

## Supplementary information

### *Grazing Decreases Soil Aggregation and Has Different Effects on Soil Organic Carbon Storage across Different Grassland Types in Northern Xinjiang, China*

Lianlian Fan <sup>1,2,3</sup>, Yuanye Liang <sup>1,3</sup>, Xiaofeng Li <sup>4</sup>, Jiefei Mao <sup>1,2,3</sup>, Guangyu Wang <sup>1,3</sup>, Xuexi Ma <sup>1,2,3,5</sup> and Yaoming Li <sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>

1 State Key Laboratory of Desert and Oasis Ecology, Key Laboratory of Ecological Safety and Sustainable Development in Arid Lands, Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi 830011, China

2 Research Center for Ecology and Environment of Central Asia, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi 830011, China

3 University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

4 Forestry and Grassland Work Station of Barkol County in Xinjiang, Barkol 839200, China

5 College of Grassland Science, Xinjiang Agricultural University, Urumqi 830052, China

\* Correspondence: lym@ms.xjb.ac.cn; Tel.: +86-0991-7827357

**Table S1.** Two-way ANOVA of the impact of grassland type and grazing on grassland soil physical and chemical properties.

Factors	Grassland type			Grazing			Grassland type×Grazing		
	<i>df</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i> -value	<i>df</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i> -value	<i>df</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i> -value
BD	2	18.889	<b>0.000</b>	1	16.605	<b>0.002</b>	2	4.454	<b>0.036</b>
SOC	2	717.654	<b>0.000</b>	1	43.524	<b>0.000</b>	2	17.568	<b>0.000</b>
TN	2	73.089	<b>0.000</b>	1	3.436	0.089	2	0.426	0.663
TP	2	46.209	<b>0.000</b>	1	0.426	0.526	2	0.128	0.881
AN	2	258.834	<b>0.000</b>	1	0.122	0.733	2	0.053	0.949
AP	2	6.315	<b>0.013</b>	1	0.772	0.397	2	5.219	<b>0.023</b>

Note: *df*: degree of freedom, *F*: the ratio of two mean squares.

**Table S2.** Two-way ANOVA of the impact of grassland type and grazing on grassland soil aggregates and stability.

Factors	Grassland type			Grazing			Grassland type×Grazing		
	<i>df</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i> -value	<i>df</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i> -value	<i>df</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i> -value
>2mm	2	52.390	<b>0.000</b>	1	156.413	<b>0.000</b>	2	8.613	<b>0.005</b>
0.25-2mm	2	7.776	<b>0.007</b>	1	9.109	<b>0.011</b>	2	3.202	0.077
0.053-0.25mm	2	60.006	<b>0.000</b>	1	29.191	<b>0.000</b>	2	3.462	0.065
MWD	2	27.629	<b>0.000</b>	1	41.095	<b>0.000</b>	2	0.499	0.619

Note: *df*: degree of freedom, *F*: the ratio of two mean squares.

**Table S3.** Two-way ANOVA of the impact of grassland type and grazing on nutrients in different particle size aggregates.

Factors	Grassland type			Grazing			Grassland type×Grazing		
	df	F	P-value	df	F	P-value	df	F	P-value
SOC in WSA <sub>&gt;2mm</sub>	2	253.034	<b>0.000</b>	1	36.110	<b>0.000</b>	2	21.187	<b>0.000</b>
SOC in WSA <sub>0.25-2mm</sub>	2	1413.915	<b>0.000</b>	1	106.431	<b>0.000</b>	2	64.818	<b>0.000</b>
SOC in WSA <sub>0.053-0.25mm</sub>	2	468.685	<b>0.000</b>	1	21.229	<b>0.001</b>	2	7.398	<b>0.008</b>
TN in WSA <sub>&gt;2mm</sub>	2	127.955	<b>0.000</b>	1	11.759	<b>0.005</b>	2	7.586	<b>0.007</b>
TN in WSA <sub>0.25-2mm</sub>	2	489.592	<b>0.000</b>	1	5.895	<b>0.032</b>	2	1.309	0.306
TN in WSA <sub>0.053-0.25mm</sub>	2	154.556	<b>0.000</b>	1	1.595	0.231	2	0.168	0.848
TP in WSA <sub>&gt;2mm</sub>	2	79.517	<b>0.000</b>	1	13.528	<b>0.003</b>	2	9.910	<b>0.003</b>
TP in WSA <sub>0.25-2mm</sub>	2	36.132	<b>0.000</b>	1	0.086	0.774	2	0.423	0.664
TP in WSA <sub>0.053-0.25mm</sub>	2	52.829	<b>0.000</b>	1	0.241	0.632	2	0.126	0.882
AN in WSA <sub>&gt;2mm</sub>	2	1490.754	<b>0.000</b>	1	585.034	<b>0.000</b>	2	339.527	<b>0.000</b>
AN in WSA <sub>0.25-2mm</sub>	2	348.138	<b>0.000</b>	1	7.548	<b>0.018</b>	2	0.413	0.671
AN in WSA <sub>0.053-0.25mm</sub>	2	57.391	<b>0.000</b>	1	1.561	0.235	2	1.577	0.247
AP in WSA <sub>&gt;2mm</sub>	2	55.602	<b>0.000</b>	1	4.244	0.062	2	5.521	<b>0.020</b>
AP in WSA <sub>0.25-2mm</sub>	2	41.045	<b>0.000</b>	1	0.057	0.816	2	3.675	0.057
AP in WSA <sub>0.053-0.25mm</sub>	2	15.764	<b>0.000</b>	1	0.334	0.574	2	2.298	0.143

Note: *df*: degree of freedom, *F*: the ratio of two mean squares.

**Table S4.** Two-way ANOVA of the impact of grassland type and grazing on soil organic carbon density.

Factors	Grassland type			Grazing			Grassland type×Grazing		
	<i>df</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i> -value	<i>df</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i> -value	<i>df</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i> -value
SOCD	2	18.885	<b>0.000</b>	1	16.558	<b>0.002</b>	2	4.458	<b>0.036</b>

Note: *df*: degree of freedom, *F*: the ratio of two mean squares.