



Article Some New Inverse Hilbert Inequalities on Time Scales

Ahmed A. El-Deeb ^{1,*}, Samer D. Makharesh ¹ and Barakah Almarri ²

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Nasr City 11884, Cairo, Egypt
- ² Department of Mathematical Sciences, College of Sciences, Princess Nourah Bint Abdulrahman University, P.O. Box 84428, Riyadh 11671, Saudi Arabia
- * Correspondence: ahmedeldeeb@azhar.edu.eg

Abstract: Several inverse integral inequalities were proved in 2004 by Yong. It is our aim in this paper to extend these inequalities to time scales. Furthermore, we also apply our inequalities to discrete and continuous calculus to obtain some new inequalities as special cases. Our results are proved using some algebraic inequalities, inverse Hölder's inequality and inverse Jensen's inequality on time scales. Symmetry plays an essential role in determining the correct methods to solve dynamic inequalities.

Keywords: Hilbert's inequality; dynamic inequality; time scale

MSC: 26D10; 26D15; 26E70; 34A40

1. Introduction

The form of the established classical discrete Hardy–Hilbert double series inequality [1] is given as follows: If $\{a_m\} \ge 0$, $\{b_n\} \ge 0$, $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p < \infty$ and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q < \infty$, then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{a_nb_m}{m+n} \leqslant \frac{\pi}{\sin\frac{\pi}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_n^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}b_m^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}},\tag{1}$$

where p > 1, q = p/p - 1.

The continuous versions of inequality (1) is given by:

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy \leqslant \frac{\pi}{\sin\frac{\pi}{p}} \left(\int_0^\infty f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^\infty g^{p'}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},\tag{2}$$

unless $f \equiv 0$ or $g \equiv 0$, where f and g are measurable non-negative functions such that $\int_0^{\infty} f^p(x) dx < \infty$ and $\int_0^{\infty} g^p(x) dx < \infty$. The constant $\frac{\pi}{\sin \frac{\pi}{p}}$, in (1) and (2), is the best possible.

In [2], Pachpatte proved that if $f \in C^1[[0, x], \mathbb{R}^+]$, $g \in C^1[[0, y], \mathbb{R}^+]$ with f(0) = g(0) = 0 and p, q are two positive functions defined for $t \in [0, x)$ and $\tau \in [0, y)$, with $P(t) = \int_0^t p(\tau) d\tau$ and $Q(t) = \int_0^t q(\tau) d\tau$ for $s \in [0, x)$ and $t \in [0, y)$, where x, y are positive real numbers. Let Φ and Ψ be two real-valued non-negative, convex and sub-multiplicative functions defined on $[0, \infty)$. Then,

$$\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\Phi(f(s))\Psi(g(t))}{s+t} ds dt \leqslant L(x,y) \left(\int_{0}^{x} (x-s) \left(p(s)\Phi\left(\frac{f'(s)}{p(s)}\right)^{2} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left(\int_{0}^{y} (y-t) \left(q(t)\Psi\left(\frac{g'(t)}{q(t)}\right)^{2} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
(3)



Citation: El-Deeb, A.A.; Makharesh, S.D.; Almarri, B. Some New Inverse Hilbert Inequalities on Time Scales. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 2234. https:// doi.org/10.3390/sym14112234

Academic Editor: Ioan Rașa

Received: 7 September 2022 Accepted: 4 October 2022 Published: 25 October 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). where

$$L(x,y) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^x \left(\frac{\Phi(P(s))}{P(s)} \right)^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^y \left(\frac{\Psi(Q(t))}{Q(t)} \right)^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

In 2004, Yong [3] studied the following integral inequality:

Theorem 1. Let $l, m \ge 1$ $r \le 0$ and $f(\sigma) \ge 0$, $g(\tau) \ge 0$ for $\sigma \in (0, \xi)$, $\tau \in (0, \zeta)$, where ξ, ζ are positive real numbers and define

$$\Theta(\mathfrak{T}) := \int_0^{\mathfrak{T}} f(\sigma) d\sigma, \text{ and } \Xi(\varsigma) := \int_0^{\varsigma} g(\tau) d\tau,$$

for $\Im \in (0, \xi)$ and $\zeta \in (0, \zeta)$. Then, for $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, p < 0 or 0

$$\int_{0}^{\xi} \int_{0}^{\zeta} \frac{\Theta^{l}(\Im)\Xi^{m}(\varsigma)}{\left(\frac{(\Im^{r}+\varsigma^{r})}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{rp}}} d\Im d\varsigma \quad \geqslant \quad lm(\xi\zeta)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{0}^{\xi} (\xi-\Im) \left(\Theta_{f}(\Im)\right)^{q} d\Im\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ \times \left(\int_{0}^{\zeta} (\zeta-\varsigma) \left(\Xi_{g}(\varsigma)\right)^{q} d\varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{4}$$

unless $f \equiv 0$ or $g \equiv 0$, where $\Theta_f(\Im) = \Theta^{l-1}(\Im)f(\Im), \Xi_g(\varsigma) = \Xi^{m-1}(\varsigma)g(\varsigma)$.

In 2009, Yang [4] studied the following integral inequality:

Theorem 2. Let $p, q \ge 0, \alpha > 1, \gamma > 1$ and $f(\sigma) \ge 0, g(\tau) \ge 0$ for $\sigma \in (0, \xi), \tau \in (0, \zeta)$, where ξ, ζ are positive real numbers and define

$$\Theta(\Im) := \int_0^{\Im} f(\sigma) d\sigma, \text{ and } \Xi(\varsigma) := \int_0^{\varsigma} g(\tau) d\tau,$$

for $\Im \in (0, \xi)$ *and* $\varsigma \in (0, \zeta)$ *. Then,*

$$\int_{0}^{\xi} \int_{0}^{\zeta} \frac{\Theta^{p}(\mathfrak{F})\Xi^{q}(\varsigma)}{\gamma\mathfrak{F}^{\frac{(\alpha-1)(\alpha+\gamma)}{\alpha\gamma}} + \alpha\varsigma^{\frac{(\alpha-1)(\alpha+\gamma)}{\alpha\gamma}}} d\mathfrak{F} d\varsigma \leq D(p,q,\xi,\zeta,\alpha,\gamma) \left(\int_{0}^{\xi} (\xi-\mathfrak{F}) \left(\Theta^{p-1}(\mathfrak{F})f(\mathfrak{F})\right)^{\alpha} d\mathfrak{F}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \times \left(\int_{0}^{\zeta} (\zeta-\varsigma) \left(\Xi^{q-1}(\varsigma)g(\varsigma)\right)^{\gamma} d\varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \tag{5}$$

unless $f \equiv 0$ or $g \equiv 0$, where $D(p,q,\xi,\zeta,\alpha,\gamma) = \frac{pq}{\alpha+\gamma}\xi^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}\zeta^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}}$.

In this paper, we prove some new dynamic inequalities of Hilbert type and their converses on time scales. From these inequalities, as special cases, we formulate some special integral and discrete inequalities. Symmetry plays an essential role in determining the correct methods to solve dynamic inequalities.

Now, we present some fundamental concepts and effects on time scales which are beneficial for deducing our main results. In 1988, S. Hilger [5] presented time scale theory to unify continuous and discrete analysis. For some Hilbert-type integral, dynamic inequalities and other types of inequalities on time scales, see the papers [2,3,6–16]. For more details on time scale calculus see [17].

We need the following important relations between calculus on time scales \mathbb{T} and either continuous calculus on \mathbb{R} or discrete calculus on \mathbb{Z} . Note that:

(*i*) If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\sigma(\varsigma) = \varsigma, \quad \mu(\varsigma) = 0, \quad f^{\Delta}(\varsigma) = f'(\varsigma), \quad \int_{a}^{b} f(\varsigma) \Delta \varsigma = \int_{a}^{b} f(\varsigma) d\varsigma. \tag{6}$$

(*ii*) If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\sigma(\varsigma) = \varsigma + 1, \quad \mu(\varsigma) = 1, \quad f^{\Delta}(\varsigma) = f(\varsigma + 1) - f(\varsigma), \quad \int_{a}^{b} f(\varsigma) \Delta \varsigma = \sum_{\varsigma=a}^{b-1} f(\varsigma).$$
(7)

Next, we write Hölder's inequality and Jensen's inequality on time scales.

