

Article **CO² Footprint of Kiwi Fruits Deduced from Field Measurements and Cultivation Energy Data**

Zoi-Panagiota Kryona [1](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5520-4084) , Georgios-Archimidis Tsalidis [2](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0670-1751) , Glykeria Loupa ² and Spyridon Rapsomanikis 2,[*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7883-0894)

- ¹ Department of Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Democritus University of Thrace, 67100 Xanthi, Greece; zoipkryo@env.duth.gr
- ² Unit of Environmental and Networking Technologies and Applications, ATHENA-RIC, University Campus, Kimmeria, 67100 Xanthi, Greece; gatsalidis@athenarc.gr (G.-A.T.); gloupa@athenarc.gr (G.L.)
- ***** Correspondence: rapso@athenarc.gr

Abstract: The unequivocal understanding of the planetary-global climate change has rendered the apportionment of sources and sinks of greenhouse gases in the terrestrial domain, an urgent priority. In the present study, the micrometeorological method of "dynamic gradient fluxes" coupled with the Monin–Obukhov similarity theory, was utilised for the determination of net ecosystem exchange of carbon dioxide (CO₂) from a kiwi plantation. This annual net exchange, in conjunction with the energy and fertiliser equivalent CO₂ used, established the CO₂ footprint of the produce. For the year 2023, the CO₂ Net Ecosystem Exchange (NEE) is -16.20 tonnes per hectare per year (CO₂ uptake by the plantation). The cultivation processes used throughout the year consumed +2.96 tonnes per hectare per year, and after deduction of this value from the NEE, the result is in a net $CO₂$ sink for the kiwi plantation of −13.24 tonnes per hectare per year. It is hence obvious that, under these conditions, the kiwi plantations in Greece can be net $CO₂$ sinks. This result is of increasing importance since the country is the fourth largest producer of kiwi globally, with production increasing in later years.

Keywords: CO² footprint; net ecosystem exchange; dynamic gradient method; kiwi plantation; $CO₂$ fluxes

1. Introduction

Climate change was predicted in the 19th century, but it was brought to public attention around 50 years ago [\[1\]](#page-7-0). Global warming, which effects it is confirmed by data series from a large number of terrestrial and ocean meteorological stations [\[2\]](#page-7-1). Also, we confirmed the warming in our area based on data from the past 50 years [\[3\]](#page-7-2).The long-living atmospheric gases and aerosol that are responsible for the greenhouse effect and hence global warming are named greenhouse gases (GHGs). The global average concentration of the most prominent of these gases, carbon dioxide (hereafter denoted as $CO₂$), methane (hereafter denoted as CH₄) and nitrous oxide (hereafter denoted as N₂O), has increased, non-linearly, since the onset of the Industrial Revolution. Concerning the increase in the global concentration of $CO₂$, this is apportioned between anthropogenic activities and natural environment sources and sinks. The European Union Regulation 2018/841 [\[4\]](#page-7-3) sets out the ways to calculate greenhouse gas emissions and sinks from land use, land use change, and forestry (LULUCF), along with Council Decision (EU) 2016/1841 of the 5 October 2016 on the conclusion of the Paris Agreement, adopted under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, and the IPCC 2021, Report on Climate Change "The Scientific Evidence" $[5,6]$ $[5,6]$. The regulation specifically states in Article 7 that there should be an "*Accounting of managed arable land, managed grassland, and managed wetlands"*, where: *"Each Member State shall account for greenhouse gas emissions and removals from managed crop land as emissions and removals in the periods from 2021 to 2025 and from 2026 to 2030, minus the value obtained by multiplying by five, the Member State's average annual emissions and removals resulting from managed crop land in the base period from 2005 to 2009*". In addition

Citation: Kryona, Z.-P.; Tsalidis, G.-A.; Loupa, G.; Rapsomanikis, S. CO₂ Footprint of Kiwi Fruits Deduced from Field Measurements and Cultivation Energy Data. *Atmosphere* **2024**, *15*, 1355. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos15111355) [10.3390/atmos15111355](https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos15111355)

