

Article

Complex Intuitionistic Fuzzy Aczel-Alsina Aggregation Operators and Their Application in Multi-Attribute Decision-Making

Tahir Mahmood ¹, Zeeshan Ali ¹, Samruam Baupradist ² and Ronnason Chinram ^{3,*}

¹ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, International Islamic University Islamabad, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan

² Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand

³ Division of Computational Science, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Songkhla 90110, Thailand

* Correspondence: ronnason.c@psu.ac.th

Abstract: To handle complex, risk-illustrating, and asymmetric information, the theory discussed in this analysis is much more suitable for evaluating the above dilemmas. To manage ambiguity and inconsistency in real-life problems, the principle of Aczel–Alsina (AA) t-norm and t-conorm was initiated in 1980. These norms are massively modified and different from prevailing norms due to parameter p , where $0 < p < +\infty$. The major contribution of this analysis is to analyze the AA operational laws (addition, multiplication, score value, accuracy value) under the complex intuitionistic fuzzy (CIF) settings. Furthermore, we initiated the principle of CIFAA weighted averaging (CIFAAWA), CIFAA ordered weighted averaging (CIFAOWA), CIFAA hybrid averaging (CIFAHA), CIFAA weighted geometric (CIFAAG), CIFAA ordered weighted geometric (CIFAOWG), CIFAA hybrid geometric (CIFAAG), as well as their beneficial results. Additionally, to consider the elaborated works, a multi-attribute decision-making (MADM) technique was explored to investigate the supremacy and feasibility of the developed works. The main influence of this manuscript is how to choose the best decision under the availability of asymmetric types of information given by different experts. Finally, we performed the sensitivity analysis and graphically show the presented work with the help of several examples.

Keywords: complex intuitionistic fuzzy sets; Aczel–Alsina aggregation operators; decision-making strategy



Citation: Mahmood, T.; Ali, Z.; Baupradist, S.; Chinram, R. Complex Intuitionistic Fuzzy Aczel-Alsina Aggregation Operators and Their Application in Multi-Attribute Decision-Making. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 2255. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14112255>

Academic Editors: Salvatore Sessa and Ferdinando Di Martino

Received: 3 October 2022

Accepted: 16 October 2022

Published: 27 October 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

The MADM technique has attained a lot of attention from different intellectuals due to its beneficial ability to investigate the best decisions out of a group, and several individuals have employed it in the region of problematic dilemmas. Some cases are very difficult if an expert employs fuzzy numbers instead of the crisp number. For this, the principle of the fuzzy set (FS) [1] was initiated by increasing the range of craps set in the unit interval. Keeping the benefits of the FS, numerous people have utilized it in the region of different circumstances. For illustration, see studies on ordered aggregation operators [2], the idea of immediate probabilities [3], decision-making modeling based on immediate probabilities [4], ordered weighted aggregation (OWA) operators for FSs [5], OWA operators of different criteria with mixed uncertain satisfaction [6], super migrative based on aggregation function [7], deviation under aggregation mapping [8], generalized ordered modular averaging operators [9], OWA operators in the weighted averaging and their application in decision-making [10], analysis of fuzzy research under biometric indicators [11], and on averaging operators for intuitionistic fuzzy sets [12]. The fundamental theory of FS has

gotten massive attention from the side of very well-known academics. However, there may be a rule in the decision-making processes whereby the decision-maker may be of truth grade (TG) and falsity grade (FG) for the attribute values, then the FS fails to tackle this case. To survive this challenge, Atanassov [13] diagnosed an intuitionistic FS (IFS). IFS has been developed with two different mappings in the shape of TG and FG, which hold the following axiom: that is, the total of two mappings is controlled to the unit interval. To fill this gap in the research, several people have employed it in the region of bipolar soft sets [14], discussing the quality of the image by using measures [15], Aczel-Aslina aggregation operators [16], decision-making frameworks for determining the large-scale rooftop [17], different sorts of measures under right angle triangle [18], analysis of weight-based hybrid approach [19], three-ways decision-making techniques [20], uncertain database measures under belief function [21], how to determine the average of knowledge under IFSs [22], time-series approaches by using the IFSs [23], and AHP techniques based on IFSs and their application [24].

The above-referred studies uncovering those various decision-making strategies have been created under IFS and interval-valued IFS speculations. In any case, it is dissected that these hypotheses can manage only one-dimensional decision-making issues. Nonetheless, some truly overwhelming issues incorporate two-dimensional information, that is, data identified with the traits and periodicity of the boundaries worried about the issue. To depict such two-dimensional data utilizing these hypotheses, the chief should think about at least two FSs/IFSs, which might expand the execution time and the number of calculations required while tackling the issue. Thus, to depict occasional data in the judgment esteems, the fundamentals of complex FS (CFS) were diagnosed by Ramot et al. [25]. CFS covers only the TG in the shape of these sorts of structures, which is deepened on the real part and imaginary part, whose values belong to the unit interval. Additionally, many operational laws and their inequalities based on CFS were diagnosed by Zhang et al. [26], the principle of complex fuzzy logic was elaborated by Ramot et al. [27], neuro-fuzzy systems were initiated by Chen et al. [28], and Liu et al. [29] investigated the cross-entropy measures for CFSs. The fundamental theory of CFS has gotten massive attention from the side of very well-known academics. However, there may be a rule in the decision-making processes whereby the decision-maker may be TG and FG for the attribute values, and the CFS fails to tackle this case. To survive this challenge, Alkouri and Salleh [30] diagnosed the complex IFS (CIFS). IFS has developed with two different mappings in the shape of TG and FG in the shape of complex numbers, which hold the following axiom: that is, the total of the real part (also for the imaginary part) of the two mappings is controlled to the unit interval. To fill the gap in the research works, several people have employed it in the region of aggregation operators [31], generalized geometric aggregation operators [32], robust averaging/geometric aggregation operators [33], generalized complex intuitionistic fuzzy aggregation operators [34], and prioritized aggregation operators for complex intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets [35]. To investigate the addition and multiplication of any two fuzzy numbers, one needs to use t-norm and t-conorm, because using crisp addition and multiplication exceed the value of fuzzy numbers from the unit interval. The concept of the triangular norm was initiated by Menger [36] in 1942. Further, Garg and Rani [37] developed the distance measures to fill the gap in the research work. Xia et al. [38] initiated the probabilistic t-norm and t-conorm. Liu [39] explored the Hamacher t-norm and t-conorm. Deschrijver et al. [40] elaborated the Lukasiewicz t-norm and t-conorm. Klement and Mesiar [41] presented the idea of the t-norm.

The main theory of Aczel–Aslina t-norm and t-conorm based on classical information was derived by Aczel and Aslina [42], which is a very dominant and valuable idea used for evaluating awkward and unreliable information in genuine life problems. The principle of the Aczel–Aslina t-norm and t-conorm is that they are massively modified when compared to the prevailing t-norms (Hamacher). The mathematical approach of diagnosed information and related operators is very closely connected with the theory of symmetry. Considering the above examination, we understand that decision-making

issues are getting progressively more perplexing generally. To have the option to pick the unrivaled alternative(s) for the MADM issues and impart the questionable information in an undeniably more worthwhile way is an important problem. Moreover, it is important to oversee how to consider the association between input disputes. The major contribution of this analysis is illustrated below.

1. To analyze the AA operational laws (addition, multiplication, score value, accuracy value) under the CIF settings.
2. To initiate the principle of CIFAAWA, CIFAOWA, CIFAHA, CIFAAWG, CIFAOWG, CIFAHHG, and their beneficial results.
3. To consider the elaborated works, a MADM technique was explored to investigate the supremacy and feasibility of the developed works.
4. To demonstrate the sensitivity analysis and graphically show the presented works with the help of several examples, where the main theme of this analysis is also described in the form of Figure 1.

1. We derived Aczel-Alsina operational laws for complex intuitionistic fuzzy sets.

2. We proposed the Averaging/Geometric aggregation operators for complex intuitionistic fuzzy sets.

3. We illustrated a decision-making procedure based on derived operators and justified it with the help of some suitable examples.

4. We compared the proposed operators with some existing operators is to enhance the worth of the derived operators.

Figure 1. The main theme of the proposed analysis is given above.

The major key of this analysis is elaborated as follows: in Section 2, we revise several prevailing principles such as CPFSSs, SSs, Aczel–Alsina t-norm and t-conorm, and their algebraic laws. In Section 3, we analyze the AA operational laws (addition, multiplication, score value, accuracy value) under the CIF settings and their use results. In Section 4, we initiate the principle of CIFAAWA, CIFAOWA, CIFAHA, CIFAAWG, CIFAOWG, CIFAHHG, and their beneficial results. In Section 5, we consider the elaborated works, and an MADM technique is explored to investigate the supremacy and feasibility of the developed works. Finally, we demonstrate the sensitivity analysis and graphically show the presented works with the help of several examples. The conclusion of this script is deliberated in Section 6.

