### 6.5. Cancer

While MSCs have emerged as a promising cell-based therapy for various cancers, several drawbacks have been identified that may diminish their antitumorigenic potential. For instance, after in vivo infusion, MSCs have been shown to migrate toward tumors and interact directly with tumor stromal elements in the case of colonic adenocarcinoma, multiple myeloma [111], and melanoma [112]. MSC encapsulation has been proposed to provide a 3D microenvironment in which cell contact with cancer cells is inhibited. This approach also has the potential to enhance their therapeutic effects and increase their paracrine activity [22,113].

Alginate-encapsulated MSCs have successfully reduced tumor volume in a syngeneic rat glioma model [113]. The tumor-suppressive effect of encapsulated, unmodified MSCs is

about twofold higher than that of MSCs transfected to produce endostatin, an antiangiogenic peptide. Alginate-encapsulated Wharton's jelly MSCs (WJ-MSCs) are efficient as a cell-based therapy for breast cancer and in inhibiting the formation of the self-renewing cell population, the cancer stem cells [22]. This inhibitory activity was likely attributed to the downregulation of several cancer-associated genes and the induction of cell apoptosis factors, such as caspases, and increased ROS production in cancer cells. In addition, encapsulated stem cells were able to trigger the expression of Wnt antagonists, such as Secreted Frizzled-related protein 4 (sFRP4), Dickkopf-1 (DKK1), and glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta (GSK- $3\beta$ ), downregulating the  $\beta$ -catenin pathway, which is involved in tumor promotion [22].

#### 6.6. Liver Diseases

Hepatocytes comprise about 80% of the liver mass and are crucial for liver function. Liver failure is usually associated with hepatocyte malfunctioning [21,114]. Hepatocyte transplantation is an alternative therapy to orthotopic liver transplantation for liver fibrosis and acute liver failure [17,114]. However, some drawbacks have been reported; for example, the immune system initiates a foreign-body-fighting mechanism against the transplanted cells, which hampers its functionality. This was attributed to a possible direct contact between the introduced hepatocytes and recipient immune cells. Immunosuppressive drugs used to attenuate the immune activity can be toxic [59]. Hence, exploring alternative therapeutic strategies, such as microencapsulated MSCs or the coencapsulation of MSCs with hepatocytes in the recovery of liver failure, has emerged and been investigated [17,20,21,115].

The microencapsulation of MSCs preserved functionality and attenuated immune rejection after MSC transplantation, exhibiting enhancements in liver rescuing [17,20]. The co-encapsulation of hepatocytes and BM-MSCs in alginate-poly-L-lysine-alginate microencapsulation improved hepatocyte-specific functions, including albumin secretion and urea synthesis, both in vitro and in vivo [17]. Thus, the survival rate and liver function were enhanced after the transplantation of encapsulated hepatocytes and MSCs in a rat model of acute liver failure. Furthermore, MSC and AML12 hepatocyte co-encapsulation in volvox spheres promotes hepatocyte regeneration in rat model necrotic liver failure, as assessed by albumin and cytokeratin 18 expression [21]. A volvox sphere microencapsulation comprises a large outer sphere containing smaller spheres that encapsulate the culturing cells, which finally provides a double-layer 3D microencapsulation. The microencapsulation of MSCs by alginate-polyethylene glycol (Alg-PEG) hybrid hydrogel facilitated the protection of MSCs against in vivo immune rejection upon transplantation into the fibrotic liver in mice. Microencapsulated human mesenchymal stem cells decrease liver fibrosis in mice [20]. This protective effect has been attributed to the selective permeability of the hybrid hydrogel, which is permissive to soluble factors, such as oxygen and glucose, and non-permissive to immune cells and antibodies. This property keeps the MSCs from direct contact with the immune cells and mitigates immune rejection after in vivo infusion [20]. All these data support the idea that MSC microencapsulation is a promising cell-based therapy for liver diseases.

Ref.	Cell Type	Encapsulation Material	Encapsulation Technique	Application	Outcomes
[11]	BM-MSCs	Alginate	Syringe droplet extrusion	Osteoarthritis	High G alginate prolonged the presence of metabolically active allogenic MSC in immune-competent rats.
[88]	BM-MSCs	Collagen	Syringe droplet extrusion	Cartilage regeneration	Promotion of chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs when high cell density and high collagen concentration were applied.
[90]	BM-MSCs	Alginate modified with glycine-arginine- glycine-aspartic acid-glycine	Microfluidic	Bone regeneration	Enhancement of osteogenic differentiation and acceleration of mineralization
[91]	BM-MSCs	Fibrin/Alginate	Syringe droplet extrusion	Volumetric muscle loss (VML) injuries	Greater muscle regeneration of rat VML in a shorter period.
[92]	BM-MSCs	Collagen- chitosan	Emulsion	Bone regeneration	Enhancement of ectopic bone formation
[93]	SHED	RGD-modified alginate	Microfluidic	Bone regeneration	Enhanced cell viability and increased ectopic bone formation
[116]	BM-MSCs	Alginate	Electrostatic extrusion	Bone regeneration	Enhanced bone formation and bone marrow growth
[95]	BM-MSCs transduced with BMP2 and/or VEGF	Alginate	Electrostatic extrusion	Bone regeneration	Significant improvement in release of BMP2 and VEGF from genetically modified MSCs with enhancement in osteogenic differentiation.
[96]	BM-MSCs	Alginate	Electrostatic extrusion	Bone regeneration	Promotion of the osteogenic differentiation of BM-MSCs.
[97]	PDLSCs, GMSCs, and BM-MSCs	RGD-modified Alginate loaded with TGF-β3 ligand	Syringe droplet extrusion	Tendon regeneration	Effective differentiation into tendon tissue.
[98]	ASCs	Alginate	Vibrational extrusion	Osteoarthritis	Enhancement of the viability of ASCs in the knee joint and significant reduction in the Osteoarthritis progression and extent.
[117]	BM-MSCs	Alginate	Syringe droplet extrusion	Bone regeneration	Encapsulating MSCs with PEDF improves differentiation and release of cells compared to encapsulation of MSCs alone
[118]	PDLSCs and GMSCs	RGD-modified alginate	Microfluidic	Bone regeneration	Higher amounts of ectopic bone regeneration.
[119]	BM-MSCs	Alginate	Electrostatic extrusion	Orbital bone repair	-Effective induction of osteogenic differentiation. -Greatest bone repair of the orbital wall defect.
[120]	BM-MSCs	Alginate	Electrostatic extrusion	Osteoarthritis	-Chondroprotective effect through paracrine signaling. -Augmentation of the compensatory increases in

 $\label{eq:table 2. MSCs and microencapsulation in in vivo models.$ 

osteophyte formation.

Table 2. Cont.

