

Supplementary

# A Random Forest Approach to Estimate Daily Particulate Matter, Nitrogen Dioxide and Ozone at Fine Spatial Resolution in Sweden

**Table S1.** Results of the Stage 1 model relating PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>2.5-10</sub> to co-located PM<sub>10</sub>: descriptive statistics and cross-validation fit.

Year	with PM <sub>10</sub>	OOB CV		10-fold CV		Predictions (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	
		R <sup>2</sup>	RMSE (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	R <sup>2</sup>	RMSE (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	median	25–75 pct.
<b>PM<sub>2.5</sub></b>							
2005	0.70	0.74	3.4	0.66	3.9	9.8	7.0–14.5
2006	0.70	0.73	4.1	0.60	5.0	10.1	7.0–14.5
2007	0.71	0.68	3.3	0.59	3.7	8.0	5.8–11.0
2008	0.65	0.62	3.1	0.43	3.8	8.0	5.7–11.1
2009	0.60	0.63	3.1	0.31	4.1	6.9	4.7–10.3
2010	0.60	0.74	3.1	0.61	3.7	6.0	4.3–9.1
2011	0.67	0.83	3.1	0.76	3.7	6.8	4.5–10.4
2012	0.52	0.68	2.8	0.38	4.0	6.1	4.0–9.1
2013	0.55	0.67	2.5	0.50	3.0	5.6	3.7–7.8
2014	0.70	0.79	2.7	0.71	3.2	6.6	4.3–10.0
2015	0.67	0.79	2.2	0.69	2.6	5.5	3.6–8.0
2016	0.61	0.67	2.3	0.47	3.0	5.1	3.5–7.4
<b>PM<sub>2.5-10</sub></b>							
2005	0.89	0.89	3.4	0.85	4.0	5.5	3.0–10.0
2006	0.84	0.85	3.9	0.78	4.7	5.6	3.3–10.8
2007	0.91	0.88	3.4	0.85	3.8	7.0	4.0–12.5
2008	0.92	0.90	3.0	0.83	3.8	6.7	3.7–12.1
2009	0.91	0.90	2.9	0.81	4.0	7.1	4.0–11.8
2010	0.86	0.89	3.0	0.83	3.8	6.0	3.6–10.5
2011	0.82	0.90	3.1	0.84	3.8	7.2	4.3–12.0
2012	0.89	0.91	2.7	0.82	3.8	6.1	3.3–10.2
2013	0.93	0.94	2.5	0.90	3.1	7.5	4.4–12.7
2014	0.82	0.87	2.7	0.79	3.3	6.8	3.8–10.4
2015	0.87	0.90	2.2	0.84	2.8	5.9	3.6–9.9
2016	0.91	0.90	2.3	0.83	3.1	5.7	3.3–9.8

**Table S2.** Results of the Stage 2 model relating MAIAC AOD to co-located CAMS AOD: descriptive statistics and statistics of model fit in OOB predictions.

Year	MAIAC AOD Observations			with CAMS AOD *	OOB CV		Predictions	
	% Missing	Median	25–75 pct.		R <sup>2</sup>	RMSE	Median	25–75 pct.
2005	91	0.10	0.05–0.17	0.70	0.83	0.04	0.26	0.17–0.34
2006	91	0.12	0.06–0.20	0.78	0.88	0.04	0.30	0.21–0.37
2007	91	0.08	0.04–0.15	0.70	0.84	0.04	0.28	0.20–0.35
2008	91	0.10	0.06–0.17	0.68	0.83	0.04	0.27	0.19–0.35
2009	91	0.11	0.06–0.18	0.65	0.83	0.04	0.30	0.21–0.38
2010	92	0.07	0.03–0.14	0.73	0.85	0.04	0.30	0.23–0.35
2011	92	0.11	0.06–0.20	0.70	0.86	0.04	0.29	0.19–0.36
2012	93	0.09	0.04–0.16	0.63	0.83	0.04	0.28	0.20–0.35
2013	91	0.09	0.04–0.17	0.73	0.87	0.04	0.28	0.16–0.36
2014	96	0.10	0.06–0.18	0.64	0.88	0.03	0.27	0.19–0.35
2015	91	0.07	0.03–0.14	0.69	0.85	0.04	0.26	0.16–0.34
2016	91	0.08	0.04–0.16	0.64	0.82	0.04	0.27	0.19–0.35