Lemma 1 (Dynamic Hölder's Inequality [18]). Let $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ and $f, g \in C_{rd}([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}, [0, \infty))$. If p, q > 1 with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(\varsigma)g(\varsigma)\Delta\varsigma \leq \left[\int_{a}^{b} f^{p}(\varsigma)\Delta\varsigma\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_{a}^{b} g^{q}(\varsigma)\Delta\varsigma\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$
(8)

This inequality is reversed if 0*and if*<math>p < 0 *or* q < 0*.*

Lemma 2 (Dynamic Jensen's Inequality [18]). Let $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ and $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $g \in C_{rd}([a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}, [c,d])$ and $r \in C_{rd}([a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$ are non-negative with $\int_a^b r(\varsigma)\Delta\varsigma > 0$. If $\phi \in C_{rd}((c,d),\mathbb{R})$ is a convex function, then

$$\phi\left(\frac{\int_{a}^{b} g(\varsigma)r(\varsigma)\Delta\varsigma}{\int_{a}^{b} r(\varsigma)\Delta\varsigma}\right) \leqslant \frac{\int_{a}^{b} r(\varsigma)\phi(g(\varsigma))\Delta\varsigma}{\int_{a}^{b} r(\varsigma)\Delta\varsigma}.$$
(9)

This inequality is reversed if $\phi \in C_{rd}((c,d),\mathbb{R})$ *is concave.*

Moreover, we use the following definition and lemma as we see in the proof of our results:

Definition 1. Λ *is called a supermultiplicative function on* $[0, \infty)$ *if*

$$\Lambda(xy) \ge \Lambda(\xi)\Lambda(\zeta), \text{ for all } \xi, \zeta \ge 0.$$
(10)

Lemma 3 ([19]). *Let* \mathbb{T} *be a time scale with* $\xi, a \in \mathbb{T}$ *such that* $\xi \ge a$. *If* $f \ge 0$ *and* $\alpha \ge 1$ *, then*

$$\left(\int_{a}^{\xi} f(\tau) \Delta \tau\right)^{\alpha} \ge \alpha \int_{a}^{\xi} f(\eta) \left(\int_{a}^{\eta} f(\tau) \Delta \tau\right)^{\alpha - 1} \Delta \eta.$$
(11)

Now, we present the formula that reduces double integrals to single integrals, which is desired in [9].

Lemma 4. Let $\chi : \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $u, \Im, \theta \in \mathbb{T}$. Then,

$$\int_{u}^{\Im} \int_{u}^{\theta} \chi(\tau) \Delta \tau \Delta \theta = \int_{u}^{\Im} (\Im - \sigma(\theta)) \chi(\theta) \Delta \theta \text{ for } \Im \in \mathbb{T},$$
(12)

assuming the integrals considered exist.

The following section contains our main results:

2. Main Results

In the next theorems, we assume that p < 0 and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

1 1

Theorem 3. Let \mathbb{T} be time scale with L, $K \ge 1$ and \Im , ζ , ζ_0 , ξ , $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$. Assume $a(\tau)$ and $b(\tau)$ are two non-negative and right-dense continuous functions on $[\zeta_0, \xi]$ and $[\zeta_0, \zeta]$, respectively, and define

$$\psi(\mathfrak{T}) := \int_{\varsigma_0}^{\mathfrak{T}} a(\tau) \Delta \tau \text{ and } \varphi(\varsigma) := \int_{\varsigma_0}^{\varsigma} b(\tau) \Delta \tau,$$

then, for $\Im \in [\varsigma_0, \xi]$ *and* $\varsigma \in [\varsigma_0, \zeta]$ *, we have that*

$$\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\xi} \int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \frac{\psi^{K}(\Im)\varphi^{L}(\varsigma)}{\left(p_{*}(\Im-\varsigma_{0})^{p-1}+p(\varsigma-\varsigma_{0})^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \Delta\Im\Delta\varsigma$$

$$\geq C_{1}(K,L,p,p_{*})\left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\xi}(\rho(\xi)-\sigma(\image))(a(\image)\psi^{K-1}(\image))^{p}\Delta\image\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\times \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta}(\rho(\zeta)-\sigma(\varsigma))(b(\varsigma)\varphi^{L-1}(\varsigma))^{p_{*}}\Delta\varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}},$$
(13)

where

$$C_1(K,L,p,p_*) = \frac{KL}{(p+p_*)^{\frac{p+p_*}{pp_*}}} (\xi-\zeta_0)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (\zeta-\zeta_0)^{\frac{p_*-1}{p_*}}.$$

Proof. By using the inequality (11), we obtain

$$\psi^{K}(\mathfrak{F}) \geqslant K \int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\mathfrak{F}} a(\eta) \psi^{K-1}(\eta) \Delta \eta, \tag{14}$$

$$\varphi^{L}(\varsigma) \ge L \int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\varsigma} b(\eta) \varphi^{L-1}(\eta) \Delta \eta.$$
(15)

Applying inverse Hölder's inequality on the right hand side of (14) with indices *p* and $\frac{p}{p-1}$, we have

$$\psi^{K}(\mathfrak{F}) \geq K(\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_{0})^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \left(a(\eta)\psi^{K-1}(\eta)\right)^{p} \Delta\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$
(16)

Applying inverse Hölder's inequality on the right hand side of (15) with indices p_* and $\frac{p_*}{p_*-1}$, we also have that

$$\varphi^{L}(\varsigma) \ge L(\varsigma - \varsigma_{0})^{\frac{p_{*}-1}{p_{*}}} \left(\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\varsigma} \left(b(\eta) \varphi^{L-1}(\eta) \right)^{p_{*}} \Delta \eta \right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}}.$$
(17)

From (16) and (17), we obtain

$$\psi^{K}(\mathfrak{F})\varphi^{L}(\varsigma) \geq KL(\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_{0})^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(\varsigma-\varsigma_{0})^{\frac{p*-1}{p*}}$$
$$\times \left(\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \left(a(\eta)\psi^{K-1}(\eta)\right)^{p}\Delta\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
$$\times \left(\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\varsigma} \left(b(\eta)\varphi^{L-1}(\eta)\right)^{p*}\Delta\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{p*}}.$$
(18)

Using the following inequality

$$\lambda_1^{\alpha_1'}\lambda_2^{\alpha_2'} \ge \left(\frac{1}{\alpha'}(\alpha_1'\lambda_1 + \alpha_2'\lambda_2)\right)^{\alpha'},\tag{19}$$

where $\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2 < 0$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$. Now, by setting $\lambda_1 = (\Im - \varsigma_0)^{(p-1)}, \lambda_2 = (\varsigma - \varsigma_0)^{(p_*-1)}, \alpha'_1 = \frac{1}{p}, \alpha'_2 = \frac{1}{p_*}$ and $\alpha' = \alpha'_1 + \alpha'_2$. we obtain that

$$(\Im - \varsigma_0)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(\varsigma - \varsigma_0)^{\frac{p_*-1}{p_*}} \ge \left(\frac{pp_*}{p+p_*}\left(\frac{(\Im - \varsigma_0)^{p-1}}{p} + \frac{(\varsigma - \varsigma_0)^{p_*-1}}{p_*}\right)\right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{pp_*}}.$$
 (20)

Substituting (20) in (18), yields

$$\psi^{K}(\mathfrak{F})\varphi^{L}(\varsigma) \geq \frac{KL}{\left(p+p_{*}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \left(p_{*}(\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_{0})^{p-1} + p(\varsigma-\varsigma_{0})^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}} \times \left(\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \left(a(\eta)\psi^{K-1}(\eta)\right)^{p}\Delta\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left(\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\varsigma} \left(b(\eta)\varphi^{L-1}(\eta)\right)^{p_{*}}\Delta\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}}.$$
(21)

Dividing both side of (21) by $\left(p_*(\Im - \varsigma_0)^{p-1} + p(\varsigma - \varsigma_0)^{p_*-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{p_{p_*}}}$, we obtain that

$$\frac{\psi^{K}(\mathfrak{F})\varphi^{L}(\varsigma)}{\left(p_{*}(\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_{0})^{p-1}+p(\varsigma-\varsigma_{0})^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \geqslant \frac{KL}{\left(p+p_{*}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \left(\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\mathfrak{F}}\left(a(\eta)\psi^{K-1}(\eta)\right)^{p}\Delta\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \times \left(\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\varsigma}\left(b(\eta)\varphi^{L-1}(\eta)\right)^{p_{*}}\Delta\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}}.$$
 (22)