2. Preliminaries

This theory aims to revise several prevailing principles such as CPFSSs, Aczel–Alsina t-norm and t-conorm, SSs, and their algebraic laws. The object $\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}_U$ states the universal sets.

Definition 1. [30] A CIFS $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is invented by:

$$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}} = \left\{ \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E), \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E) \right) : \widetilde{\chi}_E \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{X}}_U \right\} \tag{1}$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E) = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E)e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E))}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E) = \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E)e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E))}$, stated the TD and FD with $0 \leq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E) + \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E) \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E) + \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E) \leq 1$. The expression $\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E) = \overline{\mathfrak{R}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E)e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E))} = \left(1 - \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E) + \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E) \right) \right) e^{i2\pi(1 - (\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E) + \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E))}$ is called neutral grade. The complex intuitionistic fuzzy number (CIFN) is invented by: $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_j})} \right), j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Definition 2. [30] By considering any two CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_j})} \right), j = 1, 2$, then

$$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_1} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_2} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_1} + \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_2} - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_1} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_2} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_1} + \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_2} - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_1} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_2})}, \left(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_1} \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_2} \right) e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_1} \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_2})} \right) \tag{2}$$

$$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_1} \otimes \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_2} = \left(\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_1} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_2} \right) e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_1} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_2})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_1} + \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_2} - \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_1} \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_2} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_1} + \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_2} - \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_1} \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_2})} \right) \tag{3}$$

$$\overline{\psi}_S \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_1} = \left(\left(1 - \left(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_1} \right)^{\overline{\psi}_S} \right) e^{i2\pi(1 - (1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_1})^{\overline{\psi}_S})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_1}^{\overline{\psi}_S} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_1}^{\overline{\psi}_S})} \right) \tag{4}$$

$$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_1}^{\overline{\psi}_S} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_1}^{\overline{\psi}_S} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_1}^{\overline{\psi}_S})}, \left(1 - \left(1 - \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_1} \right)^{\overline{\psi}_S} \right) e^{i2\pi(1 - (1 - \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_1})^{\overline{\psi}_S})} \right) \tag{5}$$

Definition 3. [30] By considering any CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_j})} \right), j = 1, 2$, then the score value (SV) and accuracy value (AV) are given by:

$$\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{SV}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_1}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_1} + \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_1} - \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_1} - \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_1} \right), \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{SV}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_1}) \in [-1, 1] \tag{6}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{AV}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_1}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_1} + \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_1} + \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_1} + \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_1} \right), \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{AV}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_1}) \in [0, 1] \tag{7}$$

Definition 4. [30] By considering any CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{I}}_j})} \right), j = 1, 2$, then

1. When $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{SV}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_1}) > \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{SV}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_2}) \Rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_1} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_2}$;
2. When $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{SV}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_1}) < \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{SV}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_2}) \Rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_1} < \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_2}$;
3. When $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{SV}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_1}) = \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{SV}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_2}) \Rightarrow$
 - (i) When $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{AV}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_1}) > \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{AV}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_2}) \Rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_1} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_2}$;
 - (ii) When $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{AV}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_1}) < \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{AV}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_2}) \Rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_1} < \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_2}$;
 - (iii) When $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{AV}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_1}) = \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{AV}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_2}) \Rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_1} = \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}_2}$.

Definition 5. [16] Suppose $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{TN} : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, this states a TN if

1. $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{TN}(\widetilde{\chi}_E, \widetilde{\chi}_{E'}) = \overline{\mathbb{T}}_{TN}(\widetilde{\chi}_{E'}, \widetilde{\chi}_E), \widetilde{\chi}_E, \widetilde{\chi}_{E'} \in [0, 1];$
2. $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{TN}(\widetilde{\chi}_E, \widetilde{\chi}_{E'}) \leq \overline{\mathbb{T}}_{TN}(\widetilde{\chi}_E, \widetilde{\chi}_{E''}),$ if $\widetilde{\chi}_{E'} \leq \widetilde{\chi}_{E''};$
3. $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{TN}(\widetilde{\chi}_E, \overline{\mathbb{T}}_{TN}(\widetilde{\chi}_{E'}, \widetilde{\chi}_{E''})) = \overline{\mathbb{T}}_{TN}(\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{TN}(\widetilde{\chi}_E, \widetilde{\chi}_{E'}), \widetilde{\chi}_{E''});$
4. $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{TN}(\widetilde{\chi}_E, 1) = \widetilde{\chi}_E;$

Definition 6. [16] Suppose $\overline{\mathbb{S}}_{TN} : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, this states a TCN if

1. $\overline{\mathbb{S}}_{TN}(\widetilde{\chi}_E, \widetilde{\chi}_{E'}) = \overline{\mathbb{S}}_{TN}(\widetilde{\chi}_{E'}, \widetilde{\chi}_E), \widetilde{\chi}_E, \widetilde{\chi}_{E'} \in [0, 1];$
2. $\overline{\mathbb{S}}_{TN}(\widetilde{\chi}_E, \widetilde{\chi}_{E'}) \leq \overline{\mathbb{S}}_{TN}(\widetilde{\chi}_E, \widetilde{\chi}_{E''}),$ if $\widetilde{\chi}_{E'} \leq \widetilde{\chi}_{E''};$
3. $\overline{\mathbb{S}}_{TN}(\widetilde{\chi}_E, \overline{\mathbb{S}}_{TN}(\widetilde{\chi}_{E'}, \widetilde{\chi}_{E''})) = \overline{\mathbb{S}}_{TN}(\overline{\mathbb{S}}_{TN}(\widetilde{\chi}_E, \widetilde{\chi}_{E'}), \widetilde{\chi}_{E''});$
4. $\overline{\mathbb{S}}_{TN}(\widetilde{\chi}_E, 0) = \widetilde{\chi}_E;$

Definition 7. [16] Suppose $\left(\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{TNA}^\psi\right)_{\psi \in [0, \infty]}$, then the Aczel–Alsina TN is given by:

$$\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{TNA}^\psi(\widetilde{\chi}_E, \widetilde{\chi}_{E'}) = \begin{cases} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_{TN}(\widetilde{\chi}_E, \widetilde{\chi}_{E'}) & \text{if } \psi = 0 \\ \min(\widetilde{\chi}_E, \widetilde{\chi}_{E'}) & \text{if } \psi = \infty \\ e^{-((-\log \widetilde{\chi}_E)^\psi + (-\log \widetilde{\chi}_{E'})^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

Definition 8. [16] Suppose $\left(\overline{\mathbb{S}}_{TNA}^\psi\right)_{\psi \in [0, \infty]}$, then the Aczel–Alsina TCN is given by:

$$\overline{\mathbb{S}}_{TNA}^\psi(\widetilde{\chi}_E, \widetilde{\chi}_{E'}) = \begin{cases} \overline{\mathbb{S}}_{TN}(\widetilde{\chi}_E, \widetilde{\chi}_{E'}) & \text{if } \psi = 0 \\ \max(\widetilde{\chi}_E, \widetilde{\chi}_{E'}) & \text{if } \psi = \infty \\ 1 - e^{-((-\log(1-\widetilde{\chi}_E))^\psi + (-\log(1-\widetilde{\chi}_{E'}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

3. Aczel–Alsina Operational Laws for CIFs

The major contribution of this section is to analyze the AA operational laws (addition, multiplication, score value, accuracy value) under the CIF settings and their related results.

Definition 9. By considering any two CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_j = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_j})}\right), j = 1, 2,$ then by using the Aczel–Alsina TN and TCN, we elaborated:

$$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} = \left(\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{TNA}^\psi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}) e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathbb{S}}_{TNA}^\psi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_1}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_2}))}, \overline{\mathbb{T}}_{TNA}^\psi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}) e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{TNA}^\psi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_1}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_2}))}\right) \tag{10}$$

$$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} \otimes \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} = \left(\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{TNA}^\psi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}) e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{TNA}^\psi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_1}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_2}))}, \overline{\mathbb{S}}_{TNA}^\psi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}) e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathbb{S}}_{TNA}^\psi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_1}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_2}))}\right) \tag{11}$$

Definition 10. By considering any two CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_j = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_j})}\right), j = 1, 2,$ then

$$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} = \left(\begin{array}{l} \left(1 - e^{-((-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}))^\psi + (-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(1 - e^{-((-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}))^\psi + (-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})}, \\ \left(e^{-((-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}))^\psi + (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(e^{-((-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}))^\psi + (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})} \end{array} \right) \tag{12}$$

$$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} \otimes \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} = \left(\begin{array}{l} \left(e^{-((-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}))^\psi + (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(e^{-((-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}))^\psi + (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})}, \\ \left(1 - e^{-((-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}))^\psi + (-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(1 - e^{-((-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}))^\psi + (-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})} \end{array} \right) \tag{13}$$

$$\overline{\theta}_S \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} = \left(\begin{array}{l} \left(1 - e^{-((\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(1 - e^{-((\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})}, \\ \left(e^{-((\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(e^{-((\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})} \end{array} \right) \tag{14}$$

$$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}^{\overline{\theta}_S} = \left(\begin{array}{l} \left(e^{-((\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(e^{-((\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})}, \\ \left(1 - e^{-((\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(1 - e^{-((\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})} \end{array} \right) \tag{15}$$

Theorem 1. By considering any two CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j}^\psi)}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j}^\psi)} \right), j = 1, 2$, then

1. $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} = \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}$;
2. $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} \otimes \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} = \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} \otimes \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}$;
3. $\overline{\theta}_S(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}) = \overline{\theta}_S \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} \oplus \overline{\theta}_S \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}$;
4. $(\overline{\theta}_{S_1} + \overline{\theta}_{S_1}) \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} = \overline{\theta}_{S_1} \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} \oplus \overline{\theta}_{S_2} \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}$;
5. $(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} \otimes \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2})^{\overline{\theta}_S} = \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}^{\overline{\theta}_S} \otimes \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}^{\overline{\theta}_S}$;
6. $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}^{\overline{\theta}_{S_1}} \otimes \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}^{\overline{\theta}_{S_1}} = \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}^{\overline{\theta}_{S_1} + \overline{\theta}_{S_2}}$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is given in Appendix A.