Ref.	Cell Type	Encapsulation Material	Encapsulation Technique	Application	Outcomes
[121]	PDLSCs and GMSCs	RGD-modified Alginate	Microfluidic	Cartilage regeneration	Chondrogenic differentiation of encapsulated PDLSCs and GMSCs.
[122]	BM-MSCs, PDLSCs, and GMSCs	Alginate	Syringe droplet extrusion	Bone regeneration	Ectopic bone formation around and inside the implemented microcapsules
[13]	BM-MSCs	Alginate–poly-l- lysine–alginate	Emulsion	Enhancement of vascularization	Reduced immune reaction against grafted MSCs cells by microencapsulation
[19]	UC-MSCs	GO/Alginate	Electrostatic extrusion	Myocardial infarction	-Enhancement of the therapeutic activity of the MSCs. -Reduction of post-injection oxidative stress.
[37]	MSCs modified to express erythropoietin	Alginate	Electrostatic extrusion	Erythropoietin delivery	Capsules with lower cell loading showed higher erythropoietin secretion.
[123]	BM-MSCs	Agarose	Syringe droplet extrusion	Vascular regeneration	-Improvement of viability and metabolic activity of the MSCs as well as cell–cytoskeletal patterning. -Significant increase in the number of engrafted cells.
[99]	MSCs	Alginate	Electrostatic extrusion	Myocardial infarction	Higher cell retention and increase in vasculature around infarct site
[101]	MSCs	RGD- modified Alginate	Electrostatic extrusion	Myocardial infarction	<ul> <li>-Effective delivery of the MSCs to the site of infraction.</li> <li>-Maintaining the LV shape and preventing its negative remodeling.</li> </ul>
[103]	BM-MSCs	Alginate–poly-L- lysine–alginate	Electrostatic extrusion	Myocardial infarction	Angiogenesis augmentation and heart function improvement in acute myocardial infarction.
[104]	BM-MSCs	Alginate	Electrostatic extrusion	Hindlimb ischemia	Significant enhancement of vascular recovery in mouse model of ischemic hindlimb.
[124]	IX-engineered MSCs	Alginate	Electrostatic extrusion	Hemophilia treatment.	-Factor IX secretion was increased by encapsulated MSCs -osteogenic differentiation was also observed
[125]	MSCs	Alginate-poly-L- lysine-alginate	Electrostatic Extrusion	Erythropoietin delivery	Long-lasting (up to 210 days) secretion of erythropoietin after loading the microcapsules in vivo.
[1]	BM-MSCs	Alginate	Air jet extrusion	Islets transplantation	<ul> <li>Improvement of the viability of islets.</li> <li>MSC-alginate beads exhibited an ability to interactively modulate their microenvironment by IDO activity and secreting several immunomodulatory and trophic factors over a long-term.</li> </ul>

		Table 2. Cont.			
Ref.	Cell Type	Encapsulation Material	Encapsulation Technique	Application	Outcomes
[16]	UC-MSCs	Alginate	Syringe droplet extrusion	Type 1 diabetes	Reversal of hyperglycemic status by the synergistic effect of MSCs with pancreatic islet-derived progenitor cells.
[23]	BM-MSCs	Alginate- chitosan	Electrostatic extrusion	Type 1 diabetes	Reduction of blood glucose to levels close to the normal blood glucose level of healthy mice.
[106]	ASCs	Alginate	Air-jet extrusion	Co- encapsulation of MSCs with pancreatic Islets transplantation	Significant improvement in the functionality and viability of the transplanted islets.
[25]	GLP-1 releasing MSCs	Alginate	Extrusion	Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS)	-Delayed symptom onset and reduction of inflammatory markers -Improved motor performance and prolonged survival.
[110]	MSCs	Alginate poly-L-lysine	Electrostatic extrusion	Post-spinal cord injury	Microencapsulation of MSC is involved in the post-CNS traumatic tissue protective therapy through the conversion of macrophages to the M2 subset.
[126]	BDNF-over- expressing BM-MSCs	Alginate	Air-jet extrusion	Deafness	Improved cochlear implant outcome; increased spiral ganglion neuron survival, bipolar morphology, and neurite outgrowth.
[113]	BM-MSCs	Alginate	Air-jet extrusion	Glioma tumor	Suppression of the tumor growth.
[22]	WJ-MSCs	Alginate	Syringe droplet extrusion	Breast cancer	Down-regulation of pro-proliferation markers, drug transporters, epithelial-mesenchymal transition-associated markers, and angiogenesis-related genes.
[127]	BM-MSCs	Alginate-poly-L- lysine-alginate	Air-jet extrusion	Glioblastoma	A 3-fold decrease in cytokine expression compared to entrapped cell lines.
[17]	BM-MSCs	Alginate-poly-L- lysine-alginate	Electrostatic extrusion	Acute liver failure	Significant enhancement of hepatocyte-specific functions, including albumin secretion and urea synthesis.
[18]	BM-MSCs	Alginate	Air jet extrusion	Pericapsular fibrotic overgrowth around alginate microcapsule PFO	Dose-dependent reduction in PFO and improved graft survival with significantly higher cell viability.
[20]	BM-MSCs	Alginate	Air jet extrusion	Liver fibrosis	Microencapsulated BM-MSCs showed anti-fibrotic effect

		Table 2. Cont.					
Ref.	Cell Type	Encapsulation Material	Encapsulation Technique	Application	Outcomes		
[21]	BM-MSCs	Alginate/collagen	Electrostatic extrusion	Liver repair and regeneration	Co-encapsulation with AML12 hepatocytes allowed MSCs to differentiate into hepatocytes and be involved in hepatic regeneration		
[35]	ASCs	Alginate	Vibrational extrusion	Cell viability inside the microcapsule	Improved cell viability and retention in vivo.		
[128]	BM-MSCs	Alginate	Vibrational extrusion	Cell viability inside the microcapsule	Encapsulated cells remained viable under the kidney capsule with the release of factor bFGF.		
[129]	MSCs	Alginate	Extrusion	Cell viability inside the microcapsule	Encapsulated MSCs were active for several weeks and acted as a release system		
	PM MCCs (Pono marrow derived mesonshymal stam calls) CHED (Stam calls from human extellisted desiduous						

BM-MSCs (Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells), SHED (Stem cells from human-exfoliated deciduous teeth), PDLSCs (Periodontal ligament stem cells), GMSCs (Gingiva-derived mesenchymal stem cells), ASC (Adipose-derived stem cells), UC-MSCs (Umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem cells), WJ-MSCs (Wharton's jelly-derived mesenchymal stem cells), BMP2 (Bone morphogenetic protein 2), VEGF (Vascular endothelial growth factor), TGFβ (Transforming growth factor β), BDNF (Brain-derived neurotrophic factor), EPO (Extrapontine), PFO (Pericapsular fibrotic overgrowth), RGD (Arginine–glycine–aspartic acid).

# 7. Current Challenges and Future Perspectives

Despite significant advances in MSC microencapsulation, several challenges remain. One notable issue is that the encapsulation process may alter MSC function, including cell survival in the capsule and reducing the secretion of growth factors [38,130]. Additionally, ongoing research is focused on identifying the most effective strategies for utilizing microencapsulated MSCs in regenerative therapies. Numerous studies have investigated factors such as the MSC source, encapsulation materials, delivery mechanisms, and specific pathologies. However, significant gaps and biases persist, which need to be addressed. Furthermore, a method that shows promise for one disease may not yield the same results for others. Further research is needed to optimize encapsulation techniques that preserve and potentially enhance MSC functions for broader therapeutic applications.

One of the unresolved issues is material long-term biocompatibility. The functionality of the capsules is closely related to their interaction with the immune system. The immunogenicity of the capsules triggers a series of cellular reactions, including inflammation, pericapsular fibrosis, and damage to the grafted region. This reaction is harmful to both the subjects and the implanted cells. Multiple strategies have been tested to address this issue. For instance, administering anti-inflammatory molecules such as pentoxifylline [131], dexamethasone [132], and curcumin [133] has shown promising outcomes. Furthermore, the encapsulating polymers themselves might pose a risk for immunogenicity. Polyox-azolines [134] and zwitterionic polymers [135] have low immunogenicity and are more suitable in MSC microencapsulation. These neutrally charged synthetic polymers offer a variety of beneficial qualities over other materials, including high hydrophilicity, minimal non-specific interaction, and minimal immunogenicity [136,137].