\* CAMS AOD at band = 0.469 nm, hour = 12.00 am

**Table S3.** Results of the Stage 3 model: statistics of model fit in predictions from “Out-of-bag” (OOB) samples.

	PM <sub>10</sub>		PM <sub>2.5</sub>		PM <sub>2.5-10</sub>		NO <sub>2</sub>		O <sub>3</sub>	
	R <sup>2</sup>	RMSE ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	R <sup>2</sup>	RMSE ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	R <sup>2</sup>	RMSE ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	R <sup>2</sup>	RMSE ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	R <sup>2</sup>	RMSE ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
Overall	0.64	7.2	0.69	3.1	0.65	4.8	0.74	8.3	0.77	8.7
<i>Spatial</i> *	0.67	2.5	0.86	1.0	0.80	1.3	0.86	3.6	0.79	3.0
<i>Temporal</i> **	0.61	6.9	0.65	3.0	0.59	4.8	0.56	7.6	0.76	8.5
By Year										
2005	0.62	8.2	0.64	4.1	0.63	4.6	0.77	7.9	0.74	9.7
2006	0.62	8.1	0.62	4.5	0.64	4.8	0.75	8.4	0.72	10.8
2007	0.66	7.7	0.67	3.3	0.66	4.9	0.77	7.8	0.68	9.3
2008	0.62	7.5	0.60	2.8	0.63	5.4	0.70	8.4	0.79	8.8
2009	0.60	7.6	0.59	3.2	0.59	5.5	0.74	7.8	0.76	8.6
2010	0.66	6.7	0.67	2.9	0.69	4.6	0.70	9.9	0.77	8.6
2011	0.65	7.3	0.74	3.5	0.66	4.8	0.74	8.5	0.80	8.5
2012	0.64	6.7	0.61	3.0	0.67	4.8	0.73	8.3	0.80	8.2
2013	0.65	7.1	0.62	2.2	0.67	5.6	0.73	8.6	0.82	7.9
2014	0.68	6.4	0.73	3.1	0.69	3.8	0.75	7.8	0.83	7.6
2015	0.62	6.3	0.69	2.6	0.64	3.9	0.73	8.0	0.80	7.3
2016	0.59	6.5	0.64	2.2	0.63	4.7	0.75	7.8	0.77	8.2
By Season										
<i>Jan–Mar</i>	0.63	8.6	0.68	3.8	0.65	5.6	0.74	9.1	0.74	9.0
<i>Apr–Jun</i>	0.62	8.2	0.63	3.2	0.65	5.8	0.74	8.0	0.69	8.6
<i>Jul–Sep</i>	0.66	4.3	0.72	2.2	0.62	2.8	0.74	7.2	0.68	8.7
<i>Oct–Dec</i>	0.61	6.3	0.72	2.9	0.56	4.3	0.72	8.6	0.70	8.4
By Monitor Location										
<i>Urban Traffic</i>	0.64	7.6	0.69	3.1	0.65	5.4	0.62	10.1	0.79	8.1
<i>Urban Background</i>	0.60	6.5	0.71	3.1	0.52	4.1	0.64	6.4	0.76	8.8
<i>Rural</i>	0.64	4.3	0.66	2.9	0.62	2.5	0.72	2.9	0.75	8.8
In Major Cities										
<i>Stockholm</i>	0.81	5.8	0.80	2.4	0.77	5.1	0.53	11.9	0.82	8.1
<i>Göteborg</i>	0.68	5.7	0.70	2.8	0.62	4.6	0.77	8.5	0.80	8.8
<i>Malmö</i>	0.80	4.3	0.84	3.1	0.52	3.1	0.68	7.5	0.89	5.8
<i>Uppsala</i>	0.47	8.8	0.69	2.8	0.44	6.8	0.34	12.2	-	-
<i>Umeå</i>	0.59	7.1	0.60	2.5	0.61	5.8	0.75	9.8	-	-

\* Computed comparing long-term average observations versus predictions.

\*\* Computed comparing deviations between daily and long-term average observations versus predictions.

**Table S4.** Results of the Stage 3 model: statistics of model fit in predictions from 10-fold cross-validation by monitors.