Academic Editors: George Efthimiou and Yoshizumi Kajii

Received: 20 September 2024 Revised: 28 October 2024 Accepted: 7 November 2024 Published: 11 November 2024

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) $4.0/$).

to the regulatory obligations of the state, above, there is also the commercial side of the issue where the knowledge of the net carbon balance (emissions minus absorptions or vice versa) may increase the market value of a product. Furthermore, the production process may, "in principle", give an incentive to the producer to reduce the effects of climate change. In a growing season, the net carbon balance for a product is determined by the micrometeorological method's measurements of carbon emission and absorption from the plants (as $CO₂$), and the calculations of carbon emissions from the use of fuels, fertilizers, and insecticides/herbicides for the period. This is how the carbon footprint of the product is calculated after year/s long data acquisition and processing. The difficulty in these calculations is the accurate measurement of the $CO₂$ mass balance (absorption versus emission) in the cultivation of the product, for which precise and accurate measuring instrumentation and proper management of the results are needed. However, an accurate determination is not possible in most countries due to a lack of data, resulting in calculating such balances with algorithms. Besides, the guiding methodology is provided by the ISO 14067 [\[7\]](#page-7-6). For example, paragraph 6.3.5 notes 2 of ISO 14067: "*Crop-specific data refer to greenhouse gas emissions (GHGs) determined through direct monitoring, stoichiometry, mass balance, or similar methods, and activity data i.e., inputs and outputs of cultivation processes resulting in emissions or subtractions of GHGs, or emission factors. Data for a particular crop can be collected from that crop's cultivation field or can be averaged from all crops (in the same area) that follow procedures common to all of them*". The appropriate measuring tools in this case are the micrometeorological methods of eddy covariance or dynamic gradient fluxes. The flux calculations with either of these methods, and by following the guidelines of ISO 14067, the carbon footprint of the products can be accurately and consistently calculated. The long-term monitoring of production processes will pave the way for the issue of ISO 14067 thereby increasing the commercial value of the producer's product. We have long-term field experience with both micrometeorological methods and, more specifically, the dynamic gradient fluxes method (hereafter denoted as DGF) [\[8](#page-7-7)[,9\]](#page-7-8). The net ecosystem exchange (NEE) of $CO₂$ and water vapour (hereafter denoted as H_2O) of an agricultural cultivation may be determined via the calculation of ecosystem emissions due to respiration processes, i.e., Gross Ecosystem Exchange (ECORESP) minus its Gross Primary Productivity (GROSSPP), both necessitating a diverse suite of instrumentation. It is also scientifically proven that the direct determination of fluxes of energies from or to a cultivated land proves the accuracy of the DGF method in determining the NEE of the $CO₂$ [\[10–](#page-7-9)[13\]](#page-7-10).

Concerning the $CO₂$ uptake or emission from kiwi plantations, the specifics of the fluxes from enclosed orchards used in New Zealand, do not apply to the open plantation arrangements of Southern Europe [\[10,](#page-7-9)[14\]](#page-7-11). For Southern European plantations, the climate, irrigation, and the energy use conditions differ from country to country and from year to year [\[15–](#page-7-12)[17\]](#page-8-0). In the present study, the DGF method was used to directly determine the exchanges of energy and mass of $CO₂$ between the kiwi plantation and the atmosphere. The positive NEE values represent net $CO₂$ emissions to the atmosphere and the negative values represent the $CO₂$ amount sequestered from the atmosphere, according to the atmospheric science convention. The present experimental work is the first that will provide a $CO₂$ footprint for kiwi fruits in Eastern Mediterranean countries like Greece, based on actual micrometeorological measurements and not on "life cycle assessment" modelling. The data were collected for nine months and linearly interpolated to a year.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Site Description