Maintaining control over the intra-capsular microenvironment is a significant concern, as cells are not isolated entities, but rather part of a complex and dynamic mixture that includes the cells themselves, extracellular matrix, growth factors, and surrounding cells. Cultivating cells outside their native environments requires a thorough understanding of cell biology. The capsules can be modified with different motifs to replicate their natural habitat. One effective approach involves short peptide sequences comprising functional domains derived from ECM proteins [138]. For example, arginine–glycine–aspartic acid, a

component of many extracellular matrix proteins like collagen and fibronectin, has shown promising results [45,139]. Other examples include laminin motifs, such as Tyr-Ile-Gly-Ser-Arg and Ile-Lys-Val-Ala-Val [24]. Moreover, emerging trends in capsule design, such as the development of "smart" biomaterials with real-time monitoring capabilities and stimuli-responsive hydrogels that release therapeutic agents in response to environmental changes (e.g., pH or temperature), promise to address many of the challenges associated with MSC encapsulation.

#### 8. Conclusions

This review summarizes studies using microencapsulation techniques to address challenges associated with MSC therapy (Figure 1). A variety of materials and key techniques used for microencapsulation have been explored. This review also highlights the diverse applications of MSC microencapsulation, with a particular emphasis on regenerative medicine and immunomodulation. There is a growing focus on employing microencapsulation technology to effectively replicate the in vivo microenvironment. An ideal microcapsule should provide a 3D scaffold, shield the MSCs from the host's immune response, and maintain key MSC characteristics, such as phenotypic differentiation and adhesion. Additionally, the microcapsule must exhibit selective permeability, allowing essential nutrients and gasses to pass through while preserving cell viability and preventing fibrosis formation. Achieving this balance is crucial for enhancing the therapeutic potential of MSCs in clinical applications.



Figure 1. MSCs microencapsulation and their application in different diseases.

**Author Contributions:** S.E.M.A., G.M., H.W. and A.L. wrote the article. H.W. and A.L. contributed equally to the study design and critical review of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This study was supported by NIDDK grants 1R01DK105183, R01DK123094, R01DK118529, and R01DK125464 and the Department of Veterans Affairs grants 5I01CX002516 and I01BX004536.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The figure was created using the BioRender.com.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no other conflict of interest.

