

Supplementary

# Modification of the Water Quality Index (WQI) Process For Simple Calculation Using the Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) Method: A Review

Naseem Akhtar<sup>1</sup>, M. I. Syakir<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Mardiana Idayu Ahmad<sup>1</sup>, Khalid Umar<sup>3</sup>, Mohamad Shaiful Md Yusuff<sup>1</sup>, Mohd Talha Anees<sup>4</sup>, Abdul Qadir<sup>5</sup>, and Yazan Khalaf Ali Almanasir<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> School of Industrial Technology, Division of Environmental Technology, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 Gelugor, Pulau Pinang, Malaysia; naseemamu6@gmail.com (N.A.); mardianaidayu@usm.my (M.I.A.); shai-fulyusuff@gmail.com (M.S.M.Y.); Almanasir@student.usm.my (Y.K.A.A.)
- <sup>2</sup> Centre for Global Sustainability Studies, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 Gelugor, Pulau Pinang, Malaysia
- <sup>3</sup> School of Chemical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 Gelugor, Pulau Pinang, Malaysia; khalidumar4@gmail.com
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Geology, Faculty of Science, University of Malaya, 50603 Petaling Jaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; talhaanees@um.edu.my
- <sup>5</sup> School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 Gelugor, Pulau Pinang, Malaysia; abdulqadir-alig@gmail.com
- \* Corresponding author: misyakir@usm.my

**Table S1:** This shows the valuable information about a few common steps to use in WQI development for overall reviewed.

Indexing method	Parameters	Range	Classification	Sub-indices, weights, aggregation	Equation	Purpose and region of application
		95–100	Excellent		CWQI	
		80–94	Good		$= 100 - \frac{\sqrt{F_1^2 + F_2^2 + F_3^2}}{1.732}$	
		60–79	Fair		where $F_1$ (scope: the variable percentage above the guideline) is the number of variables whose objectives are not met/total number of variables $\times 100$ , $F_2$ (frequency: number of times by which the objectives are not met) is the number of failed tests/total number of tests $\times 100$ , $F_3$ (denote amplitude: the amount by which the objectives are not met); (a) Excursion <sub>i</sub> = (failed test value <sub>i</sub> /objective <sub>i</sub> ) - 1, (b) normalized sum of excursions (nse).	
		45–59	Marginal			
Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment Water Quality Index (CCMEWQI) [12]	At least 4 parameters, maximum number of parameters is not specified	0–44	Poor	No sub-indices, no weights, no aggregation		WQI was used by Horton for the weightings, and the scales of rating measures, in order to determine the relative significance in the water quality of each parameter.

					$= \sum_{i=1}^n \text{excursion}_i$	
					– no of test	
					and (c) $F_3 =$	
					$(nse/0.01nse+0.01)$	
British Columbia Water Quality Index (BCWQI) [13,112]	At least 4 parameters, maximum number of parameters is not specified	0–3	Excellent	No sub-indices, no weights, no aggregation	BCWQI	In 1970, the Brown's group established a new WQI similar to Horton's index, dependent on weights to the individual parameter.
		4–17	Good			
		18–43	Fair			
		44–59	Borderline			
		60–100	Poor			
					$= \frac{\sqrt{F_1^2 + F_2^2 + (\frac{F_3}{3})^2}}{1.453}$	
Modified Canadian Water Quality Index (MCWQI) [120]	At least 4 parameters, maximum number of parameters is not specified	91–100	Excellent	No sub-indices, no weights, no aggregation	$V_1 = \frac{\sum P_i \times C}{\sum P_i}$ $nse = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n SI_{i,k} \times P_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n P_i}$ $V_2 = \frac{0.005nse + 0.005}{MCWQI}$ $= 100 - \frac{\sqrt{V_1^2 + V_2^2}}{1.414}$	The article conducts the modified CWQI aggregation that used the following amendment: V1(Scope) shows that the percentage of failed parameters is changed through parameter relative weights, and V2 (frequency and amplitude) is combined with fréquence and amplitude.
		81–90	Very good			
		71–80	Good			
		51–70	Fair			
		21–50	Marginal			
		0–20	Poor		where C = count factor for 1 or 0, $P_i$ = relative weight, SI = subindex, i = paramter order, k = order for each step.	
Water Contamination Index (WCI) [18]	6 parameters: pH, BOD, DO, NO <sub>2</sub> , petroleum products, ammonium ion	0–0.2	Very clean	Equal weights (sum of weights = 1)	$WCI = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \times \frac{C_i}{MAC_i}$	The USSR Goskomgidromet developed the WCI method and is one of the most widely used indicators for water quality evaluation. This measure is a typical additive coefficient which represents the mean above MAC in a relatively narrow number of individual components.
		0.2–1.0	Clean			
		1–2	Moderate clean			
		2–4	Polluted			
		4–6	Dirty			
		6–10	Very dirty			
		>10	Extremely dirty		where $C_i$ is the parameter concentration, n is the no of indicators, and $MAC_i$ is the established value of the standard for the relevant type of water body.	
Overall Index of Pollution (OIP) [14]	8 Parameters: pH, DO, BOD, TH, TDS, total coliform, As, F-	0–24	Polluted	Individual sub-indices and equal weights	$OIP = \sum \frac{P_i}{n}$	Based on measurements and subsequent classification of parameters for Indian rivers, an OIP has been developed by Sargaonkar and Deshpande.
		25–49	Poor			
		50–74	Fair			
		75–94	Good			
		95–100	Excellent			
					where $P_i$ is the pollution index and no of ith parameters	