Measurements were carried out in a typical Mediterranean kiwi orchard at Chrysoupolis (40◦56′53.26′′ N, 24◦40′42.75′′ E, approximately 11 m a.s.l.) during the 2023 growing season. The sampling site is in the northeastern part of Greece and is about 8 km from the coast. The area has a minor influence from anthropogenic sources, since the nearest town of 8885 inhabitants is 3.5 km away. The neighbouring delta of the Nestos River is 6 km away, and in the surrounding area of the sampling site, there are only agricultural activities. The

rows are oriented in the northeast direction with 4 m between plants and 3.7 m between rows. It is irrigated during the dry season through a flooding system. The flux footprint for the duration of the experimental season was constantly calculated using the method of Cormann and Meixner [\[18\]](#page-8-1).

2.2. Experimental Setup

The instrumentation was installed on a 5 m micrometeorological tower at three different heights above the ground (2.5 m, 3.7 m, and 4.6 m). This system consisted of instruments used to determine the vertical profiles of horizontal wind speed (WS, ms⁻¹), air temperature (T, $^{\circ}$ C), relative humidity (RH, %) and CO₂ concentrations (CO₂, ppmv).

Three 2D Ultrasonic Anemometers (model 4.3880.00.000, Thies-CLIMA, Adolf Thies GmbH & Co. KG, 37083 Göttingen, Germany) were positioned at the same heights as the Compact Hygro-Thermo Transmitters, model 1.1005.64.173 (ThiesCLIMA, Adolf Thies GmbH & Co. KG, 37083 Göttingen, Germany) in their radiation shields.

At each of these heights (2.5 m, 3.7 m, 4.6 m), inlets of sampling tubing were also installed. These three tubings were connected to a valve control box (constructed in the laboratory), housed in a weather-proof enclosure near the base of the mast. The valve control box consisted of four threeway Galtek Solenoid Operated Diaphragm Valves (Entegris, Inc., Billerica, MA 01821, USA). The outlet of the valve control box was connected to the inlet of an Infrared Gas Sensor, Gascard NG for $CO₂$ (0–1000 ppmv parts per million by volume) (Edinburgh Instruments Ltd., Livingston EH54 7DQ, UK), which used a pump (model SP 100 SA-VD 230V/50Hz 7s09046, Schwartzer Precision GmbH, 45141 Essen, Germany) connected to its outlet. The sampling cycle of the valve control box was synchronised to the sampling time of the $CO₂$ analyser. To determine the vertical profile of $CO₂$ concentrations, sequential concentration measurements between the three heights were conducted (every 10 min). The suitability of such a system for the determination of gradient flux measurements has been confirmed in the literature [\[19\]](#page-8-2).

Data from all instruments were sent to two ADAM-4017 data acquisition modules (Advantech Co., Ltd., Blue Ash, OH 45241, USA) and analysed using the DASYLAB V13.00.0 software program (Measurement Computing Corporation, Norton, MA 02766, USA) installed on an industrial computer (model ARK-2121LSYS, Advantech Co., Ltd., Blue Ash, OH 45241, USA). A RS485 to RS232 converter (ADAM 4520, Advantech Co., Ltd., Blue Ash, OH 45241, USA) was used to establish communication between the ADAM-4017 modules and the computer.

The main meteorological variables were measured with an Atmos-41 weather station (METER Group GmbH, 81379 München, Germany) and logged on ZL6 data logger (METER Group GmbH, 81379 München, Germany) every 10 min. For example, incoming solar radiation (Q, Wm−²), air temperature (T, ◦C), wind speed (WS, ms−¹), wind direction (wd, degrees), relative humidity (RH, %), precipitation (PCP, mm), and barometric pressure (P, kPa) were recorded. In addition, the soil moisture was monitored at −10 cm depth with an EC-5 Soil Moisture Probe (METER Group GmbH, 81379 München, Germany).