# References

- Vaithilingam, V.; Evans, M.D.M.; Lewy, D.M.; Bean, P.A.; Bal, S.; Tuch, B.E. Co-encapsulation and co-transplantation of mesenchymal stem cells reduces pericapsular fibrosis and improves encapsulated islet survival and function when allografted. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 10059.
- Liu, S.; Zhou, J.; Zhang, X.; Liu, Y.; Chen, J.; Hu, B.; Song, J.; Zhang, Y. Strategies to Optimize Adult Stem Cell Therapy for Tissue Regeneration. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2016, 17, 982. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Kim, H.J.; Park, J.-S. Usage of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Cell-based Therapy: Advantages and Disadvantages. *Dev. Reprod.* **2017**, *21*, 1–10. [PubMed]
- 4. Chen, Q.; Shou, P.; Zheng, C.; Jiang, M.; Cao, G.; Yang, Q.; Cao, J.; Xie, N.; Velletri, T.; Zhang, X.; et al. Fate decision of mesenchymal stem cells: Adipocytes or osteoblasts? *Cell Death Differ.* **2016**, *23*, 1128–1139. [PubMed]
- 5. Caplan, A.I. Mesenchymal stem cells. J. Orthop. Res. 1991, 9, 641–650. [PubMed]
- 6. Caplan, A.I.; Dennis, J.E. Mesenchymal stem cells as trophic mediators. J. Cell. Biochem. 2006, 98, 1076–1084. [CrossRef]
- Li, C.; Zhao, H.; Wang, B. Challenges for Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Based Therapy for COVID-19. *Drug Des. Dev. Ther.* 2020, 14, 3995–4001.
- 8. de Vos, P.; Bučko, M.; Gemeiner, P.; Navrátil, M.; Svitel, J.; Faas, M.; Strand, B.L.; Skjak-Braek, G.; Morch, Y.A.; Vikartovská, A.; et al. Multiscale requirements for bioencapsulation in medicine and biotechnology. *Biomaterials* **2009**, *30*, 2559–2570. [PubMed]
- 9. Orive, G.; De Castro, M.; Ponce, S.; Hernández, R.M.; Gascón, A.R.; Bosch, M.; Alberch, J.; Pedraz, J.L. Long-term expression of erythropoietin from myoblasts immobilized in biocompatible and neovascularized microcapsules. *Mol. Ther.* **2005**, *12*, 283–289.
- Griffith, L.G.; Naughton, G. Tissue engineering—Current challenges and expanding opportunities. *Science* 2002, 295, 1009–1014. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Khatab, S.; Leijs, M.J.; van Buul, G.; Haeck, J.; Kops, N.; Nieboer, M.; Bos, P.K.; Verhaar, J.A.N.; Bernsen, M.; van Osch, G.J.V.M. MSC encapsulation in alginate microcapsules prolongs survival after intra-articular injection, a longitudinal in vivo cell and bead integrity tracking study. *Cell Biol. Toxicol.* 2020, *36*, 553–570. [CrossRef]
- 12. Daly, A.C.; Riley, L.; Segura, T.; Burdick, J.A. Hydrogel microparticles for biomedical applications. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **2020**, *5*, 20–43. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, G.; Fu, Y.; Shea, S.M.; Hegde, S.S.; Kraitchman, D.L. Quantitative CT and 19 F-MRI tracking of perfluorinated encapsulated mesenchymal stem cells to assess graft immunorejection. *Magn. Reson. Mater. Phys. Biol. Med.* 2019, 32, 147–156. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Wang, N.; Adams, G.; Buttery, L.; Falcone, F.H.; Stolnik, S. Alginate encapsulation technology supports embryonic stem cells differentiation into insulin-producing cells. *J. Biotechnol.* **2009**, *144*, 304–312. [CrossRef]
- 15. Lee, S.-Y.; Ma, J.; Khoo, T.S.; Abdullah, N.; Kahar, N.N.F.N.M.N.; Hamid, Z.A.A.; Mustapha, M. Polysaccharide-Based Hydrogels for Microencapsulation of Stem Cells in Regenerative Medicine. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* **2021**, *9*, 735090.
- 16. Montanucci, P.; Pescara, T.; Greco, A.; Leonardi, G.; Marini, L.; Basta, G.; Calafiore, R. Co-microencapsulation of human umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem and pancreatic islet-derived insulin producing cells in experimental type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes/Metab. Res. Rev.* **2021**, *37*, e3372. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Shi, X.-L.; Zhang, Y.; Gu, J.-Y.; Ding, Y.-T. Coencapsulation of hepatocytes with bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells improves hepatocyte-specific functions. *Transplantation* **2009**, *88*, 1178–1185. [CrossRef]
- Vaithilingam, V.; Evans, M.D.M.; Rowe, A.; Bean, P.A.; Tuch, B.E. Coencapsulation of Target Effector Cells with Mesenchymal Stem Cells Reduces Pericapsular Fibrosis and Improves Graft Survival in a Xenotransplanted Animal Model. *Cell Transplant*. 2016, 25, 1299–1317. [CrossRef]
- 19. Choe, G.; Kim, S.W.; Park, J.; Park, J.; Kim, S.; Kim, Y.S.; Ahn, Y.; Jung, D.W.; Williams, D.R.; Lee, J.Y. Anti-oxidant activity reinforced reduced graphene oxide/alginate microgels: Mesenchymal stem cell encapsulation and regeneration of infarcted hearts. *Biomaterials* **2019**, 225, 119513.
- Meier, R.P.; Mahou, R.; Morel, P.; Meyer, J.; Montanari, E.; Muller, Y.D.; Christofilopoulos, P.; Wandrey, C.; Gonelle-Gispert, C.; Bühler, L.H. Microencapsulated human mesenchymal stem cells decrease liver fibrosis in mice. *J. Hepatol.* 2015, 62, 634–641. [CrossRef]
- 21. Chang, S.H.; Huang, H.H.; Kang, P.L.; Wu, Y.C.; Chang, M.-H.; Kuo, S.M. In vitro and in vivo study of the application of volvox spheres to co-culture vehicles in liver tissue engineering. *Acta Biomater.* **2017**, *63*, 261–273. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Mandal, S.; Arfuso, F.; Sethi, G.; Dharmarajan, A.; Warrier, S. Encapsulated human mesenchymal stem cells (eMSCs) as a novel anti-cancer agent targeting breast cancer stem cells: Development of 3D primed therapeutic MSCs. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* **2019**, 110, 59–69. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 23. Long, R.; Liu, Y.; Wang, S.; Ye, L.; He, P. Co-microencapsulation of BMSCs and mouse pancreatic beta cells for improving the efficacy of type I diabetes therapy. *Int. J. Artif. Organs* **2017**, *40*, 169–175. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Llacua, A.; de Haan, B.J.; Smink, S.A.; de Vos, P. Extracellular matrix components supporting human islet function in alginatebased immunoprotective microcapsules for treatment of diabetes. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res A* 2016, *104*, 1788–1796. [CrossRef]
- Knippenberg, S.; Thau, N.; Dengler, R.; Brinker, T.; Petri, S. Intracerebroventricular injection of encapsulated human mesenchymal cells producing glucagon-like peptide 1 prolongs survival in a mouse model of ALS. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7, e36857. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jin, H.J.; Bae, Y.K.; Kim, M.; Kwon, S.-J.; Jeon, H.B.; Choi, S.J.; Kim, S.W.; Yang, Y.S.; Oh, W.; Chang, J.W. Comparative analysis of human mesenchymal stem cells from bone marrow, adipose tissue, and umbilical cord blood as sources of cell therapy. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2013, 14, 17986–18001. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Pittenger, M.F.; Discher, D.E.; Péault, B.M.; Phinney, D.G.; Hare, J.M.; Caplan, A.I. Mesenchymal stem cell perspective: Cell biology to clinical progress. *NP Regen. Med.* **2019**, *4*, 22. [CrossRef]
