

Hydrological Modeling in Water Cycle Processes

Weiguang Wang ¹, Lu Chen ²  and Chong-Yu Xu ^{3,*} 

¹ State Key Laboratory of Hydrology-Water Resources and Hydraulic Engineering, Hohai University, Nanjing 210098, China; wangweiguang@hhu.edu.cn

² School of Civil and Hydraulic Engineering, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China; chen_lu@hust.edu.cn

³ Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, NO-0316 Oslo, Norway

* Correspondence: c.y.xu@geo.uio.no

The water cycle shows the continuous and complex movement of water within the earth and atmosphere in which water moves from the land and ocean surface to the atmosphere and back in form of precipitation. The water cycle maintains the balance of the natural ecosystem and promotes the development of human society. In turn, the water cycle has been affected by human activities and a changing environment. Therefore, it is significant to understand the water-cycle processes and their responses to human interferences and environmental changes [1].

Developing hydrological models to describe the processes is a critical strategy of studying the water cycle. There are many forms of hydrological models, as they were originally designed to solve different problems, of which the two primary objectives are the followings: (1) to gain a better understanding of the hydrological processes operating in a catchment and of how changes in the catchment may affect these processes and their relationships; and (2) to generate synthetic sequences of hydrological data (in both gauged and ungauged catchments) for facility design, water resources management, and/or flow forecasting. In past decades, they were also used to study the potential impacts of changes in land use or climate, reservoir operation, real-time hydrodynamic streamflow routing, real-time flood inundation evaluation, etc.

Although great progress has been achieved in the application of hydrological models, challenges still exist in the area. Water-cycle processes become more complex and are increasingly affected by climate change and human interferences under global warming and increasing the number of water conservancy facilities. Current researches lack a further mechanism exploration about the impact of this changing environment on the water-cycle process and corresponding effective modeling methodology. Due to the complex character of the water cycle and the human activities and the change of natural ecosystems, uncertainty issues related to data, model parameters, and structure should be taken seriously [2]. Discussing these challenges, finding solutions, and presenting the latest achievements are the key purposes of this Special Issue.

Sixteen articles are selected and published in this Special Issue: fifteen research articles and one review covering quantification of elements of the water cycle, optimization of hydrological models, the impact and response of climate change and human activities, and hydrological model uncertainty as well as hydrological forecast.

Among the research articles, Wang et al. [3] evaluated the key water-cycle elements e.g., soil moisture, evapotranspiration, and generated surface runoff, by the stand-alone WRF model and the fully coupled WRF/WRF-Hydro modeling system. Szilagyi et al. [4] estimated the annual watershed precipitation by the calibration-free generalized complementary relationship of evaporation. The two articles show the quantification of water-cycle elements.

Optimization of hydrological models is significant to accurately quantify water-cycle elements. Zhu et al. [5] and Zhao et al. [6] explored the evaluation of satellite precipitation



Citation: Wang, W.; Chen, L.; Xu, C.-Y. Hydrological Modeling in Water Cycle Processes. *Water* **2021**, *13*, 1882. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w13141882>

Received: 1 July 2021
Accepted: 2 July 2021
Published: 7 July 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

products to VIC hydrological models over the upper Yangtze River Basin and the Yellow river Basin, respectively. Their results show that using satellite products to calibrate the model parameters could greatly improve the accuracy of hydrological model simulation.

Most of the papers evaluated the impact of climate change and human activities on watershed hydrological variables [7–13], which indicated that the influence of a changing environment on the water cycle has been of concerned to more researchers. Among these articles, the hydrological variability under climate change and human influence in the Wuding River Basin was investigated [7]; the result shows that climate change and human influence drive both evapotranspiration and runoff changes. Wang et al. [8] evaluated changes of flow and sediment transport in the Lower Min River under the impacts of the above two drivers. The research demonstrated that the reduction of precipitation is the leading cause of runoff reduction, followed by human activities. The paper of Liu et al. [9] came to the same conclusion in the Lancang River Basin. Meanwhile, Wen et al. [10] quantified the contribution of climate change and human activities (charactered by land changes) on the ecological instream flow. The result shows that the changes of ecological instream flow are in good line with precipitation and are mainly influenced by land changes. Next, three papers respectively evaluated the impact of climate change and human activities. Regarding the impact of climate change, Zhang et al. [11] analyzed the responses of rainfall runoff and snowmelt water to recent climate change in the Lhasa River Basin and the upstream of Niyang River Basin in the Tibetan Plateau. For both basins, increasing rainfall runoff was identified as the dominant driver for the upward trend in total runoff. In addition to addressing the impact of climate change on runoff, its impact on evapotranspiration was discussed by Cui et al. [12]. Regarding the impact of human activities, Wang et al. [13] found that artificial vegetation recovery may have a positive feedback effect on regional precipitation by comparing the spatial and temporal characteristics of precipitation in the Loess Plateau before and after the implementation of the grain for green project.

Three papers [14–16] predicted the hydrological cycle elements under the changing condition, including precipitation and runoff, and developed a new flood early-warning system through the forecast processes.

Finally, the research paper by Tang et al. [17] and the review by Moges et al. [18] addressed the issues of hydrological model uncertainty. The uncertainty stems from input and calibration data, model structure, and parameters. The different sources of uncertainty need different analysis methods. Each method has its skills and limitations, and none is universally superior.

To conclude, this Special Issue contains sixteen research papers and one review dealing with the problems of quantification and forecast of water-cycle elements, optimization of hydrological models combined with satellite products, and impacts and response of climate change and human activities as well as hydrological model uncertainty. The research findings are novel and provide further insight into aspects of hydrological science, which is of significance to explore the water cycle under a changing environment.