4. Complex Intuitionistic Fuzzy Aczel–Alsina Aggregation Operators

The major contribution of this analysis is to analyze some operators by using the AA operational laws under the CIF settings to initiate the principle of CIFAAWA, CIFAOWA, CIFAAHA, CIFAAWG, CIFAOWG, CIFAAHG, and their beneficial results.

Definition 11. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j}^\psi)}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j}^\psi)} \right), j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then the CIFAAWA operator is given by:

$$CIFAAWA(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) = \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1 \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2 \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_n \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n} = \oplus_{j=1}^n (\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j}) \tag{16}$$

where $\overline{\mathfrak{W}} = (\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1, \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_n)^T$, with a rule $\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j = 1$.

Theorem 2. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then by using Equation (16), we elaborated

$$CIFAAWA(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j})^\psi)\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j})^\psi)\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})}, \\ \left(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j})^\psi)\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j})^\psi)\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})} \end{array} \right) \tag{17}$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is given in Appendix B.

Additionally, the propositions of idempotency, boundedness, and monotonicity are also employed here.

Proposition 1. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, If $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \overline{\mathfrak{C}}$, then

$$CIFAAWA(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) = \overline{\mathfrak{C}} \tag{18}$$

The proof of Proposition 1 is given in Appendix C.

Proposition 2. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, If $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}^- = \left(\min_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\min_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \max_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\max_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}^+ = \left(\max_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\max_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \min_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\min_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$, then

$$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}^- \leq CIFAAWA(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) \leq \overline{\mathfrak{C}}^+ \tag{19}$$

The proof of Proposition 2 is given in Appendix D.

Proposition 3. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, If $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} \leq \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j}' = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j}' e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j}')} , \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j}' e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j}')} \right)$, then

$$CIFAAWA(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) \leq CIFAAWA(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}', \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}', \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}') \tag{20}$$

Proof. Omitted. \square

Definition 12. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then the CIFAAOWA operator is given by:

$$CIFAAOWA(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) = \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1 \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_{\varphi(1)}} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2 \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_{\varphi(2)}} \oplus \dots \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_n \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_{\varphi(n)}} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n (\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_{\varphi(j)}}) \tag{21}$$

where $\overline{\mathfrak{W}} = (\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1, \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_n)^T$, with a rule $\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j = 1$, with parameter $\varphi(1), \varphi(2), \dots, \varphi(n)$ based on $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_{\varphi(j)}} \leq \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_{\varphi(j-1)}}$.

Theorem 2. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then by using Equation (21), we elaborated

$$CIFAAOWA(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) = \left(\begin{array}{l} \left(1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_{\varphi(j)}}))\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}} e^{i2\pi(1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_{\varphi(j)}}))\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})}, \\ \left(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_{\varphi(j)}}))\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}} e^{i2\pi(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_{\varphi(j)}}))\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})} \end{array} \right) \tag{22}$$

Proof. Omitted. \square

Additionally, the propositions of idempotency, boundedness, and monotonicity are also employed here.

Proposition 4. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, If $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \overline{\mathfrak{C}}$, then

$$CIFAAOWA(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) = \overline{\mathfrak{C}} \tag{23}$$

Proof. Omitted. \square

Proposition 5. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, If $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}^- = \left(\min_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\min_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \max_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\max_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}^+ = \left(\max_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\max_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \min_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\min_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$, then

$$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}^- \leq CIFAAOWA(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) \leq \overline{\mathfrak{C}}^+ \tag{24}$$

Proof : Omitted. \square

Proposition 6. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, if $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} \leq \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j}' = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j}' e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j}')} , \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j}' e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j}')} \right)$, then

$$CIFAOWA(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) \leq CIFAOWA(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}', \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}', \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}') \tag{25}$$

Proof. Omitted. □

Definition 13. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then the CIFAHA operator is given by:

$$CIFAHA(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) = \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1 \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_{\varphi(1)}} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2 \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_{\varphi(2)}} \oplus \dots \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_n \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_{\varphi(n)}} = \oplus_{j=1}^n \left(\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_{\varphi(j)}} \right) \tag{26}$$

where $\overline{\mathfrak{W}} = (\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1, \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_n)^T$, with a rule $\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j = 1$, with parameter $\varphi(1), \varphi(2), \dots, \varphi(n)$ based on $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_{\varphi(j)}} \leq \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_{\varphi(j-1)}}$. Additionally, $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_{\varphi(j)}} = n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j' \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_{\varphi(j)}}$ with $\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j' = 1$.

Theorem 3. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then by using Equation (26), we elaborated

$$CIFAHA(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_{\varphi(j)}}))^{\psi \frac{1}{\overline{\Phi}}}\right)} \right)^{\psi \frac{1}{\overline{\Phi}}} e^{i2\pi(1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_{\varphi(j)}}))^{\psi \frac{1}{\overline{\Phi}}}\right))} \right)^{\psi \frac{1}{\overline{\Phi}}}, \\ \left(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_{\varphi(j)}}))^{\psi \frac{1}{\overline{\Phi}}}\right)} \right)^{\psi \frac{1}{\overline{\Phi}}} e^{i2\pi(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_{\varphi(j)}}))^{\psi \frac{1}{\overline{\Phi}}}\right))} \right)^{\psi \frac{1}{\overline{\Phi}}} \end{array} \right) \tag{27}$$

Proof. Omitted. □

Additionally, the propositions of idempotency, boundedness, and monotonicity are also employed here.

Proposition 7. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, If $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \overline{\mathfrak{C}}$, then

$$CIFAHA(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) = \overline{\mathfrak{C}} \tag{28}$$

Proof. Omitted. □

Proposition 8. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_j = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, If $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}^- = \left(\min_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\min_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \max_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\max_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}^+ = \left(\max_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\max_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \min_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\min_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$, then

$$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}^- \leq \text{CIFAAHA}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) \leq \overline{\mathfrak{C}}^+ \tag{29}$$

Proof. Omitted. □

Proposition 9. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_j = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, If $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_j \leq \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_j' = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j'} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j}')}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j'} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j}') } \right)$, then

$$\text{CIFAAHA}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) \leq \text{CIFAAHA}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}', \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}', \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}') \tag{30}$$

Proof: Omitted. □

Definition 14. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_j = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then the CIFAAGW operator is given by:

$$\text{CIFAAGW}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) = \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1} \otimes \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_n} = \otimes_{j=1}^n \left(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j} \right) \tag{31}$$

where $\overline{\mathfrak{W}} = (\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1, \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_n)^T$, with a rule $\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j = 1$.

Theorem 4. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_j = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then by using Equation (31), we elaborated

$$\text{CIFAAGW}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j})\right) \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right)^{e^{i2\pi\left(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})\right) \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}}\right)}, \\ \left(1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j})\right) \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right)^{e^{i2\pi\left(1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})\right) \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}}\right)} \end{array} \right) \tag{32}$$

Definition 15. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_j = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then the CIFAOWG operator is given by:

$$\text{CIFAOWG}(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) = \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varphi(1)}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1} \otimes \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varphi(2)}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varphi(n)}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_n} = \otimes_{j=1}^n \left(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varphi(j)}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j} \right) \tag{33}$$

where $\overline{\mathfrak{W}} = (\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1, \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_n)^T$, with a rule $\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j = 1$, with parameter $\varphi(1), \varphi(2), \dots, \varphi(n)$ based on $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varphi(j)} \leq \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varphi(j-1)}$.