Integrating both sides of (22) from ζ_0 to ξ and from ζ_0 to ζ , and applying inverse Hölder's inequality with indices p, $\frac{p}{p-1}$ and p_* , $\frac{p_*}{p_*-1}$, we obtain

$$\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \frac{\psi^{K}(\Im)\varphi^{L}(\varsigma)}{\left(p_{*}(\Im-\varsigma_{0})^{p-1}+p(\varsigma-\varsigma_{0})^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \Delta\Im\Delta\varsigma$$

$$\geq \frac{KL}{\left(p+p_{*}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \left(\zeta-\varsigma_{0}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\zeta-\varsigma_{0}\right)^{\frac{p_{*}-1}{p_{*}}} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\Im} \left(a(\eta)\psi^{K-1}(\eta)\right)^{p}\Delta\eta\right)\Delta\Im\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\times \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\varsigma} \left(b(\eta)\varphi^{L-1}(\eta)\right)^{p_{*}}\Delta\eta\right)\Delta\varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}}.$$
(23)

Applying Lemma 4 on the right hand side of (23), we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \frac{\psi^{K}(\Im)\varphi^{L}(\varsigma)}{\left(p_{*}(\Im - \varsigma_{0})^{p-1} + p(\varsigma - \varsigma_{0})^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \Delta\Im\Delta\varsigma \\ &\geqslant \frac{KL}{\left(p + p_{*}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} (\xi - \varsigma_{0})^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (\zeta - \varsigma_{0})^{\frac{p_{*}-1}{p_{*}}} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} (\xi - \sigma(\Im)) (a(\Im)\psi^{K-1}(\image))^{p} \Delta\Im\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\times \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} (\zeta - \sigma(\varsigma)) (b(\varsigma)\varphi^{L-1}(\varsigma))^{p_{*}} \Delta\varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}} \\ &= C_{1}(K, L, p, p_{*}) \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\xi} (\xi - \sigma(\image)) (a(\image)\psi^{K-1}(\image))^{p} \Delta\image\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\times \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} (\zeta - \sigma(\varsigma)) (b(\varsigma)\varphi^{L-1}(\varsigma))^{p_{*}} \Delta\varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}}. \end{split}$$

By using the facts $\xi \ge \rho(\xi)$ and $\zeta \ge \rho(\zeta)$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\zeta_0}^{\xi} \int_{\zeta_0}^{\zeta} \frac{\psi^K(\Im) \varphi^L(\varsigma)}{\left(p_*(\Im - \varsigma_0)^{p-1} + p(\varsigma - \varsigma_0)^{p_*-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{pp_*}}} \Delta \Im \Delta \varsigma \\ &\geqslant C_1(K, L, p, p_*) \left(\int_{\zeta_0}^{\xi} (\rho(\xi) - \sigma(\Im)) (a(\Im) \psi^{K-1}(\Im))^p \Delta \Im\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\qquad \times \left(\int_{\zeta_0}^{\zeta} (\rho(\zeta) - \sigma(\varsigma)) (b(\varsigma) \varphi^{L-1}(\varsigma))^{p_*} \Delta \varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_*}}. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof. \Box

Theorem 4. Let $a(\tau)$, $b(\eta)$, $\psi(\Im)$ and $\varphi(\varsigma)$ be defined as Theorem 3. Then, we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\zeta_0}^{\xi} \int_{\zeta_0}^{\zeta} \frac{\psi(\Im)\varphi(\varsigma)}{\left(p_*(\Im-\varsigma_0)^{p-1} + p(\varsigma-\varsigma_0)^{p_*-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{pp_*}}} \Delta\Im\Delta\varsigma \\ &\geqslant \frac{\left(\xi-\varsigma_0\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(\zeta-\varsigma_0)^{\frac{p_*-1}{p_*}}}{\left(p+p_*\right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{pp_*}}} \left(\int_{\zeta_0}^{\xi} (\rho(\xi) - \sigma(\image)) \left(a(\image)\right)^p \Delta\image\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\times \left(\int_{\zeta_0}^{\zeta} (\rho(\zeta) - \sigma(\varsigma)) \left(b(\varsigma)\right)^{p_*} \Delta\varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_*}}. \end{split}$$

Proof. Put K = L = 1 in (13). This completes the proof. \Box

As a special case of Theorem 3, when $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, we have $\rho(\xi) = \xi$, $\rho(\zeta) = \zeta$, $\sigma(\mathfrak{F}) = \mathfrak{F}$, $\sigma(\varsigma) = \mathfrak{F}$, and we obtain the following result:

Corollary 1. Assume that $a(\Im)$ and $b(\varsigma)$ are non-negative functions and define $\psi(\Im) := \int_0^{\Im} a(\eta) d\eta$ and $\varphi(\varsigma) := \int_0^{\varsigma} b(\eta) d\eta$. Then,

$$\begin{split} &\int_0^{\xi} \int_0^{\zeta} \frac{\psi^K(\mathfrak{S})\varphi^L(\varsigma)}{\left(p_* \ \mathfrak{S}^{p-1} + p \ \varsigma^{p_*-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{pp_*}}} d\mathfrak{S} d\varsigma \\ &\geqslant C_3(K,L,p,p_*) \left(\int_0^{\xi} (\xi - \mathfrak{S}) \left(a(\mathfrak{S})\psi^{K-1}(\mathfrak{S})\right)^p d\mathfrak{S}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\qquad \times \left(\int_0^{\zeta} (\zeta - \varsigma) \left(b(\varsigma)\varphi^{L-1}(\varsigma)\right)^{p_*} d\varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_*}}, \end{split}$$

where

$$C_{3}(L,K,p,p_{*}) = \frac{KL\xi^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\zeta^{\frac{p_{*}-1}{p_{*}}}}{(p+p_{*})^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{p_{p_{*}}}}}.$$

As special case of Theorem 3, when $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\rho(\xi) = \xi - 1$, $\rho(\zeta) = \zeta - 1$, $\sigma(\Im) = \Im + 1$, $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta + 1$, and we obtain the following result:

Corollary 2. Assume that a(n) and b(m) are non-negative sequences and define

$$\psi(n) = \sum_{\Im=0}^{n} a(\Im) \text{ and } \varphi(m) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} b(k).$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \frac{\psi^{L}(n)\varphi^{K}(m)}{\left(p_{*} \ n^{p-1} + p \ m^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \geq C_{4}(K,L,p,p_{*}) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} ((N-1) - (n+1))(a(n)\psi^{L-1}(n))^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \times \left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} ((M-1) - (m+1))(b(m)\varphi^{L-1}(m))^{p_{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}}, \end{split}$$

where

$$C_4(K,L,p) = \frac{KLN^{\frac{p-1}{p}}M^{\frac{p_*-1}{p_*}}}{(p+p_*)^{\frac{p+p_*}{pp_*}}}.$$

Theorem 5. Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale with \Im , ς , ς_0 , ξ , $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, $\psi(\Im)$ and $\varphi(\varsigma)$ be as defined in Theorem 3. Let $f(\tau)$ and $g(\eta)$ be two non-negative and right-dense continuous functions on $[\varsigma_0, \xi]$ and $[\varsigma_0, \zeta]$, respectively. Suppose that Λ and Υ are non-negative, concave and supermultiplicative functions defined on $[0, \infty)$. Furthermore, assume that

$$\Theta(\mathfrak{F}) := \int_{\varsigma_0}^{\mathfrak{F}} f(\tau) \Delta \tau \text{ and } \Xi(\varsigma) := \int_{\varsigma_0}^{\varsigma} g(\eta) \Delta \eta, \tag{24}$$

then, for $\Im \in [\varsigma_0, \xi]$ *and* $\varsigma \in [\varsigma_0, \zeta]$ *, we have that*

$$\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\xi} \int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \frac{\Lambda(\psi(\Im))Y(\varphi(\varsigma))}{\left(p_{*}(\Im - \varsigma_{0})^{p-1} + p(\varsigma - \varsigma_{0})^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \Delta\Im\Delta\varsigma$$

$$\geq M_{1}(p) \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\xi} (\rho(\xi) - \sigma(\Im)) \left(f(\Im)\Lambda\left[\frac{a(\Im)}{f(\Im)}\right]\right)^{p} \Delta\Im\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \qquad (25)$$

$$\times \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} (\rho(\zeta) - \sigma(\varsigma)) \left(g(\varsigma)Y\left[\frac{b(\varsigma)}{g(\varsigma)}\right]\right)^{p_{*}} \Delta\varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}},$$

where

$$M_{1}(p) = \left(\frac{1}{p+p_{*}}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}} \left\{ \int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\zeta} \left(\frac{\Lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{F})}{\Theta(\mathfrak{F})}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \Delta\mathfrak{F} \right\}^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left\{ \int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\zeta} \left(\frac{\Upsilon(\Xi(\varsigma))}{\Xi(\varsigma)}\right)^{\frac{p_{*}}{p_{*}-1}} \Delta\varsigma \right\}^{\frac{p_{*}-1}{p_{*}}}.$$