Flux-gradient data were acquired at 1 Hz and averaged every 40 min. Real-time monitoring of the instrumentation and daily data collection were achieved with a 4G router (model TP-LINK Archer MR200, COSMOTE S.A., 67100 Xanthi, Greece) connected to the remote office computer.

2.3. The Dynamic Gradient Flux Method

The method used for the determination of $CO₂$ flux densities in the present study has been described extensively in our previous publications [\[8](#page-7-7)[,9\]](#page-7-8) and in the free electronic literature at the website [https://docs.neanias.eu/en/latest,](https://docs.neanias.eu/en/latest) under the section "Atmospheric services—A1 ATMOFLUD", which is our contribution to the EU project "NEANIAS" (accessed on 19 October 2024).

2.4. Indirect CO² Emissions Calculations

While direct $CO₂$ emissions were calculated as described above, this study includes the $CO₂$ emissions during the production of applied fertilisers and chelated calcium, as well as the operation of machinery at the kiwi orchard. Table [1](#page-3-0) shows the annual direct CO₂ emissions from fertilisers, chelated calcium, diesel in tractor, and electricity for irrigation purposes.

Table 1. Direct CO₂ emissions of consumables used in the kiwi orchard annually.

2.5. Energy Budget

From the acquired data, sensible and latent heat fluxes were determined using the DGF method. Hence, the energy balance closure was used to evaluate the accuracy of the determination flux densities of $CO₂$. In the literature, deviations of about 20–30% from closure are commonly observed in surface energy budget measurements. This is due to the energy storage of the ground and the foliage. The energy balance equation is as follows:

$$
Q = QH + QE + G,\tag{1}
$$

where Q is the net all-wave radiation, QH and QE are the respective turbulent fluxes of sensible and latent heat, and G is the net change in heat storage within the ground and the foliage, down to a level where heat exchanges become negligible. An equivalent example for cities is described in the literature [\[8\]](#page-7-7).

3. Results

3.1. Meteorological Conditions

Figure [1a](#page-3-1) depicts the mean RH and air temperature, and Figure [1b](#page-3-1) the respective values of precipitation for each month during 2023.

Figure 1. Mean monthly RH and T at 2.5 m (**a**); mean monthly precipitation (**b**). **Figure 1.** Mean monthly RH and T at 2.5 m (**a**); mean monthly precipitation (**b**).

Year 2023 was a dry year, as Figure [2b](#page-4-0) confirms. The annual rainfall level for the area during 1971–2000 was 513.61 mm [\(http://climatlas.hnms.gr/sdi/;](http://climatlas.hnms.gr/sdi/) accessed on the 1 October 2024). For the year 2023, the same region had a rainfall level of 442.4 mm [\(http://emy.gr/emy/en/climatology;](http://emy.gr/emy/en/climatology) accessed on the 1 October 2024). However, irrigation was affected by flood irrigation with water extracted from a nearby gravity-fed channel was affected by flood irrigation with water extracted from a nearby gravity-fed channel with water originating from the nearby river Nestos. with water originating from the nearby river Nestos.

Figure 2. Average monthly diurnal variation of energy and CO_2 fluxes (a) and RH. T, WS (b).

3.2. Energy Fluxes and CO² Flux (FCO2)

 QH , QE , and $FCO₂$ were determined by the above-mentioned micrometeorological method. The diurnal variation of all calculated fluxes during the two months (one in winter time and one in the summertime) are compared in Figure [2a](#page-4-0), along with the respective RH, T and WS in Figure [2b](#page-4-0).

The scatterplot in Figure [3](#page-5-0) presents the relationship of the sum of the heat fluxes QH + QE with the net all wave radiation Q, all experimentally observed in the field. The slope of the driven linear regression line reveals that 30% of Q is the energy storage of the ground and the foliage.

Figure 3. Heat flux densities' relationship with net-all wave radiation in the kiwi plantation at Chrysoupolis—GR (2023). Chrysoupolis—GR (2023). Chrysoupolis—GR (2023).