Dojlido Index [101]	7 parameters: BOD, ammonia, COD, Cl, DO, dissolved oxygen, BOD, SS, phosphates	<table border="1"> <tr><td>76–100</td><td>Excellent</td></tr> <tr><td>51–75</td><td>Good</td></tr> <tr><td>26–50</td><td>Marginal</td></tr> <tr><td>0–25</td><td>Unsuitable</td></tr> </table>	76–100	Excellent	51–75	Good	26–50	Marginal	0–25	Unsuitable	The root of the square harmonic mean	$WQI = \sqrt{\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{x_i^2}}}$ <p>where n is the number of indices taken into account, and <math>x_i</math> is the unit index of parameter i.</p>	The water quality assessment of the Vistula basin in Poland using WQI and comparing the results of water analysis with permissible values of parameters given for three classes, according to the Disposition of Minister of Environment.
76–100	Excellent												
51–75	Good												
26–50	Marginal												
0–25	Unsuitable												
Contact Recreation Index (NZ Recreation Index) [114]	8 parameters: FC, color, dissolved inorganic nitrogen, dissolved reactive phosphorus, five-day BOD, pH, turbidity, and visual clarity	<table border="1"> <tr><td>Phase 1</td><td>Suitability</td></tr> <tr><td>Phase 2</td><td>Unsuitability</td></tr> </table>	Phase 1	Suitability	Phase 2	Unsuitability	Minimum operator, It was done graphically with phases	$WQI = \prod_{i=1}^n W_i Q_i$ <p>where <math>Q_i</math> is the rating value of the parameter and <math>W_i</math> is the weighting factors.</p>	WQI has been used for contact recreation in freshwaters in New Zealand. Ideally, indicators of recreational water quality are microorganisms or chemical compounds that can be quantitatively associated with swimming and health hazards.				
Phase 1	Suitability												
Phase 2	Unsuitability												
Hallock [83]	8 parameters: DO, FC, pH, T, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, turbidity, and total suspended sediments	<table border="1"> <tr><td>100–75</td><td>Excellent</td></tr> <tr><td>74–50</td><td>Good</td></tr> <tr><td>49–25</td><td>Fair</td></tr> <tr><td>25–0</td><td>Unsuitable</td></tr> </table>	100–75	Excellent	74–50	Good	49–25	Fair	25–0	Unsuitable	Additive	$[WQI = a + b_1(\text{parameter}) + b_2(\text{parameter})^2]$ <p>where a is the subindices and <math>b_1</math>-<math>b_2</math> is the weights of parameters.</p>	Hallock (2002) gave information about the creation of a WQI for the Freshwater monitoring unit of the Washington State Department of Ecology based partly on the NSF Index.
100–75	Excellent												
74–50	Good												
49–25	Fair												
25–0	Unsuitable												
Hanh Water Quality Index (Hanh's WQI) [119]	11 Parameters: ammonium nitrogen, COD, BOD, DO, orthophosphate, total coliform (TC), SS, T, turbidity, and toxicity	<table border="1"> <tr><td>91–100</td><td>Excellent</td></tr> <tr><td>76–90</td><td>Good</td></tr> <tr><td>51–75</td><td>Fair</td></tr> <tr><td>26–50</td><td>Marginal</td></tr> </table>	91–100	Excellent	76–90	Good	51–75	Fair	26–50	Marginal	Parameters are directly taken as sub-indices using the permissible limits of water standards, Additive, and multiplicative functions	$WQI = \left[ \frac{1}{5} \prod_{i=1}^n C_i \left[ \sum_{i=1}^5 Q_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^2 Q_j \times Q_k \right]^{1/3} \right]$ <p>where <math>C_i</math> is the coefficients addressing the sub-indices, <math>Q_i</math>, <math>Q_j</math>, <math>Q_k</math> is the sub-indices of organic and nutrients, particulates, and bacteria, respectively.</p>	Hanh (Hanh et al., 2011) proposed a method to investigate spatial and temporal variation in surface water quality in Vietnam and also applied to toxic substances that led to water pollution, in particular for organic and nutrients, particulates, and bacteria, respectively.
91–100	Excellent												
76–90	Good												
51–75	Fair												
26–50	Marginal												