Proof. Since Λ is a concave and supermultiplicative function, we obtain by applying inverse Jensen's inequality that

$$\Lambda(\psi(\mathfrak{F})) = \Lambda\left(\frac{\Theta(\mathfrak{F})\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\mathfrak{F}} f(\tau) \frac{a(\tau)}{f(\tau)} \Delta \tau}{\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\mathfrak{F}} f(\tau) \Delta \tau}\right) \\
\geqslant \Lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{F}))\Lambda\left(\frac{\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\mathfrak{F}} f(\tau) \frac{a(\tau)}{f(\tau)} \Delta \tau}{\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\mathfrak{F}} f(\tau) \Delta \tau}\right) \\
\geqslant \frac{\Lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{F}))}{\Theta(\mathfrak{F})}\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\mathfrak{F}} f(\tau)\Lambda\left(\frac{a(\tau)}{f(\tau)}\right) \Delta \tau.$$
(26)

Applying inverse Hölder's inequality with indices p and $\frac{p}{p-1}$ on the right hand side of (26), we see that

$$\Lambda(\psi(\mathfrak{S})) \ge \frac{\Lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{S}))}{\Theta(\mathfrak{S})} (\mathfrak{S} - \varsigma_0)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\mathfrak{S}} \left(f(\tau) \Lambda\left[\frac{a(\tau)}{f(\tau)}\right] \right)^p \Delta \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$
 (27)

Moreover, since Y is a concave and supermultiplicative function, we obtain by applying inverse Jensen's inequality and inverse Hölder's inequality with indices p_* and $\frac{p_*}{p_*-1}$ that we have

$$Y(\varphi(\varsigma)) \ge \frac{Y(\Xi(\varsigma))}{\Xi(\varsigma)} (\varsigma - \varsigma_0)^{\frac{p_* - 1}{p_*}} \left(\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\varsigma} \left(g(\eta) Y\left[\frac{b(\eta)}{g(\eta)}\right] \right)^{p_*} \Delta \eta \right)^{\frac{1}{p_*}}.$$
 (28)

From (27) and (28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\psi(\mathfrak{F}))\mathbf{Y}(\varphi(\varsigma)) & \geqslant \quad (\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_0)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(\varsigma-\varsigma_0)^{\frac{p_*-1}{p_*}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{F})}{\Theta(\mathfrak{F})} \left(\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\mathfrak{F}} \left(f(\tau)\Lambda\left[\frac{a(\tau)}{f(\tau)}\right]\right)^p \Delta\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \\ & \times \left(\frac{\mathbf{Y}(\Xi(\varsigma))}{\Xi(\varsigma)} \left(\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\varsigma} \left(g(\eta)\mathbf{Y}\left[\frac{b(\eta)}{g(\eta)}\right]\right)^{p_*} \Delta\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{p_*}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

By using inequality (19), we obtain that

$$(\Im - \varsigma_0)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(\varsigma - \varsigma_0)^{\frac{p_*-1}{p_*}} \ge \left(\frac{pp_*}{p+p_*}\left(\frac{(\Im - \varsigma_0)^{p-1}}{p} + \frac{(\varsigma - \varsigma_0)^{p_*-1}}{p_*}\right)\right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{pp_*}}.$$
 (30)

From (29) and (30), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\psi(\mathfrak{S}))\mathbf{Y}(\varphi(\varsigma)) &\geq \left(\frac{pp_*}{p+p_*} \left(\frac{(\mathfrak{S}-\varsigma_0)^{p-1}}{p} + \frac{(\varsigma-\varsigma_0)^{p_*-1}}{p_*}\right)\right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{pp_*}} \\ &\times \left(\frac{\Lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{S})}{\Theta(\mathfrak{S})} \left(\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\mathfrak{S}} \left(f(\tau)\Lambda\left[\frac{a(\tau)}{f(\tau)}\right]\right)^p \Delta\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \left(\frac{\mathbf{Y}(\Xi(\varsigma))}{\Xi(\varsigma)} \left(\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\varsigma} \left(g(\eta)\mathbf{Y}\left[\frac{b(\eta)}{g(\eta)}\right]\right)^{p_*} \Delta\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{p_*}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{31}
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\frac{\Lambda(\psi(\mathfrak{F}))Y(\varphi(\varsigma))}{\left(p_{*}(\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_{0})^{p-1}+p(\varsigma-\varsigma_{0})^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \geqslant \left(\frac{1}{p+p_{*}}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{F})}{\Theta(\mathfrak{F})}\left(\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\mathfrak{F}}\left(f(\tau)\Lambda\left[\frac{a(\tau)}{f(\tau)}\right]\right)^{p}\Delta\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \times \left(\frac{Y(\Xi(\varsigma))}{\Xi(\varsigma)}\left(\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\varsigma}\left(g(\eta)Y\left[\frac{b(\eta)}{g(\eta)}\right]\right)^{p_{*}}\Delta\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}}\right).$$
(32)

Integrating both sides of (32) from ζ_0 to ξ and from ζ_0 and ζ , we obtain

$$\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\xi} \int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \frac{\Lambda(\psi(\Im))Y(\varphi(\zeta))}{\left(p_{*}(\Im - \zeta_{0})^{p-1} + p(\zeta - \zeta_{0})^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \Delta\Im\Delta\zeta$$

$$\geqslant \left(\frac{1}{p+p_{*}}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}} \int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\xi} \left(\frac{\Lambda(\Theta(\Im)}{\Theta(\Im)}\left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\Im} \left(f(\tau)\Lambda\left[\frac{a(\tau)}{f(\tau)}\right]\right)^{p}\Delta\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\Delta\Im\right) \qquad (33)$$

$$\times \int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \left(\frac{Y(\Xi(\zeta))}{\Xi(\zeta)}\left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \left(g(\eta)Y\left[\frac{b(\eta)}{g(\eta)}\right]\right)^{p_{*}}\Delta\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}}\Delta\zeta\right).$$

Applying inverse Hölder's inequality with indices p, $\frac{p}{p-1}$ and p_* , $\frac{p_*-1}{p_*}$ on the right hand of side (33), we have

$$\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\xi} \int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\zeta} \frac{\Lambda(\psi(\Im))Y(\varphi(\varsigma))}{\left(p_{*}(\Im - \varsigma_{0})^{p-1} + p(\varsigma - \varsigma_{0})^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \Delta\Im\Delta\varsigma$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{p+p_{*}}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}} \left\{ \int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\xi} \left(\frac{\Lambda(\Theta(\Im)}{\Theta(\Im)}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \Delta\Im\right\}^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\xi} \int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\Im} \left(f(\tau)\Lambda\left[\frac{a(\tau)}{f(\tau)}\right]\right)^{p} \Delta\tau\Delta\Im\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left\{ \int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\zeta} \left(\frac{Y(\Xi(\varsigma))}{\Xi(\varsigma)}\right)^{\frac{p_{*}-1}{p_{*}-1}} \Delta\varsigma\right\}^{\frac{p_{*}-1}{p_{*}}} \left(\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\zeta} \int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\varsigma} \left(g(\eta)Y\left[\frac{b(\eta)}{g(\eta)}\right]\right)^{p_{*}} \Delta\eta\Delta\varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}}.$$
(34)

Applying Lemma 4 on the right hand side of (34), we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\zeta_0}^{\xi} \int_{\zeta_0}^{\zeta} \frac{\Lambda(\psi(\Im)) \Upsilon(\varphi(\zeta))}{\left(p_*(\Im - \zeta_0)^{p-1} + p(\zeta - \zeta_0)^{p_*-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{pp_*}}} \Delta \Im \Delta \zeta \\ &\geqslant M_1(p) \left(\int_{\zeta_0}^{\xi} (\xi - \sigma(\Im)) \left(f(\Im) \Lambda\left[\frac{a(\Im)}{f(\Im)}\right]\right)^p \Delta \Im\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\qquad \times \left(\int_{\zeta_0}^{\zeta} (\zeta - \sigma(\zeta)) \left(g(\zeta) \Upsilon\left[\frac{b(\zeta)}{g(\zeta)}\right]\right)^{p_*} \Delta \zeta\right)^{\frac{1}{p_*}}. \end{split}$$