- 28. Kyurkchiev, D.; Bochev, I.; Ivanova-Todorova, E.; Mourdjeva, M.; Oreshkova, T.; Belemezova, K.; Kyurkchiev, S. Secretion of immunoregulatory cytokines by mesenchymal stem cells. *World J. Stem Cells* **2014**, *6*, 552–570. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Park, C.W.; Kim, K.-S.; Bae, S.; Son, H.K.; Myung, P.-K.; Hong, H.J.; Kim, H. Cytokine secretion profiling of human mesenchymal stem cells by antibody array. *Int. J. Stem Cells* 2009, *2*, 59–68. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 30. Orive, G.; Santos, E.; Pedraz, J.L.; Hernández, R.M. Application of cell encapsulation for controlled delivery of biological therapeutics. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 2014, 67–68, 3–14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 31. Orive, G.; Hernandez, R.M.; Gascón, A.R.; Calafiore, R.; Chang, T.M.; Vos, P.D.; Hortelano, G.; Hunkeler, D.; Lacik, I.; Shapiro, A.J.; et al. Cell encapsulation: Promise and progress. *Nat. Med.* **2003**, *9*, 104–107. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Orive, G.; Hernández, R.M.; Gascón, A.R.; Calafiore, R.; Chang, T.M.S.; de Vos, P.; Hortelano, G.; Hunkeler, D.; Lacík, I.; Pedraz, J.L. History, challenges and perspectives of cell microencapsulation. *Trends Biotechnol.* **2004**, *22*, 87–92. [CrossRef]
- 33. Barnett, B.P.; Arepally, A.; Karmarkar, P.V.; Qian, D.; Gilson, W.D.; Walczak, P.; Howland, V.; Lawler, L.; Lauzon, C.; Stuber, M.; et al. Magnetic resonance–guided, real-time targeted delivery and imaging of magnetocapsules immunoprotecting pancreatic islet cells. *Nat. Med.* **2007**, *13*, 986–991. [CrossRef]
- 34. Orive, G.; De Castro, M.; Kong, H.-J.; Hernández, R.M.; Ponce, S.; Mooney, D.J.; Pedraz, J.L. Bioactive cell-hydrogel microcapsules for cell-based drug delivery. *J. Control. Release* 2009, *135*, 203–210. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Koh, E.; Jung, Y.C.; Woo, H.-M.; Kang, B.-J. Injectable alginate-microencapsulated canine adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells for enhanced viable cell retention. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* **2017**, *79*, 492–501. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kim, H.; Bae, C.; Kook, Y.-M.; Koh, W.-G.; Lee, K.; Park, M.H. Mesenchymal stem cell 3D encapsulation technologies for biomimetic microenvironment in tissue regeneration. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 2019, 10, 51. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lopez-Mendez, T.B.; Santos-Vizcaino, E.; Blanco, F.J.; Pedraz, J.L.; Hernandez, R.M.; Orive, G. Improved control over MSCs behavior within 3D matrices by using different cell loads in both in vitro and in vivo environments. *Int. J. Pharm.* 2017, 533, 62–72.
   [CrossRef]
- Ashimova, A.; Yegorov, S.; Negmetzhanov, B.; Hortelano, G. Cell Encapsulation Within Alginate Microcapsules: Immunological Challenges and Outlook. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 2019, 7, 380. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. Trucillo, P. Biomaterials for Drug Delivery and Human Applications. Materials 2024, 17, 456. [CrossRef]
- 40. Li, H.; Xu, X.; Wu, L.; Chen, X.; Akhter, H.; Wang, Y.; Song, P.; Liao, X.; Zhang, Z.; Li, Z.; et al. Recent progress and clinical applications of advanced biomaterials in cosmetic surgery. *Regen. Biomater.* **2023**, *10*, rbad005. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gryshkov, O.; Mutsenko, V.; Tarusin, D.; Khayyat, D.; Naujok, O.; Riabchenko, E.; Nemirovska, Y.; Danilov, A.; Petrenko, A.Y.; Glasmacher, B. Coaxial Alginate Hydrogels: From Self-Assembled 3D Cellular Constructs to Long-Term Storage. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 3096. [CrossRef]
- 42. Tam, S.K.; Dusseault, J.; Bilodeau, S.; Langlois, G.; Hallé, J.; Yahia, L. Factors influencing alginate gel biocompatibility. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part A* **2011**, *98*, 40–52. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Hached, F.; Vinatier, C.; Le Visage, C.; Gondé, H.; Guicheux, J.; Grimandi, G.; Billon-Chabaud, A. Biomaterial-assisted cell therapy in osteoarthritis: From mesenchymal stem cells to cell encapsulation. *Best Pr. Res. Clin. Rheumatol.* **2017**, *31*, 730–745. [CrossRef]
- 44. de Vos, P.; Lazarjani, H.A.; Poncelet, D.; Faas, M.M. Polymers in cell encapsulation from an enveloped cell perspective. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* **2014**, 67–68, 15–34. [CrossRef]
- Garate, A.; Ciriza, J.; Casado, J.G.; Blazquez, R.; Pedraz, J.L.; Orive, G.; Hernandez, R.M. Assessment of the Behavior of Mesenchymal Stem Cells Immobilized in Biomimetic Alginate Microcapsules. *Mol. Pharm.* 2015, 12, 3953–3962. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Paredes-Juarez, G.A.; de Haan, B.J.; Faas, M.M.; de Vos, P. A Technology Platform to Test the Efficacy of Purification of Alginate. *Materials* 2014, 7, 2087–2103. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Krishnan, R.; Ko, D.; Foster, C.E., III; Liu, W.; Smink, A.M.; de Haan, B.; De Vos, P.; Lakey, J.R. Immunological Challenges Facing Translation of Alginate Encapsulated Porcine Islet Xenotransplantation to Human Clinical Trials. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 2017, 1479, 305–333. [PubMed]
- 48. Dorrington, M.G.; Fraser, I.D.C. NF-kappaB Signaling in Macrophages: Dynamics, Crosstalk, and Signal Integration. *Front. Immunol.* **2019**, *10*, 705. [CrossRef]
- 49. Pearl, J.I.; Ma, T.; Irani, A.R.; Huang, Z.; Robinson, W.H.; Smith, R.L.; Goodman, S.B. Role of the Toll-like receptor pathway in the recognition of orthopedic implant wear-debris particles. *Biomaterials* **2011**, *32*, 5535–5542. [CrossRef]
- 50. Vaure, C.; Liu, Y. A comparative review of toll-like receptor 4 expression and functionality in different animal species. *Front. Immunol.* **2014**, *5*, 316. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Vaithilingam, V.; Kollarikova, G.; Qi, M.; Larsson, R.; Lacik, I.; Formo, K.; Marchese, E.; Oberholzer, J.; Guillemin, G.J.; Tuch, B.E. Beneficial effects of coating alginate microcapsules with macromolecular heparin conjugates-in vitro and in vivo study. *Tissue Eng. Part A* **2014**, *20*, 324–334. [CrossRef]
- 52. Paredes-Juarez, G.A.; Sahasrabudhe, N.M.; Tjoelker, R.S.; de Haan, B.J.; Engelse, M.A.; de Koning, E.J.P.; Faas, M.M.; de Vos, P. DAMP production by human islets under low oxygen and nutrients in the presence or absence of an immunoisolating-capsule and necrostatin-1. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 14623. [CrossRef]
- 53. Ricard-Blum, S. The collagen family. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 2011, 3, a004978. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Wise, J.K.; Alford, A.I.; Goldstein, S.A.; Stegemann, J.P. Comparison of uncultured marrow mononuclear cells and cultureexpanded mesenchymal stem cells in 3D collagen-chitosan microbeads for orthopedic tissue engineering. *Tissue Eng. Part A* 2014, 20, 210–224. [CrossRef]
- 55. Chan, B.P.; Hui, T.Y.; Wong, M.Y.; Yip, K.H.K.; Chan, G.C.F. Mesenchymal stem cell-encapsulated collagen microspheres for bone tissue engineering. *Tissue Eng. Part C Methods* 2010, *16*, 225–235. [CrossRef]
- Lee, H.; Woo, H.M.; Kang, B.J. Impact of collagen-alginate composition from microbead morphological properties to microencapsulated canine adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cell activities. *J. Biomater. Sci. Polym. Ed.* 2018, 29, 1042–1052. [CrossRef]
- 57. Li, Y.Y.; Lam, K.L.; Chen, A.D.; Zhang, W.; Chan, B.P. Collagen microencapsulation recapitulates mesenchymal condensation and potentiates chondrogenesis of human mesenchymal stem cells—A matrix-driven in vitro model of early skeletogenesis. *Biomaterials* **2019**, *213*, 119210. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 58. Han, S.; Li, Y.Y.; Chan, B.P. Extracellular Protease Inhibition Alters the Phenotype of Chondrogenically Differentiating Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells (MSCs) in 3D Collagen Microspheres. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0146928. [CrossRef]