Theorem 5. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then by using Equation (33), we elaborated

$$CIFAOWG(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) = \left(\left(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_{\varphi(j)}}))\right)^{\psi_{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j}} \frac{1}{\psi}} \right) e^{i2\pi(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_{\varphi(j)}}))\right)^{\psi_{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j}} \frac{1}{\psi}})}, \right. \\ \left. \left(1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_{\varphi(j)}}))\right)^{\psi_{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j}} \frac{1}{\psi}} \right) e^{i2\pi(1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_{\varphi(j)}}))\right)^{\psi_{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j}} \frac{1}{\psi}})} \right) \right) \quad (34)$$

Proof. Omitted. □

Additionally, the propositions of idempotency, boundedness, and monotonicity are also employed here.

Proposition 10. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, If $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \overline{\mathfrak{C}}$, then

$$CIFAOWG(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) = \overline{\mathfrak{C}} \quad (35)$$

Proof. Omitted. □

Proposition 11. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_j})} \right)$,

$j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, If $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}^- = \left(\min_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\min_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_j})}, \max_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\max_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_j})} \right)$ and

$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}^+ = \left(\max_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\max_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_j})}, \min_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\min_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_j})} \right)$, then

$$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}^- \leq CIFAOWG(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) \leq \overline{\mathfrak{C}}^+ \quad (36)$$

Proof. Omitted. □

Proposition 12. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_j})} \right)$,

$j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, If $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} \leq \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j}' = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j}' e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_j}')} , \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j}' e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_j}')} \right)$, then

$$CIFAOWG(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) \leq CIFAOWG(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}', \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}', \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}') \quad (37)$$

Proof. Omitted. □

Definition 16. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_j = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then the CIFAAG operator is given by:

$$CIFAAG(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_1, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_2, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_n) = \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varphi(1)}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1} \otimes \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varphi(2)}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varphi(n)}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_n} = \otimes_{j=1}^n \left(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varphi(j)}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j} \right) \tag{38}$$

where $\overline{\mathfrak{W}} = (\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1, \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_n)^T$, with a rule $\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j = 1$, with parameter $\varphi(1), \varphi(2), \dots, \varphi(n)$ based on $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varphi(j)} \leq \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varphi(j-1)}$. Additionally, $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varphi(j)} = n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j' \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varphi(j)}$ with $\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j' = 1$.

Theorem 6. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_j = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then by using Equation (38), we elaborated

$$CIFAAG(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_1, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_2, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_n) = \left(\left(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_{\varphi(j)}}))\right) \psi^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j} \frac{1}{\psi}} \right)^{\psi^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j} \frac{1}{\psi}}, e^{-i2\pi \left(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_{\varphi(j)}}))\right) \psi^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j} \frac{1}{\psi}} \right)} \right), \left(1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_{\varphi(j)}}))\right) \psi^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j} \frac{1}{\psi}} \right)^{\psi^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j} \frac{1}{\psi}}, e^{-i2\pi \left(1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_{\varphi(j)}}))\right) \psi^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j} \frac{1}{\psi}} \right)} \right) \tag{39}$$

Proof. Omitted. □

Additionally, the propositions of idempotency, boundedness, and monotonicity are also employed here.

Proposition 13. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_j = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, If $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_j = \overline{\mathfrak{C}}$, then

$$CIFAAG(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_1, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_2, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_n) = \overline{\mathfrak{C}} \tag{40}$$

Proof. Omitted. □

Proposition 14. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_j = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, If $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}^- = \left(\min_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\min_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_j})}, \max_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\max_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_j})} \right)$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}^+ = \left(\max_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\max_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_j})}, \min_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j} e^{i2\pi(\min_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_j})} \right)$, then

$$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}^- \leq CIFAAG(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_1, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_2, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_n) \leq \overline{\mathfrak{C}}^+ \tag{41}$$

Proof. Omitted. □

Proposition 15. By considering any group of CIFNs $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j})} \right)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, If $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} \leq \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j}' = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j}' e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j}')} , \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j}' e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j}')} \right)$, then

$$CIFAAGH(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) \leq CIFAAGH(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}', \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}', \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}') \tag{42}$$

Proof. Omitted. □

5. MADM Procedures under Investigated Operators

The major contribution of this analysis is to choose the MADM technique under CIFS for determining the beneficial optimal from the family of complex intuitionistic fuzzy information. Several intellectuals have utilized the assumption of CIFS in the region of individual situations. To investigate the beneficial optimal, we elaborated a decision-making process; for this, we considered the group alternatives and their attributes in the shape of $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_C = \{ \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_m} \}$, $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_C' = \{ \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}', \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}', \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}' \}$ with weight vector $\overline{\mathfrak{W}} = (\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1, \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_n)^T$, with a rule $\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j = 1$. For this, we suppose that $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_{jk}} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_{jk}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_{jk}})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_{jk}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_{jk}})} \right)$, $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n, m$. Where $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_C}(\widetilde{\chi}_E) = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E) e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E))}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_C}(\widetilde{\chi}_E) = \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E) e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E))}$, then the TD and FD with $0 \leq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E) + \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E) \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E) + \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}}(\widetilde{\chi}_E) \leq 1$. From the above theory, we are able to determine the beneficial optimal, and we can construct the decision-making technique.

A. Decision-Making Technique

For investigating the beneficial optimal, we constructed the decision-making algorithm in the shape of the following stages:

Stage 1: Under the different sort of CIFNs which cover cost sort and benefit sorts in the shape of a matrix.

Stage 2: If the matrix covers the cost sort of data, then by using the below theory, we scandalized the matrix such that

$$D = \begin{cases} \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_{jk}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_{jk}})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_{jk}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_{jk}})} \right) & \text{for benefit sort of data} \\ \left(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_{jk}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_{jk}})}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_{jk}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_{jk}})} \right) & \text{for cost sort of data} \end{cases}$$

If the matrix covers the benefits sort of data, then leave them.

Stage 3: Under Equation (17) (CIFAAGA) and Equation (32) (CIFAAGW), we accomplished the matrix to analyze the CIFN.

Stage 4: Under Equation (6) (if Equation (6) failed, then we use Equation (7)), we investigated the SV of the CIFNs.

Stage 5: From the SV, we explored the ranking technique of the SVs to elaborate on the beneficial optimal.

B. Illustrated Example

The major finding of this analysis was to analyze the elaborated operators in the circumstances of the MADM procedure. For this, we discuss some practical data to determine the feasibility and possibility of the presented works.

C. Explanation of the Problem

Considering the extending competition of globalization and fast mechanical overhauls, worldwide markets are compelling organizations to supply the best-quality goods and organizations. This must be cultivated through the cooperation of suitable representatives. Worker’s choice is a cycle choice of individuals who have the essential capabilities to play out specific work in a best case scenario. It picks the data idea of workers and plays out a critical job in staffing the board. Developing competition in overall business sectors empowers associations with more prominent accentuation on the enrollment cycle. Huge issues such as changes in affiliations, society, work, governance, and commercializing impact affect the determination of faculty. A few organizations settle on the essential choice to choose the best job hunter, utilizing thorough and costly ID techniques. Since various individual characteristics considered for workers’ decisions show irregularity and imprecision, thusly, the CIFS speculation is a helpful medium to give a design that incorporates uncertain choices in the worker’s decision technique.

Allow us to contemplate a creation organization that intends on enlisting an advertising administrator for an empty post [43]. In the wake of pre-screening, five candidates $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j}, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ have been assigned for additional assessment. You need to choose depending on the accompanying four credits: $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}$: Oral introduction ability; $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}$: History; $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_3}$: Overall inclination; $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_4}$: self-assurance. The weight vectors for attribute values are illustrated as followed: 0.4, 0.3, 0.2, 0.1. The five experts $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j}, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ are to manage ambiguity under complex intuitionistic fuzzy data by using decision-making procedures. The data provided by experts are illustrated in the shape of Table 1.

Table 1. The complex intuitionistic fuzzy information.

Alternatives/Attributes	$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}$	$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}$
$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}$	$(0.5e^{i2\pi(0.4)}, 0.3e^{i2\pi(0.4)})$	$(0.51e^{i2\pi(0.41)}, 0.31e^{i2\pi(0.41)})$
$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}$	$(0.4e^{i2\pi(0.1)}, 0.1e^{i2\pi(0.1)})$	$(0.41e^{i2\pi(0.11)}, 0.11e^{i2\pi(0.11)})$
$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_3}$	$(0.5e^{i2\pi(0.2)}, 0.4e^{i2\pi(0.3)})$	$(0.51e^{i2\pi(0.21)}, 0.41e^{i2\pi(0.31)})$
$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_4}$	$(0.3e^{i2\pi(0.5)}, 0.1e^{i2\pi(0.2)})$	$(0.31e^{i2\pi(0.51)}, 0.11e^{i2\pi(0.21)})$
$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_5}$	$(0.5e^{i2\pi(0.5)}, 0.1e^{i2\pi(0.3)})$	$(0.51e^{i2\pi(0.51)}, 0.11e^{i2\pi(0.31)})$
Alternatives/Attributes	$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_3}$	$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_4}$
$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}$	$(0.52e^{i2\pi(0.42)}, 0.32e^{i2\pi(0.42)})$	$(0.53e^{i2\pi(0.43)}, 0.33e^{i2\pi(0.43)})$
$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}$	$(0.42e^{i2\pi(0.12)}, 0.12e^{i2\pi(0.12)})$	$(0.43e^{i2\pi(0.13)}, 0.13e^{i2\pi(0.13)})$
$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_3}$	$(0.52e^{i2\pi(0.22)}, 0.42e^{i2\pi(0.32)})$	$(0.53e^{i2\pi(0.23)}, 0.43e^{i2\pi(0.33)})$
$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_4}$	$(0.32e^{i2\pi(0.52)}, 0.12e^{i2\pi(0.22)})$	$(0.33e^{i2\pi(0.53)}, 0.13e^{i2\pi(0.23)})$
$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_5}$	$(0.52e^{i2\pi(0.52)}, 0.12e^{i2\pi(0.32)})$	$(0.53e^{i2\pi(0.53)}, 0.13e^{i2\pi(0.33)})$

D. Method under CIFAAWA and CIFAAWG Operators

On the path to determine the most beneficial person from the group of persons (five candidates) by using the MADM technique under CIFAAWA and CIFAAWG operators., for investigating the beneficial optimal, we constructed the decision-making algorithm in the shape of the following stages:

Stage 1: Under the different sort of CIFNs which cover cost sort and benefit sorts in the shape of a matrix (Table 1).