By using the facts $\xi \ge \rho(\xi)$ and $\zeta \ge \rho(\zeta)$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\zeta_0}^{\xi} \int_{\zeta_0}^{\zeta} \frac{\Lambda(\psi(\Im)) Y(\varphi(\varsigma))}{\left(p_*(\Im - \varsigma_0)^{p-1} + p(\varsigma - \varsigma_0)^{p_*-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{p_{p_*}}}} \Delta \Im \Delta \varsigma \\ &\geqslant M_1(p) \left(\int_{\zeta_0}^{\xi} (\rho(\xi) - \sigma(\Im)) \left(f(\Im) \Lambda\left[\frac{a(\Im)}{f(\Im)}\right]\right)^p \Delta \Im\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\times \left(\int_{\zeta_0}^{\zeta} (\rho(\zeta) - \sigma(\varsigma)) \left(g(\varsigma) Y\left[\frac{b(\varsigma)}{g(\varsigma)}\right]\right)^{p_*} \Delta \varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_*}}, \end{split}$$

where

$$M_{1}(p) = \left(\frac{1}{p+p_{*}}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}} \left\{ \int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\xi} \left(\frac{\Lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{F})}{\Theta(\mathfrak{F})}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \Delta\mathfrak{F} \right\}^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left\{ \int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\zeta} \left(\frac{Y(\Xi(\varsigma))}{\Xi(\varsigma)}\right)^{\frac{p_{*}}{p_{*}-1}} \Delta\varsigma \right\}^{\frac{p_{*}-1}{p_{*}}}.$$

This completes the proof. \Box

As a special case of Theorem 5, when $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, we have $\rho(\xi) = \xi \rho(\zeta) = \zeta$, $\sigma(\Im) = \Im$, $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta$, and we obtain the following result:

Corollary 3. Assume that $a(\Im)$, $b(\varsigma)$, $f(\tau)$ and $g(\eta)$ are non-negative functions and define

$$\psi(\mathfrak{F}) := \int_0^{\mathfrak{F}} a(\eta) d\eta, \quad \varphi(\varsigma) := \int_0^{\varsigma} b(\eta) d\eta, \quad \Theta(\mathfrak{F}) := \int_0^{\mathfrak{F}} f(\tau) d\tau, \quad and \quad \Xi(\varsigma) := \int_0^{\varsigma} g(\eta) d\eta.$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\xi} \int_{0}^{\zeta} \frac{\Lambda(\psi(\Im)) Y(\varphi(\varsigma))}{\left(p_{*} \Im^{p-1} + p\varsigma^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} d\Im d\varsigma \\ &\geqslant M_{2}(p) \left(\int_{0}^{\xi} (\xi - \Im) \left(f(\Im) \Lambda\left[\frac{a(\Im)}{f(\Im)}\right]\right)^{p} d\Im\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\times \left(\int_{0}^{\zeta} (\zeta - \varsigma) \left(g(\varsigma) Y\left[\frac{b(\varsigma)}{g(\varsigma)}\right]\right)^{p_{*}} d\varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}}, \end{split}$$

where

$$M_{2}(p) = \left(\frac{1}{p+p_{*}}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\zeta} \left(\frac{\Lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{F}))}{\Theta(\mathfrak{F})}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} d\mathfrak{F} \right\}^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\zeta} \left(\frac{Y(\Xi(\varsigma))}{\Xi(\varsigma)}\right)^{\frac{p_{*}}{p_{*}-1}} d\varsigma \right\}^{\frac{p_{*}-1}{p_{*}}}.$$

As a special case of Theorem 5, when $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\rho(\xi) = \xi - 1$, $\rho(\zeta) = \zeta - 1$, $\sigma(\Im) = \Im + 1$, $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta + 1$, and we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4. Assume that a(n), b(m), f(n) and g(m) are non-negative sequences and define

$$\psi(n) = \sum_{\Im=0}^{n} a(\Im), \ \varphi(m) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} b(k), \ \Theta(n) = \sum_{\Im=0}^{n} f(\Im) \ and \ \Xi(m) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} g(k).$$

Then,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \frac{\Lambda(\psi(n)) Y(\varphi(m))}{\left(p_{*} n^{p-1} + p m^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \ge M_{3}(p) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{N} ((N-1) - (n+1)) \left(f(n) \Lambda\left[\frac{a(n)}{f(n)}\right]\right)^{p} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{M} ((M-1) - (m+1)) \left(g(m) Y\left[\frac{b(m)}{g(m)}\right]\right)^{p_{*}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}},$$

where

$$M_{3}(p) = \left(\frac{1}{p+p_{*}}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\Lambda(\Theta(n)}{\Theta(n)}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right\}^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left(\frac{Y(\Xi(m))}{\Xi(m)}\right)^{\frac{p_{*}}{p_{*}-1}} \right\}^{\frac{p_{*}-1}{p_{*}}}.$$

Theorem 6. Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale with \mathfrak{S} , ς , ς_0 , ξ , $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$. Let f and g be two non-negative and right-dense continuous functions on $[\varsigma_0, \xi]$ and $[\varsigma_0, \zeta]$, respectively. Suppose that Λ and Y are non-negative, concave and supermultiplicative functions defined on $[0, \infty)$ and define

$$\Theta(\mathfrak{F}) := \frac{1}{\mathfrak{F} - \varsigma_0} \int_{\varsigma_0}^{\mathfrak{F}} f(\tau) \Delta \tau \text{ and } \Xi(\varsigma) := \frac{1}{\varsigma - \varsigma_0} \int_{\varsigma_0}^{\varsigma} g(\tau) \Delta \tau, \tag{35}$$

then, for $\Im \in [\varsigma_0, \xi]$ *and* $\varsigma \in [\varsigma_0, \zeta]$ *, we have that*

$$\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \frac{\Lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{F}))Y(\Xi(\varsigma))(\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_{0})(\varsigma-\varsigma_{0})}{\left(p_{*}(\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_{0})^{p-1}+p(\varsigma-\varsigma_{0})^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \Delta\mathfrak{F} \\ \geq E(p,p_{*})\left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} (\rho(\xi)-\sigma(\mathfrak{F}))\left[\Lambda(f(\mathfrak{F}))\right]^{p}\Delta\mathfrak{F}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} (\rho(\zeta)-\sigma(\varsigma))\left[Y(g(\varsigma))\right]^{p_{*}}\Delta\varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}}, \tag{36}$$

where

$$E(p, p_*) = \left(\frac{1}{p+p_*}\right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{pp_*}} (\xi - \zeta_0)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (\zeta - \zeta_0)^{\frac{p_*-1}{p_*}}$$

Proof. By assumption and by using the inverse Jensen inequality, we see that

$$\Lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{F})) = \Lambda\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{F} - \varsigma_0} \int_{\varsigma_0}^{\mathfrak{F}} f(\tau) \Delta \tau\right)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\mathfrak{F} - \varsigma_0} \int_{\varsigma_0}^{\mathfrak{F}} \Lambda(f(\tau)) \Delta \tau.$$
(37)

By applying inverse Hölder's inequality on (37) with indices, p, $\frac{p}{p-1}$, we have

$$\Lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{S})) \ge \frac{1}{\mathfrak{S} - \varsigma_0} (\mathfrak{S} - \varsigma_0)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\mathfrak{S}} \left[\Lambda(f(\tau)) \right]^p \Delta \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$
(38)

This implies that

$$\Lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{S}))(\mathfrak{S}-\varsigma_0) \ge (\mathfrak{S}-\varsigma_0)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \bigg(\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\mathfrak{S}} \big[\Lambda(f(\tau)) \big]^p \Delta \tau \bigg)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$
(39)

Analogously,

$$\mathbf{Y}(\Xi(\varsigma))(\varsigma-\varsigma_0) \ge (\varsigma-\varsigma_0)^{\frac{p_*-1}{p_*}} \left(\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\varsigma} \left[\mathbf{Y}(g(\tau))\right]^{p_*} \Delta \tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p_*}}.$$
(40)

From (39) and (40), we obtain

$$\Lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{F}))Y(\Xi(\varsigma))(\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_{0})(\varsigma-\varsigma_{0}) \geq (\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_{0})^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(\varsigma-\varsigma_{0})^{\frac{p+1}{p_{*}}} \left(\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \left[\Lambda(f(\tau))\right]^{p} \Delta \tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left(\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\varsigma} \left[Y(g(\tau))\right]^{p_{*}} \Delta \tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}}.$$
(41)