Kaurish Index [115]	9 parameters: FC, pH, BOD, total nitrates, total solids, T, turbidity, conductivity, and phosphates	<table border="1"> <tr><td>40</td><td>Good</td></tr> <tr><td>20–40</td><td>Average</td></tr> <tr><td>0–20</td><td>Poor</td></tr> </table>	40	Good	20–40	Average	0–20	Poor	Additive	$WQI = (P_1 \times P_2 \times P_3 \dots P_N)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ <p>where P is the water quality parameters and (1,2,3, ... N is the number of ith parameters).</p>	WQI has been applied for the determination of surface water quality of the City of Greensboro, Mecklenburg County.		
40	Good												
20–40	Average												
0–20	Poor												
Schiff Index [116]	7 parameters: TDS, SS, FC, nitrate, phosphate, chloride, and sulfate	<table border="1"> <tr><td>&gt;7.9</td><td>Good</td></tr> <tr><td>7.9–3.4</td><td>Average</td></tr> <tr><td>&lt;3.4</td><td>Poor</td></tr> </table>	>7.9	Good	7.9–3.4	Average	<3.4	Poor	Modified additive	$WQI = 10 - \left(\frac{10}{7}\right) \times \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{P_i}{P_{max}}\right)$ <p>Where <math>P_i</math> is the average of the ith parameter, and <math>P_{max}</math> is the highest value of the parameter</p>	Schiff and Benoit have described the use of WQI modified in a study of the watershed near New Haven, Connecticut, to indicate the levels of urban-derived non-point source (NPS) pollution.		
>7.9	Good												
7.9–3.4	Average												
<3.4	Poor												
River Status Index or Lius Index [11]	13 Parameters: DO, BOD, ammonia nitrogen, FC, turbidity, SS, T, Cd, Pb, Cr, Cu, Zn. Scaling only for DO because every parameter has a different rank	<table border="1"> <tr><td>6.5</td><td>Good</td></tr> <tr><td>4.5–6.5</td><td>Low polluted</td></tr> <tr><td>2.0–4.5</td><td>Moderate polluted</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td>Gross polluted</td></tr> </table>	6.5	Good	4.5–6.5	Low polluted	2.0–4.5	Moderate polluted	2	Gross polluted	Parameters are directly taken as sub-indices using the permissible limits of water standards. Used additive and multiplicative functions	$TWQI = C_T C_{pH} C_{tox} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 I_i W_i \right) \times \left( \sum_{j=1}^2 I_j W_j \right) \times \left( \sum_{k=1}^1 I_k \right) \right]^{1/3}$ <p>where <math>I_i</math> denotes the subindex values for the “organics” <math>I_j</math> represents the subindex values for the “particulates” <math>I_k</math> is the measurement of fecal coliform.</p>	A better overall index of water quality in Taiwan and its application in the Keya River is proposed by analyzing the behavior and limitations of traditional methods for quality assessment. Numeric quality scales are developed for each parameter to measure improvements in quality and to communicate results to others comprehensively.
6.5	Good												
4.5–6.5	Low polluted												
2.0–4.5	Moderate polluted												
2	Gross polluted												
Said [64]	5 parameters: DO, FC, turbidity, specific	<table border="1"> <tr><td>3</td><td>Very good</td></tr> <tr><td>3–2</td><td>Acceptable</td></tr> </table>	3	Very good	3–2	Acceptable	Additive	$WQI = \log \left[ \frac{(DO)^{1.5}}{(3.8)^{TP} (15)^{FC/10000} (Turb)^{0.15} + 0.14(SC)^0} \right]$	The new index was applied to the Big Lost River Watershed in Idaho, and the results				
3	Very good												
3–2	Acceptable												

---

conductivity, and total phosphates (TP)	2-0	margi nal	where this is a specific linear function, DO is dissolved oxygen, turb is turbidity, TP is the total phosphorus, FC is the fecal coliform, SC is the specific conductivity	gave a quantitative picture of the water quality situation.
--	-----	--------------	---	---

---

**Table 2.** shows the valuable information about four common steps to used in WQI development for overall reviewed, since the 1960s.