Stage 2: If the matrix covers the cost sort of data, then by using the below theory, we scandalized the matrix, such that

$$D = \begin{cases} \left(\begin{matrix} \overline{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}_{R_{jk}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}_{I_{jk}})} & \overline{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}_{R_{jk}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}_{I_{jk}})} \\ \overline{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}_{R_{jk}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}_{I_{jk}})} & \overline{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}_{R_{jk}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}_{I_{jk}})} \end{matrix} \right) & \text{for benefit sort of data} \\ \left(\begin{matrix} \overline{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}_{R_{jk}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}_{I_{jk}})} & \overline{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}_{R_{jk}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}_{I_{jk}})} \\ \overline{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}_{R_{jk}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}_{I_{jk}})} & \overline{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}_{R_{jk}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}_{I_{jk}})} \end{matrix} \right) & \text{for cost sort of data} \end{cases}$$

If the matrix covers the benefits sort of data, then leave them. The data in Table 1 cover all the beneficial sorts of data, so they do not need to be standardized.

Stage 3: Under Equation (17) (CIFAAWA) and Equation (32) (CIFAAWG), we accomplished the matrix to analyze the CIFN, which is illustrated in the shape of Table 2.

Table 2. The aggregated operators for $\psi = 1$.

Alternatives	CIFAAWA Operator	CIFAAWG Operator
$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_1}$	$(0.2665e^{i2\pi(0.2048)}, 0.6012e^{i2\pi(0.6789)})$	$(0.7464e^{i2\pi(0.6789)}, 0.1489e^{i2\pi(0.2048)})$
$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_2}$	$(0.2048e^{i2\pi(0.0494)}, 0.3828e^{i2\pi(0.3828)})$	$(0.6789e^{i2\pi(0.3828)}, 0.0494e^{i2\pi(0.0494)})$
$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_3}$	$(0.2665e^{i2\pi(0.0973)}, 0.6789e^{i2\pi(0.6012)})$	$(0.7464e^{i2\pi(0.5075)}, 0.2048e^{i2\pi(0.1489)})$
$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_4}$	$(0.1489e^{i2\pi(0.2665)}, 0.3828e^{i2\pi(0.5075)})$	$(0.6012e^{i2\pi(0.7464)}, 0.0494e^{i2\pi(0.0973)})$
$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_5}$	$(0.2665e^{i2\pi(0.2665)}, 0.3828e^{i2\pi(0.3828)})$	$(0.7464e^{i2\pi(0.7464)}, 0.0494e^{i2\pi(0.0494)})$

Stage 4: Under Equation (6) (if Equation (6) failed, then we use Equation (7)), we investigated the SV of the CIFNs illustrated in the shape of Table 3.

Table 3. The Score values.

Alternatives	CIFAAWA Operator	CIFAAWG Operator
$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_1}$	-0.4044	0.5358
$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_2}$	-0.2557	0.4814
$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_3}$	-0.4581	0.4501
$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_4}$	-0.2375	0.6004
$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_5}$	-0.1163	0.697

Stage 5: From the SV, we explored the ranking technique of the SVs to elaborate on the beneficial optimal illustrated in the shape of Table 4.

Table 4. The ranking values.

CIFAAWA Operator	$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_5} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_4} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_3}$
CIFAAWG Operator	$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_5} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_4} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_3}$

Under the different sorts of operators, we have gotten the same beneficial optimal $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_5}$. Additionally, we enhanced the quality of the research works under distinct sorts with the help of some massive examples. The data provided by experts are illustrated in the shape of Table 5.

Table 5. The intuitionistic fuzzy information.

Alternatives/Attributes	$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}$	$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}$	$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_3}$	$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_4}$
$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}$	(0.5, 0.3)	(0.51, 0.31)	(0.52, 0.32)	(0.53, 0.33)
$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}$	(0.4, 0.1)	(0.41, 0.11)	(0.42, 0.12)	(0.43, 0.13)
$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_3}$	(0.5, 0.4)	(0.51, 0.41)	(0.52, 0.42)	(0.53, 0.43)
$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_4}$	(0.3, 0.1)	(0.31, 0.11)	(0.32, 0.12)	(0.33, 0.13)
$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_5}$	(0.5, 0.1)	(0.51, 0.11)	(0.52, 0.12)	(0.53, 0.13)

E. Method under CIFAAWA and CIFAAWG Operators

On the path to determine the beneficial person from the group of persons (five candidates) by using the MADM technique under CIFAAWA and CIFAAWG operators, for investigating the beneficial optimal, we constructed the decision-making algorithm in the shape of the following stages:

Stage 1: Under the different sort of CIFNs, which cover cost sort and benefit sorts in the shape of a matrix (Table 5).

Stage 2: If the matrix covers the cost sort of data, then by using the below theory, we scandalized the matrix, such that

$$D = \begin{cases} \left(\begin{matrix} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_{jk}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_{jk}})} & \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_{jk}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_{jk}})} \end{matrix} \right) & \text{for benefit sort of data} \\ \left(\begin{matrix} \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_{jk}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_{jk}})} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_{jk}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_{jk}})} \end{matrix} \right) & \text{for cost sort of data} \end{cases}$$

If the matrix covers the benefits sort of data, then leave them. The data in Table 5 cover all the beneficial sorts of data, so they do not need to be standardized.

Stage 3: Under Equation (17) (CIFAAWA) and Equation (32) (CIFAAWG), we accomplished the matrix to analyze the CIFN, which is illustrated in the shape of Table 6.

Under the different sorts of operators, we have gotten the same beneficial optimal $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_5}$.

F. Influence of Parameter

The major aim of this study was to check the fluency of the parameter ψ , to investigate the consistency of the elaborated works. For this, the data in Table 1 were considered for determining the fluency of the parameter, stated in Table 9 under both CIFAAWA and CIFAAWG operators.

Table 6. The aggregated operators for $\psi = 1$.

Alternatives	CIFAAWA Operator	CIFAAWG Operator
$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_1}$	(0.2665, 0.6012)	(0.7464, 0.1489)
$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_2}$	(0.2048, 0.3828)	(0.6789, 0.0494)
$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_3}$	(0.2665, 0.6789)	(0.7464, 0.2048)
$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_4}$	(0.1489, 0.3828)	(0.6012, 0.0494)
$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_5}$	(0.2665, 0.3828)	(0.7464, 0.0494)

Stage 4: Under Equation (6) (if Equation (6) failed, then we use Equation (7)), we investigated the SV of the CIFNs illustrated in the shape of Table 7.

Table 7. The Score values.

Alternatives	CIFAAWA Operator	CIFAAWG Operator
$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_1}$	−0.1674	0.2988
$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_2}$	−0.089	0.3147
$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_3}$	−0.2062	0.2708
$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_4}$	−0.1169	0.2759
$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_5}$	−0.0581	0.3485

Stage 5: From the SV, we explored the ranking technique of the SVs to elaborate the beneficial optimal illustrated in the shape of Table 8.

Table 8. The ranking values.

CIFAAWA Operator	$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_5} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_2} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_1} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_4} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_3}$
CIFAAWG Operator	$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_5} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_2} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_1} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_4} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_3}$

Table 9. The fluency for the different values of parameter ψ by using the data in Table 1.