	PM <sub>10</sub>		PM <sub>2.5</sub>		PM <sub>2.5-10</sub>		NO <sub>2</sub>		O <sub>3</sub>	
	R <sup>2</sup>	RMSE (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	R <sup>2</sup>	RMSE (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	R <sup>2</sup>	RMSE (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	R <sup>2</sup>	RMSE (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	R <sup>2</sup>	RMSE (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
Overall	0.50	8.5	0.59	3.6	0.45	6.1	0.37	12.9	0.60	11.6
<i>Spatial*</i>	0.29	3.6	0.67	1.5	0.29	2.5	0.31	7.9	0.05	6.4
<i>Temporal**</i>	0.53	7.5	0.59	3.3	0.46	5.5	0.37	9.1	0.67	9.8
By Year										
2005	0.46	9.8	0.54	4.7	0.39	5.9	0.46	12.0	0.62	11.8
2006	0.46	9.7	0.50	5.1	0.42	6.2	0.43	12.8	0.54	13.8
2007	0.48	9.5	0.56	3.8	0.41	6.5	0.44	12.1	0.56	10.9
2008	0.44	9.0	0.43	3.3	0.43	6.6	0.37	12.2	0.64	11.4
2009	0.43	9.0	0.39	3.9	0.38	6.8	0.38	12.1	0.61	11.0
2010	0.52	7.9	0.57	3.3	0.50	5.8	0.34	14.7	0.52	12.3
2011	0.55	8.4	0.67	3.9	0.48	6.0	0.32	13.7	0.62	11.8
2012	0.50	7.8	0.46	3.5	0.50	5.9	0.34	12.9	0.65	10.8
2013	0.53	8.2	0.49	2.5	0.52	6.8	0.35	13.3	0.60	11.6
2014	0.54	7.6	0.67	3.4	0.42	5.2	0.34	12.6	0.65	10.8
2015	0.47	7.5	0.59	3.0	0.40	5.0	0.37	12.3	0.58	10.5
2016	0.45	7.6	0.53	2.5	0.42	5.8	0.36	12.5	0.59	10.9
By Season										
<i>Jan-Mar</i>	0.52	9.8	0.60	4.3	0.48	6.9	0.36	14.1	0.56	11.7
<i>Apr-Jun</i>	0.45	9.9	0.50	3.7	0.43	7.4	0.36	12.6	0.33	12.5
<i>Jul-Sep</i>	0.43	5.6	0.57	2.7	0.30	3.8	0.38	11.3	0.44	11.5
<i>Oct-Dec</i>	0.44	7.6	0.63	3.3	0.33	5.3	0.36	13.0	0.52	10.6
By Monitor Location										
<i>Urban Traffic</i>	0.54	8.6	0.59	3.5	0.50	6.5	0.37	13.0	0.59	11.2
<i>Urban Background</i>	0.50	7.2	0.65	3.5	0.38	4.6	0.29	9.0	0.61	11.1
<i>Rural</i>	0.34	5.9	0.54	3.4	0.20	3.6	0.62	3.4	0.60	11.0
In Major Cities										
<i>Stockholm</i>	0.47	9.5	0.70	3.0	0.56	7.1	0.14	16.1	0.30	15.8
<i>Göteborg</i>	0.50	7.1	0.57	3.3	0.35	6.0	0.38	14.1	0.70	10.8
<i>Malmö</i>	0.68	5.5	0.69	4.3	0.26	3.8	0.35	10.7	0.85	7.0
<i>Uppsala</i>	0.46	8.9	0.69	2.8	0.41	7.0	0.03	14.8	-	-
<i>Umeå</i>	0.41	8.5	0.37	3.1	0.44	7.0	0.27	16.8	-	-

**Table S5.** Distribution of PM<sub>10</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (µg/m<sup>3</sup>) from Random Forest (RF) and Dispersion Model (DM), Stockholm, 2015.

Model	Mean	SD	Percentiles					
			5th	25th	50th	75th	95th	
PM <sub>10</sub>								
RF	12.0	1.5	10.3	10.9	11.6	13.2	21.6	0.22
DM	11.8	2.7	9.7	10.1	11.0	12.5	16.2	
NO <sub>2</sub>								
RF	4.6	4.6	2.2	2.4	2.6	4.1	14.6	0.75
DM	7.7	4.8	2.8	4.3	6.4	9.5	16.9	