Indexing	Parameters	Range	Classification	Sub-indices and weights	Equation	Purpose and region of application
Horton Water Quality Index (HWQI) [8]	8 Parameters: Alkalinity, DO, pH, EC, T, Cl, alkalinity, coliforms	<100	Excellent	A rating scale of Horton and unequal weights (1 to 4) and arithmetic weighted mean function	$HWQI = \frac{[W_1S_1 + W_2S_2 \dots W_nS_n]}{W_1 + W_2 \dots W_n} M_1 M_2$ where $C_i$ is the rating of $i$ th parameter concentration, $W_i$ is the weighting of $i$ th parameter, $n$ is the parameter number, $M_1$ and $M_2$ is the additional parameters.	WQI was used by Horton for the weightings and the scales of rating measures in order to determine the relative significance in the water quality of each parameter.
		100–150	Good			
		150–250	Poor			
		>250	Unsuitable			
National Sanitation Foundation Water Quality Index (NSFWQI) [9]	11 Parameters: T, pH, FC, DO, turbidity, TS, NO <sub>3</sub> , BOD, total phosphates, pesticides, toxic metal	0–25	Excellent	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and additive	$NSFWQI = \sum_{i=1}^n W_i \times Q_i$ where $Q_i$ is the sub-index of $i$ th parameter, $W_i$ is the weight associated with $i$ th parameters.	In 1970 the Brown's group also established a new WQI similar to Horton's index dependent on weights to the individual parameter.
		26–50	Good			
		51–75	Poor			
		76–100	Very poor			
Oregon Water Quality Index (OWQI) [15]	8 Parameters: DO, pH, FC, BOD, total phosphorus, NO <sub>3</sub> + ammonia, T	90–100	Excellent	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and additive (1st version)	$OWQI = \sqrt{\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{SI^2}}}$ where $n$ = subindices number, $SI$ = subindex of $i$ th parameter.	The OWQI is a single number that represents water quality, taking into consideration 8 water quality parameters. The original OWQI was developed according to the NSFWQI in which the parameter selection was carried out by the Delphi method.
		85–89	Good			
		80–85	Fair			
		60–79	Poor			
Bascarón Water Quality Index (BWQI) [90]	26 Parameters: pH, BOD, TC, color, SO <sub>4</sub> , oil and grease, NO <sub>3</sub> , Cl, EC, Mg, P, NO <sub>2</sub> , turbidity, Ca,	91–100	Excellent	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and modified additive	$BWQI = \frac{\sum P_i \times C_i}{\sum P_i}$ where $C_i$ is the sub-index value and $P_i$ is the relative weight of each parameter.	The Bascarón index is designed specifically for Spain by Bascarón (1979). This index has been included in
		61–90	Good			
		31–60	Fair			
		16–30	Poor			
		0–15	Very poor			

	permanganate, and apparent aspect					many reports, in particular in Latin America, India, and New Zealand.
Scottish Research Development Department (SRDD) [10]	10 Parameters: DO, BOD, TO, N, P, SS, T, EC, ecsheria coli, free and saline ammonia	90–100	Excellent	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and additive	$SWQI = \frac{1}{100} \sum_{i=1}^n (W_i \times Q_i)^2$ where $Q_i$ is the sub-index of $i$ th paramter, $W_i$ is the weight associated with $i$ th parameters	In 1976 that general WQI was developed and improved by the Scottish Research Department (SRDD) Index, which was designed by the SRDD Development Division based on the phases comparable to those in the NSF WQI.
		80–89	Good			
		70–79	Fair			
		40–69	Tolerable			
		30–39	Low polluted			
		20–29	Polluted			
		0–19	Piggery waste			
Bhargava Method Water Quality Index (BMWQI) [16]	4 four various groups: heavy metals, coliform organisms, physical parameters, organic and inorganic parameters	90–100	Excellent	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and modified multiplicative	$BMWQI = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n \int_i (P_i) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \times 100$ where $f_i (P_i)$ for each variable the sensitivity function, such as the impact of the associated variable weight concentration of the activity (0–1) and $n$ the number of variables.	Bhargava approach has been used in several nations and it is simple to use the curves of sensitivity functions that have a value of between zeros to one to manage relative parameters for different use.
		65–89	Good			
		35–64	Acceptable			
		11–34	Polluted			
		0–10	Severe water quality			
Malaysia Water Quality Index (MWQI) [17]	6 parameters: DO, BOD, COD, SS, pH, and ammonia nitrogen (AN)	81–100	Excellent	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and additive	$MWQI = 0.22 SI_{DO} + 0.19 SI_{BOD} + 0.16 SI_{COD} + 0.15 SI_{AN} + 0.16 SI_{SS} + 0.12 SI_{pH}$ Where $SI$ is the sub-index	The MWQI is the WQI calculation method developed by the Department of Environment Malaysia. This method has been successfully applied to measure water quality for 462 rivers in Malaysia.
		60–80	Low polluted			
		0–59	Polluted			
VedPrakashi Water Quality	4 parameters: DO, FC, pH,	64–100	No polluted	Sub-indices used	$GWQI = \sum W_i \times I_i$	The GWQI index has been
		50–63	Low polluted			