Parameter	Operator	Score Values	Ranking Values
$\psi = 1$	CIFAAWA Operator	−0.4044, −0.2557, −0.4581, −0.2375, −0.1163	$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_5} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_4} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_1} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_2} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_3}$
	CIFAAWG Operator	0.5358, 0.4814, 0.4501, 0.6004, 0.697	$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_5} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_4} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_2} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_1} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_3}$
$\psi = 5$	CIFAAWA Operator	−0.4036, −0.2538, −0.4572, −0.2362, −0.1147	$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_5} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_4} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_1} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_2} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_3}$
	CIFAAWG Operator	0.535, 0.4797, 0.4492, 0.5993, 0.6957	$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_5} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_4} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_2} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_1} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_3}$
$\psi = 11$	CIFAAWA Operator	−0.4024, −0.2512, −0.4559, −0.2343, −0.1126	$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_5} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_4} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_1} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_2} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_3}$
	CIFAAWG Operator	0.5338, 0.4773, 0.4479, 0.5976, 0.6938	$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_5} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_4} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_2} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_1} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_3}$
$\psi = 51$	CIFAAWA Operator	−0.3957, −0.2409, −0.449, −0.2253, −0.1024	$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_5} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_4} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_1} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_2} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_3}$
	CIFAAWG Operator	0.5275, 0.4684, 0.4409, 0.5906, 0.687	$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_5} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_4} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_2} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_1} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_3}$
$\psi = 101$	CIFAAWA Operator	−0.3913, −0.2363, −0.445, −0.2202, −0.0967	$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_5} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_4} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_1} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_2} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_3}$
	CIFAAWG Operator	0.5236, 0.4652, 0.4366, 0.5875, 0.6846	$\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_5} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_4} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_2} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_1} > \overline{\overline{\mathfrak{C}}}_{C_3}$

Under the different sorts of operators, we have gotten the same sort of beneficial optimal $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_5}$. Under the above analysis, we employed the resultant values for the data in Table 5, illustrated in Table 12.

Table 12. The sensitivity analysis by using the data in Table 5.

Methods	Score Values	Ranking Values
Xu [43]	0.1877, 0.2036, 0.1607, 0.1648, 0.2374	$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_5} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_4} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_3}$
Xu and Yager [44]	0.2946, 0.3096, 0.2668, 0.2705, 0.3435	$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_5} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_4} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_3}$
Wang and Liu [45]	−0.1563, −0.078, −0.2051, −0.1158, −0.0570	$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_5} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_4} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_3}$
Wang and Liu [46]	0.5944, 0.6102, 0.5703, 0.5704, 0.6441	$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_5} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_4} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_3}$
Huang [47]	0.3957, 0.4097, 0.3679, 0.3716, 0.4446	$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_5} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_4} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_3}$
Seikh and Mandal [48]	0.6955, 0.7113, 0.6714, 0.6715, 0.7452	$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_5} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_4} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_3}$
Garg and Rani [31]	0.2977, 0.3136, 0.2707, 0.2748, 0.3474	$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_5} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_4} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_3}$
Garg and Rani [32]	0.1899, 0.2058, 0.1619, 0.1669, 0.2396	$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_5} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_4} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_3}$
CIFA AWA operator	−0.1674, −0.089, −0.2062, −0.1169, −0.0581	$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_5} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_4} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_3}$
CIFA AWG operator	0.2988, 0.3147, 0.2708, 0.2759, 0.3485	$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_5} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_4} > \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_3}$

Under the different sorts of operators, we have gotten the same sort of beneficial optimal $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_5}$. Therefore, we have discussed all the possibilities under the different types of circumstances. The beneficial optimal is the same, which is $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_5}$. From the above discussion, we conclude that our presented works are massive dominant, and consistent as compared to prevailing works.

6. Conclusions

The mathematical and theoretical representation of the CIF set is very powerful and reliable to manage awkward and vague information because the grades contained in the CIF set are computed in the shape of a complex number, which can easily manage two-dimensional information in the shape of a single set. The impacts of the derived analysis are listed below:

1. Firstly, we derived valuable AA operational laws under the presence of CIF information.
2. Secondly, we discovered the idea of aggregation operators based on AA operations for the CIF set then developed the ideas of CIFA AWA, CIFA AOWA, CIFA AHA, CIFA AWG, CIFA AOWG, CIFA AHG and their beneficial results.
3. Thirdly, we illustrated a MADM technique for derived operators and justified it with the help of some suitable examples.
4. Finally, we compared the derived work with some existing results to enhance the worth and capability of the pioneered operators.

The theory of AA aggregation operators based on the CIF set is a very effective and dominant idea, which can be used for aggregating the collection of information into a single set. The proposed theory can easily resolve many real-life problems, for instance, artificial intelligence in education, in healthcare, in business, in manufacturing, roads, machine learning, game theory, computer science, and many more.

In the upcoming times, we will modify our principles in this analysis based on CIFs for complex q-rung orthopair fuzzy sets [49,50], complex spherical fuzzy sets [51,52], T-spherical fuzzy sets [53], correlation measures [54], Einstein aggregation operators [55], algebraic aggregation operators [56], logarithmic aggregation operators [57], entropy measures [58], linear Diophantine fuzzy sets [59,60], spherical Diophantine fuzzy sets [61,62], and m-polar fuzzy sets to further fill the gap of the research works.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Z.A.; Data curation, R.C.; Funding acquisition, S.B. and R.C.; Investigation, T.M. and Z.A.; Methodology, Z.A.; Project administration, T.M.; Resources, Z.A. and S.B.; Software, R.C.; Supervision, T.M.; Writing original draft, Z.A. All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was supported by National Science, Research and Innovation Fund (NSRF) and Prince of Songkla University (Grant No. SC16601275S).

Data Availability Statement: The data used to support the findings of this study can be used by anyone without prior permission of the authors by just citing this article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Appendix A

Proof. Under Equations (12)–(15), we prove that the above points, such that

1. Suppose $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} &= \left(\begin{array}{l} \left(1 - e^{-((-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}))^\psi + (-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(1 - e^{-((-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}))^\psi + (-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})}, \\ \left(e^{-((-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}))^\psi + (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(e^{-((-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}))^\psi + (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})} \end{array} \right) \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{l} \left(1 - e^{-((-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}))^\psi + (-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(1 - e^{-((-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}))^\psi + (-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})}, \\ \left(e^{-((-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}))^\psi + (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(e^{-((-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}))^\psi + (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})} \end{array} \right) \\ &= \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we investigated $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} = \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}$.

2. Omitted.

3. Suppose $\overline{\theta}_S(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2})$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overline{\theta}_S(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{C_1} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{C_2}) &= \overline{\theta}_S \left(\begin{pmatrix} \left(1 - e^{-((-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}^\overline{}))^\psi + (-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}^\overline{}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(1 - e^{-((-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}^\overline{}))^\psi + (-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}^\overline{}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}})} \right), \\ \left(e^{-((-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}^\overline{}))^\psi + (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}^\overline{}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(e^{-((-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}^\overline{}))^\psi + (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}^\overline{}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}})} \right) \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
 &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} \left(1 - e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}^\overline{}))^\psi + (-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}^\overline{}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(1 - e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}^\overline{}))^\psi + (-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}^\overline{}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}})} \right), \\ \left(e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}^\overline{}))^\psi + (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}^\overline{}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}^\overline{}))^\psi + (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}^\overline{}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}})} \right) \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
 &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} \left(1 - e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}^\overline{}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(1 - e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}^\overline{}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}})} \right), \\ \left(e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}^\overline{}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}^\overline{}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}})} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
 &\quad \oplus \left(\begin{pmatrix} \left(1 - e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}^\overline{}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(1 - e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}^\overline{}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}})} \right), \\ \left(e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}^\overline{}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}^\overline{}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}})} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
 &= \overline{\theta}_S \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{C_1} \oplus \overline{\theta}_S \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{C_2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\overline{\theta}_S(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{C_1} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{C_2}) = \overline{\theta}_S \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{C_1} \oplus \overline{\theta}_S \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{C_2}$.

4. Omitted.

5. Suppose $(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{C_1} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{C_2})^{\overline{\theta}_S}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} \otimes \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2})^{\overline{\theta}_S} &= \left(\begin{array}{l} \left(e^{-((-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}))^\psi + (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}}} e^{i2\pi(e^{-((-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_1}))^\psi + (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}})} \right), \\ \left(1 - e^{-((-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}))^\psi + (-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}}} e^{i2\pi(1 - e^{-((-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_1}))^\psi + (-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}})} \right) \end{array} \right)^{\overline{\theta}_S} \\
 &= \left(\begin{array}{l} \left(e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}))^\psi + (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}} e^{i2\pi(e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_1}))^\psi + (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}}} \right), \\ \left(1 - e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}))^\psi + (-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}} e^{i2\pi(1 - e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_1}))^\psi + (-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}}} \right) \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \left(\begin{array}{l} \left(e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}} e^{i2\pi(e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_1}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}}} \right), \\ \left(1 - e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}} e^{i2\pi(1 - e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_1}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}}} \right) \end{array} \right) \\
 &\quad \otimes \left(\begin{array}{l} \left(e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}} e^{i2\pi(e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}}} \right), \\ \left(1 - e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}} e^{i2\pi(1 - e^{-\overline{\theta}_S(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}}} \right) \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}^{\overline{\theta}_S} \otimes \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}^{\overline{\theta}_S}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} \otimes \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2})^{\overline{\theta}_S} = \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}^{\overline{\theta}_S} \otimes \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}^{\overline{\theta}_S}$.