By using inequality (19), we obtain that

$$(\Im - \varsigma_0)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(\varsigma - \varsigma_0)^{\frac{p_*-1}{p_*}} \ge \left(\frac{pp_*}{p+p_*}\left(\frac{(\Im - \varsigma_0)^{p-1}}{p} + \frac{(\varsigma - \varsigma_0)^{p_*-1}}{p_*}\right)\right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{pp_*}}.$$
 (42)

Then,

$$\Lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{F}))Y(\Xi(\varsigma))(\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_{0})(\varsigma-\varsigma_{0}) \geq \left(\frac{pp_{*}}{p+p_{*}}\left(\frac{(\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_{0})^{p-1}}{p}+\frac{(\varsigma-\varsigma_{0})^{p_{*}-1}}{p_{*}}\right)\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}$$
$$\left(\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\mathfrak{F}}\left[\Lambda(f(\tau))\right]^{p}\Delta\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\varsigma}\left[Y(g(\tau))\right]^{p_{*}}\Delta\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}}.$$
(43)

From (43), we have

$$\frac{\Lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{F}))Y(\Xi(\varsigma))(\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_{0})(\varsigma-\varsigma_{0})}{\left(p_{*}(\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_{0})^{p-1}+p(\varsigma-\varsigma_{0})^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \geqslant \left(\frac{1}{p+p_{*}}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}} \left(\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\mathfrak{F}}\left[\Lambda(f(\tau))\right]^{p}\Delta\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left(\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\varsigma}\left[Y(g(\tau))\right]^{p_{*}}\Delta\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}}.$$
(44)

Taking delta integrating on both sides of (44), first over \Im from ζ_0 to ζ and then over ζ from ζ_0 to ζ , we find that

$$\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\xi} \int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \frac{\Lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{F}))Y(\Xi(\varsigma))(\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_{0})(\varsigma-\varsigma_{0})}{\left(p_{*}(\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_{0})^{p-1}+p(\varsigma-\varsigma_{0})^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \Delta\mathfrak{F} \geq \left(\frac{1}{p+p_{*}}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\xi} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \left[\Lambda(f(\tau))\right]^{p} \Delta\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \Delta\mathfrak{F}\right) \times \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\varsigma} \left[Y(g(\tau))\right]^{p_{*}} \Delta\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}} \Delta\varsigma\right). \tag{45}$$

By applying inverse Hölder's inequality on (45) with indices p, $\frac{p}{p-1}$ and p_* , $\frac{p_*}{p_*-1}$, we get

$$\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \frac{\Lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{S}))Y(\Xi(\varsigma))(\mathfrak{S}-\varsigma_{0})(\varsigma-\varsigma_{0})}{\left(p_{*}(\mathfrak{S}-\varsigma_{0})^{p-1}+p(\varsigma-\varsigma_{0})^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \Delta\mathfrak{S}\Delta\varsigma \geqslant \left(\frac{1}{p+p_{*}}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}} (\xi-\varsigma_{0})^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (\zeta-\varsigma_{0})^{\frac{p_{*}-1}{p_{*}}} \times \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\varsigma} \left[\Lambda(f(\tau))\right]^{p} \Delta\tau\right) \Delta\mathfrak{S}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\varsigma} \left[Y(g(\tau))\right]^{p_{*}} \Delta\tau\right) \Delta\varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}}.$$
(46)

Applying Lemma 4 on (46), we fined that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \frac{\Lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{F}))Y(\Xi(\varsigma))(\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_{0})(\varsigma-\varsigma_{0})}{\left(p_{*}(\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_{0})^{p-1}+p(\varsigma-\varsigma_{0})^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \Delta\mathfrak{F} \geq \left(\frac{1}{p+p_{*}}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}} (\xi-\varsigma_{0})^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (\zeta-\varsigma_{0})^{\frac{p_{*}-1}{p_{*}}} \\ &\times \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\xi} (\xi-\sigma(\mathfrak{F}))[\Lambda(f(\mathfrak{F}))]^{p} \Delta\mathfrak{F}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} (\zeta-\sigma(\varsigma))[Y(g(\varsigma))]^{p_{*}} \Delta\varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}} \\ &= E(p,p_{*}) \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\xi} (\xi-\sigma(\mathfrak{F}))[\phi(f(\mathfrak{F}))]^{p} \Delta\mathfrak{F}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} (\zeta-\sigma(\varsigma))[Y(g(\varsigma))]^{p_{*}} \Delta\varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}}. \end{split}$$

By using the facts $\xi \ge \rho(\xi)$ and $\zeta \ge \rho(\zeta)$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\xi} \int_{\varsigma_0}^{\zeta} \frac{\Lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{F})) Y(\Xi(\varsigma))(\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_0)(\varsigma-\varsigma_0)}{\left(p_*(\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_0)^{p-1} + p(\varsigma-\varsigma_0)^{p_*-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{pp_*}}} \Delta \mathfrak{F} \\ &\geqslant E(p,p_*) \left(\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\xi} (\rho(\xi) - \sigma(\mathfrak{F})) \left[\Lambda(f(\mathfrak{F}))\right]^p \Delta \mathfrak{F}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\zeta} (\rho(\zeta) - \sigma(\varsigma)) \left[Y(g(\varsigma))\right]^{p_*} \Delta \varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_*}}, \end{split}$$

where

$$E(p,p_*) = \left(\frac{1}{p+p_*}\right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{pp_*}} (\xi-\zeta_0)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (\zeta-\zeta_0)^{\frac{p_*-1}{p_*}}.$$

This completes the proof. \Box

As a special case of Theorem 6, when $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, we obtain the following conclusion.

Corollary 5. Assume that f and g are non-negative functions and define

$$\Theta(\Im) := \frac{1}{\Im} \int_0^{\Im} f(\tau) d\tau \text{ and } \Xi(\varsigma) := \frac{1}{\varsigma} \int_0^{\varsigma} g(\tau) d\tau,$$

then, for $\Im \in [0, \xi]$ *and* $\varsigma \in [0, \zeta]$ *, we have that*

$$\int_{0}^{\xi} \int_{0}^{\zeta} \frac{\Lambda(\Theta(\mathfrak{F}))Y(\Xi(\varsigma))\mathfrak{F}_{\zeta}}{\left(p_{*}\mathfrak{F}^{p-1}+p\varsigma^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} d\mathfrak{F} d\mathfrak{F} \geq E_{1}(p,p_{*})\left(\int_{0}^{\xi}(\xi-\mathfrak{F})\left[\Lambda(f(\mathfrak{F}))\right]^{p}d\mathfrak{F}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left(\int_{0}^{\zeta}(\zeta-\varsigma)\left[Y(g(\varsigma))\right]^{p_{*}}d\varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}},$$

where

$$E_1(p,p_*) = \left(\frac{1}{p+p_*}\right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{pp_*}} \xi^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \zeta^{\frac{p_*-1}{p_*}}.$$

As a special case of Theorem 6, when $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain the following conclusion.

Corollary 6. Assume that f(n) and g(m) are two non-negative sequences of real numbers and define

$$\Theta(n) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\Im=1}^{n} f(\Im) \text{ and } \Xi(m) := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} g(k),$$

then,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \frac{\Lambda(\Theta(n)) \mathbf{Y}(\Xi(m)) nm}{\left(p_{*} n^{p-1} + pm^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} & \geqslant \quad E_{2}(p,p_{*}) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} ((N-1) - (n+1)) \left[\Lambda(f(n))\right]^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \times \left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} ((M-1) - (m+1)) \left[\mathbf{Y}(g(m))\right]^{p_{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}}, \end{split}$$

where

$$E_2(p,p_*) = \left(\frac{1}{p+p_*}\right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{pp_*}} N^{\frac{p-1}{p}} M^{\frac{p_*-1}{p_*}}.$$