Index (VedPrakashi's index) [7]	BOD	38–49	Medium polluted	Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and additive	where $W_i$ is the unit weight of the $i$ th parameter, and $l_i$ is the sub-index function of the $i$ th parameter.	developed to assess the overall water quality profile of the Ganga River. It was based on the NSFQI and also had a weighted multiplication type with minor variations in weights, which indicated that the water quality standards were complied with for various categories of uses provided by the Central Water Pollution Board, India.
		37–0	High polluted			
Dinius Water Quality Index (DWQI) [109]	12 parameters: DO, BOD, EC, T, NO <sub>3</sub> , pH, hardness, color, alkalinity, coliform form, specific conductivity, and Escherichia coli count	0–40	Not acceptable	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and multiplicative means	$DWQI = \sum_{i=1}^n W_i \times l_i$ where $W_i$ is the unit weight of the $i$ th parameter, and $l_i$ is the sub-index function of the $i$ th parameter.	Dinius is a multiplicative WQI method that is used for six water categories usage such as drinking water supply, domestic, fish, shellfish, agriculture, and industry.
		87.5–100	Clean			A water quality index was developed for integrating the combined impact
		70–87.5	Good			of various physical, chemical, and biological parameters studied at 18 different locations in the area and some watercourses within the basin
		54–70	Marginal			
		37.5–54	pollution			
Anzali Water Quality Index (AWQI) [110]	9 Parameters, COD, DO, ammonia, nitrate, phosphate, pH, T, TSS, and EC	>37.5	High pollution	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and additive	$AWQI = \sum_{i=1}^n W_i \times Q_i$ where $Q_i$ is the sub-index of the $i$ th parameter, $W_i$ is the weight associated with $i$ th parameters.	



						during the past five years by the Bureau of Reclamation of Anzali Wetland.
		100–80	Excellent			Fuzzy Logic (FL) has helped researchers to induce user information and experience to address the complexity and ambiguity involved in evaluating natural systems ever since its introduction by Zadeh. Fuzzy Logic maps input to output using the Fuzzy Inferencing System (FIS) which combines FL and knowledge of experts through four main components, namely fuzzification, fuzzy rules of inference, aggregation and defuzzification. [1].
		80–60	Good			
		60–40	Moderate			
		40–20	Poor			
Fuzzy-based water quality index (Fuzzy Index) [102]	No guidelines	0–20	Unacceptable	Using fuzzy logic	$f(x; a, b, c, d) = \max\left(\min\left(\frac{a-x}{a-b}, 1, \frac{d-x}{d-c}\right), 0\right)$ $\left[FWQI = \frac{\int z\mu(z)zdz}{\int \mu(z)zdz}\right]$ where FWQI is the fuzzy-based water quality index value (between 0 and 100), and a, b, c, and d are membership function parameters and z is the independent variable of the output fuzzy set in each rule.	
Smith’s Water Quality Index (SWQI) [69]	7 Parameters: SS, turbidity, DO, T, BOD, FC, ammonia	100–80	Extreme	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and minimum operator	$I_{\min} = \sum \min(I_{\text{sub}1}, I_{\text{sub}2}, \dots, I_{\text{sub}n})$ where $I_{\min}$ equals the lowest value of the subindex.	Smith developed an index for four purposes of water such as fish spawning, water supply, general, and bathing. The development of this index was related to the recommendation of the water
		80–60	Good			
		60–40	suitable			
		40–20	Unsuitable			
		20–0	Total unsuitable			