6. Omitted. \square

Appendix B

Proof. Based on the initiated laws and mathematical induction, we prove Equation (17); first we have a check for $n = 2$, then

$$\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1 \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1} = \left(\begin{array}{l} \left(1 - e^{-\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}} e^{i2\pi(1 - e^{-\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_1}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}}} \right), \\ \left(e^{-\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}} e^{i2\pi(e^{-\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_1}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}}} \right) \end{array} \right)$$

and

$$\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2 \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2} = \left(\begin{array}{l} \left(1 - e^{-\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}} e^{i2\pi(1 - e^{-\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{I_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}}} \right), \\ \left(e^{-\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}} e^{i2\pi(e^{-\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{I_2}))^\psi)^{\frac{1}{\overline{\psi}}}} \right) \end{array} \right)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 CIFAAWA(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}) &= \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1 \mathfrak{C}_{C_1} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2 \mathfrak{C}_{C_2} \\
 &= \left(\begin{array}{l} \left(1 - e^{-\left(\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}))^\psi\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(1-e^{-\left(\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_1}))^\psi\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})}, \\ \left(e^{-\left(\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}))^\psi\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(e^{-\left(\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_1(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_1}))^\psi\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})}, \end{array} \right) \\
 \oplus &\left(\begin{array}{l} \left(1 - e^{-\left(\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}))^\psi\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(1-e^{-\left(\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_2}))^\psi\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})}, \\ \left(e^{-\left(\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}))^\psi\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(e^{-\left(\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_2(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_2}))^\psi\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})}, \end{array} \right) \\
 &\left(\begin{array}{l} \left(1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^2 \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j}))^\psi\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(1-e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^2 \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j}))^\psi\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})}, \\ \left(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^2 \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j}))^\psi\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^2 \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j}))^\psi\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})}, \end{array} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Further, we suggest that if Equation (17) is okay for $n = k$, then

$$CIFAAWA(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_k}) = \left(\begin{array}{l} \left(1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j}))^\psi\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(1-e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j(-\log(1-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j}))^\psi\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})}, \\ \left(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j}))^\psi\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right) e^{i2\pi(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j}))^\psi\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})}, \end{array} \right)$$

Then, we will prove that Equation (17) is also held for $n = k + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 CIFAAWA(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_{k+1}}) &= \oplus_{j=1}^{k+1} (\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j}) = \oplus_{j=1}^k (\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j}) \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_{k+1} \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_{k+1}} \\
 &= \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}}))\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}} e^{i2\pi(1-e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}}))\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})^{\frac{1}{\psi}}}, \\ \left(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}}))\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}} e^{i2\pi(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}}))\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \end{array} \right) \\
 \oplus &\left(\begin{array}{c} \left(1 - e^{-\left(\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_{k+1} (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_{k+1}}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}}))\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}} e^{i2\pi(1-e^{-\left(\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_{k+1} (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_{k+1}}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}}))\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})^{\frac{1}{\psi}}}, \\ \left(e^{-\left(\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_{k+1} (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_{k+1}}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}}))\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}} e^{i2\pi(e^{-\left(\overline{\mathfrak{W}}_{k+1} (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_{k+1}}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}}))\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}}))\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}} e^{i2\pi(1-e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}}))\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})^{\frac{1}{\psi}}}, \\ \left(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}}))\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}} e^{i2\pi(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}}))\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \end{array} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the result is investigated correctly. □

Appendix C

Proof. Suppose $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_j = \overline{\mathfrak{C}} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}})} \right)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 CIFAAWA(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) &= \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}}))\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}} e^{i2\pi(1-e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{R_j}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}}))\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})^{\frac{1}{\psi}}}, \\ \left(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}}))\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}} e^{i2\pi(e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{R_j}^{\overline{\mathfrak{W}}}))\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(1 - e^{-\left(-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}})\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}} e^{i2\pi(1-e^{-\left(-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}})\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})^{\frac{1}{\psi}}}, \\ \left(e^{-\left(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}})\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}} e^{i2\pi(e^{-\left(-\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}})\right)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}})^{\frac{1}{\psi}}} \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(1 - e^{\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}})} \right) e^{i2\pi(1 - e^{\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}})})}, \\ \left(e^{\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}})} \right) e^{i2\pi(e^{\log(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}})})} \end{array} \right) = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}})}, \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}})} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Appendix D

Proof. Suppose $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_j} = \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j}^+)} , \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j}^+)} \right), j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, If

$$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}^- = \left(\min_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\min_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j}^+)} , \max_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\max_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j}^+)} \right) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}^+ = \left(\max_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\max_j \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j}^+)} , \min_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{R}_j} e^{i2\pi(\min_j \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\overline{I}_j}^+)} \right), \text{ by using inequality, we have}$$

$$1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j}^-))\right)^\psi}^{\frac{1}{\psi}} \leq 1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j}^-))\right)^\psi}^{\frac{1}{\psi}} \leq 1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j}^+))\right)^\psi}^{\frac{1}{\psi}}$$

$$1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j}^-))\right)^\psi}^{\frac{1}{\psi}} \leq 1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j}^-))\right)^\psi}^{\frac{1}{\psi}} \leq 1 - e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(1 - \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j}^+))\right)^\psi}^{\frac{1}{\psi}}$$

$$e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j}^-))\right)^\psi}^{\frac{1}{\psi}} \leq e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j}^-))\right)^\psi}^{\frac{1}{\psi}} \leq e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{R}_j}^+))\right)^\psi}^{\frac{1}{\psi}}$$

$$e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j}^-))\right)^\psi}^{\frac{1}{\psi}} \leq e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j}^-))\right)^\psi}^{\frac{1}{\psi}} \leq e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_j (-\log(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{I}_j}^+))\right)^\psi}^{\frac{1}{\psi}}$$

Therefore, we obtained

$$\overline{\mathfrak{C}}^- \leq CIFAAWA(\overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_2}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{C}}_{C_n}) \leq \overline{\mathfrak{C}}^+.$$

□

References

- Zadeh, L.A. Fuzzy sets. *Inf. Control* **1965**, *8*, 338–353. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Yager, R.R. On ordered weighted averaging aggregation operators in multicriteria decision-making. *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern.* **1988**, *18*, 183–190. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Yager, R.R.; Engemann, K.J.; Filev, D.P. On the concept of immediate probabilities. *Int. J. Intel. Syst.* **1995**, *10*, 373–397. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Engemann, K.J.; Filev, D.P.; Yager, R.R. Modeling decision-making using immediate probabilities. *Int. J. Gen. Syst.* **1996**, *24*, 281–294. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Yager, R.R. Including importance's in OWA aggregations using fuzzy systems modeling. *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.* **1998**, *6*, 286–294. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Yager, R.R. OWA aggregation of multi-criteria with mixed uncertain satisfactions. *Inf. Sci.* **2017**, *417*, 88–95. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Durante, F.; Ricci, R.G. Supermigrativity of aggregation functions. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2018**, *335*, 55–66. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Decký, M.; Mesiari, R.; Stupňanová, A. Deviation-based aggregation functions. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2018**, *332*, 29–36. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Liu, J.; Chen, H.; Xu, Q.; Zhou, L.; Tao, Z. Generalized ordered modular averaging operator and its application to group decision making. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2016**, *299*, 1–25. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Merigó, J.M. OWA operators in the weighted average and their application in decision making. *Control Cybern.* **2012**, *41*, 605–643.
- Merigó, J.M.; Gil-Lafuente, A.M.; Yager, R.R. An overview of fuzzy research with bibliometric indicators. *Appl. Soft Comput.* **2015**, *27*, 420–433. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Beliakov, G.; Bustince, H.; Goswami, D.P.; Mukherjee, U.K.; Pal, N.R. On averaging operators for Atanassov's intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Inf. Sci.* **2011**, *181*, 1116–1124. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Atanassov, K. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **1986**, *20*, 87–96. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Mahmood, T. A Novel Approach towards Bipolar Soft Sets and Their Applications. *J. Math.* **2020**, *2020*, 4690808. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Hassaballah, M.; Ghareeb, A. A framework for objective image quality measures based on intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Appl. Soft Comput.* **2017**, *57*, 48–59. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Senapati, T.; Chen, G.; Yager, R.R. Aczel–Alsina aggregation operators and their application to intuitionistic fuzzy multiple attribute decision making. *Int. J. Intel. Syst.* **2022**, *37*, 1529–1551. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Gao, J.; Guo, F.; Ma, Z.; Huang, X. Multi-criteria decision-making framework for large-scale rooftop photovoltaic project site selection based on intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Appl. Soft Comput.* **2021**, *102*, 107098–107122. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