Figure [4](#page-5-1) presents the monthly average FCO₂ experimentally determined in situ plus the estimated flux for the months of January, November, and December 2023. The final CO₂ uptake necessitated the linear interpolation method for our data to a full year, as described and used for "FLUXNET" and other literature [met](#page-8-4)[hod](#page-8-5)s [21–25].

Figure 4. Monthly CO_2 flux densities (mean \pm SD) in the kiwi plantation at Chrysoupolis—GR (2023).

The annual sum of the NEE for the plantation is -16.20 tonnes of $CO₂$, uptake/assimilation per hectare or 8.10 tonnes of $CO₂$ for the 0.5 hectare of the plantation size.

4. Discussion

The energy balance closure reveals that the energy storage was, on average, 30% of the net all wave radiation, thus the accuracy of the determination flux densities of $CO₂$ through the DGF method was validated. Without foliage and fruits, positive $FCO₂$ was observed, but during the growing season, negative fluxes were observed.

The subtraction of indirectly emitted $CO₂$ (e.g., use of diesel for field work, transport, and fertiliser production and application) from the NEE-summed annual $CO₂$ values, yields the $CO₂$ balance for the annual production of kiwi, thus determining the footprint for the production of a kilogram or tonne of kiwi (see Table [1](#page-3-0) for indirectly accounted $CO₂$ emissions) [\[20\]](#page-8-3).

In the present study, net $CO₂$ emissions/uptake amount to -16.20 tonnes per hectare, or −8.10 tonnes for the plantation (at the 95% confidence limit). The plantation produced 17.50 tonnes of kiwi fruit in the 2023 growing season. This translates to the assimilation of 0.463 kg of $CO₂$ per kg of produced kiwi fruit. The sum of required $CO₂$ for cultivation processes, as calculated in Table [1,](#page-3-0) is 0.084 kg CO₂ per kg of produced kiwi fruit. Subtracting this later value from the 0.463 kg of assimilated $CO₂$, we have a result of a total of 0.379 kg assimilated $CO₂$ per kg of produced kiwi fruit. In simpler words, each kg of produced kiwi has a negative footprint of 0.379 kg $CO₂$. On the plantation scale, the net $CO₂$ uptake was (−) 6.63 tonnes for the 2023 growing season or (−) 13.24 tonnes per hectare. Comparison with the literature findings is tabulated in Table [2.](#page-6-0)

Table 2. CO₂ assimilation data for kiwi orchards.

It can be seen that our data agree with the data from kiwi orchards in similar Southern Mediterranean climates (albeit determined using LCA and based on 20 year averages). It is also apparent that even data obtained with the eddy covariance method are not distinctly different from the data of our present work.

5. Conclusions

We used the DGF micro-meteorological method for the annual observation and determination of $CO₂$ and $H₂O$ fluxes over a kiwi orchard in northeast Greece. The sequential sampling of the conservative $CO₂$ at three different heights and the simultaneous sampling of H_2O resulted in robust data (at the 95% confidence interval) as proved by the energy balance method. This proves the validity of the "old" method, which, for the average plantation manager, is financially viable to use at a cost of less than EUR 10,000. The year 2023 was a relatively dry year, but the plantation was flood-irrigated. After subtracting the $CO₂$ emissions for the cultivation management processes from the NEE of the $CO₂$ assimilation by the plantation, one reaches a negative footprint of 0.379 kg of $CO₂$ per kg of produced kiwi fruit. This value, of course, is plantation- and year-specific, but it is indicative of the rest of the plantations in the area as a directly determined footprint estimation. The results are important for Greek kiwi producers, since this cultivation is constantly increases in numbers and tonnage [\(https://www.zim.com/fr/zim-blog/the-greek-kiwifruit-harvest-kicks-off;](https://www.zim.com/fr/zim-blog/the-greek-kiwifruit-harvest-kicks-off) accessed on 15 October 2024). However, the two caveats concerning the endeavour of