						quality standards for New Zealand water legislation.
Tiwari and Mishra WQI (TMWQI) [108]	14 parameters: pH, EC, TDS, TH, Ca, Mg, Na, K, Cl, F, nitrates, carbonates, bicarbonates, sulfates	<26	Excellent	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1, and logarithmic aggregations	$TMWQI = \text{Antilog} \sum W_n \log q_n$ where $q_n$ is the quality class for the $n$ th variable, and $W_n$ is the relative weight of the $n$ th parameters.	Tiwari and Mishra have been proposed a logarithmic WQI method for the assessment of the quality of major Indian rivers.
		26–50	Good			
		51–75	Medium			
		76–100	Poor			
		>100	Unsuitable			
		0–50	Unsuitable			Wepener developed the ATWQI to evaluate the health of aquatic ecosystems.
		51–59	Fair			Because a wide range of fish toxicity databases is available, the toxic health indicators of the aquatic ecosystem are the impacts of distinct water quality on fish.
Aquatic Water Quality Index (ATWQI) [112]	12 Parameters: DO, pH, turbidity, TDS, F, Zn, Mn, Cr, Cu, Pb, Ni, and potassium	60–100	Suitable	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and additive	$ATWQI = \frac{1}{100} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \right)^2$ where $q_i$ is the quality of the $i$ th parameter.	
Catalan Water Agency Water Quality Index (CAWQI) [117]	5 Parameters: T, DO, TOC, SS, EC	91–100	Excellent	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and additive	$CAWQI = SI_{temp}(SI_{TOC} + SI_{SS} + SI_{DO} + SI_{EC})$ where $SI$ is the sub-indices of $i$ th parameters, temp, TOC, SS, DO, and EC are the temperature, total organic carbon, suspended solids, dissolved solids, and electric conductivity, respectively.	The Simplified is used by the water agency in Catalan. This is primarily a correlation between DO, TOC, SS, and EC with a weight vector of 0.30, 0.25, 0.25, and 0.20, respectively.
		71–91	Good			
		51–70	Medium			
		26–50	Poor			
		0–25	Very poor			
Universal Water Quality Index (UWQI) [116]	12 Parameters: Cd, cyanide, Hg, Selenium, As, F, Nitrate-N, DO, BOD, T phosphorus, pH, and TC	95–100	Excellent	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and additive	$UWQI = \sum_{i=1}^n W_i \times I_i$ where $W_i$ is the weight for the $i$ th parameter and $I_i$ is the sub-index for the $i$ th parameter.	Under the water quality standards defined by the Council of the European Communities, the Turkish water pollution control
		75–94	Good			
		50–74	Fair			
		26–49	Marginal			
		0–25	Poor			

						regulations and other scientific details, UWQI was developed by Boyacioglu.
Weighted Arithmetic Water Quality Index Method (AW-WQI) [104]	2 parameters: pH, DO main	0–25	Excellent	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and additive	$AW - WQI = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n l_i W_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i}$ Where $l_i$ is the quality rating, $W_i$ is the unit weight.	The WA-WQI method categorized the quality of water as per purity using the most frequently calculated variables of water quality.
		25–50	Good			
		51–75	Poor			
		75–100	Very Poor			
		>100	Unsuitable			
House Index [89]	9 parameters: DO, ammonia, pH, BOD, Cl, total coliform, total phosphorus, nitrates, and T	71–100	Good	Additive	$WQI = \frac{1}{100} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n Q_i W_i \right)^2$ where $Q_i$ is the quality rating, $W_i$ is the unit weight, and $n$ is the number of parameters.	WQI has been applied to all the data for an annual or longer time series as a means of detecting cycles and trends in river water quality
		51–70	Fair			
		31–50	Marginal			
		10–30	unsuitable			
Dalmatian Water Quality Index (Dalmatian Index) [60]	9 parameters: BOD, DO, corrosion coefficient, mineralization, protein N, T, total coliform, total nitrate, and total phosphorus	75–100	Excellent	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and multiplicative	$WQI = \frac{WQE}{WQE_{MAC}}$ where WQE is the water quality evaluation, MAC is the maximum admissible limit.	Four separate indices have been used in the water quality assessment of Dalmatia waters in southern Croatia: an arithmetic index, arithmetic modified index, geometric modified index, and Solway-modified index.
		50–74	Good			
		25–49	Poor			
		0–24	Unsuitable			
Almeida Water Quality Index (Almeida's Index) [96]	9 parameters: COD, FC, detergents, nitrates, Escherichia coli, enterococci, nitrates, phosphate, pH, and total	75–100	Excellent	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and additive	$RWQI = \prod_{i=1}^n Q_i^{W_i}$ Where $Q_i$ is the rating value of parameter $i$ and $W_i$ are the weighting factors ( $\sum W_i=1$ ).	The aim of this method was to establish a new RWQI as a tool to ensure the health of swimmers and to make practical decisions.
		50–74	Good			
		25–49	Poor			
		0–24	Unsuitable			