- 59. Teong, B.; Manousakas, I.; Chang, S.J.; Huang, H.H.; Ju, K.-C.; Kuo, S.M. Alternative approach of cell encapsulation by Volvox spheres. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2015, *55*, 79–87. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bussche, L.; Harman, R.M.; A Syracuse, B.; Plante, E.L.; Lu, Y.-C.; Curtis, T.M.; Ma, M.; Van de Walle, G.R. Microencapsulated equine mesenchymal stromal cells promote cutaneous wound healing in vitro. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 2015, *6*, 66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 61. Batorsky, A.; Liao, J.; Lund, A.W.; Plopper, G.E.; Stegemann, J.P. Encapsulation of adult human mesenchymal stem cells within collagen-agarose microenvironments. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **2005**, *92*, 492–500. [CrossRef]
- 62. Du, X.; Huang, F.; Zhang, S.; Yao, Y.; Chen, Y.; Chen, Y.; Huang, H.; Bai, B. Carboxymethylcellulose with phenolic hydroxyl microcapsules enclosinggene-modified BMSCs for controlled BMP-2 release In Vitro. *Artif. Cells Nanomed. Biotechnol.* **2017**, *45*, 1710–1720. [PubMed]
- 63. Hashemi, M.; Kalalinia, F. Application of encapsulation technology in stem cell therapy. *Life Sci.* 2015, 143, 139–146.
- 64. Nativel, F.; Renard, D.; Hached, F.; Pinta, P.-G.; D'arros, C.; Weiss, P.; Le Visage, C.; Guicheux, J.; Billon-Chabaud, A.; Grimandi, G. Application of Millifluidics to Encapsulate and Support Viable Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells in a Polysaccharide Hydrogel. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2018, *19*, 1952. [CrossRef]
- 65. Alvarado-Velez, M.; Enam, S.F.; Mehta, N.; Lyon, J.G.; LaPlaca, M.C.; Bellamkonda, R.V. Immuno-suppressive hydrogels enhance allogeneic MSC survival after transplantation in the injured brain. *Biomaterials* **2021**, *266*, 120419.
- 66. Xiang, P.M.K.; Zhi, H.W.; Aziz, N.S.; Hadri, N.A.; Ghazalli, N.F.; Yusop, N. Optimization of agarose-alginate hydrogel bead components for encapsulation and transportation of stem cells. *J. Taibah Univ. Med. Sci.* **2023**, *18*, 104–116.
- 67. Boido, M.; Ghibaudi, M.; Gentile, P.; Favaro, E.; Fusaro, R.; Tonda-Turo, C. Chitosan-based hydrogel to support the paracrine activity of mesenchymal stem cells in spinal cord injury treatment. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 6402.
- 68. Sharifi, F.; Hasani, M.; Atyabi, S.M.; Yu, B.; Ghalandari, B.; Li, D.; Ghorbani, F.; Irani, S.; Gholami, M. Mesenchymal stem cells encapsulation in chitosan and carboxymethyl chitosan hydrogels to enhance osteo-differentiation. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **2022**, *49*, 12063–12075.
- Liu, T.; Li, J.; Shao, Z.; Ma, K.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, B.; Zhang, Y. Encapsulation of mesenchymal stem cells in chitosan/betaglycerophosphate hydrogel for seeding on a novel calcium phosphate cement scaffold. *Med. Eng. Phys.* 2018, 56, 9–15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 70. Zhu, S.; Yu, C.; Liu, N.; Zhao, M.; Chen, Z.; Liu, J.; Li, G.; Huang, H.; Guo, H.; Sun, T.; et al. Injectable conductive gelatin methacrylate/oxidized dextran hydrogel encapsulating umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells for myocardial infarction treatment. *Bioact. Mater.* **2022**, *13*, 119–134. [CrossRef]
- Gan, Y.; Li, S.; Li, P.; Xu, Y.; Wang, L.; Zhao, C.; Ouyang, B.; Tu, B.; Zhang, C.; Luo, L.; et al. A Controlled Release Codelivery System of MSCs Encapsulated in Dextran/Gelatin Hydrogel with TGF-beta3-Loaded Nanoparticles for Nucleus Pulposus Regeneration. *Stem Cells Int.* 2016, 2016, 9042019. [CrossRef]
- 72. Liu, Z.Q.; Wei, Z.; Zhu, X.L.; Huang, G.Y.; Xu, F.; Yang, J.H.; Osada, Y.; Zrínyi, M.; Li, J.H.; Chen, Y.M. Dextran-based hydrogel formed by thiol-Michael addition reaction for 3D cell encapsulation. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* 2015, 128, 140–148. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. Kim, C.J.; Park, E.-H.; Ryu, S.; Lee, Y.; Kim, E.; Kang, K.; Lee, K.Y.; Choo, E.-H.; Hwang, B.-H.; Youn, H.-J.; et al. MSC-Encapsulating in Situ Cross-Linkable Gelatin Hydrogels to Promote Myocardial Repair. ACS Appl. Bio Mater. 2020, 3, 1646–1655. [CrossRef]
- Tzouanas, S.N.; Ekenseair, A.K.; Kasper, F.K.; Mikos, A.G. Mesenchymal stem cell and gelatin microparticle encapsulation in thermally and chemically gelling injectable hydrogels for tissue engineering. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part A* 2014, 102, 1222–1230. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Pangjantuk, A.; Kaokaen, P.; Kunhorm, P.; Chaicharoenaudomrung, N.; Noisa, P. 3D culture of alginate-hyaluronic acid hydrogel supports the stemness of human mesenchymal stem cells. *Sci. Rep.* **2024**, *14*, 4436. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hao, S.; Tian, C.; Bai, Y.; Wu, L.; Hao, L.; Kuang, Y.; Yang, S.; Mao, H.; Gu, Z. Photo-crosslinkable hyaluronic acid microgels with reactive oxygen species scavenging capacity for mesenchymal stem cell encapsulation. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2023, 243, 124971. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 77. Chung, C.; Burdick, J.A. Influence of three-dimensional hyaluronic acid microenvironments on mesenchymal stem cell chondrogenesis. *Tissue Eng. Part A* 2009, 15, 243–254. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Na, K.-S.; Fernandes-Cunha, G.M.; Varela, I.B.; Lee, H.J.; Seo, Y.A.; Myung, D. Effect of mesenchymal stromal cells encapsulated within polyethylene glycol-collagen hydrogels formed in situ on alkali-burned corneas in an ex vivo organ culture model. *Cytotherapy* 2021, 23, 500–509. [CrossRef]
- 79. Jiang, Z.; Jiang, K.; Si, H.; McBride, R.; Kisiday, J.; Oakey, J. One Step Encapsulation of Mesenchymal Stromal Cells in PEG Norbornene Microgels for Therapeutic Actions. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.* **2023**, *9*, 6322–6332. [CrossRef]
- 80. Tee, B.C.; Desai, K.G.H.; Kennedy, K.S.; Sonnichsen, B.; Kim, D.-G.; Fields, H.W.; Mallery, S.R.; Schwendeman, S.P.; Sun, Z. Reconstructing jaw defects with MSCs and PLGA-encapsulated growth factors. *Am. J. Transl. Res.* **2016**, *8*, 2693–2704.
- Shen, N.; Polyanskaya, A.; Qi, X.; Al Othman, A.; Permyakova, A.; Volkova, M.; Mezentsev, A.; Durymanov, M. Modification of mesenchymal stromal cells with silibinin-loaded PLGA nanoparticles improves their therapeutic efficacy for cutaneous wound repair. *Nanomedicine* 2024, 61, 102767. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xu, H.; Huang, S.; Wang, J.; Lan, Y.; Feng, L.; Zhu, M.; Xiao, Y.; Cheng, B.; Xue, W.; Guo, R. Enhanced cutaneous wound healing by functional injectable thermo-sensitive chitosan-based hydrogel encapsulated human umbilical cord-mesenchymal stem cells. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2019, 137, 433–441. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 83. Choe, G.; Park, J.; Park, H.; Lee, J.Y. Hydrogel Biomaterials for Stem Cell Microencapsulation. *Polymers* **2018**, *10*, 997. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 84. Halldorsson, S.; Lucumi, E.; Gomez-Sjoberg, R.; Fleming, R.M.T. Advantages and challenges of microfluidic cell culture in polydimethylsiloxane devices. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2015**, *63*, 218–231. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, H.; Zhang, L.; An, C.; Zhang, Y.; Shao, F.; Gao, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Li, H.; Zhang, Y.; Ren, C.; et al. Large-scale single-cell encapsulation in microgels through metastable droplet-templating combined with microfluidic-integration. *Biofabrication* 2022, 14, 035015. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 86. Alkayyali, T.; Cameron, T.; Haltli, B.; Kerr, R.; Ahmadi, A. Microfluidic and cross-linking methods for encapsulation of living cells and bacteria—A review. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2019, 1053, 1–21. [CrossRef]