Theorem 7. Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale with \Im , ζ , ζ_0 , ξ , $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$. Let $a(\tau)$ and $b(\tau)$ be two non-negative and right-dense continuous functions on $[\zeta_0, \xi]$ and $[\zeta_0, \zeta]$, respectively. Let Θ , Ξ , f, g, Λ and Y be as assumed in Theorem 5. Furthermore, assume that

$$\psi(\mathfrak{F}) := \frac{1}{\Theta(\mathfrak{F})} \int_{\varsigma_0}^{\mathfrak{F}} a(\tau) f(\tau) \Delta \tau \text{ and } \varphi(\varsigma) := \frac{1}{\Xi(\varsigma)} \int_{\varsigma_0}^{\varsigma} b(\eta) g(\eta) \Delta \eta, \tag{47}$$

then, for $\Im \in [\varsigma_0, \xi]$ *and* $\varsigma \in [\varsigma_0, \zeta]$ *, we have that*

$$\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \frac{\Lambda(\psi(\Im))Y(\varphi(\varsigma))\Xi(\varsigma)\Theta(\Im)}{\left(p_{*}(\Im-\zeta_{0})^{p-1}+p(\varsigma-\zeta_{0})^{p_{*}-1}\right)\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \Delta\Im\Delta\varsigma$$

$$\geq D_{1}(p) \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} (\zeta-\sigma(\Im))\left(f(\Im)\Lambda[a(\Im)]\right)^{p}\Delta\Im\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} (\zeta-\sigma(\varsigma))\left(g(\varsigma)Y[b(\varsigma)]\right)^{p_{*}}\Delta\varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}},$$

where

$$D_1(p) = \left(\frac{1}{p+p_*}\right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{pp_*}} (\xi - \zeta_0)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (\zeta - \zeta_0)^{\frac{p_*-1}{p_*}}.$$

Proof. From (47), we see that

$$\Lambda(\psi(\mathfrak{F})) = \Lambda\left(\frac{1}{\Theta(\mathfrak{F})} \int_{\varsigma_0}^{\mathfrak{F}} f(\tau) a(\tau) \Delta \tau\right).$$
(48)

Applying inverse Hölder's inequality with indices p and $\frac{p}{p-1}$ on the right hand side of (48), we obtain

$$\Lambda(\psi(\mathfrak{S})) \ge \frac{(\mathfrak{S} - \varsigma_0)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}}{\Theta(\mathfrak{S})} \bigg(\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\mathfrak{S}} \bigg(f(\tau) \Lambda[a(\tau)] \bigg)^p \Delta \tau \bigg)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$
(49)

From (49), we obtain that

$$\Lambda(\psi(\mathfrak{F}))\Theta(\mathfrak{F}) \ge (\mathfrak{F} - \varsigma_0)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \bigg(\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\mathfrak{F}} \bigg(f(\tau)\Lambda[a(\tau)] \bigg)^p \Delta \tau \bigg)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$
(50)

Similarly, we obtain

$$Y(\varphi(\varsigma))\Xi(\varsigma) \ge (\varsigma - \varsigma_0)^{\frac{p_* - 1}{p_*}} \left(\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\varsigma} \left(g(\eta) Y[b(\eta)] \right)^{p_*} \Delta \eta \right)^{\frac{1}{p_*}}.$$
(51)

From (50) and (51), we observe that

$$\Lambda(\psi(\mathfrak{F}))\mathbf{Y}(\varphi(\varsigma))\mathbf{\Xi}(\varsigma)\Theta(\mathfrak{F}) \ge (\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_0)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(\varsigma-\varsigma_0)^{\frac{p*-1}{p*}} \times \left(\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\mathfrak{F}} \left(f(\tau)\Lambda[a(\tau)]\right)^p \Delta\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\varsigma} \left(g(\eta)\mathbf{Y}[b(\eta)]\right)^{p*} \Delta\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{p*}}.$$
(52)

Applying the inequality (19) on the term $(\Im - \zeta_0)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(\zeta - \zeta_0)^{\frac{p_*-1}{p_*}}$, we obtain the following inequality

$$\Lambda(\psi(\mathfrak{F}))\Upsilon(\varphi(\varsigma))\Xi(\varsigma)\Theta(\mathfrak{F}) \geq \left(\frac{pp_*}{p+p_*}\left(\frac{(\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_0)^{p-1}}{p} + \frac{(\varsigma-\varsigma_0)^{p_*-1}}{p_*}\right)\right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{pp_*}} \times \left(\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\mathfrak{F}} \left(f(\tau)\Lambda[a(\tau)]\right)^p \Delta\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\varsigma} \left(g(\eta)\Upsilon[b(\eta)]\right)^{p_*} \Delta\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{p_*}}.$$
(53)

Dividing both sides of (53) by $\left(p_*(\Im - \varsigma_0)^{p-1} + p(\varsigma - \varsigma_0)^{p_*-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{pp_*}}$, we obtain that

$$\frac{\Lambda(\psi(\mathfrak{F}))Y(\varphi(\varsigma))\Xi(\varsigma)\Theta(\mathfrak{F})}{\left(p_{*}(\mathfrak{F}-\varsigma_{0})^{p-1}+p(\varsigma-\varsigma_{0})^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \qquad \geqslant \left(\frac{1}{p+p_{*}}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}} \left(\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \left(f(\tau)\Lambda[a(\tau)]\right)^{p}\Delta\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \times \left(\int_{\varsigma_{0}}^{\varsigma} \left(g(\eta)Y[b(\eta)]\right)^{p_{*}}\Delta\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}}. \tag{54}$$

Integrating both sides of (54) from ζ_0 to ξ and ζ_0 to ζ , we obtain

$$\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \frac{\Lambda(\psi(\Im)) \Upsilon(\varphi(\zeta)) \Xi(\zeta) \Theta(\Im)}{\left(p_{*}(\Im - \zeta_{0})^{p-1} + p(\zeta - \zeta_{0})^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \Delta\Im\Delta\varsigma$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{p+p_{*}}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\Im} \left(f(\tau)\Lambda[a(\tau)]\right)^{p} \Delta\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \Delta\Im\right) \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\Im} \left(g(\eta)\Upsilon[b(\eta)]\right)^{p_{*}} \Delta\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}} \Delta\varsigma\right).$$
(55)

Applying inverse Hölder's inequality again with indices p, $\frac{p}{p-1}$ and p_* , $\frac{p_*}{p_*-1}$ on the right hand side of (55), we have

$$\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \frac{\Lambda(\psi(\mathfrak{F}))Y(\varphi(\zeta))\Xi(\zeta)\Theta(\mathfrak{F})}{\left(p_{*}(\mathfrak{F}-\zeta_{0})^{p-1}+p(\zeta-\zeta_{0})^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} \Delta\mathfrak{F} \\
\geq \left(\frac{1}{p+p_{*}}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}} (\xi-\zeta_{0})^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (\zeta-\zeta_{0})^{\frac{p_{*}-1}{p_{*}}} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \left(f(\tau)\Lambda[a(\tau)]\right)^{p} \Delta\tau\right) \Delta\mathfrak{F}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\times \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\varsigma} \left(g(\eta)Y[b(\eta)]\right)^{p_{*}} \Delta\eta\right) \Delta\varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\varsigma} \left(g(\eta)Y[b(\eta)]\right)^{p_{*}} \Delta\eta\right) \Delta\varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}} (56) \\
= D_{1}(p) \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \left(f(\tau)\Lambda[a(\tau)]\right)^{p} \Delta\tau\right) \Delta\mathfrak{F}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\zeta} \left(\int_{\zeta_{0}}^{\varsigma} \left(g(\eta)Y[b(\eta)]\right)^{p_{*}} \Delta\eta\right) \Delta\varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}}.$$

Applying Lemma4 on the right hand side of (56), we obtain that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\zeta_0}^{\xi} \int_{\zeta_0}^{\zeta} \frac{\Lambda(\psi(\mathfrak{F})) Y(\varphi(\varsigma)) \Xi(\varsigma) \Theta(\mathfrak{F})}{\left(p_*(\mathfrak{F} - \varsigma_0)^{p-1} + p(\varsigma - \varsigma_0)^{p_*-1} \right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{p_{p_*}}}} \Delta \mathfrak{F} \\ &\geq D_1(p) \left(\int_{\zeta_0}^{\xi} (\xi - \sigma(\mathfrak{F})) \left(f(\mathfrak{F}) \Lambda[a(\mathfrak{F})] \right)^p \Delta \mathfrak{F} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\zeta_0}^{\zeta} (\zeta - \sigma(\varsigma)) \left(g(\varsigma) Y[b(\varsigma)] \right)^{p_*} \Delta \varsigma \right)^{\frac{1}{p_*}}. \end{split}$$

By using the facts $\xi \ge \rho(\xi)$ and $\zeta \ge \rho(\zeta)$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\xi} \int_{\varsigma_0}^{\zeta} \frac{\Lambda(\psi(\mathfrak{S})) Y(\varphi(\varsigma)) \Xi(\varsigma) \Theta(\mathfrak{S})}{\left(p_*(\mathfrak{S} - \varsigma_0)^{p-1} + p(\varsigma - \varsigma_0)^{p_*-1} \right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{pp_*}}} \Delta \mathfrak{S} \Delta \varsigma \\ &\geq D_1(p) \left(\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\xi} (\rho(\xi) - \sigma(\mathfrak{S})) \left(f(\mathfrak{S}) \Lambda[a(\mathfrak{S})] \right)^p \Delta \mathfrak{S} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\varsigma_0}^{\zeta} (\rho(\zeta) - \sigma(\varsigma)) \left(g(\varsigma) Y[b(\varsigma)] \right)^{p_*} \Delta \varsigma \right)^{\frac{1}{p_*}}. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof. \Box