	coliform					
Harkins Index [105]	No parameter guidelines: any number of parameters may be used to compute the WQI value depending upon the intended ultimate use and or objective of the evaluation	91–100 71–90 51–70 26–50	Excellent Good Medium Bad	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and Statistical procedures through Multivariate Kendall's statistic	$WQI = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(R_{in} - R_{ic})^2}{var_i}$ where $R_{in}$ is the rank of $i$ th compared values of the parameter $R_{ic}$ is the control value of the $i$ th parameter, $var_i$ is the rank variance of $i$ th parameter.	The latest index is proposed by Harkin's, based on the nonparametric ranking method of Kendall's multivariate.
	13 parameters: ammonia, COD, DO, BOD, Fe, Mn, nitrates, pH, SS, alkylbenzene sulfonates, chlorine, carbon chloroform extract	0–1 1–2 2–4 4–8 >8	Excellent Acceptable Low polluted Polluted Heavy polluted	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and additive	$WQI = \prod_{i=1}^n q_i^{w_i}$ where $q_i$ is the quality rating, $w_i$ is the unit weight, and $n$ is the number of parameters.	In particular, because of the use as a source of urban water supply, Prati et al. evaluated the physical, chemical, and biological parameters of natural water resources.
	4 parameters: ammonia nitrogen, DO, BOD and SS	95–100 75–94 50–74 26–49 0–25	Excellent Good Fair Marginal Poor	Sub-indices with rating curves developed by expert's opinion, Additive	$WQI = \sum_{i=1}^n W_i \times Q_i$ where $Q_i$ is the sub-index of the $i$ th parameter, $W_i$ is the weight associated with $i$ th parameters.	WQI has been established for the determination of water of surface water.
	13 parameters (for water supply): Cl, color, Cu, ammonia nitrogen, F, FC, methylene active blue substance [MBAS], sulfate, Zn, pH, phenols, Fe, and nitrate-nitrogen	80–91 72–79 65–71 59–64 55–58 50–54	Excellent Good Fair Marginal Poor Very poor	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and additive aggregation	$\left[ WQI(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n (QF)_i (RF)_i + \sum_{j=1}^n T_j \right]$ where $WQI(A)$ is the WQI for specific use $A$ , $n$ is the number of parameters, $(QF)_i$ is the type of quality function, $(RF)_i$ is the ranking factor and $T_j$ is the value of $j$ th type parameters.	WQI was developed to assess waters for two specific uses such as public water supply and irrigation.
	No specified list of	0 (-1)–(-10)	Good Low polluted	Having three sub-indices	$WQI = \sum_{i=1}^n W_i \times Q_i$	STORET index was developed

Water Quality Data (STORET) Index [107]	parameters, Parameters are categorized into 3 classes (physical, chemical, and biological)	(-11)–(-30)	Highly polluted	for physical, where $Q_i$ is the sub-index of the chemical, and $i$ th parameter, $W_i$ is the weight associated with $i$ th parameters. Additive	and has been used to assess the status of surface water bodies in Indonesia.	
		$\geq(-30)$	Heavy polluted			
		1.0	Excellent		The geometric mean of the quality of each variable	
		1.0–0.8	Good		represented by a	
		0.5–0.8	Satisfactory		number (ranging from 0 to 1) took	
		0.2–0.5	Poor		Walski & Parker	
Walski and Parker [104]	10 parameters: coliform count, color, grease, nutrients, odour, pH, SS, T, toxicity, and turbidity	0.01–0.2	unacceptable	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and, Modified multiplicative	$WQI = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n \int_i^{a_i} (P_i) \right] \times \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right)$ where $P_i$ is the value of the $i$ th parameter, $\int_i^{a_i}(P_i)$ is the sensitivity function for $i$ th variable, $a_i$ is the weight of parameters.	(1974) to be named "sensitivity function". They proposed different curves and formulas for the sensitivity functions of the measured concentrations.
		95–100	Excellent		WQI has been	
		75–94	Good		developed for	
		50–74	Fair		the Vaal Water	
		26–49	Marginal		Management	
Vaal Water Quality Index (Vaal WQI) [120]	15 parameters: ammonia, Ca, Cl, EC, TH, Mg, Mn, nitrate, pH, sulfate, total alkalinity, turbidity, chlorophyll 665, and orthophosphate	0–25	Poor	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and additive	$VWQI = \sum_{i=1}^n W_i I_i$ where $W_i$ is the weighted coefficient for the $i$ th parameter presented, $I_i$ is the sub-index for the $i$ th parameter and $n$ is the total number of parameters.	Area (VWMA) in South Africa, which is founded on scope, frequency and amplitude of explanatory variables differing from the targeted values and/or guidelines.
		0–25	Poor		WQI has been	
		26–50	Fair		used for the	
		51–70	Average		investigation of	
		71–90	Good		the water quality	
		91–94	Very good		index ratings of	
Wanda Water Quality Index (Wanda's Index) [121]	7 parameters: pH, EC, BOD, FC, T, turbidity, and nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus)	95–100	Excellent	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and modified additive	$WQI = \frac{1}{100} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n q_i w_i \right)^2$ where $q_i$ is the quality rating, $w_i$ is the unit weight, and $n$ is the number of parameters.	water in the Mpumalanga and North West provinces, South