We believe that the collation of these papers contributes to piquing further interest in hydrological element measurements and modeling in water-cycle processes.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, all authors; methodology, all authors; writing—original draft preparation, W.W.; writing—review and editing, L.C. and C.-Y.X.; funding acquisition, all authors. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was partly funded by the National Science Foundation of China (51779073, 51979071) and the Research Council of Norway (FRINATEK Project 274310).

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank all authors for their great contributions to this Special Issue and the reviewers for their professional and rigorous work in the review process, which significantly improved the quality of the papers.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

1. Paul, A. The Importance of Hydrological Cycle on Earth. *Bhatter Coll. J. Multidiscip. Stud.* **2014**, *4*, 8.
2. Singh, V.P. Hydrologic Modeling: Progress and Future Directions. *Geosci. Lett.* **2018**, *5*, 15. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Wang, W.; Liu, J.; Li, C.; Liu, Y.; Yu, F.; Yu, E. An Evaluation Study of the Fully Coupled WRF/WRF-Hydro Modeling System for Simulation of Storm Events with Different Rainfall Evenness in Space and Time. *Water* **2020**, *12*, 1209. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Szilagyi, J. Water Balance Backward: Estimation of Annual Watershed Precipitation and Its Long-Term Trend with the Help of the Calibration-Free Generalized Complementary Relationship of Evaporation. *Water* **2020**, *12*, 1775. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Zhu, B.; Huang, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Kong, R.; Tian, J.; Zhou, Y.; Chen, S.; Duan, Z. Evaluation of TMPA Satellite Precipitation in Driving VIC Hydrological Model over the Upper Yangtze River Basin. *Water* **2020**, *12*, 3230. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Zhao, C.; Ren, L.; Yuan, F.; Zhang, L.; Jiang, S.; Shi, J.; Chen, T.; Liu, S.; Yang, X.; Liu, Y.; et al. Statistical and Hydrological Evaluations of Multiple Satellite Precipitation Products in the Yellow River Source Region of China. *Water* **2020**, *12*, 3082. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Dang, C.; Zhang, H.; Singh, V.P.; Yu, Y.; Shao, S. Investigating Hydrological Variability in the Wuding River Basin: Implications for Water Resources Management under the Water–Human-Coupled Environment. *Water* **2021**, *13*, 184. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Wang, W.; Wang, T.; Cui, W.; Yao, Y.; Ma, F.; Chen, B.; Wu, J. Changes of Flow and Sediment Transport in the Lower Min River in Southeastern China under the Impacts of Climate Variability and Human Activities. *Water* **2021**, *13*, 673. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Liu, H.; Wang, Z.; Ji, G.; Yue, Y. Quantifying the Impacts of Climate Change and Human Activities on Runoff in the Lancang River Basin Based on the Budyko Hypothesis. *Water* **2020**, *12*, 3501. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Wen, Q.; Sun, P.; Zhang, Q.; Li, H. Nonstationary Ecological Instream Flow and Relevant Causes in the Huai River Basin, China. *Water* **2021**, *13*, 484. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Zhang, Y.; Xu, C.-Y.; Hao, Z.; Zhang, L.; Ju, Q.; Lai, X. Variation of Melt Water and Rainfall Runoff and Their Impacts on Streamflow Changes during Recent Decades in Two Tibetan Plateau Basins. *Water* **2020**, *12*, 3112. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Cui, Y.; Ning, S.; Jin, J.; Jiang, S.; Zhou, Y.; Wu, C. Quantitative Lasting Effects of Drought Stress at a Growth Stage on Soybean Evapotranspiration and Aboveground BIOMASS. *Water* **2020**, *13*, 18. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Wang, J.; Sun, M.; Gao, X.; Zhao, X.; Zhao, Y. Spatial and Temporal Characteristics of Precipitation and Potential Influencing Factors in the Loess Plateau before and after the Implementation of the Grain for Green Project. *Water* **2021**, *13*, 234. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Zhou, Y.; Li, Y.; Jin, J.; Zhou, P.; Zhang, D.; Ning, S.; Cui, Y. Stepwise Identification of Influencing Factors and Prediction of Typhoon Precipitation in Anhui Province Based on the Back Propagation Neural Network Model. *Water* **2021**, *13*, 550. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Yao, Y.; Qu, W.; Lu, J.; Cheng, H.; Pang, Z.; Lei, T.; Tan, Y. Responses of Hydrological Processes under Different Shared Socioeconomic Pathway Scenarios in the Huaihe River Basin, China. *Water* **2021**, *13*, 1053. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Fernández-Nóvoa, D.; García-Feal, O.; González-Cao, J.; de Gonzalo, C.; Rodríguez-Suárez, J.A.; Ruiz del Portal, C.; Gómez-Gesteira, M. MIDAS: A New Integrated Flood Early Warning System for the Miño River. *Water* **2020**, *12*, 2319. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Tang, X.; Zhang, J.; Wang, G.; Jin, J.; Liu, C.; Liu, Y.; He, R.; Bao, Z. Uncertainty Analysis of SWAT Modeling in the Lancang River Basin Using Four Different Algorithms. *Water* **2021**, *13*, 341. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Moges, E.; Demissie, Y.; Larsen, L.; Yassin, F. Review: Sources of Hydrological Model Uncertainties and Advances in Their Analysis. *Water* **2020**, *13*, 28. [[CrossRef](#)]