these field determinations are as follows: firstly, the specialised personnel necessary to set up, record, and process the data acquired; secondly, the perennial problem of dealing with missing data during the year. On a positive note, specialised personnel are seriously needed in the new era of the production of agricultural products for the food industry.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.R. and G.L.; methodology, S.R.; software, Z.-P.K.; validation, G.L., Z.-P.K. and S.R.; formal analysis, Z.-P.K.; investigation, Z.-P.K.; resources, G.-A.T.; data curation, G.-A.T.; writing—original draft preparation, S.R.; writing—review and editing, G.L.; visualisation, Z.-P.K.; supervision, G.L.; project administration, S.R.; funding acquisition, S.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The datasets presented in this article are not readily available because they are part of an ongoing study and are also subject to an embargo from the date of publication to allow for commercialisation of the research findings. Requests to access the datasets should be directed to S.R.

Acknowledgments: We acknowledge the help and assistance of the owner of the plantation A. Lapantonis who was also exceptionally patient with our requests for electricity supply and with our frequent visits to the plantation.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Bolin, B.; Houghton, J. Berlin and global warming policy. *Nature* **1995**, *375*, 176. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/375176a0)
- 2. Rhode, R. Global Temperature Report for 2023. 2024. Available online: [https://berkeleyearth.org/global-temperature-report-for-](https://berkeleyearth.org/global-temperature-report-for-2023/)[2023/](https://berkeleyearth.org/global-temperature-report-for-2023/) (accessed on 1 October 2024).
- 3. Gratton, G.; Padhra, A.; Rapsomanikis, S.; Williams, P.D. The impacts of climate change on Greek airports. *Clim. Chang.* **2020**, *160*, 219–231. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-019-02634-z)
- 4. EU. Regulation (EU) 2018/841 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on the Inclusion of Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Removals from Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry in the 2030 Climate and Energy Framework, and Amending Regulation (EU) No 525/2013 and Decision No 529/2013/EU (Text with EEA Relevance). Available online: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/841/oj> (accessed on 6 November 2024).
- 5. EU. *On the Conclusion, on Behalf of the European Union of the Paris Agreement adopted under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change*; The Council of the European Union: Brussels, Belgium, 2016; p. 3.
- 6. IPCC. 2021: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. In *IPCC Climate Change*; Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, A., Pirani, S.L., Connors, C., Péan, S., Berger, N., Caud, Y., Chen, L., Goldfarb, M.I., Gomis, M., et al., Eds.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK; New York, NY, USA, 2021; p. 2391.
- 7. *NEN-EN-ISO 14067: 2018*; (en)-Greenhouse Gases-Carbon Footprint of Products-Requirements and Guidelines for Quantification. ISO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2018.
- 8. Rapsomanikis, S.; Trepekli, A.; Loupa, G.; Polyzou, C. Vertical energy and momentum fluxes in the centre of Athens, Greece during a heatwave period (Thermopolis 2009 Campaign). *Bound.-Layer Meteorol.* **2015**, *154*, 497–512. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-014-9979-2)
- 9. Harrison, R.M.; Rapsomanikis, S.; Turnbull, A. Land-surface exchange in a chemically-reactive system; surface fluxes of HNO $_3$, HCl and NH³ . *Atmos. Environ. (1967)* **1989**, *23*, 1795–1800. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(89)90062-0)
- 10. Jiang, S.; Liang, C.; Zhao, L.; Gong, D.; Huang, Y.; Xing, L.; Zhu, S.; Feng, Y.; Guo, L.; Cui, N. Energy and evapotranspiration partitioning over a humid region orchard: Field measurements and partitioning model comparisons. *J. Hydrol.* **2022**, *610*, 127890. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2022.127890)