						Africa.
Deininger Water Quality Index (Deininger Index) [76]	11 parameters: DO, BOD, turbidity, TS, nitrates, phosphates, pH, T, FC, pesticides and toxic elements	90–100	Excellent	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and additive	$WQI = \sum_{i=1}^n W_i Q_i$ where $Q_i$ is the quality rating, $W_i$ is the unit weight, and $n$ is the number of parameters.	A water quality indices in the form established and defined here IS gaining significant acceptance in the United States and other countries.
		80–89	Good			
		60–79	Average			
		26–59	Fair			
		0–25	Poor			
Medeiros Water Quality Index (Medeiros WQI) [122]	11 parameters: T pH, TDS, TSS, DO, BOD, thermotolerant, FC, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, and turbidity	0–19	Worst	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and multiplicative	$WQI = \prod_{i=1}^n q_i^{w_i}$ where $q_i$ is the quality rating, $w_i$ is the unit weight, and $n$ is the number of parameters.	WQI has been used for the investigation of the water quality of the Murucupi River in the industrial areas of Para, Brazil.
		20–36	Bad			
		37–51	Regular			
		52–79	Good			
		80–100	Excellent			
García-Ávila Water Quality Index (García-Ávila Index) [123]	13 parameters: turbidity, T, EC, pH, TDS, TH, Ca, Mg, alkalinity, Cl, nitrates, sulfates, phosphates	<50	Excellent	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and additive	$WQI = \sum SI_{i-n}$ where $SI_i$ is the sub-indices, $SI_i = q_i w_i$	Physical-chemical parameters to assess and analyze the quality of drinking water in the city of Azogues using the water quality index (WQI).
		51–100	Good			
		101–200	Poor			
		201–300	Very Poor			
		>300	Inappropriate			
West Java Water Quality Index (WJWQI) [124]	17 parameters: T, SS, COD, DO, nitrate, total phosphate, detergent, phenol, Cl, Zn, Pb, mercury, and FC	100–90	Excellent	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1 and geometric	$AI = \prod_{i=1}^n S_i^{w_i}$ where AI is the aggregated index; $n$ is the number of sub-indices; $w_i$ is $i$ th weight and $S_i$ is the $i$ th sub-index.	WJWQI developed to replace the currently used indices in West Java, Indonesia. The application of WJWQI for the province of West Java has been revealed using monitoring data from 2001 to 2011 to spatially and temporally assess the general status of water quality.
		90–75	Good			
		75–50	Fair			
		50–25	Marginal			
		25–5	Poor			
Drinking-Water Quality Index (DWQI)[88]	17 parameters: pH, EC, Na, Cl, sulfate, alkalinity, TH, Ca, Mg, Fe, F,	95–100	Excellent	Sub-indices used Standard, Sum of weight is 1	$DWQI = \prod_{i=1}^n S_i^{w_i}$ $S_i$ is the $i$ th sub-index, $n$ is the number of sub-indices, $w_i$ is	The purpose of this study is to evaluate the quality of the drinking water in
		85–95	Good			
		75–85	Fair			
		60–75	Marginal			
		40–60	Poor			

	nitrate, Mn, Zn, Pb, Cr and Cu	40–0	Very poor	and Geometric	ith weight factor of ith parameter.	and around Mayiladuthurai taluk using DWQI.
Wastewater water quality Index (WWQI) [57]	23 parameters: Turbidity, TSS, EC, TDS, Ca, Mg, Na, SAR, Cl, pH, BOD, COD, nitrate, Phosphate, boron, Mn, Cd, Cr, Pb, Ni, Fe, total coliform, and FC	91–100	Excellent	weighted arithmetic mean aggregation function	$  \left[ \begin{aligned}  & \text{WWQI} \\  & = \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (SI_i)^{W_i} \right)_j W_j \right)  \end{aligned} \right]  $ where $W_j$ is the relative weight of the $j$ th group; $N$ is the number of groups; and $SI_i$ , $W_i$ , and $n$ are the sub-index value of the $i$ th parameter, the relative weight of the $i$ th parameter	This research aims to was established a Wastewater Quality Index (WWQI) to determine the quality of effluents from the North Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) of Isfahan for agriculture.
		71–90	Good			
		51–70	Regular			
		26–50	Bad			
		10–25	Very bad			