- Nativel, F.; Smith, A.; Boulestreau, J.; Lépine, C.; Baron, J.; Marquis, M.; Vignes, C.; Le Guennec, Y.; Veziers, J.; Lesoeur, J.; et al. Micromolding-based encapsulation of mesenchymal stromal cells in alginate for intraarticular injection in osteoarthritis. *Mater. Today Bio.* 2023, *19*, 100581. [CrossRef]
- Hui, T.; Cheung, K.; Cheung, W.; Chan, D.; Chan, B. In vitro chondrogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells in collagen microspheres: Influence of cell seeding density and collagen concentration. *Biomaterials* 2008, 29, 3201–3212. [CrossRef]
- Moshaverinia, A.; Chen, C.; Akiyama, K.; Ansari, S.; Xu, X.; Chee, W.W.; Schricker, S.R.; Shi, S. Alginate hydrogel as a promising scaffold for dental-derived stem cells: An in vitro study. J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med. 2012, 23, 3041–3051. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- An, C.; Liu, W.; Zhang, Y.; Pang, B.; Liu, H.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, H.; Zhang, L.; Liao, H.; Ren, C.; et al. Continuous microfluidic encapsulation of single mesenchymal stem cells using alginate microgels as injectable fillers for bone regeneration. *Acta Biomater*. 2020, 111, 181–196. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Lalegul-Ulker, O.; Seker, S.; Elcin, A.E.; Elcin, Y.M. Encapsulation of bone marrow-MSCs in PRP-derived fibrin microbeads and preliminary evaluation in a volumetric muscle loss injury rat model: Modular muscle tissue engineering. *Artif. Cells Nanomed. Biotechnol.* 2019, 47, 10–21. [CrossRef]
- Wise, J.K.; Alford, A.I.; Goldstein, S.A.; Stegemann, J.P. Synergistic enhancement of ectopic bone formation by supplementation of freshly isolated marrow cells with purified MSC in collagen–chitosan hydrogel microbeads. *Connect. Tissue Res.* 2016, 57, 516–525. [CrossRef]
- Ansari, S.; Chen, C.; Hasani-Sadrabadi, M.M.; Yu, B.; Zadeh, H.H.; Wu, B.M.; Moshaverinia, A. Hydrogel elasticity and microarchitecture regulate dental-derived mesenchymal stem cell-host immune system cross-talk. *Acta Biomater.* 2017, 60, 181–189. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Skok, V.I. Channel-blocking mechanism ensures specific blockade of synaptic transmission. Neuroscience 1986, 17, 1–9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Deng, Y.; Zhou, H.; Yan, C.; Wang, Y.; Xiao, C.; Gu, P.; Fan, X. In Vitro osteogenic induction of bone marrow stromal cells with encapsulated gene-modified bone marrow stromal cells and in vivo implantation for orbital bone repair. *Tissue Eng. Part A* 2014, 20, 2019–2029. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 96. Kong, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Li, D.; Shen, H.; Yan, M. Dual delivery of encapsulated BM-MSCs and BMP-2 improves osteogenic differentiation and new bone formation. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part A* **2019**, 107, 2282–2295. [CrossRef]
- 97. Moshaverinia, A.; Xu, X.; Chen, C.; Ansari, S.; Zadeh, H.H.; Snead, M.L.; Shi, S. Application of stem cells derived from the periodontal ligament or gingival tissue sources for tendon tissue regeneration. *Biomaterials* **2014**, *35*, 2642–2650. [CrossRef]
- Choi, S.; Kim, J.H.; Ha, J.; Jeong, B.I.; Jung, Y.C.; Lee, G.S.; Woo, H.M.; Kang, B.J. Intra-Articular Injection of Alginate-Microencapsulated Adipose Tissue-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells for the Treatment of Osteoarthritis in Rabbits. *Stem Cells Int.* 2018, 2018, 2791632. [CrossRef]
- 99. Levit, R.D.; Landázuri, N.; Phelps, E.A.; Brown, M.E.; García, A.J.; Davis, M.E.; Joseph, G.; Long, R.; Safley, S.A.; Suever, J.D.; et al. Cellular encapsulation enhances cardiac repair. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* **2013**, *2*, e000367. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 100. de Jong, R.; van Hout, G.P.; Houtgraaf, J.H.; Kazemi, K.; Wallrapp, C.; Lewis, A.; Pasterkamp, G.; Hoefer, I.E.; Duckers, H.J. Intracoronary infusion of encapsulated glucagon-like peptide-1-eluting mesenchymal stem cells preserves left ventricular function in a porcine model of acute myocardial infarction. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Interv.* 2014, 7, 673–683. [CrossRef]
- 101. Yu, J.; Du, K.T.; Fang, Q.; Gu, Y.; Mihardja, S.S.; Sievers, R.E.; Wu, J.C.; Lee, R.J. The use of human mesenchymal stem cells encapsulated in RGD modified alginate microspheres in the repair of myocardial infarction in the rat. *Biomaterials* 2010, 31, 7012–7020. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 102. Mu, L.; Dong, R.; Li, C.; Chen, J.; Huang, Y.; Li, T.; Guo, B. ROS responsive conductive microspheres loaded with salvianolic acid B as adipose derived stem cell carriers for acute myocardial infarction treatment. *Biomaterials* 2025, 314, 122849. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 103. Wang, Y.; Zhang, G.; Hou, Y.; Chen, J.; Wang, J.; Zou, C.; Li, D.; Li, H.; Zhang, Q.; Wang, A.; et al. Transplantation of microencapsulated Schwann cells and mesenchymal stem cells augment angiogenesis and improve heart function. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* 2012, 366, 139–147. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 104. Landázuri, N.; Levit, R.D.; Joseph, G.; Ortega-Legaspi, J.M.; Flores, C.A.; Weiss, D.; Sambanis, A.; Weber, C.J.; Safley, S.A.; Taylor, W.R. Alginate microencapsulation of human mesenchymal stem cells as a strategy to enhance paracrine-mediated vascular recovery after hindlimb ischaemia. *J. Tissue Eng. Regen. Med.* 2016, 10, 222–232. [CrossRef]
- 105. Basile, G.; Qadir, M.M.F.; Mauvais-Jarvis, F.; Vetere, A.; Shoba, V.; Modell, A.E.; Pastori, R.L.; Russ, H.A.; Wagner, B.K.; Dominguez-Bendala, J. Emerging diabetes therapies: Bringing back the beta-cells. *Mol. Metab.* **2022**, *60*, 101477. [CrossRef]
- 106. Razavi, M.; Ren, T.; Zheng, F.; Telichko, A.; Wang, J.; Dahl, J.J.; Demirci, U.; Thakor, A.S. Facilitating islet transplantation using a three-step approach with mesenchymal stem cells, encapsulation, and pulsed focused ultrasound. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 2020, 11, 405. [CrossRef]
- 107. Badyra, B.; Sułkowski, M.; Milczarek, O.; Majka, M. Mesenchymal stem cells as a multimodal treatment for nervous system diseases. *Stem Cells Transl. Med.* **2020**, *9*, 1174–1189. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 108. Mukai, T.; Sei, K.; Nagamura-Inoue, T. Mesenchymal stromal cells: Cell-based therapies for traumatic central nervous system injuries. *J. Integr. Neurosci.* 2022, 21, 44. [CrossRef]
- 109. Stucky, E.C.; Schloss, R.S.; Yarmush, M.L.; Shreiber, D.I. Alginate micro-encapsulation of mesenchymal stromal cells enhances modulation of the neuro-inflammatory response. *Cytotherapy* **2015**, *17*, 1353–1364. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Barminko, J.; Kim, J.H.; Otsuka, S.; Gray, A.; Schloss, R.; Grumet, M.; Yarmush, M.L. Encapsulated mesenchymal stromal cells for in vivo transplantation. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 2011, 108, 2747–2758. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 111. Momin, E.N.; Vela, G.; Zaidi, H.A.; Quinones-Hinojosa, A. The Oncogenic Potential of Mesenchymal Stem Cells in the Treatment of Cancer: Directions for Future Research. *Curr. Immunol. Rev.* **2010**, *6*, 137–148. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 112. Kucerova, L.; Matuskova, M.; Hlubinova, K.; Altanerova, V.; Altaner, C. Tumor cell behaviour modulation by mesenchymal stromal cells. *Mol. Cancer* 2010, *9*, 129. [CrossRef]