18. Garg, H.; Rani, D. Novel similarity measure based on the transformed right-angled triangles between intuitionistic fuzzy sets and its applications. *Cogn. Comput.* **2021**, *13*, 447–465. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Liu, S.; Yu, W.; Chan, F.T.; Niu, B. A variable weight-based hybrid approach for multi-attribute group decision making under interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Int. J. Intel. Syst.* **2021**, *36*, 1015–1052. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Yang, J.; Yao, Y. A three-way decision-based construction of shadowed sets from Atanassov intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Inf. Sci.* **2021**, *577*, 1–21. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Xue, Y.; Deng, Y.; Garg, H. Uncertain database retrieval with measure-Based belief function attribute values under intuitionistic fuzzy set. *Inf. Sci.* **2021**, *546*, 436–447. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Szmids, E.; Kacprzyk, J.; Bujnowski, P. How to measure the amount of knowledge conveyed by Atanassov's intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Inf. Sci.* **2014**, *257*, 276–285. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Bas, E.; Yolcu, U.; Egrioglu, E. Intuitionistic fuzzy time series functions approach for time series forecasting. *Granul. Comput.* **2021**, *6*, 619–629. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Wang, Z.J. A representable uninorm-based intuitionistic fuzzy analytic hierarchy process. *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.* **2019**, *28*, 2555–2569. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Ramot, D.; Milo, R.; Friedman, M.; Kandel, A. Complex fuzzy sets. *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.* **2002**, *10*, 171–186. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Zhang, G.; Dillon, T.S.; Cai, K.Y.; Ma, J.; Lu, J. Operation properties and δ -equalities of complex fuzzy sets. *Int. J. Approx. Reason.* **2009**, *50*, 1227–1249. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Ramot, D.; Friedman, M.; Langholz, G.; Kandel, A. Complex fuzzy logic. *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.* **2003**, *11*, 450–461. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Chen, Z.; Aghakhani, S.; Man, J.; Dick, S. ANCFIS: A neurofuzzy architecture employing complex fuzzy sets. *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.* **2010**, *19*, 305–322. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Liu, P.; Ali, Z.; Mahmood, T. The distance measures and cross-entropy based on complex fuzzy sets and their application in decision making. *J. Intel. Fuzzy Syst.* **2020**, *39*, 3351–3374. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Alkouri, A.M.D.J.S.; Salleh, A.R. Complex intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *AIP Conf. Proc. Am. Inst. Phys.* **2012**, *1482*, 464–470.
31. Garg, H.; Rani, D. Novel aggregation operators and ranking method for complex intuitionistic fuzzy sets and their applications to decision-making process. *Artif. Intel. Rev.* **2020**, *53*, 3595–3620. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Garg, H.; Rani, D. Generalized geometric aggregation operators based on t-norm operations for complex intuitionistic fuzzy sets and their application to decision-making. *Cogn. Comput.* **2020**, *12*, 679–698. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Garg, H.; Rani, D. Robust averaging-geometric aggregation operators for complex intuitionistic fuzzy sets and their applications to MCDM process. *Arab. J. Sci. Engine* **2020**, *45*, 2017–2033. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Garg, H.; Rani, D. Some generalized complex intuitionistic fuzzy aggregation operators and their application to multicriteria decision-making process. *Arab. J. Sci. Engine* **2019**, *44*, 2679–2698. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Ali, Z.; Mahmood, T.; Aslam, M.; Chinram, R. Another View of Complex Intuitionistic Fuzzy Soft Sets Based on Prioritized Aggregation Operators and Their Applications to Multiattribute Decision Making. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 1922. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Menger, K. Statistical metrics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1942**, *28*, 535–561. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
37. Garg, H.; Rani, D. Novel distance measures for intuitionistic fuzzy sets based on various triangle centers of isosceles triangular fuzzy numbers and their applications. *Expert Syst. Appl.* **2022**, *191*, 116228.
38. Xia, M.; Xu, Z.; Zhu, B. Some issues on intuitionistic fuzzy aggregation operators based on Archimedean t-conorm and t-norm. *Knowl.-Based Syst.* **2012**, *31*, 78–88. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Liu, P. Some Hamacher aggregation operators based on the interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy numbers and their application to group decision making. *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.* **2013**, *22*, 83–97. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Deschrijver, G.; Cornelis, C.; Kerre, E.E. On the representation of intuitionistic fuzzy t-norms and t-conorms. *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.* **2004**, *12*, 45–61. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Klement, E.P.; Mesiar, R. Triangular norms. *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.* **1997**, *13*, 169–193.
42. Aczél, J.; Alsina, C. Characterizations of some classes of quasilinear functions with applications to triangular norms and to synthesizing judgements. *Aequ. Math.* **1982**, *25*, 313–315. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Xu, Z. Intuitionistic fuzzy aggregation operators. *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.* **2007**, *15*, 1179–1187.
44. Xu, Z.; Yager, R.R. Some geometric aggregation operators based on intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Int. J. Gen. Syst.* **2006**, *35*, 417–433. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Wang, W.; Liu, X. Intuitionistic fuzzy information aggregation using Einstein operations. *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.* **2012**, *20*, 923–938. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Wang, W.; Liu, X. Intuitionistic fuzzy geometric aggregation operators based on Einstein operations. *Int. J. Intel. Syst.* **2011**, *26*, 1049–1075. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Huang, J.Y. Intuitionistic fuzzy Hamacher aggregation operators and their application to multiple attribute decision making. *J. Intel. Fuzzy Syst.* **2014**, *27*, 505–513. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Seikh, M.R.; Mandal, U. Intuitionistic fuzzy Dombi aggregation operators and their application to multiple attribute decision-making. *Granul. Comput.* **2021**, *6*, 473–488. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Liu, P.; Ali, Z.; Mahmood, T. A method to multi-attribute group decision-making problem with complex q-rung orthopair linguistic information based on Heronian mean operators. *Int. J. Comput. Intel. Syst.* **2021**, *12*, 1465–1496. [[CrossRef](#)]

50. Ali, Z.; Mahmood, T. Maclaurin symmetric mean operators and their applications in the environment of complex q-rung orthopair fuzzy sets. *Comput. Appl. Math.* **2020**, *39*, 1–27. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Ali, Z.; Mahmood, T.; Yang, M.S. TOPSIS method based on complex spherical fuzzy sets with Bonferroni mean operators. *Mathematics* **2020**, *8*, 1739. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. Ali, Z.; Mahmood, T.; Yang, M.S. Complex T-spherical fuzzy aggregation operators with application to multi-attribute decision making. *Symmetry* **2020**, *12*, 1311. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Mahmood, T.; Ullah, K.; Khan, Q.; Jan, N. An approach toward decision-making and medical diagnosis problems using the concept of spherical fuzzy sets. *Neural Comput. Appl.* **2019**, *31*, 7041–7053. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Ullah, K.; Garg, H.; Mahmood, T.; Jan, N.; Ali, Z. Correlation coefficients for T-spherical fuzzy sets and their applications in clustering and multi-attribute decision making. *Soft Comput.* **2020**, *24*, 1647–1659. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Munir, M.; Kalsoom, H.; Ullah, K.; Mahmood, T.; Chu, Y.M. T-spherical fuzzy Einstein hybrid aggregation operators and their applications in multi-attribute decision making problems. *Symmetry* **2020**, *12*, 365. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Ashraf, S.; Abdullah, S.; Aslam, M.; Qiyas, M.; Kutbi, M.A. Spherical fuzzy sets and its representation of spherical fuzzy t-norms and t-conorms. *J. Intel. Fuzzy Syst.* **2019**, *36*, 6089–6102. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Jin, Y.; Ashraf, S.; Abdullah, S. Spherical fuzzy logarithmic aggregation operators based on entropy and their application in decision support systems. *Entropy* **2019**, *21*, 628. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
58. Tang, Y.M.; Zhang, L.; Bao, G.Q.; Ren, F.J.; Pedrycz, W. (2104-6628) Symmetric implicational algorithm derived from intuitionistic fuzzy entropy. *Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst.* **2022**, *19*, 27–44.
59. Riaz, M.; Hashmi, M.R. Linear Diophantine fuzzy set and its applications towards multi-attribute decision-making problems. *J. Intel. Fuzzy Syst.* **2019**, *37*, 5417–5439. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Riaz, M.; Hashmi, M.R.; Kalsoom, H.; Pamucar, D.; Chu, Y.M. Linear Diophantine fuzzy soft rough sets for the selection of sustainable material handling equipment. *Symmetry* **2020**, *12*, 1215. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Riaz, M.; Hashmi, M.R.; Pamucar, D.; Chu, Y.M. Spherical linear Diophantine fuzzy sets with modeling uncertainties in MCDM. *Comput. Model Engine Sci.* **2021**, *126*, 1125–1164. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Riaz, M.; Farid, H.M.A.; Aslam, M.; Pamucar, D.; Božanić, D. Novel approach for third-party reverse logistic provider selection process under linear diophantine fuzzy prioritized aggregation operators. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 1152. [[CrossRef](#)]