- 11. Baldocchi, D.; Chu, H.; Reichstein, M. Inter-annual variability of net and gross ecosystem carbon fluxes: A review. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **2018**, *249*, 520–533. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.05.015)
- 12. Dyer, A. A review of flux-profile relationships. *Bound.-Layer Meteorol.* **1974**, *7*, 363–372. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00240838)
- 13. Dyer, A.J.; Hicks, B.B. Flux-gradient relationships in the constant flux layer. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* **1970**, *96*, 715–721. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49709641012)
- 14. Judd, M.; Prendergast, P.; McAneney, K. Carbon dioxide and latent heat flux measurements in a windbreak-sheltered orchard. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **1993**, *66*, 193–210. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1923(93)90071-O)
- 15. Pergola, M.; Persiani, A.; D'ammaro, D.; Pastore, V.; D'adamo, C.; Palese, A.M.; Celano, G. Environmental and energy analysis of two orchard systems: A case study in mediterranean environment. *Agronomy* **2022**, *12*, 2556. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12102556)
- 16. Rossi, F.; Facini, O.; Georgiadis, T.; Nardino, M. Seasonal CO² fluxes and energy balance in a kiwifruit orchard. *Ital. J. Agrometeorol.* **2007**, *1*, 44–56.
- 17. Rossi, F.; Chieco, C.; Virgilio, N.D.; Georgiadis, T.; Nardino, M. Is Agriculture Always a GHG Emitter? A Combination of Eddy Covariance and Life Cycle Assessment Approaches to Calculate C Intake and Uptake in a Kiwifruit Orchard. *Sustainability* **2021**, *13*, 6906. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/su13126906)
- 18. Kormann, R.; Meixner, F.X. An analytical footprint model for non-neutral stratification. *Bound.-Layer Meteorol.* **2001**, *99*, 207–224. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1018991015119)
- 19. Kamp, J.N.; Häni, C.; Nyord, T.; Feilberg, A.; Sørensen, L.L. The aerodynamic gradient method: Implications of non-simultaneous measurements at alternating heights. *Atmosphere* **2020**, *11*, 1067. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11101067)
- 20. Page, G.; Kelly, T.; Minor, M.; Cameron, E. Modeling carbon footprints of organic orchard production systems to address carbon trading: An approach based on life cycle assessment. *Hortscience* **2011**, *46*, 324–327. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTSCI.46.2.324)
- 21. Loubet, B.; Cellier, P.; Fléchard, C.; Zurfluh, O.; Irvine, M.; Lamaud, E.; Stella, P.; Roche, R.; Durand, B.; Flura, D.; et al. Investigating discrepancies in heat, $CO₂$ fluxes and $O₃$ deposition velocity over maize as measured by the eddy-covariance and the aerodynamic gradient methods. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **2013**, *169*, 35–50. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2012.09.010)
- 22. Falge, E.; Baldocchi, D.; Olson, R.; Anthoni, P.; Aubinet, M.; Bernhofer, C.; Burba, G.; Ceulemans, R.; Clement, R.; Dolman, H.; et al. Gap filling strategies for defensible annual sums of net ecosystem exchange. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **2001**, *107*, 43–69. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923(00)00225-2)
- 23. Jiang, Y.; Tang, R.; Li, Z.-L. A physical full-factorial scheme for gap-filling of eddy covariance measurements of daytime evapotranspiration. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **2022**, *323*, 109087. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2022.109087)
- 24. Kang, M.; Ichii, K.; Kim, J.; Indrawati, Y.M.; Park, J.; Moon, M.; Lim, J.-H.; Chun, J.-H. New gap-filling strategies for long-period flux data gaps using a data-driven approach. *Atmosphere* **2019**, *10*, 568. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos10100568)
- 25. Reichstein, M.; Falge, E.; Baldocchi, D.; Papale, D.; Aubinet, M.; Berbigier, P.; Bernhofer, C.; Buchmann, N.; Gilmanov, T.; Granier, A.; et al. On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: Review and improved algorithm. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **2005**, *11*, 1424–1439. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.001002.x)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.