- 113. Kleinschmidt, K.; Klinge, P.M.; Stopa, E.; Wallrapp, C.; Glage, S.; Geigle, P.; Brinker, T. Alginate encapsulated human mesenchymal stem cells suppress syngeneic glioma growth in the immunocompetent rat. *J. Microencapsul.* **2011**, *28*, 621–627. [CrossRef]
- 114. Han, B.; Lu, Y.; Meng, B.; Qu, B. Cellular loss after allogenic hepatocyte transplantation. *Transplantation* **2009**, *87*, 1–5. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 115. Jin, Y.; Shi, R.; Qi, T.; Li, Q.; Chen, C.; Gao, S.; Gao, F.; Yang, D.; Sun, G.; Xu, J.; et al. Adipose-derived stem cells show hepatic differentiation potential and therapeutic effect in rats with acute liver failure. *Acta Biochim. Biophys. Sin.* 2023, 55, 601–612. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 116. Wang, J.; Qiao, P.; Dong, L.; Li, F.; Xu, T.; Xie, Q. Microencapsulated rBMMSCs/calcium phosphate cement for bone formation in vivo. *Bio-Medical Mater. Eng.* 2014, 24, 835–843. [CrossRef]
- 117. Elahy, M.; Doschak, M.R.; Hughes, J.D.; Baindur-Hudson, S.; Dass, C.R. Alginate Bead-Encapsulated PEDF Induces Ectopic Bone Formation In Vivo in the Absence of Co-Administered Mesenchymal Stem Cells. *Curr. Drug Targets* 2018, 19, 467–478. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 118. Moshaverinia, A.; Chen, C.; Xu, X.; Akiyama, K.; Ansari, S.; Zadeh, H.H.; Shi, S. Bone regeneration potential of stem cells derived from periodontal ligament or gingival tissue sources encapsulated in RGD-modified alginate scaffold. *Tissue Eng. Part A* 2013, 20, 611–621. [CrossRef]
- Moshaverinia, A.; Ansari, S.; Chen, C.; Xu, X.; Akiyama, K.; Snead, M.L.; Zadeh, H.H.; Shi, S. Co-encapsulation of anti-BMP2 monoclonal antibody and mesenchymal stem cells in alginate microspheres for bone tissue engineering. *Biomaterials* 2013, 34, 6572–6579. [CrossRef]
- McKinney, J.M.; Doan, T.N.; Wang, L.; Deppen, J.; Reece, D.S.; Pucha, K.A.; Ginn, S.; Levit, R.D.; Willett, N.J. Therapeutic efficacy of intra-articular delivery of encapsulated human mesenchymal stem cells on early stage osteoarthritis. *Eur. Cell Mater.* 2019, 37, 422–459. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 121. Moshaverinia, A.; Xu, X.; Chen, C.; Akiyama, K.; Snead, M.L.; Shi, S. Dental mesenchymal stem cells encapsulated in an alginate hydrogel co-delivery microencapsulation system for cartilage regeneration. *Acta Biomater.* 2013, *9*, 9343–9350. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 122. Moshaverinia, A.; Chen, C.; Akiyama, K.; Xu, X.; Chee, W.W.L.; Schricker, S.R.; Shi, S. Encapsulated dental-derived mesenchymal stem cells in an injectable and biodegradable scaffold for applications in bone tissue engineering. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part A* 2013, 101, 3285–3294.
- 123. Karoubi, G.; Ormiston, M.L.; Stewart, D.J.; Courtman, D.W. Single-cell hydrogel encapsulation for enhanced survival of human marrow stromal cells. *Biomaterials* **2009**, *30*, 5445–5455.
- 124. Sayyar, B.; Dodd, M.; Wen, J.; Ma, S.; Marquez-Curtis, L.; Janowska-Wieczorek, A.; Hortelano, G. Encapsulation of factor IX-engineered mesenchymal stem cells in fibrinogen-alginate microcapsules enhances their viability and transgene secretion. *J. Tissue Eng.* 2012, 3, 2041731412462018.
- 125. Gurruchaga, H.; Ciriza, J.; Saenz Del Burgo, L.; Rodriguez-Madoz, J.R.; Santos, E.; Prosper, F.; Hernández, R.M.; Orive, G.; Pedraz, J.L. Cryopreservation of microencapsulated murine mesenchymal stem cells genetically engineered to secrete erythropoietin. *Int. J. Pharm.* 2015, 485, 15–24. [PubMed]
- 126. Schwieger, J.; Hamm, A.; Gepp, M.M.; Schulz, A.; Hoffmann, A.; Lenarz, T.; Scheper, V. Alginate-encapsulated brain-derived neurotrophic factor–overexpressing mesenchymal stem cells are a promising drug delivery system for protection of auditory neurons. J. Tissue Eng. 2020, 11, 2041731420911313. [PubMed]
- 127. Goren, A.; Dahan, N.; Goren, E.; Baruch, L.; Machluf, M. Encapsulated human mesenchymal stem cells: A unique hypoimmunogenic platform for long-term cellular therapy. *FASEB J.* **2010**, *24*, 22–31. [PubMed]
- 128. Trouche, E.; Girod Fullana, S.; Mias, C.; Ceccaldi, C.; Tortosa, F.; Seguelas, M.H.; Calise, D.; Parini, A.; Cussac, D.; Sallerin, B. Evaluation of alginate microspheres for mesenchymal stem cell engraftment on solid organ. *Cell Transplant.* **2010**, *19*, 1623–1633.
- Leijs, M.J.; Villafuertes, E.; Haeck, J.C.; Koevoet, W.J.; Fernandez-Gutierrez, B.; Hoogduijn, M.J.; Verhaar, J.A.; Bernsen, M.R.; van Buul, G.M.; van Osch, G.J. Encapsulation of allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells in alginate extends local presence and therapeutic function. *Eur. Cell Mater.* 2017, *33*, 43–58. [CrossRef]
- Kin, K.; Yasuhara, T.; Date, I. Encapsulation of Mesenchymal Stem Cells: Dissecting the Underlying Mechanism of Mesenchymal Stem Cell Transplantation Therapy. *Neurosci. Insights* 2020, 15, 2633105520959064.
- 131. Azadi, S.A.; Vasheghani-Farahani, E.; Hashemi-Najafbabadi, S.; Godini, A. Co-encapsulation of pancreatic islets and pentoxifylline in alginate-based microcapsules with enhanced immunosuppressive effects. *Prog. Biomater.* **2016**, *5*, 101–109. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Acarregui, A.; Herrán, E.; Igartua, M.; Blanco, F.J.; Pedraz, J.L.; Orive, G.; Hernandez, R.M. Multifunctional hydrogel-based scaffold for improving the functionality of encapsulated therapeutic cells and reducing inflammatory response. *Acta Biomater*. 2014, 10, 4206–4216. [CrossRef]
- 133. Dang, T.T.; Thai, A.V.; Cohen, J.; Slosberg, J.E.; Siniakowicz, K.; Doloff, J.C.; Ma, M.; Hollister-Lock, J.; Tang, K.M.; Gu, Z.; et al. Enhanced function of immuno-isolated islets in diabetes therapy by co-encapsulation with an anti-inflammatory drug. *Biomaterials* 2013, 34, 5792–5801. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 134. Konradi, R.; Acikgoz, C.; Textor, M. Polyoxazolines for Nonfouling Surface Coatings—A Direct Comparison to the Gold Standard PEG. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2012**, *33*, 1663–1676. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 135. Li, G.; Cheng, G.; Xue, H.; Chen, S.; Zhang, F.; Jiang, S. Ultra low fouling zwitterionic polymers with a biomimetic adhesive group. *Biomaterials* **2008**, *29*, 4592–4597. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 136. Sanchez-Cano, C.; Carril, M. Recent Developments in the Design of Non-Biofouling Coatings for Nanoparticles and Surfaces. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2020, 21, 1007. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 137. Lorson, T.; Lübtow, M.M.; Wegener, E.; Haider, M.S.; Borova, S.; Nahm, D.; Jordan, R.; Sokolski-Papkov, M.; Kabanov, A.V.; Luxenhofer, R. Poly(2-oxazoline)s based biomaterials: A comprehensive and critical update. *Biomaterials* 2018, 178, 204–280. [CrossRef]
- Santos, E.; Pedraz, J.L.; Hernández, R.M.; Orive, G. Therapeutic cell encapsulation: Ten steps towards clinical translation. J. Control. Release 2013, 170, 1–14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 139. Garate, A.; Santos, E.; Pedraz, J.L.; Hernández, R.M.; Orive, G. Evaluation of different RGD ligand densities in the development of cell-based drug delivery systems. *J. Drug Target.* **2015**, *23*, 806–812. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.