As a special case of Theorem 7, when $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, we have $\rho(\xi) = \xi$, $\rho(\zeta) = \zeta$, $\sigma(\mathfrak{F}) = \mathfrak{F}$, $\sigma(\varsigma) = \mathfrak{F}$, and we obtain the following result:

Corollary 7. Assume that $a(\Im)$, $b(\varsigma)$, $f(\Im)$ and $g(\varsigma)$ are non-negative functions and define

$$\psi(\mathfrak{F}) := \frac{1}{\Theta(\mathfrak{F})} \int_0^{\mathfrak{F}} f(\tau) a(\tau) d\tau \text{ and } \varphi(\varsigma) := \frac{1}{\Xi(\varsigma)} \int_0^{\varsigma} g(\tau) b(\tau) d\tau,$$
$$\Theta(\mathfrak{F}) := \int_0^{\mathfrak{F}} f(\tau) d\tau \text{ and } \Xi(\varsigma) := \int_0^{\varsigma} g(\tau) d\tau.$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{\xi} \int_{0}^{\zeta} \frac{\Lambda(\psi(\mathfrak{S})) \Upsilon(\varphi(\varsigma)) \Theta(\mathfrak{S}) \Xi(\varsigma)}{\left(p_{*} \mathfrak{S}^{p-1} + p\varsigma^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} d\mathfrak{S} d\varsigma & \geqslant D_{2}(p) \left(\int_{0}^{\xi} (\xi - \mathfrak{S}) \left(f(\mathfrak{S}) \Lambda\left(a(\mathfrak{S})\right)\right)^{p} d\mathfrak{S}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \times \left(\int_{0}^{\zeta} (\zeta - \varsigma) \left(g(\varsigma) \Upsilon\left(b(\varsigma)\right)\right)^{p_{*}} d\varsigma\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}}, \end{split}$$

where

$$D_{2}(p) = \left(\frac{1}{p+p_{*}}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}} (\xi)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (\zeta)^{\frac{p_{*}-1}{p_{*}}}.$$

As a special case of Theorem 7, when $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\rho(\xi) = \xi - 1$, $\rho(\zeta) = \zeta - 1$, $\sigma(\Im) = \Im + 1$, $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta + 1$, and we obtain the following result:

Corollary 8. Assume that a(n), b(m), f(n) and g(m) are non-negative sequences and define

$$\psi(n) := \frac{1}{\Theta(n)} \sum_{\Im=0}^{n} f(\Im)a(\Im) \text{ and } \varphi(m) := \frac{1}{\Xi(m)} \sum_{k=0}^{m} g(k)b(k),$$
$$\Theta(n) := \sum_{\Im=0}^{n} f(\Im) \text{ and } \Xi(m) := \sum_{k=0}^{m} g(k).$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \frac{\Lambda(\psi(n)) \mathbf{Y}(\varphi(m)) \Theta(n) \Xi(m)}{\left(p_{*} n^{p-1} + p m^{p_{*}-1}\right)^{\frac{p+p_{*}}{pp_{*}}}} & \geqslant D_{3}(p) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} ((N-1) - (n+1)) \left(f(n) \Lambda\left(a(n)\right)\right)^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \times \left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} ((M-1) - (m+1)) \left(g(m) \mathbf{Y}\left(b(m)\right)\right)^{p_{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{*}}}, \end{split}$$

where

$$D_3(p) = \left(\frac{1}{p+p_*}\right)^{\frac{p+p_*}{pp_*}} (N)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (M)^{\frac{p_*-1}{p_*}}.$$

3. Conclusions and Discussion

In this article, with the help of the inverse Hölder's inequality and inverse Jensen's inequality on time scales, we discussed and proved several new generalizations of the integral retarded inequalities given in [3]. Moreover, we generalized a number of other inequalities to a general time scale. Finally, as a special case, we studied the discrete and continuous inequalities. As a future work, we intend to generalize these inequalities by using alpha-conformable fractional derivatives on time scales. Furthermore, we will extend these results to diamond alpha calculus.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.A.E.-D., S.D.M. and B.A.; formal analysis, A.A.E.-D., S.D.M. and B.A.; investigation, A.A.E.-D., S.D.M. and B.A.; writing–original draft preparation, A.A.E.-D., S.D.M. and B.A.; writing–review and editing, A.A.E.-D., S.D.M. and B.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University Researchers Supporting Project number PNURSP2022R216, Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Hardy, G.H. J. e. Littlewood and g. pólya, Inequalities; Cambridge University Press: London, UK; New York, NY, USA, 1952; Volume 2, pp. 151–218.
- 2. Pachpatte, B.G. A note on Hilbert type inequality. Tamkang J. Math. 1998, 29, 293–298. [CrossRef]
- 3. Kim, Y.H. Some new inverse-type Hilbert–pachpatte integral inequalities. Acta Math. Sin. 2004, 20, 57–62. [CrossRef]
- 4. Yang, W. Some new hilbert-pachpatte's inequalities. *Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* 2009, 10, 1–14.
- 5. Hilger, S. Ein Maßkettenkalkül mit Anwendung auf Zentrumsmannigfaltigkeiten. Ph.D. Thesis, Universität Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany, 1988.
- 6. El-Deeb, A.; Cheung, S. A variety of dynamic inequalities on time scales with retardation. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **2018**, *11*, 1185–1206. [CrossRef]
- El-Deeb, A.; Elsennary, A.; Nwaeze, R. Generalized weighted Ostrowski, trapezoid and Grüss type inequalities on time scales. *Fasc. Math.* 2018, 123–144. [CrossRef]
- El-Deeb, A.; Elsennary, H.; Cheung, W. Some reverse Hölder inequalities with Specht's ratio on time scales. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 2018, 11, 444–455. [CrossRef]
- 9. Saker, S.H.; Rezk, H.M.; O'Regan, D.; Agarwal, R.P. A variety of inverse Hilbert type inequality on time scales. *Dyn. Contin. Discret. Impuls. Syst. Ser. A Math. Anal.* **2017**, *24*, 347–373.
- 10. Řehák, P. Hardy inequality on time scales and its application to half-linear dynamic equations. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2005**, 495–507. [CrossRef]
- 11. Zhao, C.J.; Cheung, W.S. Inverses of new Hilbert-pachpatte-type inequalities. J. Inequalities Appl. 2006, 2006, 97860. [CrossRef]
- 12. El-Deeb, A.A.; Awrejcewicz, J. Diamond-α Hardy-Type Inequalities on Time Scales. Symmetry 2022, 14, 2047. [CrossRef]

- 13. AlNemer, G.; Kenawy, M.R.; Rezk, H.M.; El-Deeb, A.A.; Zakarya, M. Fractional Leindler's Inequalities via Conformable Calculus. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 1958. [CrossRef]
- 14. El-Deeb, A.A.; El-Bary, A.A.; Awrejcewicz, J. On Some Dynamic (ΔΔ)[∇]- Gronwall–Bellman–Pachpatte-Type Inequalities on Time Scales and Its Applications. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 1902. [CrossRef]
- El-Deeb, A.A.; Baleanu, D.; Awrejcewicz, J. (Δ∇)[∇]-Pachpatte Dynamic Inequalities Associated with Leibniz Integral Rule on Time Scales with Applications. *Symmetry* 2022, 14, 1867. [CrossRef]
- 16. El-Deeb, A.A.; Makharesh, S.D.; Awrejcewicz, J.; Agarwal, R.P. Dynamic Hardy–Copson-Type Inequalities via (*γ*, *a*)-Nabla-Conformable Derivatives on Time Scales. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 1847. [CrossRef]
- 17. Bohner, M.; Peterson, A. Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications; Birkhauser: Boston, MA, USA, 2001.
- 18. Agarwal, R.; O'Regan, D.; Saker, S. Dynamic Inequalities on Time Scales; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2014; Volume 2014.
- Saker, A.; El-Deeb, S.; Rezk, H.; Agarwal, R. On Hilbert's inequality on time scales. *Appl. Anal. Discret. Math.* 2017, 11, 399–423. [CrossRef]